Published in final edited form as:

Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2014 ; 2014: 6056–6059. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2014.6945010.

Gradient-based Magnetic Resonance Electrical Properties Imaging of Brain Tissues

Jiaen Liu,

Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

Xiaotong Zhang,

Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

Sebastian Schmitter,

Center for Magnetic Resonance Research, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

Pierre-Francois Van de Moortele, and

Center for Magnetic Resonance Research, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

Bin He [Fellow, IEEE]

Department of Biomedical Engineering and Institute for Engineering in Medicine, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

Abstract

Electrical properties tomography (EPT) holds promise for noninvasively mapping at high spatial resolution the electrical conductivity and permittivity of biological tissues *in vivo* using a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanner. In the present study, we developed a novel gradient-based EPT approach with greatly improved tissue boundary reconstruction and largely elevated robustness against measurement noise compared to existing techniques. Using a 7 Tesla MRI system, we report high-quality *in vivo* human brain electrical property images with refined structural details, which can potentially merit clinical diagnosis (such as cancer detection) and high-field MRI applications (local SAR quantification) in the future.

I. Introduction

The frequency-dependent electrical properties (EPs), which consist of the conductivity and the permittivity, largely vary as a function of the relative intracellular and extracellular fluid volumes, ionic concentrations, and the cellular membrane extent in the biological tissues [1]. The conductivity and permittivity can be affected by various pathological conditions, such as cancers, ischemia, hemorrhage and edema, etc. Therefore, it is anticipated that imaging electrical properties may provide important information to diagnose, and monitor the progression of, a variety of diseases.

In the past three decades, substantial research efforts have been made on multiple fronts with the goal of non-invasively mapping EPs of biological tissues. Electrical Impedance Tomography (EIT) inversely reconstructs impedance images from electric potential

measurement induced by current injection through surface electrodes [2], [3], while its major limitation lies in its poor spatial resolution due to a limited amount of measured data and the ill-posedness of the corresponding inverse problem involved in image reconstruction. Magnetic Resonance Electrical Impedance Tomography (MREIT), which originates from Magnetic Resonance Current Density Imaging (MRCDI) [4], [5], measures the local magnetic field induced by surface current injection, and reconstructs static cross-sectional conductivity images [6]–[8]; however, this approach is still facing unsolved safety issues due to the necessary usage of high level of current injection in order to achieve sufficient signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Magneto-acoustic Tomography with Magnetic Induction (MAT-MI) exploits the Lorentz force effect of the interaction between a magnetic field and eddy current induced by a time varying magnetic field to emit acoustic signals, that can be collected by ultrasound transducers in proximity to the object surface, for conductivity image reconstruction [9]–[11]; but besides focusing on gel phantoms and tissue samples, there have been no *in vivo* experiments reported so far.

MR based Electrical Properties Tomography (EPT) utilizes measurable radiofrequency-coil-induced magnetic fields (B_1 fields) in an MRI system to quantitatively and noninvasively reconstruct the local *in vivo* EPs of biological tissues. The concept of imaging EPs from MR signals was firstly suggested by Haacke et al. [12] and Wen [13]. In recent years, EPT has drawn considerable attention by various research groups. Based upon well-established B_1 -mapping techniques in MRI, various MR-EPT methods have been proposed using different coil designs (e.g. birdcage quadrature, multi-channel array coil) and at different radiofrequencies (64MHz~300MHz) corresponding to the operating static main field (1.5T~7T) [14]–[20].

In the meantime, unlike other EPs imaging modalities, EPT reconstructs EPs at the operating Larmor frequency of MRI. The local EPs values provide important information in quantifying local Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) [21], which is a major safety concern in high-field (HF: 3 Tesla and above) MRI. Knowing EPs distribution can help deduce radiofrequency (RF) electric fields, allowing for fast and subject-specific SAR estimation, which can serve as a constrain of MRI pulse design to achieve more effective and safer RF excitation in HF- and UHF-MRI applications.

Biological tissues may exhibit rapid spatial changes in electrical properties due to small size of tissue. Such scenario has been a challenge to existing EPT approaches, as most of them are based upon formulations assuming locally homogeneous EPs [14]–[17], leading to significant errors in the vicinity of tissue boundaries in reconstructed EPs maps [22]. Another major challenge results from the involved Laplacian operation over B_1 field during reconstruction, making the calculation sensitive to noise in the measured B_1 maps. Due to necessary spatial filters with relatively large kernel to smooth the B_1 data, effective spatial resolution can be decreased, and significant distortions inevitably occur near boundaries in the reconstructed EPs maps [22].

In this study, a novel gradient-based EPT approach (named as gEPT algorithm) is proposed, in which the spatial gradients of the electrical properties are explicitly considered in the algorithm, and utilized to reconstruct EPs maps through spatial integration. Through a series

of simulation study, phantom validation and *in vivo* experiments at 7T, the reported approach is capable of reconstructing EPs maps with highly refined structural details and improved robustness against noise contamination.

II. Gradient-based EPT Algorithm

Consider the magnetic permeability inside the biological tissues to be equal to that in the vacuum. Faraday's and Ampere's Laws can be combined to obtain

$$-\nabla^2 \mathbf{H} = \omega^2 \mu_0 \varepsilon_c \mathbf{H} + (\nabla \varepsilon_c / \varepsilon_c) \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is the RF-coil-induced magnetic field strength vector in the Cartesian coordinate, ω the operating angular frequency (Larmor frequency), $\varepsilon_c = \varepsilon - i\sigma/\omega$ the complex permittivity as a function of the electrical conductivity σ and the permittivity ε , ε_0 and μ_0 are the free space permittivity and permeability, respectively. Although distribution of the Cartesian components of the RF magnetic fields cannot be measured straightforwardly in MRI, the principle of reciprocity [23] links transverse RF magnetic field components in Cartesian and rotating frames. Therefore, the EPT problem lies in the course that: simplified Eq. (1) is re-formalized into B_1 terms in the rotating frames, i.e. H_1^+ and/or H_1^- . Within RF coils such as birdcage and transverse electromagnetic (TEM) microstrip coils, the H_z component of $\mathbf{H}$ can be ignored [15], [24] and Eq. (1) can be transformed into the form of H_1^+ and/or H_1^- as

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^2 H_1^+ = -\omega^2 \mu_0 H_1^+ \varepsilon_c + (\nabla H_1^+)^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i & 0 \\ -i & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\nabla \ln \varepsilon_c) \\ \nabla^2 H_1^- = -\omega^2 \mu_0 H_1^- \varepsilon_c + (\nabla H_1^-)^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i & 0 \\ i & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} (\nabla \ln \varepsilon_c) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Eq. (2) is the central equation of gEPT algorithm. It can be further decomposed into variables including 1) known (measurable) variables such as B_1 magnitude and *relative* phase of individual RF channels, 2) unknown variables such as ε_c , $\nabla \ln \varepsilon_c$, as well as *absolute* B_1 phase. Utilizing measured multiple B_1 data sets (from multiple RF coil elements), a set of linear equations can be formed to derive the gradient $\nabla \ln \varepsilon_c$. Then using predetermined value of electrical properties at one (or several) seed location(s), quantitative maps of ε_c can be determined from the gradient using the finite-difference method in the imaging plane [25]. Taking advantage of derived $\nabla \ln \varepsilon_c$, reduced boundary artifact and improved robustness against noise contamination are anticipated to improve the overall reconstruction performance.

III. Methods

A. Simulation Evaluation

Electromagnetic simulation was performed based on the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method in software SEMCAD (Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Zurich, Switzerland). A 16-channel microstrip array coil [26], which is used in the experimental part

of the study, was numerically modeled and loaded with either the Duke head model of the Virtual Family [27] (Fig. 1(a)) or a homogeneous cylindrical model (Fig. 1(b)) whose diameter was 15 cm, height 20 cm, $\sigma 0.55 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon 52 \varepsilon_0$. For each coil element, the complex magnetic field at the frequency of 298 MHz (Larmor frequency of proton at 7 T) was simulated with a voxel size of $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$.

B. Experiment Setting

Experiments were carried out on a 7 T whole body MRI scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). A 16-channel microstrip array RF coil [26] was utilized for all experiments, powered by $16 \times 1 \text{ kW}$ amplifiers (CPC, Hauppauge, NY, USA) controlled by a remotely operated 16-channel RF phase/amplitude gain unit. By employing a hybrid B_1 -mapping technique (merging large and small flip angle data for B_1 mapping) [28]–[32], magnitude of H_1^+ and H_1^- for individual coil element, as well as their *relative* phase maps between each coil element can be acquired for the subsequent calculations of EPs.

A two-compartment 3D phantom, made by a plastic jar with a plastic bottle positioned in the center, was filled with saline gel solutions consisting of different concentrations of distilled water, NaCl, Sucrose, $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and gelatin. The electrical properties of inner and outer solutions were $\sigma=0.34 \text{ S m}^{-1} / \varepsilon=77 \varepsilon_0$ and $\sigma=0.12 \text{ S m}^{-1} / \varepsilon=78 \varepsilon_0$, respectively, as measured with an Agilent 85070E dielectric probe kit and an Agilent E5061B network analyzer. The phantom was positioned at the isocenter of the RF coil. B_1 data was acquired with a spatial resolution of $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 3 \text{ mm}^3$. The electrical properties on its central transverse slice were reconstructed using the proposed gEPT approach with the central point as the seed point.

One healthy human subject, who signed consent form approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Minnesota, was scanned in the supine position with the head centered in the same RF coil as in the phantom experiment. A spatial resolution of $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^3$ was utilized for B_1 acquisition. The proposed gEPT algorithm was used to generate EPs maps in the brain *in vivo*.

IV. Results

In Fig. 1(a), it exhibits the results of reconstructed σ and ε in a transverse slice using the proposed gEPT under noise-free condition, with six seed points indicated by the symbol 'o'. In general, it can be seen in Fig. 1(a) that the detailed structural information present in the target maps was accurately reproduced in the reconstructed maps of σ and ε using gEPT; RE and CC of the reconstruction are $RE=8.5\% / CC=0.98$ for σ reconstruction, and $RE=7.6\% / CC=0.90$ for ε reconstruction, respectively. In contrast, using the homogeneous Helmholtz equation in classic EPT methods [14], [16], substantial distortion was induced near the boundaries separating different tissues as shown in Fig. 1(a), with $RE=99.7\% / CC=0.38$ for σ reconstruction and $RE=58.0\% / CC=0.25$ for ε reconstruction, respectively.

In Fig 1(b), we compared the results using gEPT and Helmholtz equation in the homogeneous phantom model. Random white noise whose standard deviation was 1/50 of the magnitude of B_1 field was added to the simulated B_1 data. The noise contaminated data

was smoothed with a Gaussian filter of size 3 pixels and sigma 0.8 pixels. On the same smoothed B_1 data set, gEPT and Helmholtz-based approach were carried out individually. As can be seen, the results using gEPT ($RE_\sigma=10.0\%$ and $RE_\varepsilon=13.6\%$) were much closer to the target than that derived from the Helmholtz-based approach ($RE_\sigma=90.7\%$ and $RE_\varepsilon=62.0\%$) even if the phantom's electrical properties were homogeneous.

The reconstructed EPs maps for the central transverse slice are shown in Fig. 2(b). In general, the reconstructed results showed conspicuous agreement with probe-measured values. In the central compartment, the reconstructed σ is $0.30\pm0.05 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$ (mean $\pm$ standard deviation) and ε is $74\pm3 \varepsilon_0$; in the periphery, the reconstructed σ is $0.12\pm0.05 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$ and ε is $73\pm3 \varepsilon_0$. Due to the absence of visible MR signal in plastic materials (very short T_2) at the standard echo times utilized in our sequences, some artifacts are observed in the reconstructed permittivity map near the thin plastic wall separating the center from the periphery of the phantom.

Fig. 3 shows, on the slice of interest, estimated electrical properties of brain tissues of the subject. With respect to the corresponding T_1 structural images, the estimated electrical property distributions demonstrate strong similarity to the anatomical structures. The estimated results for gray matter (GM), white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) are $\sigma_{\text{GM}}=0.61\pm0.15 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$, $\sigma_{\text{WM}}=0.52\pm0.10 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{\text{CSF}}=1.24\pm0.11 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$; $\varepsilon_{\text{GM}}=57.3\pm9.7 \varepsilon_0$, $\varepsilon_{\text{WM}}=47.6\pm5.9 \varepsilon_0$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{CSF}}=71.1\pm7.3 \varepsilon_0$. Here, literature reported values [1] of $\sigma_{\text{GM}}=0.69 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$, $\sigma_{\text{WM}}=0.43 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{\text{CSF}}=2.2 \text{ Sm}^{-1}$; $\varepsilon_{\text{GM}}=60.1 \varepsilon_0$, $\varepsilon_{\text{WM}}=43.8 \varepsilon_0$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{CSF}}=72.8 \varepsilon_0$ were quoted as a reference. Near the periphery of the brain, i.e. in the vicinity of the skull, larger errors are observed, which can arise from the almost complete absence of MR signal in bones.

V. Discussion

In this study, we have proposed a new method – gEPT – for *in vivo* imaging of electrical properties using MRI. This approach is characterized by two advantages overcoming the aforementioned challenges in current EPT development. First of all, the gradient term in Eq. (2) provides necessary information for accurate reconstruction along the boundaries of tissues with different electrical properties. Secondly, because spatial integration was used to obtain the map of electrical properties, it was demonstrated that the result becomes more robust to noise and calculation errors in comparison with the classic non-gradient-based EPs estimation. It was noticed that *a priori* EPs information at certain seed points is needed to transfer the estimated gradient into absolute EPs maps. We have shown in the simulation study that the performance is insensitive to the selection of different seed points. For future *in vivo* application, this information can be more robustly obtained from regions with relatively uniform EPs distribution that can be identified by other image methods, e.g., structural MRI, in which other EPT methods can be exploited to obtain the local EPs values with eliminated sensitivity to boundary effect. On the other hand, the estimated relative EPs maps can potentially provide useful diagnostic information as a local contrast mechanism.

Based on the proposed gEPT approach, our *in vivo* study at 7T exhibited electrical-property images of the human brain with clearly identified anatomical structures, such as gray/white

matter and ventricles, consistent with the same structures identified in T_1 contrast image of the same slice. Based on its demonstrated tissue-contrast properties, it is anticipated that the proposed method may significantly impact clinical applications in the future.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by NIH RO1EB006433, RO1EB007920, R21EB017069, R21EB009133, R21EB014353, P41RR008079, P30NS057091, and U01HL117664.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Gabriel S, Lau RW, Gabriel C. The dielectric properties of biological tissues: II. Measurements in the frequency range 10 Hz to 20 GHz. *Phys. Med. Biol.* Nov.1996 41(11):2251–2269. [PubMed: 8938025]
- [2]. Metherall P, Barber DC, Smallwood RH, Brown BH. Three-dimensional electrical impedance tomography. *Nature.* 1996; 380(6574):509–512. [PubMed: 8606768]
- [3]. Mueller JL, Isaacson D, Newell JC. A reconstruction algorithm for electrical impedance tomography data collected on rectangular electrode arrays. *Biomed. Eng. IEEE Trans.* Nov.1999 46(11):1379–1386.
- [4]. Joy M, Scott G, Henkelman M. In vivo detection of applied electric currents by magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn. Reson. Imaging.* 1989; 7(1):89–94. [PubMed: 2918822]
- [5]. Scott GC, Joy MLG, Armstrong RL, Henkelman RM. RF Current Density Imaging in Homogeneous Media. *Magn. Reson. Med.* Dec.1992 28(2):186–201. [PubMed: 1461122]
- [6]. Zhang, N. Electrical Impedance Tomography Based on Current Density Imaging. Univ. Toronto; Toronto, ON, Canada: 1992. M.S. thesis
- [7]. Kwon O, Woo EJ, Yoon J-R, Seo JK. Magnetic resonance electrical impedance tomography (MREIT): simulation study of J-substitution algorithm. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* Feb.2002 49(2):160–167. [PubMed: 12066883]
- [8]. Gao N, He B. Noninvasive Imaging of Bioimpedance Distribution by Means of Current Reconstruction Magnetic Resonance Electrical Impedance Tomography. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 2008; 55(5):1530–1538. [PubMed: 18440899]
- [9]. Xu Y, He B. Magnetoacoustic tomography with magnetic induction (MAT-MI). *Phys. Med. Biol.* Nov.2005 50(21):5175–5187. [PubMed: 16237248]
- [10]. Li X, He B. Multi-excitation magnetoacoustic tomography with magnetic induction for bioimpedance imaging. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging.* Oct.2010 29(10):1759–1767. [PubMed: 20529729]
- [11]. Mariappan L, He B. Magnetoacoustic Tomography with Magnetic Induction: Bioimpedance reconstruction through vector source imaging. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging.* Jan.2013
- [12]. Haacke EM, Petropoulos LS, Nilges EW, Wu DH. Extraction of conductivity and permittivity using magnetic resonance imaging. *Phys. Med. Biol.* Jun.1991 36(6):723–734.
- [13]. Wen, H. Noninvasive quantitative mapping of conductivity and dielectric distributions using RF wave propagation effects in high-field MRI. Vol. 5030. San Diego, CA, USA: 2003. p. 471–477.
- [14]. Katscher U, Voigt T, Findelee C, Vernickel P, Nehrke K, Dossel O. Determination of Electric Conductivity and Local SAR Via B1 Mapping. *Med. Imaging IEEE Trans.* Sep.2009 28(9): 1365–1374.
- [15]. Voigt T, Katscher U, Doessel O. Quantitative conductivity and permittivity imaging of the human brain using electric properties tomography. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2011; 66(2):456–466. [PubMed: 21773985]
- [16]. van Lier AL, Brunner DO, Pruessmann KP, Klomp DWJ, Luijten PR, Lagendijk JJW, van den Berg CAT. B1+ Phase mapping at 7 T and its application for in vivo electrical conductivity mapping. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2012; 67(2):552–561. [PubMed: 21710613]

- [17]. Katscher U, Findekklee C, Voigt T. B1-based specific energy absorption rate determination for nonquadrature radiofrequency excitation. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2012; 68(6):1911–1918. [PubMed: 22374804]
- [18]. Zhang X, de Moortele P-FV, Schmitter S, He B. Complex B1 mapping and electrical properties imaging of the human brain using a 16-channel transceiver coil at 7T. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2013; 69(5):1285–1296. [PubMed: 22692921]
- [19]. Zhang X, Schmitter S, Van de Moortele P, Liu J, He B. From Complex B1 Mapping to Local SAR Estimation for Human Brain MR Imaging Using Multi-Channel Transceiver Coil at 7T. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging.* 2013; 32(6):1058–1067. [PubMed: 23508259]
- [20]. Liu J, Zhang X, Van de Moortele P-F, Schmitter S, He B. Determining electrical properties based on B(1) fields measured in an MR scanner using a multi-channel transmit/receive coil: a general approach. *Phys. Med. Biol.* Jul.2013 58(13):4395–4408. [PubMed: 23743673]
- [21]. Collins CM, Liu W, Wang J, Gruetter R, Vaughan JT, Ugurbil K, Smith MB. Temperature and SAR calculations for a human head within volume and surface coils at 64 and 300 MHz. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging JMRI.* May; 2004 19(5):650–656.
- [22]. Seo JK, Kim M-O, Lee J, Choi N, Woo EJ, Kim HJ, Kwon OI, Kim D-H. Error analysis of nonconstant admittivity for MR-based electric property imaging. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging.* Feb.2012 31(2):430–437. [PubMed: 21990329]
- [23]. Hoult DI. The principle of reciprocity in signal strength calculations—A mathematical guide. *Concepts Magn. Reson.* Jan.2000 12(4):173–187.
- [24]. Zhang X, Zhu S, He B. Imaging Electric Properties of Biological Tissues by RF Field Mapping in MRI. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging.* Feb.2010 29(2):474–481. [PubMed: 20129847]
- [25]. Iserles, A. A First Course in the Numerical Analysis of Differential Equations. Cambridge University Press; 2009.
- [26]. Adriany G, Van de Moortele P-F, Ritter J, Moeller S, Auerbach EJ, Akgün C, Snyder CJ, Vaughan T, U urbil K. A geometrically adjustable 16-channel transmit/receive transmission line array for improved RF efficiency and parallel imaging performance at 7 Tesla. *Magn. Reson. Med.* Mar.2008 59(3):590–597. [PubMed: 18219635]
- [27]. Christ A, Kainz W, Hahn EG, Honegger K, Zefferer M, Neufeld E, Rascher W, Janka R, Bautz W, Chen J, Kiefer B, Schmitt P, Hollenbach H-P, Shen J, Oberle M, Szczesba D, Kam A, Guag JW, Kuster N. The Virtual Family—development of surface-based anatomical models of two adults and two children for dosimetric simulations. *Phys. Med. Biol.* Jan.2010 55(2):N23. [PubMed: 20019402]
- [28]. Yarnykh VL. Actual flip angle imaging in the pulsed steady state: A method for rapid three-dimensional mapping of the transmitted radiofrequency field. *Magn. Reson. Med.* Jan.2007 57(1):192–200. [PubMed: 17191242]
- [29]. Van de Moortele P-F, Akgun C, Adriany G, Moeller S, Ritter J, Collins CM, Smith MB, Vaughan JT, U urbil K. B1 destructive interferences and spatial phase patterns at 7 T with a head transceiver array coil. *Magn. Reson. Med.* Dec.2005 54(6):1503–1518. [PubMed: 16270333]
- [30]. Van de Moortele, P-F.; Snyder, C.; DelaBarre, L.; Adriany, G.; Vaughan, T.; Ugurbil, K. Calibration Tools for RF Shim at Very High Field with Multiple Element RF Coils: from Ultra Fast Local Relative Phase to Absolute Magnitude B1+ Mapping; presented at the Proc. of ISMRM; Berlin, Germany. 2007; p. 1676
- [31]. Van de Moortele, P-F.; U urbil, K. Very Fast Multi Channel B1 Calibration at High Field in the Small Flip Angle Regime; presented at the Proc. of ISMRM; Hawaii, USA. 2009; p. 367
- [32]. Brunner DO, Pruessmann KP. B 1+ interferometry for the calibration of RF transmitter arrays. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2009; 61(6):1480–1488. [PubMed: 19353666]

Figure. 1.

(a) The reconstructed electrical properties of the Duke head model based on gEPT and homogeneous Helmholtz equation with respect to the target images, respectively, under noise-free condition. 'o': location of seed points (b): Profiles of target and reconstructed electrical properties of the homogeneous phantom under noise condition (SNR=50).

Figure. 2.

(a) Photograph of the phantom container to be filled with gel solutions. (b) The reconstructed electrical properties using gEPT in a transverse slice.

FIG. 3.

Reconstructed electrical properties in the transverse slices in the brain of the human subject as compared with the T_1 -weighted image.