Published in final edited form as:

Dalton Trans. 2014 November 7; 43(41): 15560–15565. doi:10.1039/c4dt02182g.

***p*-tert-Butylcalix[6]arene hexacarboxylic acid as host for Pb(II), Sr(II) and Ba(II)†**

Birendra Babu Adhikari, Xiang Zhao, Shahab Derakhshan, and Michael P. Schramm

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, California State University Long Beach, 1250 Bellflower Blvd., Long Beach, 90840 CA, USA. michael.schramm@csulb.edu; Fax: (+)1-562-985-8557

Abstract

p-tert-Butylcalixarene hexacarboxylic acid initially binds with low symmetry, to later adopt a highly symmetric up-down alternating conformation in the presence of Pb, Sr or Ba. The conformational dynamics for the three ions are distinct, from 15 hours, to 20 days, to 38 days, respectively.

Introduction

Calix[*n*]arenes are macrocyclic compounds able to form host-guest inclusion complexes with metal ions,¹ small organic molecules and small moieties of larger molecules.^{2–5} Recently we demonstrated that a variety of upper and lower functionalized calixarenes can transport choline appended fluorophores across liquid membranes based on anion-trimethyl ammonium recognition.⁶ When modified with proper ligating sites the lower rim can provide a preorganized vase shape that binds small, cationic guests such as metals.^{7,8} Calixarenes with various substituents at the lower rim have shown their preferential binding of one over another cation due to size effect as well as due to selectivity effect of the functional group.^{9–11} Consequently, a number of compounds have been developed that enjoy considerable utility in analytical chemistry such as the sensing of toxic cations.^{12,13} In engineering applications the separation of radionuclides exploits these same ideas.^{14–18} Moreover, calixarenes are enjoying success in catalysis when bound to metals such as Al and Ti.^{19,20}

Although the calixarene literature regarding metal ion complexation with calix[4]arene derivatives is extensive, only a few reports deal with metal ion binding events of conformationally labile larger calixarenes.²¹ When suitably functionalized, the larger and conformationally flexible calixarenes can form strong inclusion complexes with larger cations²² and a calix[6]-arene hexaester can affect transport of Pb.²³ In these binding instances, the metal ion acts as a template to induce structural reorganization of the ligand.

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: All preparative procedures, and new spectroscopic data are provided, NMR titrations are provided as described in the text. CCDC 1014654. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c4dt02182g

Correspondence to: Michael P. Schramm.

In some cases, a positive cooperative effect between the two metal ion binding sites is often observed.¹⁴

Very recently we found that the initial low-symmetric, lozenge-structure of calix[6]arene hexacarboxylic acid complexes with Pb(II) and undergoes a remarkable conformational reorganization.²⁴ After 15 hours, the carboxylates present an up-down alternating configuration, presenting a highly-symmetric octahedral coordination environment to an entrapped Pb. We observed similar, albeit slower solution phase conformational changes for Sr(II) and Ba(II).

Herein we report on our complete solution and solid-state findings for Sr and Ba. Additionally we examine the role that amine bases play in the complexation and conformational changes associated with carboxylic acid functionalized calix[5]- and [6]arenes and systematically explore the role of acid with a comparison against ester and ether functional groups.

Results and discussion

Calix[5]arenes **1a–1e** and calix[6]arenes **2a–2e** were prepared following known methods²⁵ from the corresponding *t*-butylcalix[5]-arene (**1**) and *t*-butylcalix[6]arene (**2**) (Fig. 1). Calix[6]arene hexacarboxylic acid **2d** is central to our discussion and the other compounds will serve to illustrate the unique features of **2d**.

Sr(II) and Ba(II) slowly form an octahedral cage with **2d**

With Pb(II) we found that **2d** forms a low symmetry “lozenge shaped” complex within 3 minutes of mixing (the time required to acquire a spectrum) and after 15 hours there was solution and solid state evidence indicative of a drastic conformational change. The result was a high-symmetry octahedral complex with up-down alternating phenyl units, placing the carboxylates at the six corners of an octahedron. Pb(II) fits perfectly in this nook.²⁴ Sr(II) however behaved differently. The initially formed low symmetry complex emerges upon mixing (Fig. 2, and ESI†) and shortly thereafter many species co-exist. After 7 days the solution is nearly at equilibrium with one predominant high symmetry arrangement – fully consistent with the solution structure of the **2d**·Pb(II) complex. The aromatic protons, as well as the phenolic methylene unit become diastereotopic due to a rigid “up-down” alternating arrangement of phenyl rings. This has previously been confirmed with X-ray and 2D NMR.

With Ba(II) and **2d**, the initial NMR pattern is very different from that of its Pb(II) and Sr(II) counterparts (Fig. 3 and ESI†). The symmetry of the NMR is higher than that of the “lozenge” but at this time we cannot ascertain much more. What is clear is that **2d** can adopt different geometries to accommodate cations inside the cavity formed by coordinating atoms, it is likely that the observed behavior is attributable to the differences in size or hardness of these cations. In this instance, Ba(II) *very slowly* entices the calixarene host into an octahedral coordination arrangements – giving rise to a solution structure similar to those of Pb and Sr, but taking 38 days. Characteristic aromatic protons and methylene units emerge from 3 to 4.5 ppm.

Solid state evidence for octahedral coordination of **2d** with Sr(II) and Ba(II)

We reported on the solid state structure of **2d**·Pb(II) previously.²⁴ Additionally, a diethylamide analog of **2d** has previously been shown to coordinate with Sr(II) in a low symmetry arrangement where 4 of the 6 lower rim amides form a cage around Sr with both ether and carbonyl groups poised appropriately.²⁶ The remaining 2 amide groups sit vacant nearby. Slow evaporation of an equilibrium sample of **2d**·Sr(II) gave single crystals with near identical parameters for our **2d**·Pb(II) complex.[‡]

With **2d**·Sr(II) we find that a drastic conformational change has occurred, the vase (when uncomplexed) and lozenge (when initially complexed) shapes in solution (Fig. 2) are gone and replaced with a remarkable alternating arrangement of up-down phenyl units (Fig. 4). This structure is consistent with the equilibrium state observed by NMR after 12 days. The structural reorganization is similar to valinomycin·K²⁷ and bears similarity to Cram's preorganized spherand.²⁸

Single crystals of **2d**·Ba(II) complex with suitable size for single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis were not found. Nevertheless, a poly crystalline powder sample was isolated at equilibrium (as determined by NMR after 38 days) after evaporation. This material proved suitable for powder X-ray diffraction analysis. TREOR methods were used to index the peaks and all the peaks were indexed based on a cubic unit cell with $a = 28.499(4)$ Å.[§] This result is consistent with what was obtained from single crystal data for **2d**·Pb(II) with $a = 28.3035(7)$ Å and **2d**·Sr(II) with $a = 28.3335(7)$ Å. The slight increase in cell dimensions of the unit cell is due to the larger ionic radius of Ba(II) compared to that of Sr(II) (see ESI† for more details).

Effects of base on Pb(II) complex formation with **2d**

We were curious about the role of charge with respect to the rate of complex formation and conformational switching. We chose to use Pb(II) for these studies due to its shorter time to reach equilibrium with **2d**. We prepared a sample of **2d** with 2 molar equivalents of triethylamine (Fig. 5). The signals from triethylamine were downfield shifted and a slight upfield shift was observed for the H NMR signals of the methylene closest to the carboxylates in **2d**, these observations are consistent with a change in protonation state for both species. In presence of 6 equivalents of triethylamine, a cone conformation of **2d** was still evident. We had hoped that deprotonation of carboxylates would accelerate binding and or switching, but this was not the case – upon addition of Pb(II) there was a decrease in the amplitude of the signals for **2d** and no new peaks emerged. This is likely due to ion-pair capping of hexacarboxylate anions with triethylammonium.²⁹ Similar observations were

[‡]Single-crystal X-ray analysis was performed on a Bruker Smart APEX II CCD area diffractometer using MoK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å), operating in the ω and ϕ scan mode over a range of $1.00^\circ \leq 2\theta \leq 24.03^\circ$, SADABS was used and the structure was solved by direct methods, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and disordered solvent was resolved with SQUEEZE³⁶ and ascribed to one H₂O per unit formula, SHELXTL 97 was used for final full-matrix refinements were against F^2 . C₇₈H₉₂O₁₉Sr (H₂O), 1421.19 g mol⁻¹, cubic, 28.3335(7) Å, 90.00°, 22745.8(5) Å³, μ max = 0.001, μ mean = 0.000, 174 K, $Pn\bar{3}n$, $Z = 8$, independent reflections = 3015, $R = 0.0517$, $wR = 0.1309$ and $S = 1.127$.

[§]Powder X-ray diffraction data were collected, employing a PANalytical X'Pert Pro MPD diffractometer, equipped with a linear X'Celerator detector, with Cu-K α_1 radiation. The data were collected at room temperature in the range $3^\circ \leq 2\theta \leq 40^\circ$ with $\approx 0.008^\circ$ intervals. The X'Pert HighScore Plus software was used to determine the background, the peak positions and the peak shapes. Treor method was used to index the peaks and all the peaks were indexed based a cubic unit cell with $a = 28.499(4)$ Å.

made with 6 equivalents Et_3N . Neither situation changed after 7 days of continuous monitoring. A sterically less hindered base butylamine was also tried, but we observed the formation of ill-defined aggregates from our ^1H NMR experiments under the investigated parameters.

When performed in an alternate order, a sample of $\mathbf{2d}\cdot\text{Pb}_{(\text{n})}$ at equilibrium was treated with Et_3N and decomplexation was observed (Fig. 6). The complex was disrupted and the signals from the host disappeared immediately. The final spectrum is identical to Fig. 5.

We repeated these experiments with sodium carbonate and observed very small solution structure changes to the host upon addition of 2 equivalents. Unlike with triethylamine, $\text{Pb}_{(\text{n})}$ complexation was NOT inhibited, nor was it accelerated (ESI Fig. SI5[†]). Na is unlikely to ion cap the carboxylate unlike trimethylammonium, nevertheless this did not seem to play any role in complexation rate of $\mathbf{2d}$ with $\text{Pb}_{(\text{n})}$.

Systematic exploration of the role of carboxylates

The hexamethylester ligand $\mathbf{2c}$ also binds with $\text{Sr}_{(\text{n})}$ and $\text{Ba}_{(\text{n})}$ forming low symmetry 1 : 1 complexes (ESI Fig. SI6[†]). These are similar to the initially formed Sr and Ba complex with $\mathbf{2d}$, however neither evolves to a higher order complex. We reported identical results for Pb.²⁴ We were unable to crystallize these complexes. The carboxylic acid groups are required for conformational switching to an octahedral complex, without which the host is unable to provide higher order coordination geometry. Mass spectral analyses are consistent with the loss of two carboxylate protons when $\mathbf{2d}\cdot\text{Ba}_{(\text{n})}$ and $\mathbf{2d}\cdot\text{Pb}_{(\text{n})}$ giving electroneutral complexes. The bulky *t*-butyl hexaester $\mathbf{2b}$ showed no major conformational change upon titration with Pb, and only minor changes for Sr or Ba (ESI Fig. SI8[†]). Benzyl ether $\mathbf{2a}$ was also ineffective at binding (ESI Fig. SI9[†]), thus the phenolic ether is not sufficient for binding. In one final experiment we prepared the diether host $\mathbf{2e}$, whose methyl ether oxygen is similarly poised to the oxygens in $\mathbf{2c}$ and $\mathbf{2d}$. Host $\mathbf{2e}$ was ineffective for binding of these cations as no changes were noted upon mixing with Sr, Pb nor Ba (ESI Fig. SI10[†]). Thus the key functional group necessary for binding in this series of hosts is the ester, with the carboxylate being the sole means of access to extreme conformational reorganization and octahedral coordination. This final point may be due to the ability to form electroneutral complexes by loss of two hydrogens (evident from mass spectral data), or perhaps due to less inhibited movement compared to the methyl ester.

Calix[5]arenes

The role of carboxyl groups in achieving the octahedral cage complex with $\mathbf{2d}$ with $\text{Pb}_{(\text{n})}$, $\text{Sr}_{(\text{n})}$ and $\text{Ba}_{(\text{n})}$ is now obvious. We had already examined the binding behavior of pentamer acid $\mathbf{1d}$ with $\text{Pb}_{(\text{n})}$.³⁰ The binding behavior of $\mathbf{2d}$ with $\text{Sr}_{(\text{n})}$ and $\text{Ba}_{(\text{n})}$ ions, especially the time required to emerge to a symmetrical structure made us curious to explore the binding behavior of the pentamer analog $\mathbf{1d}$ towards $\text{Sr}_{(\text{n})}$ and $\text{Ba}_{(\text{n})}$ ions. With $\mathbf{1d}$, signals for both the complexed and the free ligand were observed in presence of 0.5 equivalents of $\text{Sr}_{(\text{n})}$ and $\text{Ba}_{(\text{n})}$, and those of free ligand vanished when the added metal was 1 equivalent of the host, the spectra remained unchanged after 15 days (ESI Fig. SI11 and SI12[†]). Thus host $\mathbf{1d}$ exhibits strong binding with high symmetry consistent with a cone conformation, but no

further changes were noted upon standing. **1d** neither possesses the conformational flexibility nor the appropriate number of ligands to adopt a cage like structure around these metals. The pentaester **1b** was fixed in rigid cone conformation in CDCl₃–CD₃CN (1/1) and exhibited strong affinity for binding of Pb(II), Sr(II) and Ba(II) (ESI Fig. SI13†). Benzyl ether **1a** was ineffective at binding (ESI Fig. SI14†). **1e** exhibited some evidence of binding with Pb(II) following conformational changes, but less so with Ba(II) and not at all with Sr(II) (ESI Fig. SI15†). This is somewhat distinct from the results with the calix[6]arene **2e** which did not change irrespective of metal.

Conclusions

Sr(II), Ba(II) and Pb(II) initially form a low symmetry complex with **2d** which undergoes conformational reorganization with time to form a highly symmetrical complex, but the time required for these initially formed low symmetric complexes to come to a highly symmetrical complex is different. This is likely due to a combination of size and hardness, indeed the smaller cation Ca(II), did not bind with the hexamer acid **2d**. Based on the strong affinity of calix[5] and [6]arene esters and carboxylic acids for the binding of divalent cations and inability of alkyl derivatives lacking coordinating atom(s) other than phenoxy oxygen atom of calixarene, we hypothesized that formation of slow exchange complexes due to tighter binding of these cations with pentamer or hexamer ligands requires the proper disposition of coordinating atoms that stabilize the ligand–metal complexes due to cation–anion or cation–non-bonding electron interactions. Furthermore carboxylates play a critical role when base is employed. Inorganic bases such as sodium carbonate did not play a critical role in the rate of conformational rearrangements of **2d** with Pb(II). On the other hand, organic bases such as triethyl or butylamines appeared to ion cap the ligand preventing complexation. When the preformed **2d**–Pb(II) complex was treated with organic bases, it appears to lose lead rapidly (less than 3 minutes). These subtle details of structure and reactivity are further being explored towards the use of **2d** as a potential scavenger for the environmentally malignant Pb(II) and Sr(II). These results will be reported in a sequel.

Experimental

General

All reagents were of reagent grade and used without further purification unless mentioned. NMR were acquired on Bruker Fourier 300 MHz or Bruker Avance III 400 MHz (NSF MRI CHE-1337559) systems. Spectra were processed (iNMR 3.5.1) using a Fourier transform with exponential weighting. NMR solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories and residual solvent peak was used as an internal standard. SiliaFlash® P60 Silica Gel, 40–63 µm, 60A was purchased from Silicycle. Mass analyses were conducted at the University of California, Riverside High Resolution Mass Spectrometry Facility, Riverside, CA, USA.

Materials

t-Butylcalix[5]arene **1** was prepared³¹ and *t*-butylcalix[6]arene **2** was purchased from Acros Organics.

Synthetic procedures

Compound **1a**,³² **1b**,²² were prepared according to the literature.

1c was prepared by following the same protocol used for synthesis of **1b**. **1d**³⁰ was prepared according to the literature.

1e was prepared by adapting the procedure reported for a triethylene glycol analog.³³ A slurry of 0.2 g (0.246 mmol) **1**, 0.56 g (2.46 mmol, 10 eq.) **3** and 0.34 g (2.46 mmol, 10 eq.) K₂CO₃ in 8 cm³ dry DMF–THF (2 : 1) mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 3 days checking the progress of reaction with TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, THF was removed by rotary evaporation, and water was added to the remaining mixture. The resulting white precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water, taken up in chloroform (20 mL) and washed again with water (2 × 20 mL). Drying of chloroform layer (anhydrous MgSO₄) and solvent removal by rotary evaporation afforded an off-white solid. The crude material was then subjected to flash chromatography using 20% EtOAc in hexane to get pure product as a white solid (0.2 g, 74% yield); *R*_f 0.21 (SiO₂, 20% EtOAc in hexane). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C) ppm 1.11 (s, 18H), 1.35 (s, 18H), 1.42 (s, 8H), 1.90 (bs, 2H), 2.26 (bs, 3H), 2.92 (bs, 2H), 3.18–3.91 (m, 35H), 4.18(d, *J* = 14 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (s, 2H), 7.16 (s, 2H), 7.24 (s, 2H), 7.39 (s, 2H), 7.49 (s, 2H).

2a,³⁴ **2b**, **2c**,³⁵ **2d**,²⁴ were prepared according to the literature.

Compound **2e** was obtained by adopting the procedure for the triethylene glycol analog.³³ A slurry of 0.3 g (0.3 mmol) **2**, 0.83 g (3.6 mmol, 12 eq.) **3** and 0.5 g (3.6 mmol, 12 eq.) K₂CO₃ in 10 cm³ dry DMF–THF (2 : 1) mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 3 days checking the progress of reaction with TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and THF was removed by rotary evaporation. Addition of water to the remaining mixture resulted a thick paste, which was taken up in chloroform (20 mL) and washed with 1 M HCl (20 mL) followed by water (2 × 20 mL). Solvent removal from the dried organic layer by rotary evaporation afforded a crude white solid. The crude material was then subjected to flash chromatography using 20% EtOAc in hexane to get pure product as a white solid (0.16 g, 40% yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C) displayed ill-defined broad signals. High temperature ¹H NMR improved the resolution of some signals and gave a spectrum good enough for characterization. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 55 °C) ppm 1.22 (s, 54H), 3.05–3.92 (bs, 54H), 7.17 (s, 12H). The compound **2e** was single spot on TLC; *R*_f 0.44 (SiO₂, 20% EtOAc in hexane) and displayed only one signal on mass spectrum at *m/z* 1322. [M + H]⁺ for molecular formula C₈₄H₁₂₀O₁₂: calculated 1321.88; found 1322.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The project described was supported by Grant Number SC2CA167636 from the National Cancer Institute. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the views of the National Cancer Institute or the National Institutes of Health. NMR instrumentation was provided for by the National

Science Foundation (MRI CHE-1337559). Any opinions, findings and conclusions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of NSF.

Notes and references

1. Vicens, J.; Böhmer, V. *Calixarenes: A Versatile Class of Macrocyclic Compounds*. Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1990.
2. Arena G, Casnati A, Contino A, Lombardo GG, Sciotto D, Ungaro R. *Chem – Eur J*. 1999; 5:738–744.
3. Arnaud-Neu F, Fuangswasdi S, Notti A, Pappalardo S, Parisi MF. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 1998; 37:112–114.
4. Gaeta C, Troisi F, Neri P. *Org Lett*. 2010; 12:2092–2095. [PubMed: 20384320]
5. Gattuso G, Notti A, Parisi MF, Pisagatti I, Amato ME, Pappalardo A, Pappalardo S. *Chem – Eur J*. 2010; 16:2381–2385. [PubMed: 20112314]
6. Adhikari BB, Fujii A, Schramm MP. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2014:2972–2979.
7. Barrett G, McKerver MA, Malone JF, Walker A, Arnaud-Neu F, Guerra L, Schwing-Weill MJ, Gutsche CD, Stewart DR. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans*. 1993; 2:1475–1479.
8. Cha NR, Kim MY, Kim YH, Choe JI, Chang SK. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans*. 2002; 2:1193–1196.
9. Chang SK, Cho I. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans*. 1986; 1:211–214.
10. Arnaud-Neu F, Collins EM, Deasy M, Ferguson G, Harris SJ, Kaitner B, Lough AJ, McKerver MA, Marques E. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1989; 111:8681–8691.
11. Arnaud-Neu F, Barrett G, Corry D, Cremin S, Ferguson G, Gallagher JF, Harris SJ, Anthony McKerver M, Schwing-Weill MJ. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans*. 1997; 2:575–580.
12. Talanova GG, Elkarim NSA, Talanov VS, Bartsch RA. *Anal Chem*. 1999; 71:3106–3109. [PubMed: 21662903]
13. Métivier R, Leray I, Valeur B. *Chem – Eur J*. 2004; 10:4480–4490. [PubMed: 15378626]
14. Casnati A, Barbosa S, Rouquette Hln, Schwing-Weill MJ, Arnaud-Neu Fo, Dozol JFo, Ungaro R. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2001; 123:12182–12190. [PubMed: 11734017]
15. Casnati A, Pochini A, Ungaro R, Ugozzoli F, Arnaud F, Fanni S, Schwing MJ, Egberink RJM, de Jong F, Reinhoudt DN. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1995; 117:2767–2777.
16. Haverlock TJ, Mirzadeh S, Moyer BA. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2003; 125:1126–1127. [PubMed: 12553788]
17. Luo H, Dai S, Bonnesen PV, Buchanan AC, Holbrey JD, Bridges NJ, Rogers RD. *Anal Chem*. 2004; 76:3078–3083. [PubMed: 15167785]
18. Sharma N, Rana S, Shivkumar H, Sharma R. *Radiation Protection and Environment*. 2013; 36:78–84.
19. Nandi P, Tang W, Okrut A, Kong X, Hwang SJ, Neurock M, Katz A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013; 110:2484–2489. [PubMed: 23359705]
20. Notestein JM, Iglesia E, Katz A. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2004; 126:16478–16486. [PubMed: 15600351]
21. Otsuka H, Araki K, Shinkai S. *Tetrahedron*. 1995; 51:8757–8770.
22. Bell SEJ, Browne JK, McKee V, McKerver MA, Malone JF, O'Lear M, Walker A, Arnaud-Neu F, Boulangeot O, Mauprivez O, Schwing-Weill MJ. *J Org Chem*. 1998; 63:489–501. [PubMed: 11672037]
23. Minhas FT, Solangi IB, Memon S, Bhanger MI. *Sep Sci Technol*. 2014; 45:1448–1455.
24. Adhikari BB, Ohto K, Schramm MP. *Chem Commun*. 2014; 50:1903–1905.
25. See “Synthetic procedures” section for citations.
26. Casnati A, Baldini L, Pelizzi N, Rissanen K, Ugozzoli F, Ungaro R. *J Chem Soc, Dalton Trans*. 2000:3411–3415.
27. Neupert-Laves K, Dobler M. *Helv Chim Acta*. 1975; 58:432–442. [PubMed: 1158723]
28. Trueblood KN, Knobler CB, Maverick E, Helgeson RC, Brown SB, Cram DJ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1981; 103:5594–5596.

29. Le Gac S, Picron JF, Reinaud O, Jabin I. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2011; 9:2387–2396. [PubMed: 21327258]
30. Adhikari BB, Gurung M, Kawakita H, Ohto K. *Analyst*. 2011; 136:3758–3769. [PubMed: 21776535]
31. Stewart DR, Gutsche CD. *Org Prep Proced Int*. 1993; 25:137–139.
32. Stewart DR, Krawiec M, Kashyap RP, Watson WH, Gutsche CD. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1995; 117:586–601.
33. Zhang S, Echegoyen L. *J Org Chem*. 2005; 70:9874–9881. [PubMed: 16292818]
34. Kanamathareddy S, Gutsche CD. *J Org Chem*. 1992; 57:3160–3166.
35. Han SY, Kang MH, Jung Y, Chang SK. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans*. 1994; 2:835–839.
36. van der Sluis P, Spek AL. *Acta Crystallogr, Sect A: Found Crystallogr*. 1990; 46:194–201.

Fig. 1.
Molecular structures of calix[5] and calix[6] arenes used in this report.

Fig. 2.

¹H NMR (400 MHz) time dependent binding of **2d** with Sr(II) in CDCl₃–CD₃CN (1 : 1).

From bottom to top: free ligand **2d**, **2d** + 1 eq. Sr(II), **2d** + 1eq. Sr(II) after 7 days, 12 days, 20 days and 30 days. NMR signals are with reference to CD₃CN at 1.94 ppm. The sharp signal at 7.49 ppm is due to CDCl₃ and the broad signal at around 2.5 ppm which intensifies with time is due to H₂O from Sr(ClO₄)₂·3H₂O.

Fig. 3.

^1H NMR (400 MHz) time dependent binding of **2d** with Ba(II) in $\text{CDCl}_3\text{--CD}_3\text{CN}$ (1:1).

From bottom to top: free ligand **2d**, **2d** + 1 eq. Ba(II) , **2d** + 1 eq. Sr(II) after 7 days, 12 days, 20 days and 38 days. NMR signals are with reference to CD_3CN at 1.94 ppm. The sharp signal at 7.49 ppm is due to CDCl_3 and the broad signal at around 2.5 ppm which intensifies with time is due to H_2O from $\text{Ba}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$.

Fig. 4.
Rendered X-ray crystal structure of equilibrium **2d**·Sr(II) host-guest complex.

Fig. 5. ¹H NMR (300 MHz) of **2d** in presence of triethylamine in CDCl₃– CD₃CN (1/1). From bottom to top: **2d**, triethylamine, **2d** + 2 eq. triethylamine, **2d** + 2 eq. triethylamine + 1 eq. Pb(II), **2d** + 6 eq. triethylamine, **2d** + 6 eq. triethylamine + 1 eq. Pb(II). The NMR signals are with reference to CD₃CN signal at 1.94 ppm. A sharp signal at 7.49 ppm is due to CDCl₃.

Fig. 6. ^1H NMR (300 MHz) of **2d**· Pb(II) complex upon addition of Et_3N in CDCl_3 – CD_3CN (1/1). From bottom to top: **2d**· Pb complex, in presence of 2 eq. Et_3N , in presence of 6 eq. Et_3N . The NMR signals are with reference to CD_3CN signal at 1.94 ppm. A sharp signal at 7.49 ppm is due to CDCl_3 .