Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2015 April 27; 54(18): 5336–5339. doi:10.1002/anie.201500640.

Dependence of Distance Distributions Derived from Double Electron-Electron Resonance Pulsed EPR on Pulse Sequence Time**

Dr. James L. Baber, Dr. John M. Louis, and Dr. G. Marius Clore

National Institutes of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892-0520, U.S.A

James L. Baber: jamesbabber@niddk.nih.gov; G. Marius Clore: mariusc@mail.nih.gov

Abstract

Pulsed double electron-electron resonance (DEER) provides pairwise $P(r)$ distance distributions in doubly spin-labeled proteins. We report that in protonated proteins $P(r)$ is dependent on the length of the second echo period T owing to local environmental effects on spin-label phase memory relaxation time T_m . For the protein ABD, this effect results in a 1.4 Å increase in the $P(r)$ maximum from $T = 6$ to 20 μ s. Protein A has a bimodal $P(r)$ distribution and the relative height of the shorter distance peak at $T = 10$ μ s, the shortest value required to obtain a reliable $P(r)$, is reduced by 40% relative to that obtained by extrapolation to $T = 0$. Our results indicate that data at a series of T values are essential for quantitative interpretation of DEER to determine the extent of the T dependence and to extrapolate the results to $T = 0$. Complete deuteration (99%) of the protein is accompanied by a significant increase in T_m and effectively abolishes the $P(r)$ dependence on T .

Keywords

Proteins; DEER; Distance distributions; Deuteration; Phase memory time

Double Electron-Electron Resonance (DEER) is a powerful EPR method for measuring the distance between two unpaired electron spins.^[1] Four-pulse DEER (Figure 1),^[1d] coupled with site-directed spin-labeling,^[2a] has been increasingly exploited in biophysics to gain quantitative insight into structure and function of macromolecules and their assemblies, to probe conformational transitions and determine relative populations of multiple conformational states.^[2b–f] DEER also offers much promise in supplementing existing techniques of macromolecular structure determination, such as X-ray crystallography, NMR spectroscopy and solution X-ray scattering. The commercial availability of high-powered (150 W) Q-band pulsed EPR spectrometers has greatly enhanced the prospects for more routine implementation of DEER. Sensitivity at Q-band can be up to an order of magnitude higher than that of traditional X-band,^[3] resulting in increased data quality and shorter data acquisition times. Further, although a temperature of ~50 K is optimal for sensitivity

Correspondence to: James L. Baber, jamesbabber@niddk.nih.gov; G. Marius Clore, mariusc@mail.nih.gov.

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

purposes, most DEER measurements have been reported at 80 K owing to the practical disadvantage of liquid helium cooling. The commercial availability, however, of a cold head-cooled, liquid helium cryostat makes working at optimal DEER temperatures for extended periods of time practical, yielding further increases in sensitivity.

The reliability of DEER data is dependent on both the signal-to-noise ratio and the total dipolar evolution time (t_{max} in Figure 1).^[2d] Measurement of longer distances requires longer dipolar evolution times and, therefore, longer second echo periods ($T = 2\tau_2$ in Figure 1) for the observe pulses.

The measured DEER curve is a convolution of two signals. The first is the desired cosine-modulated signal from dipolar coupling of intramolecular spin pairs. The second is an exponential decaying background from dipolar coupling with other free radicals randomly distributed in the solvent matrix. To obtain reliable distance information, the intermolecular background must be reliably deconvoluted from the desired intramolecular signal. The longer the dipolar evolution is sampled, the more reliable the deconvolution of the two signals. Thus, there are two good reasons for collecting data for as long a total dipolar evolution time as practical. Unfortunately, the total time of the pulse sequence is limited by the relaxation properties of the electron spins. For any given sample, a compromise must be chosen between signal-to-noise and length of the dipolar evolution time. Compared to traditional X-band, high power Q-band offers both long ($>10\ \mu\text{s}$ for a deuterated solvent matrix at 50 K) dipolar evolution times and good signal-to-noise. Here, we report that taking advantage of longer dipolar evolution times afforded at Q-band reveals a significant issue of practical importance that must be taken into account when making quantitative distance or population measurements on protonated proteins by DEER: specifically, the $P(r)$ distance distribution can depend significantly on the length of T , and therefore appropriate strategies need to be employed to take these effects into account.

DEER data were collected over a range of T values for two proteins of known structure, the albumin binding GA domain (ABD)^[4] and the immunoglobulin binding B domain of protein A (Protein A).^[5] Both proteins were nitroxide-labeled with (1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-3-pyrroline-3-methyl)-methanethiosulfonate (MTSL) at two engineered surface cysteine sites located close to the N- and C-termini of the structured domains (Supporting Information (SI) Figure S1), and dissolved in a medium comprising a 30:70 mixture of deuterated glycerol- d_8 and 99.9% D_2O (see Supplementary for sample preparation details).

Analysis of the DEER curves using Tikhonov regularization^[2d,6] yields a single broad distance $P(r)$ distribution for the ABD protein (Figure 2a–c). However, as T increases, the short side of the $P(r)$ distribution is progressively attenuated, resulting in a measurable shift in the $P(r)$ maximum to longer distance (Figure 2b). In this example, the $P(r)$ maximum is shifted by $1.4\ \text{\AA}$ to longer distance going from $T = 6$ to $20\ \mu\text{s}$. The T dependence is evident in the raw DEER data (Figure 2a). Zooming in to the time = $0\ \mu\text{s}$ region, one can see that the slope of the raw data decreases as T increases. The plot of the $P(r)$ maximum versus T yields a straight line (Figure 2c) with a y-intercept (at $T = 0$) of $33.6 \pm 0.2\ \text{\AA}$ and a slope of $0.085 \pm 0.015\ \text{\AA}\cdot\mu\text{s}^{-1}$.

Protein A offers a more dramatic dependence of the DEER-derived $P(r)$ distribution on T , where $P(r)$ (obtained by Tikhonov regularization) is clearly bimodal and the relative heights of the two peaks depends critically on the length of T (Figures 2d–f). The first maximum in $P(r)$ centered at 33 Å is severely reduced in intensity at the longest T values that were practical to measure (up to 20 μs) for the protonated protein. The bimodal nature of the distribution is easily evident in the raw DEER data acquired at $T = 6$ μs (black curve in inset of Figure 2d) and becomes increasingly less obvious as T increases. The second maximum in $P(r)$ shows no obvious dependence on T with its position varying randomly over a small range of ~0.4 Å. The results of Tikhonov regularization were normalized to the maximum of the longer distance (~38 Å) of the bimodal $P(r)$ distribution (Figure 2e), and a plot of the ratio of peak heights versus T yields an approximately straight line with a y-intercept of 1.25 ± 0.02 (at $T = 0$) and slope of $-0.047 \pm 0.002 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$ (Figure 2f). A single exponential fit to this curve is worse than that obtained for the linear fit. As no physical model predicts a linear dependence, we also fit the curve to the ratio of two stretched exponentials, $a[\exp(-T/T_{m1})^{x1} / \exp(-T/T_{m2})^{x2}]$, by analogy to the stretched exponential nature of electron spin-echo decay curves,^[7] where a is a pre-exponential factor, x_i are exponential stretch factors, and T_{mi} apparent phase memory relaxation times. As this function has many local minima we chose starting values for minimization of $a = 1.25$, equal to the y-intercept from the linear fit; 9 μs and 1.6 for T_{m1} and x_1 , respectively, corresponding to a partially solvent accessible spin-label of intermediate mobility;^[7b] and 12.6 μs and 1.3 for T_{m2} and x_2 , respectively, corresponding to a fully solvent accessible, highly mobile spin-label.^[7b] The resulting fit is more consistent with the data (red curve, Figure 2f) than the linear fit (black dashes, Figure 2f), and the physically reasonable values for the two T_m 's (9 and 13 μs, close to the values obtained from a two-pulse Hahn spin-echo experiment shown in SI Figure S5a) and stretch factors (~1.5) provide an established physical model^[7b] for understanding the experimental dependence of the DEER curves and $P(r)$ distributions on the length of T .

To gain further insight into this phenomenon, Protein A was perdeuterated at the ~80% or 99% levels (by growing the bacteria in minimal medium in D₂O either alone, or supplemented by deuterated glucose and deuterated Isogro growth medium, respectively; see SI) and spin-labeled with perdeuterated MTSL. The results of these DEER measurements are reported in Figures 2g–i and 2j–l, respectively. The dependence of the peak ratio in the $P(r)$ distribution on T is significantly reduced (Figure 2h) in the ~80% perdeuterated sample compared to that obtained with the protonated protein (Figure 2e): a plot of relative peak intensity versus T is approximately linear and can be fit to a straight line (black dashes in Figure 2i) with a y-intercept of 1.34 ± 0.01 (at $T = 0$) and slope of $-0.0097 \pm 0.0003 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$. Thus, the rate of decay in intensity of the first peak in the $P(r)$ distribution is reduced by a factor of ~5 upon ~80% perdeuteration. 99% perdeuteration completely abolishes the T dependence of the peak ratio in the $P(r)$ distribution.

The most obvious explanation for the dependence of the $P(r)$ distributions on T is that T_m is affected by the local environment of the spin-label which can be closer or further to agents, in particular protons, that will cause transverse spin relaxation depending on the rotameric state of the spin-label and/or protein side chains. Thus, as T increases, the representation of

rotamer populations with shorter T_m values in the $P(r)$ distribution will be attenuated relative to those with longer T_m values. Indeed, the experimental $P(r)$ distributions are consistent with those predicted from a spin-label rotamer library using the program MMM^[9] (SI Figure S6). These findings are presaged by an earlier report that T_m is correlated to spin-label proximity to the protein surface:^[7b] namely, spin-labels that are fully accessible to a deuterated solvent matrix have significantly longer T_m values than those partially buried in a protein pocket or in close proximity to the protein surface. The T_m values reported by Huber *et al.*^[7b] for a protonated protein in a deuterated glycerol/D₂O solvent varied from 1.4 to 12.6 μ s, depending on nitroxide spin-label position, and it was proposed that T_m could be used as a measure of a label's proximity to the protein.

For the ABD protein, the difference in distance between the $P(r)$ maximum at $T = 0$ (by extrapolation) and 10 μ s (the minimum time necessary to obtain a reliable $P(r)$ distribution) is 0.8 Å (Figure 2c). While a systematic error of <1 Å is not particularly large and in most instances is unlikely to affect most structural conclusions, it could have a potentially profound impact on the interpretation of any structural analyses involving small or subtle conformational changes.

For protonated Protein A, the ratio [$I(33 \text{ Å})/I(38 \text{ Å})$] of the peak heights at the two maxima of the $P(r)$ distribution is reduced from an extrapolated value of 1.25 at $T = 0$ to an experimental value of 0.77 at $T = 10 \mu$ s (the shortest value required to obtain a reliable $P(r)$ from the DEER data), corresponding to a ~40% reduction in the apparent population of the state(s) with the shorter distance (Figure 2f). This is clearly of considerable practical significance when attempting to draw conclusions on the populations of various states from DEER. Upon ~80% perdeuteration of the protein with a deuterated spin label, the effect is much smaller (~7%) such that the ratio is reduced from an extrapolated value of 1.34 at $T = 0$ to an experimental value of 1.23 at $T = 10 \mu$ s (Figure 2i). Although small, this difference could still be significant for modeling purposes, but is clearly much more accurate than for the protonated case. Definitive results are obtained with 99% perdeuteration of the protein which yields an average peak ratio over all T values of 1.28 ± 0.02 (Figure 2l).

Our results yield several important conclusions of practical significance for the application of DEER in structural biology and biophysics. DEER-derived $P(r)$ distributions of intramolecular distances between pairs of spin-labels in protonated proteins are likely to be distorted due to the dependence of a spin-label's phase memory relaxation time T_m on its local environment. A distribution of rotamers (from the spin-label and/or neighboring protein side chains) all but guarantees differences in local environments at different spin-labeling sites. Preliminary data from three other systems studied in our laboratory displayed T dependencies similar in magnitude to that reported for ABD here. The magnitude of this dependence can only be gauged by performing DEER experiments over a range of T values. Our results from Protein A suggest that this is especially important when attempting to derive conclusions regarding relative populations of different states. This applies not only to the observation of resolved rotameric states in the $P(r)$ distribution as in the case of Protein A, but more importantly to the study of global conformational transitions in proteins, such as the dissection of relative populations of open and closed states where the local environments of the spin-labels are likely to be different in the various conformers. Our results also

suggest two simple practical solutions for obtaining accurate $P(r)$ distance distributions from DEER: first, deuteration of the protein, spin-label and solvent matrix which attenuates any distortion due to differential phase memory relaxation times (in addition to the usual advantages of longer T_m values that yield better signal-to-noise and allow longer distances to be probed^[10]), and at 99% deuteration essentially abolishes the effect; second, recording data at multiple second echo period times T should be carried out to permit extrapolation of the results to $T = 0$. Finally, when using DEER to assess small structural changes for any given perturbation, especially on protonated proteins, one must be careful to consider whether the observed variations in distance between spin-label pairs are due to an actual change in distance or a change in relative relaxation parameters that might ultimately distort the measured $P(r)$ distance distribution.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Alexey Potapov, Ad Bax and Lalit Deshmukh for useful discussions, and Annie Aniana for technical assistance. This work was supported by the Intramural Program of NIDDK, NIH and by the AIDS Targeted Antiviral Program of the Office of the Director of the NIH (to G.M.C.)

References

1. a) Milov AD, Salikhov KM, Shirov MD. *Fiz Tverd Tela*. 1981; 23:975–982. b) Milov AD, Ponomarev AB, Tsvetkov YD. *Chem Phys Lett*. 1984; 110:67–72. c) Larsen RG, Singel DJ. *J Chem Phys*. 1993; 98:5134–5146. d) Pannier S, Veit A, Godt G, Jeschke HW, Spiess J. *Magn Reson*. 2000; 142:331–340.
2. a) Shin YK, Levinthal C, Levinthal F, Hubbell WL. *Science*. 1993; 259:960–963. [PubMed: 8382373] b) Hubbell WL, Altenbach C. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*. 1994; 4:566–573. c) Fanucci GE, Cafiso DS. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*. 2006; 16:644–653. [PubMed: 16949813] d) Jeschke G, Polyhach Y. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2007; 9:1895–1910. [PubMed: 17431518] e) Altenbach C, Kusnetzow AK, Ernst OP, Hofman KP, Hubbell WL. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:7439–7444. [PubMed: 18490656] (f) Jeschke G. *Annu Rev Phys Chem*. 2012; 63:419–446. [PubMed: 22404592]
3. Polyhach Y, Bordignon E, Tschaggelar R, Gandra S, Godt A, Jeschke G. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2012; 14:10762–10773. [PubMed: 22751953]
4. Johansson MU, de Chateau M, Wikström M, Forsen S, Drakenberg T, Bjorck L. *J Mol Biol*. 1997; 266:859–865. [PubMed: 9086265]
5. Gouda H, Torigoe H, Saito A, Sato M, Arata Y, Shimada I. *Biochemistry*. 1992; 31:9665–9672. [PubMed: 1390743]
6. Jeschke G, Chechik V, Ionita P, Godt A, Zimmermann H, Banham J, Timmel CR, Hilger D, Jung H. *Appl Magn Reson*. 2006; 30:473–498.
7. a) Lindgren M, Eaton GR, Eaton SS, Jonsson BH, Hammarström P, Svensson M, Carlsson U. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans*. 1997; 2:2549–2554. b) Huber M, Lindgren M, Hammarstrom P, Martensson LG, Carlsson U, Eaton GR, Eaton SS. *Biophys Chem*. 2001; 94:245–256. [PubMed: 11804734]
8. a) Shekhtman A, Ghose R, Goger M, Cowburn D. *FEBS Lett*. 2002; 524:177–182. [PubMed: 12135763] b) Hansen AL, Lundström P, Velyvis A, Kay LE. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134:3178–3189. [PubMed: 22300166]
9. Polyhach Y, Bordignon E, Jeschke G. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2011; 13:2356–2366. [PubMed: 21116569]

10. Ward R, Bowman A, Sozudogru E, El-Mkami H, Owen-Hughes T, Norman DJ. Magn Reson. 2010; 207:164–167.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Figure 1. Four Pulse DEER.^[1d] The total duration of the second echo period is $T (= 2\tau_2)$.

Figure 2.

Effect of total second spin-echo period time T on Q-band DEER measurements for ABD and Protein A. The raw DEER echo curves are shown in the top panels (see SI Figure S2 for background subtracted curves) and the $P(r)$ distributions generated by Tikhonov regularization (TR) using DeerAnalysis 2013^[6] are displayed in the middle panels. The lower panels plot the dependence of either the maximum of the $P(r)$ distribution for protonated ABD (bottom left panel) or the ratio of peaks heights for the two maxima of the bimodal $P(r)$ distribution for Protein A on T . (a–c) Protonated ABD domain: $T = 6$ (black), 8 (red), 10 (green), 12 (blue), 14 (orange), 16 (brown), 18 (turquoise) and 20 μs (violet). The original DeerAnalysis^[6] results were normalized to yield a peak maximum of 1 and are presented in (b). The position of the $P(r)$ maximum was determined by fitting the 7 points around the TR-derived distribution maximum to a quadratic equation and setting the first derivative of this function to zero. The four open circles at $T = 10 \mu\text{s}$ in (c) and (l) represent the results of four different experiments and were included to provided a measure of data reproducibility (SI Figure S4). (d–f) Protonated Protein A: $T = 6$ (black), 8 (red), 10 (green), 12 (blue), 14 (orange), 16 (brown), 18 (turquoise) and 20 μs (violet). (g–i) ~80% perdeuterated Protein A labeled with MTSL- d_{15} : $T = 6$ (black), 8 (red), 10 (green), 12 (blue), 15 (dark green), 20 (maroon), 24 (turquoise), 30 (violet), 35 (cyan), 40 (magenta), 50 (orange) and 60 μs (indigo). (k–l) 99% perdeuterated Protein A labeled with MTSL- d_{15} : $T = 10$ (black), 20 (red), 30 (green), 40 (blue), 50 (orange) and 60 (brown) μs . The original DeerAnalysis TR results for Protein A were normalized to the longer distance peak. The shot repetition times are 3.1 ms for ABD, 3.8 ms for protonated Protein A, and 4.6 ms for perdeuterated (~80% and 99%) Protein A. The T dependence of the ratio of peak heights in the $P(r)$ distribution for Protein A (panels f, i and l for protonated, ~80% perdeuterated and 99% perdeuterated samples, respectively) was fit to either a straight line (black dashes) or in the case of protonated and ~80% perdeuterated Protein A to the ratio of two stretched exponentials (red curves in panels f and i, respectively, see main text). The parameters for the latter are $a = 1.2$, $T_{m1} = 9 \mu\text{s}$, $T_{m2} = 13 \mu\text{s}$, and $x_1 = x_2 = 1.5$ for protonated protein A; and 1.3, 25 μs , 29 μs and 1.25, respectively for ~80% perdeuterated Protein A. These T_m values are close to those obtained from a two-pulse Hahn spin-echo experiment (SI Figure S5). DEER data were collected at Q-band (33.8 GHz) on a Bruker E-580 spectrometer equipped with a 150 W traveling wave tube amplifier and a model ER5107D2 probe. Experiments employed 8 ns pump (ELDOR) π pulses, and 12 ns $\pi/2$ and 24 ns π observe pulses, with a

95 MHz frequency difference between pump and observe pulses. The pump frequency was centered at the field spectrum maximum. The τ_1 value for the first echo period time (cf. Figure 1) of 400 ns was incremented 8 times in 16 ns increments to average ^2H modulation. The pump pulse was incremented in 16 ns steps. Sample temperature = 48K. The bandwidth of the over-coupled resonator was ~120 MHz. All samples were placed in 1.1 mm internal diameter quartz tubes (Wilma WG-221T-RB) and flash frozen in liquid N_2 . DEER curves were sampled to ~4.4 μs for the various τ_2 delays as collecting more data was not deemed to be a good use of instrument time. A cutoff of 4.3 μs was used to process all data. Cutoffs of 2.3 and 3.3 μs were used for the τ_2 ($T/2$) = 3 and 4 μs data sets, respectively. Acquisition over the full τ_2 range was not used in the latter cases because of a persistent “2+1” echo perturbation of the DEER curve at a time of $\sim\tau_1$ from the final observe π pulse. Total data collection times varied from ~1 to ~22 hrs, with the goal of achieving comparable S/N for all spectra. The pulse gate time used for echo integration was 30–34 ns. The TR parameter α (100 for protein ABD and 10 for Protein A) was determined by examination of the relevant L-curves^[6] (see SI Figure S3). The DeerAnalysis^[6] homogeneous model with dimension = 3 (i.e. exponential background) was used to fit and automatically subtract the background; the resulting Pake patterns indicate good separation of inter- and intramolecular contributions.