Published in final edited form as:

Int Urogynecol J. 2015 August ; 26(8): 1123–1130. doi:10.1007/s00192-015-2638-4.

Intra-abdominal Pressure during Pilates: Unlikely to Cause Pelvic Floor Harm

Tanner J. Coleman, PhD,

Department of Bioengineering, University of Utah, 36 S. Wasatch Dr., Rm. 4202, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

Ingrid E. Nygaard, MD, MS,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, University of Utah, 30 N. 1900 E., Rm. 2B200, Salt Lake City, UT 84132, USA, Phone: 801-585-7746 Fax: 801-585-5146

Dannielle N. Holder, PMA-CPT,

Pinnacle Performance, 1515 S. 1100 E. Salt Lake City, UT 84105, USA

Marlene J. Egger, PhD, and

Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, University of Utah, 375 Chipeta Way, Ste A, Salt Lake City, UT 84108, USA

Robert Hitchcock, PhD

Department of Bioengineering, University of Utah, 36 S. Wasatch Dr., Rm. 4539, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

Ingrid E. Nygaard: ingrid.nygaard@hsc.utah.edu

Abstract

Aims—To describe intra-abdominal pressures (IAP) generated during Pilates Mat and Reformer activities, and determine whether these activities generate IAP above a sit-to-stand threshold.

Methods—Twenty healthy women with no symptomatic vaginal bulge, median age 43 (range 22 – 59 years), completed Pilates Mat and Reformer exercise routines each consisting of 11 exercises. IAP was collected by an intra-vaginal pressure transducer, transmitted wirelessly to a base station, and analyzed for maximal and area under the curve (AUC) IAP.

Correspondence to: Ingrid E. Nygaard, ingrid.nygaard@hsc.utah.edu.

Tanner J. Coleman, PhD

Contribution: Project development, Exercise protocol development, Data collection, Data analysis, Data interpretation, manuscript writing

Ingrid E. Nygaard, MD, MS – Corresponding Author

Contribution: Project development, Data interpretation, manuscript writing/editing

Dannielle N. Holder, PMA-CPT

Contribution: Project development, Exercise protocol development, Data collection, manuscript editing

Marlene J. Egger, PhD

Contribution: Data analysis, manuscript editing

Robert Hitchcock, PhD

Contribution: Project development, manuscript editing

FINANCIAL DISCLAIMER/CONFLICT OF INTEREST: NONE

Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Results—There were no statistically significant differences in mean max IAP between sit-to-stand and any of the Mat or Reformer exercises in the study population. Six to twenty-five percent of participants exceeded their individual mean max IAP sit-to-stand thresholds for 10 of the 22 exercises. When measuring AUC from 0 cm H₂O, half the exercises exceeded the mean AUC of sit-to-stand but only Pilates Reformer and Mat roll-ups exceeded the mean AUC of sit-to-stand when calculated from a threshold of 40 cm H₂O (consistent with, for example, walking).

Conclusion—Our results support recommending this series of introductory Pilates exercises including five Mat exercises and six Reformer exercises to women desiring a low IAP exercise routine. More research is needed to determine the long term effects of Pilates exercise on post-surgical exercise rehabilitation and pelvic floor health.

Keywords

Activity restrictions; Intra-abdominal pressure; Pelvic floor disorder; Pilates; Post-surgical exercise

Introduction

Nearly one on four women in the United States has a symptomatic pelvic floor disorder (PFD) [1]. About one in ten U.S. women undergoes surgery for a pelvic floor disorder in her lifetime and up to 30% return for surgical reoperation [2–4].

Due to the assumed relationship between physical activity, intra-abdominal pressure (IAP) and pelvic floor loading clinicians often recommend significant short and long-term activity restrictions for women with existing PFDs or after surgical repair [5–7]. The restrictions are prescribed in an effort to minimize IAP which is thought to increase the breakdown of surgical repair or further exacerbate the PFD [6]. Most of these post-surgical activity restrictions are based upon individual viewpoints and vary widely in strenuousness and duration [8]. To study IAP during physical activity, we developed a wireless remote intravaginal pressure system [9, 10].

While the relationship between IAP and progression or recurrence of PFDs is not clear, the fact remains that clinicians often restrict activity in hopes of minimizing the rise in IAP with strenuous activity, sometimes to the detriment of patients' health and wellbeing. We postulated that we could formulate a low IAP exercise routine in which IAP does not rise more than a given threshold thereby providing concrete advice to patients and clinicians. We chose to study Pilates exercise, based upon the Pilates Method Alliance teachings, because Pilates is easily accessible, has documented health benefits, and is used in rehabilitative settings [11].

Pilates exercise is largely performed on either a padded mat (Pilates Mat) or exercise apparatus (Pilates Reformer) and has evolved from the original teachings of Joseph Pilates in the early 1900's [11, 12]. The Pilates Reformer is highly adaptable to individual users and has gained use as a rehabilitative tool [13–15]. Pilates Mat exercises can be more challenging, but can be performed at home [14]. Regular participation in Pilates yields improved dynamic standing balance [16], increased abdominal and upper body muscular

endurance [17], improved postural alignment [17], and improved strength of the pelvic floor after active cuing [18]. People who maintain or improve their flexibility are better able to perform daily activities, less likely to develop back pain, and avoid disability especially as they age [19]. Therefore, it is in the best interest of women in the age group likely to have PFDs to be active but at the same time, not subject a post-surgical or at risk pelvic floor to substantial increases in IAP.

To compare IAP during Pilates exercises, and consistent with our previous work, we chose a commonly performed activity not typically restricted after surgery: standing from a seated position [5]. Our group also recently recorded IAP in 57 women during a standard exercise session which included sit-to-stand activity and found that this produced a moderate increase in IAP with significant variability [20].

Thus, the aims of this study were to describe IAP generated during specific Pilates Mat and Reformer activities, determine whether the mean group IAP (measured as both mean max IAP and area under the curve IAP) for any activity exceeds the mean group IAP during sit-to-stand, and to further determine the proportion of women whose individual IAP during any activity exceeds her individual IAP during sit-to-stand. The secondary aim is to compare IAPs during selected Mat and Reformer activities.

Materials and Methods

Prior to the study each participant signed informed consent approved by the University of Utah Institutional Review Board. Participants were healthy women between the ages 18 to 60 years, with body mass index between 19 – 30 kg/m², and with prior Pilates experience. To Increase participants' safety, they were excluded if they responded positively to any question on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire, which screens for heart, blood pressure, or bone or joint problems that could be exacerbated by exercise [21], were currently pregnant, within six months post-partum, had an injury that would interfere with completion of the exercise protocol, had pelvic surgery other than a hysterectomy, or responded 'yes' to the question, "Do you have bulging beyond the vagina?"

Each participant was given written instructions describing the proper method of self-inserting the intravaginal pressure sensor after voiding. IAP was monitored by the wireless remote abdominal pressure system which consists of the sensor and a portable base station. The pressure sensor contains a piezoresistive pressure sensor, microcontroller, and wireless transceiver that is sealed in an elastomeric capsule measuring 23.9 mm in diameter and 37.3 mm in length filled with silicone gel [9]. Data, captured at 31Hz, from the pressure sensor was sent wirelessly to a portable base station located on the participant's hip that stored data on a microSD card. Each sensor was pre-heated to 37°C and zeroed to atmospheric pressure, making all pressure readings described in this publication in relationship to atmospheric pressure, prior to use. During the study the Pilates instructor directed the participants to press an interface button located on the base station at the beginning and end of each activity (22 activities in total) to start and stop data acquisition. The study coordinator confirmed the presence of a green blinking light on the participant's base station during each activity to indicate that wireless communication was proceeding.

We chose 22 Pilates exercises to closely resemble an introductory Mat and Reformer class [22]. During exercise, the instructor did not provide any verbal cues to contract the pelvic floor. Participants first performed five baseline activities: supine, side-lying, prone, quadruped, and standing, as well as the threshold activity: multiple sit-to-stands with hands crossed on chest to a metronome of 40 bpm for 30 seconds. Next, all participants in a class, ranging from 1 – 4 participants, completed either the 11 Pilates Mat followed by 11 Pilates Reformer exercises (Table 2) or vice versa depending on alternating study days. Each of the 22 Pilates exercises lasted approximately one minute and consisted of 4 – 8 repetitions (depending on the exercise) with the entire protocol lasting approximately one hour. For example, segmental bridging was repeated 4 times while femur arcs were repeated 6 times for every participant throughout the study. A sit-to-stand threshold can technically be only one repetition, but to best capture that data, we had participants repeat this activity a minimum of 10 seconds (approximately 4 repetitions). To standardize the time of each sit to stand transitions were set to a 40 bpm metronome. The flow of the exercise routine resembled a class environment and therefore rest periods were variable between exercises. If the pressure sensor was displaced during exercise, the participant readjusted the sensor in the restroom and then repeated the activity.

After concluding the exercise protocol, participants provided history information including age, weight, height, parity, number of Cesarean sections, number of vaginal deliveries, hysterectomy, length of Pilates experience, frequency in last 6 months using Pilates exercise, and whether the sensor fell out during the study. IAP data was then assessed for completeness.

Baseline and sit-to-stand activities were included in analysis if data length was greater than 10 seconds. A Pilates activity was included in analysis if data was present for at least 75% of the total activity time as monitored by stopwatch. If these thresholds were not achieved, due to deficient wireless communication or participant error in initiating the start of data acquisition, then the activity was considered incomplete and excluded from analysis.

A custom Matlab software (R2011A, MathWorks) program was used to evaluate the pressure data as previously described [23]. Maximal IAP was calculated by averaging the 10 maximal peaks in the waveform each separated by one second. Mean max IAP statistics were calculated based upon the average of the subject population. In addition, each woman's individual mean max IAP for each exercise was compared against her mean max IAP during her sit-to-stand. Area under the curve (AUC) was calculated by use of trapezoidal approximation. We standardized the repetitions of each exercise, not the duration of each exercise, as each woman completed it at her own rate. In previous work, we standardized the time component of AUC (cm H₂O·s) in order to compare cumulative pressure between activities [23]. In the current study, we did not normalize AUC to time, as our goal was to measure the actual AUC of each prescribed activity. To highlight the degree to which the exercises elevated AUC over that achieved during walking slowly [24], we calculated the AUC over 40 cm H₂O, thereby minimizing the activity duration differences.

Using the average and standard deviation of sit-to-stand mean max values from a previous study [20], we determined that a discernible difference of 10 cm H₂O could be detected in 20 people with 2-sided tests, assuming $\alpha = 0.05$ and power of 80%.

To assess normality of data a Lilliefors test was used [25]. A Wilcoxon signed-rank test ($\alpha = 0.05$) was used to determine whether exercises were significantly different from sit-to-stand activity. Likewise a Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to make five comparisons between Reformer and Mat activities which included side-kick vs side-kick, long stretch vs plank, feet-in-straps vs leg circles, supine abdominals vs the hundred, and roll-up vs roll-up respectively. A Spearman rank correlation was used to explore the correlation between BMI, age, and Pilates experience with mean maximal peak IAP during the Pilates Mat and Reformer exercises. All statistics were two-sided at the conventional 5% significance level and calculated using a custom Matlab program with loaded statistics package.

Results

Twenty women were enrolled in the study. Their mean age was 43.1 years (range: 22 – 59), mean body mass index 22.6 kg/m² (SD 2.3) and 30% were nulliparous. All 20 women completed the exercise routine. Two women were excluded from data analysis due to device retention failure as indicated on participant surveys as well as abnormally low pressure data.

Based upon a Lilliefors test for normality, results were generally consistent with normality, with the exception of three activities when analyzing mean max IAP, and one activity when analyzing AUC. For simplicity, we used Wilcoxon tests for all. The mean and range IAP during baseline activities are shown in Table 1. Sit-to-stand was performed on average 20 times during a mean duration of 28 seconds while the mean duration of each Pilates exercise ranged from 48 – 94 seconds.

Descriptive measures and comparisons of mean maximal IAP and AUC for the sit-to-stand activity versus the Pilates Mat and Reformer exercises are shown in Table 2 and Figures 1 and 2.

There were no statistically significant differences in mean max IAP of the group between sit-to-stand and any of the Mat or Reformer exercises.

We then analyzed the proportion of women exceeding their individual mean max IAP for sit-to-stand for each exercise (Figure 1). In this figure, the proportion of women exceeding their personal threshold is shown below the bars. Six to twenty-five percent of women exceeded their individual sit-to-stand thresholds for 10 of the 22 exercises (Figure 1). Twenty-five percent of women exceeded their individual mean max IAP for the Reformer roll-up while thirteen percent did so during the Mat roll-up.

In Figure 2, we present two ways of analyzing AUC comparisons. The first presents AUC measured from atmospheric baseline (0 cm H₂O) (Figure 2: Average AUC); the second demonstrates the AUC that falls above a 40 cm H₂O threshold (Figure 2: Threshold AUC). Comparison of AUCs measured from baseline revealed that compared to the mean AUC for sit-to-stand, the mean AUC was higher for ‘the hundred’ ($p = 0.011$), ‘roll-up’ ($p < 0.001$),

'leg circles' ($p = 0.010$), 'swan' ($p < 0.001$), 'plank' ($p < 0.001$), and 'standing leg raise' ($p < 0.001$) during Mat exercises, and higher for 'roll-up' ($p < 0.001$), 'kneeling arm work' ($p < 0.001$), 'swan' ($p = 0.003$), 'long stretch' ($p = 0.011$) and 'side split' ($p = 0.003$) during Reformer exercises. Comparison of AUCs measured above the 40 cm H₂O threshold, only Pilates Reformer and Mat roll-up were found to have significantly higher IAP ($p < 0.05$) than sit-to-stand activity (Figure 2).

We chose a priori five comparisons between Pilates Reformer and Mat exercises, to include those activities that were similar in movement (side-kick vs side-kick, long stretch vs plank, feet-in-straps vs leg circles, supine abdominals vs the hundred, and roll-up vs roll-up). We found no statistically significant differences when analyzing mean maximal IAP. The AUC for the Reformer roll-up was significantly greater ($p < .001$). Typical pressure curve comparisons for Reformer and Mat for rollup are shown in figure 3.

Spearman correlations between Pilates experience, measured in months, and age vs IAP mean max were generally not significant except for experience vs mean max Reformer roll-up ($\rho = -0.54$) and age vs mean max Mat roll-up ($\rho = 0.69$). Correlations between BMI and mean max IAP was significant in 8 of the 22 Pilates exercises with ρ values ranging between 0.49 – 0.68.

Discussion

In addition to the health benefits of Pilates, some exercises have been shown to increase pelvic floor muscle strength, though whether Pilates can reduce stress urinary incontinence has not been shown [18, 26]. Prior to our study, it was unclear how Pilates exercises influenced IAP. We found that mean max IAP for the population was not significantly higher during any of the exercises studied compared to sit-to-stand. Additionally, with few exceptions, women did not exceed their own sit-to-stand IAP threshold during either Mat or Reformer. Mean AUC for the population was higher for 11 activities compared to mean AUC for sit-to-stand exercise. However, only Pilates Reformer and Mat roll-up were found to have significantly higher AUC than sit-to-stand activity when calculated above a threshold of 40 cm H₂O (typical to that seen with walking).

To place the AUC during the Pilates exercise routine into perspective, we calculated an estimate of AUC during a typical post-operative day, using data from a study of 46 women in which women walked 400 meters at approximately 2 miles per hour [24]. We conservatively estimated (best guess) that patients recovering from surgery transition from sitting to standing (including before and after voiding) 20 times per day and walk for 5 minutes three times per day. The estimated AUC for this hypothetical post-op day (not including sitting, standing, and other activities that generate IAP) is 31,694 cm H₂O-sec. As can be seen in Figure 4, this AUC is greater than that for the Mat and Reformer Pilates sessions combined. Area under the curve measurements describing IAP are used very little in the literature [23, 27]. However, AUC is commonly used in endocrinological and neuroscience research to reflect information that is contained in repeated measures over time, and in pharmacology to determine the effects of medication over a time period or to

evaluate dose and response relationships [28]. AUC reflects cumulative IAP over time, which may impact the pelvic floor differently than short bursts of peak pressure.

AUC not standardized for time represents a different construct than AUC standardized for time. If 2 women have identical maximal IAP during lifting, but one woman takes 20 seconds to lift the load while the other takes 2 seconds, the AUC for the first woman will be substantially higher. Thus, AUC represents the real-world situation of how women do a task. In contrast, AUC standardized for time simply yields an average pressure over the activity and no longer reflects the sustained high IAP reflected by the AUC in the previous example. However, the variability in time increases the variability in AUC. Further research will be needed to determine if this measure adds usefulness.

Rather than comparing exercises with varying durations we chose to instead highlight the additional AUC generated over a 40 cm H₂O threshold (the approximate maximal IAP generated during slow walking). By calculating AUC over this threshold the time variability between exercises is reduced. We believe that this method will prove useful in the future to set boundaries for activities over longer durations. Comparable exercises performed on the Mat or using the Reformer produced similar IAP values, with the exception of the roll-up performed on the Reformer, which produced a higher AUC. It is likely that the participants were unable to fully relax during the Reformer roll-up movement as spring tension continues to pull them upwards at the bottom of the exercise. This spring generated counterforce was not present in the Mat activity (Figure 3).

The 22 Pilates exercises chosen to resemble an introductory class did not generate a higher mean max IAP compared to the sit-to-stand activity. However, many Pilates methodologies exist and our results cannot be extrapolated to other exercises. In previous work, we demonstrated that most activities have substantial variability, including sit-to-stand; we chose this activity for comparison for its clinical relevance. In addition to comparing mean results for the population, we also analyzed the difference between IAP during exercise and IAP during sit-to-stand for each individual [20].

Limitations of this study include the fact that consistent with a real world setting, rest periods between activities were not controlled, which might have contributed to an additive effect on IAP during later activities. For logistical reasons, we did not randomize the order of Mat vs Reformer Pilates but rather alternated this by study day such that 50 % of participants started with Pilates Mat. The exercise activities were not randomized but rather reflected the standard Pilates routine where the early activities gradually warm the participant up prior to engaging in the more strenuous activities. Breath control, which has been shown to contribute to the magnitude of peak IAP, was cued during the exercise by the movement practitioner [29]. However, the synchronization of movement and breath was not verified and is a likely contributor to IAP variability. This study indirectly measured IAP in the upper vagina which has been shown to correlate with urodynamic testing values [10, 30–32]. An indirect measurement of IAP rather than a directly measuring IAP in the abdominal cavity adds uncertainty including forces from the viscera, smooth muscle contractions, and others. However, placing the measurement device in the upper vagina subjects the sensor to similar forces that are present on the pelvic floor. In addition, because the transducer is

located in the upper vagina, rather than the mid-vagina, in general PFM contractions do not contribute to the pressure. In a previous laboratory-based study, IAP measured with this transducer during volitional PFM contraction remained low in most women [20]. However, it is possible that in some women, the transducer slipped into the lower vagina and thus did record reflex PFM contraction as well as IAP. Because all women in this study were healthy and had previous Pilates experience, we do not know whether inexperienced women demonstrate differences in IAP when first learning Pilates or how results may differ with women with pelvic floor disorders. We measured IAP, while in another study, perineal ultrasound was used to describe the position of the bladder neck during abdominal and pelvic floor manoeuvres suggesting an alternative method for accessing the impact on the pelvic floor [33].

We are aware of no studies in women that directly assess healing of the pelvic floor, or the effect of increased IAP on the rate of surgical wound healing and strength. Therefore, it is not possible to design a postoperative activity program known to protect the healing of the surgically repaired pelvic floor or to promote eventual wound strength [7]. Given that non-restrictive recommendations do not seem to influence short-term subjective recurrence of prolapse [34] and that most basic Pilates exercises do not appreciably raise IAP, we suggest that Mat exercises including segmental bridging, femur arcs, chest lift, side-kick, quadruped and Reformer exercises segmental bridging, footwork, supine arm work, supine abdominals, side kick, and feet-in-straps, can be done in the post-operative period by women experienced in the techniques. More research is needed to determine the long term effects of Pilates exercise on post-surgical exercise rehabilitation and pelvic floor health.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Pinnacle Performance for their use of the facility and equipment. We would also like to thank Sarah Holdsworth and Shauna North for their assistance in conducting the exercise protocol and Johanna de Gennaro for her help building the sensors that were used in this study.

Funding

The project described was supported by Grant Number R01HD061787-01 from the Eunice Kennedy Schriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

References

1. Nygaard I, Barber MD, Burgio KL, et al. Prevalence of symptomatic pelvic floor disorders in US women. *JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association*. 2008; 300:1311–1316. [PubMed: 18799443]
2. Boyles S. Procedures for pelvic organ prolapse in the United States, 1979–1997. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2003; 188:108–115.10.1067/mob.2003.101 [PubMed: 12548203]
3. Boyles SH, Weber AM, Meyn L. Procedures for urinary incontinence in the United States, 1979–1997. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2003; 189:70–75.10.1067/mob.2003.376 [PubMed: 12861141]
4. Olsen AL, Smith VJ, Bergstrom JU, et al. Incidence and clinical characteristics of surgically managed pelvic organ prolapse and urinary incontinence. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1997; 89:501–506. [PubMed: 9083302]
5. Weir LF, Nygaard IE, Wilken J, et al. Postoperative activity restrictions: Any evidence? *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2006; 107:305. [PubMed: 16449116]

6. Guttormson R, Tschirhart J, Boysen D, Martinson K. Are postoperative activity restrictions evidence-based? *The American Journal of Surgery*. 2008; 195:401–404. [PubMed: 18207126]
7. FitzGerald MP, Shisler S, Shott S, Brubaker L. Physical limitations after gynecologic surgery. *Female Pelvic Medicine & Reconstructive Surgery*. 2001; 7:136–139.
8. Ottesen M, Møller C, Kehlet H, Ottesen B. Substantial variability in postoperative treatment, and convalescence recommendations following vaginal repair. *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica*. 2008; 80:1062–1068. [PubMed: 11703209]
9. Coleman TJ, Thomsen JC, Maass SD, et al. Development of a wireless intra-vaginal transducer for monitoring intra-abdominal pressure in women. *Biomedical Microdevices*. 2011:1–9. [PubMed: 20814745]
10. Hsu Y, Coleman TJ, Hitchcock RW, et al. Clinical evaluation of a wireless intra-vaginal pressure transducer. *International Urogynecology Journal*. 2012; 23:1741–1747. [PubMed: 22618208]
11. Pilates Method Alliance. [Accessed 9 Oct 2014] 2014. Available at: <http://www.pilatesmethodalliance.org>
12. Latey P. The Pilates method: history and philosophy. *Journal of bodywork and movement therapies*. 2001; 5:275–282.
13. Levine B, Kaplanek B, Jaffe WL. Pilates Training for Use in Rehabilitation after Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty: A Preliminary Report. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*®. 2009; 467:1468–1475. 10.1007/s11999-009-0779-9 [PubMed: 19283437]
14. Cozen DM. Use of Pilates in foot and ankle rehabilitation. *Sports Medicine and Arthroscopy Review*. 2000; 8:395–403.
15. Bryan M, Hawson S. The benefits of Pilates exercise in orthopaedic rehabilitation. *Techniques in Orthopaedics*. 2003; 18:126–129.
16. Johnson EG, Larsen A, Ozawa H, et al. The effects of Pilates-based exercise on dynamic balance in healthy adults. *Journal of bodywork and movement therapies*. 2007; 11:238–242.
17. Kloubec JA. Pilates for improvement of muscle endurance, flexibility, balance, and posture. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*. 2010; 24:661–667. [PubMed: 20145572]
18. Culligan PJ, Scherer J, Dyer K, et al. A randomized clinical trial comparing pelvic floor muscle training to a Pilates exercise program for improving pelvic muscle strength. *International urogynecology journal*. 2010; 21:401–408. [PubMed: 20094704]
19. Pate RR, Pratt M, Blair SN, et al. Physical activity and public health. *JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association*. 1995; 273:402–407. [PubMed: 7823386]
20. Shaw JM, Hamad NM, Coleman TJ, et al. Intra-abdominal pressures during activity in women using an intra-vaginal pressure transducer. *J Sports Sci*. 2014; 32:1176–1185. [PubMed: 24575741]
21. Thomas S, Reading J, Shephard RJ. Revision of the physical activity readiness questionnaire (PAR-Q). *Canadian journal of sport sciences*. 1992
22. Isacowitz, R. Pilates: Your complete guide to mat work and apparatus exercises. United States of America: Human Kinetics; 2006.
23. Hamad N, Shaw J, Nygaard I, et al. More complicated than it looks: The vagaries of calculating intra-abdominal pressure. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2013; 27:3204–3215. [PubMed: 23439349]
24. Coleman TJ, Hamad NM, Shaw JM, et al. The effects of walking speeds and carrying techniques on intra-abdominal pressure in women. *International Urogynecology Journal*. Under Review.
25. Lilliefors HW. On the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality with mean and variance unknown. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 1967; 62:399–402.
26. Bø K, Bratland-Sanda S, Sundgot-Borgen J. Urinary incontinence among group fitness instructors including yoga and pilates teachers. *Neurourology and urodynamics*. 2011; 30:370–373. [PubMed: 21305592]
27. Addington WR, Stephens RE, Phelipa MM, et al. Intra-abdominal pressures during voluntary and reflex cough. *Cough*. 2008; 4:2.10.1186/1745-9974-4-2 [PubMed: 18447952]
28. Pruessner JC, Kirschbaum C, Meinlschmid G, Hellhammer DH. Two formulas for computation of the area under the curve represent measures of total hormone concentration versus time-dependent

- change. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2003; 28:916–931.10.1016/S0306-4530(02)00108-7 [PubMed: 12892658]
29. Hagins M, Pietrek M, Sheikhzadeh A, et al. The effects of breath control on intra-abdominal pressure during lifting tasks. *Spine*. 2004; 29:464. [PubMed: 15094544]
30. Dolan LM, Dixon WE, Brown K, et al. Randomized comparison of vaginal and rectal measurement of intra-abdominal pressure during subtracted dual-channel cystometry. *Urology*. 2005; 65:1059–1063. [PubMed: 15913717]
31. Rosenbluth EM, Johnson PJ, Hitchcock RW, Nygaard IE. Development and testing of a vaginal pressure sensor to measure intra-abdominal pressure in women. *Neurourol Urodyn*. 2010; 29:532–535.10.1002/nau.20794 [PubMed: 19693948]
32. Lewis Wall L, Hewitt JK, Helms MJ. Are vaginal and rectal pressures equivalent approximations of one another for the purpose of performing subtracted cystometry? *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 1995; 85:488–493. [PubMed: 7898821]
33. Junginger, B.; Baessler, K.; Sapsford, R., et al. Interaction between bladder neck elevation, intra-abdominal pressure and activity of the pelvic floor and abdominal muscles during abdominal and pelvic floor manoeuvres.
34. Ottesen M, Sørensen M, Kehlet H, Ottesen B. Short convalescence after vaginal prolapse surgery. *Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica*. 2003; 82:359–366. [PubMed: 12716321]

Figure 1.

Bar graph of mean max IAP $\pm$ 95% CI during 5 baseline activities, threshold, 11 Pilates Mat activities, and 11 Pilates Reformer activities. The percentage of participants exceeding their individual mean max IAP for the sit-to-stand threshold on a particular exercise is shown below the graph

Figure 2.

Bar graph of average area under the curve (AUC) $\pm$ 95% CI during 5 baseline activities, threshold, 11 Pilates Mat activities, and 11 Pilates Reformer activities. Also shown is the average AUC above a threshold of 40 cm H₂O for each baseline, threshold, and exercise activities

Figure 3.
Typical intra-abdominal pressure measurement while participant performs A) Pilates Reformer roll-up and B) Pilates Mat roll-up

Figure 4.

Total area under the curve $\pm$ 95% CI profiles for Pilates Mat (30 min), Pilates Reformer (30 min), Pilates Mat and Reformer (60 min), and lower range activity profile of post-surgical patient consisting of 20 sit to stand (including voiding periods) and walking 15 minutes at a slow pace

Table I

Baseline activities mean IAP

ACTIVITY	N	Mean IAP (SD)	Range
		cmH ₂ O	
Supine	18	6.6 (3.2)	−3.3–10.7
Side-Lying	18	1.2 (2.5)	−3.6–4.6
Prone	18	9.2 (3.5)	4.4–15.2
Quadruped	17	6 (6.8)	−5.5–18.7
Standing	18	28.9 (4.8)	21.8–37.2

Table II
Descriptive measures for sit-to-stand, Pilates Mat, and Pilates Reformer exercises

ACTIVITY	Rael Isacowitz page number	N	Mean Maximal IAP (SD)	Range Maximal IAP	Mean AUC (SD)	Range AUC
Threshold Activity						
			cm H ₂ O		cm H ₂ O-second	
Sit-to-Stand	-	18	56.2 (25.7)	35.9–144.3	835.5 (271.4)	331.2–1459.8
Pilates Mat Exercises						
Segmental Bridging	45	16	12.0 (7.2)	3.9–30.4	673.4 (534.4)	112.9–2105.4
Femur Arcs	46	17	25.4 (12.3)	8.8–51.5	1013.5 (465.6)	389.7–1997.4
Chest-Lift	48	16	23.0 (11.8)	5.8–52.1	944.0 (459.4)	222.3–2143.5
The Hundred	50	17	32.6 (14.9)	10.0–69.2	1227.9* (609.2)	254.6–2501.8
Roll-Up	52–53	15	51.1 (13.2)	33.2–75.7	2240.3* (743.3)	1016.4–3441.8
Side-Kick	75	13	21.5 (11.4)	4.3–40.3	606.8 (431.4)	104.5–1632.1
Leg Circles	51	18	25.5 (10.6)	11.5–48.3	1212.0* (496.2)	327.3–2058.0
Swan	76	17	36.9 (13.3)	11.9–68.1	1483.9* (627.5)	459.3–2993.9
Quadruped	83	16	27.5 (12.6)	8.4–53.6	1011.2 (470.2)	258.9–1932.2
Plank	83	16	38.4 (11.7)	22.8–59.6	1223.5* (326.1)	725.1–1835.2
Standing Leg Raise	-	18	40.1 (10.4)	27.0–63.4	1736.8* (569.0)	813.8–2671.8
Pilates Reformer Exercises						
Segmental Bridging	142	17	11.1 (4.9)	4.1–21.3	479.2 (305.5)	64.3–1051.1
Footwork	112	16	14.1 (6.1)	5.1–23.5	596.5 (289.7)	175.1–1227.0
Supine Arm Work	168	17	21.3 (9.9)	10.8–44.8	876.9 (452.5)	314.5–1967.1
Supine Abdominals	123	16	31.1 (10.4)	11.1–45.8	1095.9 (450.7)	353.2–1938.1
Side-Kick	75	16	17.2 (11.6)	2.4–34.2	493.5 (360.3)	25.9–1088.3
Roll-Up	210	16	49.6 (11.2)	29.1–74.2	3400.7* (873.2)	1664.7–5020.2
Kneeling Arm Work	177	15	40.5 (9.2)	20.0–54.1	2133.8* (590.4)	1269.1–3096.0
Swan	203	17	30.9 (12.5)	10.8–61.0	1457.0* (706.6)	457.8–2746.3
Feet-in-Straps	136–137	17	24.1 (11.2)	9.5–54.0	1024.9 (471.2)	389.6–2253.8

ACTIVITY	Rael Isacowitz page number	N	Mean Maximal IAP (SD) cm H ₂ O	Range Maximal IAP cm H ₂ O-second	Mean AUC (SD)	Range AUC
Threshold Activity						
Long Stretch	164	17	33.9 (15)	1.6–69.0	1270.6* (627.2)	55.3–2858.7
Side Split	191	18	35.6 (10.3)	6.9–50.5	1875.2* (1026.3)	265.3–3385.5

* Statistically greater than sit to stand threshold