Published in final edited form as:

Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014 May ; 210(5): 423.e1–423.e6. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2014.01.032.

Pelvic Pain and Mode of Delivery

Joan L. Blomquist, MD^a, Kelly Mcdermott, BS^b, and Victoria L. Handa, MD, MHS^c

^aDepartment of Gynecology, Greater Baltimore Medical Center, Baltimore, MD

^bDepartment of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD

^cDepartment of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

Abstract

Objective—To determine the long-term effect of mode of delivery on the prevalence and severity of pelvic pain.

Study Design—Six to 11 years after a first delivery, pelvic pain (dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, and pelvic pain not related to menses or intercourse) was measured using the Oxfordshire Women's Health Study Questionnaire. Obstetrical exposures were assessed by review of the hospital delivery record. The prevalence of moderate to severe pelvic pain was compared between the 577 women who delivered via cesarean delivery for all births and the 538 who delivered at least one child vaginally. Other obstetrical exposures were also studied.

Results—Prevalence of pelvic pain was similar between women who delivered vaginally and by cesarean. Among women who have delivered vaginally, those who experienced at least one forcep delivery and women who delivered at least one baby 4kg vaginally reported a higher rate of dyspareunia. Perineal trauma was not associated with dyspareunia.

Conclusion—Forceps delivery and a vaginal delivery of a baby 4kg are associated with dyspareunia 6–11 years after vaginal birth. Vaginal birth is not associated with a higher rate of pelvic pain when compared to cesarean delivery.

Keywords

pelvic pain; mode of delivery; dyspareunia; dysmenorrhea

Introduction

Pelvic pain is common, accounting for 10% of all ambulatory referrals to a gynecologist¹. Prevalence rates range from 4–15% depending on the population studied^{2,3}. Several

© 2014 Mosby, Inc. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Joan L Blomquist, MD, 6569 North Charles Street, Suite 307, Baltimore, MD 21204, 443-849-2767 (work), 443-802-8918 (cell), 443-849-8050 (fax), jblomqui@gbmc.org.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The authors report no conflict of interest

previous studies have suggested that mode of delivery may have an impact on the development of pelvic pain.

During a vaginal delivery, descent of fetal head and stretching of the perineum can cause direct tissue and neuromuscular injury. Prior to vaginal birth, the area of the levator hiatus is 6–36 cm². The area of the average fetal head in the plane of minimal diameter measures 70–90 cm². Stretching of the tissues to accommodate the fetal head may result in tears of the levator ani muscles as well as the perineum. Quinn et al recently found an association between levator ani lacerations and unexplained chronic pelvic pain⁴.

Cesarean delivery has also been advocated as a cause of pelvic pain. Twelve percent of women continue to report pain related to their cesarean delivery 10.2 months postoperatively⁵. Potential causes of pain related to cesarean delivery include ilioinguinal or iliohypogastric nerve entrapment⁶, uterine scar defect⁷, and pelvic adhesions⁸. Almeida et al reported that cesarean delivery is a risk factor for chronic pelvic pain with an Odd Ratio (OR) of 3.7 and 95% Confidence Limit (CL) 1.7 to 7.7⁸.

The only comparative study on the topic is the Term Breech Trial⁹. A subset of participants was asked about pelvic pain 2 years or more postpartum. Based on intent to treat, there was no difference in pain during sex, pain on the outside of the abdomen, pain deep inside the abdomen, and pain in the genital areas between women planning a vaginal birth and those planning a cesarean birth. It is important to note that 50% of the women planning a vaginal birth actually delivered by cesarean delivery. Therefore, this study leaves unanswered questions with regards to pain and mode of delivery.

The objective of this study was to assess the effect of mode of delivery on pelvic pain 6–11 years after the first delivery. Specifically, in the setting of a longitudinal cohort study, we investigated whether mode of delivery (vaginal birth ever vs. cesarean delivery only) is associated with dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, and pelvic pain not associated with menses or intercourse. We also assessed the effect of other maternal and obstetrical characteristics on pelvic pain.

Methods

This was a planned supplementary analysis of data collected for the Mothers' Outcomes after Delivery (MOAD) study, a longitudinal cohort study of maternal health outcomes after childbirth. The study began recruitment in 2008 and is ongoing. The study details have been previously described¹⁰. Briefly, women are recruited 5–10 years after delivery of their first child at Greater Baltimore Medical Center. Recruitment is based on delivery type (cesarean without labor, cesarean with labor, vaginal birth) and matched for maternal age and years since delivery. As previously reported¹⁰, participation in this research was similar across birth groups. Participants are seen on an annual basis at which time a physical exam is performed and questionnaires are completed. Institutional review board approval was obtained for this research and all participants provided informed consent.

Questions about pelvic pain are asked at the first follow-up MOAD visit, approximately one year after a woman's baseline visit, and 6–11 years after her first delivery. The Oxfordshire

Women's Health Study¹¹ Questionnaire is used to assess prevalence, severity and frequency of pelvic pain. The questionnaire is a validated tool and has previously been used to study gynecologic pain populations.^{11,12} At the time of this analysis, 1,497 women were enrolled in the MOAD study, and 1,416 (95%) were eligible for the first follow-up survey. Among those who were eligible, 1129 (80%) completed the survey (including the Oxfordshire Questionnaire), 230 (15%) did not participate in this follow up study, and 57 (4%) were still pending at the time of this analysis. Of the 1129 who completed the survey, fourteen women were excluded from the analysis because they reported having surgery for pelvic pain prior to their first delivery, leaving 1,115 for the analysis.

The primary outcome for this analysis was moderate or severe pelvic pain as determined by the Oxfordshire Questionnaire. The questionnaire explores 3 types of pelvic pain: dysmenorrhea (pain associated with menses), dyspareunia (pain associated with sexual intercourse), and pelvic pain not associated with menses or intercourse. Women who are still menstruating are asked about dysmenorrhea. Those who report dysmenorrhea are asked how often the painful periods occurred over the past year: 'Never', 'Occasionally (with <25% of my periods)', 'Often (with 25–50% of my periods)', 'Usually (with >50% of my periods)', or 'Always (with every period).' They are also asked to classify their pain as 'None', 'Mild', 'Moderate' or 'Severe.' Women who report having intercourse in the past year are asked similar questions regarding dyspareunia. In addition to the frequency and severity questions, women reporting dyspareunia are asked whether their pain occurred during intercourse, in the 24 hours after intercourse, or both during and in the 24 hours after intercourse. All women participating in the survey are asked about pelvic pain not associated with menses and intercourse, and those who report having pain are asked to classify the severity of their pain in the same fashion as mentioned above.

The main exposure of interest was vaginal birth. Women who had at least one vaginal delivery were compared with women who delivered all of their children by cesarean. The delivery records for every GBMC delivery were reviewed by a MOAD physician and the following obstetrical exposures were recorded: vaginal birth, prolonged second stage (greater than two hours), vaginal delivery with birth weight greater than or equal to 4,000 grams, perineal laceration, episiotomy, anal sphincter laceration, and operative vaginal delivery (vacuum or forceps). The 1,115 women in this analysis contributed 2,126 delivery records to the study; of those, only 107 (5%) deliveries occurred at outside hospitals. In cases where the woman delivered somewhere other than GBMC or the information was missing on the chart, the woman's self-reported history was used. In addition to the obstetrical exposures, we also considered the following confounders: age at first follow-up, years from first delivery to first follow-up, race, maternal age at first delivery, multiparity, and obesity at time of first follow-up. Obesity was defined as a body mass index of 30 kg/m² or greater.

In order to compare maternal characteristics by mode of delivery, p-values were calculated using a Fisher's Exact Test for categorical variables and a Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables. Chi-squared tests were run to detect differences in pelvic pain prevalence, severity and frequency by mode of delivery. To identify differences in prevalence of moderate to severe pain by maternal characteristics and obstetrical exposures,

we used multivariate logistic regression, modeling pelvic pain on the characteristic of interest, age greater than 40 years and BMI greater than or equal to 30 kg/m². All analysis was performed using SAS 9.2[®] statistical software. Statistical significance was defined at the $\alpha=0.05$ level.

Results

One thousand, one hundred and fifteen women completed the first follow-up visit and are included in this analysis. There was no difference in participation between women who had delivered vaginally versus those who had delivered exclusively by cesarean. Five hundred and seventy-seven delivered by cesarean delivery only and 538 had at least one vaginal birth. The maternal characteristics, by delivery group, are listed in Table 1. Women who delivered by cesarean were significantly more likely to be obese, and women with at least one vaginal delivery were more likely to have experienced a prolonged second stage of labor. Of those women who experienced at least one vaginal birth, 14% (75) delivered at least one infant ≥ 4 kg vaginally, 62% (331) had at least one perineal laceration, 55% (297) had at least one episiotomy, 18% (99) had at least one anal sphincter lacerations, and 25% (132) had at least one operative delivery (data not shown).

Pelvic pain by mode of delivery is summarized in Table 2. Women who delivered by cesarean delivery only were more likely to report moderate to severe dysmenorrhea ($p=0.020$). We found no other significant differences between delivery groups in the prevalence or severity of pelvic pain. Eighty one (7%) of women experienced both a vaginal and cesarean delivery, and therefore would carry risk factors for both types of deliveries. In a separate sensitivity analysis that excluded these 81 women, our primary conclusions were unchanged.

Overall, dysmenorrhea was common in our population with 42% of women reporting pain with menses. Dyspareunia was also relatively common (21%), however, very few women reported moderate to severe dyspareunia or a decrease in frequency of intercourse due to dyspareunia. One hundred and ninety one (17%) women had talked to a healthcare provider about pain, and nineteen women (2%) reported having surgery for pelvic pain. The median age of women at the time of their surgery was 36 years (IQR: 32, 39), with no difference noted between groups for prevalence or age at surgery.

Moderate to severe pelvic pain based on maternal and obstetrical characteristics is presented in Table 3 (adjusted for age >40 years and BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²). Dysmenorrhea was reported more frequently by younger and obese women and less frequently among women using hormonal contraception. Although moderate or severe dysmenorrhea was significantly more common among women who delivered by cesarean delivery (Table 2), this relationship loses significance after adjusting for age and obesity (Table 3). In a post-hoc analysis, our study had had 80% power to detect a 9% difference in dysmenorrhea. Among those who had at least one vaginal birth, moderate to severe dyspareunia was more common among those who experienced a vaginal delivery of a baby ≥ 4 kg and those who had at least one forcep delivery. Moderate or severe pain unrelated to menses or intercourse was not associated with any of the maternal or obstetrical characteristics studied.

In order to better distinguish risk factors that contribute to pelvic pain, moderate to severe dyspareunia was modeled on age greater than forty, BMI greater than or equal to 30 kg/m², vaginal delivery of a baby < 4kg, perineal trauma status (history of both episiotomy and laceration, at least one episiotomy and no lacerations, at least one laceration and no episiotomies, or neither), and history of forceps delivery. The model, restricted to sexually active women with a history of vaginal delivery, revealed that after adjusting for the other risk factors, only vaginal delivery of a baby < 4kg (OR (95% CL): 2.45 (1.02, 5.93)) and forceps delivery (OR (95% CL): 4.04 (1.69, 9.66)) significantly predicted moderate to severe dyspareunia.

Comment

The most important finding of this research is that the prevalence of pelvic pain was similar between women who delivered vaginally and those who delivered by cesarean delivery. We found no association between route of delivery (vaginal vs. cesarean) and report of dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, or pelvic pain not associated with menses or intercourse 6–11 years after a first delivery. As noted, our study had had 80% power to detect a 9% difference in the proportion of women with dysmenorrhea.

Dysmenorrhea was more common among younger women and obese women, but was not associated with mode of delivery or any of the obstetrical characteristics studied. One previous study⁷ reported an association between dysmenorrhea and uterine scar dehiscence based on transvaginal ultrasound studies. The number of previous cesarean deliveries correlated with size of the defect and increased risk of dysmenorrhea and pelvic pain. Others have suggested that an incision in the uterus may predispose one to adenomyosis and thus dysmenorrhea. Our study does not suggest an association between cesarean birth and dysmenorrhea.

Among women who delivered vaginally, dyspareunia was reported more frequently among women who experienced at least one forcep delivery and among those who experienced a vaginal delivery of at least one child < 4kg. We found no conclusive association between perineal trauma and pelvic pain. Perineal trauma is a known cause of immediate postpartum pain and dyspareunia^{12,13}. For example, in a retrospective cohort study of 615 primiparous women, Signorello et al¹³ showed that dyspareunia at 3 months postpartum was associated with the degree of perineal trauma, with a second degree episiotomy or tear doubling the odds for dyspareunia (OR (95% CL): 1.8 (1.2,2.8)) and a third or fourth degree tear increasing the odds almost four-fold (OR (95% CL): 3.7 (1.7,7.7)) compared to no perineal trauma. The difference dissipated at 6 months postpartum, suggesting that this association pertains only to the first few months after delivery.

Systematic reviews comparing episiotomy to spontaneous laceration have suggested that episiotomy is associated with more perineal trauma. However, episiotomy has not been shown to be associated with dyspareunia^{14–16}. Similarly, our study shows no association between dyspareunia and perineal trauma, episiotomy, or anal sphincter laceration. This finding may be related to the long interval between delivery and questionnaire in our study compared to the short term outcome in other studies.

Our study showed an increase in dyspareunia among women who delivered at least one child with forceps. In addition to an increased risk of perineal trauma, forcep deliveries have been shown to be associated with levator ani lacerations^{17–19}. Likewise, levator ani trauma has been shown to be associated with pelvic pain. In a case control study, Quinn⁴ showed a higher rate of levator ani injury in women with unexplained chronic pelvic pain (20/26) compared to controls (2/32). We speculate that levator ani injury may contribute to increased pain seen in our participants who delivered with forceps.

The strengths of our study include the large sample size, use of a validated questionnaire, long term follow-up, and review of all hospital records to confirm maternal and obstetrical exposures. In addition, our groups are based on actual route of delivery rather than intent to treat as with the Term Breech Trial.

One of the weaknesses of this study is that we have information about pelvic pain at only one point in time. For example, a prior history of dysmenorrhea was not assessed among women who were no longer menstruating (15% of cesarean delivery and 11% of vaginal delivery participants). Thus, we do not know if these women had dysmenorrhea at any other time since delivery. Similarly, if a woman did not have intercourse over the prior year, she was not asked about dyspareunia. In addition, we do not have information about other causes of pelvic pain such as endometriosis, pelvic inflammatory disease, and fibroids. Finally, because our participants agreed to participate in a longitudinal cohort study, they may differ from other parous women. The most notable example is that these participants volunteered for research that requires an annual gynecologic examination. We speculate that women with severe pain and dyspareunia may be less likely to participate in this type of research. However, this would be unlikely to threaten the internal validity of our investigation.

In conclusion, our study shows that vaginal birth is not associated with a higher prevalence of dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, or pelvic pain not associated with menses or intercourse as compared to cesarean delivery. However, we did find a higher rate of dyspareunia among women who experienced at least one forcep delivery and women who delivered at least one baby 4kg vaginally. Physicians will find this information helpful in counseling patients as they prepare for childbirth.

Acknowledgments

Support provided by the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01HD056275)

References

1. Reiter RC. A profile of women with chronic pelvic pain. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.* 1990; 33:130. [PubMed: 2178830]
2. Lippman SA, Warner M, Samuels S, Olive D, Vercellini P, Eskenazi B. Uterine fibroids and gynecologic pain symptoms in a population-based study. *Fertil Steril.* 2003; 80(6):1488. [PubMed: 14667888]
3. Mathias SD, Kuppermann M, Lerman RF, Lipshetz RC, Steege JF. Chronic pelvic pain: prevalence, health-related quality of life, and economic correlates. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1996; 87(3):321. [PubMed: 8598948]

4. Quinn M. Injuries to the levator ani in unexplained chronic pelvic pain. *J of Obstet Gynecol.* 2007; 27(8):828–837. [PubMed: 18097905]
5. Nikolajsen L. Chronic pain following cesarean section. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2004; 48:111–116. [PubMed: 14674981]
6. Loos MJ, Scheltinga JR, Mulders LG, Roumen RM. The pfannenstiel incision as a source of chronic pain. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2008; 111:839–846. [PubMed: 18378742]
7. Wang CB, Chiu WW, Lee CY, Sun YL, Lin YH, Tseng CJ. Cesarean scar defect: correlation between cesarean section number, defect size, clinical symptoms and uterine position. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2009; 34(1):85–89. [PubMed: 19565535]
8. Almeida ECS, Nogueira AA, Cadido dos Reis FJ, Rosa e Silva JC. Cesarean section as a cause of chronic pelvic pain. *Int J Gynecol and Obstet.* 2002; 79:101–104.
9. Hannah ME, Whyte H, Hannah WJ, Hewson S, Amankwah K, Cheng M, et al. Maternal outcomes at 2 years after planned cesarean section vs. planned vaginal birth for breech presentation at term: The international randomized Term Breech Trial. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecol.* 2004; 191:917–927.
10. Handa VL, Blomquist JL, Knoepp LR, Hoskey KA, McDermott KC, Muñoz A. Pelvic floor disorders 5–10 years after vaginal or cesarean childbirth *Obstet. Gynecol.* 2011; 118(4):777–784.
11. Zondervan KT, Yudkin PL, Vessey MP, Jenkinson CP, Dawes MG, Barlow DH, et al. Chronic pelvic pain in the community-symptoms, investigations, and diagnoses. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2001; 184(6):1149–1155. [PubMed: 11349181]
12. Muhamad Y, Nossier S, El-Dawaiaty A. Chronic pelvic pain among women in Alexandria, Egypt. *J Egypt Public Health Assoc.* 2011 Jan 01.
13. Macarthur AJ, Macarthur C. Incidence, severity, and determinants of perineal pain after vaginal delivery, a prospective cohort study. *Am J Obstet gynecol.* 2004; 191:1199. [PubMed: 15507941]
14. Signorello CB, Harlow BL, Chekos AK, Repke JT. Postpartum sexual functioning and its relationship to perineal trauma: a retrospective cohort study of primiparous women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2001; 184:881. [PubMed: 11303195]
15. Eason E, Labrecque M, Wells G, Feldman P. Preventing perineal trauma during childbirth: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2000; 95:464. [PubMed: 10711565]
16. Hartmann K, Viswanathan M, Palmieri R. Outcomes of routine episiotomy: a systematic review. *JAMA.* 2005; 293:2141. [PubMed: 15870418]
17. Carrili G, Mignini L. Episiotomy for childbirth. *Cochrane Database for systematic reviews.* 2012; 11
18. Smith LA, Price N, Simonite V, Burns EE. Incidence of and risk factors for perineal trauma: a prospective observational study. *Pregnancy and Childbirth.* 2013; 13:59. [PubMed: 23497085]
19. DeLancey JO, Kearney R, Chou Q, et al. The appearance of levator ani muscle abnormalities in magnetic resonance images after vaginal delivery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2003; 100:46. [PubMed: 12517644]
20. Kearney R, Miller JM, Ashton-Miller JA, DeLancey JO. Obstetrical factors associated with levator ani injury after vaginal birth. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006; 107:144. [PubMed: 16394052]

Table 1

Maternal Characteristics (Median (IQR) or % (N)) of N=1,115 participants, by delivery group

Characteristic	Cesarean delivery only (N=577)	At least 1 Vaginal Birth (N=538)	P value
Age at first follow-up, years	39.8 (36.7, 44.0)	40.1 (36.6, 43.6)	0.649
Years from 1 st delivery	7.8 (6.9, 9.6)	7.8 (6.8, 9.6)	0.990
African American race	16% (91)	12% (63)	0.056
Maternal age >35 years at first delivery	28% (159)	25% (137)	0.456
Multiparity	70% (401)	77% (415)	0.005
BMI ≥30kg/m ² at first follow-up	34% (196)	18% (99)	<0.001
History of a prolonged second stage	18% (104)	28% (148)	<0.001

Table 2

Pelvic pain based on mode of delivery for N=1115

Pain	Mode of Delivery		p value
	Cesarean Delivery Only N = 577	At Least 1 Vaginal Birth N=538	
Dysmenorrhea^a			
No longer menstruating	86/558 (15%)	55/521 (11%)	0.056
Menstruating without pelvic pain	242/558 (43%)	245/521 (47%)	
Menstruating with pelvic pain	230/558 (41%)	221/521 (42%)	
Among menstruating women...			
Pelvic pain occurs often, usually, or always	148/472 (31%)	126/466 (27%)	0.152
Moderate/severe pain	134/472 (28%)	101/466 (22%)	0.020
Dyspareunia^b			
No Intercourse in the past year	30/559 (5%)	24/513 (5%)	0.866
Intercourse without pelvic pain	409/559 (73%)	380/513 (74%)	
Intercourse with pelvic pain	120/559 (21%)	109/513 (21%)	
Among women who've had intercourse in the past year...			
Pelvic pain occurs often, usually, always	32/529 (6%)	38/489 (8%)	0.322
Decreased frequency of intercourse due to pain			0.722
Yes	36/529 (7%)	28/489 (6%)	
Not Sure	8/529 (2%)	9/489 (2%)	
Timing:			0.834
Pelvic pain during intercourse	50/529 (9%)	50/489 (10%)	
Pelvic pain after intercourse	28/529 (5%)	27/489 (6%)	
Pelvic pain both during and after intercourse	42/529 (8%)	32/489 (7%)	
Moderate/severe pain during intercourse	30/529 (6%)	23/489 (5%)	0.573
Moderate/severe pain after intercourse	20/529 (4%)	10/489 (2%)	0.137
Pelvic pain not associated with menses or intercourse^c	120/555 (22%)	87/515 (17%)	0.053
Moderate/severe pain	30/555 (5%)	24/515 (5%)	0.675

^aN=36 women were 'Not Sure' if they experienced pelvic pain with periods and were excluded from the dysmenorrhea portion of the table.

^bN=43 women were 'Not Sure' if they experienced pelvic pain during or after intercourse and were excluded from the dyspareunia portion of the table.

^cN=45 women were 'Not Sure' if they experienced pelvic pain not associated with menses or intercourse and were excluded from the unassociated pain portion of the table.

Pelvic pain by maternal characteristics and obstetrical outcomes, N=1,115, adjusted for age >40 and BMI 30kg/m²

Table 3

Characteristic ^d	Moderate or Severe Dysmenorrhea N=938 ^b	Moderate or Severe Dyspareunia during and/or after Intercourse N=1,018 ^c	Moderate or Severe Pelvic Pain Unrelated to Periods or Intercourse N=1,070 ^d
Overall	25% (235/938) ^b	7% (69/1018) ^c	5% (54/1,070) ^d
	% (Yes/Total)	% (Yes/Total)	% (Yes/Total)
Primary Race			
Caucasian/Other	25% (199/811)	7% (58/875)	5% (47/923)
Black	28% (36/127)	8% (11/143)	5% (7/147)
Maternal age>35 yrs at 1 st delivery			
Yes	18% (43/233)	7% (19/263)	5% (14/286)
No	27% (192/705)	7% (50/755)	5% (40/784)
Hormonal contraception use			
Yes	17% (27/162)	4% (7/177)	3% (6/181)
No	27% (208/776)	7% (62/841)	5% (48/889)
Multiparous			
Yes	25% (176/703)	6% (47/748)	5% (40/782)
No	25% (59/235)	8% (22/270)	5% (14/288)
Obstetrical exposure group			
Cesarean Only	28% (134/472)	8% (41/529)	5% (30/555)
At Least One Vaginal Birth	22% (101/466)	6% (28/489)	5% (24/515)
Prolonged second stage ^e			
Yes	21% (44/214)	8% (19/233)	5% (13/247)
No	25% (137/550)	6% (34/590)	4% (27/616)
vaginal delivery of a baby 4kg ever ^f	0.608	0.036	0.325

Characteristic ^d	Moderate or Severe Dysmenorrhea N=938 ^b	Moderate or Severe Dyspareunia during and/or after Intercourse N=1,018 ^c	Moderate or Severe Pelvic Pain Unrelated to Periods or Intercourse N=1,070 ^d
Yes	19% (12/64)	11% (8/70)	7% (5/73)
No	22% (89/402)	5% (20/419)	4% (19/442)
Perineal laceration ever ^f	0.542	0.009	0.720
Yes	23% (65/286)	4% (11/307)	4% (14/318)
No	20% (36/180)	9% (17/182)	5% (10/197)
Episiotomy ever ^f	0.065	0.347	0.365
Yes	18% (48/265)	7% (18/268)	4% (11/286)
No	26% (53/201)	5% (10/221)	6% (13/229)
Anal sphincter laceration ever ^f	0.618	0.199	0.803
Yes	19% (17/89)	9% (8/92)	4% (4/97)
No	22% (84/377)	5% (20/397)	5% (20/418)
History of Operative Deliveries ^f	0.384	0.006	0.802
Spontaneous Only	21% (76/356)	4% (16/367)	5% (18/387)
Vacuum without Forceps	21% (10/48)	4% (2/52)	4% (2/53)
At Least One Forceps Delivery	24% (15/62)	14% (10/70)	5% (4/75)

^a As measured at the 2nd MOAD visit, unless otherwise noted

^b Among menstruating women who verified whether or not they had dysmenorrhea

^c Among sexually active women who verified whether or not they had dyspareunia

^d Among women who verified whether or not they had pelvic pain unrelated to menses or intercourse

^e Among those who experienced the second stage of labor at least once

^f Among those who experienced at least one vaginal birth

^g All P-values have been adjusted for age > 40 years and BMI 30 kg/m²