Esophageal perforation during laparoscopic adjustable gastric band: conversion to open sleeve gastrectomy and endoscopic stent placement

G. PAPADIMITRIOU, K. VARDAS, G. KYRIAKOPOULOS, K. ALFARAS, P. ALFARAS

SUMMARY: Esophageal perforation during laparoscopic adjustable gastric band: conversion to open sleeve gastrectomy and endoscopic stent placement.

G. PAPADIMITRIOU, K. VARDAS, G. KYRIAKOPOULOS, K. ALFARAS, P. ALFARAS

Laparoscopic adjustable gastric band (LAGB) is one of the most popular bariatric surgical procedures both in Europe and United States, because it is considered to be a safe and effective way of treating morbid obesity. This minimally invasive frequently employed bariatric procedure has many reported complications, but only a few cases of

esophageal perforation have been reported. We present a case of iatrogenic esophageal perforation in an 18-year-old patient occurring during attempt to place an adjustable gastric band laparoscopically, which was diagnosed intraoperatively. Conversion to open sleeve gastrectomy with primary suturing of the perforation and drainage were performed. On the early postoperative period leak from the intra-abdominal part of the esophagus was diagnosed and treated with endoscopic placement of a self-expandable metal stent.

After 2-years of follow-up the patient continues to have no sequelae from the perforation or symptoms of dysphagia, while Excess Weight Loss is 74%.

KEY WORDS: Laparoscopic gastric banding - Esophageal perforation - Esophageal stent.

Background

Obesity has become a major health (1) and socioeconomic (2, 3) issue and its incidence is increasing rapidly in developed countries. This global epidemic affects not only the adult, but also the pediatric population with more than 40 million children under the age of five being overweight in 2011, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) (4).

Over the last few decades the number of bariatric surgical procedures has dramatically increased. Laparoscopic adjustable gastric band (LAGB) is becoming one of the most common procedures for morbid obesity both in the United States and Europe.

Even this minimally invasive frequently employed bariatric procedure has many reported complications. These can be identified either as minor ones (port leak, port displacement, minor port infection or pouch dilatation) or major, even life-threatening ones (band slip or ero-

sion, severe band infection, intra-abdominal abscess or hemorrhage) (5, 6).

Many reports in the English literature are available on distal esophageal perforation during LAGB, but none was diagnosed intraoperatively. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case reported of management with conversion of LAGB to open sleeve gastrectomy, and postoperative endoscopic esophageal stent placement.

Case report

An 18-year-old adolescent male with past medical history of diabetes mellitus and arthritis was admitted to our hospital due to morbid obesity. His Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated to 45.1 kg/m² (weight: 143 kg, height: 1.78 m). He had been trying unsuccessfully to lose weight with conservative methods from the age of 14. He decided to be treated with LAGB after long discussion with the surgical team, and he, absolutely, precluded the selection of Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (RYGB).

During the operation, the mobilization of the intra-abdominal part of the esophagus was laborious and there was a suspicion of esophageal perforation. Methylene blue test was positive, but the site of perforation could

Evangelismos General Hospital, Athens, Greece

Corresponding Author: Georgios Papadimitriou,
e-mail: gkpapadimitriou@gmail.com

© Copyright 2015, CIC Edizioni Internazionali, Roma

not be recognized. Conversion to open surgery was decided and an upper midline laparotomy was made. A 10 mm long perforation of the posterior esophageal wall was revealed and primary closure was performed suturing the mucosa and the muscle in separate layers. Then, a typical sleeve gastrectomy was performed, fulfilling the primary indication of the operation, and one closed silicone suction drain was placed.

The early postoperative period was uncomplicated and the patient was kept nil per os, while the nasogastric tube was not removed. On day 7 the patient experienced fever up to 38°C and dyspnea. At clinical examination severe pain was exacerbated during palpation of the epigastrium without signs of peritonism. Basic laboratory tests revealed severe leukocytosis (white blood cell count was $23000 \times 10^3/L$) with 93% neutrophils, normal hematocrit and elevated drain amylase level (839 IU/L). A computed tomography (CT) scan of the abdomen and chest with oral gastrografen was performed, which revealed air in the soft tissue around the intra-abdominal esophagus and exoluminal linear presence of gastrografen, without any fluid collections, present of abscess or pleural effusions (Figure 1). The patient was immediately transferred to endoscopy department. Upper

endoscopy confirmed the esophageal perforation, and a self-expandable metal stent was inserted into the esophageal site of perforation. The post-endoscopy period was uncomplicated and the patient was in good clinical condition without fever. Parenteral antibiotic regimen against both aerobic and anaerobic bacteria (metronidazole and second-generation cephalosporin) was induced for three days, and leukocytosis gradually subsided. The patient had an uneventful recovery and started liquids per os on day 3. The suction drain was removed on day 5, and the patient was discharged on day 7. The stent was removed endoscopically eight weeks later. After 2-years of follow-up the patient continues to have no sequelae from the perforation or symptoms of dysphagia. He weighs 91 kg and his BMI is 28.7 kg/m^2 (Excess Weight Loss 74%).

Discussion

Morbid obesity is associated with numerous medical problems, such as diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, hypertension, obstructive sleep apnea, depression, metabolic syndrome or even a shortened life expectancy (7).

Fig. 1 - Computed tomography (CT) scan of the abdomen revealing air in the soft tissue around the intra-abdominal esophagus and extravasation of contrast from the esophagus (white arrow).

Morbid obesity surgical management remains a more effective approach to achieving clinically important weight loss than conservative management (8). Since 1991, there are certain indications regarding the surgical management according to National Institute of Health Consensus Conference recommendations (9).

One of the most popular bariatric surgery procedures is LAGB. It has the advantages of restrictive surgery that is less invasive than other obesity procedures, because the abdomen is not actually opened. It can be totally reversed and constantly adjusted. With this combination, mortality is reduced as well as morbidity (10, 11).

Esophageal perforation is a rare but extremely severe complication after LAGB for morbid obesity. There have been reported only a few cases, which were, usually, related with the intraoperative use of esophageal tube insertion or caused by erosion of the band into the esophagus (12, 13).

The clinical manifestation varies and is related to the part of the esophagus that perforates. Especially, perforation of the abdominal esophagus presents with abdominal guarding and rigidity, fever and pleural signs (14, 15).

As it is difficult to obtain an accurate diagnosis based only on clinical presentation and basic laboratory studies, various diagnostic radiologic modalities have been proposed. Posteroanterior and lateral plain chest radiograph can provide indirect signs of esophageal perforation, such as pleural effusion, pneumomediastinum or pneumothorax. Fluoroscopic esophagography with ingestion of water-soluble contrast medium can, also, be diagnostic. Many investigators consider CT scan with *per os* contrast as the gold standard for such diagnosis, while upper endoscopy can be useful in a patient with high suspicion of esophageal perforation and negative radiologic studies (15, 16). Finally, drain amylase levels are an adjunct in detection of leak from esophagus (17).

The management of esophagus perforations is controversial, and it depends on site and size of perforation, patient's clinical condition and possible diagnostic delay (18). Patients who receive treatment within 24 hours of the onset of symptoms have a higher survival rate. Surgical intervention includes debridement and suturing of the perforation when contamination is minimal, as well as aggressive drainage, diversion of the esophagus and enterostomy for enteral feeding. On the other hand, conservative management refers to resuscitation, parenteral feeding and antibiotics (19, 20). Currently, several studies describe the value of endoscopic treatment with the use of metallic clips or stent placement (21).

Treatment of esophageal erosion after gastric banding ranges from laparoscopic to open exploration, applying the same principles as for any esophageal perforation. There is still controversy regarding whether a complicated LAGB should be managed with conversion to laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) or Roux-en-Y gastric by-

pass (LRYGB) even in cases of esophageal perforation. Most bariatric surgeons do not consider converting a failed restrictive procedure such as LAGB to another restrictive procedure such as LSG to be a good strategy (22). On the other hand, Foletto et al. state at revisional LSG can be considered a good second-line surgical procedure for complicated LAGB (23). Furthermore, the possibility of postponing the bariatric procedure has been extensively discussed in the literature. In principle, if the perforation is diagnosed intraoperatively, the management of both anterior and posterior gastric and esophageal tears could be followed by completing the bariatric procedure (24), but there are surgeons who do not think that is preferable (25).

In our patient we chose to convert to open surgery in order to identify the suspicious perforation of the abdominal esophagus. We avoided placing gastric band due to possible septic local status, and we treated the perforation with suturing and drainage. We decided not to postpone the bariatric procedure, because of the very early recognition of the esophagus tear. We chose a restrictive technique (sleeve gastrectomy) rather than gastric bypass, mainly because of the following reasons: RYGB is a technically demanding operation; it is followed by higher risk of postoperative complications and higher mortality and morbidity (25, 26); gastrojejunal anastomosis would be carried out in a contaminated surgical field, with high risk of anastomotic insufficiency; we respected patient's personal preference (he had precluded RYGB). Postoperative we kept the patient *nill per os*, while tight monitoring of vital signs, clinical condition and drain output were performed. Unfortunately, on day 7 leak from the esophagus was diagnosed, and, immediately, we placed endoscopically a self-expandable metal stent.

We present an alternative management of iatrogenic esophageal perforation occurring during attempt to place an adjustable gastric band laparoscopically, based on conversion to sleeve gastrectomy and finally management of esophageal perforation with postoperative endoscopic intervention.

Conclusions

- The perforation of the intra-abdominal esophagus is, maybe, the most serious complication of laparoscopic adjustable gastric band.
- When it is recognized perioperative, primary suturing of the perforation and drainage can be used, but postoperative tight monitoring of the patient is crucial. Conversion to gastric by-pass has the disadvantages of a technically demanding operation with higher morbidity and mortality rates, especially when it is performed in a contaminated surgical field. Management with another restrictive procedure, such

as sleeve gastrectomy, with postoperative endoscopic esophageal stent placement, is a promising treatment alternative, especially in patients who preclude RYGB as an alternative bariatric surgical intervention.

- LAGB must be used only under certain strict indications for the treatment of morbid obesity, because it can be associated with severe complications.

References

1. Singh RB, Pella D, Mechirova V, Kartikey K, Demeester F, Tomar RS, et al; Five City Study Group. Prevalence of obesity, physical inactivity and undernutrition, a triple burden of diseases during transition in a developing economy. The Five City Study Group. *Acta Cardiol*. 2007 Apr;62(2):119-27.
2. Tsai AG, Williamson DF, Glick HA. Direct medical cost of overweight and obesity in the USA: a quantitative systematic review. *Obes Rev*. 2011 Jan;12(1):50-61.
3. Ludwig DS, Pollack HA. Obesity and the economy: from crisis to opportunity. *JAMA*. 2009 Feb 4;301(5):533-5.
4. Obesity and overweight (Internet): World Health Organization. Fact Sheet No 311 (updated March 2013). Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/index.html>.
5. Eid I, Birch DW, Sharma AM, Sherman V, Karmali S. Complications associated with adjustable gastric banding for morbid obesity: a surgeon's guide. *Can J Surg*. 2011 Feb;54(1):61-6.
6. Sarker S, Herold K, Creech S, Shayani V. Early and late complications following laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding. *Am Surg*. 2004 Feb;70(2):146-9; discussion 149-50.
7. Noria SE, Grantcharov T. Biological effects of bariatric surgery on obesity-related comorbidities. *Can J Surg*. 2013 Feb;56(1):47-57.
8. Colquitt JL, Picot J, Loveman E, Clegg AJ. Surgery for obesity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2009 Apr 15;(2):CD003641.
9. NIH conference. Gastrointestinal surgery for severe obesity. Consensus Development Conference Panel. *Ann Intern Med*. 1991 Dec 15;115(12):956-61.
10. Gagner M, Milone L, Trelles N. Mortality after laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding: results from an anonymous questionnaire to ASBS members. *Obes Surg*. 2009 Dec;19(12):1657-63.
11. Chapman AE, Kiroff G, Game P, Foster B, O'Brien P, Ham J, et al. Laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding in the treatment of obesity: a systematic literature review. *Surgery*. 2004 Mar;135(3):326-51.
12. Iannelli A, Negri C, Piche T, Becaud A, Gugenheim J. Iatrogenic injury of the intrathoracic esophagus sustained during a gastric banding procedure. *Obes Surg*. 2008 Jun;18(6):742-4. doi: 10.1007/s11695-007-9333-6. Epub 2008 Mar 4.
13. Antanavicius G, Leslie D, Torres-Villalobos G, Andrade R, Kellogg T, Slusarek B, et al. Distal esophageal erosion after laparoscopic adjustable gastric band placement with nissen fundoplication takedown. *Obes Surg*. 2008 Oct;18(10):1350-3. doi: 10.1007/s11695-008-9621-9. Epub 2008 Jul 18.
14. Chirica M, Champault A, Dray X, Sulpice L, Munoz-Bongrand N, Sarfati E, et al. Esophageal perforations. *J Visc Surg*. 2010 Jun;147(3):e117-28. doi: 10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2010.08.003. Epub 2010 Sep 15.
15. Søreide JA, Viste A. Esophageal perforation: diagnostic work-up and clinical decision-making in the first 24 hours. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2011 Oct 30;19:66.
16. Tonolini M, Bianco R. Spontaneous esophageal perforation (Boerhaave syndrome): Diagnosis with CT-esophagography. *J Emerg Trauma Shock*. 2013 Jan;6(1):58-60.
17. Maher JW, Bakhos W, Nahmias N, Wolfe LG, Meador JG, Baugh N, et al. Drain amylase levels are an adjunct in detection of gastrojejunostomy leaks after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2009 May;208(5):881-4; discussion 885-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2008.12.022. Epub 2009 Mar 26.
18. Vallböhmer D, Hölscher AH, Hölscher M, Bludau M, Gutschow C, Stippel D, et al. Options in the management of esophageal perforation: analysis over a 12-year period. *Dis Esophagus*. 2010 Apr;23(3):185-90. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2050.2009.01017.x. Epub 2009 Oct 26.
19. Sepesi B, Raymond DP, Peters JH. Esophageal perforation: surgical, endoscopic and medical management strategies. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol*. 2010 Jul;26(4):379-83.
20. Carrott PW Jr, Low DE. Advances in the management of esophageal perforation. *Thorac Surg Clin*. 2011 Nov;21(4):541-55.
21. Salminen P, Gullichsen R, Laine S. Use of self-expandable metal stents for the treatment of esophageal perforations and anastomotic leaks. *Surg Endosc*. 2009 Jul;23(7):1526-30. doi: 10.1007/s00464-009-0432-4. Epub 2009 Mar 20.
22. Marin-Perez P, Betancourt A, Lamota M, Lo Menzo E, Szomstein S, Rosenthal R. Outcomes after laparoscopic conversion of failed adjustable gastric banding to sleeve gastrectomy or Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Br J Surg*. 2014 Feb;101(3):254-60.
23. Foletto M, Prevedello L, Bernante P, Luca B, Vettor R, Francini-Pesenti F, et al. Sleeve gastrectomy as revisional procedure for failed gastric banding or gastroplasty. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2010 Mar 4;6(2):146-51. doi: 10.1016/j.soard.2009.09.003. Epub 2009 Sep 15.
24. Kirshtein B, Ovnat A, Dukhno O, Lantsberg L, Mizrahi S, Avinoach E. Management of gastric perforations during laparoscopic gastric banding. *Obes Surg*. 2012 Dec;22(12):1893-6.
25. Chevallier JM, Zinzindohoué F, Douard R, Blanche JP, Berta JL, Altman JJ, et al. Complications after laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding for morbid obesity: experience with 1,000 patients over 7 years. *Obes Surg*. 2004 Mar;14(3):407-14.
26. Westling A, Ohrvall M, Gustavsson S. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass after previous unsuccessful gastric restrictive surgery. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2002 Mar-Apr;6(2):206-11.

Disclosure

Authors' contributions: GP: wrote the paper; KV: conception and design; GK: drafting of the manuscript; PA: final approval of the version published.

Competing interests: the Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Consent: obtained.