HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2014 June ; 44(6): A1–32. doi:10.2519/jospt.2014.0302.

Non-arthritic Hip Joint Pain:

Clinical Practice Guidelines Linked to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health from the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association

KEELAN ENSEKI, PT, MS,

Orthopaedic Physical Therapy Residency Program Director, Centers for Rehab Services/
University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, UPMC Center for Sports Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA

MARCIE HARRIS-HAYES, DPT,

Assistant Professor of Physical Therapy and Orthopaedic Surgery, Washington University School
of Medicine, St Louis, MO

DOUGLAS M. WHITE, DPT,

Principal & Consultant, Milton Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy, PC, Milton, MA

MICHAEL T. CIBULKA, DPT,

© 2014 Orthopaedic Section American Physical Therapy Association (APTA), Inc

Address correspondence to: Joseph Godges, DPT, ICF Practice Guidelines Coordinator, Orthopaedic Section, APTA Inc., 2920 East
Avenue South, Suite 200; La Crosse, WI 54601. icf@orthopt.org.

REVIEWERS

Roy D. Altman, MD, Professor of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology and Immunology, David Geffen School of Medicine at
UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, journals@royaltman.com

Todd E. Davenport, DPT, Associate Professor, Department of Physical Therapy, University of the Pacific Stockton, California,
tdavenport@pacific.edu

Anthony Delitto, PT, PhD, Professor and Chair, School of Health & Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh,
Pennsylvania, delitto@pitt.edu

John DeWitt, DPT, Team Leader, Rehabilitation, Assistant Clinical Professor, School of Physical Therapy, Director, Sports Physical
Therapy Residency, The Ohio State University Sports Medicine Center, john.dewitt@osumc.edu

Helene Fearon, PT, Fearon & Levine Consulting, Phoenix, Arizona, helenefearon@fearonlevine.com

Amanda Ferland, DPT, Clinic Relationship Manager, OptimisPT Murrieta, California, aferland@optimispt.com

Timothy L. Flynn, PT, PhD, Rocky Mountain University of Health Professions, Provo, UT, tim@colpts.com

Jennifer Kusnell, Young & Successful Media, Marina del Rey, California, jenniferk@ysn.com

Joy MacDermid, PT, PhD, Associate Professor, School of Rehabilitation Science, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada,
macderj@mcmaster.ca

RobRoy L. Martin, PT, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Physical Therapy, Duquesne University, Pittsburgh, PA,
martinr280@duq.edu

James W. Matheson, DPT, Larsen Sports Medicine and Physical Therapy, Hudson, Wisconsin, jw@eipconsulting.com

Philip McClure, PT, PhD, Professor, Department of Physical Therapy, Arcadia University, Glenside, PA, mcclure@arcadia.edu

John Meyer, DPT, Adjunct Associate Professor of Clinical Physical Therapy, Division of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy at
Herman Ostrow School of Dentistry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, jmeyer@usc.edu

Marc Phillipon, MD, Managing Partner, Sports Medicine/Hip Disorders, The Steadman Clinic, Vail, Colorado

Leslie Torburn, DPT, Principal and Consultant, Silhouette Consulting, Inc. San Carlos, California, torburn@yahoo.com

COORDINATOR

Joseph Godges, DPT, MA, ICF-based Clinical Practice Guidelines Coordinator, Orthopaedic Section, APTA Inc. La Crosse,
Wisconsin, icf@orthopt.org, and Associate Professor, Division of Biokinesiology and Physical Therapy, University of Southern
California, Los Angeles, California, godges@usc.edu

Assistant Professor, Physical Therapy Program, Maryville University, St Louis, MO

JUDITH WOEHRLE, PT, PhD,

Director, Physical Therapy Program, Midwestern University, Glendale, Arizona 85308

TIMOTHY L. FAGERSON, DPT, MS, and

Director, Spine Orthopaedic Sport Physical Therapy, Wellesley, MA

JOHN C. CLOHISY, MD

Professor, Orthopedic Surgery, Director, Center for Adolescent and Young Hip Disorders, Co-Chief, Adult Reconstructive Surgery Service, Director, Fellowship in Joint Preservation, Resurfacing and Replacement, Washington University Orthopedics, St. Louis, MO

KEELAN ENSEKI: ensekikr@upmc.edu; MARCIE HARRIS-HAYES: harrisma@wustl.edu; DOUGLAS M. WHITE: dr.white@miltonortho.com; MICHAEL T. CIBULKA: cibulka@maryville.edu; JUDITH WOEHRLE: jwoehr@midwestern.edu; TIMOTHY L. FAGERSON: fageron@verizon.net

Recommendations*

Risk Factors

Clinicians should consider the presence of osseous abnormalities, local or global ligamentous laxity, connective tissue disorders, and nature of the patient's activity and participation as risk factors for hip joint pathology. (Recommendation based on expert opinion)

Diagnosis/Classification – Non-arthritis Hip Joint Pain

Clinicians should use the clinical findings of anterior groin or lateral hip pain or generalized hip joint pain that is reproduced with the hip flexion, adduction, internal rotation (FADIR) test or the hip flexion, abduction, external rotation (FABER) test, along with consistent imaging findings, to classify a patient with hip pain into the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD) categories of M25.5 pain in joint, M24.7 protrusio acetabula, M24.0 loose body in joint, and M24.2 disorder of ligament, and the associated International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF) impairment-based category of hip pain (b28016 pain in joints) and mobility impairments (b7100 mobility of a single joint; b7150 stability of a single joint). (Recommendation based on weak evidence)

Differential Diagnosis

Clinicians should consider diagnostic categories other than non-arthritis joint pain when the patient's history, reported activity limitations, or impairments of body function and structure are not consistent with those presented in the diagnosis/classification section of this guideline or when the patient's symptoms are not diminishing with interventions aimed at normalization of the impairments of body function. (Recommendation based on expert opinion)

*These recommendations and clinical practice guidelines are based on the scientific literature accepted for publication prior to January 2013.

Examination – Outcome Measures

Clinicians should use a validated outcome measure, such as the Hip Outcome Score, the Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score, or the International Hip Outcome Tool before and after interventions intended to alleviate the impairments of body function and structure, activity limitations, and participation restrictions in individuals with non-arthritis hip joint pain. (Recommendation based on strong evidence)

Examination – Physical Impairment Measures

When evaluating patients with suspected or confirmed hip pathology over an episode of care, clinicians should assess impairments of body function, including objective and reproducible measures of hip pain, mobility, muscle power, and movement coordination. (Recommendation based on moderate evidence)

Intervention – Patient Education and Counseling

Clinicians may utilize patient education and counseling for modifying aggravating factors and managing pain associated with non-arthritis hip joint pain. (Recommendation based on expert opinion)

Intervention – Manual Therapy

In the absence of contraindications, joint mobilization procedures may be indicated when capsular restrictions are suspected to impair hip mobility and soft tissue mobilization procedures may be indicated when muscles and their related fascia are suspected to impair hip mobility. (Recommendation based on expert opinion)

Intervention – Therapeutic Exercises and Activities

Clinicians may utilize therapeutic exercises and activities to address joint mobility, muscle flexibility, muscle strength, muscle power deficits, deconditioning, and metabolic disorders identified during the physical examination of patients with non-arthritis hip joint pain. (Recommendation based on expert opinion)

Intervention – Neuromuscular Reeducation

Clinicians may utilize neuromuscular reeducation procedures to diminish movement coordination impairments identified in patients with non-arthritis hip joint pain. (Recommendation based on expert opinion)

INTRODUCTION

AIM OF THE GUIDELINES

The Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA) has an ongoing effort to create evidence-based practice guidelines for orthopaedic physical therapy management of patients with musculoskeletal impairments described in the World Health Organization's International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF).²⁰⁴

The purposes of these clinical guidelines are to:

- Describe evidence-based physical therapy practice including diagnosis, prognosis, intervention, and assessment of outcome for musculoskeletal disorders commonly managed by orthopaedic physical therapists
- Classify and define common musculoskeletal conditions using the World Health Organization's terminology related to impairments of body function and body structure, activity limitations, and participation restrictions
- Identify interventions supported by current best evidence to address impairments of body function and structure, activity limitations, and participation restrictions associated with common musculoskeletal conditions
- Identify appropriate outcome measures to assess changes resulting from physical therapy interventions in body function and structure as well as in activity and participation of the individual
- Provide a description to policy makers, using internationally accepted terminology, of the practice of orthopaedic physical therapists
- Provide information for payers and claims reviewers regarding the practice of orthopaedic physical therapy for common musculoskeletal conditions
- Create a reference publication for orthopaedic physical therapy clinicians, academic instructors, clinical instructors, students, interns, residents, and fellows regarding the best current practice of orthopaedic physical therapy

STATEMENT OF INTENT

These guidelines are not intended to be construed or to serve as a standard of medical care. Standards of care are determined on the basis of all clinical data available for an individual patient and are subject to change as scientific knowledge and technology advance and patterns of care evolve. These parameters of practice should be considered guidelines only. Adherence to them will not ensure a successful outcome in every patient, nor should they be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care aimed at the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding a particular clinical procedure or treatment plan must be made in light of the clinical data presented by the patient, the diagnostic and treatment options available, and the patient's values, expectations, and preferences. However, we suggest that significant departures from accepted guidelines should be documented in the patient's medical records at the time the relevant clinical decision is made.

Methods

Content experts were appointed by the Orthopaedic Section, APTA as developers and authors of clinical practice guidelines for musculoskeletal conditions of hip that are commonly treated by physical therapists. These content experts were given the task to identify impairments of body function and structure, activity limitations, and participation restrictions, described using ICF terminology, that could 1) categorize patients into mutually exclusive impairment patterns on which to base intervention strategies, and 2) serve as measures of changes in function over the course of an episode of care. The second task

given to the content experts was to describe the supporting evidence for the identified impairment pattern classification as well as interventions for patients with activity limitations and impairments of body function and structure consistent with the identified impairment pattern classification. It was also acknowledged by the Orthopaedic Section, APTA content experts that only performing a systematic search and review of the evidence related to diagnostic categories based on International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Health Related Problems (ICD)²⁰³ terminology would not be sufficient for these ICF-based clinical practice guidelines as most of the evidence associated with changes in levels of impairment or function in homogeneous populations is not readily searchable using the ICD terminology. Thus, the authors of this guideline independently performed a systematic search of the MEDLINE, CINAHL, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (1967 through January 2013 for any relevant articles related to classification, examination, and intervention strategies for non-arthritic hip joint pain. Additionally, when relevant articles were identified their reference lists were hand-searched in an attempt to identify other relevant articles. Articles from the searches were compiled and reviewed for accuracy by the authors. This guideline was issued in 2014 based upon publications in the scientific literature prior to January 2013. This guideline will be considered for review in 2017, or sooner if new evidence becomes available. Any updates to the guideline in the interim period will be noted on the Orthopaedic Section of the APTA website: www.orthopt.org

LEVELS OF EVIDENCE

Levels of Evidence—Individual clinical research articles were graded according to criteria described by the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, Oxford, United Kingdom (<http://www.cebm.net>) for diagnostic, prospective, and therapeutic studies.¹⁵⁸ If the 2 content experts did not agree on a grade of evidence for a particular article, a third content expert was used to resolve the issue. An abbreviated version of the grading system is provided below.

I	Evidence obtained from high quality diagnostic studies, prospective studies, or randomized controlled trials
II	Evidence obtained from lesser-quality diagnostic studies, prospective studies, or, randomized controlled trials (eg, weaker diagnostic criteria and reference standards, improper randomization, no blinding, less than 80% follow-up)
III	Case controlled studies or retrospective studies
IV	Case series
V	Expert opinion

GRADES OF EVIDENCE

The overall strength of the evidence supporting recommendations made in these guideline were graded according to guidelines described by Guyatt et al,⁶⁸ as modified by MacDermid et al,¹¹² and adopted by the coordinator and reviewers of this project. In this modified system, the typical A, B, C, and D grades of evidence have been modified to include the role of consensus expert opinion and basic science research to demonstrate biological or biomechanical plausibility.

GRADES OF RECOMMENDATION BASED ON		STRENGTH OF EVIDENCE
A	Strong evidence	A preponderance of level I and/or level II studies support the recommendation. This must include at least 1 level I study
B	Moderate evidence	A single high-quality randomized controlled trial or a preponderance of level II studies support the recommendation
C	Weak evidence	A single level II study or a preponderance of level III and IV studies, including statements of consensus by content experts, support the recommendation
D	Conflicting evidence	Higher-quality studies conducted on this topic disagree with respect to their conclusions. The recommendation is based on these conflicting studies
E	Theoretical/foundational evidence	A preponderance of evidence from animal or cadaver studies, from conceptual models/principles, or from basic sciences/bench research supports this conclusion
F	Expert opinion	Best practice based on the clinical experience of the guidelines development team

REVIEW PROCESS

The Orthopaedic Section, APTA also selected consultants from the following areas to serve as reviewers of the early drafts of these clinical practice guidelines:

- Claims review
- Coding
- Rheumatology
- Hip pain rehabilitation
- Medical practice guidelines
- Manual therapy
- Movement science
- Orthopaedic physical therapy residency education
- Orthopaedic physical therapy clinical practice
- Orthopaedic surgery
- Outcomes research
- Physical therapy academic education
- Physical therapy patient perspective
- Sports physical therapy residency education
- Sports rehabilitation

Comments from these reviewers were utilized by the authors to edit these clinical practice guidelines prior to submitting it for publication to the *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*. In addition, several physical therapists practicing in orthopaedic and

sports physical therapy settings volunteered to provide feedback on initial drafts of these clinical practice guidelines related to the guidelines usefulness, validity, and impact.

CLASSIFICATION

The primary International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD)-10th revision code associated with non-arthritis hip pain are M25.5 pain in joint, M24.7 protrusio acetabula, M24.0 loose body in joint, and M24.2 disorder of ligament.²⁰³

The corresponding ICD-9th revision CM code and conditions are: 719.45 joint pain, 718.65 unspecified intrapelvic protrusion of acetabulum, 718.15 loose body in joint, and 718.5 other derangement of joint pelvic region and thigh.

Other ICD-10 codes that may be associated with non-arthritis hip joint pain are:

- M21.0 Valgus deformity, not elsewhere classified
- M21.1 Varus deformity, not elsewhere classified
- M21.2 Flexion deformity
- M24.3 Pathological dislocation and subluxation of joint, not elsewhere classified
- M24.4 Recurrent dislocation and subluxation of joint
- M24.5 Contracture of joint
- M24.6 Ankylosis of joint
- M24.9 Joint derangement, unspecified
- M25.0 Hemarthrosis
- M25.3 Other instability of joint
- M25.4 Effusion of joint
- M25.6 Stiffness of joint, not elsewhere classified
- M25.7 Osteophyte
- M25.8 Other specified joint disorders
- M25.9 Joint disorder, unspecified
- Q65.6 Unstable hip
- R29.4 Clicking hip
- S73 Dislocation, sprain and strain of joint ligaments of hip

The primary ICF body function codes associated with non-arthritis hip joint pain are b28016 pain in joints, b7100 mobility of a single joint, and b7150 stability of a single joint. Other ICF body functions codes that may be associated with this condition are b7300 Power of isolated muscles and muscle groups, b7400 Endurance of muscle groups, b7603 Supportive functions of arm and leg, b770 Gait functions, and b7800 Sensation of muscle stiffness.

The primary ICF body structure code associated with non-arthritis hip joint pain is s75001 hip joint. Other ICF body structure codes associated with this condition are s7402 muscles of the pelvic region, and s7403 ligaments and fasciae of the pelvic region.

The primary ICF activities and participation codes associated with non-arthritis hip joint pain are d4103 sitting, d4104 standing, d4151 maintaining a squatting position, d4153 maintaining a sitting position, d4552 running, d4500 walking short distances, and d4501 walking long distances.

Other ICF Activities and Participation codes that may be associated with non-arthritis hip joint pain are:

- d2303 Completing daily routine
- d4101 Squatting
- d4154 Maintaining a standing position
- d4302 Carrying in arms (object)
- d4303 Carrying on shoulders, hip, and back
- d4351 Kicking
- d4500 Walking short distances
- d4501 Walking long distances
- d4502 Walking on different slopes
- d4551 Climbing
- d4553 Jumping
- d4600 Moving around within the home
- d4601 Moving around within buildings other than home
- d4602 Moving around outside the home or other building
- d4650 Moving around using equipment
- d5204 Caring for toenails
- d5400 Putting on clothes
- d5401 Taking off clothes
- d5402 Putting on footwear
- d5403 Taking off footwear
- d5701 Maintaining diet and fitness
- d9201 Sports
- d9209 Recreation and Leisure

CLINICAL GUIDELINES: Impairment/Function-Based Diagnosis

INTRODUCTION

For the purposes of these guidelines, non-arthritic hip joint pain refers to a collection of hip pain conditions proposed to involve intra-articular structures of the hip, including femoroacetabular impingement, structural instability, labral tears, chondral lesions, and ligamentous teres tears. Recent advances in imaging and surgical techniques have resulted in better identification of potential contributors to hip joint pain; however, evidence to definitely associate pathology noted on imaging to hip joint pain and related activity limitations have not been established. Diagnoses of non-arthritic hip joint conditions are made by clinicians based on a combination of imaging and clinical findings, even though there is not consensus on the diagnostic criteria to rule-in or rule-out a specific condition. Despite this limitation, surgical intervention to address non-arthritic hip joint pain has grown exponentially, although evidence to suggest that surgical intervention is superior to non-surgical management is not available. Given these limitations, clinicians must be disciplined in their evaluation to verify the presence of a relevant relation between the patient's reported activity limitations and his/her examination findings.

The scope of these guidelines is limited to literature specific to non-arthritic hip joint conditions. Although recognized that other examination and intervention procedures reported to be useful in other musculoskeletal disorders of the pelvis and hip region may be appropriate for patients with non-arthritic hip pain, the focus of these clinical guidelines is to analyze the literature and make recommendations specifically related to non-arthritic hip joint pain. It is also acknowledged that there is a growing body of research on pain science and this literature may be appropriate for patients with non-arthritic hip joint pain.

PATHOANATOMICAL FEATURES

Understanding the complex relationship among the labrum, the bony architecture of the acetabulum and femur, as well as the proximate soft tissues such as the ligaments and muscles, is important for diagnosis and optimal treatment of individuals with mechanical hip pain.

The proximal femur articulates with the acetabulum to form the hip joint. The femoral head is two thirds of a sphere covered with hyaline cartilage and enclosed in a fibrous capsule.^{51, 180} The femoral head is connected to the femoral shaft via the femoral neck. In the frontal plane the femoral neck lies at an angle to the shaft of the femur. This "angle of inclination" is normally 120° to 125° in the adult population.¹⁵¹ In the transverse plane the proximal femur is oriented anterior to the distal femoral condyles as a result of a medial torsion of the femur with a normal range between 14° to 18° of anteversion.²⁹ The hip joint is a "ball and socket" synovial joint with articular cartilage and a fully developed joint capsule allowing movement in all 3 body planes.¹⁸⁰

The articular cartilage of the femoral head is thickest in the anterior-superior region except where it is absent at the fovea capitis. In normal individuals, the cartilage is thickest in the central portion around the ligamentum teres.¹⁴⁰ This corresponds to the area of maximum weight bearing forces. The articular cartilage of the acetabulum is horseshoe-shaped and

thickest superiorly. It is continuous with the cartilage that lines the acetabular labrum. Articular cartilage is a vascular and aneural.

The joint capsule attaches around the acetabular rim proximally and distally at the intertrochanteric line. Along with the labrum, the capsule provides passive stability to the hip joint. The iliofemoral, ischiofemoral, and pubofemoral ligaments assist the capsule in providing stability to the joint.¹¹⁵ These 3 strong ligaments reinforce the joint capsule, the iliofemoral and pubofemoral ligaments anteriorly and ischiofemoral ligament posteriorly.^{51, 191}

Control of the hip during movement involves complex interactions between the nervous, muscular, and skeletal systems.²⁰⁰ The 27 muscles that cross the hip joint act as primary movers and dynamic stabilizers of the hip and lower extremity.^{1, 58, 143} The gluteus medius is the primary source of dynamic stabilization for the hip joint in the frontal plane.¹ Weakness of this muscle has been traditionally implicated as playing a role in functional impairments. The iliopsoas complex is the primary hip flexor and may play a role in stabilizing the femoral head anteriorly given its location across the anterior hip joint. The gluteus maximus is the most powerful hip extensor. The hip external and internal rotators' role in stabilization may become more crucial when the acetabular labrum is torn secondary to the subsequent loss of passive rotational stability.¹¹¹

Non-arthritic hip joint pain may be related to numerous underlying causes such as femoroacetabular impingement,^{82, 142, 173, 185} structural instability,¹⁶⁹ acetabular labral tears,^{13, 21} osteochondral lesions,^{174, 185} loose bodies, ligamentum teres injury, and septic conditions.^{12, 14, 22, 88, 91, 93, 133, 150, 168, 177, 181, 182, 194} It should be noted, that these conditions are not necessarily mutually exclusive, and at times, may be related to each other. Recently, an increased focus has been placed on identifying acetabular labral tears as one cause of hip pain and understanding the underlying mechanisms in the development of labral tears. These underlying mechanisms may be related to variations in joint anatomy combined with specific activities or of traumatic onset. Two anatomical variants have been described, femoroacetabular impingement,^{105, 107} and structural instability.^{155, 174}

Femoroacetabular Impingement—Structural variations of the proximal femur or acetabulum may result in a femoroacetabular impingement, which is described as abnormal contact between the femoral head/neck and the acetabular margin and has been associated with labral and chondral damage.¹⁵² Osseous abnormalities proposed to contribute to labral tears due to femoroacetabular impingement, include bony malformations in the proximal femur or the acetabulum resulting in premature abutment of the femoral neck into the acetabulum during the motion of hip flexion with internal rotation.⁴ The presence of a slipped capital femoral epiphysis has also been noted to cause femoroacetabular impingement.¹⁰⁹ With repetitive motions into the position of impingement, the acetabular labrum will undergo excessive shear and compressive forces, which may lead to eventual injury.⁸⁰ Femoroacetabular impingement has been further classified into 3 categories, based on the specific osseous abnormality present. Cam impingement is the result of asphericity of the femoral head which is often related to a slipped capital femoral epiphysis or other epiphyseal injury^{109, 164} or protrusion of the head-neck junction occurring at the proximal

femur.^{62, 81} Pincer impingement is the result of acetabular abnormalities, such as general (protrusio) and localized anterosuperior acetabular over coverage of the femur (acetabular retroversion), which are described in more detail in the imaging section.^{80, 105} Excessive acetabular coverage anteriorly may result in premature abutment of the femoral neck on the anterior acetabular rim. Impingement may be more pronounced when relative femoral retroversion and anteversion are respectively combined with acetabular retroversion and anteversion. The third category is a combination of the cam and pincer impingement, which is likely the most common category.^{9, 61} Radiographic evidence of femoroacetabular impingement is common in active patients with hip complaints.¹⁵⁰ Studies have suggested that the abnormal movement at the hip joint occurring secondary to femoral acetabular impingement may lead to labral lesions and cartilage damage.^{7, 34} The end-stage of this process may lead to the development of secondary hip joint osteoarthritis (OA).^{9, 61, 130, 152, 153}

Gender differences have been described in individuals with labral tears secondary to osseous abnormalities.¹⁰⁵ Cam impingement morphology is twice as prevalent in males than females.^{69, 86} Pincer lesions are more common in middle aged, active females. In the North American population, the most common area of labral tears occurs in the anterior-superior (weight-bearing) region of the labrum.^{43, 57} In 2 studies with limited sample sizes (n = 8), labral tears in the Japanese population have been reported at a greater frequency in the posterior region.^{79, 186}

Structural Instability—Hip instability may be defined as extraphysiologic hip motion that causes pain with or without the symptom of hip joint unsteadiness.^{16, 178} Hip instability may be traumatic, atraumatic, or secondary to bony or soft tissue abnormality. Factors related to structural instability of the joint include a shallow acetabulum and an excessive femoral anteversion.⁶⁵ Excessive acetabular anteversion or retroversion, inferior acetabulum insufficiency,¹¹⁴ and a neck shaft angle greater than 140° may also be a component of structural instability. Determination of femoral version is further described in the “imaging” section of this guideline. These conditions, particularly when combined with repetitive forceful activities, have been associated with the development of labral tears.

A shallow acetabulum (acetabular dysplasia) has been associated with labral tears due to structural instability. In a hip with structural instability insufficient coverage of the femoral head, may result in repetitive shear stresses to the acetabular labrum as it attempts to maintain the congruent relationship between the femur and the acetabulum. Insufficient coverage may present as decreased anterior coverage with excessive acetabular anteversion or decreased posterior coverage with acetabular retroversion. Continued repetitive stresses may result in further instability of the hip joint. Structural instability due to dysplasia is thought to be more common in females.⁶

The presence of dysplasia in adult individuals with hip pain has been discussed. In a cross-sectional study by Jacobsen and Sonne-Holm⁸² the prevalence hip joint dysplasia ranged from 5.4 to 12.8%. Birrell et al¹³ found the prevalence of dysplasia in patients with an initial complaint of hip pain to be 32%. They also found no difference in the prevalence of acetabular dysplasia between men and women in the symptomatic population.¹³ In a

prospective multicenter study utilizing clinical and radiographic examination of the hip joint for 292 patients between the ages of 16 and 50 years, the rate of dysplasia was 35%.¹⁴⁷

Femoral Version—Excessive anteversion of the femur is characterized by an increased amount of femoral internal rotation range of motion and a limitation in femoral external rotation range of motion. Excessive retroversion of the femur will result in the opposite limitation, increased femoral external rotation range of motion and decreased femoral internal rotation range of motion. A significant limitation in femoral rotation range of motion due to excessive femoral anteversion or retroversion may place an individual at risk for labral injury,⁸¹ and increase their risk for developing hip OA.¹⁹⁶

Acetabular Labral Tears—The acetabular labrum is a fibrocartilagenous structure, which extends from the osseous rim of the acetabulum and serves multiple functions. The labrum structure deepens the socket of the hip joint¹⁸⁸ and acts as a buffer, decreasing forces transmitted to the articular cartilage.^{54, 55} In addition to deepening the socket component of the hip joint, the acetabular labrum also creates an environment of negative intra-articular pressure, creating a seal.¹⁸⁷ The labrum also contains free nerve endings that have been suggested to play a potential role in proprioception and potential sources of pain.⁹⁵

Acetabular labral tears have recently been identified as a potential source of hip pain^{18, 89, 141} and a possible precursor to hip OA.^{130, 131, 176} Although, true estimates of the prevalence of labral tears are not currently available, in patients with mechanical hip pain, the prevalence of labral tears has been reported to be as high as 90%.^{57, 129, 144} In their review of studies examining individuals with hip or groin pain, Groh and Herrera⁶⁵ found prevalence to be 22 to 55%.

Acetabular labral tears may occur as the result of acute trauma or of insidious onset. Traumatic mechanisms described involve rapid twisting, pivoting, or falling motions.^{43, 57} A common mechanism in the athletic population includes forceful rotation with the hip in a hyperextended position.¹²⁶ Other mechanisms of injury consist of a combination of anatomical variants with repetitive forces. Tears may also be insidious. Groh and Herrera⁶⁵ found that up to 74% of labral tears are not associated with any specific event.

An increased incidence of acetabular labral tears has been described in a number of specific populations, in particular those individuals who subject the hip joint to specific repetitive stress. Narvani et al¹⁴¹ found acetabular labral tears to be the cause of symptoms in 20% of athletes presenting with groin pain.

Labral tears may be seen in individuals throughout the age span;^{42, 131} however, increasing age maybe associated with the prevalence of labral tears. Tears have been observed in up to 96% of older individuals.^{127, 176} In another study, 88% of patients older than 30 years were found to have labral detachment from the articular cartilage.¹⁹

The diagnosis of a labral tear is often delayed and it is often misdiagnosed.^{18, 89} Recent advances in imaging have resulted in better identification of labral tears.⁹¹ Lage et al¹⁰³ described a system of classifying acetabular labral tears. The 4 classifications are: radial flap, radial fibrillated, longitudinal peripheral, and abnormally mobile (partially detached).

Radial flap tears, where the free margin of the labrum is disrupted, are the most commonly observed.¹⁰³ Radial fibrillated tears involve characteristic fraying of the free margin of the labrum.^{103, 127} Abnormally mobile tears are partially detached from the acetabular surface. The least common noted were longitudinal peripheral tears, which involve a tear along the acetabular-labral junction.¹⁰³ Criteria to classify acetabular labral tears have been established, however more research is needed to establish the association between labral tears and hip joint pain and determine if labral tears are a risk factor for hip OA.

Ruptured Ligamentum Teres—The ligamentum teres originates from the edges of the acetabular notch and transverse acetabular ligament and attaches onto the fovea capitis of the femoral head. Though traditionally thought to play a minimal role in joint function, more recent findings suggest this structure may play a role in stabilization.^{24, 166} The ligamentum teres may be a strong intrinsic stabilizer that resists hip joint subluxation forces.^{8, 27} It has the potential to act as a strong intra-articular ligament and an important stabilizer of the hip, particularly when the hip is externally rotated in flexion or internally rotated in extension.¹²¹ Several theories have been proposed to describe the exact function of the ligamentum teres including a role in providing a “sling-like” stabilization of the hip joint as it wraps around the femoral head.^{26, 97} Martin et al¹²¹ utilized a ball and string model to demonstrate these potential functions of the ligamentum teres. Patients with tears of the ligamentum teres may develop hip micro instability. This condition of compromised stability, when combined with recreational and sports activities, may result in damage to the labrum and cartilage. This process may possibly explain the high association rate between tears of the ligamentum teres, labral tears, and cartilage injury.^{66, 156} Injuries to the ligamentum teres are generally considered rare.⁹¹ Rao et al¹⁶⁶ found ligamentum teres injury in less than 8% of arthroscopy cases. Orthopaedic surgeons have reported a ruptured ligamentum teres as a significant arthroscopic finding in individuals reporting hip pain and dysfunction.⁹¹ Acute tearing of this structure has been described;^{40, 166} however, the correlation between injuries to the ligamentum teres and clinical presentation is not well understood.

Chondral Lesions—Little is known about the prevalence of isolated chondral lesion (focal loss of cartilage on the articular surfaces), however McCarthy¹³⁰ found that 73% of patients with fraying or tearing of the labrum also had chondral damage. Anterior-superior cartilage lesions have been associated with dysplasia, anterior joint laxity, and the presence of femoroacetabular impingement.^{105, 108, 148} The combination of labral tears present greater than 5 years and full-thickness chondral lesions in those with higher alpha angles correlate with a greater magnitude of decreased hip range of motion, chondral damage, labral injury, and progression of OA.^{85, 127, 130}

Chondral lesions have been reported in younger, more active individuals as a source of hip pain.^{21, 173} A traumatic injury pattern involving acute overloading through impact sustained by a blow to the greater trochanteric region has been described.⁹¹ This clinical hypothesis has been supported by arthroscopic findings.^{20, 173}

Loose Bodies—The presence of loose bodies (small fragments of bone or cartilage within the joint) has been implicated as a disruptor of joint function in individuals presenting with hip pain.⁹¹ Numerous underlying mechanisms have been described. Though the specific

mechanisms underlying their presence may vary, their potential for being a cause of pain and/or mechanical disruption should be considered. Loose bodies, ossified and non-ossified,⁹¹ may be present in the joint secondary to a number of factors. Single fragments typically occur in the case of dislocation or osteochondritis dissecans. Multiple fragments are more common in conditions such as synovial chondromatosis.⁹¹

RISK FACTORS

With the exception of traumatic injury, the specific cause of non-arthritic hip disorders is not clearly understood. Potential risk factors have been proposed. However, there is only minimal evidence to substantiate the relationship of these potential risk factors to non-arthritic hip joint disorders.

Femoroacetabular impingement

III

Genetics—Previous investigation has established the genetic influence on severe osseous abnormalities, such as slipped capital femoral epiphysis¹⁶⁷ and acetabular protrusion,¹⁹⁹ however, limited evidence exists specific to milder abnormalities. In 1 study, Pollard et al¹⁶⁰ compared the radiographs of patients with symptomatic femoroacetabular impingement to 2 groups, one group included the patient's siblings and the second group included spouses of the patients and the siblings. Compared to the spouse controls, the siblings demonstrated a greater relative risk for cam and pincer deformity respectively, suggesting genetics is a possible risk factor for femoroacetabular impingement.

III

Sex—The individual's sex may influence the type of osseous abnormality. Hack et al⁶⁹ studied 200 asymptomatic volunteers and found the prevalence of cam deformities was higher in men (25%) than women (5.4%). In a cross-sectional, population-based study, a sub-study of the Copenhagen City Heart Study I-III, Gosvig et al⁶³ report the prevalence estimates of osseous abnormalities by sex. More women (19%) demonstrated a deep acetabular socket (pincer deformity) than men (15%). More men (20%) demonstrated a pistol grip (cam) deformity than women (5%).

Structural instability

V

Genetics—Genetic factors have long been recognized in the etiology of dysplasia, particularly in the more severe cases such as congenital hip dislocation.^{25, 205} Although studies are not available to demonstrate the genetic influence on milder forms of acetabular dysplasia thought to contribute to structural instability, it is likely that genetic factors play a role in structural instability.

V

Ligamentous Laxity—Ligamentous laxity of the hip joint, global or focal,¹⁷⁴ has been proposed as a risk factor for the development of acetabular labral tears. Global ligamentous laxity due to connective tissue disorders, such as Ehlers-Danlos, Down, and Marfan

syndromes, have been implicated as a risk factor in the development of acetabular labral tears.¹¹⁷

A correlation between acetabular labral tears and focal rotational laxity has been suggested.^{91, 155} The focal laxity most commonly occurs as anterior capsular laxity secondary to repetitive movements involving hip external rotation and/or extension, possibly resulting in iliofemoral ligament insufficiency.^{154, 174} Although uncommon, repeated, forced hip internal rotation in flexion may also be a harmful repetitive movement. When insufficiency is present, the ligament's ability to absorb stress is compromised, potentially subjecting the labrum to abnormal stress and pathology.¹⁵⁵

Intra-articular injury (acetabular labral tear, ruptured ligamentum teres, loose bodies, chondral lesions)

III

Osseous Abnormalities—While osseous abnormalities of the femur or acetabulum have been proposed to contribute to intra-articular hip disorders, causation has not been demonstrated. Many believe osseous abnormalities precede intra-articular pathology. Others hypothesize intra-articular pathology precedes osseous abnormalities.¹⁵² Studies to demonstrate the temporal relationship between osseous abnormalities and intra-articular lesions are not available; however, there is evidence to suggest a relationship between osseous abnormalities and intra-articular lesions. Descriptive studies based on retrospective observations report osseous abnormalities were present in up to 87% of patients presenting with labral tears.¹⁸ Guevara et al⁶⁷ assessed the radiographs of people with labral tear and compared the bony morphology of the involved hip to the uninvolved hip. Compared to the uninvolved side, hips with labral tears had a higher prevalence of osseous abnormalities associated either with dysplasia (structural instability) or femoroacetabular impingement.

Osseous abnormalities associated with femoroacetabular impingement—

Visual assessment and computer modeling have been implemented to assess location of injury and femoroacetabular impingement. Through intra-operative visual assessment, labral and articular cartilage damage has been shown at the site of impingement, where the femoral neck abuts the acetabular rim. In a retrospective study, Tannast et al¹⁸⁹ used computer simulation to predict the impingement zone in 15 subjects and compared their predicted impingement zone to the location of labral and cartilage damage in 40 different subjects. They found the computer-predicted impingement zone to be similar to the location of labral and cartilage damage in the sample of 40 subjects. The most severe damage was located in the zone with the highest probability of impact related to femoroacetabular impingement, the anterosuperior area of the acetabulum. Sink et al¹⁸² used visual inspection of hip motion intra-operatively and determined that the anterosuperior cartilage damage coincided with the area of impingement when the hip was positioned into flexion and internal rotation.

Other observational studies suggest a relationship between intra-articular lesions and cam impingement specifically. Anderson et al³ performed a multivariable logistic regression to assess the correlation between radiographic findings and articular cartilage delamination. The study sample included 62 patients with the pre-operative diagnosis of femoroacetabular

impingement or related disorder. Delamination was found to be associated with femoral side (cam) findings (odds ratio = 11.87), however, delamination was not associated with acetabular over-coverage (pincer) findings (odds ratio = 0.16). These findings suggest that cam impingement increases the risk of articular cartilage delamination, however, pincer impingement may be protective of the cartilage. This study, however, did not assess the association of the bony morphology to the other intra-articular lesions, such as labral tears. Ito et al⁸¹ also showed a link between femoral side findings and intra-articular lesions. In their study, patients with the clinical presentation of femoroacetabular impingement and a labral tear demonstrated a reduced head-neck offset anteriorly compared to asymptomatic controls.

Osseous abnormalities associated with structural instability—There are no known studies to demonstrate an association between structural instability and non-arthritis or intra-articular hip disorders. However, the presence of acetabular retroversion in a person with dysplasia may place the hip joint structures at risk. Fujii et al⁶⁰ reported that individuals with acetabular retroversion, defined in their study as localized anterosuperior acetabular over-coverage of the femur, had an earlier onset of hip pain.

Other osseous abnormalities—While femoral version has been studied extensively in the pediatric population, little research has been performed in the adult population. Abnormal version of the femur, either excessive anteversion or retroversion, may result in abnormal stresses on the hip joint. Ito et al⁸¹ reported that patients with the clinical presentation of femoroacetabular impingement and confirmed labral tears demonstrated a significantly reduced femoral version (retroversion) compared to asymptomatic control subjects.

V

Activity and Participation—Activities such as distance running, ballet, golf, ice hockey, and soccer have been implicated in acetabular labral tears.^{66, 139, 185} Some authors have proposed a specific direction of hip motion related to the suspected activities may be responsible for the increased risk; these directions include rotational stresses,⁹⁹ hyperextension,^{66, 111} and hyperflexion.^{80, 172}

F

Clinicians should consider the presence of osseous abnormalities, local or global ligamentous laxity, connective tissue disorders, and nature of the patient's activity and participation as risk factors for hip joint pathology.

DIAGNOSIS/CLASSIFICATION

III

The diagnosis of femoroacetabular impingement and the associated ICF diagnosis of joint pain and mobility impairment can be suspected when the patient presents with the following clinical and radiographic findings:

- Pain in the anterior hip/groin¹⁵⁷ and/or lateral hip/trochanter region¹¹⁹ is reported

- Pain is described as aching or sharp³⁵
- The reported hip pain is aggravated by sitting³⁵
- The reported pain is reproduced with the hip flexion, adduction, internal rotation (FADIR) test
- Hip internal rotation is less than 20° with the hip at 90° of flexion¹⁰²
- Hip flexion and hip abduction are also limited^{35, 85, 157, 190}
- Mechanical symptoms such as popping, locking, or snapping of the hip are present³⁵
- Conflicting clinical findings are not present
- Radiographic findings:

Cam impingement

- Increased femoral neck diameter that approaches the size of the femoral head diameter
 - ◆ Alpha angle greater than 60°^{2, 161}
 - ◆ Head-neck offset ratio less than 0.14¹⁶¹

Pincer impingement

- Increase acetabular depth⁹
 - ◆ Coxaprofunda (lateral center-edge angle greater than 35°)
 - ◆ Acetabular protrusion
- Decreased acetabular inclination
 - ◆ Tönnis angle¹⁹⁵ less than 0°³⁵
- Acetabular retroversion
 - ◆ Cross-over sign indicating localized anterosuperior overcoverage⁵⁰
 - ◆ Ischial spine projection into the pelvis⁸⁷

III

The diagnosis of structural instability and the associated ICF diagnosis of joint pain and stability impairment can be suspected when the patient presents with the following clinical and radiographic findings:

- Anterior groin, lateral hip, or generalized hip joint pain is reported
- The reported pain is reproduced with the FADIR test or the hip flexion, abduction, external rotation (FABER) test
- Hip apprehension sign is positive
- Hip internal rotation is greater than 30° when the hip is at 90° of flexion

- Mechanical symptoms such as popping, locking, or snapping of the hip are present
- Conflicting clinical findings are not present
- Radiographic findings:
 - Increased acetabular inclination
 - ◆ Tönnis angle¹⁹⁵ greater than 10° ³⁶
 - ◆ Decreased femoral head coverage
 - Lateral center-edge of Wiberg less than 25° ^{18, 41}
 - Anterior center-edge angle less than 20°

III

The diagnosis of intra-articular injury (labral tear, osteochondral lesion, loose bodies, and ligamentum teres rupture) and the associated ICF diagnosis of joint pain can be provided when the patient presents with the following clinical and imaging findings:

- Anterior groin pain or generalized hip joint pain^{18, 35, 78, 91}
- Pain is reproduced with the FADIR test or the FABER test
- Mechanical symptoms such as popping, locking, or snapping of the hip are present^{18, 35, 78, 128, 141}
- May report feelings of instability (ligamentum teres)¹¹⁴ and the sensation of instability when squatting
- Conflicting clinical findings are not present
- Imaging findings
 - Labral tear
 - ◆ Magnetic resonance arthrography (MRA)^{18, 39, 59, 77, 89, 210}

C

Clinicians should use the clinical findings of anterior groin or lateral hip pain or generalized hip joint pain that is reproduced with the FADIR or FABER test, along with corroborative imaging findings, to classify a patient with hip pain into the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD) categories of M25.5 pain in joint, M24.7 protrusio acetabula, M24.0 loose body in joint, and M24.2 disorder of ligament, and the associated International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF) impairment-based category of hip pain (b28016 pain in joints) and mobility impairments (b7100 mobility of a single joint; b7150 stability of a single joint)

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

Potential differential diagnoses for non-arthritic joint hip pain are:

Referred pain from lumbar facet disorders

Referred pain from lumbar disc disorders

Sacroiliac joint dysfunction

Pubic symphysis dysfunction

Lumbar spinal stenosis

Nerve entrapment (lateral femoral cutaneous, obturator)

Hip osteoarthritis

Iliopsoas tendinitis/bursitis

Adductor strain

Obturator internus strain

Inguinal hernia

Athletic pubalgia (sports hernia)

Osteonecrosis of femoral head

Stress fracture (proximal femur or pelvic)

Avulsion injury (sartorius or rectus femoris tendon)

Myositis ossificans

Heterotopic ossification of hip joint

Gynecological disorders

Neoplasm

Legg-Calve-Perthes disease

Slipped capital femoral epiphysis

Osteomyelitis

Psoas abscess

Septic arthritis

Rheumatoid arthritis

Prostatitis

Metabolic bone disease

F

Clinicians should consider diagnostic categories other than non-arthritis joint pain when the patient's history, reported activity limitations, or impairments of body function and structure are not consistent with those presented in the diagnosis/classification section of this guideline or when the patient's symptoms are not diminishing with interventions aimed at normalization of the impairments of body function.

IMAGING STUDIES

Imaging studies are used in conjunction with clinical findings to rule out serious diagnoses such as a cancer, osteonecrosis, or fracture. Imaging may also provide information regarding the bony structure of the femur and acetabulum as well as related soft tissue. Information from imaging studies should be evaluated in the context of the entire clinical presentation, where the clinician should have an understanding of imaging applications, associated results, and how these applications and results affect clinical decisions related to patient management - acknowledging that, often, findings from imaging are incidental and impact patient management only to the extent of providing education and reassurance to the patient.

Plain radiographs are the first imaging study in the differential diagnostic procedures. Radiographs are useful in detecting femoral and acetabular abnormalities associated with non-arthritic hip joint pain. Plain radiographs do not provide adequate detail regarding soft tissue morphology. Non-contrast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides better detail with assessing soft tissue integrity, however, it has not been used extensively to assess intra-articular structures. Magnetic resonance arthrography (MRA) is commonly used to detect changes of the intra-articular structures. Techniques such as computed tomography (CT) and delayed gadolinium-enhanced MRI of cartilage (dGEMRIC) have recently been implemented to assess articular cartilage integrity⁹⁴ and assist with pre-surgical planning.^{94, 100, 190}

To detect osseous abnormalities, specific radiographic views are needed in addition to the standard hip protocol. Specific images to consider include:³³ 1) cross-table lateral view,⁴⁶ 2) 45° or 90° Dunn view,^{44, 132} 3) “frog” lateral view,³⁷ and 4) false profile view.¹⁰⁶ These specific views allow the diagnosis of osseous abnormalities, such as femoroacetabular impingement and structural instability, proposed to be associated with non-arthritic hip joint pain. The osseous abnormalities are described below. The clinician is encouraged to refer to Clohisy et al³³ for a thorough description of the measurement methods and representative figures. An alternative view has recently been introduced to measure the distance between the femoral neck and the acetabular rim when the hip is in 90° of flexion.¹⁷ It should be noted that variations of suggested normal measurements exist within the literature. In addition, the relationship between pain and bony abnormalities has not been fully established.

Measurements may be taken to evaluate for hip dysplasia including the Tönnis angle (abnormal > 10°), the lateral center-edge angle of Wiberg (abnormal < 25°), and the anterior center-edge angle of Lequesne (abnormal < 25°) as measured on a false-profile radiograph. The neck shaft angle of the proximal femur is considered normal between 120° and 140°. Radiographic images for hip femoroacetabular impingement and structural instability have been published.¹⁰⁰

Radiographic findings that support the clinical diagnosis of pincer femoroacetabular impingement include increased acetabular depth, decreased acetabular inclination, and acetabular retroversion. Acetabular depth, inclination, and retroversion are all assessed on the anterior posterior (AP) view. Acetabular depth is determined by observing the relationship of the floor of the acetabulum and femoral head. Acetabular protrusion

represents a deep acetabulum and is suggestive of pincer femoroacetabular impingement.⁹ Acetabular inclination is assessed using the Tönnis angle.¹⁹⁵ Acetabuli having a Tönnis angle of 0° to 10° are considered normal, whereas those having an angle greater than 10° or less than 0° are considered increased and decreased, respectively. Hips with an increased Tönnis angle were considered to be at risk for structural instability, whereas those having a decreased inclination were considered at risk for pincer impingement.³⁶ Pincer-type femoroacetabular impingement (acetabular retroversion or protrusio) is identified using the presence of a crossover sign, lateral center edge angle greater than 39°, or an acetabular index less than or equal to 0.¹⁴²

Acetabular retroversion may also contribute to pincer impingement. Acetabular retroversion has been described as either local or general retroversion. Local retroversion results in over coverage of the femoral head in the anterosuperior region of the acetabulum. On the AP radiograph, this appears as the crossover sign or the figure of 8 sign.⁵⁰ The crossover sign occurs if the line representing the anterior acetabular wall crosses the line representing the posterior acetabular wall, resulting in an “X” appearance. Radiographic assessment of ischial spine projection into the pelvis has been suggested as another method of identifying acetabular retroversion.⁸⁷ Kalberer notes the ischial spine sign is not only a periacetabular phenomenon but also could represent a malrotation of the whole hemipelvis.⁸⁷ The general type of retroversion¹⁶⁸ results in a more generalized over coverage of the femoral head anteriorly.

The radiographic finding to support cam impingement is an increased thickness of the femoral head-neck junction. The most commonly reported measure to represent the femoral head-neck junction is the alpha angle,¹⁴⁹ which may be measured on the frog-leg lateral view,³⁷ or the 90° Dunn view.² A large alpha angle, greater than 60° is suggestive of a cam impingement.^{2, 161} Head-neck offset ratio, measured on the cross table lateral view is another measure to represent the femoral head-neck junction.⁴⁷ A head-neck offset ratio less than 0.14 is suggestive of femoroacetabular impingement.¹⁶¹

The radiographic finding to support the clinical diagnosis of structural instability is an increased acetabular inclination. Acetabular inclination may be assessed using the Tönnis angle¹⁹⁵ or the lateral center-edge angle of Wiberg,²⁰¹ both assessed from the AP view. A Tönnis angle greater than 10° or a lateral center edge angle less than 25° may indicate inadequate acetabular coverage of the femoral head.^{18, 41}

MRI is useful in detecting musculotendinous pathology, such as iliopsoas tendinopathy. Although MRI is not used widely to detect intra-articular injury, some investigators report high accuracy (89 to 95%) in detecting labral tears.^{84, 134} Currently, the most common imaging procedure used to confirm the diagnosis of intra-articular pathology, such as labral tears or chondral lesions is MRA.^{77, 210} Contrast is injected into the hip joint to allow better visualization of the intra-articular structures. Compared to the gold standard of arthroscopic visual inspection, MRA has a sensitivity of 71% to 100%^{18, 39, 59, 89} and a specificity of 44% to 71%^{39, 89} in detecting a labral tear. All subjects in these studies had a clinically suspected labral tear. In a small cadaveric study, MRA demonstrated 60% sensitivity, 100% specificity, and 70% accuracy.¹⁵⁹ In the same study conventional MRI with a large field of

view was 8% sensitive in detecting labral tears compared with findings at the time of arthroscopy. Diagnostic sensitivity was improved to 25% with a small field of view MRA. In addition to soft tissue integrity, MRI or MRA may be used to detect osseous abnormalities previously described, such as the alpha angle¹⁶⁵ or acetabular retroversion.^{149,197}

CT may be used to determine the osseous architecture of the hip. Current technologies allow for 3-dimensional reconstruction of the hip anatomy and thus provide additional information that is useful in pre-surgical planning. Due to significantly higher radiation exposure with CT as compared to other imaging modalities it has not been widely used in the diagnosis of non-arthritic hip joint pain and is most often reserved just for pre-surgical planning.^{100,190}

The use of image-guided injections for purpose of diagnosis has been described. The injections consist of a local anesthetic and possibly a corticosteroid. Pre-injection and post-injection levels of pain are examined, with a notable and immediate decrease of pain considered indicative of chondral damage within the hip joint. With this approach, Kivlan and Martin⁹⁶ found that individuals with chondral damage displayed a greater relief of pain compared to their counterparts without chondral damage. This was found to be independent of the presence of extra-articular pathology. The clinician should consider the role of injection therapy in patient management, particularly if improvement in pain is delayed or impacting the ability to restore optimal functioning.

CLINICAL COURSE

The clinical course of non-arthritic hip joint disorders has not been described. Femoroacetabular impingement⁹ and labral tears¹³⁰ are both proposed to contribute to OA. A shallow acetabulum and resulting acetabular dysplasia has been shown to be associated with OA of the hip joint in relatively younger patients.^{71, 137} Further research is needed to understand the clinical course of non-arthritic hip disorders.

CLINICAL MANAGEMENT

The management of non-arthritic hip joint disorders is highly variable. A period of non-surgical management is recommended of at least 8 to 12 weeks, prior to consideration of surgical intervention.^{65, 91} Non-surgical management includes physical therapy, as well as medication and later, if indicated, ultrasound/fluoroscopic guided¹⁸³ therapeutic injections. If symptoms do not improve with non-surgical care, surgical intervention may be considered.

Recent advances in imaging and surgical techniques have led to an increase in surgical management for non-arthritic hip joint disorders. Although evidence related to favorable surgical outcomes is growing, the literature is limited primarily to observational studies with small sample sizes and short-term outcomes. The presence of pathology on imaging in individuals with non-arthritic hip pain, which is refractory to non-surgical management, needs careful patient selection if surgery is contemplated to optimize the potential for a favorable outcome.

Anti-inflammatory agents are often recommended for pain relief and inflammation, however, evidence to support this intervention in patients with a non-arthritis hip pain is lacking. Both over-the-counter and prescribed anti-inflammatory agents including NSAIDs, Cox-2 inhibitors, may be prescribed as part of a treatment program. However, it should be noted that this class of drugs is not without risk for serious adverse events, including increased gastrointestinal bleeding.

Common surgical options include arthroscopic procedures, such as labral tear resection or repair, capsular modification, osteoplasty to address femoroacetabular impingement, ligamentum teres tear debridement, and loose body removal. In addition, a periacetabular osteotomy procedure may be performed to address acetabular dysplasia.¹¹⁴ The purpose of this open procedure is to surgically separate the acetabulum from the innominate, then re-attach the structure in a position that provides ideal coverage of the femoral head providing closer to normal stability of the hip joint.¹⁹⁸

Of the available arthroscopic procedures, labral tear resection has the most supporting evidence. This procedure is typically utilized for fraying or peripheral tears of the labrum.^{23, 52, 57, 65, 91, 162} Studies have shown clinical improvement following labral resection.^{18, 170} Intrasubstance tears of the labrum may be repaired. More recently, labral repair in combination with osteoplasty of the acetabular rim and/or the femoral head-neck junction has become a common surgical procedure for treating femoroacetabular impingement and its associated intra-articular abnormalities.³⁸

Limited evidence is available to support favorable outcomes in individuals undergoing resection of labral tears combined with capsular modification.¹⁵⁵ An osteoplasty procedure may be performed to remove the excessive bone present in the case of impingement. Early results for this procedure have been promising. A systematic review by Ng et al¹⁴⁵ found that surgical treatment of femoroacetabular impingement reliably improved patients' symptoms.

Arthroscopic debridement of ligamentum teres tears has been described.⁶⁵ The goal of the surgery is to resect the tear to a stable remnant preventing potential painful disruption of joint mechanics.⁶⁵ Promising results have been reported in patients with isolated injury who do not have other concurrent conditions such as osteochondral defects.¹⁶⁶ Microfracture techniques have been described for medium-size, full-thickness chondral defects.⁹¹ No current studies exist examining the outcomes for microfracture procedures of the hip joint.

CLINICAL GUIDELINES: Examination

OUTCOME MEASURES

I

The Hip Outcome Score—The Hip Outcome Score (HOS) is a self-report measurement tool consisting of 2 separate subscales for activities of daily living (ADL) and sports.^{120, 122-124} The HOS was developed specifically to assess the ability of young individuals with acetabular labral tears and address the ceiling effect of the Harris Hip Score (HHS)^{23, 72} and the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index

(WOMAC).¹¹ The ADL subscale contains 17 items; examples include walking on level surfaces, hills, stairs, getting in and out of a car, deep squat, heavy work, and recreational activities. The sports subscale contains 9 items, examples include running, jumping, cutting, and swinging a golf club. Each item is scored from 4 to 0, with 4 being “no difficulty” and 0 being “unable to do”. There is a “non-applicable” option that is not counted in scoring. The total number of items with a response is multiplied by 4 to get the highest potential score. An individual’s score is divided by the highest potential score then multiplied by 100 to get a percentage. A higher score is representative of a higher level of physical function for each subscale.

The HOS subscales have high test-retest reliability, ICC = 0.98 and 0.92 for the ADL and sports subscales, respectively.¹²² The minimal detectable change (MDC) is an increase or decrease of 3 points¹²² and the minimum clinically important difference (MCID) is 9 points on the ADL subscale and 6 points on the sports subscale.

Each subscale of the HOS demonstrated construct validity when compared to the SF-36 questionnaire.¹²² In patients with labral tears, the correlation coefficients between the ADL subscale and SF-36 physical function and physical component score were .76 and .74 respectively.¹²⁰ The correlation coefficients between the sports subscale and SF-36 physical function and physical component score were .72 and .68 respectively.¹²⁰

Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score (HAGOS)—The Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score (HAGOS)¹⁹³ was developed in 2011 to assess a patient’s hip and groin disability in a young active patient. The HAGOS is a disease-specific self-report questionnaire with the following 6 separately scored subscales: pain, other symptoms, physical function in daily living, function in sport and recreation, participation in physical activities, and hip related quality of life. Each item is scored using standardized answer options ranging from 0 to 4. A normalized score with 100 indicating no symptoms is calculated for each subscale.

The HAGOS has substantial test-retest reliability, with ICCs ranging from 0.82 to 0.91 for the 6 subscales.¹⁹³ The smallest detectable change for the subscales range from 2.7 to 5.2, indicating changes greater than 5.2 in any scale would be detectable.¹⁹³ Construct validity and responsiveness were confirmed with statistically significant correlation coefficients from 0.37 to 0.73 ($P < 0.01$) for convergent construct validity and for responsiveness from 0.56 to 0.69 ($P < 0.01$).¹⁹³

International Hip Outcome Tool (iHOT-33)—The International Hip Outcome Tool (iHOT-33)¹³⁶ was developed in 2012 by the Multicenter Arthroscopy of the Hip Outcomes Research Network specifically for young, active adults with symptomatic hip disease. The iHOT-33 is a disease-specific self-report questionnaire with questions related to the following domains: symptoms and functional limitations; sports and recreational physical activities; job-related concerns; and social, emotional, and lifestyle concerns. Each item on

the iHOT-33 is scored using a 100-point Visual Analog Scale, where 100 indicate the best possible score.

The iHOT-33 has moderate to good test-retest reliability, ICC = 0.78 for the overall score.¹³⁶ Convergent construct validity was confirmed with statistically significant correlation coefficient of 0.81 compared to the Non-arthritic Hip Score.¹³⁶ The MCID after hip arthroscopy is 6 points. The properties of the subscales have not been assessed.¹³⁶

V

Modified Harris Hip Score—The Modified Harris Hip Score (MHHS)²³ is a disease-specific self-report questionnaire with questions related to pain and functional ability. The original Harris Hip Score,⁷² developed to assess patient function after total hip arthroplasty, was modified by excluding the clinician's judgment of deformity and range of motion. The MHHS, therefore allows the patient to complete the questionnaire independently. A single score is calculated ranging from 0 to 100 where higher scores indicate better function. Approximately 48% of the MHHS score is based on the patient's description of their pain and the remaining 52% is based on the ability to complete basic activities including walking, stairs, and donning/doffing shoes and socks. The MHHS does not capture the patient's ability to perform higher-level tasks, such as heavy work or exercise activities. Although the MHHS is the most commonly reported outcome measure in the current literature related to patients with non-arthritic hip joint pain, no studies have been reported on the reliability or validity of the measure in non-arthritic hip joint pain.

V

Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index—The WOMAC¹¹ is a self-report functional outcome questionnaire. A total score (score range 0 to 96) and 3 scale scores representing pain (score range 0 to 20), stiffness (score range 0 to 8), and physical function (score range 0 to 68) are generated. Lower scores represent better health or function. Scores for the scales and the total score may be normalized as a percentage. The WOMAC was originally developed to assess outcomes in patients after a total joint replacement and has limited validity for use in the individual with non-arthritic hip joint disease.¹⁷¹ A modified version with improved validity has been recently introduced,¹⁷¹ with further study needed to determine the reliability and responsiveness of the questionnaire.

V

Hip Disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score—The Hip Disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (HOOS)^{98, 146} was introduced in 2003 as a disease-specific self-report questionnaire that could be used for individuals with various types of hip pain. The HOOS includes all questions from the WOMAC along with additional items thought to be useful in detecting limitations in higher-level activities, such as running, squatting, and pivoting. The current version of the HOOS (V2) includes 40 items to assess 5 domains: Symptoms (stiffness and range of motion); Pain; Function in daily living; Function in sport and recreation; and Hip-related quality of life. Each item is scored using standardized answer options scored from 0 to 4. A normalized score with 100 indicating no symptoms is

calculated for each subscale. The HOOS may be preferred to the WOMAC due to its reduced ceiling effect compared to the WOMAC. Additionally, the WOMAC score can be calculated from the HOOS questionnaire if desired. The HOOS has been shown to have high test-retest reliability⁹⁸ and adequate construct validity when used with older individuals,¹⁴⁶ however, the psychometric properties of the HOOS in young adults is unknown. Recently, questions from the HOOS have been used to develop the HAGOS, a hip-specific score developed specifically for hip and groin disability in a young, active patient.¹⁹²

A

Clinicians should use a validated outcome measure, such as the Hip Outcome Score, the Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score, or the International Hip Outcome Tool before and after interventions intended to alleviate the impairments of body function and structure, activity limitations, and participation restrictions in individuals with non-arthritis hip joint pain.⁷³

EXAMINATION – PHYSICAL IMPAIRMENT MEASURES

TRENDELENBURG SIGN

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body function – power of isolated muscles and muscle groups; and control of complex voluntary movements.

Description—The purpose is to assess ability of the hip abductors to stabilize the pelvis during single limb stance.

Measurement Method:⁷⁰—From standing, the patient performs single limb stance by flexing the opposite hip to 30° and holding for 30 seconds. Once balanced, the patient is asked to raise the non-stance pelvis as high as possible. From the posterior view, the examiner observes the angle formed by a line that connects the iliac crest and a line vertical to the testing surface.

Observation—The test is negative if the pelvis on the non-stance side can be elevated and maintained for 30 seconds. The test is positive if 1 of the following criteria are met.

1. the patient is unable to hold the elevated pelvic position for 30 seconds
2. no elevation is noted on the non-stance side
3. the stance hip adducts allowing the pelvis on the non-stance side to drop downwardly below the level of the stance side pelvis.

A false negative may occur if the patient is allowed to shift his or her trunk too far laterally over the stance limb. The patient may use light touch with the ipsilateral upper extremity, or the examiner may provide gentle manual pressure to maintain balance and reduce the trunk shift.

Objective measurement—A goniometer may be used to quantify the amount of pelvic movement. The axis of the goniometer is placed on the anterior superior iliac spine, the

stationary arm along an imaginary line between the 2 anterior superior iliac spine landmarks and the moving arm along the anterior midline of the femur.²⁰⁸

Nature of Variable

Observation: Nominal (positive/negative)

Objective measurement: Continuous

Units of Measurement

Observation: None

Objective measurement: Degrees

Measurement Properties

Objective measurement: Youdas et al²⁰⁸ measured intratester reliability in healthy subjects. They reported the intratester reliability for measurement of the hip adduction angle is 0.58 and standard error of measurement (SEM) is 2°. The minimal detectable change (MDC₉₅) is 4°.²⁰⁸

FLEXION ABDUCTION EXTERNAL ROTATION (FABER) TEST

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body function – pain in joints; and mobility of a single joint

Description—A test to determine the movement/pain relation (irritability) of hip movements and mobility at the hip joint.

Measurement Method

Position and Motion: The patient is positioned in supine with the heel of the lower extremity to be tested placed over the opposite knee. The hip joint is passively externally rotated and abducted while stabilizing the contralateral anterior superior iliac spine. The patient is asked what effect the motion has on symptoms. The test is considered positive if the patient reports the production of, or increase in, the anterior groin, posterior buttock, or lateral hip pain, which is consistent with the patient's presenting pain complaint. If no increase in pain is produced, pressure may be placed over the ipsilateral knee to determine the limit/end-range of passive range of motion and again assess for pain provocation.

Measurement: After being zeroed against a wall, the inclinometer is placed on the medial aspect of the tibia of the tested lower extremity, just distal to the medial tibial condyle. The range of motion measurement is taken at the point of maximal passive resistance or at the point where the patient stopped the test secondary to pain.³²

1. Provocation: A positive test for hip pathology reproduces groin pain.
2. Range of motion: Side to side comparison is made.

Nature of Variable

1. Provocation: Nominal

2. Range of motion: Continuous

Units of Measurement

1. Provocation: None
2. Range of motion: Degrees

Measurement Properties

Specific to pathology or pain relief: Martin et al¹¹⁶ assessed the intertester reliability of the FABER test in people seeking care for intra-articular, non-arthritic hip joint pain. The examiners demonstrated 84% agreement and a kappa value of 0.63 (95% CI: 0.43, 0.83) indicating substantial¹⁰⁴ reliability. In a separate study, Martin et al¹¹⁸ assessed the diagnostic accuracy of the FABER test. Using pain relief with a diagnostic injection as the comparison, the sensitivity and specificity of the FABER test was reported to be 0.60 (95% CI: 0.41, 0.77) and 0.18 (95% CI: 0.07, 0.39) respectively. The positive likelihood ratio was 0.73 (95% CI: 0.50, 1.1) and the negative likelihood ratio was 2.2(95% CI: 0.8, 6).¹¹⁶

In their study to detect intra-articular hip pathology, including OA, Maslowski et al¹²⁵ also assessed the diagnostic accuracy of the FABER test. Using pain relief with a diagnostic injection as the comparison, the sensitivity and specificity of the FABER test was reported to be 0.82 (95% CI: 0.57, 0.96) and 0.25 (95% CI: 0.09, 0.48) respectively.¹¹⁶ The positive predictive value was 0.46 (95% CI: 0.28, 0.65) and the negative predictive value was 0.64(95% CI: 0.27, 0.91).¹¹⁶

Mitchell et al¹³⁵ reported a slightly higher sensitivity (88%) when compared to intra-operative findings, however there was no correlation to a specific hip joint pathology, such as labral or chondral lesions.

Specific to Range of motion: No studies were located reporting the measurement properties of the FABER for range of motion in people with non-arthritic hip joint pain. In a study of people with knee OA, Cliborne et al³² reported the reliability of range of motion measurements to be excellent, ICC = 0.87 (95% CI= 0.78, 0.94).

FLEXION-ADDUCTION INTERNAL ROTATION IMPINGEMENT TEST (FADIR)¹¹³

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body function – pain in joints; and mobility of a single joint

Description—A test to assess for painful impingement between the femoral neck and acetabulum in the anterosuperior region. The FADIR test has also been used to assess for specific pathology of the acetabular labrum

Measurement Method—The patient is positioned in supine. The hip and knee is flexed to 90°. Maintaining the hip at 90° of flexion, the hip is then internally rotated and adducted as far as possible. The patient is asked what effect the motion has on symptoms. The test is considered positive if the patient reports a production of, or increase in, the anterior groin,

posterior buttock, or lateral hip pain consistent with the patient's presenting pain complaint. If the test is negative, the test is repeated with the hip placed in full flexion.

Nature of Variable—Nominal (positive/negative)

Units of Measurement—None

Measurement Properties—Martin et al¹¹⁶ assessed the intertester reliability of the FADIR test in people seeking care for intra-articular, non-arthritic hip joint pain. The examiners demonstrated 91% agreement, however, due to the high proportion of positive to negative test agreements, the kappa value was low at 0.58 (95% CI: 0.29, 0.87), indicating only moderate¹⁰⁴ reliability.

Specific to pathology or pain relief: Two studies report the FADIR test characteristics specific to pain provocation. In both studies, the subjects were patients who reported pain consistent with intra-articular, non-arthritic hip joint pain. Compared to diagnostic injection, the sensitivity and specificity of the FADIR test was reported to be 0.78 (95% CI: 0.59, 0.89) and 0.10 (95% CI: 0.03, 0.29) respectively.¹¹⁶ The positive likelihood ratio was 0.86 (95% CI: 0.67, 1.1) and the negative likelihood ratio was 2.3 (95% CI: 0.52, 10.4).¹¹⁶ Compared to MRA finding of labral lesion, the sensitivity and specificity of the FADIR test was 0.75 (95% CI: 0.19, 0.99) and 0.43 (95% CI: 0.18, 0.72).¹⁴¹ In their study to detect intra-articular hip pathology, including OA, Maslowski et al¹²⁵ also assessed the diagnostic accuracy of a test that is similar to the FADIR test, called the internal rotation with overpressure (IROP) test. Using pain relief with a diagnostic injection as the comparison, the sensitivity and specificity of the IROP test was reported to be 0.91 (95% CI: 0.68, 0.99) and 0.18 (95% CI: 0.05, 0.40) respectively.¹²⁵ The positive predictive value was 0.88 (95% CI: 0.67, 0.98) and the negative predictive value was 0.17 (95% CI: 0.04, 0.40).¹²⁵

Specific to mechanism contributing to non-arthritic hip joint pain (femoroacetabular impingement): No studies reporting the test characteristics specific to femoroacetabular impingement were located. In their descriptive study, Beck et al¹⁰ assessed 19 subjects with the clinical diagnosis of femoroacetabular impingement, based on clinical exam, radiographs, and MRA. They found that all 19 subjects had a positive FADIR test corresponding to intra-operative dynamic impingement and labral lesions in the anterosuperior region of the hip joint.

LOG ROLL TEST

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body structure – fasciae and ligaments of the hip

Description—A test to determine ligamentous laxity.

Measurement Method—The patient is positioned in supine with the hip and knee in 0° of extension. The hip is passively rotated internally and externally. The examiner ensures the rotation is occurring at the hip and not at the knee or ankle. The examiner notes any side-to-side difference in external rotation range of motion. The test is positive for ligamentous

laxity when the involved hip demonstrates greater external rotation range of motion than the uninvolved hip.

Nature of Variable—Nominal

Units of Measurement—None

Measurement Properties—Martin et al¹¹⁶ assessed the intertester reliability of the log roll test in people seeking care for intra-articular, non-arthritic hip joint pain. The examiners demonstrated 80% agreement and a kappa value of 0.61 (95% CI: 0.48, 0.84) indicating substantial¹⁰⁴ reliability.

PASSIVE HIP INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL ROTATION

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body function – mobility of a single joint; and pain in joints

Description—The amount of hip rotation range of motion measured with the hip in 90° flexion (sitting) and 0° flexion (prone). The patient is also asked to rate the amount of pain experienced during the movement on a 0 to 10 numerical pain rating scale (NPRS).

Measurement Method

Hip Internal and External Rotation in 90° Flexion

Position and Motion: The patient is positioned sitting with the hip at 90° of flexion. The hip measured is placed in 0° of abduction, and the contralateral hip is placed in about 30° of abduction. The reference knee is flexed to 90°, and the leg is passively moved to produce hip rotation. The sitting position assists to stabilize the pelvis and the pelvis should be closely monitored to avoid pelvic movement. The tibiofemoral joint must also be controlled to prevent motion (rotation or abduction/adduction), which could be construed as hip rotation.⁷⁵ The motion is stopped when the clinician reaches a firm end-feel or when pelvic movement is necessary for additional movement of the limb.

Measurement: The measurement may be taken with an inclinometer or a goniometer. The inclinometer is aligned vertically and along the shaft of the tibia, just proximal to the medial malleolus for both internal and external rotation range of motion.⁷⁴

The axis of the goniometer is placed on the anterior aspect of the patella, the stationary arm is placed vertically so it is perpendicular to the supporting surface and the movement arm is placed along the anterior midline of the lower leg.^{49, 179}

Hip Internal and External Rotation in 0° Flexion

Position and Motion: The patient is positioned prone with feet over the edge of the treatment table. The hip being measured is placed in 0° of abduction, and the contralateral hip is placed in about 30° of abduction. The reference knee is flexed to 90°, and the leg is passively moved to produce hip rotation. Manual stabilization is applied to the pelvis to prevent pelvic movement and also at the tibiofemoral joint to prevent motion (rotation or

abduction/adduction), which could be construed as hip rotation.⁷⁵ The motion is stopped when the clinician reaches a firm end-feel or when pelvic movement is necessary for additional movement of the limb.

Measurement: The measurement may be taken with an inclinometer³⁹ or a goniometer. The inclinometer is aligned vertically and along the shaft of the tibia, just proximal to the medial malleolus for both internal and external rotation range of motion.⁷⁴

The axis of the goniometer is placed on the anterior aspect of the patella, the stationary arm is placed vertically so it is perpendicular to the supporting surface and the movement arm is placed along the anterior midline of the lower leg.^{49, 179}

Nature of Variable—Continuous (range of motion) ordinal (Pain)

Units of Measurement—Degrees and 0 to 10 NPRS

Measurement Properties—Limited internal rotation range of motion when the hip is flexed to 90° has been associated with bony impingement due to femoroacetabular impingement.²⁰⁶ There are no known studies reporting the measurement properties of hip range of motion in individuals with non-arthritis hip joint pain. Studies reporting tester reliability in healthy adults and individuals with other musculoskeletal pain provide evidence of excellent intrarater reliability of hip rotation range of motion measurements. Ellison et al⁴⁹ reported ICCs for hip internal and external rotation ranging from 0.96 to 0.99 in healthy individuals and 0.95 to 0.97 in people with low back pain. In patients with hip OA, Pua et al¹⁶³ reported ICCs of 0.93 (95% CI: 0.83, 0.97; SEM = 3.4°) and 0.96 (95% CI: 0.91, 0.99; SEM 3.1°) for internal and external rotation respectively. The clinically important difference for the NPRS, derived from patients with low back pain, has been shown to be a reduction of 2 points.^{28, 53}

PASSIVE HIP FLEXION AND PASSIVE HIP ABDUCTION

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body function – mobility of a single joint; and pain in joints

Description—Measurement of the amount of passive hip flexion and hip abduction range of motion. The patient is also asked to rate the amount of pain experienced during the movement on a 0 to 10 NPRS.

Measurement Method

Hip Flexion

Position and Motion: The patient is in the supine position and the hip in 0° of abduction, adduction, and rotation. With the knee flexed, the hip is passively flexed while the lumbar spine is monitored to avoid posterior pelvic tilt. The motion is stopped when the clinician reaches a firm end-feel or when pelvic movement is necessary for additional movement of the limb.

Measurement: The axis of the goniometer is placed at the greater trochanter; the stationary arm is placed along the midline of the pelvis, and the moving arm along the midline of the femur.

Hip Abduction

Position and Motion: The patient is positioned in supine with the hip in 0° of flexion and rotation. With the knee extended, the hip is passively abducted. Manual stabilization is provided at the pelvis to prevent lateral pelvic tilt or pelvic rotation. The motion is stopped when the clinician reaches a firm end-feel or when pelvic movement is necessary for additional movement of the limb.

Measurement: The axis of the goniometer is placed on the anterior superior iliac spine of the tested side, the stationary arm along an imaginary line between the 2anterior superior iliac spine landmarks and the moving arm along the anterior midline of the femur.

Nature of Variable—Continuous (range of motion) ordinal (Pain)

Units of Measurement—Degrees and 0 to 10 NPRS

Measurement Properties—There are no known studies reporting the measurement properties of hip range of motion in individuals with non-arthritic hip disorders. Studies reporting tester reliability in healthy adults and individuals with other musculoskeletal pain provide evidence of excellent intrarater reliability of hip flexion measurements. In patients with hip OA, Pua et al¹⁶³ reported ICCs of 0.97 (95% CI: 0.93, 0.99; SEM = 3.5°) and 0.94 (95% CI: 0.86, 0.98; SEM 3.2°) for flexion and abduction respectively. The MDC for hip flexion, determine using 22 participants with knee OA and 17 participants without lower extremity symptoms or known pathology, is 5°, meaning any change more than 5° is considered to be change beyond measurement error.³² The MDC for pain for hip flexion is a change of 1.2 on the 0 to 10 NPRS.³² The clinically important difference for the NPRS, derived from patients with low back pain, has been shown to be a reduction of 2 points.^{28, 53}

HIP ABDUCTOR MUSCLE AND POSTERIOR GLUTEUS MEDIUS STRENGTH TEST

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body function – power of isolated muscles and muscle groups

Description—A test to determine the strength of the hip abductor muscles

Measurement Method

Hip Abductor Strength: Hip abductor strength is measured with the patient in side lying on the non-tested side. The patient is positioned with the trunk in neutral alignment and the pelvis perpendicular to the testing surface. The non-tested hip and knee are flexed. The patient's tested limb is placed in hip abduction, neutral rotation, and neutral flexion/extension. The examiner then monitors for compensation as the patient holds the test position. If the patient can maintain the test position for 3 seconds without compensation,

resistance may be applied. The examiner places 1 hand on the iliac crest to prevent the pelvis from rotating or tilting.

Measurement

Manual Muscle Test: The examiner uses the other hand to place resistance at the ankle in the direction of femoral adduction. The amount of force generated may be measured subjectively using manual muscle grades 0 to 5.

Hand held dynamometer: The examiner places the dynamometer at the lateral aspect of the distal thigh. A “make” test¹⁵ is performed by asking the participant to push maximally against the dynamometer, simulating their maximum isometric contraction. To eliminate the effect of tester strength,¹⁸⁴ it is best to perform the “make” test using straps to hold the dynamometer in place and to provide the resistance to the motion. A “break” test¹⁰¹ is performed by the tester manually applying the resistance. The participant is asked to hold against the examiner’s resistance. Maximum strength is assumed when the tester’s force is able to overcome the participant’s force. Using the dynamometer, force may be expressed as pounds, kilograms, or Newtons. The test may also be performed in supine.

Posterior Gluteus Medius Strength⁹²: Posterior gluteus medius strength is measured with the patient in side lying on the non-tested side. The patient is positioned with the trunk in neutral alignment and the pelvis rotated slightly forward. The non-tested hip and knee are flexed. The patient’s tested limb is placed in hip abduction, slight external rotation, and slight extension. The examiner monitors for compensation as the patient holds the test position. If the patient can maintain the test position for 3 seconds without compensation, resistance may be applied. The examiner firmly places 1 hand on the iliac crest to prevent the pelvis from rotating or tilting.

Measurement

Manual Muscle Test: The examiner uses the other hand to place resistance at the ankle in the direction of femoral adduction and flexion. The amount of force generated may be measured subjectively using manual muscle grades 0 to 5.

Hand held dynamometer: The examiner places the dynamometer at the lateral aspect of the distal thigh. A “make” test¹⁵ is performed by asking the participant to push maximally against the dynamometer, simulating their maximum isometric contraction. To eliminate the effect of tester strength,¹⁸⁴ it is best to perform the “make” test using straps to hold the dynamometer in place and to provide the resistance to the motion. A “break” test¹⁰¹ is performed by the tester manually applying the resistance. The participant is asked to hold against the examiner’s resistance. Maximum strength is assumed when the tester’s force is able to overcome the participant’s force. Using the dynamometer, force may be expressed as pounds, kilograms, or Newtons.

Nature of Variable

Manual muscle test: Ordinal

Dynamometer: Continuous

Units of Measurement

Manual muscle test none

Dynamometer: Force in pounds, kilograms or Newtons

Measurement Properties—There are no known studies reporting the measurement properties of hip abductor or posterior gluteus medius strength testing in people with non-arthritic hip disorders. Studies reporting tester reliability in healthy adults and people with hip OA provide evidence of good to excellent intratester reliability for testing the hip abductors.

Hip abductors in side lying position-using hand held dynamometer: The intratester reliability ($ICC_{2,1}$) of force measures in healthy subjects was 0.90 (95% CI: 0.74, 0.97).²⁰² The coefficient of variation was 3.67%.²⁰¹

Hip abductors in supine position using hand held dynamometer: The intratester reliability ($ICC_{2,1}$) of force measures in healthy subjects was 0.83 (95% CI: 0.57, 0.94)²⁰² to 0.96.²⁰⁷ The coefficient of variation was 6.11%.²⁰² The MDC_{95} determined from a sample of healthy subjects was 5.4% of body weight for males and 5.3% of body weight for females.²⁰⁷ In subjects with hip OA, the intratester reliability ($ICC_{2,2}$) for hip abductor muscle torque was 0.84 (95% CI: 0.55, 0.94 SEM = 12.1 Nm).¹⁶³

HIP INTERNAL ROTATOR MUSCLE STRENGTH TEST WITH THE HIP FLEXED AND THE HIP EXTENDED

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body function – power of isolated muscles and muscle groups

Description—A test to determine the strength of the hip internal rotator muscles

Measurement Method

Hip internal rotators

Hip flexed: The internal rotators are measured with the patient in sitting with the knees flexed to 90°. The patient is positioned with the trunk in neutral alignment and the hip in 90° of flexion and 0° of abduction/adduction.¹⁶³

Hip extended: The internal rotators are measured with the patient in supine with the knee flexed to 90° over the edge of the testing surface. The patient is positioned with the trunk in neutral alignment and the hip in 0° of flexion/extension and 0° of abduction/adduction. To assist in maintaining the trunk in neutral alignment, the opposite hip and knee are placed in flexion with the foot resting on the support surface.

The patient's tested limb is placed at end range internal rotation. The examiner then monitors for compensation as the patient holds the test position. If the patient can maintain the test position for 3 seconds without compensation, resistance may be applied. The examiner places 1 hand on the medial distal thigh to prevent hip abduction/adduction.

Measurement

Manual Muscle Test: The examiner uses the other hand to place resistance at the ankle in the direction of external rotation. The amount of force generated may be measured subjectively using manual muscle grades 0 to 5.

Hand held dynamometer: The examiner places the dynamometer above the ankle on the lateral aspect. A “make” test¹⁵ is performed by asking the participant to push maximally against the dynamometer, simulating their maximum isometric contraction. To eliminate the effect of tester strength,¹⁸⁴ it is best to perform the “make” test using straps to hold the dynamometer in place and to provide the resistance to the motion. A “break” test¹⁰¹ is performed by the tester manually applying the resistance. The participant is asked to hold against the examiner’s resistance. Maximum strength is assumed when the tester’s force is able to overcome the participant’s force. Using the dynamometer, force may be expressed as pounds, kilograms, or Newtons.

Nature of Variable

Manual muscle test: Ordinal

Dynamometer Continuous

Units of Measurement

Manual muscle test: none

Dynamometer: Force in pounds, kilograms or Newtons

Measurement Properties—There are no known studies reporting the measurement properties of hip internal rotator strength testing in people with non-arthritic hip disorders.

Hip internal rotation with the hip flexed: In subjects with hip OA, the intratester reliability (ICC_{2,2}) for hip internal rotator muscle torque (force in Newtons $\times$ lever arm) was 0.98 (95% CI: 0.94, 0.99; SEM = 3.7 Nm).¹⁶³

HIP EXTERNAL ROTATOR MUSCLE STRENGTH TEST WITH THE HIP FLEXED AND THE HIP EXTENDED

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body function: power of isolated muscles and muscle groups

Description—A test to determine the strength of the hip external rotator muscles.

Measurement Method

Hip external rotators

Hip flexed: ¹⁶³ The external rotators are measured with the patient in sitting with the knees flexed to 90°. The patient is positioned with the trunk in neutral alignment and the hip in 90° of flexion and 0° of abduction/adduction.

Hip extended: The external rotators are measured with the patient in supine with the knee flexed to 90° over the edge of the testing surface. The patient is positioned with the trunk in neutral alignment and the hip in 0° of flexion/extension and 0° of abduction/adduction. To assist in maintaining the trunk in neutral alignment, the opposite hip and knee are placed in flexion with the foot resting on the support surface.

The patient's tested limb is placed at end range external rotation. The examiner then monitors for compensation as the patient holds the test position. If the patient can maintain the test position for 3 seconds without compensation, resistance may be applied. The examiner places 1 hand on the lateral distal thigh to prevent hip abduction/adduction.

Measurement

Manual Muscle Test: The examiner uses the other hand to place resistance at the ankle in the direction of internal rotation. The amount of force generated may be measured subjectively using manual muscle grades 0 to 5.

Hand held dynamometer: The examiner places the dynamometer above the ankle on the lateral aspect. A "make" test¹⁵ is performed by asking the participant to push maximally against the dynamometer, simulating their maximum isometric contraction. To eliminate the effect of tester strength,¹⁸⁴ it is best to perform the "make" test using straps to hold the dynamometer in place and to provide the resistance to the motion. A "break" test¹⁰¹ is performed by the tester manually applying the resistance. The participant is asked to hold against the examiner's resistance. Maximum strength is assumed when the tester's force is able to overcome the participant's force. Using the dynamometer, force may be expressed as pounds, kilograms, or Newtons.

Nature of Variable

Manual muscle test: Ordinal

Dynamometer: Continuous

Units of Measurement

Manual muscle test: none

Dynamometer: Force in pounds, kilograms or Newtons

Measurement Properties—There are no known studies reporting the measurement properties of hip external rotator strength testing in people with non-arthritis hip disorders.

Hip external rotation with the hip flexed: In subjects with hip OA, the intratester reliability (ICC_{2,2}) for hip external rotator muscle torque (force in Newtons × lever arm) was 0.98 (95% CI: 0.96, 0.99; SEM = 3.2Nm).¹⁶³

SINGLE JOINT HIP FLEXOR MUSCLE STRENGTH TEST

ICF Category—Measurement of impairment of body function: power of isolated muscles and muscle groups

Description—A test to determine the strength of the hip flexor muscles.

Measurement Method—The hip flexors are measured with the patient in sitting with the knee flexed to 90° over the edge of the testing surface. The patient is positioned with the trunk in neutral alignment and the hip in 0° external/internal rotation, 0° abduction/adduction. The patient's tested limb is placed at end-range flexion. The examiner then monitors for compensation as the patient holds the test position. If the patient can maintain the test position for 3 seconds without compensation, resistance may be applied. The examiner places 1 hand on the anterior shoulder to prevent trunk flexion.

Measurement

Manual Muscle Test: The examiner uses the other hand to place resistance at the anterior distal femur in the direction of hip extension. The amount of force generated may be measured subjectively using manual muscle grades 0 to 5.

Hand held dynamometer: The examiner places the dynamometer just proximal to knee on extensor surface of the thigh. A “make” test¹⁵ is performed by asking the participant to push maximally against the dynamometer, simulating their maximum isometric contraction. To eliminate the effect of tester strength,¹⁸⁴ it is best to perform the “make” test using straps to hold the dynamometer in place and to provide the resistance to the motion. A “break” test¹⁰¹ is performed by the tester manually applying the resistance. The participant is asked to hold against the examiner's resistance. Maximum strength is assumed when the tester's force is able to overcome the participant's force. Using the dynamometer, force may be expressed as pounds, kilograms, or Newtons.

Nature of Variable

Manual muscle test: Ordinal

Dynamometer: Continuous

Units of Measurement

Manual muscle test: none

Dynamometer: Force in pounds, kilograms or Newtons

Measurement Properties—There are no known studies reporting the measurement properties of hip flexor strength in people with non-arthritic hip disorders.

Hip flexion with the hand held dynamometer: In subjects with hip OA, the intratester reliability (ICC_{2,2}) for hip flexor muscle torque (force in Newtons × lever arm) was 0.87 (95% CI: 0.69, 0.95; SEM = 10.9 Nm).¹⁶³

CLINICAL GUIDELINES: Interventions

These guidelines will address the major non-surgical interventions of non-arthritic hip joint disorders. Because the available evidence examining non-surgical management of individuals with non-arthritic hip pain is limited, all of the interventions discussed in these

guidelines are based on expert opinion. Clinicians should consider a course of conservative management as the initial treatment approach for this population.

Patient Education and Counseling

Griffin et al⁶⁴ described the importance of preoperative physical therapy for patient preparing to undergo arthroscopic procedures of the hip joint. Patients may be provided education in regard to joint protection strategies and avoidance of symptom-provoking activities. Individuals with an acetabular labral tear should be educated in regard to activities that could place the labrum at risk for further injury. Advice on activity modifications is indicated for all individuals with non-arthritic hip disorders and should be individually tailored to meet the functional demands and the diagnostic subgroup unique to the individual. Education recommendations based upon the presence of specific osseous abnormalities are listed below:

Femoroacetabular impingement—The patient should avoid activities that consistently place the hip joint in positions that create the impingement effect. Activities that place the hip joint in end-range flexion, internal rotation, and in some cases abduction are of particular concern.^{45, 172}

Structural instability—Activities that place repetitive strain on the passive restraints of the hip should be limited. Such activities may include the motions of forced extension or rotational loading.

Daily activities such as sitting, sit to stand, ambulation on level surfaces and stairs, and sleeping positions should be assessed to determine if the patient is able to perform these activities without an increase in pain. The movement pattern and alignment of the hip demonstrated during the activities should be assessed to determine if the movement pattern or alignment may be contributing to the pain problem.¹¹¹ If the movement pattern or alignment appears to be contributing to the pain problem, then instruction should be provided to modify the patient's performance. For example, a patient with a positive FADIR test, should be instructed to avoid assuming positions that place the hip in the impingement position, such as sitting in a low, soft chair. Sitting in a low, soft chair may place the hip in a flexed and internally rotation position and therefore, contribute to impingement-related pain.

If pain is increased or the patient demonstrates a significant impaired movement pattern during ambulation, he or she may need to be instructed in the use of assistive devices, such as a walker, crutches, or a cane. Assistive devices, when used appropriately, will reduce the amount of force through the hip joint. When using a cane, the cane should be placed in the hand opposite the injured limb. Also, instructing patients in gait modification by emphasizing ankle and toe plantar flexion at the terminal stance and pre-swing phases of gait cycle may be helpful.¹¹⁰

In addition to basic daily activities, activities that increase the patient's pain, such as work-related or fitness activities, should be assessed and modified as appropriate. The activity may be modified by changing the patient's movement or alignment, such as their sitting position at work, or by reducing the intensity of the activity. For instance, if the patient has

femoroacetabular impingement, the flexibility routine may need to be modified to limit the use of aggressive end-range flexion or internal rotation stretches.

Any modifications of the physical environment that can decrease the overall amount of repetitive shear forces experienced at the hip joint should be made if feasible. As an example, a patient with femoroacetabular impingement may be instructed to use a higher seat position during work or fitness activities such as cycling. The higher seat position will result in the hips being positioned higher than the knees, thus excessive hip flexion will be avoided.

Evaluation from a modern pain sciences perspective and patient education from a therapeutic neuroscience approach should be considered. As in osteoarthritis pain the exact cause of non-arthritis hip pain is unclear and there may be changes in central pain processing and central sensitization, along with psychological and behavioral factors such as depression, fear-avoidance beliefs, pain catastrophizing, and low self-efficacy.¹³⁸

F

Clinicians may utilize patient education and counseling for modifying aggravating factors and managing pain associated with non-arthritis hip joint pain.

Manual Therapy

A progressive trial of manual therapy, which may include soft tissue or joint mobilization/manipulation, may be beneficial in pain reduction and restoration of motion. Utilization of manual therapy in an attempt to improve the rate of nutrient imbibition for the articular cartilage has been suggested.¹⁷⁵ Indications for mobilization/manipulation of the hip joint include hip pain and decreased passive range of motion with a capsular end-feel. Indications for mobilization of the pelvis and hip soft tissue, such as myofascia that may be limiting normal hip mobility, include decreased passive range of motion with an elastic end-feel and immediate positive gains in mobility following application of procedures to inhibit or relax the targeted myofascia.

Individuals with identified osseous abnormalities may be subject to specific concerns in regard to manual therapy:

Femoroacetabular impingement—End-range physiologic techniques such as flexion and internal rotation should be avoided if the patient has cam or pincer impingement. Impingement may be suspected if a bony end-feel is detected at the end of hip flexion and internal rotation.

Structural instability—Joint mobilization, except for pain modulation, is contraindicated in individuals classified as hypermobile.

F

In the absence of contraindications, joint mobilization procedures may be indicated when capsular restrictions are suspected to impair hip mobility and soft tissue mobilization

procedures may be indicated when muscles and their related fascia are suspected to impair hip mobility.

Therapeutic Exercises and Activities

Stretching—The clinician must evaluate patients their patient to determine hip range of motion and assess the range of motion end-feel to verify the likely cause of the range of motion limitation. Patients who display a limited range of motion with a hard (bony) end feel may not benefit from stretching, particularly if stretching aggravates the patient's pain. Patients who display a limited range of motion and a capsular end feel may benefit from stretching.

Two patterns of asymmetrical hip rotation may be found in patients with non-arthritic hip pain including those with excessive hip external rotation with limited hip internal rotation and those with excessive hip internal rotation with limited hip external rotation. These asymmetries may be related to bony abnormalities or soft tissue restrictions. Impingement (cam or pincer), or femoral retroversion may be correlated with reduced hip internal rotation. Excessive femoral anteversion may be correlated with reduced external rotation. The evidence related to contributors to range of motion asymmetries due to soft tissue restrictions is limited.

A common pattern in patients with femoroacetabular impingement is where hip internal rotation is decreased while external rotation is increased.^{5, 102} Ejnisman et al⁴⁸ noted that adult patients (mean age of 35 years) with signs of hip impingement often have more hip external than internal rotation. Wyss et al²⁰⁶ noted that patients who present with impingement have limited hip internal rotation. Some studies suggest a loss of internal rotation in patients with impingement is associated with a bony restriction and not from a shortening of soft (capsular or muscle) tissue.^{47, 83, 102, 209} Yuan et al²⁰⁹ found that patients with a bony block often had significantly limited hip internal rotation, usually less than 10°. Besides limited hip internal rotation, another finding in patients with femoroacetabular impingement is reduced hip flexion and abduction.^{83, 102, 209}

Stretching is contraindicated in those with structural instability, where patients often display an increased range of internal and external hip rotation as well as hip adduction and abduction.

We encourage future studies that will examine the effect of stretching/mobilization on hip joint rotation range of motion in those with limited hip motion or asymmetrical hip rotation in patients with signs and symptoms of femoroacetabular impingement.

Strengthening—Strength impairments of the lower extremity and trunk identified through physical examination should be addressed. Cibulka et al³¹ showed that those who have excessive hip external rotation range of motion when compared to internal rotation range of motion have weakness in their hip internal rotator muscles, while those who display excessive hip internal rotation range of motion compared to external rotation range of motion have weakness in the hip external rotator muscles. We recommend that any

asymmetrical muscle weakness found in these patients should be addressed with a hip-strengthening program for the specific weakened muscles.

Particular attention should be placed on the strength of the hip abductors and hip rotators in patients with structural instability. It has been suggested that loss of rotational stability may be linked to acetabular labral tears.¹⁵⁵ Sufficient strength may be a particular concern in this population, reducing the ability to control the excessive range of motion that occurs at the hip joint.

Muscle Flexibility—Soft tissue restrictions of the lower extremity and trunk can be addressed through soft tissue mobilization, contract/relax stretching, and prolonged stretching that does not increase the patient's symptoms. Decreased motion secondary to soft tissue length will have a “muscular” end-feel as compared to a “hard” end-feel due to bony approximation. The most common shortened muscles around the hip include the 2-joint muscles; iliopsoas, rectus femoris, hamstrings, and tensor fascia latae-iliotibial band. Osseous conditions associated with range of motion limitations, such as femoroacetabular impingement, femoral retroversion or excessive femoral anteversion, should not be treated with excessive flexibility exercises as this may exacerbate symptoms.

Cardiorespiratory Endurance—Individuals with non-arthritic hip joint pain may be deconditioned secondary to decreased activity levels due to pain. Cardiorespiratory/aerobic conditioning is necessary to promote optimal health and prevent or remediate metabolic disorders such as obesity and diabetes. Activities that minimize shearing/frictional forces experienced at the hip joint are optimal. In addition, activities that increase pain should be modified. Activities that enable aerobic conditioning with limited stress to the hip include stationary cycling, swimming, and use of elliptical exercise equipment.

F

Clinicians may utilize therapeutic exercises and activities to address joint mobility, muscle flexibility, muscle strength, muscle power deficits, deconditioning, and metabolic disorders identified during the physical examination of patients with non-arthritic hip joint pain.

Neuromuscular Reeducation

Neuromuscular reeducation, including proprioceptive/perturbation training, has been previously defined as “movement training progressions that facilitate the development of multi-joint neuromuscular engrams that combine joint stabilization, acceleration, deceleration, and kinesthesia through intermittent protocols that progress from low intensity movements focused in a single plane to multi-planar power training.”⁷⁶ Neuromuscular reeducation has had some success for other lower extremity disorders,^{56, 169} and may provide an effective intervention in non-arthritic hip pain. Kim and Azuma⁹⁵ suggested nerve endings located within the acetabular labrum potentially have an effect on proprioception. Individuals with compromise of the labrum may benefit from training to increase the efficiency of the musculature to provide dynamic stabilization.

F

Clinicians may utilize neuromuscular reeducation procedures to diminish movement coordination impairments identified in patients with non-arthritic hip joint pain.

CLINICAL GUIDELINES: SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Risk Factors

- F Clinicians should consider the presence of osseous abnormalities, local or global ligamentous laxity, connective tissue disorders, and nature of the patient's activity and participation as risk factors for hip joint pathology.

Diagnosis/Classification – Non-arthritic Hip Joint Pain

- C Clinicians should use the clinical findings of anterior groin or lateral hip pain or generalized hip joint pain that is reproduced with the hip flexion, adduction, internal rotation (FADIR) test or the hip flexion, abduction, external rotation (FABER) test, along with consistent imaging findings, to classify a patient with hip pain into the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD) categories of M25.5 pain in joint, M24.7 protrusio acetabula, M24.0 loose body in joint, and M24.2 disorder of ligament, and the associated International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health (ICF) impairment-based category of hip pain (b28016 pain in joints) and mobility impairments (b7100 mobility of a single joint; b7150 stability of a single joint).

Differential Diagnosis

- F Clinicians should consider diagnostic categories other than non-arthritic joint pain when the patient's history, reported activity limitations, or impairments of body function and structure are not consistent with those presented in the diagnosis/classification section of this guideline or when the patient's symptoms are not diminishing with interventions aimed at normalization of the impairments of body function.

Examination – Outcome Measures

- A Clinicians should use a validated outcome measure, such as the Hip Outcome Score, the Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score, or the International Hip Outcome Tool before and after interventions intended to alleviate the impairments of body function and structure, activity limitations, and participation restrictions in individuals with non-arthritic hip joint pain.

Examination – Physical Impairment Measures

- B When evaluating patients with suspected or confirmed hip pathology over an episode of care, clinicians should assess impairments of body function, including objective and reproducible measures of hip pain, mobility, muscle power, and movement coordination.

Interventions: Patient Education and Counseling

- F Clinicians may utilize patient education and counseling for modifying aggravating factors and managing pain associated with non-arthritic hip joint pain

Interventions: Manual Therapy

- F In the absence of contraindications, joint mobilization procedures may be indicated when capsular restrictions are suspected to impair hip mobility and soft tissue mobilization procedures may be indicated when muscles and their related fascia are suspected to impair hip mobility

Interventions: Therapeutic Exercises and Activities

- F Clinicians may utilize therapeutic exercises and activities to address joint mobility, muscle flexibility, muscle strength, muscle power deficits, deconditioning, and metabolic disorders identified during the physical examination of patients with non-arthritic hip joint pain.

Interventions: Neuromuscular Reeducation

- F Clinicians may utilize neuromuscular reeducation procedures to diminish movement coordination impairments identified in patients with non-arthritic hip joint pain.
-

References

1. Al-Hayani A. The functional anatomy of hip abductors. *Folia Morphol (Warsz)*. 2009; 68:98–103. [PubMed: 19449297]
2. Allen D, Beaulé PE, Ramadan O, Doucette S. Prevalence of associated deformities and hip pain in patients with cam-type femoroacetabular impingement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2009; 91:589–594. [PubMed: 19407290]

3. Anderson LA, Peters CL, Park BB, Stoddard GJ, Erickson JA, Crim JR. Acetabular Cartilage Delamination in Femoroacetabular Impingement. Risk Factors and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Diagnosis. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2009; 91:305–313. [PubMed: 19181974]
4. Anderson SE, Siebenrock KA, Tannast M. Femoroacetabular impingement. *Eur J Radiol*. 2012; 81:3740–3744. [PubMed: 21924849]
5. Audenaert EA, Peeters I, Vigneron L, Baelde N, Pattyn C. Hip morphological characteristics and range of internal rotation in femoroacetabular impingement. *Am J sports Med*. 2012; 40:1329–1336. [PubMed: 22472271]
6. Bache CE, Clegg J, Herron M. Risk factors for developmental dysplasia of the hip: ultrasonographic findings in the neonatal period. *J Pediatr Orthop B*. 2002; 11:212–218. [PubMed: 12089497]
7. Banerjee P, McLean C. The efficacy of multimodal high-volume wound infiltration in primary total hip replacement. *Orthopedics*. 2011; 34:e522–529. [PubMed: 21902151]
8. Bardakos NV, Villar RN. Predictors of progression of osteoarthritis in femoroacetabular impingement: a radiological study with a minimum of ten years follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2009; 91:162–169. [PubMed: 19190047]
9. Beck M, Kalhor M, Leunig M, Ganz R. Hip morphology influences the pattern of damage to the acetabular cartilage: femoroacetabular impingement as a cause of early osteoarthritis of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2005; 87:1012–1018. [PubMed: 15972923]
10. Beck M, Leunig M, Parvizi J, Boutier V, Wyss D, Ganz R. Anterior femoroacetabular impingement: part II. Midterm results of surgical treatment. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2004; 418:67–73. [PubMed: 15043095]
11. Bellamy N, Buchanan WW, Goldsmith CH, Campbell J, Stitt L. Validation study of WOMAC: a health status instrument for measuring clinically important patient-relevant outcomes following total hip or knee arthroplasty in osteoarthritis. *Journal of Orthopaedic Rheumatology*. 1988; 1:95–108.
12. Bharam S. Labral tears, extra-articular injuries, and hip arthroscopy in the athlete. *Clin Sports Med*. 2006; 25:279–292. ix. [PubMed: 16638491]
13. Birrell F, Lunt M, Macfarlane GJ, Silman AJ. Defining hip pain for population studies. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2005; 64:95–98. [PubMed: 15608305]
14. Blankenbaker DG. Update in hip imaging. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol*. 2013; 17:227–228. [PubMed: 23787977]
15. Bohannon RW. Isometric strength data. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 1997; 78:566–567. [PubMed: 9161386]
16. Boykin RE, Anz AW, Bushnell BD, Kocher MS, Stubbs AJ, Philippon MJ. Hip instability. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2011; 19:340–349. [PubMed: 21628645]
17. Brunner AT, Hamers M, Fitze, et al. The plain {beta}-angle measured on radiographs in the assessment of femoroacetabular impingement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2010; 92-B:1203–1208. [PubMed: 20798435]
18. Burnett RS, Della Rocca GJ, Prather H, Curry M, Maloney WJ, Clohisy JC. Clinical presentation of patients with tears of the acetabular labrum. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2006; 88:1448–1457. [PubMed: 16818969]
19. Byers PD, Contepomi CA, Farkas TA. A post mortem study of the hip joint. Including the prevalence of the features of the right side. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1970; 29:15–31. [PubMed: 5416096]
20. Byrd JW. Hip arthroscopy. The supine position. *Clin Sports Med*. 2001; 20:703–731. [PubMed: 11675882]
21. Byrd JW. Lateral impact injury. A source of occult hip pathology. *Clin Sports Med*. 2001; 20:801–815. [PubMed: 11675888]
22. Byrd JW, Jones KS. Hip arthroscopy for labral pathology: prospective analysis with 10-year follow-up. *Arthroscopy*. 2009; 25:365–368. [PubMed: 19341922]
23. Byrd JW, Jones KS. Prospective analysis of hip arthroscopy with 2-year follow-up. *Arthroscopy*. 2000; 16:578–587. [PubMed: 10976117]
24. Byrd JW, Jones KS. Traumatic rupture of the ligamentum teres as a source of hip pain. *Arthroscopy*. 2004; 20:385–391. [PubMed: 15067278]

25. Carter CO, Wilkinson JA. Genetic and environmental factors in the etiology of congenital dislocation of the hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1964; 33:119–128. [PubMed: 5889015]
26. Cerezal L, Arnaiz J, Canga A, et al. Emerging topics on the hip: ligamentum teres and hip microinstability. *European journal of radiology.* 2012; 81:3745–3754. [PubMed: 21723682]
27. Chen HH, Li AF, Li KC, Wu JJ, Chen TS, Lee MC. Adaptations of ligamentum teres in ischemic necrosis of human femoral head. *Clinical orthopaedics and related research.* 1996; 328:268–275. [PubMed: 8653968]
28. Childs JD, Piva SR, Fritz JM. Responsiveness of the numeric pain rating scale in patients with low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2005; 30:1331–1334. [PubMed: 15928561]
29. Cibulka MT. Determination and significance of femoral neck anteversion. *Phys Ther.* 2004; 84:550–558. [PubMed: 15161420]
30. Cibulka MT, Sinacore DR, Cromer GS, Delitto A. Unilateral hip rotation range of motion asymmetry in patients with sacroiliac joint regional pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 1998; 23:1009–1015. [PubMed: 9589539]
31. Cibulka MT, Strube MJ, Meier D, et al. Symmetrical and asymmetrical hip rotation and its relationship to hip rotator muscle strength. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).* 2010; 25:56–62.
32. Cliborne AV, Wainner RS, Rhon DI, et al. Clinical hip tests and a functional squat test in patients with knee osteoarthritis: reliability, prevalence of positive test findings, and short-term response to hip mobilization. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2004; 34:676–685. [PubMed: 15609488]
33. Clohisy JC, Carlisle JC, Beaulé PE, et al. A systematic approach to the plain radiographic evaluation of the young adult hip. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery.* 2008; 90(Suppl 4):47–66. [PubMed: 18984718]
34. Clohisy JC, Dobson MA, Robison JF, et al. Radiographic structural abnormalities associated with premature, natural hip-joint failure. *The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume.* 2011; 93(Suppl 2):3–9. [PubMed: 21543681]
35. Clohisy JC, Knaus ER, Hunt DM, Leshner JM, Harris-Hayes M, Prather H. Clinical presentation of patients with symptomatic anterior hip impingement. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2009; 467:638–644. [PubMed: 19130160]
36. Clohisy JC, Nunley RM, Carlisle JC, Schoenecker PL. Incidence and characteristics of femoral deformities in the dysplastic hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2009; 467:128–134. [PubMed: 19034600]
37. Clohisy JC, Nunley RM, Otto RJ, Schoenecker PL. The frog-leg lateral radiograph accurately visualized hip cam impingement abnormalities. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research.* 2007; 462:115–121. [PubMed: 17589367]
38. Clohisy JC, Zebala LP, Nepple JJ, Pashos G. Combined Hip Arthroscopy and Limited Open Osteochondroplasty for Anterior Femoroacetabular Impingement. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery.* 2010; 92:1697–1706. [PubMed: 20660232]
39. Czerny C, Hofmann S, Neuhold A, et al. Lesions of the acetabular labrum: accuracy of MR imaging and MR arthrography in detection and staging. *Radiology.* 1996; 200:225–230. [PubMed: 8657916]
40. Delcamp DD, Klaaren HE, Pompe van Meerdervoort HF. Traumatic avulsion of the ligamentum teres without dislocation of the hip. Two case reports. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1988; 70:933–935. [PubMed: 3392092]
41. Dietrich, T.; Telger, TC. General radiography of the hip joint. Berlin: Springer; 1987. Congenital dysplasia and dislocation of the hip in children and adults; p. 100-142.
42. Domb BG, Martin DE, Botser IB. Risk factors for ligamentum teres tears. *Arthroscopy.* 2013; 29:64–73. [PubMed: 23276414]
43. Dorfman H, Boyer T. Arthroscopy of the hip: 12 years of experience. *Arthroscopy.* 1999; 15:67–72. [PubMed: 10024035]
44. Dunn DM. Anteversion of the neck of the femur; a method of measurement. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - British Volume.* 1952; 34:181–186.
45. Dy CJ, Thompson MT, Crawford MJ, Alexander JW, McCarthy JC, Noble PC. Tensile strain in the anterior part of the acetabular labrum during provocative maneuvering of the normal hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2008; 90:1464–1472. [PubMed: 18594094]

46. Eijer H, Leunig M, Mahomed M, Ganz R. Cross-table lateral radiograph for screening of anterior femoral head-neck offset in patients with femoroacetabular impingement. *Hip Int.* 2001; 11:37–41.
47. Eijer H, Myers SR, Ganz R. Anterior femoroacetabular impingement after femoral neck fractures. *J Orthop Trauma.* 2001; 15:475–481. [PubMed: 11602829]
48. Eijnsman L, Philippon MJ, Lertwanich P, et al. Relationship between femoral anteversion and findings in hips with femoroacetabular impingement. *Orthopedics.* 2013; 36:e293–300. [PubMed: 23464948]
49. Ellison JB, Rose SJ, Sahrmann SA. Patterns of hip rotation range of motion: a comparison between healthy subjects and patients with low back pain. *Phys Ther.* 1990; 70:537–541. [PubMed: 2144050]
50. Ezoe M, Naito M, Inoue T. The prevalence of acetabular retroversion among various disorders of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006; 88:372–379. [PubMed: 16452750]
51. Fagerston, T. *The Hip Handbook.* Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders Company; 1987.
52. Farjo LA, Glick JM, Sampson TG. Hip arthroscopy for acetabular labral tears. *Arthroscopy.* 1999; 15:132–137. [PubMed: 10210068]
53. Farrar JT, Young JP Jr, LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole RM. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. *Pain.* 2001; 94:149–158. [PubMed: 11690728]
54. Ferguson SJ, Bryant JT, Ganz R, Ito K. An in vitro investigation of the acetabular labral seal in hip joint mechanics. *Journal of Biomechanics.* 2003; 36:171–178. [PubMed: 12547354]
55. Ferguson SJ, Bryant JT, Ganz R, Ito K. The influence of the acetabular labrum on hip joint cartilage consolidation: a poroelastic finite element model. *J Biomech.* 2000; 33:953–960. [PubMed: 10828325]
56. Fitzgerald GK, Axe MJ, L. Snyder-Mackler The efficacy of perturbation training in nonoperative anterior cruciate ligament rehabilitation programs for physical active individuals. *Phys Ther.* 2000; 80:128–140. [PubMed: 10654060]
57. Fitzgerald RH Jr. Acetabular labrum tears. Diagnosis and treatment. *Clin Orthop.* 1995; 311:60–68. [PubMed: 7634592]
58. Flack NA, Nicholson HD, Woodley SJ. A review of the anatomy of the hip abductor muscles, gluteus medius, gluteus minimus, and tensor fascia lata. *Clinical anatomy.* 2012; 25:697–708. [PubMed: 22109658]
59. Freedman BA, Potter BK, Dinan PA, Giuliani JR, Kuklo TR, Murphy KP. Prognostic value of magnetic resonance arthrography for Czerny stage II and III acetabular labral tears. *Arthroscopy.* 2006; 22:742–747. [PubMed: 16843810]
60. Fujii M, Nakashima Y, Yamamoto T, et al. Acetabular Retroversion in Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery.* 2010; 92:895–903. [PubMed: 20360513]
61. Ganz R, Leunig M, Leunig-Ganz K, Harris WH. The etiology of osteoarthritis of the hip: an integrated mechanical concept. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2008; 466:264–272. [PubMed: 18196405]
62. Ganz R, Parvizi J, Beck M, Leunig M, Notzli H, Siebenrock KA. Femoroacetabular impingement: a cause for osteoarthritis of the hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2003; 417:112–120. [PubMed: 14646708]
63. Gosvig KK, Jacobsen S, Sonne-Holm S, Palm H, Troelsen A. Prevalence of malformations of the hip joint and their relationship to sex, groin pain, and risk of osteoarthritis: a population-based survey. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010; 92:1162–1169. [PubMed: 20439662]
64. Griffin KM, Henry CO, Byrd JW. Rehabilitation after hip arthroscopy. *J Sport Rehabil.* 2000; 9:604–606.
65. Groh MM, Herrera J. A comprehensive review of hip labral tears. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med.* 2009; 2:105–117. [PubMed: 19468871]
66. Guanche CA, Sikka RS. Acetabular labral tears with underlying chondromalacia: A possible association with high-level running. *Arthroscopy-the Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery.* 2005; 21:580–585.
67. Guevara CJ, Pietrobon R, Carothers JT, Olson SA, Vail TP. Comprehensive morphologic evaluation of the hip in patients with symptomatic labral tear. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research.* 2006; 453:277–285. [PubMed: 17016215]

68. Guyatt G, Sackett D, Sinclair J, Hayward R, Cook D, Cook R. Users' guides to the medical literature. IX. A method for grading health care recommendations. Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. JAMA. 1995; 274:1800–1804. [PubMed: 7500513]
69. Hack K, Di Primio G, Rakhra K, Beaulé PE. Prevalence of Cam-Type Femoroacetabular Impingement Morphology in Asymptomatic Volunteers. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. 2010; 92:2436–2444. [PubMed: 20962194]
70. Hardcastle P, Nade S. The significance of the Trendelenburg test. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - British Volume. 1985; 67:741–746.
71. Harris WH. Etiology of osteoarthritis of the hip. Clin Orthop. 1986;20–33. [PubMed: 3780093]
72. Harris WH. Traumatic arthritis of the hip after dislocation and acetabular fractures: treatment by mold arthroplasty. An end-result study using a new method of result evaluation. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1969; 51:737–755. [PubMed: 5783851]
73. Harris-Hayes M, McDonough CM, Leunig M, Lee CB, Callaghan JJ, Roos EM. Clinical outcomes assessment in clinical trials to assess treatment of femoroacetabular impingement: use of patient-reported outcome measures. Journal of American Academy Orthopaedic Surgeons. 2013; 21:S39–46.
74. Harris-Hayes M, Sahrmann SA, Norton BJ, Salsich GB. Diagnosis and management of a patient with knee pain using the movement system impairment classification system. The Journal of orthopaedic and sports physical therapy. 2008; 38:203–213. [PubMed: 18434664]
75. Harris-Hayes M, Wendl PM, Sahrmann SA, Van Dillen LR. Does stabilization of the tibiofemoral joint affect passive prone hip rotation range of motion measures in unimpaired individuals? A preliminary report. Physiotherapy Theory and Practice. 2007; 23(6):315–323. [PubMed: 18075905]
76. Hewett TE, Paterno MV, Myer GD. Strategies for enhancing proprioception and neuromuscular control of the knee. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2002; 402:76–94. [PubMed: 12218474]
77. Hong RJ, Hughes TH, Gentili A, Chung CB. Magnetic resonance imaging of the hip. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging. 2008; 27(3):435–445. [Review] [73 refs]. [PubMed: 18183574]
78. Hunt DM, Clohisy JC, Prather H. Acetabular labral tears of the hip in women. Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics of North America. 2007; 18:497–520. [PubMed: 17678764]
79. Ikeda T, Awaya G, Suzuki S, Okada Y, Tada H. Torn acetabular labrum in young patients. Arthroscopic diagnosis and management. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 1988; 70:13–16. [PubMed: 3339044]
80. Ito K, Leunig M, Ganz R. Histopathologic features of the acetabular labrum in femoroacetabular impingement. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2004; 429:262–271. [PubMed: 15577497]
81. Ito K, Minka MA 2nd, Leunig M, Werlen S, Ganz R. Femoroacetabular impingement and the cam-effect. A MRI-based quantitative anatomical study of the femoral head-neck offset. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2001; 83:171–176. [PubMed: 11284559]
82. Jacobsen S, Sonne-Holm S. Hip dysplasia: a significant risk factor for the development of hip osteoarthritis. A cross-sectional survey. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2005; 44:211–218. [PubMed: 15479751]
83. Jager M, Wild A, Westhoff B, Krauspe R. Femoroacetabular impingement caused by a femoral osseous head-neck bump deformity: clinical, radiological, and experimental results. Journal of Orthopaedic Science. 2004; 9(3):256–63. [PubMed: 15168180]
84. James SL, Ali K, Malara F, Young D, O'Donnell J, Connell DA. MRI findings of femoroacetabular impingement. AJR American Journal of Roentgenology. 2006; 187(6):1412–1419. [PubMed: 17114529]
85. Johnston TL, Schenker ML, Briggs KK, Philippon MJ. Relationship between offset angle alpha and hip chondral injury in femoroacetabular impingement. Arthroscopy. 2008; 24:669–675. [PubMed: 18514110]
86. Jung KA, Restrepo C, Hellman M, AbdelSalam H, Morrison W, Parvizi J. The prevalence of cam-type femoroacetabular deformity in asymptomatic adults. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2011; 93:1303–1307. [PubMed: 21969426]

87. Kalberer F, Sierra RJ, Madan SS, Ganz R, Leunig M. Ischial spine projection into the pelvis : a new sign for acetabular retroversion. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2008; 466:677–683. [PubMed: 18264856]
88. Kassarian A, Brisson M, Palmer WE. Femoroacetabular impingement. *Eur J Radiol.* 2007; 63:29–35. [PubMed: 17485190]
89. Keeney JA, Peelle MW, Jackson J, Rubin D, Maloney WJ, Clohisy JC. Magnetic resonance arthrography versus arthroscopy in the evaluation of articular hip pathology. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2004; 429:163–169. [PubMed: 15577482]
90. Kelly BT, Weiland DE, Schenker ML, Philippon MJ. Arthroscopic labral repair in the hip: surgical technique and review of the literature. *Arthroscopy.* 2005; 21:1496–1504. [PubMed: 16376242]
91. Kelly BT, Williams RJ 3rd, Philippon MJ. Hip arthroscopy: current indications, treatment options, and management issues. *Am J Sports Med.* 2003; 31:1020–1037. [PubMed: 14623676]
92. Kendall, FP.; McCreary, EK.; Provance, PG.; Rodgers, MM.; Romani, WA. *Muscles: testing and function with posture and pain.* Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005.
93. Kim WY, Hutchinson CE, Andrew JG, Allen PD. The relationship between acetabular retroversion and osteoarthritis of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2006; 88:727–729. [PubMed: 16720763]
94. Kim YJ, Jaramillo D, Millis MB, Gray ML, Burstein D. Assessment of early osteoarthritis in hip dysplasia with delayed gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of cartilage. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003; 85-A:1987–1992. [PubMed: 14563809]
95. Kim YT, Azuma H. The nerve endings of the acetabular labrum. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1995; 320:176–181. [PubMed: 7586824]
96. Kivlan BR, Martin RL, Sekiya JK. Response to diagnostic injection in patients with femoroacetabular impingement, labral tears, chondral lesions, and extra-articular pathology. *Arthroscopy : the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery : official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association.* 2011; 27:619–627.
97. Kivlan BR, Richard Clemente F, Martin RL, Martin HD. Function of the ligamentum teres during multi-planar movement of the hip joint. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2013; 21:1664–1668. [PubMed: 22885673]
98. Klassbo M, Larsson G, Harms-Ringdahl K. Promising outcome of a hip school for patients with hip dysfunction. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2003; 49:321–327. [PubMed: 12794786]
99. Klaue K, Durnin CW, Ganz R. The acetabular rim syndrome. A clinical presentation of dysplasia of the hip. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery-British Volume.* 1991; 73:423–429.
100. Kohnlein W, Ganz R, Impellizzeri FM, Leunig M. Acetabular morphology: implications for joint-preserving surgery. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2009; 467:682–691. [PubMed: 19130159]
101. Krause DA, Schlagel SJ, Stember BM, Zoetewey JE, Hollman JH. Influence of lever arm and stabilization on measures of hip abduction and adduction torque obtained by hand-held dynamometry. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation.* 2007; 88:37–42. [PubMed: 17207673]
102. Kubiak-Langer M, Tannast M, Murphy SB, Siebenrock KA, Langlotz F. Range of motion in anterior femoroacetabular impingement. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research.* 2007; 458:117–124. [PubMed: 17206102]
103. Lage LA, Patel JV, Villar RN. The acetabular labral tear: An arthroscopic classification. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic and Related Surgery.* 1996; 12:269–272.
104. Landis JR, Koch GG. An application of hierarchical kappa-type statistics in the assessment of majority agreement among multiple observers. *Biometrics.* 1977; 33:363–374. [PubMed: 884196]
105. Lavigne M, Parvizi J, Beck M, Siebenrock KA, Ganz R, Leunig M. Anterior femoroacetabular impingement: part I. Techniques of joint preserving surgery. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2004; 418:61–66. [PubMed: 15043094]
106. Lequesne M, de SEZE. False profile of the pelvis. A new radiographic incidence for the study of the hip. Its use in dysplasias and different coxopathies. *Rev Rhum Mal Osteoartic.* 1961; 28:643–652. [PubMed: 14464207]

107. Leunig M, Beaulé PE, Ganz R. The concept of femoroacetabular impingement: current status and future perspectives. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2009; 467:616–622. [PubMed: 19082681]
108. Leunig M, Beck M, Woo A, Dora C, Kerboul M, Ganz R. Acetabular rim degeneration: a constant finding in the aged hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2003; 413:201–207. [PubMed: 12897611]
109. Leunig M, Casillas MM, Hamlet M, et al. Slipped capital femoral epiphysis: early mechanical damage to the acetabular cartilage by a prominent femoral metaphysis. *Acta orthopaedica Scandinavica.* 2000; 71:370–375. [PubMed: 11028885]
110. Lewis CL, Ferris DP. Walking with increased ankle pushoff decreases hip muscle moments. *J Biomech.* 2008; 41:2082–2089. [PubMed: 18606419]
111. Lewis CL, Sahrmann SA. Acetabular labral tears. *Phys Ther.* 2006; 86:110–121. [PubMed: 16386066]
112. Macdermid JC. Critical appraisal of research evidence for its validity and usefulness. *Hand clinics.* 2009; 25:29–42. [PubMed: 19232913]
113. MacDonald Steven JGD, Ganz R. Clinical examination of the symptomatic young adult hip. *Seminars in Arthroplasty.* 1997; 8:3–9.
114. Maeyama A, Naito M, Moriyama S, et al. Periacetabular osteotomy reduces the dynamic instability of dysplastic hips. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2009; 91:1438–1442. [PubMed: 19880886]
115. Martin HD, Savage A, Braly BA, Palmer IJ, Beall DP, Kelly B. The function of the hip capsular ligaments: a quantitative report. *Arthroscopy.* 2008; 24:188–195. [PubMed: 18237703]
116. Martin R, Sekiya J. The interrater reliability of 4 clinical tests used to assess individuals with musculoskeletal hip pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2008; 38:71–77. [PubMed: 18560194]
117. Martin RL, Enseki KR, Draovitch P, Trapuzzano T, Philippon MJ. Acetabular labral tears of the hip: examination and diagnostic challenges. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2006; 36:503–515. [PubMed: 16881467]
118. Martin RL, Irrgang JJ, Sekiya JK. The diagnostic accuracy of a clinical examination in determining intra-articular hip pain for potential hip arthroscopy candidates. *Arthroscopy.* 2008; 24:1013–1018. [PubMed: 18760208]
119. Martin RL, Kelly BT, Leunig M, et al. Reliability of clinical diagnosis in intraarticular hip diseases. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2010; 18:685–690. [PubMed: 20057996]
120. Martin RL, Kelly BT, Philippon MJ. Evidence of validity for the hip outcome score. *Arthroscopy.* 2006; 22:1304–1311. [PubMed: 17157729]
121. Martin RL, Palmer I, Martin HD. Ligamentum teres: a functional description and potential clinical relevance. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2012; 20:1209–1214. [PubMed: 21932079]
122. Martin RL, Philippon MJ. Evidence of reliability and responsiveness for the hip outcome score. *Arthroscopy.* 2008; 24:676–682. [PubMed: 18514111]
123. Martin RL, Philippon MJ. Evidence of validity for the hip outcome score in hip arthroscopy. *Arthroscopy.* 2007; 23:822–826. [PubMed: 17681202]
124. Martin RL, Philippon MJ. Evidence of validity for the hip outcome score in hip arthroscopy. *Arthroscopy.* 2007; 23:822–826. erratum appears in *Arthroscopy.* 2007 Nov;23(11):1252. [PubMed: 17681202]
125. Maslowski E, Sullivan W, Forster HJ, et al. The diagnostic validity of hip provocation maneuvers to detect intra-articular hip pathology. *PM & R.* 2010; 2:174–181. [PubMed: 20359681]
126. Mason JB. Acetabular labral tears in the athlete. *Clinics in Sports Medicine.* 2001;779–790. [Review] [32 refs]. [PubMed: 11675886]
127. McCarthy J, Noble P, Aluisio FV, Schuck M, Wright J, Lee JA. Anatomy, pathologic features, and treatment of acetabular labral tears. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research.* 2003:38–47. [Review] [20 refs]. [PubMed: 12578998]
128. McCarthy JC, Busconi B. The role of hip arthroscopy in the diagnosis and treatment of hip disease. *Orthopedics.* 1995; 18(8):753–756. [PubMed: 7479416]
129. McCarthy JC, Lee J. Hip arthroscopy: indications and technical pearls. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2005; 441:180–187. [PubMed: 16331001]

130. McCarthy JC, Noble PC, Schuck MR, Wright J, Lee J. The Otto E. Aufranc Award: The role of labral lesions to development of early degenerative hip disease. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2001; 393:25–37. [PubMed: 11764355]
131. McCarthy JC, Noble PC, Schuck MR, Wright J, Lee J. The watershed labral lesion: its relationship to early arthritis of the hip. *Journal of Arthroplasty.* 2001; 16:81–87. [PubMed: 11742456]
132. Meyer DC, Beck M, Ellis T, Ganz R, Leunig M. Comparison of six radiographic projections to assess femoral head/neck asphericity. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research.* 2006; 445:181–185. [PubMed: 16456309]
133. Minardi JJ, Lander OM. Septic hip arthritis: diagnosis and arthrocentesis using bedside ultrasound. *J Emerg Med.* 2012; 43:316–318. [PubMed: 22284975]
134. Mintz DN, Hooper T, Connell D, Buly R, Padgett DE, Potter HG. Magnetic resonance imaging of the hip: detection of labral and chondral abnormalities using noncontrast imaging. *Arthroscopy.* 2005; 21:385–393. [PubMed: 15800516]
135. Mitchell B, McCrory P, Brukner P, O'Donnell J, Colson E, Howells R. Hip joint pathology: clinical presentation and correlation between magnetic resonance arthrography, ultrasound, and arthroscopic findings in 25 consecutive cases. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2003; 13:152–156. [PubMed: 12792209]
136. Mohtadi NG, Griffin DR, Pedersen ME, et al. The Development and Validation of a Self-Administered Quality-of-Life Outcome Measure for Young, Active Patients With Symptomatic Hip Disease: The International Hip Outcome Tool (iHOT-33). *Arthroscopy : the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery : official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association.* 2012; 28:595–610 e591.
137. Murphy SB, Kijewski PK, Millis MB, et al. Acetabular dysplasia in the adolescent and young adult. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1990; 261:214–223. [PubMed: 2245547]
138. Murphy SL, Lyden AK, Phillips K, Clauw DJ, Williams DA. Subgroups of older adults with osteoarthritis based upon differing comorbid symptom presentations and potential underlying pain mechanisms. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2011; 13:R135. [PubMed: 21864381]
139. Murray RO, Duncan C. Athletic activity in adolescence as an etiological factor in degenerative hip disease. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1971; 53:406–419. [PubMed: 5562367]
140. Nakanishi K, Tanaka H, Sugano N, et al. MR-based three-dimensional presentation of cartilage thickness in the femoral head. *European radiology.* 2001; 11:2178–2183. [PubMed: 11702157]
141. Narvani AA, Tsiridis E, Kendall S, Chaudhuri R, Thomas P. A preliminary report on prevalence of acetabular labrum tears in sports patients with groin pain. *Arthroscopy.* 2003; 11:403–408.
142. Nepple JJ, Carlisle JC, Nunley RM, Clohisy JC. Clinical and Radiographic Predictors of Intra-articular Hip Disease in Arthroscopy. *American Journal of Sports Medicine.* 2011; 39:296–303. [PubMed: 21098820]
143. Neumann DA. Kinesiology of the hip: a focus on muscular actions. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2010; 40:82–94. [PubMed: 20118525]
144. Neumann G, Mendicuti AD, Zou KH, et al. Prevalence of labral tears and cartilage loss in patients with mechanical symptoms of the hip: evaluation using MR arthrography. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage.* 2007; 15:909–917. [PubMed: 17383908]
145. Ng VY, Arora N, Best TM, et al. Efficacy of Surgery for Femoroacetabular Impingement: A Systematic Review. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010; 38:2337–2345. [PubMed: 20489213]
146. Nilsdotter AK, Lohmander LS, Klassbo M, Roos EM. Hip disability and osteoarthritis outcome score (HOOS)--validity and responsiveness in total hip replacement. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2003; 4:10. [PubMed: 12777182]
147. Nogier A, Bonin N, May O, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of mechanical hip pathology in adults under 50years of age. Prospective series of 292 cases: Clinical and radiological aspects and physiopathological review. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2010; 96:53–58.
148. Noguchi Y, Hiromasa M, et al. Cartilage and labrum degeneration in the dysplastic hip generally originates in the anterosuperior weight-bearing area: An arthroscopic observation. *Arthroscopy.* 1999; 15:496–506. [PubMed: 10424553]

149. Notzli HP, Wyss TF, Stoecklin CH, Schmid MR, Treiber K, Hodler J. The contour of the femoral head-neck junction as a predictor for the risk of anterior impingement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2002; 84-B:556–560. [PubMed: 12043778]
150. Ochoa LM, Dawson L, Patzkowski JC, Hsu JR. Radiographic prevalence of femoroacetabular impingement in a young population with hip complaints is high. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2010; 468:2710–2714. [PubMed: 20107939]
151. Palastanga, N.; Field, D.; Soames, R. *Anatomy and Human Movement: Structure and Function.* 5. Butterworth-Heinemann; 2006.
152. Parvizi J, Leunig M, Ganz R. Femoroacetabular impingement. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2007; 15:561–570. [PubMed: 17761612]
153. Peelle MW, Della Rocca GJ, Maloney WJ, Curry MC, Clohisey JC. Acetabular and femoral radiographic abnormalities associated with labral tears. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research.* 2005; 441:327–333. [PubMed: 16331022]
154. Philippon M, Schenker M, Briggs K, Kuppersmith D. Femoroacetabular impingement in 45 professional athletes: associated pathologies and return to sport following arthroscopic decompression. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2007; 15:908–914. [PubMed: 17479250]
155. Philippon MJ. The role of arthroscopic thermal capsulorrhaphy in the hip. *Clin Sports Med.* 2001; 20:817–829. [PubMed: 11675889]
156. Philippon MJ, Kuppersmith DA, Wolff AB, Briggs KK. Arthroscopic findings following traumatic hip dislocation in 14 professional athletes. *Arthroscopy.* 2009; 25:169–174. [PubMed: 19171277]
157. Philippon MJ, Maxwell RB, Johnston TL, Schenker M, Briggs KK. Clinical presentation of femoroacetabular impingement. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2007; 15:1041–1047. [PubMed: 17497126]
158. Phillips, B.; Ball, C.; Sackett, D. *Centre for Evidence-Based-Medicine.* Oxford: United Kingdom; 2009.
159. Plotz GM, Brossmann J, Schunke M, Heller M, Kurz B, Hassenpflug J. Magnetic resonance arthrography of the acetabular labrum. Macroscopic and histological correlation in 20 cadavers. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2000; 82:426–432. [PubMed: 10813184]
160. Pollard TC, Villar RN, Norton MR, et al. Genetic influences in the aetiology of femoroacetabular impingement: a sibling study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2010; 92:209–216. [PubMed: 20130310]
161. Pollard TC, Villar RN, Norton MR, et al. Femoroacetabular impingement and classification of the cam deformity: the reference interval in normal hips. *Acta Orthop.* 2010; 81:134–141. [PubMed: 20175650]
162. Potter BK, Freedman BA, Andersen RC, Bojescul JA, Kuklo TR, Murphy KP. Correlation of Short Form-36 and Disability Status With Outcomes of Arthroscopic Acetabular Labral Debridement. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine.* 2005; 33:864–870. [PubMed: 15827367]
163. Pua YH, Wrigley TV, Cowan SM, Bennell KL. Intrarater test-retest reliability of hip range of motion and hip muscle strength measurements in persons with hip osteoarthritis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008; 89:1146–1154. [PubMed: 18503813]
164. Rab GT. The geometry of slipped capital femoral epiphysis: implications for movement, impingement, and corrective osteotomy. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 1999; 19:419–424. [PubMed: 10412987]
165. Rakhra KS, Sheikh AM, Allen D, Beale PE. Comparison of MRI alpha angle measurement planes in femoroacetabular impingement. *Clinical orthopaedics and related research.* 2009; 467:660–665. [PubMed: 19037709]
166. Rao J, Zhou YX, Villar RN. Injury to the ligamentum teres. Mechanism, findings, and results of treatment. *Clinics in Sports Medicine.* 2001; 20(4):791–799. [Review] [16 refs]. [PubMed: 11675887]
167. Rennie AM. Familial slipped upper femoral epiphysis. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - British Volume.* 1967; 49:535–539.
168. Reynolds D, Lucas J, Klaue K. Retroversion of the acetabulum. A cause of hip pain. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1999; 81:281–288. [PubMed: 10204935]

169. Risberg MA, Holm I, Myklebust G, et al. Neuromuscular training versus strength training during first 6 months after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a randomized clinical trial. *Phys Ther.* 2007; 87:737–750. [PubMed: 17442840]
170. Robertson WJ, Kadrmas WR, Kelly BT. Arthroscopic management of labral tears in the hip: a systematic review of the literature. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research.* 2007; 455:88–92. [Review] [41 refs]. [PubMed: 17119461]
171. Rothenfluh DA, Reedwisch D, Muller U, Ganz R, Tennant A, Leunig M. Construct validity of a 12-item WOMAC for assessment of femoro-acetabular impingement and osteoarthritis of the hip. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2008; 16:1032–1038. [PubMed: 18602281]
172. Safran MR, Giordano G, Lindsey DP, et al. Strains across the acetabular labrum during hip motion: a cadaveric model. *Am J Sports Med.* 2011; 39(Suppl):92S–102S. [PubMed: 21709038]
173. Sampson TG. Arthroscopic treatment for chondral lesions of the hip. *Clinics in sports medicine.* 2011; 30:331–348. [PubMed: 21419959]
174. Schenker ML, Martin RL, Weiland DE, Philippon MJ. Current trends in hip arthroscopy: a review of injury diagnosis, techniques and outcome scoring. *Clin Opin Orthop.* 2005; 16:89–94.
175. Schmerl MH, Pollard W. Hoskins Labral injuries of the hip: a review of diagnosis and management. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2005; 28:632. [PubMed: 16226633]
176. Seldes RM, Tan V, Hunt J, Katz M, Winiarsky R, Fitzgerald RH Jr. Anatomy, histologic features, and vascularity of the adult acetabular labrum. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2001; 382:232–240. [PubMed: 11153993]
177. Shindle MK, Ranawat AS, Kelly BT. Diagnosis and management of traumatic and atraumatic hip instability in the athletic patient. *Clin Sports Med.* 2006; 25:309–326. ix–x. [PubMed: 16638494]
178. Shu B, Safran MR. Hip instability: anatomic and clinical considerations of traumatic and atraumatic instability. *Clinics in sports medicine.* 2011; 30:349–367. [PubMed: 21419960]
179. Simoneau GG, Hoenig KJ, Lepley JE, Papanek PE. Influence of hip position and gender on active hip internal and external rotation. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy.* 1998; 28:158–164. [PubMed: 9742472]
180. Singleton MC, LeVeau BF. The hip joint: structure, stability, and stress; a review. *Phys Ther.* 1975; 55:957–973. [PubMed: 1161812]
181. Sink EL, Gralla J, Ryba A, Dayton M. Clinical presentation of femoroacetabular impingement in adolescents. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2008; 28:806–811. [PubMed: 19034169]
182. Sink EL, Zaltz I, Heare T, Dayton M. Acetabular cartilage and labral damage observed during surgical hip dislocation for stable slipped capital femoral epiphysis. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2010; 30:26–30. [PubMed: 20032738]
183. Smith J, Hurdle MF, Weingarten TN. Accuracy of sonographically guided intra-articular injections in the native adult hip. *Journal of ultrasound in medicine : official journal of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine.* 2009; 28:329–335. [PubMed: 19244069]
184. Stratford PW, Balsor BE. A comparison of make and break tests using a hand-held dynamometer and the Kin-Com. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy.* 1994; 19(1):28–32. [PubMed: 8156061]
185. Stull JD, Philippon MJ, LaPrade RF. “At-risk” positioning and hip biomechanics of the Peewee ice hockey sprint start. *Am J Sports Med.* 2011; 39(Suppl):29S–35S. [PubMed: 21709029]
186. Suzuki S, Awaya G, Okada Y, Maekawa M, Ikeda T, Tada H. Arthroscopic diagnosis of ruptured acetabular labrum. *Acta Orthop Scand.* 1986; 57:513–515. [PubMed: 3577718]
187. Takechi H, Nagashima H, Ito S. Intra-articular pressure of the hip joint outside and inside the limbus. *Nippon Seikeigeka Gakkai Zasshi.* 1982; 56:529–536. [PubMed: 7175292]
188. Tan V, Seldes RM, Katz MA, Freedhand AM, Klimkiewicz JJ, Fitzgerald RH Jr. Contribution of acetabular labrum to articulating surface area and femoral head coverage in adult hip joints: an anatomic study in cadavera. *Am J Orthop.* 2001; 30:809–812. [PubMed: 11757858]
189. Tannast M, Goricki D, Beck M, Murphy SB, Siebenrock KA. Hip damage occurs at the zone of femoroacetabular impingement. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research.* 2008; 466:273–280. [PubMed: 18196406]

190. Tannast M, Kubiak-Langer M, Langlotz F, Puls M, Murphy SB, Siebenrock KA. Noninvasive three-dimensional assessment of femoroacetabular impingement. *J Orthop Res.* 2007; 25:122–131. [PubMed: 17054112]
191. Telleria JJ, Lindsey DP, Giori NJ, Safran MR. An anatomic arthroscopic description of the hip capsular ligaments for the hip arthroscopist. *Arthroscopy : the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery : official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association.* 2011; 27:628–636.
192. Thorborg K, Holmich P, Christensen R, Petersen J, Roos EM. The Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score (HAGOS): development and validation according to the COSMIN checklist. *British journal of sports medicine.* 2011; 45:478–491. [PubMed: 21478502]
193. Thorborg K, Holmich P, Christensen R, Petersen J, Roos EM. The Copenhagen Hip and Groin Outcome Score (HAGOS): development and validation according to the COSMIN checklist. *British journal of sports medicine.* 2011; 45:478–491. [PubMed: 21478502]
194. Tibor LM, Liebert G, Sutter R, Impellizzeri FM, Leunig M. Two or More Impingement and/or Instability Deformities Are Often Present in Patients With Hip Pain. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2013 Epub ahead of print.
195. Tonnis, D. Congenital dysplasia and dislocation of the hip in children and adults. Berlin, New York: Springer; 1987.
196. Tonnis D, Heinecke A. Acetabular and femoral anteversion: relationship with osteoarthritis of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1999; 81:1747–1770. [PubMed: 10608388]
197. Toomayan GA, Holman WR, Major NM, Kozlowicz SM, Vail TP. Sensitivity of MR arthrography in the evaluation of acetabular labral tears. *AJR American journal of roentgenology.* 2006; 186:449–453. [PubMed: 16423951]
198. Troelsen A. Surgical advances in periacetabular osteotomy for treatment of hip dysplasia in adults. *Acta Orthop Suppl.* 2009; 80:1–33. [PubMed: 19757731]
199. Van dV S, Fillman R, Yandow S. The aetiology of protrusio acetabuli. Literature review from 1824 to 2006. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2006; 72:524–529. [PubMed: 17152413]
200. Ward SR, Winters TM, Blemker SS. The architectural design of the gluteal muscle group: implications for movement and rehabilitation. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2010; 40:95–102. [PubMed: 20118527]
201. Wiberg G. The anatomy and roentgenographic appearance of a normal hip joint. *Acta Chir Scand.* 1939; 83:7–38.
202. Widler KS, Glatthorn JF, Bizzini M, et al. Assessment of hip abductor muscle strength. A validity and reliability study. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery.* 2009; 91:2666–2672. [PubMed: 19884441]
203. World Health Organization. International Classification of Disease. ICD-10. Geneva, Switzerland: 2005.
204. World Health Organization. International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health. Geneva, Switzerland: 2010.
205. Wynne-Davies R. Acetabular dysplasia and familial joint laxity: two etiological factors in congenital dislocation of the hip. A review of 589 patients and their families. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery - British Volume.* 1970; 52:704–716.
206. Wyss TF, Clark JM, Weishaupt D, Notzli HP. Correlation between internal rotation and bony anatomy in the hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2007; 460:152–158. [PubMed: 17290151]
207. Youdas JW, Mraz ST, Norstad BJ, Schinke JJ, Hollman JH. Determining meaningful changes in hip abductor muscle strength obtained by handheld dynamometry. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 2008; 24:215–220. [PubMed: 18569858]
208. Youdas JW, Mraz ST, Norstad BJ, Schinke JJ, Hollman JH. Determining meaningful changes in pelvic-on-femoral position during the Trendelenburg test. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation.* 2007; 16:326–335. [PubMed: 18246899]
209. Yuan BJ, Bartelt RB, Levy BA, Bond JR, Trousdale RT, Sierra RJ. Decreased range of motion is associated with structural hip deformity in asymptomatic adolescent athletes. *Am J of Sports Med.* 2013; 41:1519–1525. [PubMed: 23698387]

210. Zaragoza E, Lattanzio PJ, Beaulé PE. Magnetic resonance imaging with gadolinium arthrography to assess acetabular cartilage delamination. *Hip International*. 2009; 19:18–23. [PubMed: 19455497]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript