Published in final edited form as:

Drugs. 2015 February ; 75(3): 243–251. doi:10.1007/s40265-015-0355-4.

Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis to Prevent HIV Infection: Current Status, Future Opportunities and Challenges

Douglas S. Krakower^{1,2} and Kenneth H. Mayer^{1,2}

¹Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

²The Fenway Institute, Fenway Health, Boston, MA

Abstract

As the global incidence of HIV exceeds 2 million new infections annually, effective interventions to decrease HIV transmission are needed. Randomized placebo-controlled studies have demonstrated that daily oral antiretroviral pre-exposure chemoprophylaxis (PrEP) with a fixed-dose combination tablet containing tenofovir disoproxil fumarate and emtricitabine can significantly reduce HIV incidence among diverse at-risk populations. In these studies, the efficacy of PrEP was correlated with levels of adherence. Official guidelines recommend provision of PrEP to persons at greatest risk of HIV acquisition, and demonstration projects suggest that high levels of uptake and adherence are possible outside of controlled studies. However, several potential barriers to implementing PrEP remain. These challenges include low awareness and utilization of PrEP by at-risk persons, uncertainty about adherence in “real-world” settings, the majority of healthcare providers being untrained in PrEP provision, limited data about potential adverse effects from long-term use of tenofovir-emtricitabine, high costs of PrEP medications, and stigma associated with PrEP use and the behaviors that would warrant PrEP. Innovative pharmacologic chemoprophylactic approaches could provide solutions to some of these challenges. Less-than-daily oral dosing regimens and long-acting injectable medications could reduce pill burdens and facilitate adherence, and local delivery of PrEP medications to genital compartments via gels, rings and films may limit systemic drug exposure and potential toxicities. As the portfolio of chemoprophylactic agents and delivery systems expands to meet the diverse sexual health needs and product preferences of individuals who may benefit from PrEP, it is hoped that antiretroviral chemoprophylaxis could become an acceptable, feasible, and highly effective addition to existing HIV prevention strategies.

Introduction

As there are 50,000 new HIV infections in the U.S. [1] and approximately 2 million new infections worldwide each year [2], effective strategies to prevent HIV transmission are needed. Over the past few years, studies have demonstrated that the use of oral antiretroviral medications by HIV-infected persons before immunologic decline, known as Treatment as Prevention [3], and by HIV-uninfected persons who are at risk for becoming infected,

referred to as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) [4-7], can substantially decrease HIV transmission. These studies have generated optimism that bio-behavioral approaches to HIV prevention, which combine innovative pharmacologic approaches with complementary behavioral strategies, have the potential to alter the trajectory of the HIV epidemic.

In 2012, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved a fixed-dose coformulated tablet containing tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and emtricitabine (FTC) (TDF-FTC) for use as a once-daily PrEP regimen among individuals at risk for becoming infected with HIV through sexual transmission [8]. The FDA approval was based on evidence from several placebo-controlled, Phase III studies demonstrating the efficacy of this regimen [4, 6, 7]. In early 2014, the U.S. Public Health Services issued guidelines for healthcare practitioners regarding provision of TDF-FTC to persons at greatest risk of HIV acquisition [9]. With FDA approval and USPHS guidelines in support of prescribing TDF-FTC as PrEP to appropriate individuals, the stage has been set for implementing PrEP in care settings in the U.S.

However, challenges to successfully implementing PrEP exist. Awareness of PrEP among persons who may benefit from this intervention has been low [10, 11], and many of these persons may not be engaged in ongoing healthcare, if they have no chronic medical condition. This lack of engagement in care could limit access to PrEP, as TDF-FTC is only available by prescription and requires longitudinal clinical monitoring by providers. TDF-FTC is costly [12], so persons without insurance, or those who have insurance but cannot afford monthly co-pays, may face financial barriers to accessing PrEP. The company that manufactures TDF-FTC, Gilead Sciences, maintains a patient assistance program, which can be helpful but requires knowledgeable consumers and providers to access it. The efficacy of PrEP is dependent on adherence [4-6, 13, 7, 14, 15], and adherence to PrEP medications in efficacy studies has been variable [4-6, 13, 7, 14], raising questions about whether persons who are prescribed PrEP in clinical settings will be adherent enough to derive protection. Although TDF-FTC was found to be safe and generally well-tolerated over the 1-3 year time frame of completed efficacy and safety studies [4-6, 13, 7, 14, 16], the safety of long-term use of TDF-FTC as PrEP has not yet been established, which some healthcare providers have viewed as a reason to be cautious about prescribing PrEP to otherwise healthy people [17-20].

To address concerns about cost, adherence, and safety with use of daily oral TDF-FTC, several novel approaches to administering antiretroviral drugs as PrEP are being explored. These solutions include a) topical administration of antiretroviral medications to limit any systemic toxicities that may arise with oral tablets; b) less than daily use of PrEP medications to reduce costs and overall drug exposure while potentially enhancing adherence; and c) utilizing other classes of antiretroviral medications to decrease the risk of developing drug resistance among persons who become infected with HIV while using PrEP, given the role of TDF-FTC as a first-line part of combination treatment of HIV infection [21]. (Table 1)

The development of chemoprophylactic agents with desirable properties and novel methods of delivery could broaden the appeal of PrEP to those individuals who prefer alternatives to

using daily oral TDF-FTC. However, even if new agents are developed for public use, the potential benefits of PrEP will only be realized if barriers to implementing PrEP in care settings are addressed, including stigma, low consumer awareness, provider-related barriers to identifying persons at risk for HIV acquisition, adherence, and potential increases in sexual risk behaviors with PrEP use. If pharmacologic advances occur and innovative strategies to overcome social and behavioral barriers to implementation are developed, the PrEP has the potential to impact HIV incidence as part of combination bio-behavioral approaches to HIV prevention.

Current status of PrEP

TDF is a nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor that has been studied as a potential PrEP medication because it was found to be generally safe when used for HIV treatment [22, 23], achieve high concentrations in genital compartments [24, 25], and have a high genetic barrier to resistance [26, 27]. Studies with macaques have shown that it can protect against retroviral challenge when administered as PrEP [28]. Concentrations of tenofovir are particularly high in rectal mucosa [29], which may provide protection to individuals who have exposure to HIV through receptive anal intercourse, including men who have sex with men (MSM), as well as heterosexual women. FTC is a nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor that also has an excellent safety profile and achieves high concentrations in the female genital tract [24, 25], which could enhance its protective effects for women. Given numerous properties that would be favorable for PrEP agents, TDF-FTC and TDF alone were the first agents to be tested in large-scale human studies.

Several large, randomized, placebo-controlled studies have demonstrated that daily oral PrEP with TDF/FTC is safe, well-tolerated, and efficacious among populations at increased risk of becoming infected with HIV, including men and transgender women who have sex with men [6], members of HIV-serodiscordant couples [4], heterosexual men and women living in areas with generalized HIV epidemics [7], and persons who inject drugs [5]. These studies demonstrated decreases in the relative risk of acquiring HIV infection among groups randomized to use TDF-FTC that ranged from 44% - 75% [7, 6, 5, 4]. High adherence to study medications, based on having detectable levels of TDF or FTC at study visits, was correlated with 92% efficacy in one study [6]. Two additional studies of daily TDF-FTC or TDF alone among young African women at risk of HIV acquisition were discontinued early after interim analyses suggested that efficacy could not be demonstrated over the planned timeline of the studies [14, 13]. However, as blood tests to detect study drug in these trials suggested that few women were taking study tablets as instructed [14, 13], levels of adherence were probably too low to demonstrate efficacy.

TDF-FTC has been associated with nephrotoxicity [30, 31] and loss of bone mineral density [32, 33] in a minority of patients when used as treatment for HIV-infection. Studies of PrEP demonstrated no differences in rates of serious renal or bone-related adverse outcomes between participants assigned to use PrEP or placebo [14, 7, 6, 5, 4]. However, more participants assigned to use TDF-FTC had decreases in renal function that resolved with discontinuation of drug [34, 35] and were more likely to experience a small (~1%) decline in bone mineral density. The decline in bone mineral density is of uncertain clinical

significance, since it was not associated with clinical findings, and when tenofovir is used for HIV treatment, any bone changes tend to plateau after 12 to 18 months on medication [36, 37]. As participants in PrEP studies were generally healthy and needed to have normal renal function to enroll, monitoring for kidney and bone toxicities with PrEP use in care settings and accrual of long-term safety data will be important. Tolerability of TDF-FTC as PrEP in efficacy studies was generally excellent; approximately 10% of participants experienced gastrointestinal symptoms such as nausea or diarrhea over the first 4 weeks of use, but these symptoms tended to resolve without additional interventions, and were not associated with study product discontinuation [14, 7, 6, 5, 4].

Based on the evidence of efficacy, safety, and tolerability of TDF-FTC from these controlled studies involving thousands of participants on several continents, several demonstration projects are being conducted to assess the acceptability and feasibility of PrEP in care settings. A demonstration project known as The Demo Project provided daily TDF-FTC at no cost to men and transgender women who have sex with men at risk for HIV acquisition for a 1-year period in San Francisco, Miami, and Washington, D.C. In the Demo Project, interest in using PrEP was high; in San Francisco, 58% of nearly 1000 MSM who were screened and eligible for enrollment elected to use PrEP [38]. Although about one-third of the participants self-referred to the study, the remaining two-thirds enrolled after referral by clinicians, suggesting that interest in using PrEP may be high among MSM who are actively seeking PrEP and among those whose healthcare providers recommend this intervention [39]. Nearly all (98%) Demo Project participants had detectable levels TDF-FTC on analyses of dried blood spots, and three-fourths had drug levels consistent with taking 4 or more doses per week [39]. Overall, the Demo Project findings suggest that translating PrEP utilization from controlled studies to selected real-world settings is feasible among urban MSM in the US.

Remaining Challenges

Although findings from demonstration projects in the U.S. are encouraging regarding potential uptake and adherence to PrEP, few persons have been prescribed PrEP in clinical settings, which is probably a result of low awareness of PrEP among persons in the community and a need for greater practitioner training in identifying persons most likely to benefit from PrEP and subsequently prescribing PrEP [40]. A national study of U.S. retail pharmacy data estimated that fewer than 2000 persons in the U.S. had been prescribed TDF-FTC for use as PrEP as of early 2013 [41], which was 2 years after this regimen was demonstrated to be efficacious (the iPrEx study [6]) and interim guidance from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to healthcare practitioners regarding PrEP provision had been released [42]. Studies of MSM also suggest gradual uptake of PrEP in the community; surveys of several thousand members of a large online network for MSM in the U.S. found that only 1% of participants had used PrEP as of early 2011 and only 3% had used PrEP as of early 2014 [10, 11]. One explanation for low utilization of PrEP is limited community awareness, as these surveys found that only one-fourth of the MSM surveyed had heard of PrEP, even though a majority of respondents would be interested in using daily PrEP [10, 11]. Creative ways to disseminate messages about PrEP directly to potential consumers, including using online media and educational materials in healthcare

settings, may be helpful to disseminate knowledge about PrEP and facilitate uptake. The study also found that MSM who were less comfortable disclosing their sexuality to their providers were less likely to have used PrEP, an additional challenge to uptake [43].

Healthcare practitioners are generally aware of PrEP [17, 40], though some have indicated that additional training would be important to help them feel comfortable prescribing PrEP [40]. Providers may also benefit from training to enhance skills with HIV risk assessments, as comprehensive discussions about sexual behaviors and sexual orientation, which are important for identifying persons who may benefit from PrEP, are infrequent in clinical settings [44-46]. Debate exists about which providers are best positioned to prescribe PrEP. Some HIV specialists have postulated that generalists, with whom most HIV-uninfected persons would engage in care, would be optimal for prescribing PrEP to their patients. In contrast, generalists have expressed a need for more training before prescribing antiretroviral medications [18]. STD clinicians are likely to provide care to a population enriched with persons at greatest risk for acquiring HIV; however, STD clinics may not be geared towards delivering the longitudinal care that would be required for PrEP provision. The solution may be to: a) provide basic training about PrEP to all clinicians who may have contact with persons at risk of becoming infected, including primary care providers; b) offer more comprehensive training to practitioners who have more frequent contact with persons at highest risk or who are interested in becoming local experts in HIV preventive care; and c) encourage providers who prefer not to prescribe PrEP to refer appropriate patients to expert colleagues. Some clinicians may also believe that condoms and other behavioral interventions should be prioritized over chemoprophylaxis, which could impact their willingness to prescribe PrEP. To address this potential barrier, trainings for providers should include the rationale that bio-behavioral prevention (i.e., combining behavioral and pharmacologic interventions) is needed given persistently high rates of HIV infection despite longstanding promotion of condom use.

The high cost of daily TDF-FTC, over \$10,000 annually [12], could limit access to PrEP for many persons without insurance. Financial constraints could also be a major barrier to implementing PrEP in government funded or subsidized health systems. Gilead Sciences, the manufacturer of TDF-FTC, has a drug assistance program for uninsured patients in the US and will provide TDF-FTC at no cost to qualified persons [47]. For those persons with insurance, most third-party insurers will cover the cost of TDF-FTC given it is FDA-approved to be prescribed as PrEP, and several state Medicaid formularies have declared that they will cover the cost of PrEP for their beneficiaries [48, 49]. In addition to cost, stigma associated with using PrEP could prevent some people from utilizing this intervention. Some participants in PrEP efficacy studies in Africa reported that they were reluctant to take study medications provided to them because of fears that others would believe that they were HIV-infected [50]. Vocal rhetoric from organizations that oppose widespread use of PrEP, such as the AIDS Healthcare Foundation [51], have received substantial amounts of media attention in the U.S. [52], which could be expected to dissuade some potential consumers from initiating PrEP. Efforts to de-stigmatize PrEP will be important to create a safe environment for persons to seek and initiate PrEP.

Novel Solutions

Recent advances in the pharmacology of antiretroviral medications could potentially overcome some of the barriers to successfully implementing daily oral TDF-FTC as PrEP, such as adherence challenges, costs, possible toxicities from systemic exposure, and potential selection of drug resistant viral strains among those who become infected with HIV while using PrEP.

Studies are testing whether oral TDF-FTC is efficacious when used according to a non-daily schedule, which could reduce costs and systemic exposure and possibly improve adherence among persons who have episodic risky behaviors and prefer not to take daily pills. A study of episodic use of TDF-FTC among MSM in France, Quebec and Berlin (Ipergay) randomized participants to use 2 tablets of TDF-FTC or placebo prior to exposure, then 1 tablet 24 hours later and the last tablet taken at 48 hours after the sex act. The study was stopped early after interim analyses found that TDF-FTC was protective against HIV acquisition. However, the level of efficacy, medication adherence, and the patterns of sexual risk among study participants are not expected to be presented until 2015 [53]. These results will be important to determine whether they were using TDF-FTC in an episodic versus daily or near-daily manner. Additional studies are assessing the pharmacokinetics and acceptability of fixed-interval use of TDF-FTC (i.e., twice weekly) plus post-exposure dosing (the ADAPT study) [54], which could decrease overall pill burden and drug exposure as compared to daily use. An open label study of daily TDF-FTC as PrEP among 1225 men and transgender women who have sex with men (iPrEx OLE) found that none of the participants who took 4 or more pills weekly became HIV infected during the study, which represented high levels of protection given an HIV incidence rate of 4.7 infections per 100 person-years if drug was not detected [15]. The findings from iPrEx OLE suggest that daily TDF-FTC may be “forgiving” in terms of missed doses, which could encourage some persons to initiate daily TDF-FTC who might not otherwise utilize this intervention if strict adherence was essential.

Additional oral antiretroviral medications with other mechanisms of action than TDF-FTC are being evaluated for use as PrEP. Maraviroc, an entry inhibitor that inhibits CC chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5) on host CD4+ T-cells, has an excellent safety profile and concentrates in genital tissues [55], and is being tested as a PrEP agent alone or in combination with TDF or FTC in a safety and acceptability study [56]. This agent would be attractive for use as PrEP, as it is not typically used as a first-line agent for treating HIV infection [21], so any potential selection of drug resistant viral strains among those who become infected while using maraviroc as PrEP would be unlikely to impact initial HIV treatment regimens.

Two Phase III studies among African women at risk for HIV infection have tested the safety and efficacy of a topical intravaginal gel containing tenofovir [57, 13]. In one of these studies (CAPRISA-004), participants were instructed to apply the gel within 12 hours before sex and another dose within 12 hours after sex [57], whereas in the other study (VOICE), women were instructed to apply the gel on a daily basis irrespective of their sexual behaviors [13]. Both studies found the gel to be safe and well-tolerated, but only the study of pericoital dosing demonstrated efficacy (39%), which may have been due to differences in

adherence or the pharmacology of daily versus pericoital dosing [57, 58]. A third study (FACTS-001) is testing the pericoital dosing regimen with this gel and could act as a tiebreaker [59], such that successful demonstration of efficacy could allow for licensure and production of this product for public use. Topical gels have also been formulated for rectal use, which could be utilized by MSM or women who engage in receptive anal sex and prefer to use topical rather than oral forms of PrEP [60]. An expanded safety and acceptability study of rectal tenofovir is underway among MSM in the United States, Peru, Thailand, and South Africa.

Intravaginal rings that elute antiretroviral medications and could be left *in situ* for prolonged periods (e.g., 1 month), similar to contraceptive rings that are currently prescribed in clinical practice, could offer the benefits of other topical formulations (e.g., limited systemic drug exposure) without the potential adherence challenges associated with pericoital or daily application. Intravaginal rings containing dapivirine, a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI), alone or with maraviroc, were shown to be safe and well-tolerated in a pilot study [61], though only dapivirine achieved adequate levels in genital tract tissues [62]. Two efficacy studies of dapivirine containing rings are underway and should be able to report their findings in 2016. Earlier phase studies are currently evaluating rings containing tenofovir or darunavir, a protease inhibitor [63].

Topically-applied, rapidly-dissolving films containing antiretroviral medications are also being tested. In a pilot study, a film containing dapivirine was shown to achieve drug concentrations in vaginal tissues similar to those measured after 28 days of using an intravaginal ring containing dapivirine [64]. Some women in the study did not accurately place the self-administered strips in the vagina, so the strips are being modified to facilitate proper placement for future studies [64].

A promising method for delivering antiretroviral medications for chemoprophylaxis or treatment of HIV infection is through parenteral injections of long-acting medications, which could overcome adherence barriers for persons who may not be able or willing to take daily medications but who could present to care on an intermittent basis for medication dosing. Long-acting crystalline nanoformulations of rilpivirine (rilpivirine LA), an NNRTI, and cabotegravir, an integrase strand transfer inhibitor, have been developed and tested in animal models and early phase human studies. In a study of HIV-uninfected volunteers, single doses of rilpivirine LA were well-tolerated, achieved peak concentrations after approximately 1 week, and had prolonged plasma and genital exposure, with measureable levels in both compartments at day 84 [65]. One participant who became infected with HIV while using the lowest dose of rilpivirine tested during the study was found to have sustained low levels of drug and selection of a viral strain resistant to rilpivirine and other NNRTIs [66], which underscores the importance of optimizing drug levels when utilizing long-acting agents for chemoprophylaxis. Another preclinical study demonstrated significant protection against vaginal retroviral challenge after a single dose of rilpivirine LA in a mouse model, though protection waned by 3 weeks post-injection [67]. An additional preclinical study with healthy volunteers demonstrated that rilpivirine LA achieved greater tissue concentrations in rectal versus vaginal or cervical compartments, and that viral suppression in an ex-vivo explant model was achieved only in rectal tissue [68]. Given the

lack of viral suppression in vaginal tissue in this model, further studies are being planned to optimize dosing of this agent for multiple mucosal compartments. For cabotegravir, studies with macaques demonstrated that schedules of drug administration correlating to quarterly dosing in humans offered high levels of protection against viral challenge [69], and phase II safety studies with doses at 12 week intervals are underway [70]. Notably, rilpivirine and cabotegravir do not have significant pharmacokinetic interactions with each other, raising the possibility that these 2 agents could be co-administered as PrEP or HIV treatment [71].

The concept of co-formulating preventive agents for the purpose of HIV prevention has been extended to include multipurpose technologies that can meet multiple sexual and reproductive health needs of consumers, in particular women who may benefit from preventing HIV infection, other sexually transmitted infections (e.g., herpes simplex virus), and unintended pregnancy [72]. Multipurpose products may be long-acting, such as injections or rings, or “on demand”, such as topical gels or diaphragms (or other barrier protection) co-administered with gels. Multipurpose products are generally in early stages of development, but Phase I studies of some products, such as an intravaginal ring containing a contraceptive agent (levonorgestrel) and tenofovir, are underway [63, 73].

Conclusion

In 2010, UNAIDS described its striking goal of revolutionizing HIV prevention and ultimately “getting to zero” new HIV infections worldwide [74]. For perhaps the first time since the beginning of the HIV epidemic over 3 decades ago, there are realistic expectations that substantial reductions in HIV incidence can be achieved if novel bio-behavioral approaches to HIV prevention can be successfully implemented on a global scale. PrEP is likely to decrease HIV transmission among those who can achieve sufficient levels of adherence, and experiences with PrEP use among early adopters appear to be positive, offering encouraging evidence that wider implementation of oral PrEP among those at greatest risk for HIV acquisition may be possible. For persons at risk of HIV acquisition who are not able to benefit from using daily oral TDF-FTC for various reasons, whether due to inability or unwillingness to adhere to this regimen or preferences to use alternative approaches, additional dosing regimens, such as episodic use of TDF-FTC and novel agents with innovative routes of delivery, may be available in the next few years. Hopefully, a diverse array of effective chemoprophylaxis options could facilitate broad uptake by fulfilling the product preferences of all individuals who may benefit from PrEP. Stigma and insufficient training of healthcare practitioners could jeopardize the success of PrEP programs, so it will be important to devote resources to these social and provider-related barriers while seeking advances in pharmacology. If many of the persons at greatest risk for HIV acquisition can routinely access and utilize PrEP, and if HIV-infected persons can initiate antiretroviral treatment early in the course of their infection, then these combined bio-behavioral strategies could have the capability of greatly reducing HIV incidence worldwide.

Acknowledgements

Funding: Douglas S. Krakower receives funding from the National Institutes of Health (K23 MH098795). Kenneth H. Mayer receives funding from the Harvard University Center for AIDS Research (CFAR), an NIH funded

program (P30 AI060354; PI: Walker). Potential Competing Interests: Drs. Krakower and Mayer have conducted research with unrestricted grants from Gilead sciences (D.S.K. and K.H.M.), Bristol Myers Squibb (D.S.K. and K.H.M.), ViiV (K.H.M.) and Merck (K.H.M.). Drs. Krakower and Mayer have co-authored a CME activity for Medscape.

References

1. Prejean J, Song R, Hernandez A, Ziebell R, Green T, Walker F, et al. Estimated HIV Incidence in the United States, 2006-2009. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6(8):e17502. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017502 PONE-D-10-02530 [pii]. [PubMed: 21826193]
2. UNAIDS Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic. [2 November 2014] Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). 2013. at http://www.unaids.org/en/media/unaids/contentassets/documents/epidemiology/2013/gr2013/UNAIDS_Global_Report_2013_en.pdf
3. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, Gamble T, Hosseinipour MC, Kumarasamy N, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2011; 365(6):493–505. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1105243. [PubMed: 21767103]
4. Baeten JM, Donnell D, Ndase P, Mugo NR, Campbell JD, Wangisi J, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV prevention in heterosexual men and women. *N Engl J Med*. 2012; 367(5):399–410. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1108524. [PubMed: 22784037]
5. Choopanya K, Martin M, Suntharasamai P, Sangkum U, Mock PA, Leethochawalit M, et al. Antiretroviral prophylaxis for HIV infection in injecting drug users in Bangkok, Thailand (the Bangkok Tenofovir Study): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. 2013; 381(9883):2083–90. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61127-7 S0140-6736(13)61127-7 [pii]. [PubMed: 23769234]
6. Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, McMahan V, Liu AY, Vargas L, et al. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. *N Engl J Med*. 2010; 363(27):2587–99. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1011205. [PubMed: 21091279]
7. Thigpen MC, Kebaabetswe PM, Paxton LA, Smith DK, Rose CE, Segolodi TM, et al. Antiretroviral preexposure prophylaxis for heterosexual HIV transmission in Botswana. *N Engl J Med*. 2012; 367(5):423–34. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1110711. [PubMed: 22784038]
8. FDA Approves First Medication to Reduce HIV Risk. U.S. Food and Drug Administration; Jul 16. 2012 at: <http://www.fda.gov/forconsumers/consumerupdates/ucm311821.htm> [29 October 2014]
9. US Public Health Service. [5 October 2014] Preexposure Prophylaxis for the Prevention of HIV Infection in the United States - 2014 Clinical Practice Guideline. at <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/PrEPguidelines2014.pdf>
10. Krakower DS, Mimiaga MJ, Rosenberger JG, Novak DS, Mitty JA, White JM, et al. Limited Awareness and Low Immediate Uptake of Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis among Men Who Have Sex with Men Using an Internet Social Networking Site. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(3):e33119. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033119 PONE-D-11-19249 [pii]. [PubMed: 22470438]
11. Mayer, KH.; Oldenburg, CE.; Novak, DS.; Krakower, DS.; Mimiaga, MJ. Differences in PrEP Knowledge and Use in U.S. MSM Users of a Popular Sexual Networking Site Surveyed in August 2013 and January 2014.. R4P conference; Cape Town. 28-31 October 2014;
12. Tenofovir and emtricitabine: Drug information. Lexicomp. Accessed on 31 October. 2014
13. Marrazzo, JM.; Ramjee, G.; Nair, GB.; Palanee, T.; Mkhiza, B.; Nakabiito, C., et al. Pre-exposure Prophylaxis for HIV in Women: Daily Oral Tenofovir, Oral Tenofovir/Emtricitabine, or Vaginal Tenofovir Gel in the VOICE Study (MTN 003).. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Atlanta. 3-6 March 2013; at <http://www.retroconference.org/2013b/Abstracts/47951.htm>
14. Van Damme L, Corneli A, Ahmed K, Agot K, Lombaard J, Kapiga S, et al. Preexposure prophylaxis for HIV infection among African women. *N Engl J Med*. 2012; 367(5):411–22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1202614. [PubMed: 22784040]
15. Grant RM, Anderson PL, McMahan V, Liu A, Amico KR, Mehrotra M, et al. Uptake of pre-exposure prophylaxis, sexual practices, and HIV incidence in men and transgender women who have sex with men: a cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2014; 14(9):820–9. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(14)70847-3 S1473-3099(14)70847-3 [pii]. [PubMed: 25065857]

16. Grohskopf LA, Chillag KL, Gvetadze R, Liu AY, Thompson M, Mayer KH, et al. Randomized Trial of Clinical Safety of Daily Oral Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate Among HIV-Uninfected Men Who Have Sex With Men in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013; 64(1): 79–86. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31828ece33. [PubMed: 23466649]
17. Karris MY, Beekmann SE, Mehta SR, Anderson CM, Polgreen PM. Are we prepped for preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP)? Provider opinions on the real-world use of PrEP in the United States and Canada. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014; 58(5):704–12. doi:10.1093/cid/cit796 cit796 [pii]. [PubMed: 24319083]
18. Krakower D, Ware N, Mitty JA, Maloney K, Mayer KH. HIV providers' perceived barriers and facilitators to implementing pre-exposure prophylaxis in care settings: a qualitative study. *AIDS Behav*. 2014; 18(9):1712–21. doi:10.1007/s10461-014-0839-3. [PubMed: 24965676]
19. Puro V, Palummieri A, De Carli G, Piselli P, Ippolito G. Attitude towards antiretroviral Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) prescription among HIV specialists. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2013; 13:217. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-13-2171471-2334-13-217 [pii]. [PubMed: 23672424]
20. Tellalian D, Maznavi K, Bredeek UF, Hardy WD. Pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for HIV infection: results of a survey of HIV healthcare providers evaluating their knowledge, attitudes, and prescribing practices. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2013; 27(10):553–9. doi:10.1089/apc.2013.0173. [PubMed: 24053478]
21. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. Department of Health and Human Services; May 1. 2014 p. 1-161.at: <http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adultandadolescentgl.pdf> [5 October 2014]
22. Cooper RD, Wiebe N, Smith N, Keiser P, Naicker S, Tonelli M. Systematic review and meta-analysis: renal safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in HIV-infected patients. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010; 51(5):496–505. doi:10.1086/655681. [PubMed: 20673002]
23. Monteiro N, Branco M, Peres S, Borges F, Mansinho K. The impact of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate on kidney function: four-year data from the HIV-infected outpatient cohort. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2014; 17(4 Suppl 3):19565. [PubMed: 25394072]
24. Else LJ, Taylor S, Back DJ, Khoo SH. Pharmacokinetics of antiretroviral drugs in anatomical sanctuary sites: the male and female genital tract. *Antivir Ther*. 2011; 16(8):1149–67. doi:10.3851/imp1919. [PubMed: 22155899]
25. Dumond JB, Yeh RF, Patterson KB, Corbett AH, Jung BH, Rezk NL, et al. Antiretroviral drug exposure in the female genital tract: implications for oral pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis. *AIDS*. 2007; 21(14):1899–907. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e328270385a. [PubMed: 17721097]
26. Pinggen M, Nijhuis M, Mudrikova T, van Laarhoven A, Langebeek N, Richter C, et al. Infection with the frequently transmitted HIV-1 M41L variant has no influence on selection of tenofovir resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014 doi:10.1093/jac/dku377.
27. Etiebet MA, Shepherd J, Nowak RG, Charurat M, Chang H, Ajayi S, et al. Tenofovir-based regimens associated with less drug resistance in HIV-1-infected Nigerians failing first-line antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2013; 27(4):553–61. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e32835b0f59. [PubMed: 23079810]
28. Garcia-Lerma JG, Cong ME, Mitchell J, Youngpairoj AS, Zheng Q, Masciotra S, et al. Intermittent prophylaxis with oral truvada protects macaques from rectal SHIV infection. *Sci Transl Med*. 2010; 2(14):14ra4. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3000391 2/14/14ra4 [pii].
29. Patterson KB, Prince HA, Kraft E, Jenkins AJ, Shaheen NJ, Rooney JF, et al. Penetration of tenofovir and emtricitabine in mucosal tissues: implications for prevention of HIV-1 transmission. *Sci Transl Med*. 2011; 3(112):112re4. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3003174.
30. Jose S, Hamzah L, Campbell LJ, Hill T, Fisher M, Leen C, et al. Incomplete reversibility of estimated glomerular filtration rate decline following tenofovir disoproxil fumarate exposure. *J Infect Dis*. 2014; 210(3):363–73. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu107. [PubMed: 24585896]
31. Nishijima T, Kawasaki Y, Tanaka N, Mizushima D, Aoki T, Watanabe K, et al. Long-term exposure to tenofovir continuously decrease renal function in HIV-1-infected patients with low body weight: results from 10 years of follow-up. *AIDS*. 2014; 28(13):1903–10. doi:10.1097/qad.0000000000000347. [PubMed: 25259702]

32. McComsey GA, Kitch D, Daar ES, Tierney C, Jahed NC, Tebas P, et al. Bone mineral density and fractures in antiretroviral-naïve persons randomized to receive abacavir-lamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine along with efavirenz or atazanavir-ritonavir: Aids Clinical Trials Group A5224s, a substudy of ACTG A5202. *J Infect Dis.* 2011; 203(12):1791–801. doi:10.1093/infdis/jir188. [PubMed: 21606537]
33. Assoumou L, Katlama C, Viard JP, Bentata M, Simon A, Roux C, et al. Changes in bone mineral density over a 2-year period in HIV-1-infected men under combined antiretroviral therapy with osteopenia. *AIDS.* 2013; 27(15):2425–30. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e32836378c3. [PubMed: 24029735]
34. Martin M, Vanichseni S, Suntharasamai P, Sangkum U, Mock PA, Gvetadze RJ, et al. Renal function of participants in the Bangkok tenofovir study--Thailand, 2005-2012. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014; 59(5):716–24. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu355. [PubMed: 24829212]
35. Solomon MM, Lama JR, Glidden DV, Mulligan K, McMahan V, Liu AY, et al. Changes in renal function associated with oral emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate use for HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis. *AIDS.* 2014; 28(6):851–9. doi:10.1097/QAD.000000000000156. [PubMed: 24499951]
36. Kasonde M, Niska RW, Rose C, Henderson FL, Segolodi TM, Turner K, et al. Bone mineral density changes among HIV-uninfected young adults in a randomised trial of pre-exposure prophylaxis with tenofovir-emtricitabine or placebo in Botswana. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9(3):e90111. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090111. [PubMed: 24625530]
37. Liu AY, Vittinghoff E, Sellmeyer DE, Irvin R, Mulligan K, Mayer K, et al. Bone mineral density in HIV-negative men participating in a tenofovir pre-exposure prophylaxis randomized clinical trial in San Francisco. *PLoS One.* 2011; 6(8):e23688. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023688 PONE-D-11-07381 [pii]. [PubMed: 21897852]
38. Elion, R.; Doblecki-Lewis, S.; Cohen, S.; Castro, J.; Buchbinder, S.; Estrada, Y., et al. High levels of interest in PrEP and baseline risk behaviors among MSM enrolled in the US PrEP Demonstration (Demo) project [abstract THPE187].. Presented at the 20th International AIDS Conference; Melbourne. July 20-25, 2014;
39. Cohen, SE.; Vittinghoff, E.; Anderson, PL.; Doblecki-Lewis, S.; Bacon, O.; Chege, W., et al. Implementation of PrEP in STD Clinics: High Uptake and Drug Detection Among MSM in the Demonstration Project [abstract 954].. Presented at the 21st Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Boston. March 3-6, 2014;
40. Krakower, D.; Oldenburg, CE.; Mitty, JA.; Wilson, I.; Kurth, A.; Maloney, K., et al. New England healthcare providers' perceptions, knowledge and practices regarding the use of antiretrovirals for prevention.. 9th International Conference on HIV Treatment and Prevention Adherence; Miami. 8-10 June, 2014; Abstract 270
41. Rawlings, K.; Mera, R.; Pechonika, A.; Rooney, JF.; Peschel, T.; Cheng, A. Status of Truvada (TVD) for HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) in the United States: An Early Drug Utilization Analysis [abstract H-663a].. Presented at the 2013 Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy; Denver. September 9-September 13, 2013;
42. Interim guidance: preexposure prophylaxis for the prevention of HIV infection in men who have sex with men. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011; 60(3):65–8. doi:mm6003a1 [pii]. [PubMed: 21270743]
43. Mayer, KH.; Oldenburg, CE.; Novak, D.; Elsesser, S.; Krakower, DS.; Mimiaga, MJ. Early Adopters: Socio-demographic and Behavioral Correlates of Chemoprophylaxis Use in a Recent National Online Sample of Men who have Sex with Men in the U.S. [abstract 954].. Presented at the 21st Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Boston. March 3-6, 2014;
44. Stott DB. The training needs of general practitioners in the exploration of sexual health matters and providing sexual healthcare to lesbian, gay and bisexual patients. *Med Teach.* 2013; 35(9):752–9. doi:10.3109/0142159x.2013.801943. [PubMed: 23808564]
45. Sherman MD, Kauth MR, Shipherd JC, Street RL Jr. Communication between VA providers and sexual and gender minority veterans: a pilot study. *Psychol Serv.* 2014; 11(2):235–42. doi: 10.1037/a0035840. [PubMed: 24588107]

46. Sherman MD, Kauth MR, Shipherd JC, Street RL. Provider Beliefs and Practices About Assessing Sexual Orientation in Two Veterans Health Affairs Hospitals. *LGBT Health*. 2014; 1(3):185–91. doi:10.1089/lgbt.2014.0008.
47. [16 October 2014] Gilead Sciences -Paying for Truvada. at <http://www.truvada.com/truvada-patient-assistance>
48. [5 October 2014] New York State Medicaid Pharmacy and Therapeutics Committee Meeting Summary -November 15, 2012. at http://www.health.ny.gov/health_care/medicaid/program/ptcommittee/meetings/2012/11/ptsummary11-15-12_with_comm_final_determi.pdf
49. Washinton State Department of Public Health. [5 October 2014] Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Drug Assistance Program (PrEP DAP). at <http://www.doh.wa.gov/YouandYourFamily/IllnessandDisease/HIVAIDS/HIVCareClientServices/PrEPDAP>
50. van der Straten A, Stadler J, Luecke E, Laborde N, Hartmann M, Montgomery ET. Perspectives on use of oral and vaginal antiretrovirals for HIV prevention: the VOICE-C qualitative study in Johannesburg, South Africa. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2014; 17(3 Suppl 2):19146. doi:10.7448/IAS.17.3.19146 [pii]. [PubMed: 25224610]
51. AIDS Healthcare Foundation. [22 November, 2014] An Open Letter to the CDC: What if you're wrong about PrEP?. at <http://www.aidshealth.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/PrEP-CDC-Open-Letter-What-if-Youre-Wrong-on-PrEP-ad-11-2014-DT1.pdf>
52. The New York Times. [22 November, 2014] AIDS Group Wages Lonely Fight Against Pill to Prevent H.I.V. 16 November, 2014. at http://www.nytimes.com/2014/11/17/upshot/aids-group-wages-lonely-fight-against-pill-to-prevent-hiv.html?_r=0&abt=0002&abg=1
53. [30 October, 2014] A significant breakthrough in the fight against hiv/aids-A drug taken at the time of sexual intercourse effectively reduces the risk of infection.. Press release from the ANRS IPERGAY trial. Oct 29, 2014 at <http://www.avac.org/sites/default/files/u44/ipergayPR.pdf>
54. HPTN 067: The ADAPT Study. [30 October 2014] A Phase II, Randomized, Open-Label, Pharmacokinetic and Behavioral Study of the Use of Intermittent Oral Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP).. HIV Prevention Trials Network. at: http://www.hptn.org/research_studies/hptn067.asp
55. Dumond JB, Patterson KB, Pecha AL, Werner RE, Andrews E, Damle B, et al. Maraviroc concentrates in the cervicovaginal fluid and vaginal tissue of HIV-negative women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009; 51(5):546–53. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181ae69c5. [PubMed: 19546811]
56. [16 October 2014] HPTN 069: NEXT-PREP: A Phase II Randomized, Double-Blind, Study of the Safety and Tolerability of Maraviroc (MVC), Maraviroc + Emtricitabine (MVC+FTC), Maraviroc + Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (MVC+TDF), or Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate + Emtricitabine (TDF+FTC) for Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) to Prevent HIV Transmission in At-Risk Men Who Have Sex with Men and in At-Risk Women.. HIV Prevention Trials Network. at: http://www.hptn.org/research_studies/hptn069.asp
57. Abdool Karim Q, Abdool Karim SS, Frohlich JA, Grobler AC, Baxter C, Mansoor LE, et al. Effectiveness and safety of tenofovir gel, an antiretroviral microbicide, for the prevention of HIV infection in women. *Science*. 2010; 329(5996):1168–74. doi:science.1193748 [pii] 10.1126/science.1193748. [PubMed: 20643915]
58. [1 June 2012] Press Release: MTN Statement on Decision to Discontinue Use of Tenofovir Gel in VOICE, a Major HIV Prevention Study in Women.. Microbicides Trial Network. Nov 28, 2011 at: <http://www.mtnstopshiv.org/node/3909>
59. [31 October 2014] Follow-on African Consortium for Tenofovir Studies (FACTS) [website]. at http://www.facts-consortium.co.za/?page_id=83
60. McGowan I. The development of rectal microbicides for HIV prevention. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv*. 2014; 11(1):69–82. doi:10.1517/17425247.2013.860132. [PubMed: 24266648]
61. Nel A, Haazen W, Nuttall J, Romano J, Rosenberg Z, van Niekerk N. A safety and pharmacokinetic trial assessing delivery of dapivirine from a vaginal ring in healthy women. *AIDS*. 2014; 28(10):1479–87. doi:10.1097/qad.0000000000000280. [PubMed: 24901365]
62. Chen, B.; Panther, L.; Hoesley, C.; Hendrix, C.; Van der Straten, A.; Husnik, M., et al. Safety and Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics of Dapivirine and Maraviroc Vaginal Rings [abstract 41]..

Presented at the 2014 Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Boston. March 3-6, 2014;

63. [21 November, 2014] AIDS Vaccine Advocacy Coalition -Vaginal Rings: Products in development for HIV prevention and multipurpose technologies. at <http://www.avac.org/sites/default/files/resource-files/Vaginal%20Rings-Products%20in%20development.pdf>
64. Bunge, KE.; Dezzutti, CS.; Macio, I.; Hendrix, C.; Rohan, LC.; Marzinke, MA., et al. FAME-02: A Phase I Trial to Assess Safety, PK and PD of Gel and Film Formulations of Dapivirine [abstract 42LB].. Presented at the 2014 Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; Boston. March 3-6, 2014;
65. Jackson AG, Else LJ, Mesquita PM, Egan D, Back DJ, Karolia Z, et al. A compartmental pharmacokinetic evaluation of long-acting rilpivirine in HIV-negative volunteers for pre-exposure prophylaxis. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2014; 96(3):314–23. doi:10.1038/clpt.2014.118 clpt2014118 [pii]. [PubMed: 24862215]
66. Penrose KJ, Parikh UM, Hamanishi KA, Panousis C, Else L, Back D, et al. Selection of Rilpivirine Resistant HIV-1 in a Seroconverter on Long-acting Rilpivirine (TMC278LA) from the Lowest Dose Arm of the SSAT 040 Trial. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2014; 30(S1):A69. doi:10.1089/aid.2014.5127.abstract.
67. Snyder O, Vincent H, Lachau-Durant S, Kraus G, Williams P, Garcia JV. Preclinical Evaluation of TMC-278 LA, a Long-acting Formulation of Rilpivirine, Demonstrates Significant Protection from Vaginal HIV Infection. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2014; 30(Suppl 1):A11–2. doi: 10.1089/aid.2014.5010.abstract.
68. McGowan I, Siegel A, Duffill K, Shetler C, Dezzutti C, Richardson-Harman N, et al. A Phase 1 Open Label Safety, Acceptability, Pharmacokinetic, and Pharmacodynamic Study of Intramuscular TMC278 LA (the MWRI-01 Study). AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2014; 30(Suppl 1):A71. doi:10.1089/aid.2014.5131a.abstract.
69. Andrews CD, Spreen WR, Mohri H, Moss L, Ford S, Gettie A, et al. Long-Acting Integrase Inhibitor Protects Macaques from Intrarectal Simian/Human Immunodeficiency Virus. Science. 2014; 343(6175):1151–4. doi:10.1126/science.1248707. [PubMed: 24594934]
70. Spreen B, Rinehart A, Smith K, Margolis D, Ford S, Piscitelli S. HIV PrEP Dose Rationale for Cabotegravir (GSK1265744) Long-acting Injectable Nanosuspension. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2014; 30(Suppl 1):A12. doi:10.1089/aid.2014.5010a.abstract.
71. Ford SL, Gould E, Chen S, Margolis D, Spreen W, Crauwels H, et al. Lack of pharmacokinetic interaction between rilpivirine and integrase inhibitors dolutegravir and GSK1265744. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013; 57(11):5472–7. doi:10.1128/AAC.01235-13 AAC.01235-13 [pii]. [PubMed: 23979733]
72. Friend DR, Clark JT, Kiser PF, Clark MR. Multipurpose prevention technologies: products in development. Antiviral Res. 2013; 100(Suppl):S39–47. doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.09.030 S0166-3542(13)00290-8 [pii]. [PubMed: 24188708]
73. [21 November, 2014] CONRAD Launches First-Ever Multipurpose Vaginal Ring Clinical Trial. Nov 16. 2014 at <http://www.conrad.org/news-pressreleases-105.html>
74. UNAIDS. [22 November, 2014] 2011-2015 Strategy: Getting to Zero. Dec. 2010 at http://www.unaids.org.ua/files/JC2034_UNAIDS_Strategy_en.pdf
75. [2 December 2014] AIDS Vaccine Advocacy Coalition -HIV Prevention Research and Development Database. at <http://www.avac.org/pxrd>

Key Points

- The efficacy of daily oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) with tenofovir-emtricitabine (TDF-FTC) has been demonstrated in several randomized studies, and normative guidance supports provision of this regimen to persons at risk for becoming infected with HIV who can adhere to PrEP
- Demonstration projects that have provided TDF-FTC to at-risk persons suggest that high levels of uptake and adherence are achievable in clinical settings, though low awareness of PrEP among people who could benefit, suboptimal HIV risk assessment skills and comfort with PrEP prescribing among healthcare practitioners, and cost and stigma are challenges that need to be addressed to successfully implement PrEP
- Novel pharmacologic strategies, including non-tenofovir antiretroviral agents and innovative routes of delivery, could offer numerous chemoprophylaxis options to engage potential PrEP consumers with diverse sexual health needs and personal preferences

Table 1

Pharmacologic agents undergoing evaluation for use as HIV antiretroviral pre-exposure prophylaxis.

Drug Name	Mechanism of Action	Formulation(s) being Evaluated	Status of Trials ^a
Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)	Nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor	Oral, topical gel, drug-eluting ring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Daily oral TDF-FTC: FDA-approved based on preponderant evidence from efficacy studies • iPrEx OLE: open label use of daily oral TDF-FTC, completed • Multiple demonstration projects with daily oral TDF-FTC, underway • Ipergay: episodic dosing oral TDF-FTC, Phase III efficacy study underway • ADAPT: fixed-interval plus post-exposure dosing oral TDF-FTC, Phase II safety and acceptability study, underway • CAPRISA-004: pericoital intravaginal gel, completed; demonstrated efficacy • VOICE: daily intravaginal gel, completed; did not demonstrate efficacy • FACTS-001: pericoital intravaginal gel, Phase III efficacy study underway • Intravaginal rings: Phase I studies, ongoing
Emtricitabine (FTC)	Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor	Oral	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See above for trials with TDF-FTC
Dapivirine	Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor	Drug-eluting ring, rapidly dissolving film, gel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ASPIRE: intravaginal ring, Phase III efficacy study, ongoing • The Ring Study: intravaginal ring, Phase III efficacy study, ongoing • Intravaginal ring, films, gel: Phase I-II studies, completed or ongoing
Rilpivirine	Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor	Long-acting injection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phase I-II, completed and ongoing
Cabotegravir	Integrase strand transfer inhibitor	Long-acting injection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phase I-II, completed and ongoing
Maraviroc	CCR5 chemokine receptor inhibitor	Oral, drug-eluting ring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NEXT-PrEP: Phase II safety and acceptability study, oral (with or without TDF or FTC), underway • Intravaginal ring: Phase I completed
Darunavir	Protease inhibitor	Drug-eluting ring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intravaginal ring (with or without dapivirine): preclinical, completed

^aTrial stage information adapted from [75].