Published in final edited form as:

Chem Commun (Camb). 2009 June 21; (23): 3366–3368. doi:10.1039/b821952d.

3S-fluoroproline as a probe to monitor proline isomerization during protein folding by ^{19}F -NMR

Colin A. Thomas^{a,b}, Erach R. Talaty^a, and James G. Bann^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Wichita State University, Wichita, Kansas 67260-0051

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Carroll College, 1601 N Benton Ave., Helena, MT 59625

Abstract

Variable-temperature inversion transfer NMR is used to determine the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of cis-trans isomerization of N-Ac-(3*R*) and (3*S*)-fluoroproline-OMe.

Understanding how proteins change in structure from an unfolded to a folded state is complicated, in many cases, by the cis to trans isomerization of prolyl peptide bonds.¹ While dependent upon the residues surrounding a particular proline², it is assumed that in the unfolded state, proline will isomerize to equilibrium values approximated by model peptides (typically ~80% trans, 20% cis).³ Although this assumption is valid for some proteins, model peptides cannot *a priori* predict the population of cis-trans isomers in the unfolded state. The ability to directly measure this population in a protein would have distinct advantages, in particular in monitoring how this population changes during the folding process.⁴

The idea to monitor directly the population of proline cis-trans isomers in the unfolded state is not new, and was pioneered initially by Torchia and coworkers, through the biosynthetic incorporation of [4- ^{13}C] proline into collagen, and later applied to the study of staphylococcal nuclease.⁵ Although methods and instrumentation (cryogenic probes) have been developed to improve sensitivity, carbon remains one of the least sensitive nuclei for detection and thus has limited its use for monitoring processes which occur in real time.

As an alternative to carbon detection of proline cis-trans isomerization, we report here the kinetics and thermodynamics of isomerization of the simple compounds Ac-(3*R*) and (3*S*)-fluoroproline-OMe (compounds **1** and **2**, respectively)⁶ in water- D_2O solution using ^{19}F -NMR.⁷ Studies on these model compounds will provide a basis for future studies in which biosynthetic incorporation of 3*S* and 3*R* fluoroproline will be used for monitoring cis-trans isomerization of proline during protein folding

*Fax: 316-978-3431; Tel. 316-978-7373;jim.bann@wichita.edu.

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: ^{19}F -NMR spectra of **1** and **2** at 35°C in (90% H_2O /10% D_2O), synthesis of (3*S*)-fluoro-L-proline and N-acetyl-(3*S*)-3-Fluoro-L-proline Methyl Ester, and determination of the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

The use of ^{19}F -NMR is unique for protein folding studies since a 1-D ^{19}F spectrum provides residue-specific information on the folding of proteins, and the development of stopped-flow NMR methods has allowed time-dependent folding information to be obtained with dead times less than 2 seconds.⁸ The ^{19}F signal to noise ratio is as good as hydrogen, which is approximately 8 times as sensitive as carbon.⁹ Fluorine is only slightly larger than hydrogen ($0.15\text{\AA}$), and in most cases is non-structurally perturbing.¹⁰ Finally, London and coworkers have shown that the peptide [p-fluoro-Phe]bradykinin, which contains a -Pro-pFPhe- peptide bond, exhibited well resolved *cis* and *trans* resonances that could be used to monitor catalysis of *cis-trans* isomerization by the enzyme cyclophilin.¹¹

The Ac-(3*S*) and (3*R*)-fluoroproline-OMe analogs also exhibit clearly resolvable *cis* (E) and *trans* (Z) resonances – for **2** the resonances are separated by 0.8 ppm, while for **1** the resonances are separated by nearly 2 ppm. This large difference in chemical shift allowed us to monitor the kinetics of *cis-trans* isomerization using inversion-transfer NMR.¹² Eyring analysis of **1** and **2** is shown in Figure 1, and the activation parameters derived from this plot are summarized in Table I. The calculated equilibrium constant from the kinetic data is consistent with the observed ratio of integrated signal intensities of *cis* and *trans* isomers – at 37°C for **1**, $K_{Z/E}$ is 8.2 ± 0.2 (90% *trans*, 10% *cis*) and for **2** $K_{Z/E}$ is 4.12 ± 0.04 (80% *trans*, 20% *cis*). In addition, the rate constants for **2** in water are similar to that reported for Ac-proline-OMe (37°C) in sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4.^{13a}

The data in Table 1 indicate that the transition state enthalpic differences between compounds **1** and **2** are small, suggesting that water-mediated hydrogen bonding to the prolyl peptide bond has not been perturbed. However, the entropic barrier of **1** is approximately two-fold greater than **2**, with values that are negative, which is unusual for proline and other amides,¹³ and for proteins in general.¹⁴ One of the reviewers has aptly pointed out that a similar trend is also observed when comparing the Ac-4*R* and 4*S* fluoroproline-OMe derivatives^{13a, 15}, suggesting that a fluorine in the *syn* configuration of the pyrrolidine ring may sterically hinder the barrier to rotation around the C-N bond.

Raines and coworkers first showed that synthesis of a collagen-like peptide with the sequence H-(Pro-(4*R*)-fluoroproline-Gly)₁₀-OH resulted in a triple-helical collagen with greatly enhanced thermal stability, which was attributed to a stereoelectronic inductive effect of the fluorine.¹⁶ The inductive effect changed the kinetics and equilibrium values of *cis* and *trans* isomers of Ac-Pro-OMe, from ~80% *trans*, 20% *cis* to 90% *trans*, 10% *cis*. Independently, Renner and coworkers showed for the first time the ability to

- Mol Biol. 1991; 218:397. [PubMed: 2010917] D Reimer U, Scherer G, Drewello M, Kruber S, Schutkowski M, Fischer G. J Mol Biol. 1998; 279:449. [PubMed: 9642049]
3. A Madison V, Schellman J. Biopolymers. 1970; 9:511. [PubMed: 5443954] B Grathwohl C, Wuthrich K. Biopolymers. 1976; 15:2025. [PubMed: 963241] C Grathwohl C, Wuthrich K. Biopolymers. 1976; 15:2043. [PubMed: 963242]
4. Wedemeyer WJ, Welker E, Scheraga HA. Biochemistry. 2002; 41:14637. [PubMed: 12475212]
5. A Sarkar SK, Young PE, Sullivan CE, Torchia D. A. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1984; 81:4800. [PubMed: 6589627] B Stanczyk SM, Bolton PH, Dell'Acqua M, Gerlt JA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989; 111:8317.
6. Synthesis of (3S)-fluoroproline was carried out using as a precursor cis-3-hydroxy-L-proline derived from bacteria: Mori H, Shibasaki T, Yano K, Ozaki A. J. Bacteriol. 1997; 179:5677. [PubMed: 9294421] Conversion of either cis or trans-3-hydroxy-L-proline into 1 and 2 was done in a manner similar to ref 16, with the exception that the conversion of cis-3-fluoro-L-proline methyl ester to the N-acetyl derivative was done by adding 1 molar equivalent of triethylamine in chloroform to the fluoroproline, followed by addition of excess acetic anhydride (see supplementary material for synthesis).
7. NMR experiments were carried out on a Varian INOVA400 spectrometer with a tunable inverse detection probe. Samples were at a concentration of ~0.2 mg/ml in 90% H₂O/10% D₂O. Temperature was corrected using the change in chemical shift of methanol methyl and hydroxyl resonances. The data at 35°C (supplementary material) were referenced to neat TFA which was present in a coaxial insert in the NMR tube.
8. A Hoeltzli SD, Frieden C. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995; 92:9318. [PubMed: 7568125] B Hoeltzli SD, Frieden C. Biochemistry. 1996; 35:16843. [PubMed: 8988023] C Hoeltzli SD, Frieden C. Biochemistry. 1998; 37:387. [PubMed: 9425060] D Bann JG, Pinkner J, Hultgren SJ, Frieden C. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99:709. [PubMed: 11792867]
9. Serber Z, Richter C, Dötsch V. Chem Biochem. 2001; 2:247.
110. Minks C, Huber R, Moroder L, Budisa N. Biochemistry. 1999; 38:10649. [PubMed: 10451359]
11. London RE, Davis DG, Vavrek RJ, Stewart JM, Handschumacher RE. Biochemistry. 1990; 29:10298. [PubMed: 2261473]
12. Inversion transfer experiments were carried out essentially as described in: Forsen S, Hoffman RA. J Chem Phys. 1963; 39:2892. Led JJ, Gesmar H. J Magn Reson. 1982; 49:444. Kinetic parameters were determined using the Bayes Analysis package available from Varian: Bretthorst GL. J Magn Reson. 1990; 88:533. Thermodynamic parameters were calculated using the kinetic outputs from Bayes Analysis at several temperatures. The intensity data from the outputs were plotted according to the van't Hoff and Eyring equations, which provided reaction and activation thermodynamic parameters respectively. For a detailed discussion of spin-inversion transfer kinetics with respect to thermodynamic analyses see: d'Avignon DA, Bretthorst GL, Holtzer ME, Schwarz KA, Angeletti RH, Mints L, Holtzer A. Biopolymers. 2006; 83:255. [PubMed: 16767740]
13. A Eberhardt ES, Panasik N Jr, Raines RT. J Am Chem Soc. 1996; 118:12261. [PubMed: 21451735] B Temussi PA, Tancredi T, Quadrifoglio F. J Phys Chem. 1969; 73:4227.
14. Eyring H, Stearn AE. Chem Rev. 1939; 24:253.
15. Renner C, Alefelder S, Bae JH, Budisa N, Huber R, Moroder L. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2001; 40:923.
16. Holmgren SK, Taylor KM, Bretscher LE, Raines RT. Nature. 1998; 392:666. [PubMed: 9565027]
17. Jenkins CL, Bretscher LE, Guzei IA, Raines RT. J Am Chem Soc. 2003; 125:6422. [PubMed: 12785781]
18. Kim W, Hardcastle KI, Conticello VP. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2006; 45:8141.

Figure 1.

Eyring analysis of the temperature dependence of isomerization for **1** (closed symbols) and **2** (open symbols). Data were analyzed according to ref. 15. The symbols (●) (○) correspond to trans (Z) to cis (E) isomerization, whereas the symbols, (■) (□) correspond to cis to trans isomerization. Linear least squares fits of the data (–) are shown.

Table 1Eyring parameters for compounds **1** and **2**.

		E_a^a	$H^\ddagger^a$	$S^\ddagger^a$	$k \text{ (s}^{-1}\text{)}^b$
1	E to Z	81.3 (2.1) ^c	78.8 (2.0)	−20.1 (.7)	.028 (.002)
	Z to E	73.5 (1.1)	70.9 (1.1)	−28.7 (.6)	.229 (.008)
2	E to Z	85.9 (2.7)	83.4 (2.6)	−10.8 (.5)	.016 (.001)
	Z to E	80.5 (2.9)	77.9 (2.8)	−16.5 (.8)	.065 (.005)

^a All thermodynamic parameters are in kJ mol^{−1} except entropy, which is in J mol^{−1}K^{−1}.^b Data recorded at 37°C.^c Error is in parentheses.