Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2015 March 16; 54(12): 3768–3772. doi:10.1002/anie.201500404.

Nickel-Catalyzed Amination of Aryl Chlorides with Ammonia and Ammonium Salts **

Rebecca A. Green and Prof. John F. Hartwig*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720

Keywords

amination; ammonia; nickel; cross-coupling

The amination of aryl halides catalyzed by transition metals is a valuable tool for the construction of arylamines.^[1] Many palladium catalysts have been developed for the amination of aryl electrophiles with a wide variety of amines. However, there are few catalysts based on cost-effective and earth-abundant metals for the amination of aryl electrophiles, and little mechanistic information has been gained about the coupling reactions catalyzed by first-row metals. Copper-catalyzed aminations of aryl halides are typically limited to sterically unhindered aryl iodides and activated aryl bromides.^[2] Nickel catalysts for amination reactions have been reported, but the scope of the reaction, until recently,^[3,4] was limited to secondary alkyl^[2,5-19] and aryl^[5,8,9,11,13-20] amines.

The development of a nickel catalyst for the coupling of aryl electrophiles with primary amines and ammonia is a long-standing challenge. Nickel-catalyzed coupling reactions of aryl chlorides with primary alkylamines occurred, until recently, in low yields with unactivated substrates.^[5,18] Our report of a catalyst for the coupling of unactivated aryl chlorides with primary alkylamines demonstrated the value of single-component catalysts to achieve high reactivity and selectivity.^[2,3] Despite the progress on the development of first-row transition-metal catalysts for C-N coupling reactions, few studies have been published on the amination of aryl electrophiles with ammonia catalyzed by nickel. Concurrent with the work we report here,^[21] Stradiotto and co-workers reported a Josiphos-ligated nickel catalyst for the coupling of aryl chlorides with ammonia.^[4]

The development of the nickel-catalyzed coupling of ammonia poses several challenges. First, ammonia binds tightly to transition metals,^[5-19,22,23] and causes catalyst deactivation. Ammonia is neither strongly basic nor acidic, disfavoring proton exchanges to and from the reagent, and the strong N-H bond disfavors oxidative addition of the N-H bond of ammonia. In addition, the physical properties of ammonia create challenges for conducting coupling reactions conveniently. Because it is a corrosive gas, specialized equipment is required to

**The authors acknowledge financial support from the NIH (GM-55382) and the Dow Chemical Company. R.A.G. acknowledges NSERC for a graduate fellowship.

*jhartwig@berkeley.edu.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.

run reactions at high pressures. Finally, the aniline formed during the reaction can act as a nucleophile for subsequent amination reactions. Therefore, the catalyst must overcome these challenges to couple selectively ammonia over the aniline formed during the course of the reaction. Herein, we report a series of Ni-catalyzed monoarylations of ammonia with aryl electrophiles. These studies show the value of using a single component catalyst for high activity and for generating clear mechanistic information. Our mechanistic studies strongly imply that the process occurs by shuttling between Ni(0) and arylnickel(II) halide intermediates.

The palladium-catalyzed amination of aryl electrophiles with ammonia has been reported,^[5,8,9,11,13-20,24-32] and the mechanism has been studied.^[33] These studies showed that the steric bulk of the ligand is crucial to favor the binding of ammonia over the binding of aniline. Nickel complexes of bidentate ligands are known to add aryl chlorides.^[3,34] Based on this information, we investigated the coupling of chlorobenzene with ammonia, added to the reaction as a solution in dioxane, catalyzed by Ni(COD)₂ and a variety of bidentate phosphine ligands (Table 1). We began our evaluation of ligands by studying catalysts containing common chelating bisphosphines, such as BINAP (entry 1), Xantphos (entry 2), and dppf (entry 3). Because BINAP-ligated nickel complexes catalyzed the amination of aryl chlorides with primary alkylamines,^[3] the primary arylamines formed from the coupling of ammonia might be expected to react competitively with ammonia. Indeed, the reaction of chlorobenzene with ammonia, catalyzed by a BINAP-ligated nickel complex, occurred in low yield with low selectivity for the primary amine over the diarylamine (entry 1).

However, reactions catalyzed by nickel complexes bound by ligands of the Josiphos family occurred to high conversions of the aryl chloride, high yields of anilines, and varying selectivities. The nickel catalyst ligated by the same Josiphos ligand as in the Pd-catalyst for the coupling of aryl halides with ammonia^[24,27] gave high selectivity for the primary amine. However, the conversion and yield were low (entry 8). Fortunately, reactions conducted with the smaller Josiphos ligand **1e** occurred with both high yields and high selectivity (entry 9).

We have previously shown that benzonitrile stabilizes the Ni(0) intermediate in the catalytic system, and the benzonitrile-ligated nickel complex readily undergoes oxidative addition of aryl chlorides.^[3] To avoid the presence of cyclooctadiene in the reaction mixture, we prepared a single-component nickel(0) precursor containing **1e** and benzonitrile. The substitution of **1e** for cyclooctadiene in Ni(COD)₂ requires heating, but this ligand substitution occurs quickly at ambient temperature in the presence of benzonitrile. The combination of Ni(COD)₂, PPF-^tBu and excess benzonitrile generated complex **2**, containing the Josiphos ligand and a side-bound benzonitrile (eq 1).

The structure of this complex is shown in Figure 1. The η^2 -bound benzonitrile ligand stabilizes Ni(0) through back-bonding into the C $\equiv$ N π^* orbital. The C $\equiv$ N bond in **2** is longer than a typical C $\equiv$ N bond of a nitrile, (1.225(2) Å, average C $\equiv$ N bond length^[35]: 1.136 Å), and the C–C $\equiv$ N bond axis is bent (136.4(2)°). These properties are indicative of strong π -backbonding from the nickel into the nitrile π - σ bond. (Figure 1).

The source of ammonia for the reactions summarized in Table 1 is a commercially available solution of NH₃ in dioxane. Inexpensive ammonium salts are also potential sources of NH₃ for the coupling process. We recently reported palladium-catalyzed reactions of aryl halides with ammonium salts as precursors to ammonia.^[36] Due to the practical advantages of assembling C–N coupling reactions with ammonium salts in place of ammonia solutions, we investigated the potential to use ammonium salts in the Ni-catalyzed amination. We investigated ammonium chloride, acetate, and sulfate as sources of ammonia. For reactions catalyzed by **2**, only reactions conducted with ammonium sulfate gave comparable yields and selectivities to reactions conducted with ammonia in dioxane. Furthermore, because the solvent is not limited to the commercially available solution of ammonia in dioxane when conducting reactions with ammonium salts, a range of solvents were explored. Reactions conducted in ethereal solvents occurred in the highest yields, and 2-Me-THF was selected for both its green chemistry properties and elevated boiling point compared to other ethers.^[37,38]

The scope of aryl chlorides that undergo the amination of aryl chlorides with ammonia or ammonium sulfate is shown in Table 2. Aryl chlorides containing *ortho* substituents (**2f**, **2g**, **2h**, **2i**), electron-withdrawing groups (**2c**, **2j**) and electron-donating groups (**2d**, **2g**) underwent the transformation in moderate to high yields. Heteroaryl chlorides (**2k**, **2l**, **2m**) also underwent this transformation in high yields. Nitriles (**2c**), styrenes (**2e**, **2h**), and non-enolizable ketones (**2j**) were tolerated under the reaction conditions. In general, the yields of reactions conducted with ammonia are similar to the yields of reactions conducted with ammonium sulfate.

Based on the finding that (NH₄)₂SO₄ is a practical source of ammonia in transition-metal catalyzed coupling reactions, we investigated the reaction of aryl chlorides with ammonium salts of gaseous amines. Although methylamine is a primary aliphatic amine, the coupling of methylamine hydrochloride with aryl chlorides catalyzed by (BINAP)Ni(η^2 -NCPh), our previously reported catalyst for the coupling of aryl chlorides with primary aliphatic amines,^[3] occurred in low yields.

However, reactions of aryl chlorides with methylamine hydrochloride catalyzed by (PPF-*t*Bu)Ni(η^2 -NCPh) in toluene occurred in high yields (Table 3). The scope of aryl chlorides that undergo this transformation is similar to that for the coupling reactions with ammonia or ammonium sulfate. In addition, the catalyst loadings for reactions of aryl chlorides with ammonia or ammonium sulfate are similar to those for reactions of aryl chlorides with methylamine hydrochloride.

In addition to methylamine hydrochloride, ethylamine hydrochloride couples with aryl chlorides to form the corresponding *N*-ethylanilines. However, neither the reactions

catalyzed by (PPF-*t*Bu)Ni(η^2 -NCPh) nor those catalyzed by (BINAP)Ni(η^2 -NCPh) occurred to full conversion of *p*-chlorotoluene to *N*-ethyl-*p*-toluidine (up to 70%) under conditions with various solvents, temperatures, and reagent stoichiometries.

Therefore, we re-examined ligands for this reaction in which the nucleophile is larger than ammonia but is smaller than most primary alkylamines. We investigated ligands that we anticipated would promote the oxidative addition of aryl chlorides but would be less sterically bulky than those that promote the selective coupling of ammonia and methylamine. The results summarized in Table 1 include two examples (entries 6 and 7) in which the reactions proceeded to full conversion, but occurred with poor selectivity. These results suggest that the catalyst in these examples would add the aryl chlorides in the presence of amines, and would be less sterically bulky than (PPF-*t*Bu)Ni(η^2 -NCPh). Indeed, nickel bound by the Josiphos ligands in entries 6 and 7 containing one cyclohexyl group and one phenyl group catalyzed the amination of aryl chlorides with ethylamine hydrochloride with full conversion of the aryl chloride. We prepared single component catalysts from both these ligands, Ni(COD)₂, and benzonitrile. Both complexes catalyzed the amination reaction in high yields, but the reactions catalyzed by (CyPF-Ph)Ni(η^2 -NCPh) (**5**) formed higher yields of the secondary amine product than the reactions catalyzed by (PPF-Cy)Ni(η^2 -NCPh).

Examples of the coupling of EtNH₃Cl with chloroarenes are summarized in Table 4. The reactions of aryl chlorides with EtNH₃Cl catalyzed by **5** occurred to full conversion with lower catalyst loadings (1-3 mol %) and temperature (80 °C) than the analogous coupling reactions with ammonia, ammonium sulfate, or methylamine hydrochloride (2-4 mol %, 100 °C). The scope of the couplings of EtNH₃Cl is similar to that of ammonia and MeNH₃Cl.

Reactions catalyzed by nickel complexes have been proposed to occur via one- or two-electron pathways.^[39,40] To investigate the mechanism of these coupling reactions, we conducted stoichiometric experiments with our new nickel complexes. First, we conducted the oxidative addition of aryl chlorides to nickel complex **2**. Oxidative addition of the activated aryl chloride occurred in high yields (eq 2), while oxidative addition of electron-neutral and electron-rich aryl chlorides were slow and occurred in low yields.

(2)

(3)

Although the oxidative addition of electron-neutral and electron-rich haloarenes to the Ni(0) species containing the *t*-butyl Josiphos ligand was slow, the oxidative addition of electron-neutral, electron-rich, and ortho-substituted aryl chlorides occurred cleanly to (CyPF-Ph)Ni(η^2 -NCPh), to yield the corresponding bisphosphine-ligated arynickel chloride complexes (eq 3).

(4)

The catalytic activity of the bisphosphine-ligated arynickel chloride complexes was evaluated by conducting the coupling reaction of chlorobenzonitrile with ammonia under the standard conditions with 2 mol % of **7** as catalyst.^[41] The arynickel(II) chloride complex **7** was found to be an equally active catalyst for the amination of 4-chlorobenzonitrile with ammonia as is **2** (eq 4). However, complexes **8a-c** do not contain a benzonitrile moiety, and not all reactions catalyzed by these complexes occurred to full conversion. Yet, reactions catalyzed by **8b** and **8c** occurred to full conversion in the presence of 8 mol % of added benzonitrile (Table 5, entries 2, 3). These results imply that the Ni(II) complexes are catalytically active for the amination reaction and that benzonitrile acts as a ligand for the Ni(0) species to improve activity or stability of this intermediate.^[3]

Many Ni(II) complexes are stable in air. To test whether the Ni(II) halide complex would be a valuable, air-stable catalyst precursor for these amination reactions, we conducted the coupling reaction of 1-chloronaphthalene with ammonium sulfate catalyzed by **7** using standard Schlenk techniques with the catalyst weighed on the benchtop. Complex **7** was chosen as the catalyst because it contains a benzonitrile functional group to stabilize Ni(0) intermediates. This reaction gave 2-aminonaphthalene in 82% isolated yield (eq 5).

(5)

To probe for the potential intermediacy of aryl radicals in the catalytic process, we conducted the coupling of a substrate (**9**) which would rapidly undergo cyclization of an aryl radical were generated (Scheme 1). The coupling of this substrate with ammonium sulfate, methylamine hydrochloride and ethylamine hydrochloride was conducted under standard catalytic conditions. In all cases, no cyclized product was observed by GC-MS analysis of the crude reaction mixture. Thus, it is unlikely that free aryl radicals are formed during the catalytic reaction. Together with the kinetic competence of the arylnickel(II) halide intermediates these data imply that the reaction occurs by a catalytic cycle involving Ni(0) and arylNi(II) halide intermediates.

In conclusion, we report new Ni(0) complexes containing a bisphosphine ligand and a side-bound benzonitrile ligand that catalyze the coupling of aryl electrophiles with ammonia with moderate catalyst loadings at short reaction times. We also demonstrated that ammonium sulfate can be used in place of ammonia to effect the same transformation, as can ammonium salts of the gaseous amines methylamine and ethylamine in the form of their easily handled hydrochloride salts. Preliminary mechanistic studies suggest that bisphosphine-ligated aryl nickel(II) chloride complexes are intermediates in this transformation, and the lack of cyclization with a substrate that probes for aryl intermediates suggests that free aryl radicals are not formed during the course of the reaction. Further mechanistic analysis of these reactions will be the subject of future work.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the amination of aryl chlorides with ammonia: Into a 25 mL round bottom flask was placed the aryl chloride (0.6 mmol), **2** (0.012-0.024 mmol), NaO^tBu (0.9 mmol), and solution of ammonia in dioxane (0.5 M, 6 mL). The mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 3 hours. The reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate (3 mL), filtered through a pad of Celite, and concentrated. The product was purified by extraction with aqueous acid or flash column chromatography.

General Procedure for the amination of aryl chlorides with ammonium salts: Into a 20 mL scintillation vial was placed the aryl chloride (0.6 mmol), **2** or **5** (0.012-0.024 mmol), NaO^tBu (1.5-2.7 mmol), the ammonium salt (0.9 mmol (NH₄)₂SO₄, 1.8 mmol MeNH₃Cl, 0.72 mmol EtNH₃Cl) and 3 mL solvent (2-Me-THF or toluene). The mixture was stirred at 80-100 °C for 7-12 hours. The reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate (3 mL), filtered through a pad of Celite, and concentrated. The product was purified by flash column chromatography.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Antonio Di Pasquale for collecting the crystallographic data and solving the structure of compounds **2**, **5**, and **7** with instrumentation available from the NIH (S10-RR027172).

References

- [1]. Ricci, A. Modern Amination Methods. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH; Weinheim: 2000.
- [2]. Kunz K, Scholz U, Ganzer D. *Synlett*. 2003;2428–2439.
- [3]. Ge S, Green RA, Hartwig JF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014; 136:1617–1627. [PubMed: 24397570]
- [4]. Borzenko A, Rotta-Loria NL, MacQueen PM, Lavoie CM, McDonald R, Stradiotto M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015 DOI: 10.1002/ange.201410875.
- [5]. Wolfe JP, Buchwald SL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:6054–6058.
- [6]. Brenner E, Fort Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:5359–5362.
- [7]. Brenner E, Schneider R, Fort Y. *Tetrahedron*. 1999; 55:12829–12842.
- [8]. Desmarets C, Schneider R, Fort Y. *J. Org. Chem.* 2002; 67:3029–3036. [PubMed: 11975563]
- [9]. Chen C, Yang L-M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2007; 72:6324–6327. [PubMed: 17625889]
- [10]. Manolikakes G, Gavryushin A, Knochel P. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:1429–1434. [PubMed: 18211086]
- [11]. Gao C-Y, Yang L-M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:1624–1627. [PubMed: 18198889]
- [12]. Shimasaki T, Tobisu M, Chatani N. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010; 49:2929–2932.
- [13]. FineáNathel NF. *Chem. Sci.* 2011 DOI 10.1039/c1sc00230a.
- [14]. Ramgren SD, Silberstein AL, Yang Y, Garg NK. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:2171–2173.
- [15]. Hie L, Ramgren SD, Mesganaw T, Garg NK. *Org. Lett.* 2012; 14:4182–4185. [PubMed: 22849697]
- [16]. Iglesias MJ, Blandez JF, Fructos MR, Prieto A, Álvarez E, Belderrain TR, Nicasio MC. *Organometallics*. 2013; 31:6312–6316.
- [17]. Park NH, Teverovskiy G, Buchwald SL. *Org. Lett.* 2014; 16:220–223. [PubMed: 24283652]
- [18]. Kampmann SS, Sobolev AN, Koutsantonis GA, Stewart SG. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2014; 356:1967–1973.
- [19]. Fine Nathel NF, Kim J, Hie L, Jiang X, Garg NK. *ACS Catal.* 2014; 4:3289–3293. [PubMed: 25243095]
- [20]. Kuhl S, Fort Y, Schneider R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2005; 690:6169–6177.
- [21]. 248th ACS National Meeting and Exposition San Francisco, CA August 10–14, 2014 INOR-94. CODEN: 69SZG4 AN 2014:1303205 CAPLUS
- [22]. Dean, JA. *Lange's Handbook of Chemistry*. McGraw-Hill, Inc.; 1999.
- [23]. Hancock RD, Bartolotti LJ. *Chem. Commun.* 2004:534–535.
- [24]. Shen Q, Hartwig JF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:10028–10029. [PubMed: 16881628]
- [25]. Surry DS, Buchwald SL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:10354–10355. [PubMed: 17672469]
- [26]. Schulz T, Torborg C, Enthaler S, Schäffner B, Dumrath A, Spannenberg A, Neumann H, Börner A, Beller M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009; 15:4528–4533. [PubMed: 19322847]
- [27]. Vo GD, Hartwig JF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:11049–11061. [PubMed: 19591470]
- [28]. Lundgren RJ, Sappong-Kumankum A, Stradiotto M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010; 16:1983–1991. [PubMed: 20024992]
- [29]. Lundgren RJ, Peters BD, Alsabeh PG, Stradiotto M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010; 49:4071–4074.
- [30]. Alsabeh PG, Lundgren RJ, McDonald R, Johansson Seechurn CCC, Colacot TJ, Stradiotto M. *Chemistry*. 2013; 19:2131–2141. [PubMed: 23293095]
- [31]. Cheung CW, Surry DS, Buchwald SL. *Org. Lett.* 2013; 15:3734–3737. [PubMed: 23815096]
- [32]. Crawford SM, Lavery CB, Stradiotto M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013; 19:16760–16771. [PubMed: 24281816]
- [33]. Klinkenberg JL, Hartwig JF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:11830–11833. [PubMed: 20695642]
- [34]. Ge S, Hartwig JF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:16330–16333. [PubMed: 21916501]
- [35]. Allen FH, Kennard O, Watson DG, Brammer L, Orpen AG, Taylor R. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*. 1987:S1.
- [36]. Green RA, Hartwig JF. *Org. Lett.* 2014; 16:4388–4391. [PubMed: 25133675]

- [37]. Henderson RK, Jiménez-González C. Green. 2011 DOI 10.1039/c0gc00918k.
- [38]. Alfonsi K, Colberg J, Dunn PJ, Fevig T, Jennings S, Johnson TA, Kleine HP, Knight C, Nagy MA, Perry DA, et al. Green Chem. 2008; 10:31.
- [39]. Schley ND, Fu GC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014; 136:16588–16593. [PubMed: 25402209]
- [40]. Hu X. Chem. Sci. 2011; 2:1867.
- [41]. The coupling reaction of 4-chlorobenzonitrile conducted in the absence of nickel catalyst did not yield 4-aminobenzonitrile.

Figure 1. ORTEP Structure of (PPF-*t*Bu)Ni(η^2 -NCPh). Ellipsoids shown at 50% probability, hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

Scheme 1.
Radical clock experiments

Table 1

Evaluation of Nickel Precursors and Ligands for the Amination of Chlorobenzene with Ammonia. Reactions performed with 0.10 mmol of chlorobenzene. Conversion, yield, and selectivity determined by GC analysis using dodecane as an internal standard.

entry	ligand	conv.(%)	yield(%)	selectivity(A:B)
1	BINAP	78	39	5:1
2	Xantphos	0	-	-
3	dppf	0	-	-
4	dtbpe	71	46	4:1
5	1a	100	67	32:1
6	1b	100	43	2:1
7	1c	100	41	2:1
8	1d	28	11	>50:1
9 ^a	1e	82	67	>50:1
10 ^{a,b}	2	100	80	>50:1

1a R = Cy, R' = Cy

1b R = Cy, R' = Ph

1c R = Ph, R' = Cy

1d R = Cy, R' = ⁱBu

1e R = Ph, R' = ⁱBu

2 = (PPF-ⁱBu)Ni(η^2 -NCPh)

^a Reaction time: 3 h.

^b No Ni(COD)₂.

Table 2

Nickel-Catalyzed Amination of Aryl Chlorides with Ammonia and Ammonium Sulfate. Isolated yields. Reactions were performed with 0.60 mmol of the aryl chloride.

Conditions A : 5 equiv NH ₃ , 1.5 equiv NaO ^t Bu, 1,4-dioxane, 3 h Conditions B : 1.5 equiv (NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄ , 4.5 equiv NaO ^t Bu, 2-Me-THF, 7 h				
 3a , A: 88% ^a B: 85% ^a	 3b , A: 80% ^a B: 86% ^a	 3c , A: 79% ^a B: 60% ^a	 3d , A: 61% ^c B: 32% ^c	
 3e , A: 84% ^b B: 89% ^b	 3f , A: 81% ^c B: 84% ^c	 3g , A: 64% ^d B: 66% ^d	 3h , A: 87% ^b B: 63% ^b	 3i , A: 72% ^c B: 80% ^c
 3j , A: 58% ^b B: 67% ^b	 3k , A: 71% ^b B: 75% ^b	 3l , A: 77% ^b B: 72% ^b	 3m , A: 76% ^b B: 71% ^b	

^a 2 mol % 2^b 3 mol % 2^c 4 mol % 2.

Table 3

Nickel-Catalyzed Amination of Aryl Chlorides with Methylamine Hydrochloride. Isolated yields. Reactions were performed with 0.60 mmol of the aryl chloride.

^a 2 mol % **2**

^b 3 mol % **2**

^c 4 mol % **2**

Table 4

Nickel-Catalyzed Amination of Aryl Chlorides with Ethylamine Hydrochloride. Isolated yields. Reactions were performed with 0.60 mmol of the aryl chloride.

^a 1 mol % **5**

^b 2 mol % **5**

^c 3 mol % **5**.

Table 5

Evaluation of catalytic activity of (CyPF-Ph)Ni(Ar)(Cl) complexes

$\text{Ar-Cl} + \text{EtNH}_3\text{Cl} \xrightarrow[\text{toluene, 80 } ^\circ\text{C}]{\begin{array}{c} (\text{CyPF-Ph})\text{Ni}(\text{Ar})(\text{Cl}) \\ 2.5 \text{ equiv NaO}^t\text{Bu} \end{array}} \text{Ar-NHEt}$				
entry	Ar	catalyst	yield(%)	additive
1	4-Me-C ₆ H ₄	8a (2 mol %)	82	-
2	4-O ^t Bu-C ₆ H ₄	8b (3 mol %)	95	8 mol % PhCN
3	2-OEt-C ₆ H ₄	8c (2 mol %)	84	8 mol % PhCN