Published in final edited form as:

Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2015 May 28; 17(20): 13301–13306. doi:10.1039/c5cp01305d.

High-resolution probing heparan sulfate-antithrombin interaction on single endothelial cell surface: Single-molecule AFM studies†

Cunlan Guo^a, Xian Fan^b, Hong Qiu^b, Wenyuan Xiao^b, Lianchun Wang^b, and Bingqian Xu^a

Bingqian Xu: bxu@engr.uga.edu

^aSingle Molecule Study Laboratory, College of Engineering and Nanoscale Science and Engineering Center, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA. Fax: +1-706-542-3804; Tel: +1-706-542-0502

^bComplex Carbohydrate Research Center and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

Abstract

Heparan sulfate (HS) plays diverse functions in multiple biological processes by interacting with a wide range of important protein ligands, such as the key anticoagulant factor, antithrombin (AT). The specific interaction of HS with a protein ligand is determined mainly by the sulfation patterns on HS chain. Here, we reported the probing single-molecule interaction of AT and HS (both wild type and mutated) expressed on endothelial cell surface under near-physiological condition by atomic force microscopy (AFM). Functional AFM imaging revealed the uneven distribution of HS on endothelial cell surface though they are highly expressed. Force spectroscopy measurement with an AT-functionalized AFM tip revealed AT interacts with endothelial HS on the cell surface through multiple binding sites. The interaction essentially requires HS to be *N*-, 2-*O*- and/or 6-*O*-sulfated. This work provides a new tool to probe the HS-protein ligand interaction at a single-molecular level on cell surface to elucidate the functional roles of HS.

Introduction

Heparan sulfate (HS) is a linear polysaccharide ubiquitously expressed on all animal cell plasma membrane.¹ HS consists of alternating *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc)-uronic acids (iduronic acid, IdoA, or glucuronic acid, GlcA) disaccharide repeating units with various types of sulfation modifications, including *N*-, 2-*O*-, 3-*O*- and 6-*O*-sulphates (NS, 2S, 3S and 6S, respectively).¹ The sulfation patterns along the disaccharide repeat units on HS form the unique binding sites for specific protein ligands.^{1–3} Such binding between HS and its ligands enables HS to participate in a wide range of biologically important processes from embryonic development and normal physiology (such as blood coagulation and signal transduction) to pathogenesis (such as tumorigenesis and metastasis).¹ To date, the best characterized structure-function relationship of HS in interaction with protein ligands is the specific binding of heparin, a highly sulfated HS, with antithrombin (AT).^{4–6} AT, an

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: supporting figures. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

Correspondence to: Bingqian Xu, bxu@engr.uga.edu.

endogenous anticoagulant, presents abundantly in circulation and inhibits the activities of thrombin and other coagulation factors at low efficacy. Heparin binds AT with a high affinity, and critically, the binding induces a conformation change in AT thereby boosts AT's anticoagulant activity by 2–3 orders of magnitudes. The induced enhancement of AT anticoagulant activity underlies the medical application of heparin as a therapeutic anticoagulant and has triggered enormous interests to elucidate the heparin structure that interacts with AT. Previous studies have established that the binding requires a unique pentasaccharide sequence within HS consisting of GlcNAc/NS(6S)-GlcA-GlcNS(3S6S)-IdoA(2S)-GlcNS(6S) (Figure S1, see ESI[†]).^{6, 7} Among the sulfates of the pentasaccharide, the rare 3S of the central *N*-sulfoglucosamine (GlcNS) has been demonstrated to be essential for high affinity AT binding and for the enhancement of AT anticoagulant activity.^{8–12} Meanwhile, the removal of NS or 6S was also shown to decrease binding affinity of AT to heparin.^{13, 14}

Despite of the substantial progress, the exact structure (i.e., sulfation and binding sites) and function (i.e., enhancing anticoagulation) relationship of HS expressed on endothelial cells of vasculature under physiological conditions remains unclear. Most studies used synthetic heparin mimics or processed heparin, which may not completely reflect the diversity of physiological HS structures.^{11–13, 15, 16} On the other hand, HS is abundantly expressed while no heparin exists in vasculature.⁶ To better understand the structural roles of HS expressed in vasculature, especially a small percentage of HS containing the pentasaccharide sequence (HS^{AT+}) and thus acting as an AT activator, it is necessary to *in situ* examine its interaction with AT on endothelial cell surfaces. Here we present the first *in situ* single molecule study of HS-AT interaction on single endothelial cell surface with atomic force microscopy (AFM) to elucidate the critical sulfates account for AT binding.

AFM offers a mechanistic approach to understand molecular interactions at single molecular level and provides details for the functions and structures of biomolecular systems.^{17–23} It is inherently suitable for high-resolution imaging biomolecules at subnanometer resolution and probing very delicate biological interactions at pico-Newton sensitivity.^{21, 24–28} Functional AFM imaging and force spectroscopy have been successfully used for investigating DNA, peptides, proteins, cells as well as the interactions of these biological entities with their ligands.^{19, 24–26, 29–32} Though single-molecule interactions of HS with its ligands have been examined with AFM by us and others recently,^{21, 33} until now no one has been able to probe the single-molecule interactions of endothelial HS with its protein ligands (e.g. AT) under near-physiological conditions. This is primarily due to the lack of proper endothelial cell models with sulfation-tuneable HS structures.

To address these challenges and to reveal the critical importance of sulfation modification of HS on endothelial cell surfaces in AT binding, in this work we tested with our recently generated mouse lung endothelial cell lines that harbour conditionally targeted HS biosynthetic gene *Ext1* (*Ext1*^{ff}, wildtype) or *N*-deacetylase/*N*-sulfotransferase-1 (*Ndst1*^{ff}, wildtype) as well as their corresponding mutant endothelial cell lines *Ext1*^{-/-} and *Ndst1*^{-/-} as models.^{34, 35} Both *Ext1*^{ff} and *Ndst1*^{ff} endothelial cells express wildtype level HS. In

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: supporting figures. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

contrast, the *Ext1*^{-/-} endothelial cells are completely devoid of HS while the *Ndst1*^{-/-} endothelial cells express undersulfated HS, which bear 50–60% reductions of NS, 2S and 6S compared to their “mother” *Ndst1*^{f/f} endothelial cells.^{34, 35} Therefore the *Ext1*^{-/-} and *Ndst1*^{-/-} cells with their corresponding wildtype control cells provide a unique and informative platform to examine HS and HS structure-dependent AT interaction on endothelial cell surface as well as the contribution of NS, 2S and/or 6S in the HS-AT interactions.

Results and discussion

High-resolution AFM imaging endothelial cells

To examine the structural characteristics of endothelial cells under near-physiological condition, high-resolution AFM imaging was performed on single endothelial cells. AFM can probe mammalian cell morphology under near-physiological condition without damaging the cells. The AFM image of living cells is usually fuzzy due to the interactions between tip and cell membrane, which is soft and elastic.³⁶ In current study, the cells were gently fixed with paraformaldehyde before AFM imaging. This procedure keeps the general features of living cells and meanwhile makes the soft cell surface stiff enough for the access of high resolution in AFM imaging.³¹ The endothelial cells were observed by AFM topography imaging, which revealed structural details of the cell surface in nanoscale and illustrated the morphology features that are usually blurry under common optical microscope (Figure 1). Here, the *Ndst1*^{f/f} endothelial cells harbouring conditionally targeted *Ndst1* were shown as an example. The cells were oval in shape as the typical morphology of endothelial cells.^{31, 35} The cell heights varied from approximately 200 nm at the periphery to approximately 1–2.5 μ m on the nucleus. The cells displayed ruffle-like surface. Other distinct features, such as cytoskeletons and granules, were also observed in the topography and amplitude images. Cytoskeletons showed a width at half of height ranging from 500 nm to 1.2 μ m. Granules, originated from the organelles under cell membrane, had a width of around 1 μ m at the half of height.

Functional AFM imaging endothelial cells

To further probe the feature and the structure-function relationship of HS on endothelial cell membrane surface, a functional AFM tip that linked a single AT molecule was used to acquire recognition imaging and to perform force measurements. The region of cell membrane lower than 1 μ m was chosen for the force measurement.

Endothelial cells are one of the major components to form the inner monolayer endothelium in vasculature and play important roles in homostasis and angiogenesis.³⁷ HS, abundantly expressed on endothelial cell surface, is essential to the functions of endothelial cells at both physiological and pathological conditions.³⁶ HS is biosynthesized as proteoglycans by alternatively adding GlcA and GlcNAc repeating units to core proteins with co-polymerase exostosin (Ext) (Figure S2, see ESI[†]).⁷ The formed HS backbone is sequentially modified by *N*-deacetylation and *N*-sulfation, *O*-sulfation and epimerization. During this process, *Ndst* catalyzes the *N*-sulfation of GlcNAc units (NS).³⁷ Recent gene knockout study showed that

3S is essentially required for endothelial HS to bind AT.^{6, 38} However, the contributions of other sulfation modifications to endothelial HS in interaction with AT remains unclear.⁶

To investigate the function-structure relationship of HS on endothelial cell surface under near-physiological condition, we generated endothelial cells with specific knockout of *Ext1* and *Ndst1*, respectively.³⁵ The endothelial cell identity and HS expression alteration were confirmed by immunofluorescence staining with anti-PECAM-1 antibody and anti-HS antibody 10E4, respectively (Figure S3, see ESI[†]). The resulted mutant cells expressed different levels of HS (Figure 2A). Compared with *Ext1^{fl/fl}* endothelial cells that express the conditionally targeted *Ext1*, the deletion of *Ext1* (*Ext1^{-/-}*) completely diminishes HS expression, while the ablation of *Ndst1* (*Ndst1^{-/-}*) reduces the major sulfation modifications, including *N*-, 2-*O* and 6-*O*-sulfation. By probing the membrane surfaces of these cells with an AT-functionalized AFM tip, the specific AT-HS interaction on cell surface *in situ* was detected through functional AFM imaging (Figure 2C). Punctate, dark regions in Figure 2C indicated the sites where unbinding force was generated between the tip and the cell surface (marked circles in Figure 2C as examples). The size of the dark regions was between 15–30 nm. As AT could strongly bind with the specific sequence of HS (HS^{AT+}), these dark regions therefore represented the range within HS that was specific binding site for AT and then could be visualized in the recognition image. Moreover, the uneven distribution of the dark regions also suggested the expression of HS^{AT+} on cell surface was not uniform though HS was heavily expressed.

Probing HS-AT interactions on endothelial cells by force spectroscopy

The AT-HS binding on cell surfaces was further investigated by force spectroscopy with an AT-functionalized tip (Figures 3 and 4). For each cell line, more than 5 cells were randomly selected and measured with 2–4 calibrated AT-modified tips. Different cell surfaces gave dramatically different unbinding force profiles. Combined with the knowledge of HS expression levels on different cell surfaces, the unbinding force profiles revealed the information for HS binding sites to AT. As shown in Figure 3A, the force-distance curves meant single AT-HS unbinding events on both *Ext1^{fl/fl}* and *Ext1^{-/-}* cell surfaces, respectively. Unexpectedly, analysis of rupture force distribution for *Ext1^{fl/fl}* cell indicated three maxima at 119.61 ± 35.86 pN, 205.38 ± 17.41 pN, and 253.86 ± 56.64 pN, respectively (Figures 3B and S4). All of them were significantly stronger than the rupture force from *Ext1^{-/-}* cell (33.35 ± 11.17 pN) (Figure 3B), suggesting the specific binding between AT and HS^{AT+} on *Ext1^{fl/fl}* cell surface. The multiple peaks might come from the binding of multiple closely spaced HS with a single AT on the AFM tip or, more likely, from the binding of multiple closely spaced HS to multiple ATs on the AFM tip.²⁹ However, the force values of peaks 2 and 3 were not exact multiples of the force value of peak 1, indicating that HS may have diverse sites (and diverse saccharide sequences) with different binding affinities for AT. The ratio of these different AT binding affinities were obtained from the analysis of rupture force distribution and shown in Table 1. On the other hand, since *Ext1^{-/-}* cells did not express any HS on cell surface, the obvious decrease of unbinding force for *Ext1^{-/-}* cell demonstrated almost no AT-HS interaction happened between the AT-functionalized tip and the *Ext1^{-/-}* cell surface. The measured small force of 33.35 pN mainly came from weak nonspecific interaction between tip and cell surface.

To further investigate the roles of the major sulfations of endothelial HS, besides the rare 3S sulfation, in AT binding, the force spectroscopy of *Ndst1^{ff}* and *Ndst1^{-/-}* cells was measured with an AT-functionalized tip (Figures 2A and 4A). Single unbinding events also displayed on both *Ndst1^{ff}* and *Ndst1^{-/-}* cell surfaces, respectively (Figure 4A). *Ndst1^{ff}* cell gave three most probable rupture forces at 59.15 ± 13.43 pN, 92.05 ± 24.20 pN, and 161.72 ± 9.46 pN, which were smaller than the forces for *Ext1^{ff}* cell and probably due to the intrinsic difference between the two cell lines. Meanwhile, the rupture force distribution for *Ndst1^{-/-}* cell indicated a peak at 24.30 ± 7.37 pN (Figure 4B). Similarly, like *Ext1^{ff}* cell, the larger rupture forces obtained from AT-functionalized tip and *Ndst1^{ff}* cell surface represented the specific binding of AT with HS^{AT+} on *Ndst1^{ff}* cell surface, and multiple rupture force values indicated diverse interactions between AT and different sequences of HS. Since *Ndst1* deletion reduces *N*-, 2-*O* and 6-*O*-sulfation by 50–60%, the dramatically decreased unbinding force indicated that these three major sulfation modifications individually or by their combination are critical for AT-HS^{AT+} binding.

To further confirm the specificity of the rupture force measured from *Ndst1^{ff}* surface by an AT-functionalized tip, the *Ndst1^{ff}* cells were in turn treated by Heparinase I-III before the single molecular force measurement.³⁹ These enzymes depleted HS expression of *Ndst1^{ff}* cells (Figure 4C). After the enzymes treatment, the rupture force between an AT-functionalized tip and cell membrane surface decreased strikingly to 25.77 ± 8.30 pN (Figure 4D), which was much close to the rupture force measured from *Ndst1^{-/-}* cells by the same functionalized tip. It confirms that the three rupture forces measured from *Ndst1^{ff}* cells are specifically related to AT-HS binding on *Ndst1^{ff}* cell surface. Meanwhile, the close rupture forces between enzymes treated *Ndst1^{ff}* cells and *Ndst1^{-/-}* cells also indicated the specificity of the force spectroscopy for AT-HS binding, which may not be easily affected by the environment around cell surface.

Conclusions

In summary, we elucidated the *in situ* single-molecule interaction of HS with AT on endothelial cell membrane surface under near-physiological condition, especially the role of sulfate groups in specific binding sites of HS for AT. With an AT-functionalized AFM tip, force spectroscopy was recorded between AT and the surface of four different cell lines, which expressed HS in different degrees. The functional AFM imaging showed the nonuniform distribution of HS on endothelial cells though they are ubiquitously expressed. The obviously smaller unbinding force from *Ext1^{-/-}* than *Ext1^{ff}* first confirmed the specific binding of AT and HS on endothelial cell membrane surface. It also proved that AFM force spectroscopy is suitable for probing single-molecule binding events on intact cells. The different binding events on *Ndst1^{ff}* and *Ndst1^{-/-}* suggested that major sulfation, that is the NS, 2S and 6S individually or their combination, is critical for AT-HS binding on endothelial cell surface. The anti-HS antibody assay and heparinase treatment assay further validated this conclusion and indicated that the lacking of these groups could make HS lose its specific AT binding affinity. Further analysis suggested that wildtype HS expressed in *Ext1^{ff}* and *Ndst1^{ff}* cells harbours 3 types of binding sites for AT. This work provides a new approach to studying the mechanisms of HS related interaction on cell surface, which are pivotal for understanding the key functions of HS in various biological processes. The

established methodology is applicable to other biologically important systems and would facilitate the elucidation of the key functional roles of HS in both physiological and pathological processes.

As mentioned above, in current report we could not be able to distinguish the role of each individual major sulfate group in HS-AT interaction due to *Ndst1* deletion reduces NS, 2S and 6S simultaneously^{34, 41}. Meanwhile, whether *Ndst1* deletion alters 3S level contributing to the reduced AT-binding in endothelial HS remains unknown since we could not detect the 3S-containing disaccharide composition in ³H-glucosamine-labeled endothelial HS which was potentially due to 3S is very rare and under the detection limitation of disaccharide composition analysis method^{34,38,41}. Currently we are in the process to generate new endothelial cell lines that are deficient in 2S, 6S or 3S by ablating their corresponding HS biosynthetic enzyme(s). These newly generated HS mutant endothelial cell lines will allow us to clearly address these unsolved key issues in near future.

Experimental section

Chemicals and materials

PEG linker ((thiol-(polyethylene glycol)-acid, HS-PEG-COOH, Mw 2000) was purchased from Creative PEGWorks. N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All chemicals were directly used without further purification. AT purified from pooled human plasma was purchased from Athens Research and Technology.

Modify AFM tip with PEG linker and AT

Bare silicon (Si) AFM tips (Nanoscience Instruments) were first cleaned and coated with the magnetic material and Au by using an E-beam evaporator. For biochemical modification of the tip, the tip was first immersed in a DMSO solution containing 0.5 mM HS-PEG-COOH linker and 0.2 mM 1-dodecanethiol for 6 hours. After rinsing with DMSO and water, the carboxyl groups were activated to form N-hydroxysuccinimidyl ester by reacting with 10 mM fresh EDC/NHS mixture solution for 30 min. Then the tip was further thoroughly washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) and dipped into AT solution (265 nM, in PBS) overnight. AT was linked on AFM tip by the reaction between its amino group and N-hydroxysuccinimidyl ester. Functionalized tips were stored in PBS before use.

Cell culture and fluorescence imaging

Mouse lung endothelial cell line pairs, including *Ext1*^{fl/fl} (wildtype) vs *Ext1*^{-/-} and *Ndst1*^{fl/fl} (wildtype) vs *Ndst1*^{-/-} were generated as reported in our previous studies.^{34, 35, 40, 41} Briefly, we generated immortalized mouse lung endothelial cell lines from conditionally targeted *Ext1* (*Ext1*^{fl/fl}) and *Ndst1* (*Ndst1*^{fl/fl}) mice, and subsequently treated the immortalized cell lines with Cre-recombinase and derived corresponding mutant *Ext1*^{-/-} and *Ndst1*^{-/-} endothelial cell lines.^{34, 35, 40, 41} To verify our test cells are endothelial cells and the *Ext1*^{-/-} and *Ndst1*^{-/-} cells are deficient in HS expression, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, washed three times with PBS, and then incubated for 1 hour in blocking buffer (2% goat serum, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS). The anti-PECAM-1

antibody (BD Bioscience) or anti-HS antibody 10E4 (Seikagaku Glycoscience) was diluted in blocking buffer at 1:100 and applied for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at 4 °C. Secondary antibodies conjugated with FITC (Molecular Probes) were diluted at 1:500 in blocking buffer and applied for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were washed twice, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS and incubated with cell nuclei dye DAPI (10 µg/ml) before viewing. Fluorescent images were visualized using a fluorescence microscope (Nikon Eclipse, TE2000-S) with x20/0.40 and x40/0.60 objectives at room temperature and captured using a Qimaging (Retiga 1300i Fast) camera and Qcapture version 2.90.1 software. All images were prepared using Photoshop 8.0 (Adobe).

AFM measurement

AFM experiments including imaging and force-distance curve measurement were carried out with an Agilent 5500 AFM system (Agilent, Chandler, AZ). Silicon cantilevers tips with spring constant of around 0.1 N/m were used for experiments. Fixed cells were used for AFM measurements. All the images and force-distance curves were obtained in PBS buffer. After imaging, the force-distance curves of AT and HS on cell surface were measured with an AT-functionalized tip at the loading rate of 39 nN/s, and more than 1000 rupture forces curves were collected to analyze the force distribution and the most probable rupture force. The region of cell membrane lower than 1 µm was chosen for the force measurement. The data analysis was achieved by a homemade LabView computer program.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation (Xu: ECCS 1231967 and CBET 1139057) and US National Institute of Health (Wang: R01HL093339 and GM103390).

Notes and references

1. Bishop JR, Schuksz M, Esko JD. *Nature*. 2007; 446:1030–1037. [PubMed: 17460664]
2. Bernfield M, Gotte M, Park PW, Reizes O, Fitzgerald ML, Lincecum J, Zako M. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 1999; 68:729–777. [PubMed: 10872465]
3. Esko JD, Selleck SB. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 2002; 71:435–471. [PubMed: 12045103]
4. Damus PS, Hicks M, Rosenber Rd. *Nature*. 1973; 246:355–357. [PubMed: 4586320]
5. Marcum JA, Fritze L, Galli SJ, Karp G, Rosenberg RD. *Am J Physiol*. 1983; 245:H725–H733. [PubMed: 6356938]
6. Shworak, NW.; Kobayashi, T.; de Agostini, A.; Smits, NC. *Glycosaminoglycans in Development, Health and Disease*. Zhang, L., editor. Vol. 93. 2010. p. 153-178.
7. Petitou M, Casu B, Lindahl U. *Biochimie*. 2003; 85:83–89. [PubMed: 12765778]
8. Xu YM, Cai C, Chandarajoti K, Hsieh PH, Li LY, Pham TQ, Sparkenbaugh EM, Sheng JZ, Key NS, Pawlinski R, Harris EN, Linhardt RJ, Liu J. *Nature Chem Biol*. 2014; 10:248–250. [PubMed: 24561662]
9. Lindahl U, Backstrom G, Thunberg L, Leder IG. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1980; 77:6551–6555. [PubMed: 6935668]
10. Roden, L. *Heparin*. Lane, DA.; Lindahl, U., editors. Edward Arnold; London: 1989. p. 1-24.

11. Sinay P, Jacquinet JC, Petitou M, Duchaussoy P, Lederman I, Choay J, Torri G. Carbohydr Res. 1984; 132:C5–C9.
12. Petitou M, Duchaussoy P, Lederman I, Choay J, Sinay P, Jacquinet JC, Torri G. Carbohydr Res. 1986; 147:221–236. [PubMed: 3708627]
13. Vanboeckel CAA, Petitou M. Angew Chem Int Ed. 1993; 32:1671–1690.
14. Riesenfeld J, Thunberg L, Hook M, Lindahl U. J Biol Chem. 1981; 256:2389–2394. [PubMed: 7462246]
15. Dementiev A, Petitou M, Herbert JM, Gettins PGW. Nature Struct Mol Biol. 2004; 11:863–867. [PubMed: 15311268]
16. Li W, Johnson DJD, Esmon CT, Huntington JA. Nature Struct Mol Biol. 2004; 11:857–862. [PubMed: 15311269]
17. Hao X, Zhu N, Gschneidner T, Jonsson EO, Zhang JD, Moth-Poulsen K, Wang HD, Thygesen KS, Jacobsen KW, Ulstrup J, Chi QJ. Nature Commun. 2013; 4:2121. [PubMed: 23820648]
18. Zheng P, Wang YY, Li HB. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2014; 53:14060–14603.
19. Allison DP, Hinterdorfer P, Han WH. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2002; 13:47–51. [PubMed: 11849957]
20. Kim M, Wang CC, Benedetti F, Marszalek PE. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2012; 51:1903–1906.
21. Guo CL, Wang B, Wang LC, Xu BQ. Chem Commun. 2012; 48:12222–12224.
22. Krysiak S, Liese S, Netz RR, Hugel T. J Am Chem Soc. 2014; 136:688–697. [PubMed: 24328353]
23. Kim Y, Kim ES, Lee Y, Kim JH, Shim BC, Cho SM, Lee JS, Park JW. J Am Chem Soc. 2014; 136:13754–13760. [PubMed: 25203438]
24. Engel A, Muller DJ. Nature Struct Biol. 2000; 7:715–718. [PubMed: 10966636]
25. Muller DJ, Dufrene YF. Nature Nanotechnol. 2008; 3:261–269. [PubMed: 18654521]
26. Wang HD, Obenaus-Kutner L, Lin M, Huang YP, Grace MJ, Lindsay SM. J Am Chem Soc. 2008; 130:8154–8155. [PubMed: 18529006]
27. Stroh C, Wang H, Bash R, Ashcroft B, Nelson J, Gruber H, Lohr D, Lindsay SM, Hinterdorfer P. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:12503–12507. [PubMed: 15314231]
28. Lv CM, Gao X, Li WF, Xue B, Qin M, Burtnick L, Zhou H, Cao Y, Robinson R, Wang W. Nature Commun. 2014; 5:4623. [PubMed: 25100107]
29. Lee S, Mandic J, Van Vliet KJ. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007; 104:9609–9614. [PubMed: 17535923]
30. Muller DJ, Helenius J, Alsteens D, Dufrene YF. Nature Chem Biol. 2009; 5:383–390. [PubMed: 19448607]
31. Chtcheglova LA, Wildling L, Waschke J, Drenckhahn D, Hinterdorfer P. J Mol Recognit. 2010; 23:589–596. [PubMed: 21038359]
32. Valle-Delgado JJ, Urban P, Fernandez-Busquets X. Nanoscale. 2013; 5:3673–3680. [PubMed: 23306548]
33. Block S, Greinacher A, Helm CA, Delcea M. Soft Matter. 2014; 10:2775–2784. [PubMed: 24667820]
34. Wang LC, Fuster M, Sriramarao P, Esko JD. Nature Immunol. 2005; 6:902–910. [PubMed: 16056228]
35. Qiu H, Jiang JL, Liu M, Huang X, Ding SJ, Wang LC. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2013; 12:2160–2173. [PubMed: 23649490]
36. Fuster MM, Wang LC, Castagnola J, Sikora L, Reddi K, Lee PHA, Radek KA, Schuksz M, Bishop JR, Gallo RL, Sriramarao P, Esko JD. J Cell Biol. 2007; 177:539–549. [PubMed: 17470635]
37. Sasisekharan R, Venkataraman G. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2000; 4:626–631. [PubMed: 11102866]
38. HajMohammadi S, Enjyoji K, Princivalle M, Christi P, Lech M, Beeler D, Rayburn H, Schwartz JJ, Barzegar S, de Agostini AI, Post MJ, Rosenberg RD, Shworak NW. J Clin Invest. 2003; 111:989–999. [PubMed: 12671048]
39. Michelsen LG, Kikura M, Levy JH, Lee MK, Lee KC, Zimmermann JJ, Szlam F. Anesthesiology. 1996; 85:339–346. [PubMed: 8712450]
40. Wijelath E, Namekata M, Murray J, Furuyashiki M, Zhang SY, Coan D, Wakao M, Harris RB, Suda Y, Wang LC, Sobel M. J Cell Biochem. 2010; 111:461–468. [PubMed: 20524207]

41. Zhang B, Xiao WY, Qiu H, Zhang FM, Moniz HA, Jaworski A, Condac E, Gutierrez-Sanchez G, Heiss C, Clugston RD, Azadi P, Greer JJ, Bergmann C, Moremen KW, Li D, Linhardt RJ, Esko JD, Wang LC. J Clin Invest. 2014; 124:209–221. [PubMed: 24355925]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 1.

AFM Images of *Ndst1^{f/f}* cells. (A) Topography images of *Ndst1^{f/f}* cells. (B) Enlarged area marked with black square in (A). (C) and (D) are corresponding amplitude images of (A) and (B). Circle and straight line in (D) indicate the features of granule and cytoskeleton on cell surface, respectively. The images were obtained by Top MAC mode with a magnetic AFM tip.

Fig. 2. Functional imaging of endothelial cell surface with AT-modified AFM tip. (A) Schematics for cell lines with different levels of HS expression (*Ext1*^{f/f}, *Ext1*^{-/-}, *Ndst1*^{f/f}, and *Ndst1*^{-/-}) as well as an AT-functionalized AFM tip. (B) Topographical image for part of a *Ndst1*^{f/f} cell surface as an example. (C) Corresponding recognition image of (B).

Fig. 3.

Force spectroscopy analysis on *Ext1^{fl/f}* and *Ext1^{-/-}* cell surfaces. (A) Representative force-distance curves between an AT-modified tip and HS interaction on *Ext1^{fl/f}* (wildtype) and *Ext1^{-/-}* endothelial cell surfaces. (B) Comparison of rupture forces between *Ext1^{fl/f}* and *Ext1^{-/-}* cells. The loading rate is 39 nN/s, and more than 1000 rupture forces were measured from the force-distance curve for each genotypic cell.

Fig. 4. Force spectroscopy analysis on *Ndst1*^{fl/fl} and *Ndst1*^{-/-} endothelial cell surfaces. (A) Representative force-distance curves between an AT-modified tip and HS interaction on *Ndst1*^{fl/fl} and *Ndst1*^{-/-} endothelial cell surfaces. (B) Comparison of rupture forces between *Ndst1*^{fl/fl} and *Ndst1*^{-/-} cells. (C) Schematic for treatment of *Ndst1*^{fl/fl} cell with heparinase, and subsequent rupture force determination. (D) Distribution of rupture force after heparinase treatment. The histogram indicates the force decreased to 25.77 ± 8.30 pN. The loading rate was 39 nN/s and more than 1000 rupture forces were measured from the force-distance curve for each genotypic cell.

Table 1Rupture force between Cell lines *Ext 1* and *Ndst 1*.

		Peak 1	Peak 2	Peak 3
<i>Ext 1</i> ^{+/+}	Average force (pN)	119.61 ± 35.86	205.38 ± 17.41	253.86 ± 56.64
	Area distribution (%)	79.55	8.81	1.16
<i>Ext 1</i> ^{-/-}	Average force (pN)	33.35 ± 11.17	--	--
<i>Ndst 1</i> ^{+/+}	Average force (pN)	59.15 ± 13.43	92.05 ± 24.20	161.72 ± 9.46
	Area distribution (%)	46.89	48.60	4.51
<i>Ndst 1</i> ^{-/-}	Average force (pN)	24.30 ± 7.37	--	--