Published in final edited form as:

Annu Rev Physiol. 2015 ; 77: 81–104. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-021113-170358.

Phosphoinositide Control of Membrane Protein Function:

A Frontier Led by Studies on Ion Channels

Diomedes E. Logothetis,

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298-0551

Vasileios I. Petrou,

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298-0551

Department of Physiology and Cellular Biophysics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032

Miao Zhang,

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298-0551

Rahul Mahajan,

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298-0551

Xuan-Yu Meng,

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298-0551

Institute of Quantitative Biology and Medicine, Soochou University, Jiangsu Province, China, 215006

Scott K. Adney,

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298-0551

Meng Cui, and

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298-0551

Lia Baki

Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298-0551

Diomedes E. Logothetis: delogothetis@vcu.edu

Abstract

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

Anionic phospholipids are critical constituents of the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane, ensuring appropriate membrane topology of transmembrane proteins. Additionally, in eukaryotes, the negatively charged phosphoinositides serve as key signals not only through their hydrolysis products but also through direct control of transmembrane protein function. Direct phosphoinositide control of the activity of ion channels and transporters has been the most convincing case of the critical importance of phospholipid-protein interactions in the functional control of membrane proteins. Furthermore, second messengers, such as $[Ca^{2+}]_i$, or posttranslational modifications, such as phosphorylation, can directly or allosterically fine-tune phospholipid-protein interactions and modulate activity. Recent advances in structure determination of membrane proteins have allowed investigators to obtain complexes of ion channels with phosphoinositides and to use computational and experimental approaches to probe the dynamic mechanisms by which lipid-protein interactions control active and inactive protein states.

Keywords

phosphoinositides; $PI(4,5)P_2$; ion channels; $PI(4,5)P_2$ -induced gating; modulation; phosphorylation

INTRODUCTION

Phosphoinositides (PIPs) are inositol phospholipids found in cellular membranes. They are composed of two fatty acid chains linked by a glycerol moiety to a water-soluble inositol head group (Figure 1). Specialized lipid kinases and phosphatases respectively add and remove phosphates at specific positions of the inositol ring (Figure 1).

In plasma membranes, the most abundant PIP is phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate [$PI(4,5)P_2$, also known as PIP_2], where it composes $\sim 1\%$ of the total phospholipid pool present (1, 2). PIPs bear a net negative charge at neutral pH that allows them to engage in electrostatic interactions with positively charged regions of proteins. Since the 1990s it has been appreciated that, besides the well-established signaling role of their hydrolysis products [e.g., the hydrolysis of $PI(4,5)P_2$ by phospholipase C (PLC) to inositol trisphosphate (IP_3) and diacyl glycerol (DAG)], PIPs interact directly with basic regions of proteins to recruit them to the membrane and control their function.

The number of membrane-associated and transmembrane proteins utilizing changes in PIPs to adjust their conformations and functions is large. Moreover, the biological functions that PIPs modulate are essential to life, and cells depend on PIPs for proper function. In this review, we start by summarizing the roles of PIPs in modulating cell excitability through interactions with membrane-associated and transmembrane proteins. We then focus on PIP regulation of ion channel activity. Ion channels are the most-studied group of transmembrane proteins, and their study promises to offer fundamental insights as to how membrane proteins in general utilize plasma membrane PIPs to adjust their activity levels.

PHOSPHOINOSITIDE METABOLISM AND MEMBRANE LOCALIZATION

Phosphatidylinositol (PI) can be phosphorylated at positions 3, 4, and 5 of the inositol ring to give rise to seven different PIP species (Figure 1) that are involved in almost every facet of cell signaling. PI is synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum and transported to other compartments through vesicles or cytosolic transfer proteins (3, 4). There are major differences in not only the functional significance but the localization and relative abundance of each PIP species; these attributes are intricately connected to the lipid kinases and phosphatases involved in the metabolism of PIP species (3, 5). The localization of different PIPs in the cell, in combination with the localization of small GTPases, is thought to be responsible for providing identity to different organelles (3, 6).

The most abundant PIPs are phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate [PI(4)P] and PI(4,5)P₂, found predominantly in the plasma membrane, where phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate [PIP₃, or PI(3,4,5)P₃] and PI(3,4)P are also located. PI(4,5)P₂ is produced mainly from PI4P through addition of a 5-phosphate by type I PIP kinase [phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase (PIP5K)]. It can also be produced from phosphatidylinositol 5-phosphate [PI5P] through addition of a 4-phosphate by type II PIP kinase [a phosphatidylinositol 5-phosphate 4-kinase (PIP4K)] (3, 5), but PI5P is much less abundant than PI4P. The exact localization of PI5P is unclear. PIP₃ and phosphatidylinositol 3,4-bisphosphate [PI(3,4)P₂] are produced by the addition of a 3-phosphate to PI(4,5)P₂ and PI4P, respectively. The enzyme primarily responsible for this action is class I phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) (3, 5).

Early endosomes contain mostly phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate [PI3P] produced from PI by class III PI3K, but also some PI(3,4)P₂. PI(4,5)P₂ is cleared during endocytosis from the internalized membranes by 5-phosphatases. Late endosomes are characterized by the presence of phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate [PI(3,5)P₂], produced from PI3P by PIKfyve kinase. PI3P and PI(3,5)P₂ are crucial for the biology of endosomes and their function (3, 5).

The major PIP in the Golgi complex is PI4P. It is produced locally from PI by type IIα phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase (PI4KIIα), an isoform that regulates membrane traffic from the *trans*-Golgi network. Deficiencies in PI4P production affect both the structure and function of the Golgi. Some PI(4,5)P₂ has been reported to be present in the Golgi, although the 5-phosphatase OCRL that is located in the *trans*-Golgi network likely converts PI(4,5)P₂ back to PI4P rapidly (5).

Finally, some nuclear PIPs have been reported, albeit mostly in nonmembranous locations (7). In mammalian cells, nuclear PI(4,5)P₂ is implicated in regulating RNA splicing, histone-mediated transcriptional repression, and chromatin remodeling (8).

FUNCTIONAL ROLES OF PHOSPHOINOSITIDES

Phosphoinositide Dysregulation Results in Disease

Because PIPs are involved in a number of diverse functions, their aberrant regulation has been implicated in an equivalent diverse number of diseases (reviewed in Reference 9). Briefly, Andersen-Tawil syndrome (ATS), defined by periodic paralysis, cardiac arrhythmia,

and dysmorphic features, is caused by mutations in the gene encoding Kir2.1 [an inwardly rectifying K^+ (Kir) channel]. Multiple ATS mutations perturb channel-PI(4,5) P_2 interactions. In Kir1.1, loss of function results in hyperprostaglandin E syndrome, a prenatal disease presenting with polyhydramnios, hypokalemic alkalosis, and hypercalciuria. Kir6.2 mutants affecting PI(4,5) P_2 binding can be linked to congenital hyperinsulinism, which results from increased β cell excitability. Thyrotoxic hypokalemic periodic paralysis actually results from a gain of function in PI(4,5) P_2 binding in Kir2.6, expressed predominantly in skeletal muscle. Outside of the well-characterized Kir channelopathies, KCNQ channels responsible for the slow repolarizing current in cardiomyocytes are subject to mutations affecting channel-PI(4,5) P_2 interactions. These mutations are linked to a form of long-QT syndrome, causing debilitating cardiac arrhythmias and the potential for sudden cardiac death (9). Notable examples involving the nervous system are neurodegenerative disorders [such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), in which the oligomeric amyloid- β peptide that accumulates in AD patients decreases PI(4,5) P_2 levels (10)], mental retardation [such as Down's syndrome, or trisomy 21, in which the gene coding for the lipid phosphatase synaptojanin is localized to chromosome 21q and is overexpressed (11)], and demyelinating diseases [such as multiple sclerosis, in which the myelin basic protein, an essential component of the myelination pathway, fails to localize to the membrane when its interactions with PI(4,5) P_2 are disrupted (12)].

Functional Consequences of Interactions with Membrane-Associated Proteins

The reversible phosphorylation of the inositol group of plasma membrane phosphoinositides provides a robust and flexible system for temporal and spatial regulation of the recruitment of several PIP-binding cytosolic proteins. Such phosphorylation results in the initiation of signaling cascades or the nucleation of multiprotein complexes regulating key cellular functions, such as protein trafficking, actin dynamics, dendritic morphogenesis, and neurotransmission.

Endocytosis/exocytosis—At the presynaptic terminus, PIPs, and specifically PI(4,5) P_2 , control clathrin-mediated endocytosis by coordinating the biogenesis of clathrin-coated vesicles at multiple steps. Recruitment of coat and fission factors to the membrane depends on the association of the adaptor proteins AP-2 and AP-180 with PI(4,5) P_2 (13, 14), whereas fission of clathrin-coated pits involves a PI(4,5) P_2 -dependent recruitment and activation of the GTPase dynamin I, which polymerizes into rings around the neck of the pits (13, 15). Under conditions of intense neuronal activity, clathrin-mediated endocytosis is largely replaced by activity-dependent bulk endocytosis, which also depends on PI(4,5) P_2 (16). Priming of synaptic vesicles for exocytosis also depends on PI(4,5) P_2 , either indirectly, via its second messenger DAG, or directly through synaptotagmin (17, 18). At the calcium concentrations required for transmitter release, synaptotagmin I binds PI(4,5) P_2 and PIP₃ via a polylysine region in its C2 domain, facilitating plasma membrane penetration and exocytosis (17, 19).

Actin dynamics—Precise actin cytoskeleton remodeling and regulation of actin filament assembly and organization are fundamental to many cellular activities, including cellular architecture, adhesion strength, and motility. Actin dynamics are also critical for docking

priming and mobilization of synaptic vesicles at the presynaptic terminus (20), as well as for dendritic spine morphogenesis (21). PI(4,5)P₂ binds to and modulates the activity of several actin-associated proteins, which nucleate, cap, cross-link, or dissociate actin filaments or bind to and sequester actin monomers [for a list of such actin-associated proteins and the effects of their binding to PI(4,5)P₂, see References 22 and 23]. In general, PI(4,5)P₂ upregulates the activities of proteins, such as vinculin, talin, α -actinin, and ezrin, that promote actin polymerization and link actin filaments to each other. In contrast, PI(4,5)P₂ inactivates proteins, such as gelsolin, villin, cofilin, and profilin, that inhibit actin assembly or depolymerize actin filaments (23, 24). PI(4,5)P₂ is also implicated in the effects of protein kinase C (PKC) on the neuronal cytoskeleton via its association with the PKC substrates MARCKS (myristoylated alanine-rich C kinase substrate) and GAP43 (growth-associated protein 43), which regulate dendrite branching (25), neurotransmission (26), and neurite and growth cone morphology (27).

AKAPs—PI(4,5)P₂ also affects postsynaptic structure and function through AKAPs (A-kinase-anchoring proteins). AKAP79/150 is necessary for spine formation (28) and is linked to both structural and signaling proteins at the postsynaptic density. Membrane targeting of AKAP79/150 is achieved through interaction of (a) its domain that is rich in basic and hydrophobic amino acids with (b) PI(4,5)P₂, and AKAP79/150 preferentially targets kinases, such as protein kinase A (PKA) and PKC, and phosphatases, such as protein phosphatase 2B, to glutamate receptors, regulating glutamate receptor signaling and synaptic plasticity (29, 30).

Small GTPases—In neurons, small GTPases regulate membrane fusion and neurotransmission (31); dendritic spine morphogenesis and function (32); and axon growth, guidance, and branching (33). PIPs regulate GTPase activity by mediating the recruitment of guanine nucleotide exchange factors and GAPs to membranes (3).

Functional Consequences of Interactions with Transmembrane Proteins

Transmembrane proteins utilize anionic phospholipids in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane to properly localize their cytosolic and extracellular domains. Since the mid-1990s, it has been appreciated that interactions between the cytosolic domains of transmembrane proteins and anionic phospholipids, PI(4,5)P₂ in particular, are critical for maintaining the functional integrity of these proteins.

Ion channels and transporters—Ion channels and electrogenic transporters lend themselves to high-resolution, real-time electrophysiological analysis under conditions in which PIP levels can be manipulated. Ever since they were first reported to functionally depend on PI(4,5)P₂ (34), several transporters and most ion channels tested have displayed a strong dependence of their activity on PI(4,5)P₂ levels. Several excellent reviews in the past decade have summarized hundreds of original publications (e.g., References 9, 35–46). Most ion transport proteins interact with PIPs to maintain an active state, whereas inhibition of such interactions by either depletion of the phospholipid or non-permissive changes in protein conformation promotes an inactive state. This rapidly evolving area of PIP

regulation of transmembrane protein function provides continuously new fundamental insights that require close monitoring.

Other transmembrane proteins—Regulation of transmembrane proteins by PIPs appears to extend beyond ion channels and transporters to growth factor receptors, such as the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), which topologically utilize a similar protein basic region for interactions with the acidic PI(4,5)P₂ (47).

Mutations in the inner juxtamembrane region of ion channels (near the interface of the last transmembrane domain and the cytosol) and of the EGFR affect the sensitivity of these proteins to PIPs. Because all integral membrane proteins obey the positive inside rule (48) and anionic phospholipids are in general determinants of membrane protein topology (49), these proteins may have evolved in eukaryotic membranes to use the anionic PIPs that are tightly regulated not only for membrane topology but also for modulation of function. Thus, a fundamental understanding of channel-PIP interactions and how they lead to stabilization of an active conformation is likely to underlie a general design of PIP regulation of the activity of eukaryotic transmembrane proteins. Moreover, given the essential roles of ion transport proteins in cellular function, a basic understanding of how PIPs affect the function of these proteins is likely to illuminate modulation of cell physiology.

MOLECULAR CHARACTERISTICS OF PIP BINDING TO PROTEINS

PIP Binding to Membrane-Associated Proteins

The molecular characteristics of PIP binding to membrane-associated proteins based on a database of 25 crystallographic complexes have been previously reviewed (42). Certain common folds seem to be used by a variety of proteins to interact with PIPs (e.g., PH, ENTH, PTB, PX, PHD fingers, PROPPINS, FYVE) (Figure 1) (1, 42). A number of generalizations can be drawn from the analysis of the 25 complex structures. First, PIP-binding sites (*a*) always contained basic residues with at least one lysine and, in 84% of the structures, at least one arginine; (*b*) always contained at least one residue with an aromatic ring, mostly tyrosine and histidine, although tryptophan and phenylalanine residues could also be found; and (*c*) often contained small polar residues such as serine or asparagine (in 36% of the structures). Second, a total of at least five and at most seven residues were found in a pocket or groove that interacted with PIPs. Third, the affinity of PIP binding may be directly related to the number of specific contacts made between the protein and the PIP. Fourth, in general, specificity is determined by the relative binding affinities of the various PIPs such that a low specificity indicates a low binding affinity as well.

PI(4,5)P₂ Binding to Membrane Proteins

Atomic-resolution structures of Kir2.2 (50) and Kir3.2 (51, 52) bound to PI(4,5)P₂ (Figure 2*a*) have identified six basic residues that interact directly with PI(4,5)P₂, five of which are common to both channels. The five common residues are the two basic residues in the KWR motif in the M1, outer helix; a basic (mostly lysine) residue on the cytosolic side of the M2, inner helix; and the two lysine residues in the KKR motif in the region linking to the cytoplasmic domain, immediately following the M2 transmembrane domain (Figure 2*b*). An

earlier atomic-resolution structure of a Kir3.1/KirBac1.3 chimera (PDBID: 2QKS, referred to as the Kir3.1 chimera) showed a nonylglucoside detergent to be cocrystallized with the channel at the position where a PI(4,5)P₂ molecule could have been present (Figure 2c) (53).

Structure-function studies in ion channels such as Kir2.1 have implicated ten residues as involved in PI(4,5)P₂ sensitivity: five arginines, four lysines, and one histidine (54). The types of residues implicated in PIP sensitivity are consistent with those found to bind PIPs in the complexes with membrane-associated proteins, as discussed above. Residues affecting PI(4,5)P₂ sensitivity identified by structure-function studies but not by crystallographic structures likely affect PI(4,5)P₂ sensitivity allosterically. Two such key Kir2.1 lysine residues, one in the N terminus (K64) and the other in the CD-loop (K219), form a secondary anionic phospholipid-binding site that potentiates the effects of PI(4,5)P₂ that binds at the primary site (55, 56).

Use of synthetic water-soluble forms of PIPs with eight-carbon-long acyl chains [e.g., diC8-PI(4,5)P₂], whereby the PIPs are perfused from the internal membrane side by using the inside-out mode of the patch-clamp technique, has allowed for assessment of the apparent affinities of different ion channels. Similarly, by using distinct diC8-PIPs in the same patch, one can assess the stereospecificity of a given channel for different PIPs. Research using such approaches has made clear that ion channels, like membrane-associated proteins, display a wide range of apparent affinities and stereospecificities (e.g., Reference 57).

PHOSPHOINOSITIDE CONTROL OF THE ACTIVITY OF ION TRANSPORT PROTEINS

Table 1 lists K⁺ channels sensitive to PIPs. Numerous other channels and transporters sensitive to PIPs continue to be discovered (e.g., Reference 9 and Supplemental Tables 1 and 2). The functional effect of PIPs and the relative effectiveness of various PIP species tested, when available, are also shown. The various approaches typically used to study PIP regulation of ion channel activity have been reviewed elsewhere (e.g., References 46 and 58) and are not discussed here. Similarly, brief descriptions of the physiology, tissue distribution, and pathophysiology of the channels listed in the tables can be found elsewhere (e.g., Reference 9) and are not discussed further in this review. We comment only that the impressive number of ion channel proteins displaying functional dependence on PIPs underscores that this highly conserved form of regulation of ion channel activity likely reflects a general mechanism of coupling of a channel gate(s) to the PIP sensor(s).

In the course of the past decade, the ever-growing list of ion channels whose activity depends on PIPs shows that channel interactions with PIPs are a fundamental way by which to control the gating (transitions between their active and inactive states) of these transmembrane proteins. Three-dimensional structures of channel proteins in complex with PI(4,5)P₂ have confirmed the critical positioning of PI(4,5)P₂ relative to that of the channel gates. Kir channels stand out among channel subfamilies in that they have been studied extensively in terms of both their dependence on PIPs (Table 1) and their high-resolution structures (Table 2). Several studies on Kir channels have demonstrated direct effects of PIPs on single-channel gating (43, 54, 59, 60), strongly suggesting that channel-PIP

interactions affect activity by altering protein conformation and gating. An alternative or parallel mechanism by which PIPs may alter activity is through regulating the number of channels by triggering their internalization from or insertion into the plasma membrane (see below).

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations can provide useful information about transitions of a given protein from one stable state to another. The Kir3.1 chimera structure has been used to study how PIP binding and gating can be coupled, using atomistic simulations and experimental approaches (61). The MacKinnon lab, to obtain high-resolution diffracting crystals, constructed the Kir3.1 chimera (53). Panels *a* and *b* of Figure 3 show a superposition of two distinct conformations, a constricted conformation and a dilated conformation, obtained from a single-crystal form. The mammalian cytosolic domains, where PI(4,5)P₂ and other regulators (such as G proteins) exert their effects, revealed differences between the two conformations (highlighted by superposition of closed and open structures in Figure 3*a,b*) in the cytoplasmic G-loop. Kir channels possess a long pore that utilizes the cytoplasmic portion of the channel to extend the transmembrane portion of the pore (Figure 3*a–c*). Accumulating evidence has implicated the G-loop as a PI(4,5)P₂-sensitive cytoplasmic gate (Figure 3*c*) (62, 63). Moreover, disease-causing mutations of the G-loop in Kir2.1 (Andersen's syndrome, loss of function) and Kir6.2 (DEND syndrome, gain of function) further underscore the importance of the functional integrity of the G-loop (64–66). The Kir3.1 chimera structure showed that in the constricted conformation, the sulfur atoms of M308 line the pore, occluding the passage of even a dehydrated K⁺ ion. In contrast, in the dilated conformation, the oxygen atoms of Y306 and G307 line the pore, allowing even a hydrated K⁺ ion to pass through (53). The G-loop gate is located in series to the two other gates that also operate in many other channels that do not possess cytosolic extensions of their pores: the inner helix bundle [or helix bundle crossing (HBC)] gate and the selectivity filter (SF) gate (Figure 3*c*). Leal-Pinto and colleagues (67) showed that the Kir3.1 chimera requires internal PI(4,5)P₂ for reconstitution into planar lipid bilayers, showing Mg²⁺-dependent inward rectification and a diC8-PI(4,5)P₂ EC₅₀ of ~17 μM. Thus, the Kir3.1 chimera offers a good model for studying structure and function relationships for PI(4,5)P₂ gating of Kir channels.

Colleagues from the Gulbis lab obtained 11 bacterial crystal structures mainly of the KirBac3.1 channel and presented several critical interdomain correlations within the channel (68). Two distinct arrangements of the cytoplasmic interface between two subunits (involving the N terminus in one subunit and the βM sheet in the other), termed latched and unlatched conformations, were closely correlated to the constriction and dilation of the G-loop gate, described by Nishida and colleagues (53) (see below). Transition from the latched to the unlatched conformation occurs through a staged path for each of the four interfaces, and only when all four interfaces adopt unlatched conformations can the channel reach the open state. Moreover, a twisted motion of the cytoplasmic domain relative to the transmembrane domain was directly related to the ion distribution in the SF of the channel.

Experimental and modeling studies have identified a number of key channel regions that are likely involved in the PI(4,5)P₂-induced gating process. These regions are the N terminus (K49, R52); the slide helix (R66, K79, R81); the C-linker, which connects the C terminus

and the inner transmembrane helix (K183, K188, K189, R190); the CD-loop (R219, R229); and the end of the G-loop gate (R313). It is likely that these channel regions are intimately involved in the gating process and that PI(4,5)P₂ (and other signals listed in the next section) stabilizes the open (or closed) conformation of the channel by enhancing specific intra- and intersubunit interactions with the channel gates (G-loop, HBC, and SF; Figure 3c).

Meng and colleagues (61) simulated, in the presence of PI(4,5)P₂, an intermediate state between the closed (or constricted) conformation and the open (or dilated) conformation of the G-loop gate (53). In going from the closed conformation to the open conformation, the LM-loop makes a large upward movement to interact closely with the N terminus to form the βA-βM interaction. The CD-loop, in contrast, switches from (a) close interactions with the N terminus in the closed state to (b) preferential interactions with the G-loop to stabilize its conformation in the open state. These movements are grossly visible in the structures of the two conformations of the G-loop gate (Figure 3d). A recent review focused on additional MD studies that have contributed to our structural understanding of Kir channel gating by PIPs (55).

MODULATORY SIGNALS THAT TARGET THE PHOSPHOINOSITIDE-INDUCED GATING MECHANISM

Here we again use Kir channels as the example for modulation by cholesterol, phosphorylation, G proteins, intracellular Na⁺ ions, and pH to illustrate the convergence with the PI(4,5)P₂-controlled gating mechanism. Indeed, multiple cellular signals regulating Kir channel activity may converge to affect channel-PI(4,5)P₂ interactions and exert their effects (39, 44).

Rosenhouse-Dantsker and colleagues (69) set out to identify the molecular determinants accounting for differences between two Kir2 channels, Kir2.1 and Kir2.3. They identified critical residues that accounted for differences in cholesterol sensitivity between the two channels residing in the N terminus, in the C-linker (the connection between the transmembrane domains and the C terminus), in the CD-loop, and in the G-loop gate, forming a cytosolic belt that surrounds the pore of the channel close to its interface with the transmembrane domain. Interestingly, modeling studies showed that cholesterol docked at sites that did not involve directly any of the identified residues, suggesting that the effect of cholesterol is transmitted to these sites allosterically. Finally, motions of the cytosolic belt residues were shown to correlate or anticorrelate with residues located in the most flexible region of the G-loop, suggesting that these residues are critical for channel gating. It is noteworthy that the cytosolic belt residues reside in the same regions in which PI(4,5)P₂-sensitive residues are found and that most of them have been directly or indirectly implicated in the PI(4,5)P₂ sensitivity of Kir2.1 (54). Thus, although cholesterol seems to act independently of PI(4,5)P₂, it converges to allosterically control residues similar to those that PI(4,5)P₂ controls.

Modulation of Channel-PI(4,5)P₂ Interaction by Protein Phosphorylation

One well-studied case of phosphorylation affecting channel-PI(4,5)P₂ interactions involves the Kir1.1 channel, which is responsible for renal K⁺ secretion. Liou and colleagues (70) used the well-established activation of Kir1.1 by PKA to show that PKA influences PI(4,5)P₂-mediated activation of Kir1.1, presumably by enhancing the channel's affinity for PI(4,5)P₂. PKA stimulation reduced the ability of PI(4,5)P₂ antibody to inhibit channel activity and sensitized the channel to PI(4,5)P₂. The C terminus of Kir1.1 contains juxtamembrane positive residues as well as two PKA phosphorylation sites at S219 and S313. These sites are found next to basic residues that are sensitive to PI(4,5)P₂ (54). Mutation of these phosphorylation sites to alanine destabilized channel-PI(4,5)P₂ interactions (70). PKA also activates G protein-gated Kir3 channels. Similarly to Kir1.1, PKA-mediated phosphorylation of heteromeric Kir3.1/3.4 in excised patches results in increased PI(4,5)P₂ affinity (71).

In contrast to PKA activation, PKC activation by phorbol esters inhibits Kir1.1 and Kir3.1/3.4 activity. PKC appears to reduce the PI(4,5)P₂ content in excised membrane patches of Kir1.1, rather than reducing channel affinity for PI(4,5)P₂. Consistent with this finding, purified PKC had no effect on wild-type or mutant Kir1.1 with reduced PI(4,5)P₂ affinity, and the PKC activators PMA (phorbol myristate acetate) and OAG (oleoyl acetyl glycerol) inhibited mutant Kir1.1 (72). Investigating Kir3.1/3.4 channels, Keselman and colleagues (73) found that purified PKC δ dose-dependently inhibited wild-type channels in excised patches while also reducing the apparent affinity for PI(4,5)P₂. Furthermore, PKC activation by phorbol esters did not alter PI(4,5)P₂ levels. Given these differing results, it is unclear whether PKC inhibits Kir channel activity by affecting channel-PI(4,5)P₂ interactions directly (in the case of Kir3.1/3.4) or by reducing the available PI(4,5)P₂ for channel activation (in the case of Kir1.1). Clearly, divergent mechanisms of modulation by protein kinase phosphorylation converge on PI(4,5)P₂, which is a central effector of channel activation.

The Ca²⁺-activated small-conductance K⁺ (SK) channels were recently shown to be PI(4,5)P₂ sensitive (74). SK channels are activated exclusively by Ca²⁺-bound calmodulin (CaM) (75) and are negatively regulated by phosphorylation of CaM in response to neurotransmitters (76–78). The putative PI(4,5)P₂-binding site in the SK channel subtype 2 (SK2)/CaM complex involves the central linker of CaM and an SK2 channel fragment that connects the transmembrane S6 domain to the CaM-binding domain, a region previously implicated in coupling Ca²⁺ binding of CaM and the opening of SK channels (Figure 4a) (79). A threonine residue (T79) in the CaM central linker phosphorylated by casein kinase 2 lies near the putative PI(4,5)P₂-binding site of the SK2/CaM complex (Figure 4b). Introduction of negative charge at this site (mimicked by T79D mutation) can effectively reduce the apparent affinity of PI(4,5)P₂ for the channel complex (Figure 4c). Indeed, the phosphomimetic T79D mutant CaM reduces the PI(4,5)P₂ apparent affinity of SK2 channels ~11-fold and confers much more prominent inhibition by PI(4,5)P₂ hydrolysis stimulated by Gq protein-coupled muscarinic receptors (1). These results provide an example of how physiological stimuli, such as protein phosphorylation, can decrease the affinity of PI(4,5)P₂

for transmembrane proteins, such as ion channels, and make them more susceptible to modulation by PI(4,5)P₂.

Another study explored the relationship between Ca²⁺ sensitivity of the Slo1 subunit of big-conductance K⁺ (BK) channels and PI(4,5)P₂ regulation (80). BK channels show little to no rundown in inside-out patch recordings. Yet, rundown can be observed under conditions of low [Ca²⁺]_i. The PI(4,5)P₂ sensitivity of these channels (81) was tightly coupled to the RCK1 Ca²⁺ coordination site (80). In this case, increases in [Ca²⁺]_i allosterically enhance channel-PI(4,5)P₂ interactions and thus channel activity.

Other Mechanisms of Modulating Channel-PI(4,5)P₂ Interactions

A complex interplay between intracellular Na⁺, Gβγ subunits, and PI(4,5)P₂ occurs to open Kir3.1/3.4 channels. Whereas exogenous PI(4,5)P₂ can fully activate excised patches containing Kir3.1/3.4 channels, albeit with a slow time course, concomitant application of purified Gβγ sensitizes the channel for rapid activation by PI(4,5)P₂ (82, 83). Recent structures of Kir3.1 or Kir3.2 in complex with Gβγ (52, 84) have revealed how the interactions of the two proteins may stabilize the channel in a conformation that strengthens channel-PI(4,5)P₂ interactions.

Interaction with intracellular Na⁺ in Kir3.2 and Kir3.4 depends on an aspartate residue located in the CD-loop, near a conserved arginine residue that is critical for PI(4,5)P₂ sensitivity. Molecular modeling suggested that Na⁺ coordinates with the negatively charged aspartate, freeing a nearby positive residue to exert its influence on PI(4,5)P₂ binding. This residue is an asparagine in the Na⁺-insensitive Kir3.1 or Kir2.1, and the D223N mutation in Kir3.4 renders the channel insensitive to Na⁺, effectively increasing PI(4,5)P₂ sensitivity (85). Evidence from a crystal structure has confirmed these results (51).

Multiple Kir channels, including Kir1.1 and Kir1.2, Kir2.3, and Kir4.1/5.1 heteromers, also sense changes in intracellular pH. The ability to monitor intracellular pH makes these channels important in controlling K⁺ secretion and acid/base balance in the kidney, as well as in sensing CO₂ levels in the brain. Hypercapnia and acidosis inhibit Kir1.1, Kir1.2, Kir2.3, and Kir4.1/5.1 channels. This inhibition depends on a critical lysine (K80 in Kir1.1, K61 in Kir1.2, and K67 in Kir4.1) near the HBC. Channels with this lysine mutant in the presence of PI(4,5)P₂ show almost no sensitivity to changes in pH. In the presence of PI(4,5)P₂, homomeric Kir4.1 has relatively low pH sensitivity, but heteromeric Kir4.1/5.1 has enhanced CO₂ and pH sensing. Whether the pH sensor controls the channel gate, or whether protonation directly alters channel-PI(4,5)P₂ interactions, is unclear. Application of PI(4,5)P₂ in excised patches left-shifts the pH sensitivity of Kir4.1/5.1, making the channel less responsive to intracellular proton-mediated inhibition. PI(4,5)P₂ in the cellular environment probably reduces the pH-mediated inhibition inherent in the channel (86).

Rapedius and colleagues (87) suggest that PI(4,5)P₂ and pH may converge upon similar gating mechanisms, pinpointing a critical hydrogen bond at the HBC between the M1 and M2 transmembrane helices as responsible for stabilizing the closed state. Mutation that abrogates this hydrogen bond results in faster PI(4,5)P₂-mediated activation, indicating a faster closed-to-open transition. Although the kinetics of inhibition onset are unchanged by

mutating the hydrogen bonding residues, the recovery from inhibition reflecting the closed-to-open transition is slowed in the presence of residues supporting a hydrogen bond. The authors conclude that PI(4,5)P₂ activation and recovery from pH inhibition converge on a similar gating mechanism at this hydrogen bond and that hydrogen bonding further stabilizes a pH-inhibited closed state (87). More examples of intracellular signaling modulating channel-PI(4,5)P₂ interactions will undoubtedly become apparent as familiar pathways of channel modulation are reexamined for PI(4,5)P₂ dependence.

Voltage-Dependent Channel Modulation by PI(4,5)P₂

Although many studies investigating channel-PI(4,5)P₂ interactions rely on nonphysiological conditions, using cell-free patches or exogenous PI(4,5)P₂, there are multiple examples of physiological pathways coupled to altered PI(4,5)P₂ levels. The KCNQ2/3 heteromeric current termed the M current in sympathetic neurons is subject to modulation from PLC-coupled stimuli like acetylcholine and bradykinin. Hydrolysis of PI(4,5)P₂ results in a decrease in the slow voltage-activated current carried by KCNQ2/3, ultimately increasing synaptic excitability and firing rate (88). Similar mechanisms exert a dual effect on P/Q-type and N-type voltage-gated calcium channels in neurons (89, 90). Rodríguez-Menchaca et al. (91, 92) and Abderemane-Ali et al. (93) observed a similar dual effect for Kv1.2 and Shaker-like channels: PI(4,5)P₂ depletion left-shifted the voltage dependence of activation, increasing current while at the same time decreasing the open probability. These events decreased the current level. A dual effect was also seen in the sea urchin HCN channel, but in the reverse order (91). Kruse et al. (94) tested a number of Kv channels in intact cells and found most of them to be insensitive to PI(4,5)P₂; this list includes Kv1.1/Kvβ1.1, Kv1.3, Kv1.4, Kv1.5/Kvβ1.3, Kv2.1, Kv3.4, Kv4.2, and Kv4.3 (with different Kv channel-interacting proteins). Kruse & Hille (95) replicated the PIP₂ dependence of Kv1.2. Using genetic mutation approaches in *Drosophila*, Krause and colleagues (96) demonstrated that PI(4,5)P₂ exerts a voltage-dependent inhibition on a delayed rectifier K⁺ channel (Shab). Light stimulation activated PLC in rods, resulting in PI(4,5)P₂ hydrolysis that subsequently activated the Shab repolarizing current. Why, under intact cell conditions, some Kv channels show clear PI(4,5)P₂ dependence, whereas others do not, remains to be further investigated.

PHOSPHOINOSITIDE EFFECTS ON TRAFFICKING OF ION CHANNELS AND TRANSPORTERS

We discuss above that regulation of ion channel activity by PIPs feeds directly into the gating mechanisms of ion channels and that other regulatory mechanisms that change the gating behavior of ion channels often proceed through or complement the effect of PIP binding on the channel. However, there seems to be yet another side to PIP regulation of ion channels. PIPs are intricately involved in both endocytic and exocytic processes of the cell, as discussed above. Thus, it should come as no surprise that PIPs are capable of altering ion channel and transporter trafficking, ultimately affecting the population of molecules that are active (or are available for activation) on the cell surface.

The Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchanger (NCX1) is one of the first ion transport proteins shown to be regulated by $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ (34). Direct application of $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ on excised membrane patches containing NCX1 induces potentiation of the activity of the transporter (34, 97). Yet, in whole-cell patches, perfusion of $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ leads to an initial increase in the outward exchange current, followed by a decrease in the current and a concomitant decrease in cell capacitance (i.e., membrane area) over a period of 3 min (97). Utilizing an approach to permanently modify the surface-exposed exchangers through addition of a polyethylene glycol moiety, Shen et al. (98) showed that expression of $\text{PIP5KI}\beta$ (and other manipulations that increase the PIP content of baby hamster kidney cells) decreases the surface fraction of the exchanger. The underlying form of endocytosis likely represents massive endocytosis, a clathrin-independent endocytic process that is thought to be activated by Ca^{2+} . Importantly, the presence of $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ negates the requirement for ATP in the induction of this process (99).

A similar case involves the epithelial Na^+ channel (ENaC). ENaC is activated by $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ and PIP_3 , likely through direct interactions (e.g., Reference 100). Yet, coexpression of $\text{PIP5KI}\alpha$ decreases ENaC current, and this decrease is attributed to decreased surface expression of the channel due to epsin-mediated endocytosis (101).

At least two TRP channels exhibit some paradoxical regulation by PIPs. As was the case with NCX1, TRPC5 is activated by $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ in inside-out patches, but $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ perfusion in whole-cell patches inhibits current (102). Moreover, EGFR stimulation enhances TRPC5 currents. From a gating standpoint, this effect would be contradictory because EGFR activation leads to decreased $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ concentration in the membrane and should decrease channel current. However, EGFR activation initiates membrane insertion of TRPC5 channels, a process that depends on PI3K, Rac1, and $\text{PIP5KI}\alpha$ and that ultimately increases TRPC5 currents (103). Another TRP channel, TRPV1, presents a similar case. TRPV1 is activated by $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ (and other PIPs) in inside-out macropatches (104), but growth factor receptor activation leads to potentiation of TRPV1 activity. Similarly to TRPC5, the potentiating effect of growth factor receptor stimulation relies on PI3K signaling and involves the insertion of channels into the plasma membrane after phosphorylation of a single tyrosine residue on the channel by Src kinase (105, 106).

Glutamate receptors also seem to exhibit some form of regulation by PIPs related to trafficking events. Activation of PLC-coupled receptors decreases NMDA receptor (NMDAR) currents by disrupting interactions between $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ and the cytoskeleton-associated protein α -actinin, which is an adaptor between the lipid and the channel (107). NMDAR regulation by $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ seems to be dependent largely on trafficking, as disruption of dynamin binding to amphiphysin and conditions that alter actin depolymerization negate the regulation (108). Moreover, the amplitude of excitatory postsynaptic currents is increased in synaptojanin 1 knockout neurons because of an increase in surface-exposed AMPA receptors (AMPArs) (109). Synaptojanin 1 is a lipid phosphatase that is important in clathrin-mediated endocytosis because it enables shedding of endocytic factors from the membrane by dephosphorylating $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$. Thus, defects in endocytosis increase AMPAR whole-cell current due to an enlarged pool of receptors that can be activated upon stimulation. Finally, PIP_3 is involved in the clustering and stabilizing of AMPARs in the

synaptic compartment, a process that involves the recruitment of the scaffolding molecule PSD-95 and that is necessary for the induction and maintenance of long-term potentiation (110).

The mechanistic basis of how PIPs regulate trafficking processes that target ion channels is still lacking and will require further study. Yet, given the fact that most ion channels are activated by PIPs, it is perhaps reassuring to think that, in the native environment of a cell, PIPs represent something more than a general ON switch. Thus, cases of paradoxical regulation likely represent an integration of processes controlling direct gating mechanisms and trafficking. Such integration could be seen as a manifestation of physiological checks and balances that are in place to provide feedback (positive or negative) to the system. From all available evidence, a picture of PIPs acting as signaling integrators is emerging.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all members of the Logothetis lab, past and present, who have contributed to the views presented in this review. We are grateful to Avia Rosenhouse-Dantsker for her critical reading of the manuscript. D.E.L. was supported by NIH grants R01-HL059949 and R01-090882, M.C. was supported by the NIH S10RR027411 shared instrumentation grant, and R.M. was supported by an NIH F30 predoctoral grant from the NHLBI.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Lemmon MA. Membrane recognition by phospholipid-binding domains. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2008; 9(2):99–111. [PubMed: 18216767]
2. McLaughlin S, Murray D. Plasma membrane phosphoinositide organization by protein electrostatics. *Nature.* 2005; 438(7068):605–611. [PubMed: 16319880]
3. Di Paolo G, De Camilli P. Phosphoinositides in cell regulation and membrane dynamics. *Nature.* 2006; 443(7112):651–657. [PubMed: 17035995]
4. Van Meer G, Voelker DR, Feigenson GW. Membrane lipids: where they are and how they behave. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2008; 9(2):112–124. [PubMed: 18216768]
5. Roth MG. Phosphoinositides in constitutive membrane traffic. *Physiol. Rev.* 2004; 84(3):699–730. [PubMed: 15269334]
6. Behnia R, Munro S. Organelle identity and the signposts for membrane traffic. *Nature.* 2005; 438(7068):597–604. [PubMed: 16319879]
7. Barlow CA, Laishram RS, Anderson RA. Nuclear phosphoinositides: a signaling enigma wrapped in a compartmental conundrum. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2010; 20(1):25–35. [PubMed: 19846310]
8. Jones DR, Divecha N. Linking lipids to chromatin. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 2004; 14(2):196–202. [PubMed: 15196467]
9. Logothetis DE, Petrou VI, Adney SK, Mahajan R. Channelopathies linked to plasma membrane phosphoinositides. *Pflüg. Arch.* 2010; 460(2):321–341.
10. Berman DE, Dall'Armi C, Voronov SV, McIntire LBJ, Zhang H, et al. Oligomeric amyloid- β peptide disrupts phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate metabolism. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2008; 11(5):547–554. [PubMed: 18391946]
11. Voronov SV, Frere SG, Giovedi S, Pollina EA, Borel C, et al. Synaptotagmin 1-linked phosphoinositide dyshomeostasis and cognitive deficits in mouse models of Down's syndrome. *PNAS.* 2008; 105(27):9415–9420. [PubMed: 18591654]
12. Nawaz S, Kippert A, Saab AS, Werner HB, Lang T, et al. Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate-dependent interaction of myelin basic protein with the plasma membrane in oligodendroglial cells

- and its rapid perturbation by elevated calcium. *J. Neurosci.* 2009; 29(15):4794–4807. [PubMed: 19369548]
13. Cremona O, De Camilli P. Phosphoinositides in membrane traffic at the synapse. *J. Cell Sci.* 2001; 114(6):1041–1052. [PubMed: 11228149]
 14. Murthy VN, De Camilli P. Cell biology of the presynaptic terminal. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 2003; 26:701–728. [PubMed: 14527272]
 15. Takei K, Yoshida Y, Yamada H. Regulatory mechanisms of dynamin-dependent endocytosis. *J. Biochem.* 2005; 137(3):243–247. [PubMed: 15809324]
 16. Clayton EL, Cousin MA. The molecular physiology of activity-dependent bulk endocytosis of synaptic vesicles. *J. Neurochem.* 2009; 111(4):901–914. [PubMed: 19765184]
 17. Koch M, Holt M. Coupling exo- and endocytosis: an essential role for PIP₂ at the synapse. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2012; 1821(8):1114–1132. [PubMed: 22387937]
 18. Wenk MR, De Camilli P. Protein-lipid interactions and phosphoinositide metabolism in membrane traffic: insights from vesicle recycling in nerve terminals. *PNAS.* 2004; 101(22):8262–8269. [PubMed: 15146067]
 19. Bai J, Tucker WC, Chapman ER. PIP₂ increases the speed of response of synaptotagmin and steers its membrane-penetration activity toward the plasma membrane. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2004; 11(1):36–44. [PubMed: 14718921]
 20. Cingolani LA, Goda Y. Actin in action: the interplay between the actin cytoskeleton and synaptic efficacy. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2008; 9(5):344–356. [PubMed: 18425089]
 21. Hotulainen P, Hoogenraad CC. Actin in dendritic spines: connecting dynamics to function. *J. Cell Biol.* 2010; 189(4):619–629. [PubMed: 20457765]
 22. Sechi AS, Wehland J. The actin cytoskeleton and plasma membrane connection: PtdIns(4,5)P₂ influences cytoskeletal protein activity at the plasma membrane. *J. Cell Sci.* 2000; 113(Part 21): 3685–3695. [PubMed: 11034897]
 23. Saarikangas J, Zhao H, Lappalainen P. Regulation of the actin cytoskeleton-plasma membrane interplay by phosphoinositides. *Physiol Rev.* 2010; 90(1):259–289. [PubMed: 20086078]
 24. Niggli V. Regulation of protein activities by phosphoinositide phosphates. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2005; 21:57–79. [PubMed: 16212487]
 25. Li H, Chen G, Zhou B, Duan S. Actin filament assembly by myristoylated alanine-rich C kinase substrate-phosphatidylinositol-4,5-diphosphate signaling is critical for dendrite branching. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 2008; 19(11):4804–4813. [PubMed: 18799624]
 26. Sasaki Y. New aspects of neurotransmitter release and exocytosis: Rho-kinase-dependent myristoylated alanine-rich C-kinase substrate phosphorylation and regulation of neurofilament structure in neuronal cells. *J. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2003; 93(1):35–40. [PubMed: 14501149]
 27. Larsson C. Protein kinase C and the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton. *Cell Signal.* 2006; 18(3): 276–284. [PubMed: 16109477]
 28. Robertson HR, Gibson ES, Benke TA, Dell'Acqua ML. Regulation of postsynaptic structure and function by an A-kinase anchoring protein-membrane-associated guanylate kinase scaffolding complex. *J. Neurosci.* 2009; 29(24):7929–7943. [PubMed: 19535604]
 29. Bauman AL, Goehring AS, Scott JD. Orchestration of synaptic plasticity through AKAP signaling complexes. *Neuropharmacology.* 2004; 46(3):299–310. [PubMed: 14975685]
 30. Dell'Acqua ML, Smith KE, Gorski JA, Horne EA, Gibson ES, Gomez LL. Regulation of neuronal PKA signaling through AKAP targeting dynamics. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 2006; 85(7):627–633. [PubMed: 16504338]
 31. Wickner W, Schekman R. Membrane fusion. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2008; 15:658–664. [PubMed: 18618939]
 32. Penzes P, Cahill ME, Jones KA, Srivastava DP. Convergent CaMK and RacGEF signals control dendritic structure and function. *Trends Cell Biol.* 2008; 18(9):405–413. [PubMed: 18701290]
 33. Hall A, Lalli G. Rho and Ras GTPases in axon growth, guidance, and branching. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 2010; 2(2) doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a001818.
 34. Hilgemann DW, Ball R. Regulation of cardiac Na⁺, Ca²⁺ exchange and K_{ATP} potassium channels by PIP₂. *Science.* 1996; 273(5277):956–959. [PubMed: 8688080]

35. Hilgemann DW. Cytoplasmic ATP-dependent regulation of ion transporters and channels: mechanisms and messengers. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 1997; 59:193–220. [PubMed: 9074761]
36. Hilgemann DW, Feng S, Nasuhoglu C. The complex and intriguing lives of PIP₂ with ion channels and transporters. *Sci. STKE.* 2001; (111):re19. [PubMed: 11734659]
37. Takano M, Kuratomi S. Regulation of cardiac inwardly rectifying potassium channels by membrane lipid metabolism. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* 2003; 81(1):67–79. [PubMed: 12475570]
38. Suh B-C, Hille B. Regulation of ion channels by phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 2005; 15(3):370–378. [PubMed: 15922587]
39. Xie L-H, John SA, Ribalet B, Weiss JN. Activation of inwardly rectifying potassium (Kir) channels by phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂): interaction with other regulatory ligands. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* 2007; 94(3):320–335. [PubMed: 16837026]
40. Huang C-L. Complex roles of PIP₂ in the regulation of ion channels and transporters. *Am. J. Physiol. Ren. Physiol.* 2007; 293(6):F1761–F1765.
41. Gamper N, Shapiro MS. Regulation of ion transport proteins by membrane phosphoinositides. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2007; 8(12):921–934. [PubMed: 17971783]
42. Rosenhouse-Dantsker A, Logotheitis D. Molecular characteristics of phosphoinositide binding. *Pflüg. Arch.* 2007; 455(1):45–53.
43. Logotheitis DE, Jin T, Lupyan D, Rosenhouse-Dantsker A. Phosphoinositide-mediated gating of inwardly rectifying K⁺ channels. *Pflüg. Arch.* 2007; 455(1):83–95.
44. Logotheitis DE, Lupyan D, Rosenhouse-Dantsker A. Diverse Kir modulators act in close proximity to residues implicated in phosphoinositide binding. *J. Physiol.* 2007; 582(3):953–965. [PubMed: 17495041]
45. Tucker SJ, Baukrowitz T. How highly charged anionic lipids bind and regulate ion channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2008; 131(5):431–438. [PubMed: 18411329]
46. Suh B-C, Hille B. PIP₂ is a necessary cofactor for ion channel function: how and why? *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 2008; 37:175–195. [PubMed: 18573078]
47. Michailidis IE, Rusinova R, Georgakopoulos A, Chen Y, Iyengar R, et al. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate regulates epidermal growth factor receptor activation. *Pflüg. Arch.* 2011; 461(3):387–397.
48. Von Heijne G, Gavel Y. Topogenic signals in integral membrane proteins. *Eur. J. Biochem. FEBS.* 1988; 174(4):671–678.
49. Van Klompenburg W, Nilsson I, von Heijne G, de Kruijff B. Anionic phospholipids are determinants of membrane protein topology. *EMBO J.* 1997; 16(14):4261–4266. [PubMed: 9250669]
50. Hansen SB, Tao X, MacKinnon R. Structural basis of PIP₂ activation of the classical inward rectifier K⁺ channel Kir2.2. *Nature.* 2011; 477(7365):495–498. [PubMed: 21874019]
51. Whorton MR, MacKinnon R. Crystal structure of the mammalian GIRK2 K⁺ channel and gating regulation by G proteins, PIP₂, and sodium. *Cell.* 2011; 147(1):199–208. [PubMed: 21962516]
52. Whorton MR, MacKinnon R. X-ray structure of the mammalian GIRK2-βγ G-protein complex. *Nature.* 2013; 498(7453):190–197. [PubMed: 23739333]
53. Nishida M, Cadene M, Chait BT, MacKinnon R. Crystal structure of a Kir3.1-prokaryotic Kir channel chimera. *EMBO J.* 2007; 26(17):4005–4015. [PubMed: 17703190]
54. Lopes CMB, Zhang H, Rohacs T, Jin T, Yang J, Logotheitis DE. Alterations in conserved Kir channel-PIP₂ interactions underlie channelopathies. *Neuron.* 2002; 34(6):933–944. [PubMed: 12086641]
55. Fürst O, Mondou B, D'Avanzo N. Phosphoinositide regulation of inward rectifier potassium (Kir) channels. *Front. Physiol.* 2014; 4:404. [PubMed: 24409153]
56. Lee S-J, Wang S, Borschel W, Heyman S, Gyore J, Nichols CG. Secondary anionic phospholipid binding site and gating mechanism in Kir2.1 inward rectifier channels. *Nat. Commun.* 2013; 4:2786. [PubMed: 24270915]
57. Rohács T, Lopes CMB, Jin T, Ramdya PP, Molnár Z, Logotheitis DE. Specificity of activation by phosphoinositides determines lipid regulation of Kir channels. *PNAS.* 2003; 100(2):745–750. [PubMed: 12525701]

58. Rohács T, Lopes C, Mirshahi T, Jin T, Zhang H, Logotheitis DE. Assaying phosphatidylinositol biphosphate regulation of potassium channels. *Methods Enzymol.* 2002; 345:71–92. [PubMed: 11665643]
59. Jin T, Sui JL, Rosenhouse-Dantsker A, Chan KW, Jan LY, Logotheitis DE. Stoichiometry of Kir channels with phosphatidylinositol biphosphate. *Channels.* 2008; 2(1):19–33. [PubMed: 18690051]
60. Xie L-H, John SA, Ribalet B, Weiss JN. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂) regulation of strong inward rectifier Kir2.1 channels: multilevel positive cooperativity. *J. Physiol.* 2008; 586(7):1833–1848. [PubMed: 18276733]
61. Meng X-Y, Zhang H-X, Logotheitis DE, Cui M. The molecular mechanism by which PIP₂ opens the intracellular G-loop gate of a Kir3.1 channel. *Biophys. J.* 2012; 102(9):2049–2059. [PubMed: 22824268]
62. Pegan S, Arrabit C, Zhou W, Kwiatkowski W, Collins A, et al. Cytoplasmic domain structures of Kir2.1 and Kir3.1 show sites for modulating gating and rectification. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2005; 8(3): 279–287. [PubMed: 15723059]
63. Ma D, Tang XD, Rogers TB, Welling PA. An Andersen-Tawil syndrome mutation in Kir2.1 (V302M) alters the G-loop cytoplasmic K⁺ conduction pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2007; 282(8): 5781–5789. [PubMed: 17166852]
64. Tristani-Firouzi M, Jensen JL, Donaldson MR, Sansone V, Meola G, et al. Functional and clinical characterization of *KCNJ2* mutations associated with LQT7 (Andersen syndrome). *J. Clin. Investig.* 2002; 110(3):381–388. [PubMed: 12163457]
65. Bendahhou S, Donaldson MR, Plaster NM, Tristani-Firouzi M, Fu Y-H, Ptáček LJ. Defective potassium channel Kir2.1 trafficking underlies Andersen-Tawil syndrome. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003; 278(51):51779–51785. [PubMed: 14522976]
66. Proks P, Girard C, Haider S, Gloyn AL, Hattersley AT, et al. A gating mutation at the internal mouth of the Kir6.2 pore is associated with DEND syndrome. *EMBO Rep.* 2005; 6(5):470–475. [PubMed: 15864298]
67. Leal-Pinto E, Gómez-Llorente Y, Sundaram S, Tang Q-Y, Ivanova-Nikolova T, et al. Gating of a G protein-sensitive mammalian Kir3.1-prokaryotic Kir channel chimera in planar lipid bilayers. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2010; 285(51):39790–39800. [PubMed: 20937804]
68. Clarke OB, Caputo AT, Hill AP, Vandenberg JI, Smith BJ, Gulbis JM. Domain reorientation and rotation of an intracellular assembly regulate conduction in Kir potassium channels. *Cell.* 2010; 141(6):1018–1029. [PubMed: 20564790]
69. Rosenhouse-Dantsker A, Logotheitis DE, Levitan I. Cholesterol sensitivity of Kir2.1 is controlled by a belt of residues around the cytosolic pore. *Biophys. J.* 2011; 100(2):381–389. [PubMed: 21244834]
70. Liou H-H, Zhou S-S, Huang C-L. Regulation of ROMK1 channel by protein kinase A via a phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate-dependent mechanism. *PNAS.* 1999; 96(10):5820–5825. [PubMed: 10318968]
71. Lopes CMB, Remon JI, Matavel A, Sui JL, Keselman I, et al. Protein kinase A modulates PLC-dependent regulation and PIP₂-sensitivity of K⁺ channels. *Channels.* 2007; 1(2):124–134. [PubMed: 18690021]
72. Zeng W-Z, Li X-J, Hilgemann DW, Huang C-L. Protein kinase C inhibits ROMK1 channel activity via a phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate-dependent mechanism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003; 278(19):16852–16856. [PubMed: 12615924]
73. Keselman I, Fribourg M, Felsenfeld DP, Logotheitis DE. Mechanism of PLC-mediated Kir3 current inhibition. *Channels.* 2007; 1(2):113–123. [PubMed: 18690019]
74. Zhang M, Meng X-Y, Cui M, Pascal J, Logotheitis D, Zhang J-F. Modulation of the PIP₂ sensitivity of the CaM-SK channel complex through selective phosphorylation. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2014 In press.
75. Xia XM, Fakler B, Rivard A, Wayman G, Johnson-Pais T, et al. Mechanism of calcium gating in small-conductance calcium-activated potassium channels. *Nature.* 1998; 395(6701):503–507. [PubMed: 9774106]

76. Allen D, Fakler B, Maylie J, Adelman JP. Organization and regulation of small conductance Ca^{2+} -activated K^{+} channel multiprotein complexes. *J. Neurosci.* 2007; 27(9):2369–2376. [PubMed: 17329434]
77. Bildl W, Strassmaier T, Thurm H, Andersen J, Eble S, et al. Protein kinase CK2 is coassembled with small conductance Ca^{2+} -activated K^{+} channels and regulates channel gating. *Neuron.* 2004; 43(6):847–858. [PubMed: 15363395]
78. Maingret F, Coste B, Hao J, Giamarchi A, Allen D, et al. Neurotransmitter modulation of small-conductance Ca^{2+} -activated K^{+} channels by regulation of Ca^{2+} gating. *Neuron.* 2008; 59(3):439–449. [PubMed: 18701069]
79. Zhang M, Pascal JM, Zhang J-F. Unstructured to structured transition of an intrinsically disordered protein peptide in coupling Ca^{2+} -sensing and SK channel activation. *PNAS.* 2013; 110(12):4828–4833. [PubMed: 23487779]
80. Tang Q-Y, Zhang Z, Meng X-Y, Cui M, Logothetis DE. Structural determinants of PIP_2 regulation of BK channel activity through the RCK1 Ca^{2+} coordination site. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2014; 289(27):18860–18872. [PubMed: 24778177]
81. Vaithianathan T, Bukiya A, Liu J, Liu P, Asuncion-Chin M, et al. Direct regulation of BK channels by phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate as a novel signaling pathway. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2008; 132(1):13–28. [PubMed: 18562499]
82. Huang C-L, Feng S, Hilgemann DW. Direct activation of inward rectifier potassium channels by PIP_2 and its stabilization by $\text{G}\beta\gamma$. *Nature.* 1998; 391(6669):803–806. [PubMed: 9486652]
83. Zhang H, He C, Yan X, Mirshahi T, Logothetis DE. Activation of inwardly rectifying K^{+} channels by distinct $\text{PtdIns}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ interactions. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 1999; 1(3):183–188. [PubMed: 10559906]
84. Mahajan R, Ha J, Zhang M, Kawano T, Kozasa T, Logothetis DE. A computational model predicts that $\text{G}\beta\gamma$ acts at a cleft between channel subunits to activate GIRK1 channels. *Sci. Signal.* 2013; 6(288):ra69. [PubMed: 23943609]
85. Rosenhouse-Dantsker A, Sui JL, Zhao Q, Rusinova R, Rodríguez-Menchaca AA, et al. A sodium-mediated structural switch that controls the sensitivity of Kir channels to $\text{PtdIns}(4,5)\text{P}_2$. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2008; 4(10):624–631. [PubMed: 18794864]
86. Yang Z, Xu H, Cui N, Qu Z, Chanchevalap S, et al. Biophysical and molecular mechanisms underlying the modulation of heteromeric Kir4.1-Kir5.1 channels by CO_2 and pH. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2000; 116(1):33–46. [PubMed: 10871638]
87. Rapedius M, Fowler PW, Shang L, Sansom MSP, Tucker SJ, Baukowitz T. H bonding at the helix-bundle crossing controls gating in Kir potassium channels. *Neuron.* 2007; 55(4):602–614. [PubMed: 17698013]
88. Delmas P, Brown DA. Pathways modulating neural KCNQ/M ($\text{Kv}7$) potassium channels. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2005; 6(11):850–862. [PubMed: 16261179]
89. Michailidis I, Zhang Y, Yang J. The lipid connection—regulation of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels by phosphoinositides. *Pflüg. Arch.* 2007; 455(1):147–155.
90. Roberts-Crowley ML, Mitra-Ganguli T, Liu L, Rittenhouse AR. Regulation of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels by lipids. *Cell Calcium.* 2009; 45(6):589–601. [PubMed: 19419761]
91. Rodríguez-Menchaca AA, Adney SK, Zhou L, Logothetis DE. Dual regulation of voltage-sensitive ion channels by PIP_2 . *Pharmacol. Ion Channels Channelopathies.* 2012; 3:170.
92. Rodríguez-Menchaca AA, Adney SK, Tang Q-Y, Meng X-Y, Rosenhouse-Dantsker A, et al. PIP_2 controls voltage-sensor movement and pore opening of Kv channels through the S4-S5 linker. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2012; 109(36):E2399–E2408. [PubMed: 22891352]
93. Abderemane-Ali F, Es-Salah-Lamoureux Z, Delemotte L, Kasimova MA, Labro AJ, et al. Dual effect of phosphatidyl (4,5)-bisphosphate PIP_2 on shaker K^{+} channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2012; 287(43):36158–36167. [PubMed: 22932893]
94. Kruse M, Hammond GRV, Hille B. Regulation of voltage-gated potassium channels by $\text{Pi}(4,5)\text{P}_2$. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2012; 140(2):189–205. [PubMed: 22851677]
95. Kruse M, Hille B. The phosphoinositide sensitivity of the Kv channel family. *Channels.* 2013; 7(6):530–536. [PubMed: 23907203]

96. Krause Y, Krause S, Huang J, Liu C-H, Hardie RC, Weckström M. Light-dependent modulation of Shab channels via phosphoinositide depletion in *Drosophila* photoreceptors. *Neuron*. 2008; 59(4): 596–607. [PubMed: 18760696]
97. Yaradanakul A, Feng S, Shen C, Lariccia V, Lin M-J, et al. Dual control of cardiac Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchange by PIP_2 : electrophysiological analysis of direct and indirect mechanisms. *J. Physiol.* 2007; 582(3):991–1010. [PubMed: 17540705]
98. Shen C, Lin M-J, Yaradanakul A, Lariccia V, Hill JA, Hilgemann DW. Dual control of cardiac Na^+ - Ca^{2+} exchange by PIP_2 : analysis of the surface membrane fraction by extracellular cysteine PEGylation. *J. Physiol.* 2007; 582(3):1011–1026. [PubMed: 17540704]
99. Lariccia V, Fine M, Magi S, Lin M-J, Yaradanakul A, et al. Massive calcium-activated endocytosis without involvement of classical endocytic proteins. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2011; 137(1):111–132. [PubMed: 21187336]
100. Pochynyuk O, Tong Q, Medina J, Vandewalle A, Staruschenko A, et al. Molecular determinants of $\text{PI}(4,5)\text{P}_2$ and $\text{PI}(3,4,5)\text{P}_3$ regulation of the epithelial Na^+ channel. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2007; 130(4):399–413. [PubMed: 17893193]
101. Weixel KM, Edinger RS, Kester L, Guerriero CJ, Wang H, et al. Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase reduces cell surface expression of the epithelial sodium channel (ENaC) in cultured collecting duct cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2007; 282(50):36534–36542. [PubMed: 17940289]
102. Trebak M, Lemonnier L, DeHaven W, Wedel B, Bird G, Putney J. Complex functions of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate in regulation of TRPC5 cation channels. *Pflug. Arch.* 2009; 457(4):757–769.
103. Bezzerides VJ, Ramsey IS, Kotecha S, Greka A, Clapham DE. Rapid vesicular translocation and insertion of TRP channels. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2004; 6(8):709–720. [PubMed: 15258588]
104. Lukacs V, Thyagarajan B, Varnai P, Balla A, Balla T, Rohacs T. Dual regulation of TRPV1 by phosphoinositides. *J. Neurosci.* 2007; 27(26):7070–7080. [PubMed: 17596456]
105. Zhang X, Huang J, McNaughton PA. NGF rapidly increases membrane expression of TRPV1 heat-gated ion channels. *EMBO J.* 2005; 24(24):4211–4223. [PubMed: 16319926]
106. Stein AT, Ufret-Vincenty CA, Hua L, Santana LF, Gordon SE. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase binds to TRPV1 and mediates NGF-stimulated TRPV1 trafficking to the plasma membrane. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2006; 128(5):509–522. [PubMed: 17074976]
107. Michailidis IE, Helton TD, Petrou VI, Mirshahi T, Ehlers MD, Logotheitis DE. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate regulates NMDA receptor activity through α -actinin. *J. Neurosci.* 2007; 27(20):5523–5532. [PubMed: 17507574]
108. Mandal M, Yan Z. Phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate regulation of *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor channels in cortical neurons. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2009; 76(6):1349–1359. [PubMed: 19770351]
109. Gong L-W, De Camilli P. Regulation of postsynaptic AMPA responses by synaptojanin 1. *PNAS.* 2008; 105(45):17561–17566. [PubMed: 18987319]
110. Arendt KL, Royo M, Fernandez-Monreal M, Knafo S, Petrok CN, et al. PIP_3 controls synaptic function by maintaining AMPA receptor clustering at the postsynaptic membrane. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2010; 13(1):36–44. [PubMed: 20010819]
111. Zeng W-Z, Liou H-H, Krishna UM, Falck JR, Huang C-L. Structural determinants and specificities for ROMK1-phosphoinositide interaction. *Am. J. Physiol. Ren. Physiol.* 2002; 282(5):F826–F834.
112. MacGregor GG, Dong K, Vanoye CG, Tang L, Giebisch G, Hebert SC. Nucleotides and phospholipids compete for binding to the C terminus of K_{ATP} channels. *PNAS.* 2002; 99(5): 2726–2731. [PubMed: 11880626]
113. Fan Z, Makielski JC. Anionic phospholipids activate ATP-sensitive potassium channels. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1997; 272(9):5388–5395. [PubMed: 9038137]
114. Dong K, Tang L, MacGregor GG, Hebert SC. Localization of the ATP/phosphatidylinositol 4,5 diphosphate-binding site to a 39-amino acid region of the carboxyl terminus of the ATP-regulated K^+ channel Kir1.1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002; 277(51):49366–49373. [PubMed: 12381730]

115. Leung Y-M, Zeng W-Z, Liou H-H, Solaro CR, Huang C-L. Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate and intracellular pH regulate the ROMK1 potassium channel via separate but interrelated mechanisms. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000; 275(14):10182–10189. [PubMed: 10744702]
116. Schulze D, Krauter T, Fritzenschaft H, Soom M, Baukrowitz T. Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂) modulation of ATP and pH sensitivity in Kir channels: a tale of an active and a silent PIP₂ site in the N terminus. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003; 278(12):10500–10505. [PubMed: 12514171]
117. Rapedius M, Paynter JJ, Fowler PW, Shang L, Sansom MSP, et al. Control of pH and PIP₂ gating in heteromeric Kir4.1/Kir5.1 channels by H-bonding at the helix-bundle crossing. *Channels.* 2007; 1(5):327–330. [PubMed: 18690035]
118. Du X, Zhang H, Lopes C, Mirshahi T, Rohacs T, Logothetis DE. Characteristic interactions with phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate determine regulation of Kir channels by diverse modulators. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2004; 279(36):37271–37281. [PubMed: 15155739]
119. Rohács T, Chen J, Prestwich GD, Logothetis DE. Distinct specificities of inwardly rectifying K⁺ channels for phosphoinositides. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1999; 274(51):36065–36072. [PubMed: 10593888]
120. Soom M, Schönherr R, Kubo Y, Kirsch C, Klinger R, Heinemann SH. Multiple PIP₂ binding sites in Kir2.1 inwardly rectifying potassium channels. *FEBS Lett.* 2001; 490(1–2):49–53. [PubMed: 11172809]
121. Ho IHM, Murrell-Lagnado RD. Molecular mechanism for sodium-dependent activation of G protein-gated K⁺ channels. *J. Physiol.* 1999; 520(3):645–651. [PubMed: 10545132]
122. Sui JL, Petit-Jacques J, Logothetis DE. Activation of the atrial K_{ACh} channel by the βγ subunits of G proteins or intracellular Na⁺ ions depends on the presence of phosphatidylinositol phosphates. *PNAS.* 1998; 95(3):1307–1312. [PubMed: 9448327]
123. Lei Q, Talley EM, Bayliss DA. Receptor-mediated inhibition of G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying potassium channels involves Gαq family subunits, phospholipase C, and a readily diffusible messenger. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001; 276(20):16720–16730. [PubMed: 11279027]
124. Kobrinsky E, Mirshahi T, Zhang H, Jin T, Logothetis DE. Receptor-mediated hydrolysis of plasma membrane messenger PIP₂ leads to K⁺-current desensitization. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2000; 2(8):507–514. [PubMed: 10934471]
125. Meyer T, Wellner-Kienitz M-C, Biewald A, Bender K, Eickel A, Pott L. Depletion of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate by activation of phospholipase C-coupled receptors causes slow inhibition but not desensitization of G protein-gated inward rectifier K⁺ current in atrial myocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001; 276(8):5650–5658. [PubMed: 11104770]
126. Cho H, Youm JB, Ryu SY, Earm YE, Ho W-K. Inhibition of acetylcholine-activated K⁺ currents by U73122 is mediated by the inhibition of PIP₂-channel interaction. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2001; 134(5):1066–1072. [PubMed: 11682455]
127. Baukrowitz T, Schulte U, Oliver D, Herlitz S, Krauter T, et al. PIP₂ and PIP as determinants for ATP inhibition of K_{ATP} channels. *Science.* 1998; 282(5391):1141–1144. [PubMed: 9804555]
128. Shyng S-L, Nichols CG. Membrane phospholipid control of nucleotide sensitivity of K_{ATP} channels. *Science.* 1998; 282(5391):1138–1141. [PubMed: 9804554]
129. Shyng S-L, Cukras CA, Harwood J, Nichols CG. Structural determinants of PIP₂ regulation of inward rectifier K_{ATP} channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2000; 116(5):599–608. [PubMed: 11055989]
130. Cukras CA, Jeliaskova I, Nichols CG. Structural and functional determinants of conserved lipid interaction domains of inward rectifying Kir6.2 channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2002; 119(6):581–591. [PubMed: 12034765]
131. Loussouarn G, Pike LJ, Ashcroft FM, Makhina EN, Nichols CG. Dynamic sensitivity of ATP-sensitive K⁺ channels to ATP. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001; 276(31):29098–29103. [PubMed: 11395495]
132. Krauter T, Ruppersberg JP, Baukrowitz T. Phospholipids as modulators of K_{ATP} channels: distinct mechanisms for control of sensitivity to sulphonylureas, K⁺ channel openers, and ATP. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2001; 59(5):1086–1093. [PubMed: 11306691]
133. Xie L-H, Horie M, Takano M. Phospholipase C-linked receptors regulate the ATP-sensitive potassium channel by means of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate metabolism. *PNAS.* 1999; 96(26):15292–15297. [PubMed: 10611378]

134. Haruna T, Yoshida H, Nakamura TY, Xie L-H, Otani H, et al. α_1 -Adrenoceptor-mediated breakdown of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate inhibits pinacidil-activated ATP-sensitive K^+ currents in rat ventricular myocytes. *Circ. Res.* 2002; 91(3):232–239. [PubMed: 12169649]
135. Cheng WWL, Enkvetchakul D, Nichols CG. KirBac1.1: It's an inward rectifying potassium channel. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2009; 133(3):295–305. [PubMed: 19204189]
136. Oliver D, Lien C-C, Soom M, Baukrowitz T, Jonas P, Fakler B. Functional conversion between A-type and delayed rectifier K^+ channels by membrane lipids. *Science.* 2004; 304(5668):265–270. [PubMed: 15031437]
137. Matsushita Y, Ohya S, Suzuki Y, Itoda H, Kimura T, et al. Inhibition of Kv1.3 potassium current by phosphoinositides and stromal-derived factor-1 α in Jurkat T cells. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 2009; 296(5):C1079–C1085. [PubMed: 19295169]
138. Decher N, Gonzalez T, Streit AK, Sachse FB, Renigunta V, et al. Structural determinants of Kv β 1.3-induced channel inactivation: a hairpin modulated by PIP $_2$. *EMBO J.* 2008; 27(23):3164–3174. [PubMed: 18987637]
139. Zhang H, Craciun LC, Mirshahi T, Rohács T, Lopes CM, et al. PIP $_2$ activates KCNJ channels, and its hydrolysis underlies receptor-mediated inhibition of M currents. *Neuron.* 2003; 37(6):963–975. [PubMed: 12670425]
140. Loussouarn G, Park K-H, Bellocq C, Baro I, Charpentier F, Escande D. Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate, PIP $_2$, controls KCNJ1/KCNE1 voltage-gated potassium channels: a functional homology between voltage-gated and inward rectifier K^+ channels. *EMBO J.* 2003; 22(20):5412–5421. [PubMed: 14532114]
141. Suh B-C, Inoue T, Meyer T, Hille B. Rapid chemically induced changes of PtdIns(4,5)P $_2$ gate KCNJ ion channels. *Science.* 2006; 314(5804):1454–1457. [PubMed: 16990515]
142. Hernandez CC, Zaika O, Shapiro MS. A carboxy-terminal inter-helix linker as the site of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate action on Kv7 (M-type) K^+ channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2008; 132(3):361–381. [PubMed: 18725531]
143. Hernandez CC, Falkenburger B, Shapiro MS. Affinity for phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate determines muscarinic agonist sensitivity of Kv7 K^+ channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2009; 134(5):437–448. [PubMed: 19858360]
144. Li Y, Gamper N, Hilgemann DW, Shapiro MS. Regulation of Kv7 (KCNQ) K^+ channel open probability by phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *J. Neurosci.* 2005; 25(43):9825–9835. [PubMed: 16251430]
145. Ford CP, Stemkowski PL, Smith PA. Possible role of phosphatidylinositol 4,5, bisphosphate in luteinizing hormone releasing hormone-mediated M-current inhibition in bullfrog sympathetic neurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2004; 20(11):2990–2998. [PubMed: 15579153]
146. Suh B-C, Hille B. Recovery from muscarinic modulation of M current channels requires phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate synthesis. *Neuron.* 2002; 35(3):507–520. [PubMed: 12165472]
147. Winks JS, Hughes S, Filippov AK, Tatulian L, Abogadie FC, et al. Relationship between membrane phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate and receptor-mediated inhibition of native neuronal M channels. *J. Neurosci.* 2005; 25(13):3400–3413. [PubMed: 15800195]
148. Robbins J, Marsh SJ, Brown DA. Probing the regulation of M (Kv7) potassium channels in intact neurons with membrane-targeted peptides. *J. Neurosci.* 2006; 26(30):7950–7961. [PubMed: 16870740]
149. Park K-H, Piron J, Dahimene S, Merot J, Baro I, et al. Impaired KCNQ1-KCNE1 and phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate interaction underlies the long QT syndrome. *Circ. Res.* 2005; 96(7):730–739. [PubMed: 15746441]
150. Zaika O, Lara LS, Gamper N, Hilgemann DW, Jaffe DB, Shapiro MS. Angiotensin II regulates neuronal excitability via phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate-dependent modulation of Kv7 (M-type) K^+ channels. *J. Physiol.* 2006; 575(1):49–67. [PubMed: 16777936]
151. Bian J, Cui J, McDonald TV. HERG K^+ channel activity is regulated by changes in phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. *Circ. Res.* 2001; 89(12):1168–1176. [PubMed: 11739282]
152. Bian J-S, Kagan A, McDonald TV. Molecular analysis of PIP $_2$ regulation of HERG and I_{Kr} . *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2004; 287(5):H2154–H2163. [PubMed: 15231497]

153. Hirdes W, Horowitz LF, Hille B. Muscarinic modulation of ERG potassium current. *J. Physiol.* 2004; 559(1):67–84. [PubMed: 15235086]
154. Chemin J, Patel AJ, Duprat F, Lauritzen I, Lazdunski M, Honore E. A phospholipid sensor controls mechanogating of the K⁺ channel TREK-1. *EMBO J.* 2005; 24(1):44–53. [PubMed: 15577940]
155. Chemin J, Patel A, Duprat F, Sachs F, Lazdunski M, Honore E. Up- and down-regulation of the mechano-gated K2P channel TREK-1 by PIP₂ and other membrane phospholipids. *Pflug. Arch.* 2007; 455(1):97–103.
156. Lopes CMB, Rohács T, Czirják G, Balla T, Enyedi P, Logotheitis DE. PIP₂ hydrolysis underlies agonist-induced inhibition and regulates voltage gating of two-pore domain K⁺ channels. *J. Physiol.* 2005; 564(1):117–129. [PubMed: 15677683]
157. Chemin J, Girard C, Duprat F, Lesage F, Romey G, Lazdunski M. Mechanisms underlying excitatory effects of group I metabotropic glutamate receptors via inhibition of 2P domain K⁺ channels. *EMBO J.* 2003; 22(20):5403–5411. [PubMed: 14532113]
158. Czirják G, Petheö GL, Spät A, Enyedi P. Inhibition of TASK-1 potassium channel by phospholipase C. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 2001; 281(2):C700–C708. [PubMed: 11443069]
159. Lu M, Hebert SC, Giebisch G. Hydrolyzable ATP and PIP₂ modulate the small-conductance K⁺ channel in apical membranes of rat cortical-collecting duct (CCD). *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2002; 120(5):603–615. [PubMed: 12407074]
160. Tang Q-Y, Zhang Z, Xia J, Ren D, Logotheitis DE. Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate activates Slo3 currents and its hydrolysis underlies the epidermal growth factor-induced current inhibition. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2010; 285(25):19259–19266. [PubMed: 20392696]
161. Nishida M, MacKinnon R. Structural basis of inward rectification: cytoplasmic pore of the G protein-gated inward rectifier GIRK1 at 1.8 Å resolution. *Cell.* 2002; 111(7):957–965. [PubMed: 12507423]
162. Inanobe A, Matsuura T, Nakagawa A, Kurachi Y. Structural diversity in the cytoplasmic region of G protein-gated inward rectifier K⁺ channels. *Channels.* 2007; 1(1):39–45. [PubMed: 19151589]
163. Kuo A, Gulbis JM, Antcliff JF, Rahman T, Lowe ED, et al. Crystal structure of the potassium channel KirBac1.1 in the closed state. *Science.* 2003; 300(5627):1922–1926. [PubMed: 12738871]
164. Tao X, Avalos JL, Chen J, MacKinnon R. Crystal structure of the eukaryotic strong inward-rectifier K⁺ channel Kir2.2 at 3.1 Å resolution. *Science.* 2009; 326(5960):1668–1674. [PubMed: 20019282]

Figure 1.

PIPs, their metabolism, and protein domains that bind them. PI is phosphorylated by specific kinases at the 3', 4', or 5' positions to yield monophosphorylated PIPs. PIP kinases recognize the monophosphorylated species and phosphorylate them to yield the diphosphorylated PIPs. PIP₃ can be formed by phosphorylation of PI(4,5)P₂ by PI3K. The reverse reactions are catalyzed by phosphatases acting at the 3', 4', or 5' positions (5' phosphatase, e.g., SHIPs; 3' phosphatase, e.g., PTEN). Phospholipid-binding domains that recognize specific PIPs are also shown. Modified from Reference 1. Abbreviations: ENTH domain, epsin N-terminal homology domain; FYVE domain, domain common to the Fab1, YOTB, Vac1, and EEA1 proteins; PH domain, pleckstrin homology domain; PHD fingers, plant homeodomain fingers; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PI(3,4)P₂, phosphatidylinositol 3,4-bisphosphate; PI(3,4)P₂5K, phosphatidylinositol 3,4-bisphosphate 5-kinase; PI(3,4,5)P₃, phosphatidylinositol 3,4, 5-trisphosphate; PI(3,5)P₂, phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate; PI(4,5)P₂, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; PI3P, phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate phosphatase; PI3P4K, phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate 4-kinase; PI3P5K, phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate 5-kinase; PI4K, phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase; PI4P, phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate phosphatase; PI4P5K, phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase; PI5K, phosphatidylinositol 5-kinase; PI5P, phosphatidylinositol 5-phosphate phosphatase; PI5P4K, phosphatidylinositol 5-phosphate 4-kinase; PIPs, phosphoinositides; PROPPINS, β-propellers that bind polyphosphoinositides; PTEN,

phosphatase and tensin homolog; PTB domain, phosphotyrosine-binding domain; SHIPs, SH2-containing inositol 5-phosphatase.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 2.

Putative interaction site of the Kir3.1 chimera with PI(4,5)P₂. (a) PI(4,5)P₂ molecules are colored yellow, orange, and red (denoting carbon, phosphorus, and oxygen atoms, respectively). PI(4,5)P₂ is shown in the context of the whole GIRK2 channel (gray). The thick black lines indicate the approximate boundaries of the plasma membrane, and the black box highlights the region of the close-up view in panel b. (b) Close-up view of PI(4,5)P₂ interactions. The main coordinating residues are shown as sticks. Residues K90 and R92 were modeled as alanines due to a lack of electron density but probably contribute to the positive electrostatics of the binding site. The important gating residue of the inner helix (or helix bundle crossing), F192, is also shown for reference. (c) The juxtamembrane Kir3.1 chimera region, where a nonylglucoside (red) with its contoured omit map (cyan mesh) is cocrystallized with the channel. Channel subunits and selected side chains near the detergent molecule are colored green and yellow, respectively. Residues 303–308 in the G-loop are colored cyan. Side chains colored white alter activation by PI(4,5)P₂ when mutated. Among them, side chains of K188, K189, E192, and R219 were disordered and poorly defined in the electron-density map, and residues 67 and 68 on the N-terminal side of the interfacial helix (yellow) were omitted for clarity. Abbreviations: CTD, C-terminal domain; PI(4,5)P₂, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; TM, transmembrane. Panels a and b adapted from Reference 51 with permission from Elsevier. Panel c adapted from Reference 53 with permission from the European Molecular Biology Organization.

Figure 3.

Structure of the Kir3.1 chimera and its activation by phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate [PI(4,5)P₂]. (a) Superposition of two crystallized conformations of the chimeric crystal. For clarity, only two opposing subunits of the homotetramer are shown. The cytoplasmic pore in one channel (blue) is dilated, whereas the other (red) is constricted. Residues 302–309 in the G-loop are highlighted. Panel a adapted from Nishida et al. (53). (b) Surface representations of the subunits shown in panel a, showing the movement of the cytosolic domains as the G-loop gate transitions from a constricted (closed, orange) to a dilated (open, blue) conformation. (c) Similar snapshot as in panel a, with all three gates labeled (in red). The blue dots represent the position of residues that, when mutated, alter the channel's PI(4,5)P₂ sensitivity (see text). Abbreviations: HBC, helix bundle crossing; SF, selectivity filter. (d) Summary of the major results of Nishida et al. (53) and Meng et al. (61). Transitioning from closed to open, the secondary structure elements switch their close interactions from adjacent elements on one side to the elements on the other side. PI(4,5)P₂ stabilizes the conformation by direct interactions with the CD-loop and N terminus. Ethanol and Gβγ act

by lodging themselves in the DE-LM cleft to stabilize the LM-loop interactions with the N terminus. Panel *d* adapted from Mahajan et al. (84).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 4.

Regulation of PI(4,5)P₂ interactions with the SK2/CaM complex by phosphorylation. (a) The putative PI(4,5)P₂-binding site includes the CaM linker and the SK2 channel fragment before the CaM-binding domain. (b) Molecular dynamics simulations showing the interactions of the PI(4,5)P₂ phosphates with the positively charged K77 (CaM) and K402 and K405 (SK2). (c) Phosphomimetic T79D mutation of CaM decreases the interaction between the PI(4,5)P₂-binding site and the PI(4,5)P₂ head group (atomic distances between interacting residues are shown in angstroms). Abbreviation: CaM, calmodulin; PI(4,5)P₂, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; SK2, small-conductance K⁺ channel subtype 2.

Table 1

K⁺-selective ion channels that exhibit PIP sensitivity

Channel	PIP species	Regulatory effect(s)	References
Inwardly rectifying K⁺ channels			
Kir1.1 (ROMK1)	PI(4,5)P ₂ > PI(3,4,5)P ₃	Activation, right-shifted pH dose response	54, 57, 70, 72, 82, 111–116
Kir2.1 (IRK1)	PI(4,5)P ₂ >> PI(3,4,5)P ₃ >> PI(3,5)P ₂	Activation	54, 57, 62, 82, 83, 113, 118–120
Kir 2.2 (IRK2)	PI(4,5)P ₂ > PI(3,4,5)P ₃ >> PI(3,4)P ₂	Activation	57
Kir2.3 (IRK3)	PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃	Activation	57, 118
Kir2.4 (IRK4)	PI(4,5)P ₂ >> PI(3,4,5)P ₃ ≈ PI(3,4)P ₂	Activation	57
Kir3.2 (GIRK2)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation	82
Kir3.1/3.2 (GIRK1/2)	PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4)P ₂	Activation	121
Kir3.1/3.4 (GIRK1/4)	PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃ > PI(3,4)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,5)P ₂	Activation	57, 82, 119, 122–126
Kir3.4-S143T (GIRK4*)	PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃ > PI(3,4)P ₂	Activation	57, 83, 124
Kir4.1	PI(4,5)P ₂ >> PI(3,4,5)P ₃	Activation	57
Kir4.2	PI(4,5)P ₂ > PI(3,4,5)P ₃	Activation	57
Kir4.1/Kir5.1	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation	86, 117
Kir6.1	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation	112
Kir6.2- 36	PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃ ≈ PI(3,4)P ₂	Activation, reduction of ATP- induced inhibition	57, 112, 127
Kir6.2/SUR1	PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃ ≈ PI(3,4)P ₂ > PI(4)P > PI ≈ PS	Activation, reduction of ATP- induced inhibition	57, 113, 127–131
Kir6.2/SUR2A	PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃ ≈ PI(3,4)P ₂ > PI4P	Activation	34, 57, 113, 116, 132–134
Kir6.2/SUR2B	PPIs	Activation	113
Kir7.1	PI(4,5)P ₂ > PI(3,4,5)P ₃ >> PI(3,4)P ₂	Activation	57
KirBac1.1	PI(4,5)P ₂	Inhibition	135
Voltage-gated K⁺ channels			
Kv1.1/Kvβ1.1	PIP ≈ PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃	Removal of inactivation	136
Kv1.2	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation, shifted IV curve	92
Kv1.3	PI(4,5)P ₂ , PI(3,4,5)P ₃	Inhibition	137
Kv1.4	PIP ≈ PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃	Removal of inactivation	136
Kv1.5/Kvβ1.3	PIP ≈ PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃	Removal of inactivation	138
Kv3.4	PIP ≈ PI(4,5)P ₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P ₃	Removal of inactivation	136
Kv7.1 (KCNQ1)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation, shifted GV curve	139
Kv7.1 (KCNQ1)/KCNE1	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation, shifted GV curve	139, 140
Kv7.2 (KCNQ2)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation, shifted GV curve	139, 141–143
Kv7.3 (KCNQ3)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation, shifted GV curve	142–144

Channel	PIP species	Regulatory effect(s)	References
Kv7.2/7.3 (KCNQ2/3)	PI(4,5)P ₂ PI(3,4,5)P ₃ ≈ PI(3,4)P ₂	Activation, shifted <i>GV</i> curve	139, 141, 143–150
Kv7.4 (KCNQ4)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation, shifted <i>GV</i> curve	139, 142–144
Kv7.5 (KCNQ5)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation, shifted <i>GV</i> curve	139
Kv11.1 (HERG)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation, slowed inactivation	151–153
Shaker	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation, shifted <i>GV</i> and <i>QV</i> curves	92, 93
Two-pore-domain K⁺ channels			
K _{2p} 2.1 (TREK1)	PA > PS > PE > PI(4,5)P ₂ > PI	Activation, shifted <i>GV</i> curve	154–156
K _{2p} 3.1 (TASK1)	PI(4,5)P ₂ , IP ₃ (IP ₃ effect contradictory)	Activation	154, 156–158
K _{2p} 4.1 (TRAAK)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation dependent on mechanical stimuli	156
K _{2p} 9.1 (TASK3)	PI(4,5)P ₂ , IP ₃	Activation	156, 157
Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels			
K _{Ca} 1.1 (SLO1)	PI(3,4,5)P ₃ > PI(4,5)P ₂ > PI5P > PI > PS > PC	Activation, left-shifted <i>GV</i> curve	81
K _{Ca} 2.2 (SK2)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation	159
K _{Ca} 5.1 (SLO3)	PI(4,5)P ₂	Activation	160

Abbreviations: IP₃, inositol trisphosphate; PA, phosphatidic acid; PC, phosphatidyl choline; PE, phosphatidyl ethanolamine; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PI(3,4)P₂ phosphatidylinositol 3,4-bisphosphate; PI(3,5)P₂, phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate; PI(3,4,5)P₃, phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate; PI4P, phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate; PI(4,5)P₂ phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; PIP, phosphoinositide; PS, phosphatidyl serine.

Specificity toward PIP species, together with the effect that PIPs have on the channel, is presented where known. Whenever a single PIP species is listed, the effect of other PIP species on the channel has not been tested in most cases. For Kir1.1 (ROMK1), Zeng et al. (111) showed a somewhat different scheme of interaction with PIPs on the basis of the open probability (*P*_O) of Kir1.1 [PI(4,5)P₂ > PI4P (native) and PI(4,5)P₂ ≈ PI(3,4,5)P₃ > PI(3,4)P₂ (soluble analogs diC8)]. Also, MacGregor et al. (112) determined, through a biochemical approach, that the C terminus of Kir1.1 interacts with PIPs in the following way: PI(3,4,5)P₃ > PI(4,5)P₂ ≈ PI4P >> PI. PIPs are a mixture of PI(4,5)P₂, PI4P (15–20%), PI, PS, and PC that are extracted from the bovine brain (113).

Table 2

Atomic-resolution Kir channel structures

PDB ID	Name description	Domains ^a	Resolution	State	Reference
1U4F	Kir2.1, mammalian	N, C	2.40 Å	NA	62
1N9P	Kir3.1, mammalian	N, C	1.80 Å	NA	161
1U4E	Kir3.1, mammalian	N, C	2.10 Å	NA	62
2E4F	Kir3.2, mammalian	N, C	2.30 Å	NA	162
1P7B, 2WLL	KirBac1.1, bacterial	N, TM, C	3.65 Å	G-loop, closed	163
2QKS (constricted)	Kir3.1/KirBac1.3 chimera	N, TM, C	2.20 Å	G-loop, closed	53
2QKS (dilated)	Kir3.1/KirBac1.3 chimera	N, TM, C	2.20 Å	G-loop, open	53
3JYC	Kir2.2, mammalian	N, TM, C	3.10 Å	G-loop, open	164
1XL4, 2WL (H–J, M–O), 2×6 (A, B)	KirBac3.1, bacterial	N, TM, C	2.6–4.2 Å	G-loop, closed	68
1XL6, 2WLK, 2×6C	KirBac3.1, bacterial		2.8 Å 2.7 Å	Conductive (all gates open)	68
3SP (C, G, H, I, J)	Kir2.2, mammalian	N, TM, C	2.45–3.31 Å	G-loop, open	50
3SYO, 3SYC	Kir3.2, mammalian	N, TM, C	3.54 Å 3.41 Å	G-loop, closed	51
3SYP, 3SYA	Kir3.2, Mammalian	N, TM, C	3.12 Å 2.98 Å	G-loop, open	51
3SYQ	Kir3.2, mammalian	N, TM, C	3.44 Å	G-loop, open; HBC, half-open	51

Kir channels or channel parts for which atomic-resolution structures have been obtained are included. The only structures in which both G-loop and helix bundle crossing (HBC) gates are open are designated as “all gates open.” NA denotes the unknown state of the gate as the crystallized structure is incomplete.

^a Domains are abbreviated as N (N terminus), C (C terminus), and TM (transmembrane).