Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2013 May 8; 54(19): 2311–2314. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2013.02.030.

Enantioselective Synthesis of Spiro[cyclohexane-1,3'-indolin]-2'-ones Containing Multiple Stereocenters via Organocatalytic Michael/Aldol Cascade Reactions

Arun K. Ghosh* and Bing Zhou

Departments of Chemistry and Medicinal Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States

Abstract

Organocatalytic reactions of 3-olefinic oxindoles and pentane-1,5-dial were investigated to provide access to substituted spirocyclohexane oxindoles via Michael/Aldol cascade reactions. Of particular interest, we have examined the stereochemical outcome of electron withdrawing and electron-donating groups on the oxindole ring nitrogen. Interestingly, we have observed that the *N*-protecting group on the oxindole has critical effect on aldol ring closure leading to ultimate stereochemical outcome of the hydroxyl center. The overall process is quite efficient and afforded products with multiple stereocenters in high yields and excellent enantioselectivities (>99% *ee*).

Keywords

Organocatalyst; Spiroindole; Michael reaction; Aldol reaction; Asymmetric catalysis

Spiro[cyclohexane-1,3'-indolin]-2'-one is an important structural motif for many bioactive natural products as well as for medicinal agents.^{1,2} Over the years, various stereoselective synthetic protocols have been developed.³⁻⁵ However, enantioselective and efficient methods for construction of these structural features did not emerge until recently.⁶⁻⁹ Organocatalytic cascade reactions leading to functionalized cyclohexane rings were earlier developed by Enders et. al.¹⁰ In the context of our design and synthesis of molecular probes, we have incorporated a spiro-indoline structural template as a P2'-ligand in the HIV-1 protease active site.¹¹ For further access to spiroindoline scaffolds, we have investigated the feasibility of organocatalytic Michael/aldol cascade reactions involving 3-olefinic oxindole and pentane-1,5-dialdehyde.¹²⁻¹⁵ Recently, Wang and co-workers have reported a related work and their report prompted us to disclose our investigation in this area.¹⁶ Herein, we report (*R*)-diphenylprolinol silyl ether-catalyzed tandem Michael/aldol reaction leading to the synthesis of a variety of spiro[cyclohexane-1,3'-indolin]-2'-one derivatives with four/five contiguous chiral centers in excellent yield and optical purity. Interestingly, protecting groups on the indolin-2-one nitrogen played important roles in the stereochemical outcome of the final aldol ring closure. The electron-withdrawing *N*-protecting group in the presence

*Corresponding author. akghosh@purdue.edu.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data (experimental procedures and ¹H, ¹³C-NMR data) associated with this article can be found in the online version.

of (*R*)-catalyst provided spiro[cyclohexane-1,3'-indoline] derivatives with 6-(*R*)-hydroxy configuration. Whereas, the electron-donating *N*-protecting group furnished spiro[cyclohexane-1,3'-indoline] derivatives with 6-(*S*)-hydroxy configuration as the major product.

We initially investigated a catalytic domino reaction of 3-olefinic oxindole **1a** and pentane-1,5-dial **2**^{12,13} using organocatalyst **A** (10 mol%) in THF at ambient temperature, under an aerobic atmosphere as shown in Scheme 1. The desired tandem Michael/aldol product **5a** was isolated in 41% yield. As shown in Table 1, the ratio of diastereomers was moderate (3.5:1:0.5:0.3). However, the major product **5a** showed excellent enantioselectivity (96% *ee*, Table 1, entry 1) in HPLC analysis.¹⁷ The overall process presumably proceeded through Michael reaction resulting in intermediate **3** followed by an intramolecular aldol reaction providing intermediate **4**. Addition of an acidic co-catalyst, such as *ortho*-fluorobenzoic acid, trifluoroacetic acid or acetic acid, failed to improve the yield (Table 1, entries 2-4). We investigated other organic solvents such as CH₂Cl₂, toluene, *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF), hexane, and CH₃CN (entries 5-9). As it turned out that the choice of solvent was crucial to this Michael-Aldol tandem reaction and the best results were obtained using DMF as the solvent, affording **5a** as the major diastereomer (6:1:0.5:0.4) in 80% yield and showed excellent enantioselectivity for the major diastereomer (>99% *ee*, entry 8). An attempt to perform the reaction at lower temperature resulted in low conversion (entry 10).

We subsequently explored substrate scope and limitations under the optimized reaction conditions (Figure 1). As evidenced by the results in Table 2, the reaction proceeded smoothly with both aromatic and aliphatic substituents to give desired products in high yields. The substituents on the aryl ring have little effect on the enantioselectivity of the major isomer. Monosubstituted phenyl methyleneindol-inones proceeded with excellent enantioselectivity ranging from 98 to 99% *ee* (entries 1-7). However, electronic properties of aryl substituents showed definite effect on diastereoselectivity. Electron-donating groups resulted in better diastereoselectivity. As shown, a high diastereomeric ratio of 7:1:0.5:0.4 was observed for 3-methoxyphenyl substituted methyleneindolinone, whereas a dr of 3:1:0.7:0.5 was observed for 3-nitro-phenyl substituted methyleneindolinone (entry 3 vs 7). Reactions with both heterocyclic and alkyl methyleneindolinones with aromatic substituents also proceeded with high yields and excellent enantioselectivity (entries 8 and 9). We further examined the cyclization reaction using an *N*-acetyl group in indole in place of an *N*-Boc protecting group. This resulted in product **5j** in excellent yield with excellent enantioselectivity; however, the diastereomeric ratio (3:1:0.8:0.2; entry 10) was lower compared to the Boc-protected derivative (entry 1).

We then examined the effect of electron-donating *N*-protecting groups on the oxindole. Interestingly, this resulted in aldol ring closure with opposite hydroxyl stereochemistry as the major diastereomer. The results in Table 3 show various *N*-alkyl protecting groups, such as methyl, allyl and benzyl groups, provided products **5k-5m** as the major diastereomers in excellent yields (70-84%) and excellent enantioselectivity for the major diastereomer (>99%, entries 1-3). Furthermore, both electron-donating (Me) and electron-withdrawing (Cl) substituents at the C4-position of the phenyl group afforded the corresponding products

in high yields with excellent enantioselectivity for the major diastereomer (>99% *ee*, entries 4 and 5). Interestingly, the electron-withdrawing 4-NO₂ derivative furnished moderate diastereoselectivity (entry 6) compared to 4-Cl substituent (entry 4). However, the major isomer showed excellent enantioselectivity.

We have also explored this Michael/aldol reaction with 3-methylpentane-1,5-dial **6**¹⁸ as shown in Scheme 2. This reaction proceeded smoothly and afforded spirocyclic oxindole **5q** with five consecutive stereogenic centers in 65% yield and excellent enantioselectivity (99% *ee*). Interestingly, the aldehyde functionality at the C3-position was isomerized from the axial to equatorial position leading to the formation of the more stable C2–C3 *anti*-product.

We have carried out the reaction of oxindole **1a** and dialdehyde **2** up to a gram scale providing **5a** in 75% yield (Scheme 3). This product showed excellent enantioselectivity as well. The relative configurations of compounds were assigned by extensive NOE analyses of compounds **5a**, **5d**, **5o** and **5q**. The absolute configurations of the tandem Michael/aldol products were determined unambiguously through X-ray crystallographic analysis. As shown in Scheme 3, benzoate derivative **7** was prepared by reduction of **5a** with NaBH₄ followed by reaction of the resulting alcohol with 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride in the presence of Et₃N in 56% yield over 2-steps. This was later recrystallized from methanol (23 °C). Subsequent single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis supported the assignment of the relative and absolute stereochemistry shown in Figure 2 (see supporting information for the details of X-ray analysis).¹⁹

In conclusion, we have developed a diastereoselective organocatalytic Michael/aldol cascade reaction that provided convenient access to functionalized spirooxindoles with up to five consecutive stereogenic centers, including a spiro quaternary center. The products were obtained in excellent yield and the major diastereomer showed excellent enantioselectivity. In addition, depending upon our selection of *N*-protecting group on the oxindole, we were able to effectively control the stereochemical outcome of the hydroxyl center upon aldol ring closure. Further studies and applications of this methodology are the subject of current investigation in our laboratory.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support of this work was provided by the National Institutes of Health and Purdue University.

References and notes

1. For selected examples, see: Serradeil-Le Gal C, Lacour C, Valette G, Garcia G, Foulon L, Galindo G, Bankir L, Pouzet B, Guillon G, Barberis C, Chicot D, Jard S, Vilain P, Garcia C, Marty E, Raufaste D, Brossard G, Nisato D, Maffrand JP, Le Fur G. J. Clin. Invest. 1996; 98:2729. [PubMed: 8981918] Venkatesan H, Davis MC, Altas Y, Snyder JP, Liotta DC. J. Org. Chem. 2001; 66:3653. [PubMed: 11374981]
2. (a) Beccalli EM, Clerici F, Gelmi ML. Tetrahedron. 2003; 59:4615. (b) Liu, J-J.; Zhang, Z. PCT Int. Appl. WO2008/055812. Hoffmann-LaRoche AG; 2008. "Spiroindolinone derivatives".

3. For selected reviews, see: Dounay AB, Overman LE. *Chem. Rev.* 2003; 103:2945. [PubMed: 12914487] For selected publications, see: Madin A, O'Donnell CJ, Oh T, Old DW, Overman LE, Sharp MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:18054. [PubMed: 16366557]
4. Trost BM, Cramer N, Silverman SM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:12396. [PubMed: 17880222]
5. (a) Hojo D, Noguchi K, Hirano M, Tanaka K. *Angew. Chem, Int. Ed.* 2008; 47:5820. (b) Shintani R, Hayashi S-Y, Murakami M, Takeda M, Hayashi T. *Org. Lett.* 2009; 11:3754. [PubMed: 19637858]
6. Bencivenni G, Wu LY, Mazzanti A, Giannichi B, Pesciaoli F, Song MP, Bartoli G, Melchiorre P. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:7200.
7. (a) Wei Q, Gong L-Z. *Org. Lett.* 2010; 12:1008. [PubMed: 20131823] (b) Companyo X, Zea A, Alba A-NR, Mazzanti A, Moyano A, Rios R. *Chem Commun.* 2010; 46:6953. (c) Jiang K, Jia Z-J, Chen S, Wu L, Chen Y-C. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010; 16:2852. [PubMed: 20112315]
8. (a) Wang L-L, Peng L, Bai J-F, Huang QC, Xu X-Y, Wang L-X. *Chem. Commun.* 2010; 46:8064. (b) Jia Z-J, Jiang H, Li J-L, Gschwend B, Li Q-Z, Yin X, Grouleff J, Chen Y-C, Jørgensen KA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:5053. [PubMed: 21405125] (c) Liu Y, Nappi M, Arceo E, Vera S, Melchiorre P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:15212. [PubMed: 21842900]
9. Tan B, Hernandez-Torres G, Barbas CF III. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:12354. [PubMed: 21780763]
10. (a) Enders D, Huttl MRM, Grondal C, Raabe G. *Nature.* 2006; 441:861. [PubMed: 16778886] (b) Enders D, Huttl MRM, Runsink G, Raabe G. *Angew. Chem, Int. Ed.* 2007; 46:467.
11. Ghosh AK, Schiltz G, Perali RS, Leschenko S, Kay S, Walters DE, Koh Y, Maeda K, Mitsuya H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2006; 16:1869. [PubMed: 16480871]
12. Hayashi Y, Okano T, Aratake S, Hazelard D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007; 46:4922.
13. Chintala P, Ghosh SK, Long E, Headley AD, Ni B. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2011; 353:2905.
14. Zhao G-L, Dziedzic P, Ulla F, Eriksson L, Cordova A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009; 50:3458.
15. Pellissier H. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012; 354:237.
16. Huang X-F, Liu Z-M, Geng Z-C, Zhang S-Y, Wang Y, Wang X-W. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012; 10:8794. [PubMed: 23044749]
17. For details, please see supplementary data.
18. Sobhani S, Maleki MF. *Synlet.* 2010:383.
19. CCDC 897144 (**5I**) and CCDC 897143 (**7**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Figure 1.
Structure and enantioselectivity of major isomer

Figure 2.
ORTEP drawing of **5I** and **7**

Scheme 1.

Michael/aldol cascade with oxindole **1a** and dialdehyde **2**.

Scheme 2. Reaction of oxindole **1a** and 3-methylpentane-1,5-dial **6**

Scheme 3.
Synthesis of benzoate **7**

Table 1

Michael/Aldol Reaction Optimization^a

entry	solvent	T (h)	yield ^b (%)	<i>dr</i> ^c	<i>ee</i> (%) ^d
1	THF	48	41	3.5:1:0.5:0.3	96
2 ^e	THF	48	<10	nd	n. d.
3 ^f	THF	48	<5	nd	n. d.
4 ^g	THF	48	<5	n. d.	n. d.
5	CH ₂ Cl ₂	48	<5	n. d.	n. d.
6	toluene	48	<5	n. d.	n. d.
7	hexane	48	<5	n. d.	n. d.
8	DMF	20	80	6:1:0.5:0.4	>99
9	CH ₃ CN	48	<5	n. d.	n. d.
10 ^h	DMF	48	16	7:1:0.5:0.4	99

^aUnless otherwise noted, the reaction was performed by employing **1a** (0.1 mmol), dialdehyde **2** (50% in water, 0.3 mmol), and organocatalyst **A** (0.01 mmol) in the indicated solvent (0.5 mL) at room temperature. n. d. = not determined.

^bIsolated yield.

^cDetermined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

^dDetermined by HPLC of the major diastereomer.

^e*Ortho*-fluorobenzoic acid (0.01 mmol) was added.

^fTrifluoroacetic acid (0.01 mmol) was added.

^gAcetic acid (0.01 mmol) was added.

^hThis reaction was performed at 0 °C.

Table 2

Enantioselective syntheses of Spirooxindoles^a

Entry	R ₁	Pdt (Y%) ^b	Dr ^c (ee %) ^d
1	Ph	5a (80)	6:1:0.5:0.4 (99)
2	4-Me-Ph	5b (82)	6:1:0.5:0.3 (99)
3	3-OMePh	5c (82)	7:1:0.5:0.4 (98)
4	2-OMe-Ph	5d (84)	5.5:1:0.5 (99)
5	3-Cl-Ph	5e (74)	5.2:1:0.5:0.5 (99)
6	4-NO ₂ -Ph	5f (80)	3:1:0.5 (99)
7	3-NO ₂ -Ph	5g (74)	3:1:0.7:0.5 (99)
8 ^e	2-furyl	5h (80)	6:1:0.5:0.3 (98)
9 ^e	Pr	5i (54)	6:1:0.7 (95)
10 ^f	Ph	5j (90)	3:1:0.8:0.2 (95)

^a General reaction conditions: oxindole (0.1 mmol), dialdehyde **2** (50% in water, 0.3 mmol), and organocatalyst **A** (0.01 mmol) in DMF (0.5 mL) at 23°C.

^b Isolated combined yield.

^c The *ee* was determined by chiral-phase HPLC analysis of major diastereomer.

^d Determined by ¹H NMR of crude product.

^e The reaction was performed for 3 days.

^f Oxindole is protected as *N*Ac.

Table 3

Syntheses of Spirooxindoles with *N*-alkyl groups^a

entry	<i>R</i> ₁	<i>R</i> ₂	<i>Pdt</i> (Y%) ^b	<i>dr</i> ^c (<i>ee</i> %) ^d
1	Ph	Me	5k (70)	6.5:1:0.5 (99)
2	Ph	Bn	5l (82)	6:1:0.5:0.3 (99)
3	Ph	allyl	5m (84)	7:1:0.4 (99)
4	<i>p</i> -ClPh	allyl	5n (74)	6.5:1:0.3:0.2 (99)
5	<i>p</i> -MePh	allyl	5o (74)	7:1:0.5:0.5 (99)
6	<i>p</i> NO ₂ Ph	allyl	5p (70)	2:1:0.5 (99)

^aGeneral reaction conditions: the reaction was performed by employing 3-olefinic oxindole (0.1 mmol), dialdehyde **2** (50% in water, 0.3 mmol), and organocatalyst **A** (0.01 mmol) in DMF (0.5 mL) at room temperature for 2 days.

^bIsolated yield.

^cDetermined by ¹H NMR of crude product.

^dThe *ee* was determined by chiral-phase HPLC analysis of major diastereomer.