Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2009 July 15; 19(14): 3866–3869. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2009.03.153.

Rapid Identification of Improved Protein Ligands Using Peptoid Microarrays

Hyun-Suk Lim^{a,b}, M. Muralidhar Reddy^a, Xiangshu Xiao^{a,c}, Johnnie Wilson^a, Rosemary Wilson^a, Steven Connell^a, and Thomas Kodadek^{a,d,*}

^aDepartments of Internal Medicine and Molecular Biology, Division of Translational Research, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75390-9185

Abstract

A rapid array-based protocol is presented by which a modest affinity protein-binding small molecule can be appended to a library of peptoids via Click chemistry. The array can then be screened for improved ligands that exhibit a higher affinity for the protein target.

Graphical Abstract

There is a great interest in identifying synthetic molecules that bind to proteins with high affinity and specificity for applications in drug discovery, biology and proteomics. To date, most protein binding molecules have been obtained from high-throughput screens of combinatorial libraries or compound collections. However, these initial hits generally have only modest affinity or potency. The standard approach to improving the properties of these hits is to carry out relatively tedious analysis of a variety of structural analogues. An attractive alternative approach is to instead employ bivalent ligands, in which two non-competitive ligands coupled via an appropriate linker cooperate to deliver high affinity^{1–6}.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author. thomas.kodadek@utsouthwestern.edu, Tel.: +1 214 648 1239; fax: +1 214 648 4156. After June 1, 2009: kodadek@scripps.edu.

^bCurrent address: Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine, 635 Barnhill Drive, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202-5122.

^cCurrent address: Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, L334, Portland, OR 97239.

^dAddress after June 1, 2009: Departments of Chemistry & Cancer Biology, Scripps Research Institute, 130 Scripps Way, Jupiter, FL 33458.

Supporting Information Available: Detailed experimental procedures and supplementary figures.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

However, this approach is not without its practical hurdles. The typical approach to bivalent ligands requires not only the isolation of more than one modest affinity ligand, but also that competition binding assays be carried out to ensure that one chooses non-competitive compounds with which to furnish a bivalent compound. Optimization of the linker can also be a time-consuming process.

An alternative route to improved ligands that we⁷ and others^{8–13} have explored is to append the lead compound to a new collection of compounds and then screen for higher affinity or potency, with the hope of better filling the binding site on the protein or, possibly, reaching over to engage a new surface of the protein as well. Previously, we demonstrated the feasibility of this approach by appending lead compounds to the terminus of “one bead one compound” (OBOC) libraries of peptoids⁷. These capped peptoid libraries were screened under conditions where the modest affinity lead compound did not have sufficient affinity for the target protein to retain it on the bead, thus demanding that the hits show a significant improvement over the lead compound. However, the throughput of this approach is limited by the need to synthesize a new bead-based library for each lead compound. In this report, we describe an extension of this concept that makes this approach to improved ligand discovery far more practical. We show that an azide-containing lead compound can be appended efficiently to thousands of alkyne-terminated peptoids arrayed on a chemically-modified glass microscope slide (Figure 1)^{14, 15}. Since a single bead library is sufficient for the construction of thousands of microarrays, this chemistry significantly improves the throughput of this approach.

To test this idea, we chose a six residue peptoid called BHK2^{16, 17} as a lead compound. BHK2 was previously isolated from a high-throughput screen of peptoids that bind the KIX domain of the transcription coactivator CREB-binding protein (CBP). The BHK2 peptoid binds a His6-tagged derivative of the KIX domain with a K_D of approximately 195 μ M. To couple BHK2 to an existing array of peptoids, we chose to explore Click chemistry¹⁸, since this chemistry has been used previously for affixing molecules to arrays¹⁹. An azide moiety was incorporated on the C-terminus of BHK2 (Figure 2A). An OBOC 6-mer peptoid library was synthesized by a conventional split and pool method on 500 μ m polystyrene macrobeads using eight different amines (see Figure 2B)^{20, 21}. Each peptoid molecule in the library had cysteine on its C-terminal end and a propargyl amine group on its N-terminal end. The cysteine residue enabled covalent immobilization of the peptoid onto the maleimidefunctionalized glass slide¹⁴ and the propargyl group allows for conjugation to any lead compound having an azide group by click chemistry. Several thousand individual beads were separated into the wells of a microtiter plates, the peptoids were cleaved from the beads and microarrays were constructed as described previously¹⁴. For the experiments reported here, 4,000 different acetylene-capped peptoids were spotted in duplicate onto the slide.

We then coupled N₃-BHK2 to each peptoid on the microarray by copper-catalyzed Click chemistry. The alkyne-peptoid slide was placed in a hybridization chamber and treated with a solution of N₃-BHK2 (1.53 μ mol), CuSO₄, and sodium ascorbate in *tert*-butyl alcohol and water (2 mL final volume) with gentle shaking at room temperature overnight. After washing and drying, the slide was used for screening (see Supporting Information).

To isolate higher affinity KIX domain ligands, we employed more stringent conditions (25 nM His6-KIX and 1,000-fold excess of proteins from a crude *E. coli* lysate as competitor to compete non-specific binding events) than those used originally to isolate BHK2 (500 nM KIX-His6 and 200-fold excess *E. coli* lysate)¹⁶. Fluorescently labeled KIX-His6 was incubated with an array of BHK2-capped peptoids as well as with an array displaying the same library without BHK2 capping and the results were compared. Two compounds on the BHK2-capped slides were selected as hits based on the fact that they exhibited a higher fluorescence intensity (3- to 4-fold) than the same spots on the slides without the capping molecule, BHK2 (Figure 1B and Figure S3). MS/MS-based sequencing revealed the structures of the compounds, **3** and **4** (Scheme 1 and Supporting Information).

To examine whether the compounds isolated from the microarray screen indeed bind more tightly than the BHK2 lead compound to the KIX domain, we synthesized fluoresceinated derivatives of BHK2, **3** and **4** (Scheme 1 and Supporting Information) and carried out titration experiments monitored by fluorescence polarization spectroscopy. As shown in Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S3, compounds **3** and **4** indeed showed considerably improved binding affinities compared to the lead compound, BHK2. **3** and **4** bound to KIX-His6 with K_D s of 4.6 μ M and 8.3 μ M respectively, representing a 42- and 23-fold improvement, respectively over the binding affinity of the BHK2 lead compound (K_D = 195 μ M). Not surprisingly, the peptoids isolated from the microarray library, when tested without tethered BHK2 (**1** and **2**) bound more weakly to the His6-KIX protein with K_D values of 47.0 μ M and 72.8 μ M, respectively.

Given that peptoids **3** and **4** were isolated from a screen carried out in the presence of a 1000-fold excess of *E. coli* proteins derived from a crude cell lysate, we anticipated that these molecules would be highly specific ligands for the KIX domain. If so, peptoids such as **3** or **4** might be useful reagents for the affinity purification of their target proteins from complex mixtures.

To examine this, biotinylated derivatives of **3** and BHK2 were synthesized and immobilized on a Streptavidin agarose bead (Supporting Information). After incubation with a crude lysate obtained from bacteria expressing KIX-His6 and thorough washing, the remaining proteins were analyzed by Western blotting with an anti-His6 antibody. As shown in Figure 3A, His6-KIX protein was retained by immobilized peptid **3** (lane 2) while the beads lacking a peptoid (lane 1) and immobilized BHK2 (lane 3) did not pull down detectable amounts of His6-KIX protein under the same conditions. More importantly, Coomassie Blue staining of the gel showed that His6-KIX is purified to essential homogeneity by immobilized **3** (Figure 3B, lane 2) consistent with the idea that bivalent peptoid ligands may be useful reagents for the purification of unmodified proteins.

In summary, we have developed a straightforward microarray-based method to rapidly identify improved protein ligands starting from a modest affinity lead compound. Efficient conditions have been developed that allows an azide-containing lead molecule to be appended to thousands of alkyne-terminated peptoids displayed on the surface of a chemically modified glass slide. Thousands of such microarrays can be made from a single OBOC library.¹⁰ Thus, a single peptoid library could be used to couple with a large number

of different lead molecules, providing a route the rapid isolation of higher affinity ligands for a large number of proteins. Such efforts are underway.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a contract from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NO1-HV-28185) for the UT Southwestern Center for Proteomics Research and a grant from the Welch Foundation (I-1299).

References

1. Shuker SB, Hajduk PJ, Meadows RP, Fesik SW. Science. 1996; 274:1531. [PubMed: 8929414]
2. Maly DJ, Choong IC, Ellman JA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000; 97:2419. [PubMed: 10716979]
3. Erlanson DA, Wells JA, Braisted AC. Ann Rev Biophys Biomol Structure. 2004; 33:199.
4. Profit AA, Lee TR, Lawrence DS. J Amer Chem Soc. 1999; 121:280.
5. Cussac D, Vidal M, Leprince C, Liu W-q, Cornille F, Tiraboschi G, Roques BP, Garbay C. FASEB J. 1999; 13:31. [PubMed: 9872927]
6. Kitov PI, Shimizu H, Homans SW, Bundle DR. J Amer Chem Soc. 2003; 125:3284. [PubMed: 12630884]
7. Reddy MM, Bachhawatt-Sikder K, Kodadek T. Chem & Biol. 2004; 11:1127. [PubMed: 15324814]
8. Jacobson KA, Kirk KL, Padgett WL, Daly JW. Mol Pharmacol. 1986; 29:126. [PubMed: 3005825]
9. Jacobson KA, Marr-Leisy D, Rosenkranz RP, Verlander MS, Melmon KL, Goodman M. J Med Chem. 1983; 26:492. [PubMed: 6300399]
10. Verlander MS, Jacobson KA, Rosenkranz RP, Melmon KL, Goodman M. Biopolymers. 1983; 22:531. [PubMed: 6324920]
11. Combs AP, Kapoor TM, Feng S, Chen JK, Daude-Snow LF, Schreiber SL. J Amer Chem Soc. 1996; 118:287.
12. Lawrence DS. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2005; 1754:50. [PubMed: 16172031]
13. Agnew HD, Rohde RD, Millward SW, Nag A, Yeo WS, Hein JE, Pitram SM, Tariq AA, Burns VM, Krom RJ, Fokin VV, Sharpless KB, Heath JR. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2009 In press.
14. Reddy MM, Kodadek T. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102:12672. [PubMed: 16123137]
15. MacBeath G, Koehler AN, Schreiber SL. J Amer Chem Soc. 1999; 121:7967.
16. Xiao X, Yu P, Lim HS, Sikder D, Kodadek T. J Comb Chem. 2007; 9:592. [PubMed: 17530904]
17. Xiao X, Yu P, Lim HS, Sikder D, Kodadek T. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2007; 46:2865. [PubMed: 17351994]
18. Kolb HC, Sharpless KB. Drug Discovery Today. 2003; 8:1128. [PubMed: 14678739]
19. Liang PH, Wang SK, Wong CH. J Am Chem Soc. 2007; 129:11177. [PubMed: 17705486]
20. Figliozzi GM, Goldsmith R, Ng SC, Banville SC, Zuckermann RN. Methods Enzymol. 1996; 267:437. [PubMed: 8743331]
21. Alluri PG, Reddy MM, Bacchawat-Sikder K, Olivos HJ, Kodadek T. J Amer Chem Soc. 2003; 125:13995. [PubMed: 14611236]

Figure 1.

(A) Schematic representation of a strategy for isolating improved ligands. A modest-affinity lead compound is conjugated to each peptoid on a microarray by click chemistry. The slide is subsequently incubated with a target protein under stringent condition to provide a high-affinity ligand. (B) Microarray images of the hit compounds (spotted in duplicate) were obtained by incubating fluorescently labeled KIX-His6 on a microarray in which each peptoid molecule is capped with BHK2.

Figure 2.
Structure and synthesis of the compounds used in this study. (A) Azide-modified BHK2. (B) The peptoid library that was immobilized on the microarray.

Figure 3.

Dimeric compound **3** retains His6-KIX protein specifically from a whole cell lysate. (A) Streptavidin beads were treated with DMSO (lane 1), **3**-biotin (lane 2), and BHK2-biotin (lane 3) and then incubated with a cell lysate obtained from *E. coli* cells that overexpress His6-KIX. After washing, bound proteins were analyzed by Western blot with anti-His6 antibody. Lane 4: input. Note that this experiment was done under conditions of a large excess of KIX-His6 protein over immobilized peptoid and thus only a fraction of the input KIX domain could be retained by biotinylated **3**. (B) Bound proteins were analyzed by

Coomassie Blue staining. Lane 1: protein markers, lane 2: **3**-biotin treated, lane 3: input (whole cell extract).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Scheme 1.
Structures and synthesis of compounds used in this study.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1

Dissociation constants for monomeric and dimeric compounds as determined by fluorescence polarization.

	1	2
K_D (μ M)	195.0 ± 25.5	47.0 ± 7.1
	4.6 ± 1.0	