Published in final edited form as:

Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2015 April ; 47(4): 743–750. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000000456.

Associations among Physical Activity, Diet Quality, and Weight Status in U.S. Adults

Russell R. Pate¹, Sharon E. Taverno Ross¹, Angela D. Liese², and Marsha Dowda¹

¹Department of Exercise Science, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC

²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Columbia, SC

Abstract

Purpose—Nearly 70% of adult Americans are overweight or obese, but the associations between physical activity, diet quality, and weight status have not been examined in a representative sample of U.S. adults. The purpose of this study was to examine the associations among moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA), diet quality, and weight status within and across age groups in U.S. adults.

Methods—Participants included 2,587 men and 2,412 women ages 20 to 70 years from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003–2004 and 2005–2006. Physical activity was measured by accelerometry. Diet quality was assessed with overall Healthy Eating Index-2005 scores. Measures of weight status, BMI and waist circumference, were assessed using standard NHANES protocols.

Results—Across age groups, MVPA was lower in the older age groups for both men and women while diet quality was higher ($P<.001$). BMI and waist circumference were also higher in the older age groups ($P<0.05$). Within age groups, MVPA was inversely associated with BMI and waist circumference for men and women in nearly every age group ($P<0.05$). Diet quality was inversely associated with the weight status variables only in men ages 30–39, 40–49 (BMI only), and 50–59 years, and women ages 50–59 years ($P<0.05$).

Conclusions—We observed clear age-related trends for measures of weight status, physical activity, and diet quality in U.S. men and women. MVPA was very consistently related to weight status in both genders. The relationship between diet quality and weight status was less consistent. These findings provide support for public health efforts to prevent obesity by promoting increased physical activity in adult Americans.

Keywords

survey data; age trends; nationally-representative; NHANES; accelerometry; public health

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of obesity has nearly tripled in adult Americans since the 1980's,(11;12) and reversing this trend has become a major public health goal.(43) It is clear that obesity results from chronic positive energy balance,(17;46) and it is also clear that this condition can result from excessive dietary energy intake, low energy expenditure due to low levels of physical activity, or both.(24) While the individual-level behaviors that can produce obesity are well recognized, the population-level changes that explain the dramatic secular increase in the prevalence of obesity remain controversial. Some have claimed that the obesity epidemic can be explained largely by adverse changes in population-level dietary behavior,(2;38) while others have pointed to declining physical activity levels as the most salient factor.(1;8) Many public health authorities have recommended that promotion of both healthy eating and physical activity be employed to address the obesity epidemic.(23;47)

The U.S. government has established public health guidelines for both diet and physical activity.(28;41) These guidelines recommend behaviors intended to provide a broad range of health benefits, including reduced risk for developing overweight and obesity. The Dietary Guidelines for Americans recommend that adults "select a healthy eating pattern that includes nutrient-dense foods and beverages they enjoy, meets nutrient requirements, and stays within calorie needs."(40) The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans recommend that adults engage in at least 150 minutes of moderate intensity physical activity per week, or the equivalent amount of more vigorous activity. The Physical Activity Guidelines include the following statement: "Strong scientific evidence shows that physical activity helps people maintain a stable weight over time."(42)

If the assertions cited above are correct, it would be reasonable to expect that level of compliance with the Dietary Guidelines and the Physical Activity Guidelines would be related to weight status in the adult population. In addition, if both diet and physical activity, as indicated in the federal guidelines, contribute to prevention of overweight, it would be expected that each behavior would be independently related to weight status. The central elements of both sets of guidelines are applied uniformly across the adult age range and to both men and women. Therefore, one would expect to observe favorable associations between engagement in healthy physical activity and dietary behaviors as outlined within the two sets of guidelines, and weight status in all adult age groups and in both genders.

Surprisingly, no previous study has examined the associations between weight status, diet quality, and physical activity in nationally-representative age/gender subgroups of the adult population in the United States. We have selected two measures that assess select components of compliance with the Dietary Guidelines (Healthy Eating Index-2005) and the Physical Activity Guidelines (moderate-to-vigorous physical activity [MVPA]). The Healthy Eating Index-2005 is a measure of diet quality that assesses conformance to dietary guidelines in relation to the quality components.(15;19) MVPA is a measure of compliance with regards to meeting the key recommendation of at least 150 min of MVPA per week. Accordingly, the objectives of this study were: 1) to examine age-related trends in MVPA, diet quality, and weight status in U.S. adults, and 2) to examine the associations among MVPA, diet quality, and weight status within age/gender groups of U.S. adults ages 20 to

70 years. We investigated these relationships in a nationally-representative sample of adult Americans included in the 2003–2004 and 2005–2006 rounds of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).

METHODS

Study Design

A cross-sectional study design was employed using data from NHANES. NHANES is conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) National Center for Health Statistics and includes a representative sample of the U.S. civilian noninstitutionalized population. The sample was obtained using a complex, multistage probability design. The standard NHANES protocol included interviewing participants at their homes followed by a health examination at a mobile examination center. The protocol was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics Institutional Review Board, and all participants provided written informed consent prior to participation.

Participants

The current study is based on data from 7675 participants ages 20 to 70 years from the 2003–2004 and 2005–2006 rounds of NHANES. Approximately 48.0% of the sample was male, the mean age was 49.9 ± 18.8 years, and 31.3% of participants were normal weight. The majority of the sample was non-Hispanic white (51.9%), followed by non-Hispanic black (20.7%), Mexican American (20.4%), other race (4.1%), and other Hispanic (2.9%). Participants were excluded if they were missing data on objectively-measured physical activity (<4 days of accelerometer data [$n=1,496$]; MVPA >300 min/day [$n=12$]), two reliable 24-hour dietary recalls ($n=796$), BMI or waist circumference ($n=119$), age ($n=0$), race/ethnicity ($n=0$), poverty income ratio ($n=224$), and smoking ($n=1$). We did not include women who were or may have been pregnant or who were breastfeeding ($n=28$). After deletions, this resulted in a final analysis sample of 4,999 adults ages 20 to 70 years (2,587 men and 2,412 women). The final sample was slightly older, more educated, with higher poverty income ratio and diet quality, and included fewer Mexican Americans compared with those who were excluded due to missing data; the samples did not differ in terms of BMI, waist circumference, or physical activity (accelerometry).

Participants were categorized into five racial/ethnic groups (i.e., non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, Mexican-American, other race, and other Hispanic). The poverty income ratio, which takes into account both family size and income, was used as an index of socioeconomic status (range 0 to 5).⁽⁷⁾ Education level was recoded as high school or less and greater than high school. Marital status was recoded as married/living with partner and not married. Smoking status was determined with the question, "Do you now smoke cigarettes?" A second item was used, "How long has it been since you quit smoking cigarettes?" Participants were coded as 'non-smoker,' 'former smoker,' and 'current smoker.'

Measures

Physical activity—Participants were asked to wear an Actigraph model 7164 accelerometer (Actigraph, LLC; Ft. Walton Beach, FL, USA) on their right hip on an elastic belt for 7 consecutive days following the mobile examination. Participants were asked to wear the monitor during most waking hours, except when sleeping or doing water-related activities (e.g., bathing or swimming). Monitors were returned by express mail, and data were downloaded and reviewed by National Center for Health Statistics staff. Data were collected and stored in 1-min intervals.

For the current analyses, a valid day for measurement of physical activity with accelerometry was defined as having 10 or more hours of wear time. Non-wear time, defined as any period of 60 or more minutes with no counts recorded, was recoded to missing. Only participants who wore the monitor on at least 4 days for 10 or more hours per day were included in the analyses. Intensity thresholds were based on the procedures described by Troiano et al.(39) The threshold for moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) intensity was 2020 counts/min (3 METs). This cut-point was applied uniformly for all age groups and both genders and because of variability in fitness, relative intensity for MVPA likely varied across groups. Time spent in MVPA (minutes/day) was determined by summing the minutes in a day that met the criterion and averaging for all days with 10 or more hours of wear time.

Diet quality—Dietary data were collected with a 24-hour dietary recall administered by trained interviewers on 2 non-consecutive days, one at the mobile examination and the second 3–10 days later by telephone. Interviewers used a computerized Automated Multi Pass Method.(31) During both interviews, participants were asked to recall all food items and the corresponding amount consumed from midnight to midnight on the day preceding the interview. At the in-person interview, participants were given a set of measuring guides to help them report the amount of foods consumed, and the guides were reused for the subsequent telephone interview.

The Healthy Eating Index-2005 (HEI-2005) was calculated from dietary recall data to provide a summary score that is reflective of overall diet quality.(10) This tool was developed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion and has been deemed a valid measure of diet quality.(15) The overall HEI-2005 score is the sum of scores for 12 dietary components, including 9 adequacy components (e.g., total fruit, whole grains) and 3 moderation components (e.g., saturated fat, sodium). HEI-2005 score is calculated on a per-calorie basis, and is therefore adjusted for energy intake. Higher HEI-2005 scores indicate better diet quality; the maximum possible score is 100. The mean HEI-2005 score from the 2 dietary recalls was used in the analyses.(14;26)

Weight status—Anthropometric measurements were administered by trained staff with the participants wearing light clothing without shoes, using standardized methods and equipment.(5) Height and weight were used to calculate BMI using the standard equation (weight [kg] / height [m]²). For descriptive purposes, participants were categorized into four weight categories: BMI <18.5 (underweight), BMI 18.5–24.9, BMI 25–29.9 (overweight),

and BMI 30 (obese) using CDC criteria.(6) All subsequent analyses included BMI as a continuous variable. Waist circumference was measured at the uppermost lateral border of the ilium to the nearest 0.1 cm.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics included weighted means (SE) or percentages for demographic, anthropometric, physical activity, and diet quality variables, according to gender and age groups. All analyses were conducted on the weighted data using SAS (version 9.3, SAS institute, Cary, NC) in 2013; the Surveyreg procedure was used to account for the complex NHANES survey design. Sample weights were recalculated to ensure the final analysis sample remained nationally-representative.(33) Because two NHANES cycles were used (2003–2004 and 2005–2006), four-year sample weights were applied to the data. Gender-specific analyses were conducted to test for linear trends for BMI, waist circumference, diet quality, and MVPA across six age groups: 20–29 years, 30–39 years, 40–49 years, 50–59 years, 60–69 years, and 70 years. The following variables were included in the analyses as covariates due to their known relationship with weight status, physical activity, and diet quality: race/ethnicity, poverty income ratio, and smoking status.

To examine associations between the behavioral variables (MVPA, diet quality) and the weight status variables (BMI, waist circumference), regression analyses were performed separately for men and women within age groups (using the Domain statement in SAS). Regression coefficients and 95% Confidence Intervals were calculated to determine the independent contributions of MVPA (Model 1), diet quality (Model 2), and MVPA and diet quality (Model 3) in predicting BMI and waist circumference. All models were adjusted for the covariates age, race/ethnicity, poverty income ratio, and smoking status. Statistical significance was set at $P<0.05$.

RESULTS

Sociodemographic characteristics for each of the six age groups by gender are presented in Table 1. For the total analysis sample, the race/ethnicity distribution was 74.8% non-Hispanic white, 9.7% non-Hispanic black, 7.1% Mexican-American, 4.8% other race, and 3.6% other Hispanic. The weighted and unadjusted means (SE) for weight status, MVPA, and overall HEI-2005 score according to gender and age group are presented in Table 2. Approximately 32.2% of participants were classified as obese, and an additional 34.7% were classified as overweight.

Adjusted analyses with the weighted data revealed significant age-related trends in weight status, physical activity, and diet quality in both men and women. There were positive, age-related trends for waist circumference across the age groups in both genders ($P<0.001$), and a significant, positive age-related trend for BMI in men only ($P<0.05$). For the behavioral variables, we observed a significant, negative age-related trend for MVPA in both men and women ($P<0.001$). There was also a significant positive age-related trend in diet quality (overall HEI-2005 score) for both men and women ($P<0.001$).

Adjusted regression analyses examining the associations of MVPA and diet quality with BMI and waist circumference were performed in each of the six age groups by gender. The results for men are presented in Table 3. In Model 1, MVPA was significantly inversely associated with BMI in five of the six age groups ($P < 0.05$) and with waist circumference in all six age groups ($P < 0.05$). With the exception of the 20–29 age group, these associations remained significant and were essentially unaffected by the inclusion of diet quality in the model (Model 3). In Model 2, diet quality was inversely associated with both BMI and waist circumference in three of the six age groups of men (30–39, 40–49, and 50–59) ($P < 0.05$). With the exception of waist circumference in the 40–49 age group, controlling for the effect of MVPA (Model 3) had little effect on the associations of diet quality with the measures of weight status.

The associations of MVPA and diet quality with BMI and waist circumference in women are shown in Table 4. MVPA was significantly inversely associated with both BMI and waist circumference in five of the six age groups for Model 1 ($P < 0.05$). These associations were largely unaffected by adding diet quality to the models (Model 3). In most of the age groups for women, diet quality was not significantly associated with either BMI or waist circumference. The exception was the 50–59 year-old group, in which diet quality was significantly inversely associated with both BMI and waist circumference ($P < 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

The major finding of this study was that participation in MVPA was very consistently inversely related to measures of weight status in U.S. adults. This pattern was observed in both men and women in analyses performed within age groups, and in analyses examining trends across the age-groups. With great consistency, higher levels of physical activity were associated with lower BMI and lower waist circumference. These relationships were largely unaffected by adjustments for race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, smoking, age (within age categories), and diet quality. These findings, though limited by the cross-sectional nature of the NHANES data, provide support for efforts to prevent obesity through promotion of increased physical activity in American adults.

The findings for relationships between diet quality and weight status were much less consistent than for physical activity. Better diet quality was significantly associated with lower BMI in three of six age groups for men and with lower waist circumference in two of six age groups for men. Better diet quality was significantly associated with lower BMI and waist circumference in only one age group for women (50–59 years). The inconsistency of these findings may be explained, in part, by the limitations associated with measurement of dietary behavior in large surveys. In NHANES diet was measured via interviewer administered diet recall for two 24-hour periods. We used this self-reported dietary data to calculate the Healthy Eating Index-2005, which we employed as the measure of overall diet quality. While this diet assessment approach is well established and widely applied, and the HEI a validated approach to measuring dietary quality,(15;19) having three or more dietary recalls would have been preferable. Measurement error research is still evolving for the study of dietary indices and ratio measures such as the HEI. What is known to date suggests that the energy adjustment built into the HEI may be countering some of the attenuating

effects of non-differential misclassification. However, while the statistical significance tests of the association may not be seriously biased, they most likely do not have adequate statistical power.(13) Thus, the non-significant findings for the associations of diet quality and weight status should be treated with due caution.

The design and methodology of the present study are unique, but the findings are generally consistent with the existing literature examining relationships among physical activity, diet quality, and measures of weight status in adults. We observed, on a very consistent basis, significant inverse relationships between physical activity and the two measures of weight status considered in this study. This observation is consistent with previous studies that have examined similar relationships using cross-sectional study designs in large samples. (27;30;34;36;37;44) It is also consistent with the findings of studies using prospective, observational designs to examine the relationship between physical activity and measures of weight status in adults.(20–22;27;32;36) We found less consistency in terms of statistical significance of findings in our examination of the relationship between diet quality and weight status measures. In the current study, higher diet quality was inversely associated with measures of weight status in three of six age groups for men and only one of six age groups for women. Previous studies have reported significant inverse relationships between diet quality and weight change or body composition in adults.(9;20;30) However, these studies pooled participants across age groups, and reported marked gender differences.

A recently published study by Loprinzi et al.(26) using NHANES data that found both physical activity and diet quality were associated with risk of overweight/obesity in U.S. adults. However, the study design and analytic strategies employed in the current study are very different from those used in the Loprinzi study. The current study examined these relationships in age and gender subgroups and the variables were treated continuously. We have examined our exposure variables in the full analysis sample (adjusting for age, gender, and other covariates), and like Loprinzi and colleagues, we found significant inverse relationships between both physical activity and diet quality with weight status. However, the current study expands and complements that of Loprinzi et al. by providing detailed information about how these variables interact within different subgroups of the U.S. population.

It is well known that U.S. men and women gain weight and fat as they age.(18;25;29;45) However, to our knowledge, this is the first study to comprehensively document age-related trends in weight status, physical activity, and diet quality using a representative sample of U.S. men and women. The current investigation found that BMI and waist circumference tended to be higher in the older cohorts of adults, which is supported by previous studies of weight status in adult populations.(11;12;35) In distinct contrast, MVPA was strikingly lower in the older age groups for both men and women. In both genders, MVPA in adults 70 years was only 20–25% of that observed in the 20–29 year age groups. Previous studies have documented similar differences in physical activity by age groupings.(3;4) Interestingly, men and women reported higher diet quality in the older age groups. This same trend was observed in an earlier round of NHANES.(16) These observations suggest that, at the population level, age-related increases in BMI and waist circumference are likely to be explained by the age-related decline in physical activity

The present study stands alone as the first study to have examined the relationships among physical activity, diet quality, and measures of weight status in nationally-representative age/gender subgroups of U.S. adults. Due to the cross-sectional study design, we were unable to determine directionality of these relationships. However, the fact that these relationships were studied across the adult lifespan in racially and ethnically diverse samples of men and women is a major strength of the study. Other strengths include use of an objective measure of physical activity and application of an accepted index of diet quality, though it is acknowledged that both measures have limitations. Accelerometry under-detects some forms of physical activity, and the index of diet quality is based on self-reported information. Furthermore, the physical activity measure used here does not measure energy expenditure, just as the diet quality index does not measure energy intake. We cannot exclude the possibility of selection bias given the number of participants excluded due to missingness in the objectively-measured physical activity and 24-hour dietary recall variables. Further, the parameter estimates, while considered to be statistically significant, were small. Within the limitations of the study methodology, we conclude that higher levels of physical activity are very consistently associated with more favorable weight status in U.S. adults. We believe that these findings provide support for public health efforts to prevent obesity by promoting increased physical activity in adult Americans.

Acknowledgments

Source of Funding: Sources of funding are declared by the authors of this paper.

The authors thank Gaye Groover Christmus, MPH (University of South Carolina), for her editorial assistance in the preparation of the manuscript. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM.

References

1. Archer E, Shook RP, Thomas DM, Church TS, Katzmarzyk PT, Hebert JR, McIver KL, Hand GA, Lavie CJ, Blair SN. 45-Year Trends in Women's Use of Time and Household Management Energy Expenditure. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(2):e56620. [PubMed: 23437187]
2. Bleich S, Cutler D, Murray C, Adams A. Why is the developed world obese? *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2008; 29:273–95. [PubMed: 18173389]
3. Carlson SA, Densmore D, Fulton JE, Yore MM, Kohl HW III. Differences in physical activity prevalence and trends from 3 U.S. surveillance systems: NHIS, NHANES, and BRFSS. *J Phys Act Health*. 2009; 6(Suppl 1):S18–S27. [PubMed: 19998846]
4. Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Schoenborn CA, Loustalot F. Trend and prevalence estimates based on the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. *Am J Prev Med*. 2010 Oct; 39(4):305–13. [PubMed: 20837280]
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Web site [Internet]. NHANES Anthropometry Procedures Manual. Atlanta (GA): 2004. [cited 2014 Mar 31]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes_03_04/BM.pdf
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Web site [Internet]. About BMI for Adults. Atlanta (GA): 2012. [cited 2014 Mar 31]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/adult_bmi/
7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics Web site [Internet]. Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies: Demographic Variables and Sample Weights. Hyattsville (MD): 2004. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001–2002. [updated 2009 Sept; cited 2014 Mar 31]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/nhanes2001-2002/DEMO_B.htm

8. Church TS, Thomas DM, Tudor-Locke C, Katzmarzyk PT, Earnest CP, Rodarte RQ, Martin CK, Blair SN, Bouchard C. Trends over 5 decades in U.S. occupation-related physical activity and their associations with obesity. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6(5):e19657. [PubMed: 21647427]
9. Drenowatz C, Shook RP, Hand GA, Hebert JR, Blair SN. The independent association between diet quality and body composition. *Sci Rep*. 2014; 4:4928. [PubMed: 24816165]
10. Ervin, RB. Healthy Eating Index, 2005 - Total and Component Scores for Adults Aged 20 and Over: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2003–2004. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; 2011. p. 9Report No.: 44
11. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Ogden CL. Prevalence of obesity and trends in the distribution of body mass index among US adults, 1999–2010. *JAMA*. 2012 Feb 1; 307(5):491–7. [PubMed: 22253363]
12. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Kuczmarski RJ, Johnson CL. Overweight and obesity in the United States: Prevalence and trends. *Int J Obes*. 1998; 22:39–47.
13. Freedman LS, Schatzkin A, Midthune D, Kipnis V. Dealing with dietary measurement error in nutritional cohort studies. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2011 Jul 20; 103(14):1086–92. [PubMed: 21653922]
14. Guenther PM, Kirkpatrick SI, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM, Buckman DW, Dodd KW, Casavale KO, Carroll RJ. The Healthy Eating Index-2010 is a valid and reliable measure of diet quality according to the 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. *J Nutr*. 2014 Mar; 144(3):399–407. [PubMed: 24453128]
15. Guenther PM, Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM, Reeve BB. Evaluation of the Healthy Eating Index - 2005. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2008 Nov; 108(11):1854–64. [PubMed: 18954575]
16. Guo X, Warden BA, Paeratakul S, Bray GA. Healthy Eating Index and obesity. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2004 Dec; 58(12):1580–6. [PubMed: 15162130]
17. Hill JO, Wyatt HR, Reed GW, Peters JC. Obesity and the environment: Where do we go from here? *Science*. 2003 Feb 7; 299(5608):853–5. [PubMed: 12574618]
18. Hughes VA, Frontera WR, Roubenoff R, Evans WJ, Singh MA. Longitudinal changes in body composition in older men and women: role of body weight change and physical activity. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2002 Aug; 76(2):473–81. [PubMed: 12145025]
19. Kennedy ET, Ohls J, Carlson S, Fleming K. The Healthy Eating Index: design and applications. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1995 Oct; 95(10):1103–8. [PubMed: 7560680]
20. Kimokoti RW, Newby PK, Gona P, Zhu L, Jasuja GK, Pencina MJ, Keon-O'Malley C, Fox CS, D'Agostino RB, Millen BE. Diet quality, physical activity, smoking status, and weight fluctuation are associated with weight change in women and men. *J Nutr*. 2010 Jul; 140(7):1287–93. [PubMed: 20484553]
21. Klesges RC, Klesges LM, Haddock CK, Eck LH. A longitudinal analysis of the impact of dietary intake and physical activity on weight change in adults. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1992 Apr; 55(4):818–22. [PubMed: 1550064]
22. Koh-Banerjee P, Chu NF, Spiegelman D, Rosner B, Colditz G, Willett W, Rimm E. Prospective study of the association of changes in dietary intake, physical activity, alcohol consumption, and smoking with 9-y gain in waist circumference among 16,587 U.S. men. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2003 Oct; 78(4):719–27. [PubMed: 14522729]
23. Koplan, JP.; Liverman, CT.; Kraak, VI. Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance. Washington, D.C: The National Academies Press; 2005. p. 414
24. Kumanyika SK, Obarzanek E, Stettler N, Bell R, Field AE, Fortmann SP, Franklin BA, Gillman MW, Lewis CE, Poston WC, et al. Population-based prevention of obesity: the need for comprehensive promotion of healthful eating, physical activity, and energy balance: a scientific statement from American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Interdisciplinary Committee for Prevention (formerly the expert panel on population and prevention science). *Circulation*. 2008 Jul 22; 118(4):428–64. [PubMed: 18591433]
25. Lewis CE, Jacobs DR Jr, McCreath H, Kiefe CI, Schreiner PJ, Smith DE, Williams OD. Weight gain continues in the 1990s: 10-year trends in weight and overweight from the CARDIA study. Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2000 Jun 15; 151(12): 1172–81. [PubMed: 10905529]

26. Loprinzi PD, Smit E, Mahoney S. Physical activity and dietary behavior in US adults and their combined influence on health. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2014 Feb; 89(2):190–8. [PubMed: 24485132]
27. Macdonald HM, New SA, Campbell MK, Reid DM. Longitudinal changes in weight in perimenopausal and early postmenopausal women: effects of dietary energy intake, energy expenditure, dietary calcium intake and hormone replacement therapy. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 2003 Jun; 27(6):669–76. [PubMed: 12833110]
28. McGuire, S. U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Dietary Guidelines for Americans*, 2010. 7. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office; Jan. 2011 *Adv Nutr.* 2011 May; 2(3):293–4. [PubMed: 22332062]
29. McTigue KM, Garrett JM, Popkin BM. The natural history of the development of obesity in a cohort of young U.S. adults between 1981 and 1998. *Ann Intern Med.* 2002 Jun 18; 136(12):857–64. [PubMed: 12069559]
30. Molenaar EA, Massaro JM, Jacques PF, Pou KM, Ellison RC, Hoffmann U, Pencina K, Shadwick SD, Vasan RS, O'Donnell CJ, et al. Association of lifestyle factors with abdominal subcutaneous and visceral adiposity: the Framingham Heart Study. *Diabetes Care.* 2009 Mar; 32(3):505–10. [PubMed: 19074991]
31. Moshfegh AJ, Rhodes DG, Baer DJ, Murayi T, Clemens JC, Rumpler WV, Paul DR, Sebastian RS, Kuczynski KJ, Ingwersen LA, et al. The US Department of Agriculture Automated Multiple-Pass Method reduces bias in the collection of energy intakes. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008 Aug; 88(2):324–32. [PubMed: 18689367]
32. Mozaffarian D, Hao T, Rimm EB, Willett WC, Hu FB. Changes in diet and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. *N Engl J Med.* 2011 Jun 23; 364(25):2392–404. [PubMed: 21696306]
33. National Cancer Institute Web site [Internet]. Washington D.C: SAS Programs for Analyzing; 2014 Apr 11. NHANES 2003–2004 Accelerometer Data: REWEIGHT.PAM and ADHERE.PAM Programs[cited 2014 June 6]. Available from: http://appliedresearch.cancer.gov/nhanes_pam/reweight.html
34. Okeyo OD, Ayado OL, Mbagaya GM. Physical activity and dietary fat as determinants of body mass index in a cross-sectional correlational design. *East Afr J Public Health.* 2009 Apr; 6(1):32–6. [PubMed: 20000061]
35. Okosun IS, Chandra KM, Boev A, Boltri JM, Choi ST, Parish DC, Dever GE. Abdominal adiposity in U.S. adults: prevalence and trends, 1960–2000. *Prev Med.* 2004 Jul; 39(1):197–206. [PubMed: 15208003]
36. Paeratakul S, Popkin BM, Keyou G, Adair LS, Stevens J. Changes in diet and physical activity affect the body mass index of Chinese adults. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 1998 May; 22(5):424–31. [PubMed: 9622339]
37. Shankar B. Obesity in China: the differential impacts of covariates along the BMI distribution. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2010 Aug; 18(8):1660–6. [PubMed: 19910935]
38. Swinburn B, Sacks G, Ravussin E. Increased food energy supply is more than sufficient to explain the US epidemic of obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2009 Dec; 90(6):1453–6.
39. Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW, Masse LC, Tilert T, McDowell M. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008 Jan; 40(1):181–8. [PubMed: 18091006]
40. U.S. Department of Agriculture Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion Web site [Internet]. Chapter 2 - Balancing Calories to Manage Weight. Washington D.C: *Dietary Guidelines for Americans*; 2010. p. 8-19.[cited 2014 Mar 31]Available from: <http://www.cnpp.usda.gov/Publications/DietaryGuidelines/2010/PolicyDoc/Chapter2.pdf>
41. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Report*, 2008. Washington, DC: USDHHS; 2008.
42. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Web site [Internet]. Chapter 2 - Physical Activity has Many Health Benefits. Washington D.C: *Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans*; 2008. p. 7-14.[cited 2014 Mar 31]Available from: <http://www.health.gov/paguidelines/guidelines/chapter2.aspx>

43. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Web site [Internet]. Healthy People 2020. Washington D.C: 2011. [cited 2014 Mar 31]. Available from: <http://www.healthypeople.gov/hp2020>
44. Vinknes KJ, de VS, Elshorbagy AK, Nurk E, Drevon CA, Gjesdal CG, Tell GS, Vollset SE, Refsum H. Dietary intake of protein is positively associated with percent body fat in middle-aged and older adults. *J Nutr.* 2011 Mar; 141(3):440–6. [PubMed: 21248195]
45. Williamson DF, Kahn HS, Remington PL, Anda RF. The 10-year incidence of overweight and major weight gain in US adults. *Arch Intern Med.* 1990 Mar; 150(3):665–72. [PubMed: 2310286]
46. World Health Organization Web site [Internet]. Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health. Geneva: 2004. [cited 2006 Jan 25]. Available from: http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/strategy/eb11344/strategy_english_web.pdf
47. World Health Organization. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000. p. 253 Technical Report Series Report No.: 894

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1
Sample characteristics (weighted mean and SE or percentage) according to gender and age groups

Characteristic		Men					
		20–29	30–39	40–49	50–59	60–69	
Age group							70
n		353	405	470	364	445	550
Age, years		24.8 (0.2)	34.7 (0.2)	44.6	53.8 (0.2)	64.2 (0.1)	76.3 (0.2)
Poverty Index		2.6 (0.1)	3.2 (0.1)	3.5 (0.1)	3.7 (0.1)	3.4 (0.1)	2.8 (0.1)
Race							
Non-Hispanic white		63.6%	66.7%	77.6%	76.5%	84.1%	86.1%
Non-Hispanic black		10.6%	9.0%	9.8%	8.4%	7.1%	7.5%
Mexican American		11.6%	11.9%	7.7%	4.4%	4.1%	2.8%
Other race		8.5%	6.8%	2.0%	7.4%	3.6%	0.9%
Other Hispanic		5.7%	5.5%	2.9%	3.2%	1.1%	2.8%
Education							
High school or less		42.3%	42.3%	37.0%	34.6%	43.2%	53.6%
Greater than HS		57.7%	57.7%	63.0%	65.4%	56.8%	46.4%
Marital status							
Married/partner		50.0%	73.1%	80.4%	82.4%	83.4%	77.9%
Not married		50.0%	26.9%	19.6%	17.6%	16.6%	22.1%
Body weight, kg		83.8 (1.5)	88.8 (1.2)	91.6 (0.8)	88.8 (1.2)	91.1 (1.2)	83.3 (0.8)
Weight status							
Underweight		4.0%	0.5%	0.2%	1.5%	0.1%	0.9%
Normal Weight		43.0%	30.5%	18.6%	20.5%	18.1%	27.3%
Overweight		29.6%	39.0%	46.5%	43.6%	45.9%	45.6%
Obese		23.4%	30.0%	34.8%	34.4%	36.0%	26.2%
Smoking status							
Non-smoker		53.3%	56.1%	45.9%	39.2%	26.7%	32.1%
Former smoker		17.3%	18.9%	26.8%	35.0%	53.8%	62.1%
Current smoker		29.4%	25.0%	27.3%	25.8%	18.5%	5.8%

Characteristic			Women				
			30–39	40–49	50–59	60–69	70
Age group	20–29						
n	264		336	469	376	473	494
Age, years	24.7 (0.2)		34.7 (0.2)	44.4 (0.2)	54.2 (0.2)	64.1 (0.1)	76.7 (0.3)
Poverty Index	2.6 (0.1)		3.2 (0.1)	3.4 (0.1)	3.6 (0.1)	3.0 (0.1)	2.5 (0.1)
Race							
Non-Hispanic white	66.7%		73.2%	71.5%	78.8%	79.3%	87.0%
Non-Hispanic black	10.5%		11.5%	12.7%	9.5%	9.8%	5.7%
Mexican American	10.2%		9.6%	6.6%	4.4%	3.7%	3.0%
Other race	6.8%		2.5%	5.1%	4.3%	4.4%	3.3%
Other Hispanic	5.8%		3.1%	4.1%	3.0%	2.8%	1.0%
Education							
HS or less	29.7%		31.5%	33.5%	36.5%	48.4%	64.0%
Greater than HS	70.4%		68.5%	66.5%	63.5%	51.6%	36.0%
Marital status							
Married/partner	46.5%		76.2%	70.0%	67.2%	63.3%	44.3%
Not married	53.5%		23.8%	30.0%	32.8%	36.7%	55.7%
Weight, kg	69.7 (1.3)		76.0 (1.2)	77.6 (1.1)	77.4 (1.5)	76.3 (0.9)	68.8 (1.3)
Weight status							
Underweight	2.9%		0.9%	1.8%	1.0%	0.9%	2.1%
Normal Weight	53.2%		40.0%	31.8%	31.8%	27.6%	36.7%
Overweight	22.7%		21.6%	28.1%	30.1%	37.3%	35.4%
Obese	21.2%		37.5%	38.4%	37.2%	34.2%	25.8%
Smoking status							
Non-smoker	65.2%		62.8%	55.1%	59.6%	52.8%	58.1%
Former smoker	11.1%		15.3%	22.0%	23.8%	31.3%	36.2%
Current smoker	23.7%		21.9%	23.0%	16.6%	15.8%	5.7%

NOTE: HS, high school; Underweight, BMI<18.5; Normal weight, BMI 18.5–24.9; Overweight, BMI 25–29.9; Obese, BMI 30.

Table 2

Unadjusted and weighted means (SE) for weight status, physical activity, and diet quality

		Men					
		20–29	30–39	40–49	50–59		
Age group						60–69	70
n		353	405	470	364	445	550
Waist-circumference		92.9 (1.0)	99.1 (0.8)	102.6 (0.7)	102.9 (0.9)	107.3 (0.8)	104.2 (0.6)
BMI		26.5 (0.4)	28.5 (0.3)	29.0 (0.3)	28.4 (0.3)	29.4 (0.3)	27.7 (0.2)
MVPA, min/day		41.4 (1.9)	38.8 (1.4)	35.8 (1.2)	26.5 (1.3)	17.6 (0.9)	9.3 (0.6)
Diet quality (HEI-2005)		49.6 (0.9)	50.1 (0.6)	50.8 (0.7)	52.7 (0.7)	54.7 (0.7)	56.8 (0.7)
		Women					
		20–29	30–39	40–49	50–59		
Age group						60–69	70
n		264	336	469	376	473	494
Waist-circumference		87.5 (1.1)	92.7 (1.0)	94.5 (0.8)	96.2 (1.3)	97.7 (0.6)	95.4 (1.0)
BMI		26.1 (0.5)	28.6 (0.5)	29.2 (0.4)	29.3 (0.5)	28.9 (0.3)	27.3 (0.4)
MVPA, min/day		24.9 (1.3)	23.6 (1.1)	21.9 (1.0)	16.4 (1.0)	12.0 (0.8)	6.3 (0.4)
Diet quality (HEI-2005)		51.3 (0.7)	53.2 (0.7)	53.4 (0.7)	56.1 (0.8)	58.0 (0.7)	59.7 (0.6)

NOTE: MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; HEI-2005, Healthy Eating Index 2005;

Table 3
Associations between physical activity, diet quality and weight status (beta, 95% Confidence Interval) for men

BMI							
Age group	20–29	30–39	40–49	50–59	60–69	70	
n	353	405	469	364	445	550	
Model 1							
MVPA, min/day	-0.02 -0.04, -0.003	-0.06*** -0.09, -0.03	-0.05*** -0.06, -0.03	-0.04* -0.06, -0.01	-0.08*** -0.11, -0.04	-0.07*** -0.11, -0.04	
Model 2							
Diet quality, HEI-2005	-0.04 -0.11, 0.03	-0.08** -0.13, -0.03	-0.06* -0.10, -0.01	-0.08* -0.13, -0.02	0.01 -0.06, 0.07	-0.01 -0.06, 0.04	
Model 3							
MVPA, min/day	-0.02 -0.04, -0.003	-0.06*** -0.09, -0.03	-0.04*** -0.06, -0.02	-0.04* -0.07, -0.01	-0.08*** -0.11, -0.05	-0.07*** -0.11, -0.03	
Diet quality, HEI-2005	-0.04 -0.10, 0.03	-0.08* -0.13, -0.02	-0.05* -0.09, -0.001	-0.08** -0.13, -0.03	0.01 -0.05, 0.07	0.001 -0.05, 0.05	
Waist circumference							
Age group	20–29	30–39	40–49	50–59	60–69	70	
n	353	405	470	364	445	550	
Model 1							
MVPA, min/day	-0.06* -0.13, -0.001	-0.16*** -0.23, -0.09	-0.12*** -0.17, -0.07	-0.11* -0.19, -0.03	-0.22*** -0.31, -0.13	-0.22*** -0.32, -0.12	
Model 2							
Diet quality, HEI-2005	-0.18 -0.39, 0.03	-0.22** -0.36, -0.08	-0.14* -0.26, -0.03	-0.24*** -0.37, -0.12	-0.06 -0.20, 0.08	-0.002 -0.13, 0.12	
Model 3							
MVPA, min/day	-0.06 -0.12, 0.001	-0.16*** -0.22, -0.09	-0.12*** -0.17, -0.07	-0.12* -0.20, -0.03	-0.22*** -0.31, -0.13	-0.22*** -0.33, -0.12	
Diet quality, HEI-2005	-0.17 -0.37, 0.04	-0.21* -0.36, -0.06	-0.11 -0.23, -0.002	-0.25*** -0.37, -0.14	-0.06 -0.19, 0.07	0.02 -0.11, 0.16	

NOTE: MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; HEI-2005, Healthy Eating Index 2005; Model 1- MVPA only; Model 2- Diet quality only; Model 3 – All variables entered; All models adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, poverty income ratio and smoking status;

100 > P ***
 ; 10 > P **
 ; 50 > P *

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 4

Associations between physical activity, diet quality, and weight status (beta, 95% Confidence Interval) for women

Age group	BMI					70
	20–29	30–39	40–49	50–59	60–69	
n	264	336	469	375	473	494
Model 1						
MVPA, min/day	-0.05* -0.09, -0.01	-0.03 -0.07, 0.01	-0.11*** -0.14, -0.07	-0.11*** -0.14, -0.08	-0.09** -0.14, -0.04	-0.11*** -0.17, -0.06
Model 2						
Diet quality, HEI-2005	-0.06 -0.14, 0.03	-0.01 -0.09, 0.08	-0.06 -0.15, 0.03	-0.09* -0.16, -0.02	-0.05 -0.11, 0.01	-0.04 -0.09, 0.004
Model 3						
MVPA, min/day	-0.05* -0.08, -0.01	-0.02 -0.07, 0.01	-0.10*** -0.14, -0.06	-0.11*** -0.14, -0.08	-0.09** -0.14, -0.04	-0.11** -0.17, -0.05
Diet quality, HEI-2005	-0.05 -0.13, 0.04	-0.01 -0.08, 0.09	-0.04 -0.12, 0.05	-0.08* -0.15, -0.01	-0.02 -0.08, 0.03	-0.03 -0.07, 0.02
Waist circumference						
Age group	20–29	30–39	40–49	50–59	60–69	70
n	264	336	469	375	473	494
Model 1						
MVPA, min/day	-0.17*** -0.26, -0.08	-0.05 -0.13, 0.04	-0.23*** -0.30, -0.14	-0.24*** -0.33, -0.15	-0.19* -0.34, -0.03	-0.29*** -0.45, -0.15
Model 2						
Diet quality, HEI-2005	-0.18 -0.42, -0.04	-0.03 -0.18, 0.11	-0.11 -0.30, 0.08	-0.23** -0.36, -0.10	-0.09 -0.24, 0.05	-0.09 -0.23, 0.06
Model 3						
MVPA, min/day	-0.15** -0.25, -0.06	-0.04 -0.13, 0.04	-0.22*** -0.31, -0.13	-0.23*** -0.33, -0.14	-0.18* -0.34, -0.03	-0.29** -0.45, -0.12
Diet quality, HEI-2005	-0.14 -0.34, 0.07	-0.02 -0.17, 0.13	-0.06 -0.24, 0.12	-0.22** -0.35, -0.09	-0.05 -0.18, 0.09	-0.04 -0.19, 0.10

NOTE: MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; HEI-2005, Healthy Eating Index 2005; Model 1- MVPA only; Model 2- Diet quality only; Model 3 – All variables entered; All models adjusted for age, race/ethnicity, poverty income ratio and smoking status;

100 > P ***
 ; 10 > P **
 ; 50 > P *

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript