Published in final edited form as:

J Hosp Med. 2015 March ; 10(3): 184–186. doi:10.1002/jhm.2289.

“Whose Job is it, Really?” Physicians, Nurses and Pharmacists Perspectives on Completing Inpatient Medication Reconciliation

Kirby P. Lee, PharmD, MA, MAS¹, Caroline Hartridge, BS², Kitty Corbett, PhD, MPH³, Eric Vittinghoff, PhD⁴, and Andrew D. Auerbach, MD, MPH²

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

²Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

³School of Public Health and Health Systems University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON

⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

Keywords

medication reconciliation; patient safety; medication management; role clarity; communication

Medication reconciliation, when performed well, effectively identifies discrepancies and reduces medication errors in the hospital setting.^{1–3} This process involves four major steps: 1) obtain and document a comprehensive medication history on admission, 2) compare the medication history to medication orders in the hospital and identify and resolve discrepancies, 3) provide the patient with a written list of discharge medications, and 4) educate the patient about their discharge medication regimen.^{4–6}

However, medication reconciliation has been challenging to implement given difficulties with accurate medication information, patients’ ability to communicate or remember, and clinician’s not having enough time, motivation or clear roles.^{5, 7–11} Lack of role clarity is generally a barrier to quality improvement, therefore we studied the perceptions of physicians, nurses and pharmacists about their roles and responsibilities in completing inpatient medication reconciliation.

METHODS

We independently surveyed attending and resident physicians, nurses, and pharmacists at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) Medical Center via email who were actively caring for hospitalized patients in April 2010. We collected data on demographics, roles on

Corresponding Author: Kirby Lee, PharmD, MA, Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of California, San Francisco, Suite 420, Box 0613, 3333 California Street, San Francisco, CA 94143-0613, phone: 415-502-8182, fax: 415-502-0792, leek@pharmacy.ucsf.edu.

Conflict of Interest: The Authors have no competing interests to declare in relationship to this research.

specific tasks in the medication reconciliation process from admission through discharge, and attitudes and barriers towards medication reconciliation and health information technology systems. Responses to questions used a 4 point Likert scale. We calculated frequencies and proportions and Fisher's exact test to evaluate differences in role agreement for specific medication reconciliation tasks.

RESULTS

Of 256 active clinicians, 78 completed the survey (30.5% overall response rate) providing care in various hospital services (medicine, surgery, cardiology, neurology, pediatrics, obstetrics/gynecology). We received responses from 7 attending physicians (16% response rate), 14 resident physicians (19% response rate), 35 nurses (43% response rate) and 22 pharmacists (43% response rate). Most clinicians worked more than 5 years at UCSF, except residents (1–4 years).

Overall agreement was poor to fair on whose primary role it was for specific medication reconciliation tasks from admission through discharge (Table). Clinicians mainly agreed that it was a physicians' responsibility to decide which medications should be continued or discontinued on admission and discharge, although agreement between attending and resident physicians varied. Fisher's exact test revealed significant differences in agreement among attending and resident physicians, nurses and pharmacists to obtain and document a medication history on admission ($p=0.001$), provide a list of the discharge medications ($p<0.001$) or educate patients on the post-discharge medication regimen ($p<0.001$). For these tasks, the physician, nurse, pharmacist or a combination of these clinicians (multiple category) were each identified to be responsible.

Most clinicians believed that maintaining a patient's list of medications improves patient care (94–100% agreement). However, when asked whether clinicians *other* than yourself should be responsible for an accurate medication list, most nurses (73%) and pharmacists (52%) agreed with this statement compared to resident (50%) and attending physicians (29%). Most clinicians agreed that information technology systems for reconciling medications were complicated and that patients who do not know their medications, accessing outside medical records, working with inaccurate lists or non-English speaking patients are barriers to reconciliation.

DISCUSSION

We found fair agreement among clinicians that physicians were responsible for reconciling medications on admission and discharge. However, attending and resident physicians each believed it was their primary responsibility, respectively, suggesting the need for better communication between each other. We found poor agreement among clinicians about whose primary role it was to perform the other main steps of medication reconciliation including obtaining and documenting a medication history, and providing a medication list and educating the patient at discharge. For these tasks, there was more confusion among physicians, nurses and pharmacists. Our findings highlight the need for better role clarity and good communication among team members, particularly at discharge.

Nearly all clinicians agreed that updating patients' medication lists improves patient care. However, most nurses and pharmacists preferred that physicians be responsible for updating information and reconciling medications. They also noted a number of patient-related and information system barriers to effective reconciliation as others have identified.⁷⁻¹¹ While standardizing medication information reporting and implementing technology that can integrate medical records to create, update, and share information between patients and providers can help streamline the medication reconciliation process^{4, 5, 7, 8, 12} these procedures are unlikely to be effective unless good interprofessional communication, role clarity, and clinician understanding of how the system works are in place.

When this study was conducted, our institution's policy required that medication reconciliation be completed, but no specific roles or standard work documents existed. Since then, we have clarified the role of the physician to be responsible for completing medication reconciliation with ancillary help from nurses, pharmacists and other clinicians particularly when obtaining a medication history and preparing the patient for discharge. This role clarity has led to focused training and "standard work guide" documents as guidance to clinicians in different hospital settings about expectations and how to complete medication reconciliation. Clearly, no single reconciliation workflow process will meet the needs of all hospitals. However, it is crucial that interprofessional teams are established with clearly defined roles and responsibilities, and how these roles and responsibilities may change in various situations or services.⁸

Our study had several limitations. We surveyed one academic medical center thus limiting the generalizability of our findings to other organizations or settings. Our small sample size and low response rate could be susceptible to selection bias. However, our findings are similar to other studies.^{7, 10, 11} Finally, we included clinicians practicing on various services throughout our hospital and the 'local' medication reconciliation process could have contributed to the poor agreement. Nonetheless, differences in perceived roles and attitudes for completing medication reconciliation were observed.

In conclusion, lack of agreement among clinicians about their specific roles and responsibilities in the medication reconciliation process exists, and this may result in incomplete reconciliation, inefficiency, duplication of work and possibly more confusion about a patient's medication regimen. Clinically meaningful and efficient medication reconciliation requires interprofessional teamwork with clear roles and responsibilities, good communication and better information reporting and tracking systems to successfully combine the steps of medication reconciliation and ensure patient safety.^{8, 12}

Acknowledgments

Funding: Funded by research grant NHLBI R01 HL086473 to Dr. Auerbach and through UCSF-CTSI Grant Number KL2 RR024130 to Dr. Lee from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, and the Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health. The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH. Dr. Lee had full access to all study data and takes responsibility for data integrity and data analysis accuracy.

References

1. Pronovost P, Weast B, Schwarz M, et al. Medication reconciliation: a practical tool to reduce the risk of medication errors. *J Crit Care*. 2003 Dec; 18(4):201–205. [PubMed: 14691892]
2. Mueller SK, Sponsler KC, Kripalani S, Schnipper JL. Hospital-based medication reconciliation practices: a systematic review. *Arch Intern Med*. 2012 Jul 23; 172(14):1057–1069. [PubMed: 22733210]
3. Gleason KM, McDaniel MR, Feinglass J, et al. Results of the Medications at Transitions and Clinical Handoffs (MATCH) study: an analysis of medication reconciliation errors and risk factors at hospital admission. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2010 May; 25(5):441–447. [PubMed: 20180158]
4. Institute for Healthcare Improvement. How-to Guide: Prevent Adverse Drug Events by Implementing Medication Reconciliation. Available at www.ihp.org/knowledge/Pages/Tools/HowtoGuidePreventAdverseDrugEvents.aspx.
5. The Joint Commission. National Patient Safety Goals Effective January 1, 2014. Hospital Accreditation Program. Available at: http://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/6/HAP_NPSG_Chapter_2014.pdf.
6. [Accessed March 22, 2014] Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Introduction: Medications at Transitions and Clinical Handoffs (MATCH) Toolkit for Medication Reconciliation. 2012 Aug. Available at: <http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/quality-patient-safety/patient-safety-resources/resources/match/matchintro.html>.
7. Clay BJ, Halasyamani L, Stucky ER, Greenwald JL, Williams MV. Results of a medication reconciliation survey from the 2006 Society of Hospital Medicine national meeting. *J Hosp Med*. 2008 Nov-Dec;3(6):465–472. [PubMed: 19084896]
8. Greenwald JL, Halasyamani L, Greene J, et al. Making inpatient medication reconciliation patient centered, clinically relevant and implementable: a consensus statement on key principles and necessary first steps. *J Hosp Med*. 2010 Oct; 5(8):477–485. [PubMed: 20945473]
9. Meyer C, Stern M, Woolley W, Jeanmonod R, Jeanmonod D. How reliable are patient-completed medication reconciliation forms compared with pharmacy lists? *Am J Emerg Med*. 2012 Sep; 30(7): 1048–1054. [PubMed: 21855261]
10. Boockvar KS, Santos SL, Kushniruk A, Johnson C, Nebeker JR. Medication reconciliation: barriers and facilitators from the perspectives of resident physicians and pharmacists. *J Hosp Med*. 2011 Jul-Aug;6(6):329–337. [PubMed: 21834114]
11. Vogelsmeier A, Pepper GA, Oderda L, Weir C. Medication reconciliation: A qualitative analysis of clinicians' perceptions. *Res Social Adm Pharm*. 2013 Jul-Aug;9(4):419–430. [PubMed: 23089295]
12. Steeb D, Webster L. Improving care transitions: optimizing medication reconciliation. *J Am Pharm Assoc* (2003). Jul-Aug;2012 52(4):e43–e52. [PubMed: 22825240]

Table 1

Role agreement for specific medication reconciliation tasks

A. On admission, obtaining and documenting the patient's medication history (p=0.001)					
Response to "Who is Responsible"					
Clinician	Attending	Resident	Nurse	Pharmacist	Multiple *
Attending	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	0	0	0
Resident	0	14 (100%)	0	0	0
Nurse	6 (17%)	20 (57%)	5 (14%)	2 (6%)	2 (6%)
Pharmacist	1 (5%)	9 (41%)	0	10 (45%)	2 (9%)

B. On admission, deciding which medications will be continued or discontinued (p=0.027)					
Response to "Who is Responsible"					
Clinician	Attending	Resident	Nurse	Pharmacist	Multiple *
Attending	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	0	0	0
Resident	3 (21%)	11 (79%)	0	0	0
Nurse	12 (34%)	22 (63%)	0	0	1 (3%)
Pharmacist	4 (18%)	15 (68%)	0	2 (9%)	1 (5%)

C. On discharge, deciding which medications will be continued or discontinued (p=0.123)					
Response to "Who is Responsible"					
Clinician	Attending	Resident	Nurse	Pharmacist	Multiple *
Attending	6 (86%)	1 (14%)	0	0	0
Resident	5 (36%)	9 (64%)	0	0	0
Nurse	10 (29%)	15 (43%)	1 (3%)	1 (3%)	8 (23%)
Pharmacist	5 (23%)	12 (55%)	1 (5%)	0	4 (18%)

D. On discharge, providing a list of the discharge medications to the patient (p<0.001)					
Response to "Who is Responsible"					
Clinician	Attending	Resident	Nurse	Pharmacist	Multiple *
Attending	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	0	0	0
Resident	0	13 (93%)	0	1 (7%)	0
Nurse	2 (6%)	22 (63%)	3 (11%)	6 (17%)	2 (6%)

D. On discharge, providing a list of the discharge medications to the patient (p<0.001)

Response to “Who is Responsible”				
Clinician	Attending	Resident	Nurse	Pharmacist
Multiple*				
Pharmacist	0	4 (18%)	2 (9%)	14 (64%)
				2 (9%)

E. On discharge, educating the patient on the postdischarge medication regimen (p<0.001)

Response to “Who is Responsible”				
Clinician	Attending	Resident	Nurse	Pharmacist
Multiple*				
Attending	1 (14%)	4 (57%)	1 (14%)	1 (14%)
				0
Resident	0	4 (29%)	8 (57%)	2 (14%)
				0
Nurse	0	2 (6%)	23 (66%)	8 (23%)
				2 (6%)
Pharmacist	0	0	3 (14%)	14 (64%)
				5 (23%)

NOTE: Survey responses included 7 attending physicians, 14 resident physicians, 35 nurses and 22 pharmacists. Agreement on who is responsible for specific medication reconciliation tasks significantly differs across clinician groups when p<0.05.

* The category multiple represents choosing more than one type of clinician to be responsible for a particular medication reconciliation task.