Published in final edited form as:

Sex Transm Dis. 2015 May ; 42(5): 281–285. doi:10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000269.

Sexual Behaviors and HIV/Syphilis Testing Among Transgender Individuals in China: Implications for Expanding HIV Testing Services

John Best^{1,2,*}, Weiming Tang, PhD^{1,3,*}, Ye Zhang, MS³, Larry Han⁴, Fengying Liu, MS³, Shujie Huang, MD³, Bin Yang, MS³, Chongyi Wei, DrPH⁵, and Joseph D. Tucker, MD, PhD¹

¹University of North Carolina Project-China Office, Guangzhou, China

²Department of Medicine, Medical School, University of California-San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

³STD Control Department, Guangdong Provincial Center for Skin Diseases and STI Control & Prevention, Guangzhou, China

⁴Department of Biostatistics, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

⁵Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics & Global Health University of California-San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

Abstract

Background—HIV and syphilis are disproportionately common among transgender individuals globally, yet few studies have investigated transgender HIV/syphilis risk and testing in low and middle-income nations. We conducted an online survey of men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgender individuals to examine sexual behaviors, and HIV/syphilis testing in China.

Methods—We recruited MSM and transgender individuals from two-major Chinese lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) web platforms. Chi-square and logistic regression were used to compare risk behaviors, HIV and syphilis testing history, and prevalence between transgender individuals and other MSM.

Results—Among the 1320 participants, 52 (3.9%) self-identified as transgender. Demographics, including education, employment, and marital status were similar between both groups, while transgender individuals were older. Condomless anal intercourse rate was comparable between the groups. Transgender individuals were less likely to report ever testing for HIV (34.6% VS 62.0%) and syphilis (15.7% VS 31.2%) with adjusted ORs of 0.36 (95% CI 0.20–0.65) and 0.42 (95% CI 0.20–0.91), respectively. We found a trend towards higher HIV prevalence among transgender individuals (11.1% VS 5.7%, $P=0.12$).

Correspond to: Joseph D. Tucker, University of North Carolina Project-China, No. 2 Lujing Road, Guangzhou, China, 510095, Telephone Number: +8687255824, Fax Number: +8687255824, jdtucker@med.unc.edu.

*John Best and Weiming Tang equally contributed to this abstract.

Conflicts of Interest: None of the authors declare any conflicts of interest.

Conclusion—Transgender individuals have suboptimal HIV and syphilis testing rates in China. Given the substantial risk behaviors and burden of HIV/STI in the general Chinese MSM population and lack of knowledge about transgender individuals, enhanced HIV/syphilis testing programs for transgender individuals in China are needed.

Keywords

Transgender; HIV; Syphilis; Testing; China

Introduction

Although transgender individuals have substantial HIV risk¹, they are persistently under-represented in HIV epidemiology research², surveillance programs¹, and interventions³. The worldwide HIV prevalence among transgender individuals is approximately 19.1% (95% CI 17.4–20.7)¹ and HIV prevalence rates of over 25% has been found in some countries^{1, 4}. Most national and local surveillance programs lack transgender specific data collection⁵. In addition, few interventions, such as HIV testing promotion campaigns, have been implemented to specifically target transgender individuals⁶.

Studies among transgender individuals have found a wide range of lifetime HIV testing rates, ranging from 54% lifetime testers in Canada to 81% in El Salvador⁷. Qualitative research from outside China suggests that social discrimination and stigma⁸, lack of social support⁹, accessibility of health care (include HIV testing)⁴, and fear of disclosure¹⁰ contribute to poor HIV test uptake among transgender individuals.

Recognizing the importance of transgender individuals, the 2014 World Health Organization (WHO) HIV guidelines included transgender individuals as one key population not receiving adequate health services^{11, 12}. HIV service provision for transgender individuals may be especially limited in low and middle-income settings where there less is known about these individuals.

Under-representation of transgender individuals in research may also be related to a lack of effective sampling strategies. Sampling methods such as convenience sampling, respondent-driven-sampling, and snowball-sampling have proven to be effective sampling methods for recruiting men who have sex with men (MSM)^{13, 14}. However, small absolute numbers of transgender individuals in many communities as well as social and structural barriers (including stigma¹⁵, discrimination¹⁶, and criminalization¹⁷) complicate survey implementation among transgender individuals¹⁸. Online surveys may help decrease some of the barriers associated with self-identifying as transgender in formal clinical settings.

We aimed to understand and compare the socio-demographics, sexual behaviors, and HIV and syphilis prevalence and testing history of transgender individuals and non-transgender MSM using online survey data.

Materials and Methods

We conducted an online survey in May 2013 among Chinese MSM, including MSM who identify as transgender. The largest lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) web portal in Guangzhou (<http://www.GZTZ.org>) as well as a well-known web portal in Chongqing (<http://www.manbf.net>) hosted our survey. These web portals are online entry points for finding partners, exchanging news, social networking, and banner advertisements for LGBT specific products and research. Previous studies show that Chinese LGBT web portal users tended to be slightly younger and better educated than non-portal users¹⁹. Eligibility criteria included being born biologically male, 16 years of age or older, lifetime history of anal sex with a man, and provided informed consent prior to the survey. Ethics review committees in China (Guangdong Provincial Center for Skin Diseases and STI Control) and the US (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill) provided study approval and participants completed an online informed consent process.

Survey development

To inform survey development, we partnered with local stakeholders, sociologists from the Chinese national survey of sexual behavior, and 60 MSM from the community. MSM community-based organizations reviewed our draft survey. Prior to the final survey launch, we disseminated a pilot survey to 201 MSM/transgender individuals (data not included in final analysis). We used a checklist for reporting results of Internet e-surveys (CHERRIES) throughout the process to improve web survey quality and reporting²⁰.

Measures

Our socio-demographic information included age, occupation, living status, marital status, education, and income. Participants were asked about their sexual orientation and whether they currently identify as male, female, or transgender (kua xing bie, meaning “to go beyond sex”). In addition, participants were also asked about the gender of their regular sexual partners, number of sexual partners in the last three months, and history of receptive or insertive condomless anal sex with male and condomless anal sex with female partners. HIV and syphilis testing history were assessed, including testing frequency and history of infection. Participants were asked whether they ever tested for HIV, and what the testing results were (positive, negative or unknown). HIV prevalence was defined as the number of HIV positive individuals divided by HIV ever testers who know their HIV testing results.

Data analysis

Data was cleaned and re-coded using Microsoft Excel and SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). We had minimal missing data and thus used the complete-subject analysis procedure²¹. Descriptive analysis was performed to describe HIV prevalence and the distribution of socio-demographic and high-risk behaviors of the participants. Chi-square test was used to compare HIV prevalence between transgender individuals and non-transgender MSM. Logistic regression was used to compare the difference between transgender individuals and other MSM, while age (continuous), income (<500/501–810/811–1300/>1300 USDs), and marital status (Never married, married, divorced, or widowed) were adjusted for in the multivariate logistic regression models.

Role of the funding source

The funders of the study played no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or presentation of results. The authors had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

A total of 1,913 MSM fulfilled our survey eligibility criteria and 1,320 (69.0%) completed the online survey. The sociodemographic and behavioral characteristics of all participants are found in Table 1. In our survey, fifty-two (3.9%) survey participants self-identified as transgender. No participants identified as female.

Over half of the participants were between the ages of 26 and 35 years old and more than three quarters were under 35 years old. Most participants were single (78.0%), identified as gay (73.5%), graduated from college (67.6%), and worked full-time (80.9%) (Table 1). The results of table 2 demonstrate that transgender individuals were similar to other MSM in terms of social-demographic characteristics (Table 2).

Among all participants' main sexual partner, 85.1% were male, 4.8% were female and 10.1% were transgender. Compared to non-transgender MSM, transgender individuals were significantly more likely to have other transgender individuals as their primary partner (aOR 8.1195% CI 2.56–25.69). In addition, transgender individuals were less likely to live with their female partners (aOR 0.10, 95% CI 0.02–0.40), compared to living alone (Table 2).

One hundred and fifty six (11.8%) participants reported condomless sex with women in the last three months. Half of the participants (51.1%) reported condomless anal sex (UAI) in the last three months, with slightly more reporting condomless insertive (42.3%) than receptive (40.5%) sex. The results of multivariate logistic regressions suggested that transgender individuals had similar behaviors such as condomless sex with women in the last three months and condomless anal sex with men in the last three months as non-transgender MSM.

In our study, 793 (60.7%) reported lifetime HIV testing. Among those who ever tested for HIV, the self-reported HIV prevalence was 11.1% among transgender individuals and 5.7% among non-transgender MSM ($P=0.12$). In addition, 515 (39.7%) reported lifetime history of non-HIV STIs testing. The results of multivariate analysis shown that transgender individuals were significantly less likely to have ever tested for HIV (aOR 0.36, 95% CI 0.20–0.65) compared to non-transgender MSM. Among all participants, 403 (30.6%) reported testing for syphilis in their lifetime, with 15.7% for transgender individuals and 31.2% for non-transgender MSM, with adjusted OR of 0.42(95% CI 0.20–0.91) (Figure 1).

Conclusions

Globally, transgender individuals have long been overlooked in public health research and practice^{22, 23}. Their burden of HIV and other STIs is already high, and this knowledge gap has constrains the development of effective public health responses¹. The WHO guidelines strongly recommends that transgender individuals receive HIV testing and counseling

(HTC) programs⁴, yet our research suggests poor HIV and STI test uptake among transgender individuals in China.

Transgender individuals had similar rates of high-risk sexual behaviors (such as condomless anal sex with men and women, and condomless vaginal sex with women) as non-transgender MSM. This has significant public health implications, given the already high prevalence of both high-risk sexual behavior and HIV infection among Chinese MSM^{24, 25}. Chinese national and provincial guidelines for HIV behavioral intervention do not mention transgender individuals⁵. The high rates of condomless sex among transgender individuals warrant more intensive interventions.

Our study suggests that transgender individuals have lower HIV testing uptake compared to non-transgender MSM. We found HIV testing rates among transgender individuals substantially lower than reported among both Canadian²⁶ and El Salvadorian transgender individuals⁷. Research among MSM in China finds stigma²⁷ and lack of a cohesive community to provide social support in China contribute to decreased utilization of services. These factors may also contribute to low-test uptake among transgender individuals, but further research is needed.

Lower syphilis testing uptake was also observed among transgender individuals. Concurrent syphilis infection increases the likelihood of HIV acquisition²⁸ and transmission (ref), creating a lethal synergy. Current syphilis test promotion campaigns must be expanded. In addition to scaling up these campaigns, the introduction of social marketing, crowdsourcing, and community/neighborhood-based HIV testing promotion strategies may play an important role in increasing STIs testing uptake^{6, 29}.

One study strength was the online sampling method. By using online sampling, some of the social and structural barriers that limit efforts to reach transgender individuals were reduced. Another study strength was our ability to simultaneously launch the survey at two sites. A scalable online survey could allow for efficient sampling of transgender individuals across China or in other contexts where transgender individuals face severe discrimination. This is important for targeting numerically small, but important, subpopulations such as transgender individuals.

Our study has several limitations. First our study enrolled a small total number of transgender individuals, and we did not capture female to male transgender individuals or non-internet users. This small sample size limited our power to explore more associations in this population, as well as the ability to adjust for potential confounders. Even with such a small sample size, our results still provide primary data on transgender HIV/STI testing and sexual health behaviors in China. Second, participants were recruited online, and may not represent the general MSM and transgender populations in China. There should be caution in extending our results to other populations. In addition, the response rate between transgender individuals and non-transgender MSM may be different, which may have further biased our study results. However, since no surveillance data on transgender individuals is available in China, we could not compare our study results with others, and we do cannot account for bias at this time. Thus we are unable to generalize our findings to the

entire transgender community. Further research should verify the findings of this online-based study. Third, 31.0% of the eligible participants withdrew from the survey, which may lead to a potential selection bias. However, our survey has similar completion rate to other online surveys³⁰. We doubt that survey non-completion would be correlated with sexual identity. Our fourth limitation was the social desirability bias of information such as HIV testing history, HIV serostatus, and HIV related risk behaviors. We used web-based data collection method to help minimize the bias. However, the lower self-reported testing rate among the participants, particularly among transgender individuals, may indicate that this bias is minimal. Furthermore, our study was anonymous which may further reduce social desirability bias. Lastly, this survey did not include detailed information for transgender individuals about hormones, surgery, etc, which further research should investigate.

In summary, transgender individuals in China may have substantially lower rates of both HIV and syphilis testing compared to non-transgender MSM. We found trends toward higher HIV infection among transgender individuals. Transgender individuals had similar rates of condomless sex with men and women as non-transgender MSM, but it is noteworthy that MSM are already an extremely high-risk group in China. These findings are especially concerning given the lack of research on transgender individuals in China. Further research is needed to confirm the findings of this study and better characterize the sexual health and infection prevalence within this population. Expansion of HIV and STI testing programs for transgender individuals, and expansion of behavioral change interventions should also be priorities. Further studies should also investigate stigma and social discrimination, which was not a part of our study.

Acknowledgments

Source of Funding: This study was supported with funding from the National Institute of Health, American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, and the Morehead-Cain Foundation.

Thanks to Roger Meng and Dr. Kate Muessig for assistance with survey design and Dr. Paul Volberding for assistance with manuscript editing and guidance. This research was supported by the NIH FIC (1D43TW009532-01), FIC (1K01TW00820001A1), FIC (R25TW0093), NIMH (R00MH093201), NICHD (R24 HD056670), NIAID (1R01AI114310-01), NIAID (5P30AI050410-13), and the American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, the Morehead-Cain Foundation, and an NIH training grant (5T32AI007001-35). We would like to thank GZTZ, Chongqing MSM Community Support Center, the Guangdong Provincial Center for Skin Diseases and STI Control, SESH Global (www.seshglobal.org) and UNC Project-China.

References

1. Baral SD, Poteat T, Strömdahl S, Wirtz AL, Guadamuz TE, Beyrer C. Worldwide burden of HIV in transgender women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The lancet infectious diseases*. 2013; 13(3):214–22. [PubMed: 23260128]
2. Clements-Nolle K, Marx R, Guzman R, Katz M. HIV prevalence, risk behaviors, health care use, and mental health status of transgender persons: Implications for public health intervention. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2001; 91(6):915. [PubMed: 11392934]
3. Bocking WO, Rosser S, Coleman E. Transgender HIV prevention: Community involvement and empowerment. *International Journal of Transgenderism*. 1999; 3 (1):2.
4. Bauer GR, Hammond R, Travers R, Kaay M, Hohenadel KM, Boyce M. “I don’t think this is theoretical; this is our lives”: How erasure impacts health care for transgender people. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care*. 2009; 20(5):348–61. [PubMed: 19732694]
5. NCAIDS CC. National HIV sentinel surveillance embodiment. China: China CDC; 2011.

6. Wei C, Herrick A, Raymond HF, Anglemyer A, Gerbase A, Noar SM. Social marketing interventions to increase HIV/STI testing uptake among men who have sex with men and male-to-female transgender women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011;9.
7. Barrington C, Wejnert C, Guardado ME, Nieto AI, Bailey GP. Social network characteristics and HIV vulnerability among transgender persons in San Salvador: identifying opportunities for HIV prevention strategies. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2012; 16 (1):214–24. [PubMed: 21538082]
8. Clark ME, Landers S, Linde R, Sperber J. The GLBT Health Access Project: a state-funded effort to improve access to care. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2001; 91(6):895–6. [PubMed: 11392930]
9. Kenagy GP, Bostwick WB. Health and social service needs of transgender people in Chicago. *International Journal of Transgenderism*. 2005; 8(2–3):57–66.
10. Maguen S, Shipherd JC, Harris HN, Welch LP. Prevalence and predictors of disclosure of transgender identity. *International Journal of Sexual Health*. 2007; 19 (1):3–13.
11. Organization WH. Prevention and Treatment of HIV and Other Sexually Transmitted Infections Among Men who Have Sex with Men and Transgender People: Recommendations for a Public Health Approach, 2011. World Health Organization; 2011.
12. Organization WH. Guide for monitoring and evaluating national HIV testing and counselling (HTC) programmes: field-test version. 2011.
13. Tang W, Yang H, Mahapatra T, et al. Feasibility of Recruiting a Diverse Sample of Men Who Have Sex with Men: Observation from Nanjing, China. *PloS one*. 2013; 8(11):e77645. [PubMed: 24244280]
14. Kendall C, Kerr LR, Gondim RC, et al. An empirical comparison of respondent-driven sampling, time location sampling, and snowball sampling for behavioral surveillance in men who have sex with men, Fortaleza, Brazil. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2008; 12(1):97–104.
15. Kosenko K, Rintamaki L, Raney S, Maness K. Transgender patient perceptions of stigma in health care contexts. *Medical care*. 2013; 51(9):819–22. [PubMed: 23929399]
16. Grant, JM.; Mottet, LA.; Tanis, J.; Herman, J.; Harrison, J.; Keisling, M. National Transgender Discrimination Survey Report on health and health care. National Center for Transgender Equality and National Gay and Lesbian Task Force; Washington, DC: 2010. p. 1-23.
17. Hunt, J.; Moodie-Mills, A. The Unfair Criminalization of Gay and Transgender Youth. Center for American Progress; 2012. p. 1-3.
18. Kenagy GP. Transgender health: Findings from two needs assessment studies in Philadelphia. *Health & social work*. 2005; 30(1):19–26. [PubMed: 15847234]
19. Zhang D, Bi P, Lv F, Zhang J, Hiller JE. Differences between Internet and community samples of MSM: implications for behavioral surveillance among MSM in China. *AIDS care*. 2008; 20(9): 1128–37. [PubMed: 18825519]
20. Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *J Med Internet Res*. 2004; 6(3):e34. [PubMed: 15471760]
21. Rothman, KJ.; Greenland, S.; Lash, TL. Modern epidemiology. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008.
22. Jobson GA, Theron LB, Kaggwa JK, Kim H-J. Transgender in Africa: Invisible, inaccessible, or ignored? SAHARA-J: Journal of Social Aspects of HIV/AIDS. 2012; 9(3):160–3.
23. Namaste, V. Invisible lives: The erasure of transsexual and transgendered people. University of Chicago Press; 2000.
24. Chow EP, Wilson DP, Zhang L. Patterns of condom use among men who have sex with men in China: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2012; 16(3):653–63. [PubMed: 21461948]
25. Zhang L, Chow EP, Jing J, et al. HIV prevalence in China: integration of surveillance data and a systematic review. *The Lancet infectious diseases*. 2013; 13 (11):955–63. [PubMed: 24107261]
26. Bauer GR, Travers R, Scanlon K, Coleman TA. High heterogeneity of HIV-related sexual risk among transgender people in Ontario, Canada: a province-wide respondent-driven sampling survey. *BMC public health*. 2012; 12(1):292. [PubMed: 22520027]

27. Feng Y, Wu Z, Detels R. Evolution of MSM community and experienced stigma among MSM in Chengdu, China. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999)*. 2010; 53(Suppl 1):S98. [PubMed: 20104118]
28. Solomon MM, Mayer KH, Glidden DV, et al. Syphilis Predicts HIV Incidence Among Men and Transgender Women Who Have Sex With Men in a Preexposure Prophylaxis Trial. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2014:ciu450.
29. Chamie G, Kwarisiima D, Clark TD, et al. Leveraging rapid community-based HIV testing campaigns for non-communicable diseases in rural Uganda. *PloS one*. 2012; 7(8):e43400. [PubMed: 22916256]
30. Lim SH, Guadamuz TE, Wei C, Chan R, Koe S. Factors associated with unprotected receptive anal intercourse with internal ejaculation among men who have sex with men in a large internet sample from Asia. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2012; 16 (7):1979–87. [PubMed: 22714116]
31. Crutzen R, Göritz AS. Social desirability and self-reported health risk behaviors in web-based research: three longitudinal studies. *BMC Public Health*. 2010; 10(1):720. [PubMed: 21092267]

Summary

An online survey of men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgender individuals in China found lower HIV/STD testing history in transgender individuals but similar condomless anal intercourse rates.

Figure 1.

Table 1

Social-demographic and HIV related risk behaviors of MSM and transgender individuals who attended the online survey conducted in Guangzhou and Chongqing in 2013, China (N=1,320)

Variables	Total	Percentage (%)
Age		
16–25 years old	310	23.5
26–35 years old	745	56.4
36–45	231	17.5
>45	34	2.6
Marital Status		
Single	1029	78.0
Married	201	15.2
Divorced/Widows	89	6.8
Education		
High School and/or Technical School	261	19.8
College	892	67.6
Graduate Degree	167	12.7
Occupation		
Student	120	9.2
Full-Time	1053	80.9
Part-Time	58	4.5
Unemployed	71	5.5
Living Situation		
Alone	458	38.0
Boyfriend or Male Partner	193	16.0
Friends or Family	440	36.5
Wife, Girlfriend or Female Partner	115	9.5
Monthly Income		
<500USD	363	27.6
500–810 USD	379	28.8
811–1300USD	295	22.4
>1300USD	278	21.1
Gender Identity		
Male	1268	96.1
Transgender	52	3.9
Sexual Orientation		
Homosexual	963	73.5
Bisexual	347	26.5
Current Partners Gender		
Transgender	69	10.1
Male	583	85.1
Female	33	4.8

Variables	Total	Percentage (%)
Sexual Orientation Disclosure		
No	655	49.7
Yes	660	50.0
Self Reported HIV Status		
Positive	46	5.8
Negative or Unknown	747	94.2
Non-HIV STIs History		
Yes	153	11.4
No or Unknown	1189	88.6
HIV Testing Lifetime		
Yes	793	60.7
No or Unknown	514	39.3
STIs Testing Lifetime		
Yes	515	39.7
No or Unknown	782	61.6
Syphilis Testing Lifetime		
Yes	403	30.6
No or Unknown	913	69.4
Condomless Receptive Anal Sex in past 3 months		
Yes	534	40.5
No	786	59.5
Condomless Insertive Anal Sex in past 3 months		
Yes	558	42.3
No	762	57.7
Condomless Anal Sex with Men in past 3 months		
Yes	675	51.1
No	645	48.9
Condomless Intercourse with Women in past 3 months		
Yes	156	11.8
No	1164	88.2

Table 2
Correlates of transgender in an online survey conducted in Guangzhou and Chongqing in 2013, China (N=1,320)

Variables	Total			Crude Model			Adjusted Model*		
	N	n	%	Odds Ratio	95% CI	p	Odds Ratio	95% CI	p
Overall	1320	52	3.9						
Primary Partner									
Male	69	3	4.3	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Female	583	17	2.9	1.51	0.43 – 5.30	0.29	1.39	0.32 – 6.10	0.30
Transgender	33	7	21.2	8.96	3.42 – 23.50	<0.001	8.11	2.56 – 25.69	<0.001
Condomless Sex with Women past 3 months									
No	1164	45	3.9	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Yes	156	7	4.5	1.17	0.52 – 2.64	0.71	0.9	0.35 – 2.30	0.83
Living Situation									
Alone	458	5	1.1	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Family or Friends	440	25	5.7	0.71	0.32 – 1.57	0.09	0.45	0.13 – 1.58	0.12
Male Partner	193	9	4.7	0.58	0.22 – 1.50	0.54	0.59	0.20 – 1.77	0.28
Female Partner	115	9	7.8	0.13	0.04 – 0.40	<0.001	0.1	0.02 – 0.40	<0.001
Number of Male Sex Partners Last 3 Months									
0	525	17	3.2	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
1	470	20	4.3	0.63	0.30 – 1.33	0.44	0.65	0.31 – 1.36	0.42
2 to 5	56	2	3.6	0.84	0.41 – 1.72	0.78	0.87	0.42 – 1.80	0.77
>6	259	13	5.0	0.7	0.15 – 3.20	0.84	0.76	0.16 – 3.49	0.91
Condomless Insertive Anal Sex Last 3 months									
No	762	30	3.9	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Yes	558	22	3.9	1	0.57 – 1.76	1	0.96	0.55 – 1.69	0.89
Condomless Receptive Anal Sex Last 3 months									
No	786	26	3.3	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Yes	534	26	4.9	1.5	0.86 – 2.61	0.15	1.49	0.85 – 2.62	0.16
Condomless Anal Sex with Men Last 3 months									
No	645	24	3.7	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Yes	675	28	4.1	1.12	0.64 – 1.95	0.69	1.09	0.62 – 1.91	0.76

Variables	Total			Transgende r			Crude Model			Adjusted Model*		
	N	n	%	Odds Ratio	95% CI	p	Odds Ratio	95% CI	p	Odds Ratio	95% CI	p
Condomless Anal Sex with Women Last 3 months												
No	1154	45	3.9	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Yes	156	7	4.5	1.17	0.52–2.64	0.71	0.9	0.35–2.30	0.83			
Lifetime HIV Test												
No	514	32	6.2	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Yes	793	18	2.3	0.35	0.19–0.63	<0.001	0.36	0.20–0.65	<0.001			
Lifetime Non-HIV STD Test												
No	782	34	4.3	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Yes	515	17	3.3	0.75	0.42–1.35	0.34	0.76	0.42–1.38	0.36			
Lifetime Syphilis Test												
No	913	43	4.7	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Yes	403	8	2.0	0.41	0.19–0.88	0.02	0.42	0.20–0.91	0.03			
HIV Infection												
No	747	16	2.1	<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>			<i>Ref</i>		
Yes	46	2	4.3	2.08	0.46–9.32	0.34	1.58	0.33–7.64	0.57			

Note:

* Adjusted model was adjusted for age (continuous), income (<500/501–810/811–1300/>1300 USD) and marital status (Never married/divorced or widowed)