Published in final edited form as:

Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen. 2015 September ; 30(6): 584–590. doi:10.1177/1533317514568340.

Characteristics and mental health of Hispanic dementia caregivers in New York City

José Alejandro Luchsinger, MD MPH^{1,2}, Dante Tipiani, MSW², Gabriela Torres-Patiño, MA¹, Stephanie Silver, MPH³, Joseph P. Eimicke, MPH³, Mildred Ramirez, PhD³, Jeanne Teresi, PhD EdD³, and Mary Mittelman, DrPH.⁴

¹ Departments of Medicine, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY 10032 USA

² Departments of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY 10032, USA

³ Research Division, Hebrew Home for the Aged at Riverdale, New York, NY 10471, USA

⁴ Department of Psychiatry, New York University 10016, New York, NY, USA

Abstract

Background—Dementia prevalence and related caregiving burden are increasing, particularly among Hispanics. We studied the characteristics and mental health of Hispanic caregivers in New York City.

Methods—We recruited 139 Hispanic family caregivers. We collected data on socio-demographic characteristics and predictors of caregiver burden, measured with the Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale, and depressive symptoms, measured with the Geriatric Depression Scale.

Results—The mean age was 59.3 ± 10.4 years. The majority of caregivers were daughters and earned less than \$30,000 a year. In multivariate analyses with linear regression, lower satisfaction with social networks was associated with higher caregiver burden and a greater number of depressive symptoms. Higher dementia severity was associated with higher caregiver burden, while higher caregiver comorbidities were associated with higher depressive symptoms.

Conclusions—Caregiver comorbidities and satisfaction with social support may be targets for intervention that could improve caregiver burden and depressive symptoms among Hispanic caregivers.

Keywords

Hispanic; dementia; Alzheimer's; caregiving; depressive; burden

INTRODUCTION

Dementia is a syndrome characterized by impairment of memory and other cognitive abilities as well as behavior disorder, severe enough to impair the ability to live independently.¹ The most common cause of *late onset dementia* is Alzheimer's disease,² comprising between 60 and 80% of cases. Vascular dementia is the second most common cause, comprising about 10% of cases, but approximately 50% of cases of dementia have a vascular component.² According to the 2014 Alzheimer's Disease facts and figures report from the Alzheimer's association² 11% of people aged 65 years and older, and a third of people 85 years and older have late onset Alzheimer's dementia (LOAD). Given the longer life expectancy of the population, the cases of LOAD in persons 65 years and older is expected to increase by 40% by 2025 from current numbers.² The Alzheimer's association also reported that in 2013, unpaid caregivers provided an estimated 17.7 billion hours of informal care valued at over \$220.2 billion.² The value of informal care is estimated to be equal to the value of medical and long term care costs of dementia.³ Despite increased understanding of dementia, no preventive or curative measure exists,⁴ and trials of new agents are discouraging.⁵ Consequently, the numbers of caregivers burdened by dementia is increasing. Hispanics, the fastest growing ethnic group in the United States,⁶ is also the group with the fastest growing number of dementia cases.⁷ Dementia prevalence in Hispanics is several times higher than in Non-Hispanic Whites (NHW) nationally (27.9% vs. 10.9% in persons aged 75-84 years; 62.9% vs. 30.2% in persons 85 years and older)⁷ and in New York City.⁸

The care of persons with dementia is challenging,⁹⁻¹¹ requiring intense supervision and often physical support, leading to adverse effects on caregivers' psychological, physical,¹²⁻¹⁴ and financial health.⁷ The burden of caregiving for a person with dementia may be higher in Hispanics compared to Non-Hispanic Whites.¹⁵ There is a paucity of research in the characteristics of urban Hispanic caregivers in New York City, who have a high burden of psychosocial and medical stressors¹⁶ that may compound the burden of caregiving. Thus, we recruited 139 Hispanic dementia caregivers for a clinical trial comparing counseling interventions, including a family based intervention,¹⁷ and collected detailed data in-person on their demographics and mental health including caregiver burden and depressive symptoms. Here we report the demographic characteristics of the sample and the cross-sectional correlates of caregiver burden and depressive symptoms.

METHODS

This is the report of a cross-sectional analysis of baseline data from the 139 participants in the Northern Manhattan Caregiver Intervention Project (NOCIP; clinical trials.gov NCT01306695). The methods of NOCIP have been described elsewhere.¹⁷ Briefly, NOCIP was a randomized 1:1 pragmatic trial comparing the effectiveness of two 6-month interventions in Hispanic caregivers of persons with dementia: a) community-based case management (CM) conducted by community health workers, and CM in addition to the New York University Caregiver Intervention (NYUCI).¹⁸ For this report data from the baseline visit prior to randomization was analyzed. The sample in this analysis was recruited between

01/01/2011 and 02/28/2013. NOCIP was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Columbia University Medical Center.

Study variables

Demographic variables included age, sex, family position in relation to the person with dementia, whether the caregiver lived with the person with dementia, Hispanic subgroup following methods from the 2010 census, household income, language, and country of origin. We also collected data on the severity of the dementia using the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE; Cronbach α (α)=0.93).^{19,20} The 16-item IQCODE scores range from 16 to 80, with higher scores indicating greater impairment. Caregiver comorbidity was measured using the Charlson comorbidity index,²¹ which has a potential score range of 0 to 25, and the items were weighted and summed. There were 14 items used. The scores of satisfaction with social support network range from 1 to 6, with 6 indicating greater satisfaction with social support. Number of members in the social network were collected as part of the Stokes Social Network List,²² and type of dementia was also collected. For each person with whom the respondent has contact in a month, the relationship and whether the respondent feels close to the person is recorded. General satisfaction with the social network, satisfaction with assistance received from the social network in daily activities (e.g., help with chores, patient care), and satisfaction with emotional support are evaluated on a six point Likert scale; responses range from “very satisfied” to “very dissatisfied”. Higher score indicates less satisfaction with the social support received.²² Acculturation was measured with the Marin bi-dimensional acculturation scale (BAS; α =0.92).²³ The BAS contains 24 items that cover two domains (Hispanic and non-Hispanic). The possible total scores range from 1-4 for each domain. Scores above 2.5 in both domains indicate bi-culturalism. Our two main outcomes were depressive symptoms measured with the geriatric depression scale (GDS; α =0.85),²⁴ and caregiver burden using the Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale (ZCBS; α =0.85).²⁵ The GDS contains 30 somatic yes/ no items. Total scores range from 0-30 with a higher score indicating more severe depression. The ZCBS contains 22 questions about the impact of the care recipient’s disabilities on the caregiver’s life. Items include: Do you feel that your relative asks for more help than he/she needs? Each item is rated never, rarely, sometimes, quite frequently, or nearly always. Scores range from 0-88 with higher scores indicative of more severe burden. Self-reported depression was evaluated with the GDS. All medications currently taken by the participants were recorded during the baseline and follow-up interviews. These medications were later categorized by type.

Statistical analyses

Linear regression models were used to examine the multivariate correlates of the baseline ZCBS and GDS variables. Both the ZCBS and GDS were normally distributed. Covariates were chosen for inclusion in the regression analyses if the p-value was ≤ 0.10 in zero-order bivariate analyses of either the ZCBS or GDS. The covariates that met this criterion and were thus selected for inclusion in the regression models were age, the satisfaction with social support network index, the IQCODE, and the Charlson Comorbidity index. Missing values for the covariates were imputed using the EM algorithm. Colinearity diagnostics

among the covariates were examined and violations were not observed. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 19.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the general characteristics of the sample of Hispanic family caregivers from New York City. The mean age was 59.3 ± 10.4 years. The great majority of caregivers were women, and among them, daughters were the majority, followed by spouses. Following the United States census format, the majority of participants identified themselves as “other Hispanic or Latino”, as compared with Puerto Rican, South American, and Central American. The most frequent region of origin was the Dominican Republic (41.7%), followed by Puerto Rico (12.9%), South America (12.2%), and Central America (5.8%). Only 16.3% of participants were born in the United States. The majority had a household income less than \$30,000 a year (mean monthly household income was $\$2,094.5 \pm 1,704.6$) and 77% were interviewed in Spanish. The majority of the sample met criteria for biculturalism. The majority of the dementias were reported to be due to Alzheimer’s disease. Depression was reported by 16.8% of the sample and 10.2% reported taking a medication for depression; 34.8% of the sample met GDS criteria for mild depression and 16.7% for severe depression.

The majority of primary family caregivers were adult children (56.8%) with a mean age of 54.1 ± 7.5 years, followed by spouses (38.8%) with a mean age of 65.9 ± 9.6 years. The proportion of women among spouses (85.2%) and children (86.1%) was similar. National origin did not vary by whether the caregiver was a spouse or adult child ($p = 0.88$); 87% of spouses and 86.1% of adult children identified as “other” Hispanic subgroup (not Mexican, Puerto Rican, or Cuban). The country of origin also did not vary ($p=0.90$). The most frequent country of origin identified for both spouses and adult children was the Dominican Republic (40.7% in spouses and 41.8% in adult children). The monthly income was higher for adult children ($\$2313.9 \pm 1821.7$ vs. $\$1773.4 \pm 1377.7$) but it was not statistically significantly different ($p = 0.10$). Satisfaction with social support did not vary by family position (5.1 in spouses ± 1.2 vs. 4.8 ± 1.2 in adult children; $p = 0.19$), but the number of people in the social support network was modestly lower for adult child caregivers (5.6 ± 3.6 vs. 4.4 ± 3.5 ; $p = 0.06$). There were no differences between spouses and adult child caregivers in dementia severity (IQCODE = 76.9 ± 5.3 vs. 75.1 ± 7.4 ; $p = 0.13$), caregiver comorbidities (Charlson = 1.8 ± 2.0 vs. 1.4 ± 1.5 ; $p=0.22$), depressive symptoms (GDS = 11.3 ± 7.3 vs. 11.2 ± 7.4 ; $p=0.91$), or report of caregiver burden (ZCBS = 43.4 ± 18.9 vs. 42.8 ± 18.7 ; $p=0.84$). A higher proportion of adult child caregivers compared to spouse caregivers met criteria for biculturalism (62.7% vs. 52.0%) but this difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.37$).

We examined zero-order correlates of caregiver burden (ZCBS) and depressive symptoms (GDS). Pearson coefficients (r) were estimated for continuous variables and Phi r for categorical variables. Higher satisfaction with social support network was correlated with less caregiver burden ($r = -0.316$; $p = <0.001$), while dementia severity was related to higher caregiver burden ($r = 0.18$; $p = 0.03$). Higher satisfaction with social support was also related to less depressive symptoms ($r = -0.38$; $p < 0.001$), while dementia severity was not related

to depressive symptoms ($r = 0.13$; $p = 0.12$). Caregiver comorbidity was related to higher depressive symptoms ($r = 0.24$; $p = 0.003$), while the relation with higher caregiver burden was almost statistically significant ($r = 0.15$; $p = 0.08$). Older age was correlated with lower caregiver burden ($r = -0.15$; $p = 0.07$) and depressive symptoms ($r = -0.16$; $p = 0.05$) and these correlations were close to statistical significance. Sex, family position, country of origin, income, number in social support network, type of dementia, language, and biculturalism were not related to either outcome.

Table 2 shows the results of multivariate analyses from a model including independent variables with $p < 0.10$ in the bivariate analyses. Satisfaction with social network was significantly related to lower caregiver burden as measured by the ZCBS. Caregiver-reported dementia severity was associated with higher levels of caregiver burden. Caregiver comorbidity was related to higher caregiver burden and this result was close to statistical significance ($p = 0.08$). Higher satisfaction with social network was related to fewer depressive symptoms, while caregiver comorbidity was significantly related to more depressive symptoms.

DISCUSSION

In this unique cohort of Hispanic dementia caregivers from New York City we found that the main correlates of depressive symptoms and caregiver burden were satisfaction with social networks, dementia severity, and caregiver comorbidity. We also found that the majority of Hispanic caregivers in New York City are daughters with low socio-economic resources. Our study is one of the few²⁶⁻²⁸ to describe the characteristics of Hispanic dementia caregivers. As far as we know, this study is the first to report the characteristics of Hispanic dementia caregivers with a majority Caribbean-Hispanic origin in New York City.

The study of Hispanic dementia caregivers is important because Hispanics are the fastest growing demographic group in the United States,⁶ have the fastest growing elderly population,²⁹ have the most accelerated increase in dementia cases,²⁹ have a prevalence of dementia that is higher than Non-Hispanic Whites (NHW),⁸ have fewer socio-economic resources, and have particular attitudes towards caring for relatives.^{30,31} Caregiver burden may be higher in Hispanics¹⁵ because caregiving of adult relatives is an expectation of their culture,^{32,33} because not accepting the caregiving responsibility could bring shame,³³ and because care of loved ones with dementia puts particular emphasis on family centered care,^{34,35} consistent with the concept of familism often identified with Hispanic caregivers.³⁶⁻³⁸ While most caregivers report no guilt after nursing home placement of persons with dementia,⁷ this is less common in Hispanics,^{7,39} who delegate less care.³⁹

In the context of increasing prevalence of dementia without cure or preventive measures⁴⁰ with potentially shrinking health care resources, Hispanic families will inevitably face a disproportionate burden of the dementia epidemic. Hispanic caregivers are more depressed than other racial/ethnic groups,⁴¹ but the causes for this disparity are not understood. A 2011 nationwide telephone survey conducted by the Alzheimer's Association highlighted Hispanic caregivers' unique socioeconomic characteristics.⁷ Compared to Non-Hispanic White caregivers, Hispanic Caregivers are younger, are less likely to be married, are more

likely to have minor children or grandchildren, are more likely to be a primary caregiver, are more likely to earn less than \$50,000 annually, and are more likely to need help balancing work and family and finding personal time. Small studies show Hispanics experience more strain and less social support than other racial/ethnic groups,^{42,43} despite extensive social networks,⁴⁴ and less acculturated Hispanic caregivers experience more depression.⁴⁵ Mixed results from small studies have not clarified the association between social support and caregiver depression in Hispanics.^{43,44} Higher acculturation to the United States among Hispanics has been found to be related to both healthy and unhealthy behaviors that may vary by gender.⁴⁶ We can only speculate on how acculturation could play a role in dementia caregiving. Acculturation was not associated with caregiver burden or depression, which may be explained by evidence suggesting that a high level of perceived family support is invariable despite changes in acculturation.⁴⁷

Not surprisingly, we found that increased dementia severity was related to increased caregiver burden. We also found that higher satisfaction with social networks, but not number of persons in the social network, was related to lower caregiver burden and depressive symptoms. One previous study of rural African American and White dementia caregivers reported on levels of social support,⁴⁸ but did not report on how it correlates with depressive symptoms and caregiver burden. Our finding suggests that interventions that improve the quality of social networks may be particularly useful in Hispanics. Family based Interventions such as the New York University Caregiver Intervention (NYUCI) have been shown to reduce stress appraisal⁴⁹ and depression⁵⁰ in spouse caregivers, and thereby to enable these caregivers to keep their relatives with dementia at home significantly longer than a usual care control group.⁵¹ These outcomes were achieved largely through improving satisfaction with social support from family and friends, and the number of persons in the caregiver's social network to whom he or she feels close.⁵² We observed at baseline that there was a relationship of depression and satisfaction with social support among the Hispanic caregivers. This suggests that the main immediate target of the intervention should continue to be to improve social support. In order to do so, the NYUCI counselor tries to help the caregiver and family to learn to interact in a more productive and positive fashion. This might be achieved by helping the primary caregiver to express his or her needs and the other family members to express their ability to provide help and support. Because the intervention is tailored to the individual situation of each caregiver, person with dementia and family, based on a comprehensive baseline assessment and the counseling sessions, if other problems, such as unmet treatment needs of either the caregiver or the person with dementia become apparent, they can be discussed, and options addressed. There is a paucity of data on the efficacy of the NYUCI in non-spouse and Hispanic caregivers. Our data indirectly suggests that strengthening social support in these 2 demographic groups through interventions such as the NYUCI might improve caregiver burden and depressive symptoms.

Importantly, we found that caregiver comorbidity was an important correlate of depressive symptoms. Given the cross-sectional design of our analysis we do not know the direction of the association between comorbidities and depressive symptoms, but it seems reasonable to postulate that persons with more comorbidities have more difficulty coping with the stress of caregiving and have more depressive symptoms. It is also possible that the caregivers with

more depressive symptoms have higher comorbidities because of neglect of their health or the physical consequences of depressive symptoms of stress. It is unclear if this association is particularly important in Hispanics, perhaps because of neglecting personal health in favor of the care of a loved one. A plausible mechanism that could explain the co-existence of depressive symptoms and physical comorbidities is physiologic stress.⁵³ It is not clear if physiologic stress can be modulated in interventions to improve caregivers' physical and mental health, but our results suggest that more research is needed in this area. It is also important to point out that there is anecdotal evidence that caregivers derive satisfaction from the caregiving experience, but much less is known about the positive aspects compared to the negative aspects of dementia caregiving.⁵⁴ It is possible that some or most Hispanic caregivers derive satisfaction from fulfilling their perceived caregiver responsibilities and not see it as a burden. The satisfaction with caregiving needs to be studied in our cohort. It is possible that this aspect could be a resilience factor that decreased the sense of burden and depressive symptoms, the main outcomes explored in this study.

Our study has several limitations. First, this is a cross-sectional analysis, and the directionality of the associations is not clear. It is possible that caregivers with higher depressive symptoms and perceived caregiver burden perceive the dementia in their relatives as more severe, decreased their engagement with social networks, and perceived their health and reported it worse than it really is. Another important limitation is the lack of objective measures of physical health such as vital signs, anthropometric measures and biomarkers. Given our finding that comorbidities are an important correlate of depressive symptoms in particular, it is important to further characterize physical health with objective measures. Another limitation is that our study focused on the adverse consequences of caregiving. We did not collect qualitative data that might have revealed the gratifying aspects of caregiving in the unique context of caregiving among Hispanics of Caribbean origin. Lastly, our sample is a group of caregivers recruited from the community in New York City who volunteered to participate in a clinical trial, and our findings may not be representative of the Hispanic caregivers in the community at large. However, our study has important strengths. It is one of the few studies with detailed data collected in person (rather than through telephone surveys) that focused on Hispanic caregivers. The cohort in our study is being followed longitudinally and we will be able to report on longitudinal analyses in the future.

In conclusion, the great majority of Hispanic dementia caregivers in our sample from New York City are daughters with limited socioeconomic resources. The main correlates of caregiver burden and depressive symptoms in this sample were dementia severity, lower satisfaction with social networks, and increased comorbidities. While dementia severity depends on the natural history of the disease and is difficult to treat,⁵⁵ it is possible that culturally appropriate interventions that increase satisfaction with social networks and ameliorate comorbidities could improve caregiver health, and more research is needed in this area.

Acknowledgements

First, we are grateful to the participants in our study who agreed to participate despite their caregiving burden. Secondly, we would like to give special thanks that to the New York City Chapter of the Alzheimer's Association, which provided assistance with outreach. None of the authors have any conflicts related to this study. This report

was supported by grants P60 MD000206 and P60 MD000206-08S1 from the National Institute for Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD), and by grant R01 NR014430 from the National Institute of Nursing Research (NINR).

REFERENCES

1. Association AP.. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th. American Psychiatric Publishing; Arlington, VA.: 2013.
2. Association As. 2014 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures. *Alzheimer's & dementia*. 2014; 10(2)
3. Gitlin, LN.; Schulz, R. Family caregiving of older adults. In: Prohaska, RT.; Anderson, L.; Binstock, RH., editors. *Public health for an aging society*. The Johns Hopkins University Press; Baltimore, MD: 2012. p. 181-204.
4. NIH Consensus Development Conference Statement on Preventing Alzheimer's Disease and Cognitive Decline. NIH Consensus and State-of-the-Art Statements. 2010; 27(4)
5. Karran E, Hardy J. Antiamyloid therapy for Alzheimer's disease--are we on the right road? *N Engl J Med*. Jan 23; 2014 370(4):377-378. [PubMed: 24450897]
6. Humes, KR.; Jones, NA.; Ramirez, RR. Overview of Race and Hispanic Origin: 2010. U.S. Department of Commerce; Washington, DC: 2011.
7. Thies W, Bleiler L. 2011 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement*. Mar; 7(2):208-244. [PubMed: 21414557]
8. Noble JM, Manly JJ, Schupf N, Tang MX, Luchsinger JA. Type 2 diabetes and ethnic disparities in cognitive impairment. *Ethn Dis*. Winter. 2012; 22(1):38-44.
9. Lyketsos CG, Steele C, Baker L, et al. Major and minor depression in Alzheimer's disease: prevalence and impact. 1997:556-561.
10. Lyketsos CG, Sheppard JM, Steinberg M, et al. Neuropsychiatric disturbance in Alzheimer's disease clusters into three groups: the Cache County study. Nov.2001 :1043-1053. [see comment].
11. Lyketsos CG, Lopez O, Jones B, Fitzpatrick AL, Breitner J, DeKosky S. Prevalence of neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia and mild cognitive impairment: results from the cardiovascular health study. *JAMA*. Sep 25; 2002 288(12):1475-1483. [PubMed: 12243634]
12. Monin JK, Schulz R. Interpersonal effects of suffering in older adult caregiving relationships. *Psychol Aging*. Sep; 2009 24(3):681-695. [PubMed: 19739924]
13. Yaffe K, Fox P, Newcomer R, et al. Patient and caregiver characteristics and nursing home placement in patients with dementia. *JAMA*. Apr 24; 2002 287(16):2090-2097. [PubMed: 11966383]
14. Taylor DH Jr, Ezell M, Kuchibhatla M, Ostbye T, Clipp EC. Identifying trajectories of depressive symptoms for women caring for their husbands with dementia. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. Feb; 2008 56(2):322-327. [PubMed: 18179488]
15. Llanque SM, Enriquez M. Interventions for Hispanic caregivers of patients with dementia: a review of the literature. *American journal of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias*. Feb; 2012 27(1):23-32.
16. Olson, ECVWG.; Kerker, B.; Thorpe, L.; Frieden, TR. *Take care Inwood and Washington Heights*. New York New York City: 2007.
17. Luchsinger J, Mittelman M, Mejia M, et al. The Northern Manhattan Caregiver Intervention Project: a randomised trial testing the effectiveness of a dementia caregiver intervention in Hispanics in New York City. *BMJ open*. 2012; 2(5)
18. Mittelman MS, Roth DL, Clay OJ, Haley WE. Preserving health of Alzheimer caregivers: impact of a spouse caregiver intervention. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. Sep; 2007 15(9):780-789. [PubMed: 17804831]
19. Jorm AF. The Informant Questionnaire on cognitive decline in the elderly (IQCODE): a review. *Int Psychogeriatr*. Sep; 2004 16(3):275-293. [PubMed: 15559753]
20. Arnold AM, Newman AB, Dermond N, Haan M, Fitzpatrick A. Using telephone and informant assessments to estimate missing Modified Mini-Mental State Exam scores and rates of cognitive decline. The cardiovascular health study. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2009; 33(1):55-65. [PubMed: 19407461]

21. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis.* 1987; 40(5):373–383. [PubMed: 3558716]
22. Stokes JP, Wilson DG. The inventory of socially supportive behaviors: dimensionality, prediction, and gender differences. *American journal of community psychology.* Feb; 1984 12(1):53–69. [PubMed: 6711493]
23. Marin G, Gamba RJ. A new measurement of acculturation for Hispanics: The Bidimensional Acculturation Scale for Hispanics (BAS). *Hispanic Journal of Behavioral Sciences.* 1996; 18:297–316.
24. Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res.* 1982; 17(1):37–49. [PubMed: 7183759]
25. Zarit SH. Diagnosis and management of caregiver burden in dementia. *Handb Clin Neurol.* 2008; 89:101–106. [PubMed: 18631735]
26. Depp C, Sorocco K, Kasl-Godley J, Thompson L, Rabinowitz Y, Gallagher-Thompson D. Caregiver self-efficacy, ethnicity, and kinship differences in dementia caregivers. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* Sep; 2005 13(9):787–794. [PubMed: 16166408]
27. Belle SH, Burzio L, Burns R, et al. Enhancing the Quality of Life of Dementia Caregivers from Different Ethnic or Racial Groups: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Ann Intern Med.* Nov 21; 2006 145(10):727–738. 2006. [PubMed: 17116917]
28. Sutter M, Perrin PB, Chang YP, Hoyos GR, Buraye JA, Arango-Lasprilla JC. Linking family dynamics and the mental health of colombian dementia caregivers. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Dement.* Feb; 2014 29(1):67–75. [PubMed: 24164928]
29. 2013 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement.* Mar; 2013 9(2):208–245. [PubMed: 23507120]
30. Marin, G.; Marin, BV. *Research with Hispanic Populations.* SAGE publications; Newbury Park, CA: 1991.
31. Cox C, Monk A. Hispanic culture and family care of Alzheimer's patients. *Health & social work.* May; 1993 18(2):92–100. [PubMed: 8288146]
32. Mintzer JE, Rubert MP, Loewenstein D, et al. Daughters caregiving for Hispanic and non-Hispanic Alzheimer patients: does ethnicity make a difference? *Community mental health journal.* Aug; 1992 28(4):293–303. [PubMed: 1643838]
33. Gallagher-Thompson D, Haley W, Guy D, et al. Tailoring Psychological Interventions for Ethnically Diverse Dementia Caregivers. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice.* 2003; 10:423–438.
34. Neary SR, Mahoney DF. Dementia caregiving: the experiences of Hispanic/Latino caregivers. *J Transcult Nurs.* Apr; 2005 16(2):163–170. [PubMed: 15764640]
35. Karlawish J, Barg FK, Augsburg D, Beaver J, Ferguson A, Nunez J. What Latino Puerto Ricans and non-Latinos say when they talk about Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* Mar; 2011 7(2):161–170. [PubMed: 21075061]
36. Katiria, Perez G.; Cruess, D. The impact of familism on physical and mental health among Hispanics in the United States. *Health psychology review.* Mar; 2014 8(1):95–127. [PubMed: 25053010]
37. Napoles AM, Chadiha L, Eversley R, Moreno-John G. Reviews: developing culturally sensitive dementia caregiver interventions: are we there yet? *American journal of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias.* Aug; 25(5):389–406.
38. Flores YG, Hinton L, Barker JC, Franz CE, Velasquez A. Beyond familism: a case study of the ethics of care of a Latina caregiver of an elderly parent with dementia. *Health care for women international.* Dec; 2009 30(12):1055–1072. [PubMed: 19894151]
39. Rosenthal-Gelman C. Learning from recruitment challenges: Barriers to diagnosis, treatment, and research participation for Latinos with symptoms of Alzheimer's disease. *J Gerontol Soc Work.* 2010; 53:94–113. [PubMed: 20029704]
40. Baker DW, Hasnain-Wynia R, Kandula NR, Thompson JA, Brown ER. Attitudes toward health care providers, collecting information about patients' race, ethnicity, and language. *Med Care.* Nov; 2007 45(11):1034–1042. [PubMed: 18049343]

41. Pinquart M, Sörensen S. Ethnic Differences in Stressors, Resources, and Psychological Outcomes of Family Caregiving: A Meta-Analysis. *The Gerontologist*. 2005; 45(1):90–106. [PubMed: 15695420]
42. Cox C, Monk A. Strain among caregivers: comparing the experiences of African American and Hispanic caregivers of Alzheimer's relatives. *International journal of aging & human development*. 1996; 43(2):93–105. [PubMed: 8945642]
43. Valle R, Yamada AM, Barrio C. Ethnic differences in social network help-seeking strategies among Latino and Euro-American dementia caregivers. *Aging & Mental Health*. 2004; 8(6):535–543. [PubMed: 15724836]
44. Ranney MJ, Aranda MP. Factors Associated with Depressive Symptoms Among Latino Family Dementia Caregivers. *Journal of Ethnic And Cultural Diversity in Social Work*. 2001; 10(1):1–21.
45. Coon DW, Rubert M, Solano N, et al. Well-being, appraisal, and coping in Latina and Caucasian female dementia caregivers: findings from the REACH study. *Aging & Mental Health*. 2004; 8(4):330–345. 2004/07/01. [PubMed: 15370049]
46. Marin G, Perez-Stable EJ, Marin BV. Cigarette smoking among San Francisco Hispanics: the role of acculturation and gender. *Am J Public Health*. Feb; 1989 79(2):196–198. [PubMed: 2913840]
47. Sabogal F, Marin G, Otero-Sabogal R, Marin BV, Perez-Stable EJ. Hispanic Familism and Acculturation: What Changes and What Doesn't? *Hisp J Behav Sci*. 1987; 9:387–412.
48. Kaufman AV, Kosberg JI, Leeper JD, Tang M. Social support, caregiver burden, and life satisfaction in a sample of rural African American and White caregivers of older persons with dementia. *J Gerontol Soc Work*. Apr; 2010 53(3):251–269. [PubMed: 20336572]
49. Mittelman MS, Roth DL, Haley WE, Zarit SH. Effects of a caregiver intervention on negative caregiver appraisals of behavior problems in patients with Alzheimer's disease: results of a randomized trial. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. Jan; 2004 59(1):P27–34. [PubMed: 14722336]
50. Mittelman MS, Roth DL, Coon DW, Haley WE. Sustained benefit of supportive intervention for depressive symptoms in caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Psychiatry*. May; 2004 161(5):850–856. [PubMed: 15121650]
51. Mittelman MS, Haley WE, Clay OJ, Roth DL. Improving caregiver well-being delays nursing home placement of patients with Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*. Nov 14; 2006 67(9):1592–1599. [PubMed: 17101889]
52. Roth DL, Mittelman MS, Clay OJ, Madan A, Haley WE. Changes in social support as mediators of the impact of a psychosocial intervention for spouse caregivers of persons with Alzheimer's disease. *Psychol Aging*. Dec; 2005 20(4):634–644. [PubMed: 16420138]
53. Juster RP, Marin MF, Sindi S, et al. Allostatic load associations to acute, 3-year and 6-year prospective depressive symptoms in healthy older adults. *Physiology & behavior*. Aug 3; 2011 104(2):360–364. [PubMed: 21352837]
54. Andren S, Elmstahl S. Family caregivers' subjective experiences of satisfaction in dementia care: aspects of burden, subjective health and sense of coherence. *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences*. Jun; 2005 19(2):157–168. [PubMed: 15877641]
55. Sink KM, Holden KF, Yaffe K. Pharmacological treatment of neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia: a review of the evidence. *JAMA*. Feb 2; 2005 293(5):596–608. [PubMed: 15687315]

Table 1

Characteristics of the 139 Hispanic participants in the Northern Manhattan Caregiver Intervention Project (NOCIP). Categorical variables are presented as number with the characteristic (percentage of the total sample). Continuous variables are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation.

Variable		Value
Age in years		59.29 $\pm$ 10.38
Family position		
	Spouse	54 (38.8%)
	Child	79 (56.8%)
	Other	6 (4.3)
Hispanic Subgroups (US Census)		
	Mexican	0 (0)
	Puerto Rican	18 (12.9%)
	Cuban	1 (0.7%)
	Other Hispanic or Latino	120 (86.3%)
Total annual Household income		
	Less than \$20,000	50 (35.9%)
	\$20,000-\$39,999	31 (22.3%)
	\$40,000-\$59,999	8 (5.8%)
	Greater than \$60,000	15 (10.8%)
	Don't know or refusal	10 (8.8%)
Satisfaction with social support network score (possible scores: 1-6)		5.02 $\pm$ 1.22
Number of people in social support Network		5.06 $\pm$ 3.66
Dementia severity by IQCODE *(possible scores: 16-80)		75.79 $\pm$ 6.80
Caregiver Charlson Comorbidity Index (possible scores: 0-25)		1.62 $\pm$ 1.85
Geriatric Depression Scale (possible scores: 0-30)		11.27 $\pm$ 7.45
Depression by Geriatric Depression Scale		
	Normal (0-9)	67 (48.6%)
	Mild Depression (10-19)	48 (34.8%)
	Severely Depressed (20-30)	23 (16.7%)
Self reported Caregiver Depression		23 (16.8%)
Taking psychiatric medications		14 (10.2%)
Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale (possible scores: 0-88)		43.23 $\pm$ 18.63
Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale Category		
	Little Or No Burden (0-20)	15 (10.9%)
	Mild To Moderate Burden (21-40)	47 (34.1%)
	Moderate To Severe Burden (41-60)	49 (35.5%)
	Severe Burden (61-88)	27 (19.6%)
Interview in Spanish		107 (77.0%)

* IQCODE = Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly

Table 2

Linear regression results predicting Zarit Caregiver Burden Scale (ZCBS) and Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Results are presented as the regression coefficients (β) $\pm$ standard error (se) and p values (p).

Variables	ZCBS		GDS	
	$\beta \pm se$	p	$\beta \pm se$	p
Age	-0.21 ± 0.15	0.15	-0.09 ± 0.06	0.10
Satisfaction with Social Support Network (possible scores: 1-6)	-4.50 ± 1.25	<0.001	-2.16 ± 0.48	<0.001
Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (possible scores: 16-80)	0.45 ± 0.22	0.04	0.11 ± 0.08	0.19
Charlson Comorbidity Index (possible scores: 0-25)	1.43 ± 0.81	0.08	1.01 ± 0.31	0.002