Published in final edited form as:

Eat Behav. 2015 April ; 17: 62–68. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.12.013.

Identification as Overweight by Medical Professionals: Relation to Eating Disorder Diagnosis and Risk

Andrea E. Kass, M.A.^a, Annie Z. Wang, B.S.^b, Rachel P. Kolko, M.A.^a, Jodi C. Holland, Ph.D., R.D.^b, Myra Altman, M.A.^a, Mickey Trockel, M.D., Ph.D.^c, C. Barr Taylor, M.D.^c, and Denise E. Wilfley, Ph.D.^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Psychology, Washington University in St. Louis, 660 South Euclid, Box 8134; St. Louis, MO, USA, 63110

^bDepartment of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 South Euclid, Box 8134; St. Louis, MO, USA, 63110

^cDepartment of Psychiatry, Stanford University School of Medicine, 401 Quarry Road, Stanford, CA, USA, 94305

Abstract

Purpose—Discussions about weight between medical professionals and young adults may increase risk of eating disorders (EDs). Clarifying the relation between screening for overweight and ED risk is needed.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Correspondence to be addressed to: Andrea E. Kass, M.A.; Washington University in St. Louis; 660 South Euclid, Box 8134; St. Louis, MO 63110; kassa@psychiatry.wustl.edu; Phone: 314-286-2113; Fax: 314-286-2091.

Clinical trials registry site and number: NCT00934583

Previous Presentations: Portions of this work have been presented at the Washington University School of Medicine Research Training Symposium and Poster Session, St. Louis, Missouri, October 31, 2012 and the Eating Disorders Research Society Annual Meeting, Bethesda, Maryland, September 19, 2013.

Author Disclosures

Statement 1: Role of Funding Sources

Funding for this study was provided by NIH grants R01MH081125, K24 MH070446, T32 HL007456, and T35 DK07437. The NIH had no role in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data, writing the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Statement 2: Contributors

All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript. Andrea E. Kass oversaw the completion of this manuscript, including the study design, literature review, data collection and analysis, interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation. Annie Z. Wang contributed to study design, literature review, data analysis, and manuscript preparation. Rachel P. Kolko contributed to literature review, interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation. Jodi C. Holland contributed to data analysis, interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation. Myra Altman contributed to data collection, interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation. Mickey Trockel contributed to data collection, data analysis, interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation. C. Barr Taylor contributed to study design, data collection, interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation. Denise E. Wilfley contributed to study design, literature review, data collection, interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation.

Statement 3: Conflict of Interest

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Methods—548 college-age women were classified as at-risk ($n=441$) or with an ED ($n=107$), and were assessed for disordered eating attitudes, behaviors, and relevant history, including, “Has a doctor, nurse, or other medical professional ever told you that you were overweight?” Regression analyses were used to evaluate the relations between being identified as overweight and current disordered eating behaviors, attitudes, and ED diagnosis, without and with covariates (history of weight-related teasing, history of an ED, family history of being identified as overweight, and current body mass index).

Results—146 (26.6%) women reported being previously identified as overweight by a medical professional. There was no relation between being previously identified as overweight and having an ED. Those identified as overweight were more likely to have weight/shape concerns above a high-risk cutoff, but showed no difference in dietary restraint, binge eating, purging behaviors, or excessive exercise compared to those not identified.

Conclusions—Being previously identified as overweight by a medical professional was associated with increased weight/shape concerns but not with current disordered eating behaviors or ED status. Minimizing the potential negative effects of overweight screening on weight and shape concerns by providing patients with strategies to increase healthy lifestyle behaviors and long-term support for healthy weight loss goals may have a positive impact on reducing the public health problem of overweight and obesity.

Keywords

Overweight; Obesity; Screening; Intervention; Eating Disorder Risk

1. INTRODUCTION

The pediatric and primary care settings are ideal environments through which to screen and intervene with patients who are overweight and obese and their families, as medical professionals are often the first line of contact for families and routine visits allow for monitoring and follow-up (1). However, medical professionals are not routinely screening for overweight and obesity among children and adults, despite recommendations for screening and intervention from the Institute of Medicine, the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, and the Expert Committee as endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics (2–5). Screening and early intervention is critical, as elevated childhood body mass index (BMI) robustly predicts continued weight gain and elevated adult BMI (6, 7). Elevated BMI is problematic, as it confers increased risk for development of chronic diseases (e.g., type II diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease) and eating disorders if weight-related issues are not addressed (1, 5, 8).

Medical professionals report several barriers that preclude screening and intervention for overweight and obesity, such as lack of time in session to address weight (9–11), lack of referral options (10), feelings of discomfort approaching the topic of weight status (10–14), concerns of offending patients and their family members (5, 10, 13), and beliefs that they have inadequate training/competency in weight loss techniques (9, 11, 12, 14, 15). Clinicians are also concerned about the iatrogenic effects of screening for overweight on risk for eating disorders (16–22), as heightened attention to weight and body shape may increase

risk for disordered eating attitudes and behaviors (e.g., dietary restraint, compensatory behaviors) (23, 24). Moreover, given that critical comments about shape/weight from a parent, teacher, coach, or sibling are associated with disordered eating behaviors and increased eating disorder risk (25, 26), it is possible that comments about weight from other authority figures such as a medical professional may also have an adverse effect on eating disorder risk. However, to our knowledge, no studies have investigated whether identifying individuals as overweight in the primary care setting increases eating disorder risk. Clarifying the relation between overweight identification and eating disorder risk is needed, as dispelling barriers to screening may help to increase medical professionals' likelihood of offering routine screening and intervention in the pediatric and primary care settings.

This study evaluated whether patients who were previously identified as overweight by a medical professional were more likely to have an eating disorder. In line with the exploratory notion that comments about weight from an authority figure such as a medical professional may have an adverse effect on eating disorder risk, we hypothesized that being previously identified as overweight would be associated with increased likelihood of having an eating disorder. Exploratory analyses to evaluate increased likelihood of endorsing disordered eating attitudes (i.e., high-risk weight/shape concerns) and behaviors (i.e., dietary restraint, binge eating, purging behaviors, and excessive exercise) associated with being identified as overweight were also conducted. Results from this study may help to dispel barriers to screening, thereby enhancing early detection of overweight and obesity and increasing the potential for early intervention.

2. METHODS

2.1 Participants

Five hundred forty-nine college-age women, ages 18–25, were recruited for participation as part of a larger randomized controlled trial. Individuals were recruited primarily from private and public universities in the San Francisco Bay, Sacramento, and Saint Louis metropolitan areas; however, women residing in local areas who were in the age range of our sample but not currently enrolled in school were also recruited to participate. Interested participants were excluded from study participation if they were actively suicidal, did not reside in the local area of the study sites, were male, or anticipated being unavailable at the time of follow-up. One individual did not respond to the question about whether they had been previously identified as overweight by a medical professional, precluding them from being included in the present analyses; thus, the final sample comprised 548 women.

2.2 Procedures

Participants were recruited using flyers, e-mail, Facebook, word-of-mouth, and a research volunteer database (only at Washington University). Recruitment advertisements were broadly targeted to women concerned about their weight or shape and/or wanting to improve feelings about one's body or mood and reduce stress. Interested participants were sent e-mails with instructions to complete an online screening questionnaire and were then invited to complete an in-person assessment. Informed consent was obtained prior to completion of

the online screen and again prior to the in-person assessment. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at all participating sites.

2.3 Measures

2.3.1 Demographics & Relevant Medical History—Participants reported their age, race/ethnicity, and mother or father's highest level of education (as a proxy measure for socioeconomic status). Participants also reported whether they had a history of an eating disorder, family history of an eating disorder, or history of being teased about weight, shape, or body size by a teacher, coach, or sibling, as weight-related teasing is associated with eating disorder risk (27–31). Relevant medical history and previous identification as overweight were determined by the following question: “Has a doctor, nurse, or other medical professional ever told you that you were overweight?” Given the high rates of overweight within families (32, 33) and the possibility that having a family member identified as overweight may increase the likelihood of the participant being identified, we also assessed family history of being identified as overweight using the following question: “Has a doctor, nurse, or other medical professional ever told a member of your immediate family that he/she was overweight?” Both questions were answered with yes or no response options.

2.3.2 Eating Disorder Examination (EDE)—Trained research assistants administered the EDE Version 14.0, a semi-structured interview that is considered the “gold standard” measure for the assessment of eating disorder pathology (34). Responses are rated from 0–6 on a Likert-type scale, with higher scores indicating greater pathology or greater frequency of a weight control behavior. The EDE was used to determine current eating disorder diagnoses; specifically, individuals were classified as having a clinical or subclinical eating disorder (i.e., anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, binge eating disorder, or feeding and eating disorder not elsewhere classified) based on DSM-5 criteria (35). Episodes of objective binge eating, subjective binge eating, vomiting, laxative use, diuretic use, and excessive exercise in the past three months were also assessed. For the purposes of this study, vomiting, laxative use, and diuretic use were combined into one variable of purging behaviors, given that the small number of people who endorsed each behavior precluded separate analyses.

2.3.4 Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (EDE-Q)—The EDE-Q (36) is a self-report version of the EDE, which assesses eating disorder pathology over the past 28 days. It consists of 39 items that yield four subscales (Restraint, Eating Concern, Shape Concern, and Weight Concern) and a global score. Responses are recorded on a Likert-type scale of 0–6, with higher scores indicating greater frequency or severity of pathological behavior. For the purposes of this study, only the Restraint Subscale was included in the analyses as an indicator of dietary restraint. The other subscales were not used given that the Weight Concerns Scale provided an assessment of concerns about weight and shape.

2.3.5 Weight Concerns Scale (WCS)—The WCS (37) is a five-question, self-report questionnaire that assesses concerns about shape and weight, fear of weight gain, dieting frequency, importance of weight, and feelings of fatness. The total score ranges from 0 to

100. Higher scores indicate higher shape and weight pathology. A score of 47 or higher suggests increased risk for developing an eating disorder (38, 39).

2.3.6 Anthropometric Data—Anthropometric data were obtained by trained research assistants. Participants' height and weight were measured using a portable stadiometer and a digital stand-on scale. Participants were asked to remove their shoes and coats prior to being weighed. Measurements were taken twice; if the measures differed by more than 0.4 centimeters or 0.2 kilograms for height and weight, respectively, a third measurement was taken. The averages of these heights and weights were used to calculate participants' BMI.

2.4 Analytic Plan

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 21.0. All tests were two-tailed, and p -values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Independent sample t -tests and chi-squared tests were performed to evaluate differences in demographic variables between those who were previously identified as overweight and those who were not. Between-group differences in history of an eating disorder, history of weight-related teasing, family history of an eating disorder, and whether an immediate family member had been previously identified as overweight by a medical professional were each assessed using chi-squared tests.

To examine the relation between being identified as overweight and our primary outcome variable, DSM-5 eating disorder diagnosis, we built a series of logistic regression models for each separate outcome. Women who did not have an eating disorder served as the reference category. First, we tested an unadjusted model (Model 1) that examined only "previously identified as overweight" as the independent variable. The second model adjusted for historical variables (i.e., history of weight-related teasing, history of an eating disorder, and family history of being identified as overweight) that were associated with eating disorder risk and diagnosis (Model 2). The final model controlled for all of the variables in Model 2, as well as the addition of current BMI.

To examine our secondary outcome variables (i.e., high-risk weight and shape concerns, dietary restraint, objective binge episodes, subjective binge episodes, purging behaviors, and excessive exercise) a series of logistic and linear regression models were developed for each separate outcome. Three models, built as specified above, were used. For analyses involving high-risk weight and shape concerns, a cut-off of 47 was used (38, 39), as this has been associated with increased risk for developing an eating disorder. A score of less than 47 served as the reference category. For analyses involving objective binge episodes, subjective binge episodes, purging behaviors, and excessive exercise, diagnostic cut-offs based on DSM-5 criteria (35) were used to increase the relevancy of the results for medical professionals. Specifically, the outcome variables were trichotomized as "endorsed no episodes," "endorsed <12 episodes," or endorsed ≥ 12 episodes" of the target behavior, as this serves as the DSM-5 cut-off for binge episodes and compensatory behaviors. "Endorsed no episodes" served as the reference category.

Analyses were also conducted using loss of control episodes (defined as objective plus subjective binge episodes) and compensatory behaviors (defined as purging behaviors plus

exercise exercise) as outcome variables. For analyses evaluating loss of control episodes, the pattern of results obtained was identical across the three models to results evaluating subjective binge episodes. For analyses evaluating compensatory behaviors, the pattern of results obtained was identical across the three models to results evaluating purging behaviors and results evaluating excessive exercise.

3. RESULTS

One hundred and forty-six (26.6%) women reported having been previously identified as overweight by a medical professional. Differences in demographic variables between those who were identified as overweight and those who were not are presented in Table 1. There were significant between-group differences in racial/ethnic categories, with individuals who identified as Black or Hispanic having higher rates of being identified as overweight compared to individuals who identified as White or Other. Individuals identified as overweight had a significantly higher current BMI (mean = 28.71 (SD = 5.80)) compared to individuals not identified as overweight (mean = 22.97 (SD = 3.68)). There were also significant between-group differences in having a history of weight-related teasing (67.8% vs. 56.5%, $\chi^2 = 5.7$, $p = 0.018$) and having an immediate family member identified as overweight (65.1% vs. 37.6%, $\chi^2 = 35.2$, $p < 0.001$). Descriptive data on eating disorder risk/symptom status and disordered eating attitudes and behaviors between those who were identified as overweight and those who were not are presented in Table 2, and analyses evaluating between-group differences are described below.

3.1 Previous Identification of Overweight Status and Eating Disorder Diagnosis

Results from the unadjusted (Model 1) and adjusted models (Models 2 and 3) are presented in Table 3. In the unadjusted model, there were no significant differences between likelihood of being previously identified as overweight between women with and without an eating disorder diagnosis. Between-group differences remained non-significant in the full model controlling for all covariates (Models 2 and 3). These results suggest that identifying patients as overweight is not associated with eating disorder case status.

3.2 Previous Identification of Overweight Status and Eating Disorder Attitudes and Behaviors

Results from the unadjusted (Model 1) and adjusted models (Models 2 and 3) are presented in Table 3. Being identified as overweight was significantly related to high-risk weight and shape concerns in the unadjusted model and remained significant in the full model controlling for all covariates (Model 3). No significant effect was found for dietary restraint in the unadjusted or the adjusted models. In the unadjusted model, women who endorsed 12 episodes of objective binge episodes were significantly more likely to have been identified as overweight compared to those who did not endorse objective binge eating. This effect did not remain in the model adjusting for the historical covariates (Model 2) and remained non-significant in the full model controlling for all covariates (Model 3). Individuals who endorsed subthreshold and threshold levels of subjective binge episodes were more likely to have been identified as overweight compared to those who did not have any subjective binge episodes (Model 1). These effects did not remain in the full model

controlling for all covariates (Model 3). No significant between-group differences emerged regarding the number of episodes of purging behaviors or excessive exercise in the unadjusted or the adjusted models. These results suggest that identifying patients as overweight is associated with disordered eating attitudes [i.e., high-risk weight and shape concerns, an eating disorder risk factor (23, 24)], even when covariates were considered. However, in relation to eating disorder behaviors, identifying patients as overweight was not associated with binge eating when covariates were considered and was not associated with dietary restraint, purging behaviors, and excessive exercise.

4. DISCUSSION

Best practices for obesity intervention suggest that medical professionals should screen for overweight and make recommendations for healthy weight loss and weight regulation (2–5). However, medical professionals are not consistently screening for overweight, in part due to concerns of increasing risk for eating disorders (16–22). In contrast to our hypotheses, results indicated that being previously identified as overweight by a medical professional was not associated with increased likelihood of having a current eating disorder diagnosis. Moreover, being previously identified as overweight was not associated with increased likelihood of engaging in disordered eating behaviors (i.e., dietary restraint, binge eating, purging, or excessive exercise) when accounting for current BMI. However, participants who had been identified by a medical professional as overweight were significantly more likely to have high-risk weight and shape concerns than those not previously identified as overweight, regardless of current BMI. Given that high-risk weight and shape concerns can increase an individual's risk for developing an eating disorder, these results reflect the challenge of encouraging individuals who are overweight to lose weight without increasing eating disorder risk. As such, it is crucial for medical professionals to ensure they address weight issues in a productive manner that both motivates and facilitates weight loss while remaining sensitive to eating disorder risk.

Incorporating eating disorder prevention with obesity intervention is useful for encouraging healthful and non-harmful weight regulation practices (40, 41). Towards this end, medical professionals can employ several strategies for addressing weight in a healthful, non-harmful manner. For example, conversations between parents and children that focus on healthy eating and activity, versus conversations that focus solely on weight, are less likely to result in unhealthy weight control practices (42). Medical professionals can employ a similar approach by assessing patients' overall health and providing patients with behavioral strategies that increase healthy lifestyle behaviors while minimizing risk for eating disorder pathology. Specifically, in addition to diagnosing weight status, providers are encouraged to evaluate and talk with patients about their overall health and wellness (e.g., diet, physical activity, disturbed eating behaviors, comorbid medical conditions, sleep, mood). It is important for providers to remain aware that BMI is not a direct indication of healthy behaviors or lack thereof (e.g., it is possible for someone with a BMI ≥ 25 to have healthy lifestyle patterns, whereas it is also possible for someone with a BMI < 25 to have unhealthy lifestyle patterns), and thus discussion of healthy behaviors is encouraged for patients across the BMI spectrum. Patients and families aiming to improve their weight regulation efforts in consultation with their provider can be offered behavioral strategies in session, such as self-

monitoring, goal-setting, stimulus control, and appetite awareness (1). Given that health care professionals may perceive that they have inadequate training/competency in weight loss techniques (9, 11, 12, 14, 15), opportunities to increase health care professionals' awareness of resources for healthy weight regulation may increase their likelihood of offering referrals to patients who would like further follow-up. Providers can make patients aware that evidence-based interventions exist and direct them to appropriate resources, thereby ensuring patients screened as overweight are provided with comprehensive services relevant to their health needs. Over time, providers can monitor weight, eating and activity behaviors, and unhealthy weight control practices, engage patients in dialogue about their progress, and seek feedback from patients on their reactions to in-session counseling for healthy behavior change.

It is important for medical professionals to remain attuned to the potential to increase weight/shape concerns and, as such, eating disorder risk. It is advantageous for providers to approach conversations about overweight in a non-shameful manner and maintain rapport, given that (a) overt stigma can impede weight loss outcomes, (b) physicians may build less emotional rapport with overweight or obese patients and patients have reduced trust when they feel judged about their weight by a provider, and (c) feelings of shame may prevent patients from seeking provider counseling in the future (43–49). Addressing barriers that may lead to the early discontinuation of behavior change goals can also be helpful, as individuals with a history of weight loss attempts may be more likely to engage in disordered eating behaviors (24, 50). Establishing realistic expectations about weight loss goals may offset patients' concerns about their amount or rate of weight change, which might otherwise increase feelings of dissatisfaction (51). When working with families, medical professionals can also empower parents to engage in non-stigmatizing weight-related communication with their youth, model healthy attitudes about weight/shape, discourage teasing in the home and during get-togethers with family and friends, and establish an environment in which youth feel comfortable disclosing concerns to them about weight/shape (24, 25, 52).

Despite the potential to increase weight/shape concerns, not addressing overweight could also result in potential harm. For example, it is possible that being previously identified as overweight served as a protective factor against excess weight gain. More specifically, although the individuals in this study who were previously identified as overweight had a mean current BMI in the overweight range, it is possible that this subset of individuals would have had a mean current BMI in the obese range had their weight status not been addressed by a medical professional, which would have put them at heightened risk for the serious medical and psychosocial consequences, debilitating health care costs, and reduced quality of life associated with obesity (1, 5). Thus, opportunities to engage in routine conversations with patients about their weight status, healthy lifestyle behaviors, and weight/shape concerns may offset the development of disordered eating behaviors. Medical professionals are ideally positioned for this purpose, as they are often a first line of contact for patients (1) and can be efficacious in helping adolescents to increase healthy lifestyle behaviors (53). Opportunities to increase provider training and knowledge on how to appropriately and healthfully engage patients in weight-related conversations may help to reduce barriers to overweight screening and intervention.

In the study sample, African-American and Hispanic women were more likely to be identified as overweight by medical professionals than Caucasian women. African-American and Hispanic individuals are more likely to be overweight than Caucasian individuals (54), and thus, opportunities for increased screening and intervention are warranted. However, because African-American and/or Hispanic individuals endorse greater concerns about weight and shape (55), evidence greater odds of engaging in disordered weight control behaviors (56), and are likely to have large weight gain associated with dieting (57), medical professionals are encouraged to remain particularly attentive to employing strategies aimed to promote healthful, non-harmful approaches to weight regulation with these populations so as not to increase risk for eating disorders.

Strengths of this study include the use of a semi-structured interview to assess current eating disorder case status, use of objective measurement of current height and weight to calculate BMI, and use of a population at elevated risk for weight gain and eating disorders (i.e., college-age women). Additionally, this is the first study to our knowledge to examine the subjective experience of recall for being identified as overweight by a medical professional and its impact on eating disorder risk and disordered eating attitudes and behaviors. Limitations of this study should be noted as well. First, these data are based on retrospective report, measured using one item; individuals' objective weight status at the time when they were identified as overweight is unknown. It is possible that medical professionals used visual assessment and not evidence-based practices of calculating BMI or BMI percentile to make an overweight diagnosis (5). As a result, individuals previously identified as overweight may or may not have been above the BMI criterion. Second, given the potential for recall bias, it is also possible that additional individuals were identified as overweight but did not remember the occurrence and thus did not endorse this question in the current study. Third, these data are cross-sectional, precluding our ability to identify a causal relationship between being identified as overweight and high-risk weight and shape concerns. It should also be noted that this study only assessed current disordered eating attitudes and behaviors and did not assess whether individuals had previously engaged in disordered eating attitudes and behaviors.

4.1 Conclusion

In closing, screening for overweight and obesity is critical for addressing the obesity epidemic (2–5). In this study, being identified as overweight by a medical professional was not associated with increased likelihood of having an eating disorder with or without covariates or with disordered eating behaviors (i.e., dietary restraint, binge eating, purging behaviors, excessive exercise) when accounting for individuals' current BMI. However, being identified as overweight was associated with high-risk weight and shape concerns, a risk factor associated with the development of eating disorders. Minimizing the potential negative effects of overweight screening on weight and shape concerns by providing patients with strategies to increase healthy lifestyle behaviors and long-term support for healthy weight loss goals may have a positive impact on reducing the pressing public health problem of overweight and obesity.

Acknowledgments

Research Support: R01MH081125, K24 MH070446, T32 HL007456, T35 DK07437

References

1. Wilfley DE, Kass AE, Kolko RP. Counseling and behavior change in pediatric obesity. *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 2011 Dec; 58(6):1403–24. x. Epub 2011/11/19. eng. [PubMed: 22093859]
2. Institute of Medicine. Accelerating Progress in Obesity Prevention: Solving the Weight of the Nation. Washington, D.C: National Academies Press; 2012.
3. Barton M. Screening for obesity in children and adolescents: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *Pediatrics*. 2010 Feb; 125(2):361–7. Epub 2010/01/20. eng. [PubMed: 20083515]
4. Moyer VA. Screening for and Management of Obesity in Adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012 Jun 26. Epub 2012/06/27. Eng.
5. Barlow SE. Expert committee recommendations regarding the prevention, assessment, and treatment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity: summary report. *Pediatrics*. 2007 Dec; 120(Suppl 4):S164–92. [PubMed: 18055651]
6. Stovitz SD, Pereira MA, Vazquez G, Lytle LA, Himes JH. The interaction of childhood height and childhood BMI in the prediction of young adult BMI. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008 Oct; 16(10): 2336–41. Epub 2008/08/23. eng. [PubMed: 18719630]
7. Nader PR, O'Brien M, Houts R, Bradley R, Belsky J, Crosnoe R, et al. Identifying risk for obesity in early childhood. *Pediatrics*. 2006 Sep; 118(3):e594–601. Epub 2006/09/05. eng. [PubMed: 16950951]
8. Goldschmidt AB, Aspen VP, Sinton MM, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Wilfley DE. Disordered eating attitudes and behaviors in overweight youth. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008 Feb; 16(2):257–64. [PubMed: 18239631]
9. Klein JD, Sesselberg TS, Johnson MS, O'Connor KG, Cook S, Coon M, et al. Adoption of body mass index guidelines for screening and counseling in pediatric practice. *Pediatrics*. 2010 Feb; 125(2):265–72. Epub 2010/01/20. eng. [PubMed: 20083518]
10. Sesselberg TS, Klein JD, O'Connor KG, Johnson MS. Screening and counseling for childhood obesity: results from a national survey. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2010 May-Jun; 23(3):334–42. Epub 2010/05/11. eng. [PubMed: 20453179]
11. Tham M, Young D. The role of the General Practitioner in weight management in primary care--a cross sectional study in General Practice. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2008; 9:66. Epub 2008/12/17. eng. [PubMed: 19077319]
12. Jay M, Kalet A, Ark T, McMacken M, Messito MJ, Richter R, et al. Physicians' attitudes about obesity and their associations with competency and specialty: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2009; 9:106. Epub 2009/06/26. eng. [PubMed: 19552823]
13. Bardia A, Holtan SG, Slezak JM, Thompson WG. Diagnosis of obesity by primary care physicians and impact on obesity management. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2007 Aug; 82(8):927–32. Epub 2007/08/04. eng. [PubMed: 17673060]
14. Jay M, Gillespie C, Ark T, Richter R, McMacken M, Zabar S, et al. Do internists, pediatricians, and psychiatrists feel competent in obesity care?: using a needs assessment to drive curriculum design. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2008 Jul; 23(7):1066–70. Epub 2008/07/10. eng. [PubMed: 18612746]
15. Forman-Hoffman V, Little A, Wahls T. Barriers to obesity management: a pilot study of primary care clinicians. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2006; 7:35. Epub 2006/06/08. eng. [PubMed: 16756673]
16. Carter FA, Bulik CM. Childhood obesity prevention programs: how do they affect eating pathology and other psychological measures? *Psychosom Med*. 2008 Apr; 70(3):363–71. Epub 2008/04/02. eng. [PubMed: 18378876]
17. Atkinson RL, Nitzke SA. School based programmes on obesity. *BMJ*. 2001 Nov 3; 323(7320): 1018–9. Epub 2001/11/03. eng. [PubMed: 11691747]
18. O'Dea JA. Prevention of child obesity: 'first, do no harm'. *Health Educ Res*. 2005 Apr; 20(2):259–65. Epub 2004/08/26. eng. [PubMed: 15328303]

19. Berg F, Buechner J, Parham E. Guidelines for childhood obesity prevention programs: promoting healthy weight in children. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2003 Jan-Feb;35(1):1–4. Epub 2003/02/25. eng. [PubMed: 12596730]
20. Striegel-Moore RH. The impact of pediatric obesity treatment on eating behavior and psychologic adjustment. *J Pediatr*. 2001 Jul; 139(1):13–4. Epub 2001/07/11. eng. [PubMed: 11445786]
21. Hawks SR, Gast JA. The Ethics of Promoting Weight Loss. *Healthy Weight Journal*. 2000; 14:25–6.
22. Celio AA, Bryson S, Killen JD, Taylor CB. Are adolescents harmed when asked risky weight control behavior and attitude questions? Implications for consent procedures. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2003 Sep; 34(2):251–4. [PubMed: 12898562]
23. Jacobi C, Hayward C, de Zwaan M, Kraemer HC, Agras WS. Coming to terms with risk factors for eating disorders: application of risk terminology and suggestions for a general taxonomy. *Psychol Bull*. 2004 Jan; 130(1):19–65. Epub 2004/01/14. eng. [PubMed: 14717649]
24. Haines J, Neumark-Sztainer D. Prevention of obesity and eating disorders: a consideration of shared risk factors. *Health education research*. 2006 Dec; 21(6):770–82. [PubMed: 16963727]
25. Neumark-Sztainer D, Bauer KW, Friend S, Hannan PJ, Story M, Berge JM. Family weight talk and dieting: how much do they matter for body dissatisfaction and disordered eating behaviors in adolescent girls? *The Journal of adolescent health: official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine*. 2010 Sep; 47(3):270–6.
26. Jacobi C, Fittig E, Bryson SW, Wilfley D, Kraemer HC, Taylor CB. Who is really at risk? Identifying risk factors for subthreshold and full syndrome eating disorders in a high-risk sample. *Psychological medicine*. 2011 Sep; 41(9):1939–49. [PubMed: 21276276]
27. Neumark-Sztainer D, Falkner N, Story M, Perry C, Hannan PJ, Mulert S. Weight-teasing among adolescents: correlations with weight status and disordered eating behaviors. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2002 Jan; 26(1):123–31. Epub 2002/01/16. eng. [PubMed: 11791157]
28. Keery H, Boutelle K, van den Berg P, Thompson JK. The impact of appearance-related teasing by family members. *J Adolesc Health*. 2005 Aug; 37(2):120–7. Epub 2005/07/20. eng. [PubMed: 16026721]
29. Libbey HP, Story MT, Neumark-Sztainer DR, Boutelle KN. Teasing, disordered eating behaviors, and psychological morbidities among overweight adolescents. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008 Nov; 16(Suppl 2):S24–9. Epub 2008/12/17. eng. [PubMed: 18978759]
30. Eddy KT, Tanofsky-Kraff M, Thompson-Brenner H, Herzog DB, Brown TA, Ludwig DS. Eating disorder pathology among overweight treatment-seeking youth: clinical correlates and cross-sectional risk modeling. *Behav Res Ther*. 2007 Oct; 45(10):2360–71. Epub 2007/05/19. eng. [PubMed: 17509523]
31. Haines J, Kleinman KP, Rifas-Shiman SL, Field AE, Austin SB. Examination of shared risk and protective factors for overweight and disordered eating among adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2010 Apr; 164(4):336–43. Epub 2010/04/07. eng. [PubMed: 20368486]
32. Martin MA. The intergenerational correlation in weight: how genetic resemblance reveals the social role of families. *AJS; American journal of sociology*. 2008; 114(Suppl):S67–105.
33. Whitaker RC, Wright JA, Pepe MS, Seidel KD, Dietz WH. Predicting obesity in young adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. *N Engl J Med*. 1997 Sep 25; 337(13):869–73. Epub 1997/09/26. eng. [PubMed: 9302300]
34. Cooper Z, Cooper PJ, Fairburn CG. The validity of the eating disorder examination and its subscales. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1989 Jun.154:807–12. Epub 1989/06/01. eng. [PubMed: 2597887]
35. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5. Washington, D.C: American Psychiatric Association; 2013. DSM-5
36. Fairburn CG, Beglin SJ. Assessment of eating disorders: interview or self-report questionnaire? *Int J Eat Disord*. 1994 Dec; 16(4):363–70. Epub 1994/12/01. eng. [PubMed: 7866415]
37. Killen JD, Taylor CB, Hayward C, Wilson DM, Haydel KF, Hammer LD, et al. Pursuit of thinness and onset of eating disorder symptoms in a community sample of adolescent girls: a three-year prospective analysis. *Int J Eat Disord*. 1994 Nov; 16(3):227–38. [PubMed: 7833956]

38. Taylor CB, Bryson S, Luce KH, Cunning D, Doyle AC, Abascal LB, et al. Prevention of eating disorders in at-risk college-age women. *Archives of general psychiatry*. 2006 Aug; 63(8):881–8. [PubMed: 16894064]
39. Jacobi C, Abascal L, Taylor CB. Screening for eating disorders and high-risk behavior: caution. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2004 Nov; 36(3):280–95. Epub 2004/10/13. eng. [PubMed: 15478137]
40. Austin SB. The blind spot in the drive for childhood obesity prevention: bringing eating disorders prevention into focus as a public health priority. *Am J Public Health*. 2011 Jun; 101(6):e1–4. [PubMed: 21493926]
41. Austin SB, Spadano-Gasbarro JL, Greaney ML, Blood EA, Hunt AT, Richmond TK, et al. Effect of the planet health intervention on eating disorder symptoms in Massachusetts middle schools, 2005–2008. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2012; 9:E171. quiz E. [PubMed: 23194779]
42. Berge JM, Maclehose R, Loth KA, Eisenberg M, Bucchianeri MM, Neumark-Sztainer D. Parent conversations about healthful eating and weight: associations with adolescent disordered eating behaviors. *JAMA pediatrics*. 2013 Aug 1; 167(8):746–53. [PubMed: 23797808]
43. Wott CB, Carels RA. Overt weight stigma, psychological distress and weight loss treatment outcomes. *Journal of health psychology*. 2010 May; 15(4):608–14. [PubMed: 20460417]
44. Gudzone KA, Beach MC, Roter DL, Cooper LA. Physicians build less rapport with obese patients. *Obesity*. 2013 Mar 20.
45. Wadden TA, Anderson DA, Foster GD, Bennett A, Steinberg C, Sarwer DB. Obese women's perceptions of their physicians' weight management attitudes and practices. *Arch Fam Med*. 2000 Sep-Oct;9(9):854–60. Epub 2000/10/14. eng. [PubMed: 11031392]
46. Puhl RM, Peterson JL, Luedicke J. Parental perceptions of weight terminology that providers use with youth. *Pediatrics*. 2011 Oct; 128(4):e786–93. Epub 2011/09/29. eng. [PubMed: 21949145]
47. Puhl R, Peterson JL, Luedicke J. Motivating or stigmatizing? Public perceptions of weight-related language used by health providers. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2013 Apr; 37(4):612–9. Epub 2012/07/11. eng. [PubMed: 22777543]
48. Ward SH, Gray AM, Paranjape A. African Americans' perceptions of physician attempts to address obesity in the primary care setting. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2009 May; 24(5):579–84. Epub 2009/03/12. eng. [PubMed: 19277791]
49. Gudzone KA, Bennett WL, Cooper LA, Bleich SN. Patients who feel judged about their weight have lower trust in their primary care providers. *Patient education and counseling*. 2014 Oct; 97(1):128–31. [PubMed: 25049164]
50. Vannucci A, Shomaker LB, Field SE, Sbrocco T, Stephens M, Kozlosky M, et al. History of Weight Control Attempts Among Adolescent Girls With Loss of Control Eating. *Health psychology: official journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association*. 2013 Jul 1.
51. Fabricatore AN, Wadden TA, Womble LG, Sarwer DB, Berkowitz RI, Foster GD, et al. The role of patients' expectations and goals in the behavioral and pharmacological treatment of obesity. *International journal of obesity*. 2007 Nov; 31(11):1739–45. [PubMed: 17471295]
52. Taylor CB, Bryson S, Celio Doyle AA, Luce KH, Cuning D, Abascal LB, et al. The adverse effect of negative comments about weight and shape from family and siblings on women at high risk for eating disorders. *Pediatrics*. 2006 Aug; 118(2):731–8. [PubMed: 16882830]
53. Pollak KI, Alexander SC, Ostbye T, Lyna P, Tulsy JA, Dolor RJ, et al. Primary care physicians' discussions of weight-related topics with overweight and obese adolescents: results from the Teen CHAT Pilot study. *The Journal of adolescent health: official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine*. 2009 Aug; 45(2):205–7. [PubMed: 19628149]
54. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011–2012. *JAMA*. 2014 Feb 26; 311(8):806–14. [PubMed: 24570244]
55. Franko DL, Thompson-Brenner H, Thompson DR, Boisseau CL, Davis A, Forbush KT, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in adults in randomized clinical trials of binge eating disorder. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*. 2012 Apr; 80(2):186–95. Epub 2011/12/29. eng. [PubMed: 22201327]
56. Austin SB, Spadano-Gasbarro J, Greaney ML, Richmond TK, Feldman HA, Osganian SK, et al. Disordered weight control behaviors in early adolescent boys and girls of color: an under-

- recognized factor in the epidemic of childhood overweight. *J Adolesc Health*. 2011 Jan; 48(1): 109–12. [PubMed: 21185534]
57. Field AE, Aneja P, Austin SB, Shrier LA, de Moor C, Gordon-Larsen P. Race and gender differences in the association of dieting and gains in BMI among young adults. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2007 Feb; 15(2):456–64. [PubMed: 17299119]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Highlights

1. Being identified as overweight was not associated with eating disorder diagnosis
2. Being identified as overweight was associated with high-risk weight/shape concerns
3. Being identified as overweight was not associated with disordered eating behaviors

Table 1

Sample characteristics, by group

	Identified as Overweight (<i>n</i> =146)	Not Identified as Overweight (<i>n</i> =402)	<i>p</i>
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	
Age (years)	20.9 (2.2)	20.5 (1.9)	0.076
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	28.7 (5.8)	23.0 (3.7)	<0.001*
	<i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i> (%)	
Race/Ethnicity			<0.001*
White/Caucasian	71 (48.6%)	233 (58.0%)	
Black/African American	23 (15.8%)	25 (6.2%)	
Hispanic/Latino/Mexican Origin	21 (14.4%)	25 (6.2%)	
Multiethnic/Other	31 (21.2%)	119 (29.6%)	
Highest Level of Parent Education			0.054
Less than High School	6 (4.1%)	5 (1.2%)	
High School Degree	41 (28.1%)	107 (26.6%)	
College Degree	41 (28.1%)	94 (23.4%)	
Graduate Degree	57 (39.0%)	196 (48.8%)	
History of an eating disorder	13 (8.9%)	52 (12.9%)	0.233
Family history of an eating disorder	21 (14.4%)	63 (15.7%)	0.789
History of weight-related teasing	99 (67.8%)	227 (56.5%)	0.018*
Immediate family member identified as overweight	95 (65.1%)	151 (37.6%)	<0.001*

*
p < 0.05

Table 2

Descriptive data on eating disorder diagnosis, disordered eating attitudes, and disordered eating behaviors, by group

	Identified as Overweight (n=146)	Not Identified as Overweight (n=402)	p
	n (%)	n (%)	
DSM-5 Eating Disorder Diagnosis			0.182
No Eating Disorder	112 (76.7%)	329 (81.2%)	
Eating Disorder	34 (23.3%)	73 (18.2%)	
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	
Dietary Restraint	2.0 (1.3)	2.0 (1.3)	0.699
	n (%)	n (%)	
Weight & Shape Concerns			<0.001*
<47 score	28 (19.2%)	173 (43.0%)	
47 score	118 (80.8%)	229 (57.0%)	
Objective Binge Episodes [†]			0.080
0 episodes	108 (74.0%)	319 (79.4%)	
<12 episodes	22 (15.1%)	61 (15.2%)	
12 episodes	16 (11.0%)	22 (5.5%)	
Subjective Binge Episodes [†]			<0.001*
0 episodes	70 (47.9%)	266 (66.2%)	
<12 episodes	37 (25.3%)	71 (17.7%)	
12 episodes	39 (26.7%)	65 (16.2%)	
Purging Behaviors [†]			0.942
0 episodes	130 (89.0%)	357 (88.8%)	
<12 episodes	12 (8.2%)	31 (7.7%)	
12 episodes	4 (2.7%)	13 (3.2%)	
Excessive Exercise [†]			0.706
0 episodes	119 (81.5%)	330 (82.1%)	
<12 episodes	5 (3.4%)	19 (4.7%)	
12 episodes	22 (15.1%)	53 (13.2%)	

[†] Assessed over previous 3 months

* $p < 0.05$

Table 3

Unadjusted and adjusted associations between being previously identified as overweight and disordered eating attitudes and behaviors

			Model 1 ^a		Model 2 ^b		Model 3 ^c	
Logistic Regression								
Outcome		% (n)	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p
No Eating Disorder Diagnosis		80.5% (441)	1.00		1.00		1.00	
	DSM-5 Eating Disorder	19.5% (107)	1.37 (0.86, 2.17)	0.182	1.32 (0.81, 2.13)	0.264	1.22 (0.71, 2.10)	0.476
Linear Regression								
Outcome			B (95% CI)	p	B (95% CI)	p	B (95% CI)	p
Dietary Restraint			0.05 (−0.20, 0.29)	0.699	−0.01 (−0.27, 0.24)	0.922	0.19 (−0.09, 0.48)	0.186
Logistic Regression								
Outcome	Categories	% (n)	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p
Weight & Shape Concerns	<47 score	36.7% (201)	1.00		1.00		1.00	
	47 score	63.3% (347)	3.18 (2.02, 5.03)	<0.001 [*]	2.81 (1.74, 4.54)	<0.001 [*]	2.21 (1.29, 3.77)	0.004 [*]
Objective Binge Episodes [†]	0 episodes	77.9% (427)	1.00		1.00		1.00	
	<12 episodes	15.1% (83)	1.07 (0.62, 1.82)	0.816	0.96 (0.55, 1.67)	0.875	0.65 (0.34, 1.22)	0.180
	12 episodes	6.9% (38)	2.15 (1.09, 4.24)	0.028 [*]	1.95 (0.94, 4.04)	0.074	1.56 (0.68, 3.59)	0.294
Subjective Binge Episodes [†]	0 episodes	61.3% (336)	1.00		1.00		1.00	
	<12 episodes	19.7% (108)	1.98 (1.23, 3.19)	0.005 [*]	1.97 (1.20, 3.25)	0.008 [*]	1.53 (0.87, 2.69)	0.138
	12 episodes	18.9% (104)	2.28 (1.42, 3.67)	0.001 [*]	2.08 (1.26, 3.44)	0.004 [*]	1.71 (0.97, 3.02)	0.065
Purging Behaviors [†]	0 episodes	89.0% (487)	1.00		1.00		1.00	
	<12 episodes	7.8% (43)	1.06 (0.53, 2.13)	0.863	1.21 (0.56, 2.60)	0.628	1.85 (0.78, 4.43)	0.166
	12 episodes	3.1% (17)	0.85 (0.27, 2.64)	0.772	0.79 (0.24, 2.56)	0.689	1.68 (0.45, 6.29)	0.444
Excessive Exercise [†]	0 episodes	81.9% (449)	1.00		1.00		1.00	
	<12 episodes	4.4% (24)	0.73 (0.27, 2.00)	0.540	0.78 (0.27, 2.22)	0.641	1.68 (0.52, 5.42)	0.385

	Model 1 ^a	Model 2 ^b	Model 3 ^c	
12 episodes	13.7% (75)	1.15 (0.67, 1.97)	0.630	1.10 (0.58, 2.08) 0.770

^aModel 1: unadjusted

^bModel 2: adjusted for history of weight-related teasing, history of an eating disorder, and family history of being identified as overweight

^cModel 3: adjusted for Model 2 covariates, plus current body mass index (kg/m²)

[†]Assessed over previous 3 months

* $p < 0.05$