Published in final edited form as:

Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Dement. 2015 June ; 30(4): 412–416. doi:10.1177/1533317514552667.

The Chinese Verbal Learning Test Specifically Assesses Hippocampal State

Richard M. Tsai, MD, MBA^{1,2}, Josiah K. Leong^{1,2}, Shubir Dutt^{1,2}, Chiung Chih Chang, MD, PhD³, Allen K. Lee^{1,2}, Steven Z. Chao, MD, PhD⁴, Jennifer S. Yokoyama, PhD^{1,2}, Marian Tse^{1,2}, Joel H. Kramer, PsyD^{1,2}, Bruce L. Miller, MD^{1,2}, and Howard J. Rosen, MD^{1,2}

¹Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

²UCSF Memory and Aging Center, San Francisco, CA, USA

³Department of Neurology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital-Kaoshiung Medical Center and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaoshiung, Taiwan

⁴Department of Neurology, VA Palo Alto Health Care System, Palo Alto, CA, USA

Abstract

Background—Recently, the Chinese Verbal Learning Test (ChVLT) was developed to assess episodic memory in Chinese speakers. The goal of this analysis was to determine whether memory consolidation as measured by the ChVLT was specifically associated with hippocampal volume in patients with cognitive impairment.

Methods—We administered the ChVLT to 22 Chinese-speaking patients with mild cognitive impairment and 9 patients with dementia and obtained hippocampal and cortical volumes from T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging.

Results—Linear regression revealed that hippocampal volume explained 9.9% of the variance in delayed memory ($P = .018$) after controlling for the effects of age, education, immediate recall after the last learning trial, overall level of cognitive impairment, and volumes of other cortical regions.

conclusion—These results indicate that the ChVLT is specifically correlated with hippocampal volume, supporting its utility for detecting hippocampal disease and monitoring hippocampal state over time.

Keywords

mild cognitive impairment; Alzheimer's disease; memory; hippocampus; Chinese

© The Author(s) 2014

Reprints and permission: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

Corresponding Author: Richard M. Tsai, MD, MBA, Department of Neurology, UCSF Memory and Aging Center, 675 Nelson Rising Lane, Box 1207, Suite 190, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA. rtsai@memory.ucsf.edu

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Background

Hippocampal injury is common to a variety of neurological contexts, including hypoxic–ischemic injury, epilepsy, infection, and neurodegenerative disease.^{1–3} Because of this, a major focus of current research is on the development of efficient methods for assessing the state of the hippocampus.⁴ Although many of these studies utilize brain imaging, cost and other factors limit the utility of imaging as a large-scale screening tool. Thus, research has also continued to highlight the importance of cognitive testing, in particular episodic memory tasks, in the assessment of older individuals. Indeed, even in large imaging studies, neuropsychological performance is still one of the strongest predictors of cognitive decline in people at risk of dementia.^{5–7}

From a world health perspective, a current limitation of dementia research is that the majority of studies have been conducted on English-speaking people. This is beginning to change, and one aspect of that change is the development of new testing instruments in other languages.^{8–11} Among the many languages that need to be considered, Chinese is very important, as it is spoken by about 1197 million of the world's population.¹² Recently, the Chinese Verbal Learning Test (ChVLT) was developed to assess episodic memory in Chinese speakers. Early studies in Taiwan have shown that the test differentiates patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) from cognitively normal controls.^{13,14} Because of the importance of evaluating hippocampal state, the test was developed with elements designed to separate aspects of memory performance that depend on the hippocampus from those that are influenced by other cortical and subcortical brain regions.¹³ A prior study using a similar memory task in English showed that hippocampal volume in AD is specifically correlated with delayed memory performance, even after immediate recall is taken into account.¹⁵ If the ChVLT was demonstrated to have a similar relationship, its value as a tool for detecting early hippocampal involvement and for tracking hippocampal state over time would be enhanced.

The goal of this study was to determine whether hippocampal volume specifically correlates with retention over a delay in the ChVLT. Memory performance depends not only on the ability to retain information but also on the efficiency and depth of initial encoding.¹⁶ Therefore to demonstrate that hippocampal volume specifically correlates with retention, it is necessary to control for initial acquisition of information and potential brain structures that might contribute to encoding.

Methods

Participants

The study included 31 Chinese Americans with MCI (n = 22) or dementia (n = 9 including 8 with AD and 1 with frontotemporal dementia, criteria given subsequently) who were consecutively recruited from among enrollees in University of California San Francisco's (UCSF) Alzheimer's disease research center. Recruitment and evaluation of Chinese Americans for this program have been described in detail.¹⁷ Briefly, participants are recruited from a variety of sources, but most patients with cognitive impairment are referred from community health providers. The study enrolls people with any level of English

proficiency including bilingual Chinese/English and monolingual Chinese. The language for cognitive testing is determined based on the language the participant typically speaks at home. For this study, we enrolled all participants with the targeted diagnoses who were tested in 1 of the 2 common Chinese dialects, Mandarin and Cantonese, and had structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) using our current imaging protocol. All images are reviewed for quality and motion artifact immediately after acquisition and repeated if possible and reviewed again prior to being processed for analysis. Images with high levels of artifact (ringing and distortions of anatomy) are noted in the database. Participants with a large degree of motion artifact on imaging were excluded from the study.

General Clinical Evaluation

The clinical evaluation includes a complete medical history and examination, neuropsychological testing, and concurrent history from a knowledgeable informant to provide ancillary information about the participant's level of functioning. Clinical diagnosis is established at a consensus conference where the results of these evaluations are reviewed. Diagnosis of MCI required a history either from the participant or from their informant (or both) of persistent cognitive impairment representing a significant decline from the patient's baseline level of functioning or significant impairment on neuropsychological testing in more than 2 tests in the same cognitive domain.¹⁸ If only the patient or informant reported a decline in cognition, then performance that was 1.5 standard deviations below normal on a cognitive task was required for a diagnosis of MCI. We did not require a formal neuropsychological cutoff score for MCI if both the informant and the patient reported deterioration in cognition. Alzheimer's disease and FTD were diagnosed according to the established criteria.^{19,20} The cognitive testing includes the Chinese version of the Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument (CASI-C), which provides a broad assessment of memory, language, visual-spatial, and some frontal/executive functions and is useful as a measure of generalized cognitive impairment.²¹

Chinese Version of the Verbal Learning Test

The ChVLT consists of nine 2-character nouns repeatedly presented orally over 4 learning trials, with immediate repetition of as many of the items as possible after each reading. Recall is assessed after a 30-second delay (preceded by a backward counting task) and a 10-minute delay.¹³ The words are grouped into 3 categories, fruits, clothing, and transportation. The 10-minute recall trial is followed by a yes/no forced choice recognition task using the 9 target words and 18 distractor words (cued and recognition data were not analyzed here).

Structural MRI Acquisition

Magnetic resonance imaging scans were obtained on a 3.0-Tesla Siemens (Siemens, Iselin, New Jersey) TIM Trio scanner equipped with a 12-channel head coil located at the UCSF Neuroscience Imaging Center. Whole brain images were acquired using volumetric magnetization prepared rapid gradient-echo sequence (MPRAGE; repetition time/echo time/inversion time = 2300/2.98/900 ms, $\alpha = 9^\circ$, field of view 240×256 mm, 1×1 mm).

Image Processing

The T1 MPRAGE structural MR images were analyzed using the FreeSurfer 5.1 image analysis suite running on a Linux Ubuntu 12.04 LTS operating system. FreeSurfer is documented at <http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu>. The software has been validated and described in detail by previous publications.^{22–24} Briefly, FreeSurfer is a surface-based structural MRI analysis tool that segments white matter and tessellates gray and white matter surface.²⁵ The procedure involves the removal of nonrelevant tissue using a hybrid watershed/surface deformation procedure²⁴ and intensity normalization,²⁶ followed by automated Talairach transformation and volumetric segmentation of cortical and subcortical gray and white matter, subcortical limbic structures, ventricles, and basal ganglia.^{27–28} FreeSurfer differences in automated versus manual determination of hippocampal volumes, as well as application in patients with cognitive complaints, have been compared before.^{29–32} After processing through FreeSurfer version 5.1, each T1 image was individually reviewed for accuracy of white and gray matter segmentation. Inaccuracies in white matter segmentation and pial surfaces were manually corrected using the built-in editing packages of Free-Surfer, and then reprocessed to calculate final volumetric measures. FreeSurfer parcellation of the hippocampus of patients with largest and smallest hippocampal volume in the cohort is depicted in Figure 1.

Variable Selection and Statistical Analysis

Based on prior studies examining the relationship between hippocampal volumes and performance on a list-learning task,¹⁵ we hypothesized that hippocampal volume would be most specifically related to memory consolidation, defined as retention of previously encoded material over a delay. To test this hypothesis, we constructed a linear regression model using the 10-minute recall performance as the dependent variable and hippocampal volume as the targeted predictor variable. As the dependent variable, 10-minute recall performance appeared skewed on examination (skewness = -0.7418), a Blom transformation was performed,³³ with a resulting skewness of -0.14 . Skewness-Kurtosis test posttransformation revealed probability of sample skewness ($P = .71$) and an adjusted chi-square test probability ($P = .19$), not rejecting null hypothesis of normality. The number of words encoded after the fourth learning trial (trial 4) was included as a covariate to control for initial encoding, thereby further isolating the consolidation aspect of memory. Age, level of education (in years), and CASI score to control for overall level of cognitive impairment were also included. Because prior studies have found that verbal memory is more dependent on left than right hippocampal function,¹ we only used left hippocampal volume. Finally, to ensure that we were identifying contributions to memory that were specific to the hippocampus and independent of contributions from other brain structures, we included the summed volumes across both hemispheres for a number of brain regions. Because most of the patients were in the AD spectrum, we included cortical regions previously identified in AD including the inferior parietal, supramarginal, posterior cingulate, precuneus, middle temporal, inferior temporal, entorhinal, parahippocampal, fusiform, and middle frontal cortical regions.³⁴ Statistical analysis was performed using Stata version 11 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas). All participants signed informed consent, and the protocol was approved by the UCSF Committee on Human Research.

Results

Demographic and cognitive testing data for the group are presented in Table 1. The mean age in the group was 72.37 years and the mean level of education was 14 years. The mean CASI score was 76.5 of 100 for the 9 patients with dementia and 95.6 for the 22 patients with MCI. Based on education-specific cutoffs, 4 patients with dementia and none of the patients with MCI were impaired on the CASI. The mean number of words recalled at the last learning trial on the ChVLT was 4.7 (range 0 to 7) for the patients with dementia and 8.1 (range 5 to 9) for the patients with MCI. The mean number of words recalled at the 10-minute delay was 2.4 (range 0 to 6) for the patients with dementia and 7.1 (range 1–9) for the patients with MCI.

The regression model including number of words recalled on ChVLT trial 4, CASI score, age, education, and the summed cortical volume was a significant predictor of ChVLT 10-minute delay score ($R^2 = .5503$, $F_{6,24} = 4.89$, $P = .0021$), with the strongest predictors in the model being age (coefficient of -0.019 , 95% confidence interval [CI]₉₅ -0.052 – 0.012 , $P = .210$) and performance at trial 4 (coefficient of 0.20 , CI₉₅ 0.001 – 0.399 , $P = .049$). The predictive value of the model increased with the addition of left hippocampal volume ($R^2 = .6494$, $F_{7,23} = 6.09$, $P = .0004$, change in R^2 of $.0991$, $P = .002$). Thus, left hippocampal volume contributed an additional 9.9% of the variance in ChVLT 10-minute delay score compared with a model that did not include hippocampal volume. The coefficient for left hippocampal volume in the full model was 0.000687 (CI₉₅ 0.000129 – 0.001244 , $P = .018$). In the model that included hippocampus, the effect of recall at trial 4 was also significant (coefficient of 0.193 , CI₉₅ 0.013 – 0.373 , $P = .036$) but not the effect of age (coefficient of 0.0004 , CI₉₅ -0.0329 – 0.0337 , $P = .981$). In both models, neither summed cortical volume nor education was a significant predictor of 10-minute delay score. Relative weights of individual regressors in each of the 2 models are shown in Table 2. Figure 2 depicts the relationship between left hippocampal volume and 10-minute delay score.

Discussion

As predicted based on similar studies in English-speaking patients,¹⁵ the ChVLT is sensitive to the specific hippocampal contribution to delayed memory abilities, even after controlling for age, education level, initial encoding, and contributions of other cortical brain regions. This is also consistent with prior studies in humans and animals indicating that the role of the hippocampus in memory is relatively specific to the consolidation of new memories.^{35,36} The estimate of the size of the hippocampal contribution, about 9.9% of variability in delayed memory, is similar to the estimate obtained in the prior study, which used a similar statistical model and came up with an estimate of 7.6%. This suggests that the ChVLT, like the English language tests after which it is modeled,³⁷ is sensitive to hippocampal state.

Although the small sample size is a limitation of this study, it should be noted that a previous study using a similar memory task in English speakers was able to demonstrate correlations between memory retention and hippocampal volume in a similar sized cohort ($n = 37$).¹⁵ Thus, our study can be considered a replication of a prior finding in a different language, which supports the generalizability of the finding.

As diagnosis of neurodegenerative disease moves into earlier phases, it will be necessary to rely more heavily on tests sensitive to the functions of brain regions involved early in the course of these diseases. The current results suggest that the ChVLT is a good choice for detecting hippocampal changes and monitoring the state of the hippocampus over time in Chinese-speaking individuals. Additional Chinese-specific psychometric tests that correlate with brain function should be explored and may help in early diagnosis of AD in this large population and reduce the frequency of costly screening images.

Acknowledgments

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by NIH/NIA Grant P50 AG023501 and The Hillblom Network Program.

References

1. Hermann BP, Seidenberg M, Schoenfeld J, Davies K. Neuropsychological characteristics of the syndrome of mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. *Arch Neurol*. 1997; 54(4):369–376. [PubMed: 9109737]
2. Janz DR, Abel TW, Jackson JC, Gunther ML, Heckers S, Ely EW. Brain autopsy findings in intensive care unit patients previously suffering from delirium: a pilot study. *J Crit Care*. 2010; 25(3):538, e7–12. [PubMed: 20580199]
3. Sabah M, Mulcahy J, Zeman A. Herpes simplex encephalitis. *BMJ*. 2012; 344:e3166. [PubMed: 22674925]
4. Risacher SL, Saykin AJ, West JD, et al. Baseline MRI predictors of conversion from MCI to probable AD in the ADNI cohort. *Curr Alzheimers Res*. 2009; 6(4):347–361.
5. Cui Y, Liu B, Luo S, et al. Identification of conversion from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease using multivariate predictors. *PloS One*. 2011; 6(7):e21896. [PubMed: 21814561]
6. Ewers M, Walsh C, Trojanowski JQ, et al. Prediction of conversion from mild cognitive impairment to Alzheimer's disease dementia based upon biomarkers and neuropsychological test performance. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012; 33(7):1203–1214. [PubMed: 21159408]
7. Zhou B, Nakatani E, Teramukai S, Nagai Y, Fukushima M. Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Risk classification in mild cognitive impairment patients for developing Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimer Dis*. 2012; 30(2):367–375.
8. Rahman TTA, El Gaafary MM. Montreal Cognitive Assessment Arabic version: reliability and validity prevalence of mild cognitive impairment among elderly attending geriatric clubs in Cairo. *Geriatr Gerontol Int*. 2009; 9(1):54–61. [PubMed: 19260980]
9. Duro D, Simões MR, Ponciano E, Santana I. Validation studies of the Portuguese experimental version of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA): confirmatory factor analysis. *J Neurol*. 2010; 257(5):728–734. [PubMed: 19937448]
10. Tsai CF, Lee WJ, Wang SJ, et al. Psychometrics of the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and its subscales: validation of the Taiwanese version of the MoCA and an item response theory analysis. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2012; 24(4):65108.
11. Baek MJ, Kim HJ, Kim S. Comparison between the story recall test and the word-list learning test in Korean patients with mild cognitive impairment and early stage of Alzheimer's disease. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2012; 34(4):396–404. [PubMed: 22263656]
12. Paul, LM.; Simons, GF.; Fennig, CD. *Ethnologue: Languages of the World*. 17. Dallas, Texas: SIL International; 2014. <http://www.ethnologue.com> [accessed 19 September 2014]
13. Chang CC, Kramer JH, Lin KN, et al. Validating the chinese version of the verbal learning test for screening Alzheimer's disease. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2010; 16(2):244–251. [PubMed: 20003579]

14. Chen NC, Lin YI, Chang CC, et al. Learning and error patterns in the chinese verbal learning test in subjects with mild cognitive impairment and normal elderly. *Acta Neurol Taiwan*. 2011; 20(2): 114–124. [PubMed: 21739390]
15. Kramer JH, Schuff N, Reed BR, et al. Hippocampal volume and retention in Alzheimer's disease. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2004; 10(4):639–643. [PubMed: 15327742]
16. Craik FI. Levels of processing: past, present and future? *Memory*. 2010; 10(5–6):305–318. [PubMed: 12396643]
17. Chao SZ, Lai NB, Tse MM, et al. Recruitment of Chinese American elders into dementia research: the UCSF ADRC experience. *Gerontologist*. 2011; 51(suppl 1):S125–S133. [PubMed: 21565814]
18. Petersen RC, Stevens JC, Ganguli M, Tangalos EG, Cummings JL, DeKosky ST. Practice parameter: early detection of dementia: mild cognitive impairment (an evidence-based review). Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 2001; 56(9):1133–1142. [PubMed: 11342677]
19. McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology*. 1984; 34(7):939–944. [PubMed: 6610841]
20. Neary D, Snowden J, Gustafson L, et al. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration A consensus on clinical diagnostic criteria. *Neurology*. 1998; 51(6):1546–1554. [PubMed: 9855500]
21. Lin KN, Wang PN, Liu CY, Chen WT, Lee YC, Liu HC. Cutoff Scores of the Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument, Chinese Version in Screening of Dementia. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2002; 14(4):176–182. [PubMed: 12411759]
22. Dale AM, Fischl B, Sereno MI. Cortical surface-based analysis: Segmentation and surface reconstruction. *Neuroimage*. 1999; 9(2):179–194. [PubMed: 9931268]
23. Fischl B, Liu A, Dale AM. Automated manifold surgery: constructing geometrically accurate and topologically correct models of the human cerebral cortex. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2001; 20(1): 70–80. [PubMed: 11293693]
24. Segonne F, Dale AM, Busa E, et al. A hybrid approach to the skull stripping problem in MRI. *Neuroimage*. 2004; 22(3):1060–1075. [PubMed: 15219578]
25. Segonne F, Pacheco J, Fischl B. Geometrically accurate topology-correction of cortical surfaces using nonseparating loops. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2007; 26(4):518–529. [PubMed: 17427739]
26. Sled JG, Zijdenbos AP, Evans AC. A nonparametric method for automatic correction of intensity nonuniformity in MRI data. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 1998; 17(1):87–97. [PubMed: 9617910]
27. Fischl B, Salat DH, Busa E, et al. Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron*. 2002; 33(3):341–355. [PubMed: 11832223]
28. Fischl B, van der Kouwe A, Destrieux C, et al. Automatically parcellating the human cerebral cortex. *Cereb Cortex*. 2004; 14(1):11–22. [PubMed: 14654453]
29. Wenger E, Mårtensson J, Noack H, et al. Comparing manual and automatic segmentation of hippocampal volumes: reliability and validity issues in younger and older brains. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2014; 35(8):4236–4248. 10.1002/hbm.22473 [PubMed: 24532539]
30. Cherbuin N, Anstey KJ, Réglade-Mslin C, Sachdev PS. In vivo hippocampal measurement and memory: a comparison of manual tracing and automated segmentation in a large community-based sample. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4:e5265. [PubMed: 19370155]
31. Shen L, Saykin AJ, Kim S, Firpi HA, West JD, Risacher SL, et al. Comparison of manual and automated determination of hippocampal volumes in MCI and early AD. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2010; 4:86095.
32. Morey RA, Petty CM, Xu Y, et al. A comparison of automated segmentation and manual tracing for quantifying hippocampal and amygdala volumes. *Neuroimage*. 2009; 45(3):855–866. [PubMed: 19162198]
33. Blom, G. *Statistical Estimates and Transformed Beta-Variables*. Wiley; New York: 1958.
34. Karow DS, McEvoy LK, Fennema-Notestine C, et al. Relative capability of MR imaging and FDG PET to depict changes associated with prodromal and early Alzheimer disease. *Radiology*. 2010; 256(3):932–942. [PubMed: 20720076]

35. Sass KJ, Sass A, Westerveld M, et al. Specificity in the correlation of verbal memory and hippocampal neuron loss: dissociation of memory, language, and verbal intellectual ability. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol.* 1992; 14(5):662–672. [PubMed: 1474137]
36. Winocur G, McDonald RM, Moscovitch M. Anterograde and retrograde amnesia in rats with large hippocampal lesions. *Hippocampus.* 2001; 11(1):18–26. [PubMed: 11261769]
37. Apostolova LG, Morra JH, Green AE, et al. Automated 3D mapping of baseline and 12-month associations between three verbal memory measures and hippocampal atrophy in 490 ADNI subjects. *Neuroimage.* 2010; 51(1):488–499. [PubMed: 20083211]

Figure 1.
FreeSurfer parcellation map overlay of coronal images of patient with largest hippocampus (A) and smallest hippocampus (B).

Figure 2.
Relationship between 10 minute delay score and left hippocampal volume.

Table 1

Demographic and Performance Data for Participants.

	Dementia (n = 9)		MCI (n = 22)	
	Mean (SD)	Range	Mean (SD)	Range
Age	76.2 (6.0)	68.9–84.2	71.2 (9.1)	55.9–87.2
Education, yrs	11.9 (5.1)	0–16	14.8 (3.2)	6–20
CASI	76.5 (12.1)	48.7–90	95.6 (4.3)	85–100
CDR-SB ^a	6.28 (3.4)	1–10	0.60 (0.64)	0.0–2
Trial 4 ^b	4.7 (2.1)	0–7	8.1 (1.1)	5–9
Long delay ^c	2.4 (2.5)	0–6	7.1 (2.2)	1–9

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; CDR-SB, sum of box scores for the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale; CASI, Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument; ChVLT, Chinese Verbal Learning Test.

^a Sum of box scores for the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale.

^b Number of words immediately recalled on last encoding trial of the ChVLT.

^c Number of words immediately recalled after a 10-minute delay on the ChVLT.

Table 2

Relative Weights of Regressors in 2 Models.

Long Delay Vs	Coef	Std error	P	R ²	P
Age	−0.019	0.015	.21	.5503	.0021
Gender	0.058	0.315	.873		
Education	0.012	0.035	.716		
Trial 4	0.2	0.096	.049		
CASI	0.016	0.017	.358		
Summed cortical volume	2E-07	0.0000261	.993		
Left hippocampus	0.0007	0.0003	.018	.6494	.0004
Age	0.0003	0.016	.981		
Gender	0.03202	0.2848	.911		
Education	−0.00266	0.3233	.935		
Trial 4	0.19344	0.087	.036		
CASI	0.00082	0.01711	.962		
Summed cortical volume	−0.00001	0.00002	.618		

Abbreviations: CASI, Cognitive Abilities Screening Instrument; coef, coefficient; std error, standard error.