Published in final edited form as:

Drug Alcohol Depend. 2011 August 1; 117(1): 74–77. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.12.017.

Cigarette Smoking Status in Pathological Gamblers: Association with Impulsivity and Cognitive Flexibility

Marc E. Mooney, Brian L. Odlaug, Suck Won Kim, and Jon E. Grant

University of Minnesota, Department of Psychiatry, Minneapolis, MN

Abstract

Background—While the majority of pathological gamblers are current cigarette smokers (CS), some have quit smoking (former smokers, FS) while others never smoked (never smokers, NS). The reasons for elevated smoking rates in pathological gambling are not known, but gamblers may use nicotine as a putative cognitive enhancer. This study evaluated impulsivity and cognitive flexibility in a sample of pathological gamblers with differing smoking status.

Methods—Fifty-five subjects with pathological gambling (CS, $n = 34$; FS, $n = 10$; NS, $n = 11$) underwent cognitive assessments using the Stop-Signal (SST) and Intradimensional/Extra-dimensional (ID/ED) set-shift tasks.

Results—CS reported less severe gambling problems than either FS or NS on the Yale Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale Modified for Pathological Gambling, and CS was associated with significantly fewer directional errors on the SST task, compared to NS. In addition, in CS, higher daily cigarette consumption was associated with fewer total errors on the ID/ED task.

Conclusions—The potential role of nicotine as a cognitive enhancer was supported by objective tests of impulsivity and cognitive flexibility. Human laboratory studies using nicotine challenges in pathological gambling will shed further light on this relationship.

Keywords

pathological gambling; smoking; impulsivity; cognitive flexibility; nicotine dependence

1. Introduction

Only recently has the comorbidity between cigarette smoking and pathological gambling been recognized, with as many as 60% of pathological gamblers (PG) also smoking (McGrath and Barrett, 2009). The reasons for this covariation are likely manifold. Nicotine causes increased expression of dopamine in brain reward centers, and may add to or even multiply the pleasurable effects of gambling. At the same time, gambling may enhance the enjoyment of cigarette smoking. Additionally, there are some 4,000 chemical constituents in

Corresponding Author: Marc Mooney, Department of Psychiatry, University of Minnesota, F-256/2B West, 2450 Riverside Ave., Minneapolis, MN 55454, Office: 612-293-7273, Facsimile: 612-273-9779, moon0078@umn.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

tobacco smoke, including inhibitors of the catabolic enzyme, monamine oxidase (MAO) (Fowler et al., 2003; Hoffmann and Wynder, 1986). Inhibition of MAO, whose function is to decompose monoamine neurotransmitters (MN; e.g., dopamine), results in greater longevity and activity of MNs in the synapse. Blanco and colleagues (Blanco et al., 1996) found deficient MAO activity in individuals with pathological gambling. The possibility that PG use smoking to ameliorate MAO deficiencies remains to be confirmed.

Nicotine, the primary chemical responsible for smoking, has a diverse set of psychological effects, including on executive functioning. The role of nicotine as a cognitive enhancer has been controversial, but a recent review suggests that nicotine indeed yields improvements in mental functioning (e.g., attention and some forms of memory) that transcends mere withdrawal relief (Heishman et al., 2010). Yet the beneficial effects of nicotine are perhaps most apparent in those whose brains are not functioning optimally. For instance, nicotine has proven therapeutic in those with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, schizophrenia, and Alzheimer's type dementia (Newhouse et al., 2004). Several studies have found that PG exhibit impaired performance on neurocognitive measures of response inhibition, including the domains of motor inhibition and delay discounting (van Holst et al., 2010). While a high proportion of PG currently smoke, a large percentage have either quit smoking or never smoked. In this report, we evaluate the association between cigarette smoking and two computerized tests of executive functioning; the Stop-Signal task (SST) and the Intradimensional/Extra-dimensional (ID/ED) set-shift task. Based on cognitive enhancing effects of nicotine, we hypothesized that PG who were current smokers would perform better than former- and non-smokers on tests of impulsivity and cognitive flexibility.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

Data for the current analyses were pooled from one pharmacological trial previously completed ($n=28$) (Grant et al., 2010), and two ongoing clinical trials using cognitive behavioral therapy ($n=23$) and pharmacotherapy ($n=4$) for the treatment of PG. The institutional review board for the University of Minnesota approved procedures. After review of the study procedures and having had the opportunity to ask questions, all subjects provided voluntary written informed consent. All studies were carried out with the standards of Good Clinical Practice and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Men and women ages 18–75 with a primary diagnosis of DSM-IV PG were recruited by newspaper advertisements. All subjects met the DSM-IV criteria for PG with the clinician-administered Structured Clinical Interview for PG (SCI-PG, Grant et al., 2004). All subjects were required to have gambled within one week prior to enrollment. Eligible subjects were required to have a score of 15 or greater on the Yale Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale Modified for PG (YBOCS-PG, Pallanti et al., 2005).

2.2. Procedures and Measures

All observations were collected at baseline prior to any clinical intervention or experimental manipulation. CS were instructed to smoke according to their usual schedule, and were not abstinent or tobacco-deprived prior to or during testing. Under constant and controlled

conditions, cognitive testing was conducted using two previously validated tests taken from CANTABeclipse software (Cambridge Cognition Limited, 2006). The Stop-signal task was used to assess motor inhibition (i.e., impulsivity, Aron et al., 2004; Logan et al., 1984). On this test, subjects were instructed to respond to a left- or right-facing arrow which appeared on a computer screen in a rapid fashion. Corresponding motor responses were measured as were the subjects' ability to inhibit responses when an auditory "beep" (stop-signal) sound occurred on a subset of trials. Through an algorithm, the time taken to internally suppress prepotent motor responses was measured, i.e., Stop-Signal Reaction Times (SSRT). Key outcome variables were SSRT, mean reaction time on 'go' trials, and the total number of directional errors made. This task has been shown to be dependent on distributed neural circuitry including the right inferior frontal gyrus (Aron et al., 2004).

Aspects of cognitive flexibility relating to set-shifting were measured using the Intra-dimensional/Extra-dimensional Shift Task (ID/ED task), developed from the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test assessing frontal lobe integrity (Lezak et al., 2004). This test involved nine stages using multidimensional stimuli presented as a visual discrimination task. On the task, subjects were presented with two stimuli on-screen for each trial, and attempted to learn an underlying 'rule' about which stimulus was correct. After each choice, the task provided the subject with feedback (right/wrong). After meeting learning criterion (6 consecutive correct choices), the rule was changed by the computer, and the subject attempted to learn the new rule in order to maintain success. Key outcome variables were the number of errors made on the Intra-dimensional (ID) and Extradimensional (ED) stages of the task.

Smoking status and cigarettes smoked/day were determined based on self-report. General psychological function were assessed using the Clinical Global Impression-Improvement and Severity scales (CGI, Guy, 1976), the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS, Cohen et al., 1983), the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A, Hamilton, 1959), and the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D, Hamilton, 1960). Gambling urges and behavior were assayed with the Gambling Symptom Assessment Scale (G-SAS, Kim et al., 2009) and the PG-YBOCS (Pallanti et al., 2005).

2.3. Analyses

All analyses were conducted with the Statistical Analysis System Version 9.1.3. Values of $p < .05$ were considered statistically significant, based on two-tailed tests. The primary classification variable was smoking status (0 = never smoker [NS]; 1 = former smoker [FS]; and 2 = current smoker [CS]). Comparisons across smoking status were made using one-way ANOVAs and chi-square tests of independence. The relationship of smoking status and daily cigarette consumption to cognitive flexibility was tested with one-way ANOVAs and Pearson product-moment correlations.

3. Results

3.1. Sample Characteristics

No differences in demographic variables were observed across smoking status. Of the 55 subjects (56.4% female), the mean age was 49.9 ± 11.5 . The distribution of subjects in each

group was NS ($n=11$), FS ($n=10$), and CS ($n=34$). The majority of the sample was white (76.4%), unmarried (78.2%), and lacking at least a college education (61.8%). Current smokers smoked a mean 18.1 ± 7.8 cigarettes/day (56% smoked 1 pack/day or more). With respect to psychiatric history and symptoms, the sample was largely homogenous: psychiatric history (family, 94.4%, personal 72.7%); history of alcohol or drug dependence (38.2%); HAM-D, 7.2 ± 4.6 ; HAM-A, 6.6 ± 4.0 ; and PSS, 21.6 ± 7.0 . The one exception was the CGI where CS gamblers rated themselves to be significantly less impaired (4.4 ± 0.6) than either NS gamblers (5.0 ± 1.0) or FS gamblers (5.2 ± 0.8), $F(2, 52) = 6.91$, $p = 0.0022$. Concerning gambling history, the mean age of onset of pathological gambling was 38.5 ± 11.2 and did not differ significantly between groups. While all groups had equivalent G-SAS scores (32.0 ± 6.4), CS gamblers rated the severity of their gambling problems to be significantly less (23.0 ± 7.1) than either NS gamblers (28.2 ± 2.2) or FS gamblers (28.5 ± 6.8), $F(2, 52) = 3.48$, $p = 0.0381$.

3.2. Cognitive Testing

3.2.1. Stop-Signal Task—Smoking status was significantly associated with performance on the SST, $F(2, 50) = 3.30$, $p = 0.0449$ (see Figure 1). CS gamblers made fewer directional errors than NS gamblers, $t(44) = 2.45$, $p = 0.0178$. Among CS gamblers, no associations were observed between cigarettes smoked each day and directional errors.

3.2.2. ID/ED Task—Smoking status was not related to any ID/ED parameter. Total errors, however, were inversely related to cigarettes smoked each day, $r(31) = -0.49$, $p = .0048$ (see Figure 2).

4. Discussion

This is the first study to assess the association between smoking and cognitive function in PG. The key finding was that smoking was associated with greater inhibitory control and while smokers did not differ from non-smokers on a measure of cognitive flexibility, among smokers, greater tobacco consumption was associated with greater cognitive flexibility.

Stop-signal performance is dependent on a right lateralized fronto-striatal neural network including the right inferior frontal and bilateral anterior cingulate cortices (Aron et al., 2004). Multiple neuroimaging studies indicate that inhibitory control activates these regions (Hampshire et al., 2010). One interpretation of these findings suggests that because nicotine self-administration improves stop-signal inhibitory control, gamblers may smoke to deal with their impulsivity. At the same time, improved executive functioning, as indexed by cognitive flexibility, was associated with greater tobacco consumption. The motivations of gamblers to smoke require further assessment of larger samples under controlled, human laboratory conditions. Parameters of interest would include dosage of nicotine, experience with nicotine (e.g., NS vs. FS and CS), and abstinence versus non-abstinence from nicotine.

These data suggest that it may be valuable to explore the clinical utility of pharmacological agents known to augment inhibitory control, such as atomoxetine, and the wake-promoting, cognitive-enhancing agent modafinil, in the treatment of gamblers who smoke, in appropriately controlled trials. It would also be interesting to study whether inhibitory

control in patients can be improved through neurocognitive training or adapted psychotherapies emphasizing this ability.

In this study, CS gamblers rated their gambling severity as being less than the other groups. This finding is somewhat at odds with previous research suggesting that pathological gamblers who smoke are more likely to have stronger gambling urges and to have increased severity of other psychosocial problems (for example, anxiety) than non-smoking pathological gamblers (see McGrath and Barrett, 2009). One explanation may be that there is considerable heterogeneity within gamblers who smoke. For example, some gamblers may find that smoking is associated with greater urges to gamble, while others may find smoking calming and therefore it results in a less intense desire to gamble. Future research (using genetics or neuroimaging) should examine whether there are multiple pathophysiologies to explain the co-occurrence of pathological gambling and nicotine dependence.

This report has some limitations. First, we did not characterize the smoking histories of current and former smokers, including pack years and nicotine dependence (e.g., Heatherton et al., 1991). Second, we did not assay nicotine and cotinine in CS. Third, this study lacked sufficient statistical power to discriminate differences between NS and FS. Nevertheless, the present findings offer preliminary evidence that tobacco and nicotine are associated with executive functioning, and that nicotine dose-dependently improves cognitive flexibility in gamblers who currently smoke.

References

- Aron AR, Robbins TW, Poldrack RA. Inhibition and the right inferior frontal cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2004; 8:170–177. [PubMed: 15050513]
- Blanco C, Orensanz-Munoz L, Blanco-Jerez C, Saiz-Ruiz J. Pathological gambling and platelet MAO activity: a psychobiological study. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1996; 153:119–121. [PubMed: 8540570]
- Cambridge Cognition Limited. CANTABclipse. Cambridge Cognition Limited; 2006.
- Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav.* 1983; 24:385–396. [PubMed: 6668417]
- Fowler JS, Logan J, Wang GJ, Volkow ND. Monoamine oxidase and cigarette smoking. *Neurotoxicology.* 2003; 24:75–82. [PubMed: 12564384]
- Grant JE, Chamberlain SR, Odlaug BL, Potenza MN, Kim SW. Memantine shows promise in reducing gambling severity and cognitive inflexibility in pathological gambling: a pilot study. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2010; 212:603–612. [PubMed: 20721537]
- Grant JE, Steinberg MA, Kim SW, Rounsaville BJ, Potenza MN. Preliminary validity and reliability testing of a structured clinical interview for pathological gambling. *Psychiatry Res.* 2004; 128:79–88. [PubMed: 15450917]
- Guy, W. US Department of Health, Education and Welfare. National Institute of Mental Health; Rockville, MD: 1976. E.C.D.E.U. Assessment Manual for Psychopharmacology; p. 218-222.
- Hamilton M. The assessment of anxiety states by rating. *Br J Med Psychol.* 1959; 32:50–55. [PubMed: 13638508]
- Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1960; 23:56–62. [PubMed: 14399272]
- Hampshire A, Chamberlain SR, Monti MM, Duncan J, Owen AM. The role of the right inferior frontal gyrus: inhibition and attentional control. *Neuroimage.* 2010; 50:1313–1319. [PubMed: 20056157]

- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerstrom KO. The Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence: a revision of the Fagerstrom Tolerance Questionnaire. *Br J Addiction*. 1991; 86:1119–1127.
- Heishman SJ, Kleykamp BA, Singleton EG. Meta-analysis of the acute effects of nicotine and smoking on human performance. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2010; 210:453–469. [PubMed: 20414766]
- Hoffmann D, Wynder EL. Chemical constituents and bioactivity of tobacco smoke. *IARC Sci Publ*. 1986:145–165. [PubMed: 3623665]
- Kim SW, Grant JE, Potenza MN, Blanco C, Hollander E. The Gambling Symptom Assessment Scale (G-SAS): a reliability and validity study. *Psychiatry Res*. 2009; 166:76–84. [PubMed: 19200607]
- Lezak, MD.; Howieson, DB.; Loring, DW.; Hannay, HJ.; Fischer, JS. *Neuropsychological Assessment*. Oxford University Press; New York: 2004.
- Logan GD, Cowan WB, Davis KA. On the ability to inhibit simple and choice reaction time responses: a model and a method. *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform*. 1984; 10:276–291. [PubMed: 6232345]
- McGrath DS, Barrett SP. The comorbidity of tobacco smoking and gambling: A review of the literature. *Drug Alcohol Rev*. 2009; 28:676–681. [PubMed: 19930023]
- Newhouse PA, Potter A, Singh A. Effects of nicotinic stimulation on cognitive performance. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*. 2004; 4:36–46. [PubMed: 15018837]
- Pallanti S, DeCaria CM, Grant JE, Urpe M, Hollander E. Reliability and validity of the pathological gambling adaptation of the Yale-Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale (PG-YBOCS). *J Gambli Stud*. 2005; 21:431–443. [PubMed: 16311876]
- van Holst RJ, van den Brink W, Veltman DJ, Goudriaan AE. Why gamblers fail to win: a review of cognitive and neuroimaging findings in pathological gambling. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2010; 34:87–107. [PubMed: 19632269]

Figure 1. Relationship between directional errors on the Stop-Signal Task and smoking status in pathological gamblers. Current smokers tended to make fewer error that either non-smoking group.

Figure 2. Relationship between total errors on the Intra-dimensional/Extra-dimensional Shift Task and daily cigarette consumption. An inverse relationship was observed between quantity smoked and total errors, with heavier smokers making the fewest errors.