Published in final edited form as:

Health Psychol. 2015 June ; 34(6): 622–631. doi:10.1037/hea0000138.

Naturalistically-Observed Conflict and Youth Asthma Symptoms

Erin T. Tobin,

Department of Psychology, Wayne State University

Heidi S. Kane,

School of Behavioral and Brain Sciences, University of Texas at Dallas

Daniel J. Saleh,

Department of Psychology, Wayne State University

Sylvie Naar-King,

Department of Pediatrics, Wayne State University

Pavadee Poowuttikul,

Division of Allergy/Immunology, Children's Hospital of Michigan

Elizabeth Secord,

Division of Allergy/Immunology, Children's Hospital of Michigan

Wayne Pierantoni,

Grosse Pointe Allergy and Asthma Centers

Valerie Simon, and

Department of Psychology, Wayne State University

Richard B. Slatcher

Department of Psychology, Wayne State University

Abstract

Objective—To investigate the links between naturalistically-observed conflict, self-reported caregiver-youth conflict, and youth asthma symptoms.

Method—Fifty-four youth with asthma (aged 10-17) wore the Electronically Activated Recorder (EAR) for a 4-day period to assess interpersonal conflict and caregiver-youth conflict as they occur in daily life. Conflict also was assessed with baseline self-report questionnaires and daily diaries completed by the youth participants and their caregiver. Asthma symptoms were assessed via daily diaries and baseline self-reports and wheezing as coded from the EAR.

Results—EAR-observed measures of conflict were strongly associated with self-reported asthma symptoms (both baseline and daily diaries) and wheezing coded from the EAR. Further, when entered together in regression analyses, youth daily reports of negative caregiver-youth interactions and EAR-observed conflict uniquely predicted asthma symptoms; only EAR-observed conflict was associated with EAR-observed wheezing.

Conclusions—These findings demonstrate the potential impact of daily conflict on youth asthma symptoms and the importance of assessing conflict as it occurs in everyday life. More broadly, they point to the importance of formulating a clear picture of family interactions outside of the lab, which is essential for understanding how family relationships “get under the skin” to affect youth health.

Keywords

Parent-Child Relationship; Conflict; Electronically Activated Recorder (EAR); Asthma; Health; Ecological Momentary Assessment

Multiple factors in family environment have been known to exacerbate childhood asthma morbidity, such as psychological stress (Marin, Chen, Munch, & Miller, 2009), marital conflict (Northey, Griffin, & Krainz, 1998), parental stress (Shalowitz, Berry, Quinn, & Wolf, 2001), and family conflict (Anda et al., 2006). Additional family characteristics, such as poor caregiver or youth mental health (Ortega, Goodwin, McQuaid, & Canino, 2004; Wood et al., 2007), family disorganization (Kaugars, Klinnert, & Bender, 2004), and minority ethnicity (Bauman et al., 2002) all are contributing components through which the family environment and stress can negatively impact the asthma symptom profile. Research also has demonstrated that increased exposure to stress in adolescents with asthma is associated with greater eosinophil counts, increased lymphocyte proliferation, and increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which may contribute to increased asthma symptom expression (Chen et al., 2006; Kang et al., 1997). Given the accumulating evidence for a biopsychosocial model of asthma, it is important for researchers to identify aspects of relationships that can contribute to the exacerbation and persistence of asthma symptoms. Furthermore, the research to date has largely focused on the extent to which very general family characteristics, such as family-related stress, impact asthma morbidity during youth using parent and youth accounts of the family environment. No investigations to our knowledge have examined the direct effect of daily conflict in everyday life on youth health.

Frequent conflict in the home has been theorized to create vulnerabilities in youth by disrupting biological and psychological processes through interactions with genetic and environmental factors (Repetti, Taylor, & Seeman, 2002). Family conflict has been associated with a variety of poor health outcomes, including lower weight attainment in infancy (Stein, Woolley, Cooper, & Fairburn, 1994), slower growth in childhood (Montgomery, Bartley, & Wilkinson, 1997), and greater psychological distress during adolescence (Gunnlaugsson, Kristjansson, Einarsdottir, & Sigfusdottir, 2011). Research also has demonstrated that youth growing up in environments with increased conflict and anger have amplified emotional responses to stressors and more often use maladaptive coping strategies, which negatively impact physical health (Cummings, Zahn-Waxler, & Radke-Yarrow, 1981; Repetti, Robles, & Reynolds, 2011; Valiente, Fabes, Eisenberg, & Spinrad, 2004). Surprisingly, very little is known about the direct impact of conflict at home on serious physical health problems, such as asthma during childhood and adolescence. Moreover, there has been a recent call for research focusing on the adversities youth face on a routine basis, such as family conflict, to clarify links between stress during development and chronic illness (Miller, Chen, & Parker, 2011).

Family conflict is a construct that typically is measured via brief questionnaire reports or laboratory interactions. However, questionnaire reports of family conflict include potential sources of bias, aspects of social desirability, and shared method variance (Campbell & Fiske, 1959; Mehl, Robbins, & Deters, 2012). Additionally, assessing family conflict from short laboratory interactions can raise questions regarding generalizability of these interactions, as research has long documented variations in parental behaviors due to changes in the context in which they are measured (Belsky, 1980). Research suggests that data should be collected from multiple informants (e.g., from both parents and children) due to contextual elements and situational determinants that may influence an individual's perceptions (Achenbach, McConaughy, & Howell, 1987; Kerr, Lunkenheimer, & Olson, 2007). Collecting questionnaire data from multiple informants can sometimes pose a challenge in family research. Alternatively, naturalistic observation can provide reasonably objective and detailed accounts of daily interactions in the home. The Electronically Activated Recorder (EAR; Mehl, Pennebaker, Crow, Dabbs, & Price, 2001) is a relatively new naturalistic observation method used to capture participant behaviors and interactions as they occur in daily life. The EAR unobtrusively takes brief recordings of ambient sounds and interactions as they occur in a participant's environment. By taking an observational approach, the EAR helps to address the potential issues of bias, shared method variance, and generalizability that can sometimes hinder questionnaire and laboratory measures of conflict.

Previous studies of families have documented the usefulness of the EAR in assessing everyday behaviors in the home. For example, parental negative emotionality (Slatcher & Trentacosta, 2012) and parental depressive symptoms (Slatcher & Trentacosta, 2011) have been linked with increased problem behaviors in young children. Increased observed-conflict in the home of young children (aged 3-5) was associated with an altered diurnal cortisol profile, including flatter (less “healthy”) cortisol slopes and lowered waking cortisol levels, whereas maternal questionnaire reports of daily parent-child conflict were unrelated to cortisol patterns (Slatcher & Robles, 2012). To our knowledge, no studies to date have addressed how naturalistically-observed everyday family interactions are associated with youth asthma symptoms. This study addresses that gap by using the EAR to assess the links between observed conflict in the home and the health of youth with asthma.

The Present Study

The goal of the present study was to determine the relationship between naturalistically observed conflict—both broad interpersonal conflict and caregiver-youth conflict in daily life—and youth asthma symptoms. Based on existing findings, we expected that greater levels of naturalistically observed interpersonal conflict and caregiver-youth conflict would be associated with increased asthma symptoms, including self- and daily-reported asthma symptoms and naturalistically observed wheezing, beyond that accounted for by youth and caregiver reports of conflict.

Method

Participants

A total of 54 children and adolescents aged 10 to 17 with asthma and their primary caregivers took part in the study as part of a pilot study for a larger longitudinal study investigating the effect of risky family environments on child health, the Asthma in the Lives of Families Today (ALOFT) Study. This sample was taken from a larger pilot sample of 81 participants. Due to technological problems early on in the data collection process, only 54 of these 81 participants had a sufficient number of EAR files to analyze. Of the 27 not included in the analyses, eighteen were returned to the lab with no files due to a program malfunction, six were returned with an insufficient number of codeable files (fewer than 30 valid talking files—a minimum number of files needed to capture fairly low-base rate behaviors such as yelling), two EARs were damaged, and one was stolen. Participants with and without coded EAR files did not differ based upon youth age, caregiver age, youth gender, ethnicity, caregiver income, or severity of asthma diagnosis.

The sample consisted of 25 girls (M age = 12.96, SD = 1.81) and 29 boys (M age = 13.00, SD = 1.73). Asthma severity diagnosis ranged from mild intermittent to severe persistent as determined by medical record report. Primary caregivers included 51 mothers, one father, one aunt, and one grandmother. Primary caregivers will be referred to as caregivers in the remainder of the paper for clarification purposes. Annual household income ranged from the \$0 – \$7,825 tax bracket to the \$97,926 - \$174,850 tax bracket, with a median range of \$7,826 - \$31,850. Of the caregivers, 31 identified themselves as African American or Black, 20 as White, two as Hispanic, and one as Asian. Twenty-six caregivers indicated that they were married or living in a marriage-like relationship, 16 were never married, six were divorced, four were separated, and two were widowed. The average relationship duration for couples who reported being married or in a marriage-like relationship was 15.84 years (SD = 6.61).

Procedure

This project was approved by the university Institutional Review Board. Participants were recruited through the Allergy, Immunology, and Rheumatology Clinic at Children's Hospital of Michigan, local area hospitals, and Metro-Detroit area schools through posted flyers or a staff research nurse. Families then called the laboratory and were informed that the purpose of the study was to better understand the links between daily life and asthma. The caregiver completed a telephone screening interview to determine eligibility in the study. Families were eligible for the study if their child was between the ages of 10 and 17 with a diagnosis of mild intermittent to severe persistent asthma confirmed by their medical record report. Families were excluded if the participating youth was diagnosed with a chronic condition other than asthma (e.g., endocrine disorders, immunodeficiency, and cardiovascular disease), diagnosed with a medical condition that may impede immune system function (e.g., pregnancy, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy in the past year), or currently using oral steroid medications. Written assent and consent were obtained from the participating youth and their caregiver, respectively.

The participating youth and caregiver visited the laboratory for their first visit, where they completed a number of background questionnaires on a computer and individual interviews assessing conflict and stress from the youth and caregiver's perspective. During this time, the youth and caregiver also reported the number of medications taken by the youth and signed a release of information for the medical records of the youth to confirm the medication report and asthma diagnosis. Also at this visit, the youth and caregiver were given detailed instructions regarding wearing the EAR and completing the daily diaries. The laboratory visit lasted approximately two hours. They then completed four days of daily diaries, which included daily ratings of asthma symptoms from the youth participant as well as youth and caregiver reports of conflict, and wore the EAR on each of those days (detailed information about the EAR is provided below). Participants returned study materials and the EAR to the lab or during a home-visit. The current analyses focus on the laboratory questionnaires, daily diaries, EAR conflict, and asthma data. Youth and caregivers were compensated and reimbursed for their time.

Measures

See Table 1 for descriptive and reliability statistics for all measures used in the present study.

Family Conflict—Youth and caregivers completed the 12-item parent-child conflict subscale of the Parental Environment Questionnaire (PEQ; Miller & Hauser, 1989). This scale has been extensively validated in family samples with both children and adolescents (Burt, Krueger, McGue, & Iacono, 2003; Elkins, McGue, & Iacono, 1997). Items were rated on a 4-point scale (1 = *definitely false*, 4 = *definitely true*). Reliability in the present study was strong for both youth and caregiver reports.

Daily Diaries—The youth and caregiver completed daily diaries during the 4-day period when the youth wore the EAR.

Caregiver-Reported Negative Caregiver-Youth Interactions: The caregiver daily diary was adapted from the Parent Child Home Data Questionnaire (Margolin, 1990). The caregiver-reported *negative caregiver-youth interactions* composite consisted of 9 items rated on a 5-point scale (1 = *not at all*, 5 = *a lot*). Sample items included today I punished my child, today I yelled at my child, and *today I was angry with my child*. Daily negative caregiver-youth interactions assessments were averaged across the 4-day period. Two participants' scores were missing and imputed using mean replacement.

Youth-Reported Negative Caregiver-Youth Interactions: The youth daily diary consisted of items adapted from the Youth Everyday Social Interactions and Mood Scales (*YES-I-AM*; Repetti, 1996; Repetti & Polina, 1994) and the Child Home Data Questionnaire (Margolin, 1990). The youth-reported *negative caregiver-youth interactions* composite consisted of 3 items rated on a 3-point scale (1 = *not at all*, 3 = *a lot*). Items included: my mom got mad at me today, I was angry with my mom today, and my mom punished me today. Daily negative caregiver-youth interactions assessments were averaged across the 4-day period. Three participants' scores were missing and imputed using mean replacement.

Electronically Activated Recorder (EAR) Conflict—In order to observe conflict during daily interactions and specific behaviors associated with conflict (i.e., yelling), each child/adolescent wore the EAR (Mehl, et al., 2001) via a belt clip or carried the EAR in their front pant pocket from the time they woke up until bedtime as they went about their daily lives. This study utilized two versions of the EAR¹. Following the laboratory session, the EAR was worn for four days, beginning on a Thursday morning and ending on a Sunday evening or beginning on a Saturday morning and ending on a Tuesday evening in order to capture two weekdays and two weekend days. Recordings captured 50 seconds of sound every nine minutes. Participants were provided with a CD containing their recordings, if requested, and were given the opportunity to delete files prior to coding. No participants chose to delete audio files.

Previous research with the EAR suggests good psychometric properties, such that the sampling patterns are generalizable to an individual's daily behavior and satisfactory test-retest reliability has been established (Mehl, et al., 2012). Through implementing a specific coding strategy using carefully defined behaviors to evaluate the presence or absence of a particular behavior with a training set of EAR recordings, high inter-rater reliability can be established (Mehl, et al., 2012). Further, research has demonstrated that within a brief time of wearing the EAR, participants habituate to the device (Mehl, et al., 2012). In the present sample, EAR obtrusiveness was measured by counting the number of sound files that participants mentioned the EAR in daily life. The EAR was discussed in 1.24% of all valid waking files.

EAR data was coded using the Everyday Child Home Observation (ECHO; Slatcher & Tobin, 2012) coding system that specified the youth's current location, activity, mood, and behaviors related to specific types of caregiver-youth interactions. Only the behaviors of the youth and caregiver, who both provided consent for the study, were coded. EAR coders listen to all participant files before beginning coding and identify the youth participant and caregiver based upon the frequency in which the speaker is found in sound files and a small snippet of spoken language recorded during the laboratory visit. Family members, neighbors, and other individuals in the youth participant environment are identified by contextual cues in the recording files (e.g., location, topic of conversation) and use of a daily activity log completed by the youth participant.

Inter-coder reliability was determined by a set of training recordings (512 50-sec recordings) independently coded by the eleven research assistants who transcribed and coded these data. Intraclass correlations (ICCs) based on a two-way random effects model were calculated for each coded behavior. Note that prior EAR studies (Mehl, Gosling, & Pennebaker, 2006; Mehl, Vazire, Holleran, & Clark, 2010; Tenney, Vazire, & Mehl, 2013) have reported ICCs using the 2,*k* method, reflecting two-way random average ICCs across raters. These reliabilities tend to be high because one is estimating the reliability for an average measure consisting of *k* codings, akin to a questionnaire with $\times$ items. However, because actual EAR codings (in this study and in prior EAR research conducted in other labs) are only coded by

¹The first version used in this study (HP iPAQ 110) is $4.59 \times 2.71 \times .54$ inches and weights 4.08 ounces. The second version used in this study (Apple iPod touch, 8GB) is $4.4 \times 2.3 \times 0.28$ inches and weights 3.56 ounces.

single raters, this leads to an inflated estimate of inter-rater reliability. A more appropriate and conservative approach is to use the 2,1 method (two-way random single measure) of computing ICCs, which represents the reliability for single raters². We include estimates of ICCs using both approaches in Table 1 to allow for comparison with the typical magnitude of previous EAR study inter-rater reliabilities (2,k, to which our estimates compare very favorably) and to provide estimates of the “true” reliability of these EAR codes using the 2,1 method. As would be expected, the reliability estimates using the 2,1 method are substantially lower than when using the 2,k method.

Scores for each EAR-observed behavior reflect the percentage of total recordings that the behavior was observed during waking hours. Participants had an average of 219.54 ($SD = 81.66$) waking audio files, which is equivalent to 183.95 min of valid audio files. Participants had an average of 96.65 ($SD = 43.05$) talking files. Conflict in this coding scheme was defined as an interpersonal argument, conflict or fight between the participating youth and individuals in their environment. Examples of dialogue from files with conflict coded between youth and caregiver found in the EAR files include “Leave it here please, can you turn that down a bit for me? Turn it down. Turn it down please. Turn it down now”, “I don't want to, no, no. I like it this way”, “Hold on a second, I'm coming. God,” and “why are you making such a big deal out of this, geez”. Yelling was defined as very loud speech or scream. Given the low base rates of the conflict and yelling found in the EAR files, two composite measures were computed to capture interpersonal and caregiver-youth related conflict. Composite measures were computed by summing the individual EAR-observed behaviors.

The first composite, *interpersonal conflict* captures all conflict in the youth environment, including conflict, yelling by the mother/female caregiver, yelling by the father/male caregiver, and yelling by the youth codes. The conflict code includes conflict in environment experienced or witnessed by the child, including conflict with a caregiver, peers, and siblings and conflict between other family members, (e.g., between a caregiver and another child in the family). This composite reflects the experience of conflict in the participants' daily lives.

The second composite is limited to *caregiver-youth conflict*, including coded conflict specifically between mother/female caregiver and participating youth, yelling by the mother/female caregiver, and yelling by the youth. Although one caregiver-youth dyad enrolled in the study included a father, this youth also had a mother who participated in his caregiving at home and was included in this composite. This composite is limited to the experience of conflict between the youth and their caregiver.

Although the inter-rater reliabilities of the specific EAR conflict codes all were acceptable (based on comparisons with ICCs [2,k] reported in prior EAR studies), the alpha levels for the caregiver-youth conflict and interpersonal conflict composites were quite low due to small correlations between youth yelling and the more general EAR conflict codes (r 's between .15 and .21). However, the youth yelling codes (both the code assessing yelling in

²We thank an anonymous reviewer for suggesting this alternative approach to computing ICCs.

the presence of the mother and the more general youth yelling code) were significantly correlated with youth daily reports of negative caregiver-youth interactions (r 's of .28 to .39) and with maternal daily reports of the youth being angry that day (r 's of .30 to .32). Thus, on both theoretical grounds and for parsimony of conducting analyses, we retained the youth yelling codes in the conflict composites rather than analyzing yelling separately.

Asthma Symptoms—Severity of youth asthma symptoms was assessed with a self-report questionnaire in the laboratory, daily diary questionnaire, and with the EAR. The self-reported asthma symptom questionnaire completed during the baseline laboratory session by the youth participant has been validated in an interview format for youth with asthma (Chen, Chim, Strunk, & Miller, 2007) and these items were chosen based upon the National Asthma Education and Prevention Program, Expert Panel Report 2 (NAEPP/EPR2; National Institutes of Health, 1997). Youth participants were asked the number of days in the past two weeks in which they experienced any asthma symptoms; this included wheezing, shortness of breath, coughing, tightness in their chest, and the number of nights awoken due to asthma symptoms. The mean for this scale was 2.11 ($SD = 2.53$, $\alpha = .79$). One participant's scores were missing and imputed using mean replacement.

Self-reported asthma symptoms also were included in the youth daily diary. Youth were asked to rate asthma symptoms experienced that day on a 5-point scale with high scores indicating more severe symptoms. Symptoms included wheezing, chest pain, chest tightness, and shortness of breath ($M = 1.28$, $SD = .31$, $\alpha = .83$). Two participants' scores were missing and imputed using mean replacement.

From the ECHO coding system, we used the wheeze code as an EAR-observed measure of asthma symptoms in the analyses. Wheezing was chosen as an observational measure of asthma symptoms due to the strong relationship demonstrated in previous research between asthma and wheezing (Arshad, Kurukulaaratchy, Fenn, & Matthews, 2005; Baughman & Loudon, 1984). Wheezing was defined as any audible signs of shortness of breath including coarse or continuous whistling sounds (Shim & Williams, 1983).

Medications—Current inhaled steroid medication prescriptions and β -agonist medications were abstracted from medical records. Two dummy codes were created for each inhaled steroid and β -agonist use, 0 'No medication prescribed' and 1 'Medication prescribed'. Four medical records did not mention medication prescription and those records were given a 0.

Analysis Plan

Outliers in all measures and EAR codes were winsorized to 2.5 standard deviations from the mean to limit the influence of extreme values (Barnett & Lewis, 1994; McIntosh et al., 2014; Wilcox, 1998). Winsorizing is a common strategy utilized to reduce the influence of possible spurious outliers, particularly in a relatively small sample such as this one (Hansen, Vaughan, Cavanaugh, Connell, & Sikkema, 2009; Madlensky et al., 2008). Due to positive skew, independent and dependent variables were base-10 log transformed with an added constant to prevent zeros and negative numbers³. After winsorizing and log-transforming the data, normality was substantially improved and skewness was reduced to between -1 and $+1$ for all variables. Bivariate correlations initially were conducted to examine the

relationships between study variables and to discern any age- or gender-related differences. Hierarchical multiple regression analyses were conducted to assess which measures of conflict (EAR, daily reports) were independently associated with baseline and daily measures of asthma symptoms. EAR-conflict composites were entered first into the regression equation, then daily self-reports of conflict were entered in step two to determine if the relationship between EAR-composites and asthma symptoms were still significant when adding daily self-reported conflict measures. Covariates were included in regression analyses if they were correlated with the asthma outcome measures ($p < 0.10$; e.g., youth ethnicity). In the regression models, 0 was coded for African-American or Black and 1 was coded for the White/Caucasian, Hispanic, and Asian. Previous research has controlled for youth age, gender, ethnicity, inhaled steroid medication, β -agonist medications, and income (Marin, et al., 2009; Miller, Gaudin, Zysk, & Chen, 2009); however, these variables were not correlated with asthma outcomes in this study ($p > 0.10$), and thus were not included as covariates in the analyses. As mentioned earlier, due to technological problems early in this pilot study, 33% of the EAR data was missing. Thus, we also ran multiple imputation for the complete sample of 81 families to account for this large percentage of missing data (as well as any missing data for other variables) and the results of those analyses can be found in the supplementary online materials.

Results

Naturalistically-Observed and Self-Reported Conflict

We first examined bivariate correlations between caregiver-reported, youth-reported, and EAR-observed measures of conflict. Table 2 presents bivariate correlations between all study measures. Notably, there were no associations between EAR-observed conflict composites and the baseline self-reported measures of conflict (e.g., caregiver and youth PEQ conflict). However, daily diary reports of negative interactions from the caregiver were significantly correlated with baseline caregiver-reported conflict (e.g., caregiver-PEQ), youth daily reports of negative interactions, EAR-observed interpersonal conflict, and EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict. Additionally, youth daily diary reports of negative interactions were significantly correlated with baseline youth-reported conflict (e.g., youth-PEQ) and marginally correlated with EAR-observed measures of interpersonal conflict and caregiver-youth conflict. Further, EAR-observed interpersonal conflict and EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict were strongly associated, as would be expected given the composite codes share overlap (e.g., yelling by the mother and yelling by the youth).

Conflict and Asthma Symptoms

We next explored the associations between the measures of conflict and asthma symptoms (See Table 2). Notably, there were no significant relationships between caregiver or youth baseline self-reported conflict and asthma symptoms or EAR-observed wheezing. However, with the daily diaries and EAR-observed measures, various relationships emerged. Daily youth reports of negative caregiver-youth interactions were significantly associated with

³For the data transformations, .51 was added to Caregiver-reported Daily Negative Interactions, .01 was added to the Self-Report Asthma Symptom Questionnaire. For the remaining variables, .001 was added.

greater daily asthma symptoms. EAR-observed interpersonal conflict was significantly associated with greater baseline self-reported asthma symptoms and daily asthma symptoms, whereas EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict was only marginally associated with both asthma measures. Both, EAR-observed interpersonal conflict and EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict were significantly associated with greater EAR-observed wheezing.

Interpersonal Conflict and Asthma Symptoms

We next conducted several hierarchical multiple regression analyses to examine the independent effects of EAR-observed interpersonal conflict and daily diary measures of conflict on asthma symptoms. As displayed in Table 3, EAR-observed interpersonal conflict and the daily diary indices of conflict (e.g., youth-reported negative caregiver-youth interactions and caregiver-reported negative interactions) were entered as predictors. EAR-observed interpersonal conflict predicted increased baseline self-reported asthma symptoms and, when daily reports of conflict were added to the regression, remained a significant predictor.

EAR-observed interpersonal conflict also predicted increased asthma symptoms reported via daily diary and when daily reports of conflict were added to the regression equation, the relationship remained significant. Notably, when entering daily reports of conflict, youth daily ratings of caregiver-youth conflict also significantly predicted daily reported asthma symptoms, along with EAR-observed interpersonal conflict.

EAR-observed interpersonal conflict significantly predicted EAR-observed wheezing. When including daily reports of caregiver-youth conflict in the regression, EAR-observed interpersonal conflict remained significant. After the addition of youth ethnicity, the relationship between EAR-interpersonal conflict and EAR-observed wheezing remained significant. Youth ethnicity had a marginally significant relationship with EAR-observed wheezing.

Caregiver-Youth Conflict and Asthma Symptoms

We then conducted several hierarchical multiple regression analyses to examine the independent effects of EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict and daily diary measures of conflict on asthma symptoms. As displayed in Table 4, EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict and the daily diary indices of conflict (e.g., youth-reported negative caregiver-youth interactions and caregiver-reported negative interactions) were entered as predictors. EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict was marginally associated with increased baseline self-reported asthma symptoms. When adding daily measures of conflict into the regression equation, EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict significantly predicted increased baseline self-reported asthma symptoms.

EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict was marginally associated with daily reported asthma symptoms. When including daily diary reports of conflict, youth reports of negative interactions emerged as a significant predictor of daily reported asthma symptoms and not EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict.

Finally, EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict significantly predicted EAR-observed wheezing. When including daily reports of caregiver-youth conflict in the regression, EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict remained significant. After the addition youth ethnicity, the relationship between EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict and EAR-observed wheezing remained significant.⁴

Discussion

This study investigated the relationship between different assessments of conflict in the lives of youth with asthma and the links between measures of conflict in the social environment of youth and measures of asthma morbidity. The findings indicate that the EAR-observed measures of conflict are more strongly related to daily measures of conflict than traditional laboratory measures assessing family conflict in this study. Furthermore, the findings of this study suggest that daily interpersonal conflict and caregiver-youth conflict are associated with youth asthma symptoms across multiple methods of asthma symptom assessment, including traditional self-reported symptoms, daily reports, and wheezing observed in everyday life. The significant associations between conflict in the home and increased asthma symptoms in youth is consistent with previous findings demonstrating the impact of more general family factors and relationships on asthma (Chen, 2007; Miller & Chen, 2010; Northey, et al., 1998; Shalowitz, et al., 2001) and with previous EAR research highlighting the specific deleterious effects of conflict in the home on the stress-response pathways of young children (Slatcher & Robles, 2012).

Although we found positive associations between naturalistically-observed measures of interpersonal and caregiver-youth conflict with asthma symptoms, we found no associations between baseline questionnaire reports of conflict and asthma symptoms. Few associations between daily conflict reports and asthma symptoms were found and could be partly related to the fact that both reports were provided by the same rater, the youth participant, whereas the parent-reported conflict was unrelated to asthma symptoms. The multimethod approach used here allowed for direct comparison of naturalistically observed family interactions with traditional self-report questionnaires and daily diary reports of family relationships. These data provide compelling evidence for the addition of naturalistic observation and daily diary methods when assessing specific behaviors within the social environment of children and adolescents, especially given the magnitude of the effect sizes of the relationships between conflict and asthma symptoms. Similar patterns were observed with general interpersonal conflict and caregiver-youth conflict, such that both conflict measures significantly predicting increased self-reported asthma symptoms and EAR-observed wheezing, providing important evidence that both types of conflict are important to asthma symptom expression.

The effects of conflict on youth asthma symptoms reported here could be explained by a number of possible mechanisms. It is possible, for instance, that youth are unable to sufficiently cope with the stress of frequent family conflicts or lack adaptive coping

⁴We also conducted these analyses using multiple imputation to examine the complete sample of 81 caregiver-youth dyads and found similar patterns of relationships between EAR-assessed conflict and self-reported, daily, and EAR-observed asthma symptoms. These analyses are described in the supplementary online material for this article.

strategies impacting biological processes through inflammatory pathways and/or hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) dysregulation, mechanisms theorized to underlie links between childhood adversity and health (Miller, et al., 2011). In the adult literature, exposure of individuals with asthma to stressors is associated with greater eosinophil counts, greater lymphocyte proliferation, and higher levels of proinflammatory cytokines (Segerstrom & Miller, 2004). Other research has demonstrated that greater levels of family stress (Marin, et al., 2009) and low socioeconomic status (Chen, et al., 2006) are associated with a greater inflammatory response in youth with asthma (e.g., IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13). Another possible mechanism for this relationship between conflict and asthma symptoms is through health behaviors; youth who do not have sufficient coping strategies or resources to manage daily conflict may be less likely to engage in positive health behaviors important to asthma management (e.g., medication adherence, healthy diet, exercise). Future longitudinal studies examining these potential mediators and others are needed to provide a more complete picture of how family social relationships “get under the skin” to affect youth health and well-being.

The study has several limitations. The main limitation is the cross-sectional design, which prevents us from drawing firm conclusions about causal effects of interpersonal and caregiver-youth conflict on asthma symptoms. It is possible that these effects are bidirectional or that other factors not assessed here are leading to both greater conflict and increased asthma symptoms. Additionally, it is possible that social desirability may have influenced youth and caregiver reports regarding the caregiver-youth relationship or tempered the amount of conflict displayed in the EAR recordings but, if anything, self-monitoring—which tends to be very low in EAR studies (Mehl, et al., 2012)—would be expected to underestimate rather than overestimate the effects of observed conflict on asthma symptoms. There also are limitations inherent with our naturalistic event-sampling approach; given the low base rates of conflict behaviors picked up by the EAR, it is possible that more frequent or longer sampling periods and/or more days of sampling would capture conflict behaviors with greater precision. Additionally, given EAR-observed interpersonal conflict and EAR-observed caregiver-youth conflict are composite measures created using overlapping EAR-observed variables (e.g., yelling by the mother/female caregiver, yelling by the youth), it is possible that the findings displayed here are due to this similar overlap and thus this should be further investigated in future EAR studies. Furthermore, although the naturalistically observed wheeze is a novel frequency based measure of asthma symptoms, the fair inter-rater reliability, and small correlation with the self-reported asthma symptom questionnaire may limit the validity of the measure; future work should examine the associations between EAR-observed wheezing and peak expiratory flow, an important measure in management of asthma symptoms. Lastly in utilizing an electronic sampling device, we encountered problems during data collection that resulted in a sizeable percentage of missing data and thus a sample small size. Supplementary analyses were conducted with multiple imputation to account for the missing data and improve statistical power.

These findings demonstrate a link between daily conflict and youth health. The associations between naturalistically-observed conflict and asthma symptoms have important implications for clinical practice and research. Given the relationship between caregiver-

youth conflict and interpersonal conflict and the health of youth with asthma, interventions aimed at the family, rather than the caregiver or youth individually, may prove most beneficial. Future research will benefit from including naturalistic observations and daily reports of behaviors coupled with biological assessments (e.g., inflammatory biomarkers and genetic markers) and a prospective approach to determine mediators and moderators of these relationships; prospective data that we are currently collecting will, we anticipate, help to identify mediators and moderators. Additionally, using daily reports and naturalistic observation strategies will allow for intense short-term longitudinal follow up that can help to identify patterns of change within the social environment and asthma symptoms. Further, future research may want to assess aspects of resilience and contributing factors in daily life for children and adolescents, as previous research has identified protective qualities (e.g., positively re-appraising stress, persisting with future goals) for health in adults who grew up in low socioeconomic households (Chen, Miller, Lachman, Gruenewald, & Seeman, 2012). Extending this research to more diverse samples, including families with individuals diagnosed with other chronic illnesses and/or psychological problems, may help to identify additional targets of interventions. In order to better generalize these findings, samples are needed with greater diversity in culture, socioeconomic status, family relationship status, and physical health status.

In sum, this study provides evidence for the importance of daily family interactions, specifically caregiver-youth interactions, on the health of developing youth. Observing family behaviors as they naturally unfold in daily life is a noteworthy strength of the study in that it provides direct measurement of daily interactions and behaviors as they occur. The study is first to our knowledge to identify everyday behaviors within the social environment of youth that are linked to increased asthma symptoms in childhood and adolescence and adds to the literature pointing to the importance of social interactions on youth health. These results can help medical professionals and researchers better understand the impact of daily and family interactions on health and inform intervention programs aimed at reducing the impact of negative family relationships on youth health.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Portions of this research were funded by the National Institutes of Health (RO1HL114097) and a Wayne State University Junior Faculty Grant in the Social and Behavioral Sciences. We thank all the research assistants in the Close Relationships Lab for their help with data collection.

References

- Achenbach TM, McConaughy SH, Howell CT. Child/adolescent behavioral and emotional problems: implications of cross-informant correlations for situational specificity. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1987; 101(2):213–232. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.101.2.213. [PubMed: 3562706]
- Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Bremner JD, Walker JD, Whitfield C, Perry BD, Giles WH. The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse experiences in childhood. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*. 2006; 256(3):174–186. doi: 10.1007/s00406-005-0624-4. [PubMed: 16311898]

- Arshad SH, Kurukulaaratchy RJ, Fenn M, Matthews S. Early life risk factors for current wheeze, asthma, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness at 10 years of age. *CHEST Journal*. 2005; 127(2):502–508. doi: 10.1378/chest.127.2.502.
- Barnett, V.; Lewis, T. *Outliers in statistical data*. Vol. 3. Wiley; New York: 1994.
- Baughman R, Loudon R. Quantitation of wheezing in acute asthma. *CHEST Journal*. 1984; 86(5):718–722. doi: 10.1378/chest.86.5.718.
- Bauman LJ, Wright E, Leickely FE, Crain E, Kruszon-Moran D, Wade SL, Visness C. Relationship of adherence to pediatric asthma morbidity among inner-city children. *Pediatrics*. 2002; 110:e6–e6. doi: 10.1542/peds.110.1.e6. [PubMed: 12093987]
- Belsky J. Mother-infant interaction at home and in the laboratory: A comparative study. *The Journal of genetic psychology*. 1980; 137(1):37–47. doi: 10.1080/00221325.1980.10532800. [PubMed: 7431019]
- Burt S, Krueger RF, McGue M, Iacono W. Parent-child conflict and the comorbidity among childhood externalizing disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2003; 60(5):505–513. doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.60.5.505. [PubMed: 12742872]
- Campbell DT, Fiske DW. Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. *Psychological Bulletin*. 1959; 56:81–105. doi: 10.1037/h0046016. [PubMed: 13634291]
- Chen E. Impact of socioeconomic status on physiological health in adolescents: An experimental manipulation of psychosocial factors. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2007; 69(4):348–355. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e3180592b20. [PubMed: 17510293]
- Chen E, Chim LS, Strunk RC, Miller GE. The role of the social environment in children and adolescents with asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2007; 176(7):644–649. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200610-1473OC. [PubMed: 17556714]
- Chen E, Hanson MD, Paterson LQ, Griffin MJ, Walker HA, Miller GE. Socioeconomic status and inflammatory processes in childhood asthma: the role of psychological stress. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*. 2006; 117(5):1014–1020. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2006.01.036. [PubMed: 16675327]
- Chen E, Miller GE, Lachman ME, Gruenewald TL, Seeman TE. Protective factors for adults from low-childhood socioeconomic circumstances: the benefits of shift-and-persist for allostatic load. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2012; 74(2):178–186. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e31824206fd. [PubMed: 22286848]
- Cummings EM, Zahn-Waxler C, Radke-Yarrow M. Young children's responses to expressions of anger and affection by others in the family. *Child Development*. 1981; 52:1274–1282. doi: 10.2307/1129516.
- Elkins IJ, McGue M, Iacono WG. Genetic and environmental influences on parent-son relationships: Evidence for increasing genetic influence during adolescence. *Developmental Psychology*. 1997; 33(2):351–363. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.33.2.351. [PubMed: 9147842]
- Gunnlaugsson G, Kristjansson AL, Einarsdottir J, Sigfusdottir ID. Intrafamilial conflict and emotional well-being: A population based study among Icelandic adolescents. *Child Abuse and Neglect*. 2011; 372–381. doi: 10.1016/j.chiabu.2011.01.011. [PubMed: 21620164]
- Hansen NB, Vaughan EL, Cavanaugh CE, Connell CM, Sikkema KJ. Health-related quality of life in bereaved HIV-positive adults: relationships between HIV symptoms, grief, social support, and Axis II indication. *Health Psychology*. 2009; 28(2):249. doi: 10.1037/a0013168. [PubMed: 19290717]
- Kang D, Coe C, McCarthy D, Jarjour N, Kelly E, Rodriguez R, Busse W. Cytokine profiles of stimulated blood lymphocytes in asthmatic and healthy adolescents across the school year. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research*. 1997; 17(8):481–487. doi: 10.1089/jir.1997.17.481. [PubMed: 9282829]
- Kaugars AS, Klinnert MD, Bender BG. Family Influences on Pediatric Asthma. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*. 2004; 29(7):475–491. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsh051. [PubMed: 15347697]
- Kerr DC, Lunkenheimer ES, Olson SL. Assessment of child problem behaviors by multiple informants: A longitudinal study from preschool to school entry. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2007; 48(10):967–975. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01776.x. [PubMed: 17914997]

- Madlensky L, Natarajan L, Flatt SW, Faerber S, Newman VA, Pierce JP. Timing of dietary change in response to a telephone counseling intervention: evidence from the WHEL study. *Health Psychology*. 2008; 27(5):539–547. doi: 10.1037/0278-6133.27.5.539. [PubMed: 18823180]
- Margolin, G. Parent Home Data Questionnaire. University of Southern California; Los Angeles: 1990.
- Marin TJ, Chen E, Munch JA, Miller GE. Double-exposure to acute stress and chronic family stress is associated with immune changes in children with asthma. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2009; 71(4): 378–384. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e318199dbc3. [PubMed: 19196805]
- McIntosh RC, Ironson G, Antoni M, Kumar M, Fletcher MA, Schneiderman N. Alexithymia is linked to neurocognitive, psychological, neuroendocrine, and immune dysfunction in persons living with HIV. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2014; 36:165–175. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2013.10.024. [PubMed: 24184475]
- Mehl MR, Gosling SD, Pennebaker JW. Personality in its natural habitat: Manifestations and implicit folk theories of personality in daily life. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2006; 90:862–877. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.90.5.862. [PubMed: 16737378]
- Mehl MR, Pennebaker JW, Crow MD, Dabbs J, Price JH. The Electronically Activated Recorder (EAR): A device for sampling naturalistic daily activities and conversations. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers*. 2001; 33:517–523. doi: 10.3758/BF03195410.
- Mehl MR, Robbins ML, Deters FG. Naturalistic observation of health-relevant social processes: the electronically activated recorder methodology in psychosomatics. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2012; 74(4):410–417. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e3182545470. [PubMed: 22582338]
- Mehl MR, Vazire S, Holleran SE, Clark CS. Eavesdropping on happiness: Well-being is related to having less small talk and more substantive conversations. *Psychological Science*. 2010; 21:539–541. doi: 10.1177/0956797610362675. [PubMed: 20424097]
- Miller GE, Chen E. Harsh family climate in early life presages the emergence of a proinflammatory phenotype in adolescence. *Psychological Science*. 2010; 21(6):848–856. doi: 10.1177/0956797610370161. [PubMed: 20431047]
- Miller GE, Chen E, Parker KJ. Psychological stress in childhood and susceptibility to the chronic diseases of aging: moving toward a model of behavioral and biological mechanisms. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2011; 137(6):959–997. doi: 10.1037/a0024768. [PubMed: 21787044]
- Miller GE, Gaudin A, Zysk E, Chen E. Parental support and cytokine activity in childhood asthma: The role of glucocorticoid sensitivity. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*. 2009; 123:824–830. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2008.12.019. [PubMed: 19181373]
- Miller, PA.; Hauser, R. Self-report measures of parent-child relationships.. In: Grotevant, HD.; Carlson, CI., editors. *Family assessment: A guide to methods and measures*. Guilford Press; New York: 1989. p. 111-165.
- Montgomery SM, Bartley MJ, Wilkinson RG. Family conflict and slow growth. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*. 1997; 77:326–330. doi: 10.1136/adc.77.4.326. [PubMed: 9389237]
- National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert Panel Report 2: Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma. Bethesda, MD.: 1997. (National Institutes of Health Publication No. 97-4051)
- Northey S, Griffin WA, Krainz S. A partial test of the psychosomatic family model: Marital interaction patterns in asthma and nonasthma families. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 1998; 12(2):220–233. doi: 10.1037/0893-3200.12.2.220.
- Ortega AN, Goodwin RD, McQuaid EL, Canino G. Parental mental health, childhood psychiatric disorders, and asthma attacks in island Puerto Rican youth. *Ambulatory Pediatrics*. 2004; 4(4): 308–315. doi: 10.1367/A03-169R1.1. [PubMed: 15264963]
- Repetti RL. The effects of perceived daily social and academic failure experiences on school-age children's subsequent interactions with parents. *Child Development*. 1996; 67:1467–1482. doi: 10.2307/1131712. [PubMed: 8890495]
- Repetti RL, Polina SL. Development of child daily-report measures: The Youth Everyday Social Interaction and Mood (YES I AM) Scales. 1994 Unpublished manuscript.
- Repetti RL, Robles TF, Reynolds B. Allostatic processes in the family. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2011; 23:921–938. doi: 10.1017/S095457941100040X. [PubMed: 21756442]

- Repetti RL, Taylor SE, Seeman TE. Risky families: Family social environments and the mental and physical health of offspring. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2002; 128:330–366. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.128.2.330. [PubMed: 11931522]
- Segerstrom SC, Miller GE. Psychological Stress and the Human Immune System: A Meta-Analytic Study of 30 Years of Inquiry. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2004; 130(4):601–630. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.130.4.601. [PubMed: 15250815]
- Shalowitz MU, Berry CA, Quinn KA, Wolf RL. The relationship of life stressors and maternal depression to pediatric asthma morbidity in a subspecialty practice. *Ambulatory Pediatrics*. 2001; 1(4):185–193. doi: 10.1367/1539-4409(2001)001<0185:TROLSA>2.0.CO;2. [PubMed: 11888399]
- Shim CS, Williams MH Jr. Relationship of wheezing to the severity of obstruction in asthma. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1983; 143(5):890–892. doi: 10.1001/archinte.1983.00350050044009. [PubMed: 6679232]
- Slatcher RB, Robles TF. Preschoolers' everyday conflict at home and diurnal cortisol patterns. *Health Psychology*. 2012; 31(6):834–838. doi: 10.1037/a0026774. [PubMed: 22229929]
- Slatcher, RB.; Tobin, ET. *Everyday Child Home Observation Coding System*. Wayne State University; Detroit: 2012.
- Slatcher RB, Trentacosta CJ. A naturalistic observation study of the links between parental depressive symptoms and preschoolers' behaviors in everyday life. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2011; 25(3):444–448. doi: 10.1037/a0023728. [PubMed: 21534668]
- Slatcher RB, Trentacosta CJ. Influences of parent and child negative emotionality on young children's everyday behaviors. *Emotion*. 2012; 12(5):932–942. doi: 10.1037/a0027148. [PubMed: 22390707]
- Stein A, Woolley H, Cooper SD, Fairburn CG. An observational study of mothers with eating disorders and their infants. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 1994; 35:733–748. [PubMed: 8040225]
- Tenney ER, Vazire S, Mehl MR. This Examined Life: The Upside of Self-Knowledge for Interpersonal Relationships. *PloS one*. Doi: 10.1371-Journal.pone.0069605;2013 8(7):e69605. [PubMed: 23936057]
- Valiente C, Fabes RA, Eisenberg N, Spinrad TL. The Relations of Parental Expressivity and Support to Children's Coping With Daily Stress. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2004; 18(1):97–106. doi: 10.1037/0893-3200.18.1.97. [PubMed: 14992613]
- Wilcox, R. Trimming and winsorization.. In: Colton, PAT., editor. *Encyclopedia of Biostatistics*. Vol. 6. Wiley; Cichester: 1998. p. 4588-4590.
- Wood BL, Lim J, Miller BD, Cheah PA, Simmens S, Stern T, Ballow M. Family emotional climate, depression, emotional triggering of asthma, and disease severity in pediatric asthma: Examination of pathways of effect. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*. 2007; 32(5):542–551. doi: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsl044. [PubMed: 17124184]

Table 1

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean (SD) or %	Range	Internal Consistency	Inter-rater Reliability (ICC [2,k])	Inter-rater Reliability (ICC [2,1])
Youth Age	12.93				
Youth Gender	53.7% male				
Caregiver Age	42.42 years (7.85)				
% beta-agonist use	79%				
% inhaled corticosteroid use	72%				
Median Income Range	\$7,826 - \$31,850				
Measures of Conflict					
Y-PEQ	1.52 (.50)		.89		
C-PEQ	1.56 (.57)		.88		
Y-NG	1.20 (.27)		.82		
C-NG	1.36 (.36)		.83		
EAR-Observed Interpersonal Conflict Composite	4.59 (4.74)	0-19	.39		
Conflict	3.33% (3.66)			.91	.48
Youth Yelling	.66% (1.23)			.83	.30
Mother Yelling	.50% (.77)			.89	.43
Father Yelling	.11% (5.62)			.92	.51
EAR-Observed Conflict with Caregiver Composite	2.13(2.48)	0-11	.39		
Mother-Youth Conflict	.97% (1.56)			.97	.73
Youth Yelling	.66% (1.23)			.83	.30
Mother Yelling	.50% (.77)			.89	.43
Asthma Symptom Assessment					
Baseline Self-Reported Asthma Symptoms	2.11 (2.53)		.79		
Daily Diary Asthma Symptom Composite	1.28 (.31)		.83		
EAR-Observed Wheezing	.72% (1.60)			.75	.34

Note. Y = Youth-Reported. C = Caregiver-Reported. PEQ = Parental Environment Questionnaire. NG = Daily Negative Caregiver-Youth Interactions. EAR = Electronically Activated Recorder.

Table 2

Bivariate Correlations between Study Variables

	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1. Y-Age	-.05	.25 ⁺	.05	.13	-.06	-.14	-.01	-.05	.03	-.03
2. Y-Ethnicity		-.04	-.18	-.04	-.01	.03	-.09	.12	-.05	-.25 ⁺
3. Y-PEQ			.26 ⁺	.33 ^{**}	.05	-.10	-.05	.14	.13	-.22
4. C-PEQ				.19	.35 ^{**}	.07	.05	-.13	-.12	-.10
5. Y-NG					.43 ^{**}	.25 ⁺	.26 ⁺	.19	.40 ^{**}	.00
6. C-NG						.46 ^{**}	.48 ^{**}	.02	.16	.11
7. EAR-Observed Interpersonal Conflict							.82 ^{**}	.27 [*]	.32 [*]	.36 ^{**}
8. EAR-Observed Caregiver-Youth Conflict								.25 ⁺	.23 ⁺	.39 ^{**}
9. Baseline Self-Reported Asthma Symptoms									.48 ^{**}	.17
10. Daily Diary Asthma Symptom Composite										.01
11. EAR-Observed Wheezing										

Note.

Y = Youth-Reported. C = Caregiver-Reported. PEQ = Parental Environment Questionnaire. NG = Daily Negative Caregiver-Youth Interactions. EAR = Electronically Activated Recorder.

⁺ $p < .10$.^{*} $p < .05$.^{**} $p < .01$.

Table 3

Multiple Regression Analyses with EAR Interpersonal Conflict and Daily Caregiver- and Youth-Reports Predicting Asthma Symptoms

Predictor Variables	Self-Reported Asthma Symptom Questionnaire	Daily Diary Reported Asthma Symptoms	EAR-Observed Wheezing
	β	β	β
Step 1	$R^2=.07, F(1,52)= 4.09^*$	$R^2=.11, F(1,52)= 6.08^*$	$R^2=.13, F(1,52)= 7.81^{**}$
EAR-Observed Interpersonal Conflict	.27 [*]	.32 [*]	.36 ^{**}
Step 2	$R^2=.05, F(3,50)= 2.27^+$	$R^2=.12, F(3,50)= 4.79^{**}$	$R^2=.01, F(3,50)= 2.72^+$
EAR-Observed Interpersonal Conflict	.32 [*]	.29 [*]	.40 ^{**}
Y-NG	.20	.38 ^{**}	-.08
C-NG	-.21	-.14	-.04
Step 3			$R^2=.05, F(4,49)= 2.99^*$
EAR-Observed Interpersonal Conflict			.39 [*]
Y-NG			-.07
C-NG			-.04
Y-Ethnicity			.24 ⁺

Note.

Y = Youth-Reported. C = Caregiver-Reported. NG = Daily Negative Caregiver-Youth Interactions.

⁺ $p < .10$.

^{*} $p < .05$.

^{**} $p < .01$.

Table 4

Multiple Regression Analyses with EAR Caregiver-Youth Conflict and Daily Caregiver- and Youth-Reports Predicting Asthma Symptoms

Predictor Variables	Self-Reported Asthma Symptom Questionnaire	Daily Diary Reported Asthma Symptoms	EAR-Observed Wheezing
	β	β	β
Step 1	$R^2=.06, F(1,52)= 3.43^+$	$R^2=.05, F(1,52)= 2.93^+$	$R^2=.15, F(1,52)= 9.33^{**}$
EAR-Observed Caregiver-Youth Conflict	.25 ⁺	.23 ⁺	.39 ^{**}
Step 2	$R^2=.05, F(3,50)= 2.00$	$R^2=.13, F(3,50)= 3.62^*$	$R^2=.01, F(3,50)= 3.33^*$
EAR-Observed Caregiver-Youth Conflict	.30 [*]	.17	.45 ^{**}
Y-NG	.20	.39 [*]	-.09
C-NG	-.21	-.09	-.07
Step 3			$R^2=.04, F(4,49)= 3.25^*$
EAR-Observed Caregiver-Youth Conflict			.42 ^{**}
Y-NG			-.08
C-NG			-.06
Y-Ethnicity			.21

Note.

Y = Youth-Reported. C = Caregiver-Reported. NG = Daily Negative Caregiver-Youth Interactions.

⁺ $p < .10$.

^{*} $p < .05$.

^{**} $p < .01$.