Published in final edited form as:

J Psychosom Res. 2015 May ; 78(5): 433–437. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2015.02.007.

Angina and Mental Stress-Induced Myocardial Ischemia

Pratik Pimple, MBBS, MPH^a, Amit J. Shah, MD, MSCR^{a,b}, Cherie Rooks, PhD^a, J. Douglas Bremner, MD^c, Jonathon Nye, PhD^d, Ijeoma Ibeanu, MPH^a, Paolo Raggi, MD, FACC^{a,b,e}, and Viola Vaccarino, MD, PhD^{a,b}

^a Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

^b Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

^c Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

^d Department of Radiology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA

^e Mazankowski Alberta Heart Institute, University of Alberta School of Medicine, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Abstract

Objective—Mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia is a common phenomenon in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) and an emerging prognostic factor. Mental stress ischemia is correlated with ambulatory ischemia. However, whether it is related to angina symptoms during daily life has not been examined.

Methods—We assessed angina-frequency (past month) in 98 post-myocardial infarction (MI) subjects (age 18–60 years) using the Seattle Angina Questionnaire. Patients underwent [^{99m}Tc]sestamibi SPECT perfusion imaging at rest, after mental stress, and after exercise/pharmacological stress. Summed scores of perfusion abnormalities were obtained by observer-independent software. A summed-difference score (SDS), the difference between stress and rest scores, was used to quantify myocardial ischemia under both stress conditions.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Address for Correspondence: Viola Vaccarino, MD, PhD, Emory University, Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, 1518 Clifton Rd NE, Room 3011, Atlanta, GA 30322, Phone: 404-727-8710; Fax: 404-727-8737; viola.vaccarino@emory.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Access to the Data: Pratik Pimple and Viola Vaccarino had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Conflicts of Interest disclosures: None of the authors report conflict of interest relevant to this article.

Disclaimer: The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

*Conflict of Interest Statement

Conflict of Interest Form – Journal of Psychosomatic Research

Results—The mean age was 50 years, 50% were female and 60% were non-white. After adjustment for age, sex, smoking, CAD-severity, depressive, anger and anxiety symptoms, each 1-point increase in mental-stress SDS was associated with 1.73-unit increase in the angina-frequency score (95% CI: 0.09-3.37) and 17% higher odds of being in a higher angina-frequency category (OR: 1.17, 95% CI: 1.00-1.38). Depressive symptoms were associated with 12% higher odds of being in a higher angina-frequency category (OR: 1.12, 95% CI: 1.03-1.21). In contrast, exercise/pharmacological stress-induced SDS was not associated with angina-frequency.

Conclusion—Among young and middle-aged post-MI patients, myocardial ischemia induced by mental stress in the lab, but not by exercise/pharmacological stress, is associated with higher frequency of retrospectively reported angina during the day. Psychosocial stressors related to mental stress ischemia may be important contributory factor to daily angina.

Keywords

Coronary artery disease; Angina; Stress

Introduction

Myocardial ischemia in response to a standardized mental stress challenge can be induced in approximately one-third to one-half of patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) [1, 2] and is associated with a 2-3 fold increased risk of future cardiac events [3]. Mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia is correlated with myocardial ischemia in daily life measured with ambulatory electrocardiographic monitoring [4, 5].

Although previous literature suggests that mental stress-induced ischemia is a silent phenomenon [1], its association with angina symptoms during daily life has not been extensively evaluated. Daily life angina is an important dimension of CAD patients' quality of life, and patients' perspectives about the impact of CAD on their health status is increasingly recognized as an essential outcome in clinical care [6]. Psychosocial factors, especially depression and anxiety, have been found to influence angina symptoms [7-9], especially in younger population [7] and mental stress-induced ischemia could be an intermediate pathway for this association.

In a sample of young or middle-aged patients with a recent myocardial infarction (MI) with large representation of women and African Americans, we sought to examine the association between propensity to develop mental stress ischemia and presence, frequency and severity of angina symptoms in the previous month. Furthermore, we contrasted the results for mental stress ischemia to those for physical stress ischemia, which was examined as a control condition using exercise or pharmacological stress testing.

Methods

Between July-2009 and April-2012, the Myocardial Infarction and Mental Stress Study enrolled 98 patients (49 male and 49 females) between ages 38-60 years with a documented history of MI in the previous 6 months. Inclusion and exclusion criteria and details of sampling were described elsewhere [10]. The study protocol was approved by the Emory

University Institutional Review Board, and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Each patient underwent three nuclear 99m-Tc-sestamibi gated Single-Photon Emission Computed-Tomography (SPECT) scans, one at rest, one with mental and one with exercise/pharmacological stress. The two stresses were conducted on separate days within one week of each other (the order was balanced), and the rest scan was obtained during the first session. All testing was done after an overnight fast, and anti-ischemic medications were held for 24 hours prior to testing. Mental stress was induced by a standardized speech stressor [11]. For physical stress, most subjects were submitted to a Bruce protocol; 16 patients unable to exercise on a treadmill underwent a pharmacological stress using regadenoson (Astellas, Northbrook, IL). Myocardial perfusion was quantified by means of the Emory Cardiac Toolbox software, which provides objective (operator-independent) quantitative assessment of perfusion with established validity [12, 13] and reproducibility [14, 15]. Extent and severity of perfusion defects were quantified at rest and with stresses by operator-independent summed scores using the 17-segment model [16]. In each segment, defect severity was quantified using a 5-point scale from normal (score=0) to absent perfusion (score=4). These scores were then summed across the 17 segments yielding a total score for rest and each stress condition. A summed difference score (SDS), quantifying the number and severity of reversible (ischemic) myocardial perfusion defects, was obtained by subtracting the rest score from each of the stress scores (mental and physical stress); a positive SDS indicates presence of reversible (ischemic) myocardial perfusion deficit.

Angina frequency during daily life was assessed with the Seattle Angina Questionnaire (SAQ)'s angina-frequency subscale [17], which measures frequency of angina and use of nitroglycerin for chest pain over the previous 4 weeks. The score ranges from 0-100, with higher scores indicating higher frequency of angina. The SAQ angina frequency scale has been validated in a variety of populations [17, 18]. In a recent trial, it was also found to correlate with electronic daily diaries for angina and nitroglycerin use cross-sectionally, as well as and longitudinally, with changes in SAQ angina frequency scores from day 1 to week 8 being correlated with changes in angina frequency documented by electronic diaries during the same period [19].

Sociodemographic factors and medical history were assessed using standardized questionnaires. Angiographic data were obtained from the coronary angiogram performed in conjunction with the index-MI. CAD severity was quantified using the Gensini semi-quantitative angiographic scoring system [20], which takes into account the degree of luminal narrowing along with a multiplier for specific coronary-tree locations. The Gensini score was based on the most recent coronary catheterization procedure; if a patient underwent revascularization, the score was calculated based on the post-revascularization angiographic results for the affected coronary segment. Depressive symptoms were assessed with the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) [21]. We also administered the State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory (STAXI-2) to measure state and trait-anger [22], the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) to measure state and trait-anxiety [23], and the Cohen's perceived stress scale to quantify level of stress perceived by the subjects [24].

Baseline characteristics of the population were compared according to increasing levels of angina-frequency severity using the following scale: score=0, no reported angina; score 1-39, less than one angina episode per week (monthly angina); and score 40, one or more angina episodes per week (daily or weekly angina) [25]. Non-parametric generalized additive models [26] were used to assess the association between angina-frequency as a continuous score (dependent variable) and mental or physical stress SDS as the main predictors, in separate models. We adjusted for *a priori* chosen covariates, including sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics (age, sex, race, and current cigarette smoking), angiographic CAD severity (Gensini score), depressive symptoms (BDI-total score), trait anger (STAXI-trait score) and trait anxiety (STAI-trait score). For the physical stress models, we additionally adjusted for type of physical stress protocol (exercise or pharmacological). We also performed ordinal logistic regression, with the three levels of angina severity described above as dependent variable and a similar set of predictor variables.

Results

The mean and median age was 50 years, and 60% of patients were non-white. There were no significant differences among angina frequency subgroups in demographic, medical history and angiographic severity of CAD, but subjects with higher angina frequency showed significantly more depressive symptoms and tended to also have higher levels of anxiety, anger and perceived stress (**Table 1**). Seventy (75%) subjects underwent percutaneous coronary interventions and 10 (11%) coronary artery bypass surgery after their index MI. The mean ($\pm$ standard deviation) for the SDS during mental stress was 2.3 ± 2.7 , with range of 0-13, and for physical stress it was 2.7 ± 3.2 , with range 0-13. The mean angina-frequency score was 16.6 ± 21.1 , with range of 0-100. Seven (9%) patients reported chest pain during exercise/pharmacological testing. These 7 subjects had a higher angina-frequency score when compared with patients reporting no chest pain during exercise/pharmacological stress (38 vs. 13, $p=0.002$). None of the patients developed chest pain during mental stress. Heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure significantly increased with both mental and physical stress, but these hemodynamic changes with physical stress were not significantly associated with angina frequency (data not shown).

In the unadjusted model, the mental stress-SDS was strongly associated with angina frequency, with each 1-point increase in SDS being associated with 2.41-unit increase in angina-frequency score (95% CI: 0.87-3.95) (**Table 2**). This association was materially unaffected by adjustment for traditional CAD risk factors and CAD severity (age, sex, race, current cigarette smoking, and Gensini-score), and remained significant even after adjustment for psychosocial risk factors (BDI-II score, trait-anger and trait-anxiety scores). In the fully adjusted model, each 1-point increase in mental stress-SDS was associated with 1.73-unit increase in angina-frequency score (95% CI: 0.09-3.37) (**Table 2**). Adjustment for receipt of revascularization after the infarction did not affect the results (data not shown). Using ordinal logistic regression, each 1-point increase in mental stress-SDS was associated with 17% higher adjusted odds of being in a higher angina frequency category (odds ratio: 1.17, 95% CI: 1.00-1.38). Patients with the highest frequency of angina had a SDS score with mental stress that was twice as high compared with subjects in the lowest angina

category (**Figure 1**). Depressive symptoms were significantly associated with angina-frequency, with each 1-point increase in BDI-total score being associated with 12% higher adjusted odds of being in a higher angina-frequency category (odds ratio: 1.12, 95% CI: 1.03-1.21). None of the other psychosocial risk factors were independently associated with angina-frequency in the final model. Addition of psychosocial factors to the model explained part of the relationship between mental stress ischemia and angina-frequency (**Table 2**).

In contrast, we found no association between angina-frequency and physical stress ischemia either in unadjusted or adjusted models (adjusted regression coefficient: 1.03, 95% CI: -0.26-2.31) (**Table 2**). Angiographic severity of CAD was also not associated with angina frequency.

Discussion

In a sample of young and middle-aged acute MI survivors, the degree of myocardial perfusion defect induced by mental stress (as measured by a higher SDS score), but not with physical stress, was directly associated with the retrospectively reported frequency of angina over the previous month: as the degree of overall defect with mental stress increased, the frequency of retrospectively reported angina increased. This association was independent of traditional CAD risk factors, CAD severity and psychosocial factors. We also found that only mental stress-induced ischemia and psychosocial factors (especially depressive symptoms) were significantly associated with angina. Surprisingly, angiographic severity of CAD and a positive stress test using exercise/pharmacological testing were not associated with retrospectively reported angina symptoms during past month. Our findings challenge the commonly held notion that obstructive CAD is the main substrate for angina. Mental stress, and psychosocial factors, are stronger correlates of angina than CAD severity in young post-MI patients.

Consistent with our findings, previous studies found no association between exercise stress ischemia and angina history [9, 27]. In the Psychophysiological Investigations of Myocardial Ischemia (PIMI) study, exercise stress ischemia was not associated with history of daily life angina, while chest pain during exercise stress testing was associated with angina in daily life [27]. Furthermore, similar to our study, there was a strong relationship between daily life angina and depressive and anxiety symptoms [28]. Patients with mental stress ischemia more often had silent ischemia during daily life than patients without mental stress ischemia, while ischemia with exercise stress was not related to daily life ischemia [4]. In contrast to our analysis, however, mental stress ischemia was not associated with daily life angina [27]. The PIMI study was conducted almost two decades ago and sample characteristics and ischemia assessment methods differed from our study [27]. It included predominantly older male and white patients with stable CAD who all had a positive exercise stress test, and myocardial ischemia was assessed using radionuclide ventriculography and electrocardiography. The assessment of mental stress ischemia has varied considerably over the years, and has included a variety of techniques and definitions, including measures of left ventricular function, electrocardiography or perfusion. These different methodologies may contribute to differences in study results. However, myocardial

perfusion imaging is considered the gold standard for ischemia assessment [29, 30]. Myocardial perfusion imaging is thought to be especially useful for the assessment of mental stress-induced ischemia as opposed to modalities based on transient left ventricular dysfunction, because the latter can be secondary to an increase in afterload from stress-induced peripheral vascular resistance, rather than ischemia [30]. Our results extend previous findings by using SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging and by including a well-characterized sample of MI patients enriched with younger individuals, women and minorities.

The underlying mechanisms for the association between reported symptoms of angina during daily life and ischemia with mental stress are unknown. Mental stress-induced ischemia correlates with ambulatory ischemia in daily life [4, 5]. Thus, the association we observed could reflect ischemic episodes triggered by psychological stress in daily life. However, since we did not observe angina during mental stress testing, this hypothesis is unlikely. Another hypothesis is that a lower pain threshold is responsible for both mental stress ischemia and a higher frequency of reported of angina. In the PIMI study, subjects with lower pain perception threshold had shorter time of onset of exercise-induced angina, but they were not significantly more likely to develop angina during either mental or exercise stress or during daily life [31]. Thus, it is unlikely that pain threshold plays a role in our results.

Alternatively, self-reported symptoms of angina may be an expression of psychological distress. We found that depressive symptoms were associated with higher angina frequency and explained some of its association with mental stress ischemia. Similarly, other studies have found that psychosocial characteristics of post-MI subjects, especially anxiety and depressive symptoms, are associated with higher levels of reported angina [32, 33]. It is also possible that a confluence of factors is necessary to trigger angina during everyday life, including subjective emotional distress and physiological changes in the neuroendocrine and vascular milieu, potentially leading to coronary microvascular dysfunction [34, 35]. Thus, psychosocial mechanisms underlying the propensity to develop ischemia with mental stress in the laboratory could be important contributing factors for angina symptoms in everyday life.

Our study has several strengths. Our sample was homogenous and well-characterized, with equal representation of women and large representation of minorities, and we used gold standard methods for assessing angina and myocardial ischemia. The main limitation is the retrospective assessment of angina symptoms, with lack of prospective diary documentation of angina symptoms. However, the SAQ is a valid tool and our approach is clinically relevant, since typically health care professionals collect history of angina retrospectively during clinical encounters. Nonetheless, future studies are needed where both angina and stress-induced ischemia are assessed prospectively and simultaneously using ambulatory monitoring devices in conjunction with electronic diaries for symptom assessment during everyday life.

In conclusion, we found that among young and middle-aged survivors of an MI, mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia is positively associated with retrospectively reported

frequency of angina over the past month, while severity of CAD and exercise/pharmacological stress ischemia are not associated with angina. Our results suggest that psychosocial and neurobiological mechanisms responsible for development of mental stress ischemia might be important contributing factors for angina symptoms in everyday life. Future studies should evaluate whether psychosocial evaluation and management are helpful for improving symptoms and quality of life in post-MI patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Sources of Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health, including R21HL093665, R21HL093665-01A1S1, R01 HL109413, and K24HL077506 to Dr. Vaccarino, and K24 MH076955 to Dr. Bremner.

Role of the Sponsor: The funding organizations had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Strike PC, Steptoe A. Systematic review of mental stress-induced myocardial ischaemia. *Eur Heart J*. 2003; 24:690–703. [PubMed: 12713764]
2. Holmes SD, Krantz DS, Rogers H, Gottdiener J, Contrada RJ. Mental stress and coronary artery disease: a multidisciplinary guide. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2006; 49:106–22. [PubMed: 17046436]
3. Sheps DS, McMahon RP, Becker L, Carney RM, Freedland KE, Cohen JD, et al. Mental stress-induced ischemia and all-cause mortality in patients with coronary artery disease: Results from the Psychophysiological Investigations of Myocardial Ischemia study. *Circulation*. 2002; 105:1780–4. [PubMed: 11956119]
4. Stone PH, Krantz DS, McMahon RP, Goldberg AD, Becker LC, Chaitman BR, et al. Relationship among mental stress-induced ischemia and ischemia during daily life and during exercise: The psychophysiologic investigations of myocardial ischemia (PIMI) Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1999; 33:1477–84.
5. Blumenthal JA, Jiang W, Waugh RA, Frid DJ, Morris JJ, Coleman RE, et al. Mental stress-induced ischemia in the laboratory and ambulatory ischemia during daily life. Association and hemodynamic features. *Circulation*. 1995; 92:2102–8. [PubMed: 7554188]
6. Spertus JA. Evolving applications for patient-centered health status measures. *Circulation*. 2008; 118:2103–10. [PubMed: 19001034]
7. Maddox TM, Reid KJ, Spertus JA, Mittleman M, Krumholz HM, Parashar S, et al. Angina at 1 year after myocardial infarction: prevalence and associated findings. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008; 168:1310–6. [PubMed: 18574088]
8. Sheps DS, Creed F, Clouse RE. Chest pain in patients with cardiac and noncardiac disease. *Psychosomatic medicine*. 2004; 66:861–7. [PubMed: 15564350]
9. Sullivan MD, Ciechanowski PS, Russo JE, Spertus JA, Soine LA, Jordan-Keith K, et al. Angina pectoris during daily activities and exercise stress testing: The role of inducible myocardial ischemia and psychological distress. *Pain*. 2008; 139:551–61. [PubMed: 18694624]
10. Vaccarino V, Shah AJ, Rooks C, Ibeanu I, Nye JA, Pimple P, et al. Sex differences in mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia in young survivors of an acute myocardial infarction. *Psychosom Med*. 2014; 76:171–80. [PubMed: 24608039]
11. Kaufmann PG, McMahon RP, Becker LC, Bertolet B, Bonsall R, Chaitman B, et al. The Psychophysiological Investigations of Myocardial Ischemia (PIMI) study: objective, methods, and variability of measures. *Psychosom Med*. 1998; 60:56–63. [PubMed: 9492241]
12. Esteves FP, Galt JR, Folks RD, Verdes L, Garcia EV. Diagnostic performance of low-dose rest/stress Tc-99m tetrofosmin myocardial perfusion SPECT using the 530c CZT camera: quantitative vs visual analysis. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 2014; 21:158–65. [PubMed: 24287713]

13. Arsanjani R, Xu Y, Hayes SW, Fish M, Lemley M Jr, Gerlach J, et al. Comparison of fully automated computer analysis and visual scoring for detection of coronary artery disease from myocardial perfusion SPECT in a large population. *J Nucl Med*. 2013; 54:221–8. [PubMed: 23315665]
14. Udelson JE. Lessons from the development of new adenosine A2A receptor agonists. *JACC Cardiovascular imaging*. 2008; 1:317–20. [PubMed: 19356443]
15. Mahmorian JJ, Cerqueira MD, Iskandrian AE, Bateman TM, Thomas GS, Hendel RC, et al. Regadenoson induces comparable left ventricular perfusion defects as adenosine: a quantitative analysis from the ADVANCE MPI 2 trial. *JACC Cardiovascular imaging*. 2009; 2:959–68. [PubMed: 19679284]
16. Garcia EV, Faber TL, Cooke CD, Folks RD, Chen J, Santana C. The increasing role of quantification in clinical nuclear cardiology: the Emory approach. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 2007; 14:420–32. [PubMed: 17679051]
17. Spertus JA, Winder JA, Dewhurst TA, Deyo RA, Prodzinski J, McDonell M, et al. Development and evaluation of the Seattle Angina Questionnaire: a new functional status measure for coronary artery disease. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 1995; 25:333–41. [PubMed: 7829785]
18. Kimble LP, Dunbar SB, Weintraub WS, McGuire DB, Fazio S, De AK, et al. The Seattle angina questionnaire: reliability and validity in women with chronic stable angina. *Heart disease (Hagerstown, Md)*. 2002; 4:206–11.
19. Arnold SV, Kosiborod M, Li Y, Jones PG, Yue P, Belardinelli L, et al. Comparison of the Seattle Angina Questionnaire With Daily Angina Diary in the TERISA Clinical Trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2014; 7:844–50. [PubMed: 25249560]
20. Gensini GG. A more meaningful scoring system for determining the severity of coronary heart disease. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1983; 51:606. [PubMed: 6823874]
21. Beck, AT.; Steer, RA.; Brown, GK. BDI-II. Beck Depression Inventory: Second Edition. The Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, TX: 1996.
22. Spielberger, CD. Professional manual for the State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory. Research Ed.. University of South Florida; Tampa, Fla: 1988.
23. Spielberger, CD.; Gorsuch, RL.; Lushene, RE. State-Trait Anxiety (STAI) manual. Consulting Psychologists Press; Palo Alto, CA: 1970.
24. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of health and social behavior*. 1983; 24:385–96.
25. Parashar S, Rumsfeld JS, Spertus JA, Reid KJ, Wenger NK, Krumholz HM, et al. Time course of depression and outcome of myocardial infarction. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006; 166:2035–43. [PubMed: 17030839]
26. Hastie, TJ.; Tibshirani, RJ. Generalized additive models. 1 ed.. CRC Press; Boca Raton: 1990.
27. Sheps DS, McMahon RP, Pepine CJ, Stone PH, Goldberg AD, Taylor H, et al. Heterogeneity among cardiac ischemic and anginal responses to exercise, mental stress, and daily life. *Am J Cardiol*. 1998; 82:1–6. [PubMed: 9670999]
28. Ketterer MW, Bekkouche NS, Goldberg AD, McMahon RP, Krantz DS. Symptoms of anxiety and depression are correlates of angina pectoris by recent history and an ischemia-positive treadmill test in patients with documented coronary artery disease in the pimi study. *Cardiovascular psychiatry and neurology*. 2011; 2011:134040. [PubMed: 22175000]
29. Kim CK, Bartholomew BA, Mastin ST, Taasan VC, Carson KM, Sheps DS. Detection and reproducibility of mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia with Tc-99m sestamibi SPECT in normal and coronary artery disease populations. *Journal of nuclear cardiology : official publication of the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology*. 2003; 10:56–62. [PubMed: 12569332]
30. Krantz DS, Burg MM. Current perspective on mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia. *Psychosomatic medicine*. 2014; 76:168–70. [PubMed: 24677165]
31. Sheps DS, McMahon RP, Light KC, Maixner W, Pepine CJ, Cohen JD, et al. Low hot pain threshold predicts shorter time to exercise-induced angina: results from the psychophysiological investigations of myocardial ischemia (PIMI) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1999; 33:1855–62. [PubMed: 10362185]

32. Arnold SV, Spertus JA, Ciechanowski PS, Soine LA, Jordan-Keith K, Caldwell JH, et al. Psychosocial modulators of angina response to myocardial ischemia. *Circulation*. 2009; 120:126–33. [PubMed: 19564560]
33. Trivedi R, Gerrity M, Rumsfeld JS, Spertus JA, Sun H, McDonell M, et al. Angina Symptom Burden Associated with Depression Status Among Veterans with Ischemic Heart Disease. *Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*. 2014
34. Soufer R, Jain H, Yoon AJ. Heart-brain interactions in mental stress-induced myocardial ischemia. *Curr Cardiol Rep*. 2009; 11:133–40. [PubMed: 19236829]
35. Ramadan R, Sheps D, Esteves F, Zafari AM, Bremner JD, Vaccarino V, et al. Myocardial ischemia during mental stress: role of coronary artery disease burden and vasomotion. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2013; 2:e000321. [PubMed: 24145741]

Highlights

- We examined the association between mental stress myocardial ischemia and angina
- We studied patients who had a myocardial infarction in past 6 months
- Mental stress ischemia was associated with angina frequency in daily life
- No association between exercise/pharmacological stress ischemia and angina
- Psychosocial stress related to mental stress ischemia contributes to daily angina

Figure 1. Myocardial perfusion summed difference score (SDS), quantifying myocardial ischemia, with mental stress, according to angina-frequency levels
Shown are mental-stress mean SDS and standard errors according to graded categories of angina-frequency. Numbers on bars indicate number of patients.

Table 1
Descriptive characteristics of the study population according to angina-frequency score categories.

Variables	No angina in past month (Angina Frequency Score = 0) (N= 39)	Monthly angina episodes Angina Frequency Score >0 and <40) (N= 43)	Daily or weekly angina episodes (Angina Frequency Score 40) (N= 16)	Total population (N= 98)
Demographics				
Age, Mean (SD)	50 (6)	50 (5)	52 (7)	50 (6)
Age 50 years (%)	22 (56%)	21 (49%)	6 (38%)	49 (50%)
Female (%)	16 (41%)	23 (54%)	10 (63%)	49 (50%)
Non-white (%)	22 (56%)	25 (58%)	12 (75%)	59 (60%)
Below poverty line (%)	11 (29%)	13 (31%)	7 (44%)	31 (32%)
Current smokers (%)	7 (18%)	15 (35%)	6 (38%)	28 (29%)
Medical factors				
Hypertension (%)	24 (63%)	31 (72%)	12 (75%)	67 (69%)
Hyperlipidemia (%)	29 (76%)	28 (65%)	14 (88%)	71 (73%)
Diabetes (%)	6 (16%)	10 (23%)	4 (25%)	20 (21%)
BMI, Mean (SD)	30 (5)	31 (7)	31 (7)	31 (6)
Obese (BMI 30 kg/m ²) (%)	16 (41%)	21 (49%)	8 (50%)	45 (46%)
Gensini score, Mean (SD)	21 (34)	15 (33)	14 (26)	17 (32)
Psychological factors				
BDI-II score, Mean (SD) ^a	8 (7)	12 (9)	17 (8)	11 (9)
Anger-state, Mean (SD) ^b	17 (3)	18 (8)	22 (11)	18 (8)
Anger-trait, Mean (SD)	15 (4)	16 (5)	18 (7)	16 (5)
Anxiety-state, Mean (SD) ^b	36 (11)	38 (11)	43 (10)	38 (11)
Anxiety-trait, Mean (SD)	38 (10)	38 (11)	43 (11)	39 (11)
Perceived-stress, Mean (SD) ^a	15 (9)	16 (7)	21 (5)	16 (8)

^aP value < 0.05

^bP value = 0.07; SD: standard deviation; BMI: Body Mass Index; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory.

Table 2

Association between myocardial perfusion summed difference score (SDS) with mental or physical (exercise or pharmacological) stress and angina frequency.

Stressor	<u>Model 1</u>		<u>Model 2</u>		<u>Model 3</u>	
	β (95% CI)	P	β (95% CI)	P	β (95% CI)	P
Mental	2.41 (0.87 - 3.95)	0.003	2.14 (0.60 - 3.68)	0.008	1.73 (0.09 - 3.37)	0.04
Physical *	1.01 (-0.26 - 2.29)	0.12	0.91 (-0.40 - 2.22)	0.18	0.97 (-0.34 - 2.28)	0.15

β : Unit change in angina frequency score per one unit increase in SDS with mental/physical stress.

Model 1: Unadjusted model

Model 2: Adjusted for age, gender, race, smoking status, and Gensini score.

Model 3: Adjusted for all the covariates in model 2 + BDI-II score, anger-trait and anxiety-trait.

* For physical stress, model 2 and 3 were also adjusted for type of stress (exercise or pharmacological).