Published in final edited form as:

Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys. 2010 January ; 81(1 0 1): 011902.

Quasispecies theory for finite populations

Jeong-Man Park^{1,2}, Enrique Muñoz¹, and Michael W. Deem¹

¹Department of Physics & Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005–1892, USA

²Department of Physics, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon 420-743, Korea

Abstract

We present stochastic, finite-population formulations of the Crow-Kimura and Eigen models of quasispecies theory, for fitness functions that depend in an arbitrary way on the number of mutations from the wild type. We include back mutations in our description. We show that the fluctuation of the population numbers about the average values are exceedingly large in these physical models of evolution. We further show that horizontal gene transfer reduces by orders of magnitude the fluctuations in the population numbers and reduces the accumulation of deleterious mutations in the finite population due to Muller's ratchet. Indeed the population sizes needed to converge to the infinite population limit are often larger than those found in nature for smooth fitness functions in the absence of horizontal gene transfer. These analytical results are derived for the steady-state by means of a field-theoretic representation. Numerical results are presented that indicate horizontal gene transfer speeds up the dynamics of evolution as well.

INTRODUCTION

Biological populations in nature are finite. In particular, it is clear that the number of individuals in a population is much smaller than the number of possible genetic sequences, even for genomes of modest length. For example, the largest populations observed in biological systems, RNA viruses, are on the order of $N = 10^{12}$ viral particles within a single infected organism [1]. These viruses possess a relatively short genome of length $L \sim 10^3 - 10^4$ bases [1], and hence the theoretical size of the sequence space is $4^L \sim 10^{6000} \gg N$. Even the region of phase space for which fitness is high is typically much larger than the biological population size. From this example, it is clear that no real biological population will be able to sample the entire sequence space during evolutionary dynamics [2], and therefore finite population size effects may be important for a realistic description of evolution [3]. Finite populations with asexual reproduction are subject to the "Muller's ratchet" effect [4], which is the tendency to accumulate deleterious mutations in finite populations [4–6]. It has been suggested that horizontal gene transfer and recombination may provide a way to escape Muller's ratchet in small populations [7–10], and this mechanism has been proposed as one of the evolutionary advantages of sex, despite the additional mutational load for fitness functions with positive epistasis [4–6, 9, 11–14]. The role of the finite population size in the Muller's ratchet effect has been previously studied by

the traveling-wave approximation [15, 16]. This theoretical approach introduces an approximate treatment, by assuming deterministic dynamics for the bulk of the population, but stochastic dynamics for the edge composed of the class of highest fitness genotypes. The deterministic component of this theory, which considers single point mutations coupled to replication, is similar to traditional quasispecies models for infinite populations. These previous studies considered only linear fitness functions and analyzed in detail the case of no back mutations, an approximation which changes the dynamics and leads to a different steady-state distribution. We here include back mutations and consider fitness functions that depend in an arbitrary way on the number of mutations from the wild type in our exact description.

Quasispecies models for molecular evolution, represented by the Crow-Kimura model [17] and the Eigen model [18–21], are traditionally formulated in the language of chemical kinetics. That is, they describe the basic processes of mutation and selection in an infinite population of self-replicating, information encoding molecules such as RNA or DNA, which are assumed to be drawn from a binary alphabet (e.g. purines/pyrimidines). These models exhibit a phase transition in the infinite genome limit [18–26], separating an organized or quasispecies phase from a disordered phase. This phase transition occurs when the mutation rate exceeds a critical value, which depends on the nature of the fitness function [25, 27]. The phase transition is usually of first order for binary alphabets [25, 27], but it is of higher order for smooth fitness functions in larger alphabets [28]. The quasispecies is composed by a collection of nearly neutral mutants, that is, a cloud of closely related individuals sharing similar fitness values, rather than by a single sequence type. Despite its abstract character, the quasispecies model has been successfully applied to interpret experimental studies in RNA viruses [29–32].

FINITE POPULATION EFFECTS IN THE CROW-KIMURA MODEL

In the infinite population limit, the mean field approach that is customary in chemical kinetics is justified, and the evolution of the probability distribution of sequence types can be described by a deterministic system of differential equations. This mean field approach cannot capture the fluctuations in the numbers of individuals with different sequences, which are a consequence of the stochastic dynamics of the process. An accurate description of all aspects of a finite population therefore requires a master equation formulation [3]. We here consider arbitrary fitness functions. The special case of linear fitness functions $f(\xi) = a\xi$, have been analyzed in [15, 16, 33].

We consider a finite population, composed of $N < \infty$ binary purine/pyrimidine sequences, of length L . The terms in the master equation for the Crow-Kimura, or parallel, model are i) a replication term, whereby each individual of sequence S_i reproduces at a rate $Lf(S_i)$ and the offspring replaces a random member of the population, ii) a mutation term, whereby each base in a sequence mutates at a rate μ per unit time, and iii) a horizontal gene transfer term, whereby bases in a sequence are replaced at rate ν per unit time with bases randomly chosen from the population. We assume that the replication rate, or microscopic fitness, is a function of the Hamming distance from the wild-type genome, and hence of the one-dimensional coordinate $0 \leq \xi \leq L$ representing the alignment of an individual's sequence

with the wild type. The master equation can be exactly projected onto the ξ coordinate and defines the rates at which the sequences of individuals change with time due to replication, mutation, and horizontal gene transfer. We define $(1 + u)/2$ to be the probability of a wild type letter in the sequence, $\rho_{\pm} = (1 \pm u)/2$ is the probability of inserting a wild-type or non-wild-type letter by horizontal gene transfer [27, 34], and

$$u = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\xi=0}^L (2\xi/L - 1) n_{\xi} \quad (1)$$

is the ‘average base composition,’ where n_{ξ} is the number of individuals at coordinate ξ .

We formulate the master equation for the probability distribution $P(\{n_{\xi}\}; t)$, as a function of the set of occupation numbers $\{n_{\xi}\}_{\xi=0}^L$. As in the classical, infinite population Crow-Kimura model [17], we consider point mutation with rate μ , and replication with a rate $r(\xi) = Lf(\xi)$, while preserving the population size N . In addition, we consider horizontal gene transfer of single letters between an individual sequence and the population, with rate ν .

The master equation describing this process is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(\{n_{\xi}\}) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\xi \neq \xi'} r(\xi) [(n_{\xi} - 1)(n_{\xi'} + 1) \times P(n_{\xi}, n_{\xi'} + 1) \\ &\quad + 1 \times P(n_{\xi} + 1, n_{\xi'} - 1) - n_{\xi} n_{\xi'} P(\{n_{\xi}\})] \\ &\quad + \mu \sum_{\xi=0}^L [(L - \xi)(n_{\xi} + 1) P(n_{\xi} + 1, n_{\xi+1} - 1) + \xi(n_{\xi} + 1) P(n_{\xi-1} - 1, n_{\xi} + 1) - L n_{\xi} \times P(\{n_{\xi}\})] \\ &\quad + \nu \sum_{\xi=0}^L [\rho_{+} (n_{\xi} + 1) \times P(n_{\xi} + 1, n_{\xi+1} - 1) + \rho_{-} (n_{\xi} - 1) \times P(n_{\xi} - 1, n_{\xi+1}) \\ &\quad - n_{\xi} \{ \rho_{+} (L - \xi) + \rho_{-} \xi \} P(\{n_{\xi}\})] \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Note that this exact master equation includes ‘back mutations’ often ignored in the literature [15, 16]. Note that the approximation of setting back mutations to zero leads to both different dynamics and a different steady-state.

Mapping to a field theory

We seek analytical expressions for the fluctuations in number of individuals with given sequence compositions in the finite population parallel model. We derive these results by means of a field-theoretic method [25, 35, 36]. This approach provides a system of coupled differential equations for the probability distribution and the fluctuation of numbers of individuals with given sequence composition, whose computational solution is essentially instantaneous. These results give us the fluctuation and correlation in population numbers and are an exact expansion in the inverse of the population size. We introduce an exact representation of the classical master equation in terms of a many-body quantum theory [25]. For that purpose, we define the population state vector

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{\{n_\xi\}} P(\{n_\xi\}; t) |\{n_\xi\}\rangle \quad (3)$$

with

$$|\{n_\xi\}\rangle = |n_0, n_1, \dots, n_L\rangle = \prod_{\xi=0}^L \otimes |n_\xi\rangle \quad (4)$$

This population state vector evolves according to a Schrödinger equation in imaginary time,

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\Psi(t)\rangle = -\hat{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle \quad (5)$$

which possesses the formal solution

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-\hat{H}t} |\Psi(0)\rangle \quad (6)$$

with $|\Psi(0)\rangle = |\{n_\xi^0\}\rangle$ representing the initial configuration of the population. The master equation is written in second quantized form, with a Hamiltonian expressed in terms of

boson creation and destruction operators $[\hat{a}_\xi, \hat{a}_{\xi'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\xi, \xi'}$, whose action over the occupation number vectors is defined by $\hat{a}_\xi |n_\xi\rangle = n_\xi |n_\xi - 1\rangle$, and $\hat{a}_\xi^\dagger |n_\xi\rangle = |n_\xi + 1\rangle$. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
-\hat{H} = & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\xi, \xi'=0}^L L f(\xi) \hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \left(\hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \right. \\
& \left. - \hat{a}_{\xi'}^{\dagger} \right) \hat{a}_{\xi} \hat{a}_{\xi'} \\
& + \mu \sum_{\xi=0}^L \left[(L \right. \\
& - \xi) \left(\hat{a}_{\xi+1}^{\dagger} \right. \\
& - \hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\xi} + \xi \left(\hat{a}_{\xi-1}^{\dagger} \right. \\
& - \hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\xi} + \nu \sum_{\xi=0}^L [\rho_{+} (L \\
& - \xi) \left(\hat{a}_{\xi+1}^{\dagger} \right. \\
& - \hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \right) \hat{a}_{\xi} \\
& \left. \left. + \rho_{-} \xi \left(\hat{a}_{\xi-1}^{\dagger} \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \right) \hat{a}_{\xi} \right] \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

The terms proportional to f represent replication, μ represent mutation, and ν represent horizontal gene transfer. The population average of a (normal-ordered) classical observable, represented by the operator $F(\{\hat{a}_{\xi}\})$, is obtained by the inner product with the “sum” [35]

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{bra} \langle \cdot | = & \langle 0 | \left(\prod_{\xi=0}^L e^{\hat{a}_{\xi}} \right), \\
\langle F \rangle = & \langle \cdot | F(\{\hat{a}_{\xi}\}) | \Psi(t) \rangle = \langle \cdot | F(\{\hat{a}_{\xi}\}) e^{-\hat{H}t} | \{n_{\xi}^0\} \rangle \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

A Trotter factorization is introduced for the evolution operator $e^{-\hat{H}t}$ in a basis of coherent states, defined as $\hat{a}_{\xi} |z_{\xi}\rangle = z_{\xi} |z_{\xi}\rangle$. This procedure leads to a path integral representation [25, 27, 28],

$$\langle F \rangle = \int [\mathcal{D}z^* \mathcal{D}z] F(\{z_{\xi}(t/\epsilon)\}) e^{-S[\{z^*\}, \{z\}]}. \quad (9)$$

Here z are the coherent state field of the second quantized theory of the parallel model, and S is the corresponding action. The action in the exponent of Eq. (9) is given, after the change of variables $z^* = 1 + \bar{z}$, in continuous time by

$$\begin{aligned}
S \left[\left\{ \bar{z} \right\}, \{z\} \right] \\
= \sum_{\xi=0}^L \int_0^T dt' \left\{ \left[\bar{z}_{\xi}(t') z_{\xi}(t') - n_{\xi}^0 \ln \left[1 + \bar{z}_{\xi}(t') \right] \right] \delta(t') + \bar{z}_{\xi} \frac{\partial z_{\xi}}{\partial t'} - \mu \left[(L - \xi) \bar{z}_{\xi+1} + \xi \bar{z}_{\xi-1} - L \bar{z}_{\xi} \right] z_{\xi} - \nu \left[(L - \xi) \rho_{+} \right. \right. \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

In the limit of a large population, we look for a saddle-point in the action Eq. (10). From the

condition $\left. \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{z}_\xi(t)} \right|_c = 0$, we obtain $\bar{z}_\xi^c(t) = 0$. From the condition $\left. \frac{\delta S}{\delta z_\xi(t)} \right|_c = 0$, we find the saddle-point solution $z_\xi^c(t) = NP_\xi(t)$, where P_ξ satisfies the differential equation for infinite population quasispecies theory, generalized to include horizontal gene transfer [27, 34]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} P_\xi = & \mu \left[(L - \xi + 1) P_{\xi-1} + (\xi + 1) P_{\xi+1} - LP_\xi \right] \\ & + \nu \left[\rho_+ (L - \xi + 1) P_{\xi-1} + \rho_- (\xi + 1) P_{\xi+1} - \{(L - \xi) \rho_+ + \xi \rho_-\} P_\xi \right] \\ & + \left[r(\xi) - \sum_{\xi'=0}^L r(\xi') P_{\xi'} \right] P_\xi \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Details are given in Appendix 1.

Fluctuations

To calculate fluctuations, we expand the action up to second order, to obtain the correlation matrix $\langle \delta z_\xi(t) \delta \bar{z}_{\xi'}(t) \rangle = C_{\xi, \xi'}(t)$, which in continuous time evolves according to the Lyapunov equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} C = AC + CA^T + B \quad (12)$$

subject to the initial condition $C_{\xi, \xi'}(0) = -n_\xi^0 \delta_{\xi, \xi'}$. Here, the matrices A and B are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} [A]_{\xi, \xi'} = & \delta_{\xi-1, \xi'} (L - \xi + 1) [\mu + \nu \rho_+] \\ & + \delta_{\xi \xi'} [Lf(\xi) - \sum_{\xi_1} Lf(\xi_1) P_{\xi_1} - \nu \{(L - \xi) \rho_+ + \xi \rho_-\} \\ & - L\mu + L[f(\xi) - f(\xi')] P_\xi] \\ & + \delta_{\xi+1, \xi'} (\xi + 1) [\mu + \nu \rho_-] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$[B]_{\xi,\xi'} = \delta_{\xi,\xi'} 2Lf(\xi) NP_{\xi} - L \left[f(\xi) + f(\xi') \right] NP_{\xi} P_{\xi'} \quad (14)$$

See Appendix 2 for details in the derivation.

The fluctuations in the number of individuals with a given sequence composition are obtained from the relation

$$\frac{(\delta n_{\xi})^2}{N^2} = \frac{1}{N} \left(P_{\xi} + \frac{1}{N} C_{\xi,\xi} \right) \quad (15)$$

Continuous and discontinuous fitness functions

We consider two example fitness functions, which exhibit a quasi-species phase transition in the infinite genome length limit $L \rightarrow \infty$. The sharp peak represents the extreme case of the wild type sequence replicating at a high rate, and all other sequences replicating at a single lower rate. The sharp peak fitness function represents a very strong selective advantage for the wild type. For the sharp peak $f(\xi) = A\delta_{\xi,L}$, from Eq. (11) and large L , we find that the wild-type probability

$$\frac{d}{dt} P_L \simeq L A P_L (1 - P_L) - L(\mu + \nu \rho_-) P_L \quad (16)$$

At steady-state, taking into account that $u = 1 - O(L^{-1})$ for the sharp peak, we have $\rho_- = (1 - u)/2 = O(L^{-1})$, and after Eq. (16) we find

$$P_{\xi=L} = \begin{cases} 0, & \frac{\mu}{A} > 1 \\ 1 - \mu/A + O(L^{-1}), & \frac{\mu}{A} < 1 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Notice that the steady-state distribution is not affected by horizontal gene transfer ($\nu > 0$). To obtain the fluctuations in the probability distribution, we consider Eq. (12) for the matrix element $C_{L,L}$. The terms $C_{L,L\pm 1}$ are $O(L^{-1})$. We also notice that $\sum_{\xi_1=0}^L C_{\xi_1,L} = -NP_L$, to find that the stationary solution of Eq. (12) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= L A N P_L (1 - P_L) - \mu L C_{L,L} - \nu \rho_- L C_{L,L} + L A (1 - P_L) C_{L,L} - L A N P_L^2 - L A P_L C_{L,L} \\ &= A N P_L (1 - 2P_L) + [(A - \mu - \nu \rho_-) - 2A P_L] C_{L,L} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From Eq. (18), we have $A - \mu - \nu \rho_- = A P_L$, and substituting into Eq. (18) we obtain

$$C_{L,L} = N (1 - 2P_L) \quad (19)$$

Substitution of this result into Eq. (15) shows that the fluctuation is given by

$$\left\langle \left(\delta n_{\xi=L} \right)^2 \right\rangle / N^2 = \begin{cases} 0, & \mu/A > 1 \\ \mu/(NA), & \mu/A < 1 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

a result first given in Ref. [37] by a different method.

The second fitness function we consider is one for which the replication rate decreases continuously as a function of the Hamming distance from the wild type. In particular, we choose a quadratic fitness $f(\xi) = (k/2)(2\xi/L - 1)^2$. The quadratic fitness represents any continuous fitness function, for which mutants reproduce more slowly than the wild type, in a way that depends continuously on the Hamming distance from the wild type. Figure 1 shows that horizontal gene transfer reduces by orders of magnitude the fluctuations in number of individuals with a given sequence composition, n_ξ . Indeed, a small rate of horizontal gene transfer is enough to reduce by several orders of magnitude these fluctuations, as compared to the case without horizontal gene transfer, $\nu = 0$.

The linear fitness function $f(\xi) = A\xi/L$ was considered in Refs. [15, 16, 33] in the absence of back mutations. The steady-state exhibits no phase transition for the linear fitness. We skip this example in favor of the forms considered above.

Stochastic simulations

We performed Lebowitz/Gillespie simulations [38, 39] in which we explicitly simulate a population of size N undergoing the stochastic processes of mutation, horizontal gene transfer, and replication. In Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, we compare our theory with stochastic simulations, at different rates of horizontal gene transfer. The results obtained from stochastic simulations converge toward the theoretical value calculated from Eqs. (11) and (12) as the size of the population, N , increases. Non-zero horizontal gene transfer rates both reduce fluctuations and accelerate convergence towards the infinite-population value of the mean fitness.

In Fig. 4, the steady-state probability distribution obtained from the numerical solution of Eq. (11) is compared with the distributions obtained from stochastic simulations, for different sizes, N , of the population. The convergence with N toward the infinite-population limit is more rapid for non-zero ν . Indeed for smooth fitness functions, the infinite population limit is only reached for population sizes larger than those commonly found in nature. For the discontinuous sharp peak fitness function, on the other hand, fluctuations are small, Eq. (20), and the convergence to the infinite population limit is rapid.

Another point from Fig. 3 is that horizontal gene transfer speeds up the rate of evolution. We see that the convergence to the steady state is more rapid for increased horizontal gene transfer rates. Numerical experiments have shown that the effect of horizontal gene transfer on the rate of evolution is especially dramatic for rugged fitness landscapes [40, 41]. At the local scale, biological fitness landscapes may be relatively smooth. At larger genetic distances, however, we expect biological fitness landscapes to be rugged. Correlations exist in the rugged landscape, and horizontal gene transfer couples to those correlations in a way that allows evolution to speed up dramatically [42]. We expect that this speedup of evolution on rugged landscapes is one of the most significant effects of horizontal gene transfer in biology.

Note that when $\nu = 0$ the number fluctuations for the case of fitness functions for which the population is not exponentially localized at $\xi = L$ (i.e. continuous fitness functions) are large

in comparison to the fluctuations for a localized population, e.g. sharp peak. Another way to see this effect is shown in Fig. 5, where for $\nu = 0$, the convergence to $N \rightarrow \infty$ is slow.

As a final remark, we tested the validity of the description of the stochastic process in the language of Hamming distance classes, as used in our theory. For that purpose, we performed numerical experiments with Lebowitz-Gillespie simulations with both a finite population of explicit sequences [27], and the analogous system in the representation of Hamming distance classes. As expected from a simple argument based on permutation invariance of the fitness function that shows the stochastic class dynamics is an exact projection of the stochastic sequence dynamics, both descriptions yield exactly the same statistics, as shown in Fig. 6.

THE EIGEN MODEL

We now turn to the Eigen model. In contrast to the parallel model, mutation and horizontal gene transfer are assumed to occur only during replication in the Eigen model. That is, multiple mutations occur along each sequence as a consequence of errors in the replication process, and during this process horizontal gene transfer with probability ν/L per letter can also occur. The transfer matrix for mutations from class ξ' into class ξ is denoted by $Q_{\xi\xi'}$ [25],

$$Q_{\xi\xi'} = \sum_{\xi_1=0}^{\min\{\xi+\xi', 2L-(\xi+\xi')\}} q^{L-(2\xi_1+|\xi'-\xi|)} \times (1-q)^{2\xi_1+|\xi-\xi'|} \left(\frac{L-\xi'}{\xi_1+\frac{|\xi'-\xi|-\xi'+\xi}{2}} \right) \times \left(\frac{\xi'}{\xi_1+\frac{|\xi'-\xi|+\xi'-\xi}{2}} \right) \quad (21)$$

Here, $q \simeq 1$ characterizes the fidelity in the replication process, when $1-q$ is the probability (per site) that an incorrect letter is placed by the polymerase enzyme. Note that ‘back mutations’, often ignored in the literature, are included in the Eigen model. There is also random degradation of individuals with rate Ld . We again seek to calculate shifts in the average population distribution as well as fluctuations about the average for a finite population of individuals following the dynamics of the Eigen model master equation. Here, terms proportional to $(1-\nu/L)$ represents the evolutionary processes of replication and multiple mutations in the absence of horizontal gene transfer. On the other hand, the terms proportional to ν/L represent the coupled sequential processes of replication, horizontal gene transfer and multiple mutations. We also consider the possibility of degradation through terms proportional to the degradation rate $d(\xi)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(\{n_\xi\}) \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L}\right) \left\{ \sum_{\xi=0}^L r(\xi) Q_{\xi,\xi} \left[(n_\xi - 1) \times \sum_{\xi'' \neq \xi} \frac{n_{\xi''} + 1}{N} P(n_\xi - 1, n_{\xi''} + 1) - n_\xi \sum_{\xi'' \neq \xi} \frac{n_{\xi''}}{N} P(n_\xi, n_{\xi''}) \right] + \sum_{\xi=0}^L r(\xi) \times \sum_{\xi' \neq \xi} Q_{\xi',\xi} \left[\right. \right. \\
&+ \sum_{\xi=0}^L d(\xi) \left[(n_\xi + 1) \times \sum_{\xi' \neq \xi} \frac{n_{\xi'} - 1}{N} P(n_\xi + 1, n_{\xi'} - 1) - n_\xi \times \sum_{\xi' \neq \xi} \frac{n_{\xi'}}{N} P(n_\xi, n_{\xi'}) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{\xi, \xi'=0}^L Q_{\xi',\xi+1} \frac{\nu}{L} \rho \\
&+ \times (L - \xi) r(\xi) n_\xi \sum_{(\xi'' \neq \xi, \xi'' \neq \xi')} \left[\frac{n_{\xi''} + 1}{N} \times P(n_{\xi'} - 1, n_{\xi''} + 1) - \frac{n_{\xi''}}{N} P(n_{\xi'}, n_{\xi''}) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{\xi, \xi'=0}^L Q_{\xi',\xi-1} \frac{\nu}{L} \rho - \xi r(\xi) n_\xi \\
&\times \sum_{(\xi'' \neq \xi, \xi'' \neq \xi')} \left[\frac{n_{\xi''} + 1}{N} P(n_{\xi'} - 1, n_{\xi''} + 1) - \frac{n_{\xi''}}{N} P(n_{\xi'}, n_{\xi''}) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Mapping to a field theory

By the same method as in the parallel model, we map the master equation into a second quantized formulation, with Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
-\hat{H} = & \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L}\right) (L \\
& /N \sum_{\xi, \xi', \xi''=0}^L Q_{\xi', \xi} f(\xi) \hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \left(\hat{a}_{\xi'}^{\dagger} \right. \\
& \left. - \hat{a}_{\xi''}^{\dagger} \times \hat{a}_{\xi} \hat{a}_{\xi''} + (L/N) \sum_{\xi, \xi'=0}^L d(\xi') \hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \left(\hat{a}_{\xi'}^{\dagger} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \hat{a}_{\xi'}^{\dagger} \right) \hat{a}_{\xi} \hat{a}_{\xi'} \right. \\
& + (L/N) \sum_{\xi, \xi', \xi''=0}^L O_{\xi', \xi+1} (\nu/L) \rho_{+} (L \\
& - \xi f(\xi) \hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \left(\hat{a}_{\xi'}^{\dagger} \right. \\
& \left. - \hat{a}_{\xi''}^{\dagger} \right) \hat{a}_{\xi} \hat{a}_{\xi''} \\
& + (L/N) \sum_{\xi, \xi', \xi''=0}^L Q_{\xi', \xi-1} (\nu \\
& /L) \rho_{-} \xi \\
& \times f(\xi) \hat{a}_{\xi}^{\dagger} \left(\hat{a}_{\xi'}^{\dagger} \right. \\
& \left. - \hat{a}_{\xi''}^{\dagger} \right) \hat{a}_{\xi} \hat{a}_{\xi''}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

With a similar method as in the Parallel model, we introduce coherent states in a Trotter factorization of the evolution operator, as defined in Eq. (8). From this procedure, we derive the field theory for the Eigen model as well. In this case, the action given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S \left[\{z\}, \{\bar{z}\} \right] \\
= \sum_{\xi=0}^L \int_0^T dt' \left\{ \bar{z}_{\xi} \frac{\partial z_{\xi}}{\partial t'} + \left(\bar{z}_{\xi}(t') z_{\xi}(t') - n_{\xi}^0 \ln \left[1 + \bar{z}_{\xi}(t') \right] \right) \delta(t') - \frac{L}{N} \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L} \right) \sum_{\xi', \xi''=0}^L Q_{\xi', \xi} f(\xi) \left[1 + \bar{z}_{\xi} \right] \times \left[\bar{z}_{\xi'} - \bar{z}_{\xi''} \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

In the limit of a large population, we look for a saddle-point in the action Eq. (24). From the

condition $\left. \frac{\delta S}{\delta z_{\xi}(t)} \right|_c = 0$, we obtain $\bar{z}_{\xi}^c(t) = 0$. From the second equation $\left. \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{z}_{\xi}(t)} \right|_c = 0$, we find that $z_{\xi}^c(t) = NP_{\xi}(t)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}P_{\xi}(t) = & \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L}\right) \left[\sum_{\xi'=0}^L Q_{\xi,\xi'} r(\xi') P_{\xi'}(t) - P_{\xi}(t) \times \sum_{\xi'=0}^L r(\xi') P_{\xi'}(t) \right] \\
& - P_{\xi}(t) \left[d(\xi) - \sum_{\xi'=0}^L P_{\xi'}(t) \times d(\xi') \right] \\
& + \frac{\nu}{L} \left[\sum_{\xi'=0}^L \left\{ Q_{\xi,\xi'+1} \rho_{+}(L - \xi') + Q_{\xi,\xi'-1} \rho_{-} \right\} r(\xi') P_{\xi'}(t) - P_{\xi}(t) \times \sum_{\xi'=0}^L \left\{ \rho_{+}(L - \xi') + \rho_{-} \right\} r(\xi') P_{\xi'}(t) \right]
\end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and the initial condition corresponds to $P_{\xi}(0) = n_{\xi}^0/N$, as derived in Appendix 3. This is exactly the differential equation for $P_{\xi}(t)$ from infinite population quasispecies theory [27, 34].

By expanding the action Eq. (24) up to second order to calculate the matrix of correlations, as shown in Appendix 4, we obtain in the continuous time limit the Lyapunov Eq. (12), with matrices A defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
L^{-1}[A]_{\xi,\xi'} = & \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L}\right) \left[\sum_{\xi''=0}^L Q_{\xi,\xi''} f(\xi'') P_{\xi''} + Q_{\xi,\xi'} f(\xi') - \delta_{\xi,\xi'} \sum_{\xi''=0}^L f(\xi'') P_{\xi''} - f(\xi') P_{\xi} \right] \\
& + \left[d(\xi') - d(\xi) \right] P_{\xi} \\
& + \delta_{\xi,\xi'} \left[\sum_{\xi_1=0}^L d(\xi_1) P_{\xi_1} - d(\xi) \right] \\
& + \frac{\nu}{L} \left[\sum_{\xi''=0}^L \left(Q_{\xi,\xi''-1} \rho_{-} \xi'' + Q_{\xi,\xi''+1} \rho_{+} (L - \xi'') \right) \times f(\xi'') P_{\xi''} + \left(Q_{\xi,\xi'-1} \rho_{-} \xi' + Q_{\xi,\xi'+1} \rho_{+} (L - \xi') \right) \times f(\xi') - \delta_{\xi,\xi'} \sum_{\xi''=0}^L f(\xi'') P_{\xi''} \right]
\end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and matrices B given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L^{-1}[B]_{\xi,\xi'} = & N \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L}\right) \left[Q_{\xi',\xi} f(\xi) P_{\xi} + Q_{\xi,\xi'} f(\xi') P_{\xi'} - \left(f(\xi) + f(\xi') \right) P_{\xi} P_{\xi'} \right] + 2 \left(\sum_{\xi_1=0}^L d(\xi_1) P_{\xi_1} \right) P_{\xi} \delta_{\xi,\xi'} + \frac{\nu}{L} \left[\left(Q_{\xi',\xi+1} \rho_{+} (L - \xi) + Q_{\xi,\xi'+1} \rho_{+} (L - \xi') \right) \times f(\xi) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \left(f(\xi) + f(\xi') \right) P_{\xi} P_{\xi'} \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Continuous and discontinuous fitness functions

For the sharp peak $f(\xi) = (A - A_0)\delta_{\xi,L} + A_0$, for the Eigen model in the absence of horizontal gene transfer ($\nu = 0$), we obtain that the wild type probability is

$$\sum_{\xi'=0}^L q^{\xi'} (1 - q)^{L-\xi'} f(\xi') P_{\xi'} - P_L \left[A P_L + A_0 \sum_{\xi' \neq L} P_{\xi'} \right] = 0 \quad (28)$$

Since $q \simeq 1$, (the fidelity in the replication process is very high), then $1 - q \ll 1$ and Eq. (28) becomes.

$$q^L A P_L - P_L [(A - A_0) P_L + A_0] = 0 \quad (29)$$

By defining $q^L = e^{-\mu}$, we obtain for the probability of the wild-type

$$P_{\xi=L} = \begin{cases} 0, & A < e^\mu A_0 \\ (e^{-\mu} A - A_0) / (A - A_0), & A > e^\mu A_0 \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

For the correlation matrix, we define $D_{\xi,\xi'} = \frac{1}{N} C_{\xi,\xi'}$, and find that the stationary solution for $D_{L,L}$ in the absence of degradation $d(\xi) = 0$ is given by

$$0 = \frac{1}{N} B_{L,L} + \sum_{\xi_1=0}^L [A_{L,\xi_1} D_{\xi_1,L} + A_{L,\xi_1} D_{\xi_1,L}] \quad (31)$$

From this equation, we find $\sum_{\xi_1} A_{L,\xi_1} D_{\xi_1,L} = -\frac{1}{2N} B_{L,L}$. Hence, expanding the left hand side explicitly, we find

$$\sum_{\xi_1=0}^L \left[\sum_{\xi''=0}^L Q_{L,\xi''} f(\xi'') P_{\xi''} + Q_{L,\xi_1} f(\xi_1) - \left(\sum_{\xi'_1} f(\xi'_1) P_{\xi'_1} \right) \delta_{L,\xi_1} - f(\xi_1) P_L \right] D_{\xi_1,L} = - [Q_{L,L} f(L) P_L - f(L) P_L^2] \quad (32)$$

Expanding this equation when L is large and $q \simeq 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & [q^L A - (A - A_0) P_L - A_0 - (A - A_0) P_L] D_{L,L} \\ & = A P_L (P_L - q^L) + q^L A P_L^2 - A_0 P_L^2 \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Substituting the result $P_L = \frac{q^L A - A_0}{A - A_0}$ from Eq. (30), we find

$$D_{L,L} = \frac{1}{(A - A_0)^2} [A A_0 - A_0^2 - (q^L A)^2 + q^L A A_0] \quad (34)$$

The fluctuation in the number of individuals with the wild-type sequence is obtained from Eq. (15),

$$\frac{\langle (\delta n_{\xi=L})^2 \rangle}{N^2} = \begin{cases} 0, & A < e^\mu A_0 \\ \frac{e^{-\mu} (1 - e^{-\mu}) A^2}{N (A - A_0)^2}, & A > e^\mu A_0 \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

For smooth fitness functions, there are large fluctuations in the population numbers in the absence of horizontal gene transfer. In Fig. 7 we present the fluctuations in the number of individuals with a given sequence for the quadratic fitness, as predicted from our theory Eqs. (25–27). A moderate horizontal gene transfer rate reduces by orders of magnitude the fluctuations. In Fig. 8 inset, we present the equilibrium probability distributions, for

different rates of horizontal gene transfer, as obtained from our theory for the quadratic fitness $f(\xi) = (k/2)(2\xi/L - 1)^2/2 + 1$. For this fitness function with negative epistasis, horizontal gene transfer reduces the mean fitness in the infinite population limit [27].

CONCLUSION

For both the parallel and Eigen models, we have found that horizontal gene transfer reduces by orders of magnitude the fluctuations in the number of individuals with a given sequence composition for smooth fitness functions, such as quadratic. Horizontal gene transfer also reduces the variability within and between independent experiments for smooth fitness functions. Finally, horizontal gene transfer substantially reduces the “Muller’s ratchet” phenomenon, whereby fitness is reduced in finite populations relative to the infinite population limit. For the sharp peak fitness, horizontal gene transfer does not modify the steady-state distribution of fluctuations.

The reduction in finite populations by horizontal gene transfer of both the magnitude of the Muller’s ratchet phenomenon [7–9] and the fluctuations in population numbers should be observable in experiments. The fluctuation in population numbers can be measured either at different time points in long experiments or as fluctuations between different experimental replicates. The latter is likely to be more feasible in the laboratory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Supported by the FunBio program of DARPA. JMP is also supported by a Korea Research Foundation grant funded by the Korean Government (KRF-2008-314-C00123).

APPENDIX 1

We present the derivation of the saddle point equations for the Kimura model. We look for a saddle point of the action Eq. (10) in the coherent fields $z_\xi(t)$ and $\bar{z}_\xi(t)$. The first condition is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta S}{\delta z_\xi(t)} = & -\frac{\partial \bar{z}_\xi}{\partial t} + \delta(t) \\
& - T \Big) \bar{z}_\xi(T) \\
& - \mu \Big[(L \\
& - \xi) \bar{z}_{\xi+1}(t) \\
& + \xi \bar{z}_{\xi-1}(t) \\
& - L \bar{z}_\xi(t) \Big] \\
& - \nu \Big[(L - \xi) \rho_+ \bar{z}_{\xi+1}(t) + \xi \rho_- \bar{z}_{\xi-1}(t) - \{(L - \xi) \rho_+ + \xi \rho_-\} \bar{z}_\xi(t) \Big] \\
& - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\xi_1=0}^L \sum_{\xi_2=0}^L L f(\xi_1) (1 \\
& + \bar{z}_{\xi_1}(t)) \Big[\bar{z}_{\xi_1}(t) \\
& - \bar{z}_{\xi_2}(t) \Big] (\delta_{\xi_1, \xi} z_{\xi_2}(t) \\
& + z_{\xi_1}(t) \delta_{\xi_2, \xi}) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where T is the final integration time in Eq. (10), which we typically set as $T = \infty$. The solution which satisfies this saddle-point condition is $\bar{z}_\xi^c(t) = 0$, for $0 < t < T$.

The saddle point condition in the fields $\bar{z}_\xi(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{z}_\xi(t)} \\
&= \left[z_\xi(0) - \frac{n_\xi(0)}{1 + \bar{z}_\xi(0)} \right] \delta(t) \\
&+ \frac{\partial z_\xi}{\partial t} - \mu \left[(L - \xi + 1) \times z_{\xi-1}(t) \right. \\
&+ (\xi + 1) z_{\xi+1}(t) - L z_\xi(t) \left. \right] \\
&- \nu \left[(L - \xi + 1) \rho_{+} z_{\xi-1}(t) + (\xi + 1) \rho_{-} z_{\xi+1}(t) - \{(L - \xi) \rho_{+} + \xi \rho_{-}\} z_\xi(t) \right. \\
&- \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\xi_1=0}^L \sum_{\xi_2=0}^L L f(\xi_1) \left\{ \delta_{\xi_1, \xi} \left[\bar{z}_{\xi_1}(t) - \bar{z}_{\xi_2}(t) \right] \right. \\
&+ \left(1 + \bar{z}_{\xi_1} \right) \left[\delta_{\xi_1, \xi} - \delta_{\xi_2, \xi} \right] \left. \right\} z_{\xi_1}(t) z_{\xi_2}(t) \left. \right] = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

In combination with the solution $\bar{z}_\xi^c(t) = 0$ obtained from Eq. (36), Eq. (37) provides the differential equation for the probability distribution $P_\xi(t) = z_\xi^c(t)/N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} P_\xi &= \mu \left[(L - \xi + 1) P_{\xi-1} + (\xi + 1) P_{\xi+1} - L P_\xi \right] \\
&+ \nu \left[\rho_{+} (L - \xi + 1) P_{\xi-1} + \rho_{-} (\xi + 1) P_{\xi+1} - \{(L - \xi) \rho_{+} + \xi \rho_{-}\} P_\xi \right] \\
&+ \left[r(\xi) - \sum_{\xi'=0}^L r(\xi') P_{\xi'} \right] P_\xi
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and the initial condition $P_\xi(0) = n_\xi^0/N$. In deriving Eq. (38) from Eq. (37), the property

$\sum_{\xi=0}^L P_\xi(t) = 1$ was used, and we introduce the notation $r(\xi) = L f(\xi)$.

APPENDIX 2

We next consider the expansion of the action Eq. (10) near the saddle-point S_c . For convenience, we define a discrete time label $k = t/\epsilon$, with $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Fluctuations near the

saddle-point solution are given by $\delta z_\xi(k) = z_\xi(k) - z_\xi^c(k)$, and $\delta \bar{z}_\xi(k) = \bar{z}_\xi(k) - \bar{z}_\xi^c(k)$.

This gives

$$S - S_c = \sum_{\xi, \xi'=0}^L \left[\delta \bar{z}_\xi(0) \delta z_{\xi'}(0) \delta_{\xi, \xi'} + \frac{1}{2} n_\xi^0 \delta \bar{z}_\xi(0) \delta \bar{z}_{\xi'}(0) \times \delta_{\xi, \xi'} + \sum_{k=1}^{t/\epsilon} \left\{ \delta \bar{z}_\xi(k) \delta z_{\xi'}(k) \delta_{\xi, \xi'} - \epsilon \delta \bar{z}_\xi(k) \delta \bar{z}_{\xi'}(k) \times \left[\delta_{\xi, \xi'} r(\xi) N P_\xi \right] \right\} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2} X^T \Pi^{-1} X + O(X^3)$$

Here, we have defined the vector $X^T = \left(\left\{ \delta \bar{z}(0), \delta z(0) \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \delta \bar{z}(t/\epsilon), \delta z(t/\epsilon) \right\} \right)$.

The matrix Π^{-1} is banded tri-diagonal, with

$$\Pi^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{00}^{-1} & -\Pi_{01}^{-1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\Pi_{10}^{-1} & \Pi_{11}^{-1} & -\Pi_{12}^{-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\Pi_{21}^{-1} & \Pi_{22}^{-1} & -\Pi_{23}^{-1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \dots & & & & \dots & \Pi_{t/\epsilon, t/\epsilon}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (40)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{00}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} N^0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, [N^0]_{\xi, \xi'} = n_\xi^0 \delta_{\xi, \xi'} \\ \Pi_{k,k}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon B(k-1) & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, k \neq 0 \\ \Pi_{k,k-1}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I + \epsilon A(k-1) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Pi_{k-1,k}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I + \epsilon A^T(k-1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The matrices A and B are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
[A]_{\xi,\xi'} = & \delta_{\xi-1,\xi'} (L \\
& - \xi + 1) [\mu \\
& + \nu \rho_+] \\
& + \delta_{\xi,\xi'} [Lf(\xi) \\
& - \sum_{\xi_1} Lf(\xi_1) P_{\xi_1} \\
& - \nu \{(L - \xi) \rho_+ + \xi \rho_-\} \\
& - L\mu + L[f(\xi) \\
& - f(\xi')] P_{\xi} \\
& + \delta_{\xi+1,\xi'} (\xi \\
& + 1) [\mu + \nu \rho_-]
\end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$[B]_{\xi,\xi'} = \delta_{\xi,\xi'} 2Lf(\xi) NP_{\xi} - L[f(\xi) + f(\xi')] NP_{\xi} P_{\xi'} \quad (43)$$

Here, A a symmetric matrix $[A^T(k)]_{\xi,\xi'} = [A(k)]_{\xi',\xi}$. By standard matrix inversion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(t/\epsilon) &= [\Pi^{-1}(t/\epsilon)]^{-1} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} [\Pi^{-1}(t/\epsilon - 1)] & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ -\Pi_{t/\epsilon-1,t/\epsilon}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ (00 \dots - \Pi_{t/\epsilon,t/\epsilon-1}^{-1}) & \Pi_{t/\epsilon,t/\epsilon}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \quad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

Calculating the inverse in Eq. (44), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[\Pi(t/\epsilon)]_{t/\epsilon,t/\epsilon} &\equiv b_{t/\epsilon,t/\epsilon} \\
&= \left[\Pi_{t/\epsilon,t/\epsilon}^{-1} - (00 \dots - \Pi_{t/\epsilon,t/\epsilon-1}^{-1}) \times [\Pi(t/\epsilon - 1)] \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ -\Pi_{t/\epsilon-1,t/\epsilon}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right]^{-1} \\
&= \left[\Pi_{t/\epsilon,t/\epsilon}^{-1} - \Pi_{t/\epsilon,t/\epsilon-1}^{-1} b_{t/\epsilon-1,t/\epsilon-1} \times \Pi_{t/\epsilon-1,t/\epsilon}^{-1} \right]^{-1} \quad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

From this recursive equation, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{00} &= [\Pi_{00}^{-1}]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & -N^0 \end{pmatrix} \\
b_{11} &= [\Pi_{11}^{-1} - \Pi_{10}^{-1} b_{00} \Pi_{01}^{-1}]^{-1} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & \{I + \epsilon A(0)\} [-N^0] \{I + \epsilon A^T(0)\} + \epsilon B(0) \end{bmatrix} \quad (46)
\end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (46), proceeding by induction, we prove that the matrices b_k possess the structure

$$b_{k,k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & C(k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

and after the recursion relation

$$b_{k,k} = \left[\Pi_k^{-1} - \Pi_{k,k-1}^{-1} b_{k-1,k-1} \Pi_{k-1,k}^{-1} \right]^{-1} \quad (48)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C(k) &= [I + \epsilon A(k-1)] C(k-1) [I + \epsilon A^T(k-1)] + \epsilon B(k-1) \\ C(0) &= -N^0 \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

In the continuous time limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (49) becomes a Lyapunov equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} C &= B + AC + CA^T \\ C(0) &= -N^0 \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

with $\left[N^0 \right]_{\xi, \xi'} = \delta_{\xi, \xi'} n_{\xi}^0$.

APPENDIX 3

Now, we derive the saddle point equations for the Eigen model. We look for a saddle point of the action Eq. (24) in the coherent fields $z_{\xi}(t)$ and $\bar{z}_{\xi}(t)$. The first condition is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S}{\delta z_{\xi}(t)} &= -\frac{\partial \bar{z}_{\xi}}{\partial t} + \delta(t) \\ &\quad - T \bar{z}_{\xi}(T) \\ &\quad - \frac{L}{N} \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L} \right) \times \sum_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3=0}^L \left\{ Q_{\xi_2, \xi_1} f(\xi_1) \left[1 + \bar{z}_{\xi_1}(t) \right] \left[\bar{z}_{\xi_2}(t) - \bar{z}_{\xi_3}(t) \right] (\delta_{\xi_1, \xi} z_{\xi_3}(t) + \delta_{\xi_3, \xi} z_{\xi_1}(t)) \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{L}{N} \sum_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3=0}^L \left\{ \left[\delta_{\xi_1, \xi_2} d(\xi_3) + \frac{\nu}{L} [Q_{\xi_2, \xi_1+1} \rho + (L - \xi_1) + Q_{\xi_2, \xi_1-1} \rho - \xi_1] \times f(\xi_1) \right] \left[1 + \bar{z}_{\xi_1}(t) \right] \left[\bar{z}_{\xi_2}(t) - \bar{z}_{\xi_3}(t) \right] (z_{\xi_3}(t) \delta \right. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where T is the total integration time in Eq. (24), which we typically set as $T = \infty$. This

saddle-point condition is satisfied by the solution $\bar{z}_{\xi}^c(t) = 0$, for $0 < t < T$.

The saddle-point condition in the fields $\bar{z}_{\xi}(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{z}_\xi(t)} \\
&= \frac{\partial z_\xi}{\partial t} + \left(z_\xi(0) - \frac{n_\xi^0}{1 + \bar{z}_\xi(0)} \right) \delta(t) \\
&- \frac{L}{N} \times \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L} \right) \sum_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3=0}^L \left\{ Q_{\xi_2, \xi_1} f(\xi_1) \left(\delta_{\xi_1, \xi} \left[\bar{z}_{\xi_2}(t) - \bar{z}_{\xi_3}(t) \right] + \left[1 + \bar{z}_{\xi_1}(t) \right] \left[\delta_{\xi_2, \xi} - \delta_{\xi_3, \xi} \right] \right) z_{\xi_1}(t) z_{\xi_3}(t) \right\} \\
&- \frac{L}{N} \sum_{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3} \left\{ \left[\delta_{\xi_1, \xi_2} d(\xi_3) + \frac{\nu}{L} \left[Q_{\xi_2, \xi_1+1} \rho_+ (L - \xi_1) + Q_{\xi_2, \xi_1-1} \rho_- \xi_1 \right] f(\xi_1) \right] \left[\delta_{\xi_1, \xi} \left[\bar{z}_{\xi_2}(t) - \bar{z}_{\xi_3}(t) \right] + \left[1 + \bar{z}_{\xi_1}(t) \right] \left(\delta_{\xi_2, \xi} - \delta_{\xi_3, \xi} \right) \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

In combination with the solution $\bar{z}_\xi^c(t)=0$ obtained from Eq. (51), after Eq. (52) we obtain the differential equation for the probability distribution $P_\xi(t) = z_\xi^c(t)/N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} P_\xi(t) &= \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L} \right) \left[\sum_{\xi'=0}^L Q_{\xi, \xi'} r(\xi') P_{\xi'}(t) - P_\xi(t) \times \sum_{\xi'=0}^L r(\xi') P_{\xi'}(t) \right] - P_\xi(t) \left[d(\xi) - \sum_{\xi'=0}^L P_{\xi'}(t) \times d(\xi') \right] \\
&+ \frac{\nu}{L} \left[\sum_{\xi'=0}^L \left\{ Q_{\xi, \xi'+1} \rho_+ (L - \xi') + Q_{\xi, \xi'-1} \rho_- \xi' \right\} r(\xi') P_{\xi'}(t) - P_\xi(t) \times \sum_{\xi'=0}^L \left\{ \rho_+ (L - \xi') + \rho_- \xi' \right\} r(\xi') P_{\xi'}(t) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

and the initial condition $P_\xi(0) = n_\xi^0/N$. In deriving Eq. (53) from Eq. (52), we used the properties: $\sum_{\xi=0}^L P_\xi = 1$, and $\sum_{\xi=0}^L Q_{\xi, \xi'} = 1$.

APPENDIX 4

Now, let us consider the expansion of the action Eq. (24) for the Eigen model near the saddle point, with fluctuations near the saddle-point solution given by

$$\delta z_\xi(k) = z_\xi(k) - z_\xi^c(k), \text{ and } \delta \bar{z}_\xi(k) = \bar{z}_\xi(k) - \bar{z}_\xi^c(k).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S - S_c &= \sum_{\xi=0}^L \left[\delta \bar{z}_\xi(0) \delta z_\xi(0) + \frac{1}{2} n_\xi^0 \delta \bar{z}_\xi(0) \delta z_\xi(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{t/\epsilon} \delta \bar{z}_\xi(k) (\delta z_\xi(k) - \delta z_\xi(k-1)) \right] - \frac{\epsilon}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{t/\epsilon} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L} \right) \sum_{\xi, \xi', \xi''} Q_{\xi', \xi''} r(\xi) \left[\delta \bar{z}_{\xi'}(k) \delta z_{\xi''}(k) \right] \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} X^T \Pi^{-1} X + O(X^3)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we defined $X^T = \left(\left\{ \delta \bar{z}_\xi(0), \delta z_\xi(0) \right\}, \dots, \left\{ \delta \bar{z}_\xi(t/\epsilon), \delta z_\xi(t/\epsilon) \right\} \right)$. The matrix Π^{-1} is tridiagonal by blocks, as in the case of the parallel model. A similar analysis holds for the Eigen model as well, with matrices A and B defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
& L^{-1}[A]_{\xi,\xi'} \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L}\right) \left[\sum_{\xi''=0}^L Q_{\xi,\xi''} f(\xi'') P_{\xi''} + Q_{\xi,\xi'} f(\xi') - \delta_{\xi,\xi'} \sum_{\xi''=0}^L f(\xi'') P_{\xi''} - f(\xi') P_{\xi} \right] \\
&+ \left[d(\xi') - d(\xi) \right] P_{\xi} \\
&+ \delta_{\xi,\xi'} \left[\sum_{\xi_1=0}^L d(\xi_1) P_{\xi_1} - d(\xi) \right] \\
&+ \frac{\nu}{L} \left[\sum_{\xi''=0}^L \left(Q_{\xi,\xi''-1} \rho - \xi'' + Q_{\xi,\xi''+1} \rho + (L - \xi'') \right) f(\xi'') P_{\xi''} + \left(Q_{\xi,\xi'-1} \rho - \xi' + Q_{\xi,\xi'+1} \rho + (L - \xi') \right) f(\xi') - \delta_{\xi,\xi'} \sum_{\xi''=0}^L \left(\rho \right. \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& L^{-1}[B]_{\xi,\xi'} \\
&= N \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\nu}{L}\right) \left[Q_{\xi',\xi} f(\xi) P_{\xi} + Q_{\xi,\xi'} f(\xi') P_{\xi'} - \left(f(\xi) + f(\xi') \right) P_{\xi} P_{\xi'} \right] + 2 \left(\sum_{\xi_1=0}^L d(\xi_1) P_{\xi_1} \right) P_{\xi} \delta_{\xi,\xi'} + \frac{\nu}{L} \left[\left(Q_{\xi',\xi+1} \rho + (L - \xi') \right) f(\xi') - \delta_{\xi,\xi'} \sum_{\xi''=0}^L \left(\rho \right. \right. \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

A recursion relation identical to Eq. (50) is obtained, which in the continuous time limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields a Lyapunov equation for the matrix C ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}C = B + AC + CA^T \quad (57)$$

with initial condition $C_{\xi,\xi'} = -\delta_{\xi,\xi'} n_{\xi}^0$.

References

- [1]. Moya A, Elena SF, Bracho A, Miralles R, Barrio E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2000; 97:6967. [PubMed: 10860958]
- [2]. Zhang Y-C. Phys. Rev. E. 1997; 55:R3817.
- [3]. Alves D, Fontanari JF. Phys. Rev. E. 1998; 57:7008.
- [4]. Muller HJ. Mutation Research. 1964; 1:2. [PubMed: 14195748]
- [5]. Arjan J, de Visser GM, Elena SF. Nature Rev. Genet. 2007; 8:139. [PubMed: 17230200]
- [6]. Kondrashov AS. Nature. 1988; 336:435. [PubMed: 3057385]
- [7]. Chao L. Trends. Ecol. Evol. 1992; 7:147. [PubMed: 21235989]
- [8]. Chao L, Tran TT, Tran TT. Genetics. 1997; 147:983.
- [9]. Rice WR, Chippindale AK. Science. 2001; 294:555. [PubMed: 11641490]
- [10]. Adami C. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2006; 7:109. [PubMed: 16418746]
- [11]. Otto SP, Lenormand T. Nature Rev. Genet. 2002; 3:252. [PubMed: 11967550]
- [12]. Kondrashov AS. Genet. Res. 1982; 42:325. [PubMed: 7160619]
- [13]. Kouyos RD, Otto SP, Bonhoeffer S. Genetics. 2006; 173:589. [PubMed: 16547114]
- [14]. Kouyos RD, Silander OK, Bonhoeffer S. Trends Ecol. Evol. 2007; 22:310.
- [15]. Rouzine IM, Wakeley J, Coffin JM. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2003; 100:587. [PubMed: 12525686]
- [16]. Rouzine IM, Brunet E, Wilke CO. Theor. Popul. Biol. 2008; 73:24. [PubMed: 18023832]
- [17]. Crow, JF.; Kimura, M. An introduction to population genetics theory. Harper and Row; New York: 1970.

- [18]. Eigen M, Schuster P. *Naturwissenschaften*. 1971; 58:465. [PubMed: 4942363]
- [19]. Eigen M, McCaskill J, Schuster P. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1988; 92:6881.
- [20]. Eigen M, McCaskill J, Schuster P. *Adv. Chem. Phys.* 1989; 75:149.
- [21]. Biebricher CK, Eigen M. *Virus Res.* 2005; 107:117. [PubMed: 15649558]
- [22]. Tarazona P. *Phys. Rev. A*. 1992; 45:6038. [PubMed: 9907704]
- [23]. Leuthausser I. *J. Stat. Phys.* 1987; 48:343.
- [24]. Franz S, Peliti L. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 1997; 30:4481.
- [25]. Park J-M, Deem MW. *J. Stat. Phys.* 2006; 123:975.
- [26]. Saakian DB, Muñoz E, Hu C-K, Deem MW. *Phys. Rev. E*. 2006; 73:041913.
- [27]. Muñoz E, Park J-M, Deem MW. *Phys. Rev. E*. 2008; 78:061921.
- [28]. Muñoz E, Park J-M, Deem MW. *J. Stat. Phys.* 2009; 135:429.
- [29]. Domingo E, Sabo D, Taniguchi T, Weissman C. *Cell*. 1978; 13:735. [PubMed: 657273]
- [30]. Domingo E, Escarmis C, Lazaro E, Manrubia SC. *Virus Res.* 2005; 107:129. [PubMed: 15649559]
- [31]. Ortin J, Najera R, Lopez C, Davila M, Domingo E. *Gene*. 1980; 11:319. [PubMed: 6783473]
- [32]. Domingo E, Martinez-Salas E, Sobrino F, de la Torre JC, Portela A, Ortin J, Lopez-Galindez C, Perez-Breña P, Villanueva N, Najera R, et al. *Gene*. 1985; 40:1. [PubMed: 3912262]
- [33]. Cohen E, Kessler DA, Levine H. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2005; 94:098102. [PubMed: 15784005]
- [34]. Park J-M, Deem MW. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2007; 98:058101. [PubMed: 17358903]
- [35]. Peliti L. *J. Physique*. 1985; 46:1469.
- [36]. Mattis DC, Glasser ML. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 1998; 70:979.
- [37]. Saakian, DB. personal communication. 2007.
- [38]. Gillespie DT. *J. Comput. Phys.* 1976; 22:403.
- [39]. Bortz AB, Kalos MH, Lebowitz JL. *J. Comput. Phys.* 1995; 17:10.
- [40]. Bogarad LD, Deem MW. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 1999; 96:2591. [PubMed: 10077554]
- [41]. Earl DJ, Deem MW. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2004; 101:11531. [PubMed: 15289608]
- [42]. Sun J, Deem MW. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2007; 99:228107. [PubMed: 18233336]

FIG. 1.

Fluctuation in the number of individuals with a given sequence composition. The quadratic fitness is used in the parallel model, with $L = 200$ and $k = 4.0$. The theory is obtained from Eqs. (11) and (12). Fluctuations decrease by orders of magnitude with increasing horizontal gene transfer rate, ν .

FIG. 2.

Stochastic results obtained by averaging over 50 independent Gillespie simulations, are shown and compared with analytical theory, for $\nu = 7.0$.

FIG. 3.

The average composition as a function of time, averaged over 50 independent Gillespie simulations, with population size $N = 10^4$ (solid curves). Also shown are one standard deviation envelopes $\pm\sigma(t)$ (dotted curves). The steady-state averages $\langle u \rangle \pm \sqrt{\langle (\delta u)^2 \rangle}$ are displayed as solid lines for reference.

FIG. 4.

(Color online) Finite population versus infinite population results for the probability distribution of the parallel model with quadratic fitness. Note that the Muller's ratchet phenomenon, whereby fitness is reduced for finite populations, is greatly suppressed for $\nu > 0$. Here $k = 4$ and $L = 200$, and the stochastic results are obtained by averaging over 50 independent numerical experiments.

FIG. 5.

Fluctuations in the probability distribution for the Crow-Kimura model, obtained from stochastic simulations using the Gillespie method (dots and diamonds) at different sizes of the population, in the absence of horizontal gene transfer $\nu = 0$. Convergence towards the theoretical curve Eq. (12) (solid line) is observed. Here $L = 200$, and the quadratic fitness with $k = 4.0$ and $\mu = 1$ was considered.

FIG. 6.

Probability distributions for the Crow-Kimura model, obtained from stochastic simulations using the Gillespie method with explicit sequences or alternatively with Hamming distance classes. Clearly both descriptions are statistically identical. Here $L = 200$, and the quadratic fitness is used with $k = 4.0$, $\mu = 1$, and for a population of $N = 10^9$ individuals.

FIG. 7.

Fluctuations in the probability distribution, as predicted from our theory Eqs. (25–26), for the Eigen model and quadratic fitness, at different horizontal gene transfer rates, ν . Here $L = 200$, $k = 4.0$, and $\mu = 1$. Fluctuations decrease by orders of magnitude with increasing horizontal gene transfer rate.

FIG. 8. Probability distributions, as predicted from our theory, for the Eigen model and quadratic fitness, at different recombination rates. Here $L = 200$, $k = 4.0$, and $\mu = 1$.