Published in final edited form as:

Eur Spine J. 2015 February ; 24(2): 227–233. doi:10.1007/s00586-014-3701-4.

Idiopathic Scoliosis and the Vestibular System

Ammar H. Hawasli, M.D., Ph.D^a, Timothy E. Hullar, M.D³, and Ian G. Dorward, M.D^{a,2}

^aDepartment of Neurosurgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO

²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO

³Department of Otolaryngology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO

Abstract

Purpose—Despite its high prevalence, the etiology underlying idiopathic scoliosis remains unclear. Although initial scrutiny has focused on genetic, biochemical, biomechanical, nutritional and congenital causes, there is growing evidence that aberrations in the vestibular system may play a role in the etiology of scoliosis. In this article, we discuss putative mechanisms for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis and review the current evidence supporting a role for the vestibular system in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis.

Methods—A comprehensive search of the English literature was performed using PubMed (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed>). Research articles studying interactions between adolescent idiopathic scoliosis and the vestibular system were selected and evaluated for inclusion in a literature review.

Results—Eighteen manuscripts of level 3-4 clinical evidence to support an association between AIS and dysfunction of the vestibular system. These studies include data from physiologic and morphologic studies in humans. Clinical data are supported by animal model studies to suggest a causative link between the vestibular system and AIS.

Conclusions—Clinical data and a limited number of animal model studies suggest a causative role of the vestibular system in AIS, although this association has not been reproduced in all studies.

Keywords

Scoliosis; Vestibular System; Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis; Labyrinth; Vestibular

INTRODUCTION

Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) affects 1-3% of children aged 10-16 and causes problems as back pain, pulmonary restriction, reduced mobility, and disfigurement.^{6,42} Treatment options include serial observation and bracing, but ultimately many patients will

Corresponding Author: Ammar. H. Hawasli MD, PhD; Department of Neurosurgery; Washington University School of Medicine; 660 South Euclid Avenue; Campus Box 8057; Saint Louis, Missouri, USA, 63110. Hawaslia@wudosis.wustl.edu.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no relevant conflicts of interest.

require operative intervention, which typically involves extensive, costly, and potentially morbid spinal instrumentation procedures. At present the disease is thought to represent a multifactorial condition stemming from genetic, biochemical, nutritional, and biomechanical influences. Scoliosis may also be affected by select neurologic and neuromuscular influences such as cerebral palsy, paralysis and muscular dystrophies.^{14,20} One intriguing possibility is that sensory dysfunction may be another contributing cause. In particular, some evidence suggests that dysfunction of the vestibular system may be a contributing factor to the development of AIS. Here, we discuss a potential vestibular mechanism for AIS and review the current human and animal data supporting a role for the vestibular system in the etiology of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis.

Putative mechanism for AIS

The vestibular apparatus is a special sensory system that assists in spatial orientation and balance. Together with the cochlea, it comprises the labyrinth that is housed in the otic capsule of the temporal bones bilaterally²⁹. Each vestibular system contains five inertial sensors: three semicircular canals and two otolith organs (Fig. 1). The semicircular canals sense rotations of the head. Each canal is a fluid-filled tube opening at both ends into the vestibule. During movement, inertial forces affect hair cells which change the firing rates of primary vestibular afferent neurons projecting to the brainstem. The direction and inertial forces modulate the activity of this system, which allows the vestibular system to respond to accelerations in any direction. The three canals are oriented orthogonally to each other so that a rotation in any direction can be represented as the summed response from all three canals²⁹.

“Otolith” end-organs, the utricle and saccule, reside within the vestibule and sense linear accelerations of the head, which can be due to linear movement (“translation”) of the head in space or the effect of gravity (“tilt”). As with the hair cells of the semicircular canals, acceleration in one direction increases the firing rate of vestibular afferents leading from the otolith organs and accelerations in the other direction reduce the firing rate.

As the two labyrinths are mirror images of each other, a head rotation or linear acceleration that increases firing rate in one labyrinth will decrease the firing rate from the other. Thus, when the head turns to the left, the firing rate of afferents from the left horizontal semicircular canal increase and those from the right semicircular canal decrease. These asymmetric inputs are then compared by the central nervous system to determine the direction and amount of rotational and linear acceleration. This information drives two fundamental reflexes that are frequently tested, vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) and vestibulo-collic reflex (VCR). VOR uses the signals provided by the vestibular system to move the eyes in the head so that they stay pointing the same direction regardless of head movement. This system allows a visual image to remain stable on the retina, eliminating the symptom of “oscillopsia.” VOR eye motions are mediated by the different firing rates in right and left semicircular canals. VCR stabilizes the head on the body. It uses vestibular input to alter tension in the cervical musculature in response to head motions. VCR serves to resist passive head motions but is “turned off” by the brain during volitional head motion.

Examining the vestibular system relies on measuring the output of each of these reflexes in response to a testing stimulus that induces a vestibular asymmetry. An example is rotational chair testing, where a patient's eyes are measured while sitting in a chair and turning slowly in the dark. The speed of the head is compared to the speed of the eyes moving to compensate for head motion. The ratio between eye and head velocity depends on the particular stimulus conditions but when decreased can indicate vestibular weakness. Alternatively, caloric testing modulates the firing rates of afferents by introducing warm or cold water into the external auditory canal. Higher firing rates in the warmer ear relative to the cooler ear are interpreted as a rotation to the warmer side, which the VOR counteracts by moving the eyes to the cooler side. This movement continues until the eyes reach the limit of their mobility and cannot turn any farther. A rapid saccade brings them back toward the warmer side, producing nystagmus. The intensity of nystagmus generated by the VOR depends on the vestibular system sensitivity, which is measured using electrical potentials recorded around the eye (electronystagmography) or video recordings of the eyes (videonystagmography). If the otolith organs on one side are weaker than the other, the brain may interpret the result as a tilt of the head. In response, the VOR rolls the eyes in the orbit (about the corneoretinal axis) to keep the visual world stable and horizontal. The amount of this roll is difficult to measure, so often the otolith system is measured by the subjective visual vertical test, where the subject sits in the dark and turns a light bar until it seems vertical. A deviation of more than a few degrees from true vertical indicates asymmetric otolith function.

Vestibular asymmetries can result from a variety of conditions. Acute unilateral loss is interpreted by the brain as a dramatic rotation of the head, activating the VOR and causing nystagmus, vertigo, and postural instability. Over time, however, the brain can accommodate partially to chronic asymmetry and these symptoms become much less pronounced. Changes in the responsiveness of brainstem and cerebellar neurons to peripheral input form an important mechanism for this compensatory process. In some cases, even patients with complete unilateral vestibular loss have few symptoms except during particularly rapid head motions.

Although we know much about compensation in the VOR to asymmetric vestibular input, we understand much less about how changes in the VCR and reflexive circuits affect muscle tension lower in the spine. The vestibular system can profoundly shift perceived verticality, head yaw/position and other balance-related functions, and therefore can induce a neurogenic trunk shift or torsional effect on the spine by activating particular muscle groups asymmetrically. Some evidence suggests that this muscle asymmetry alone could induce a scoliotic change^{4,5,14-16}. If this suggestion is true, vestibular asymmetry leads to asymmetric recruitment of paraspinal musculature and, in turn, may lead to scoliosis.

METHODS

A comprehensive search of the English literature was performed using PubMed (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed>). Search-engine key words included “adolescent idiopathic scoliosis” or “AIS” and “vestibular,” “vestibular system,” or “labyrinth.” Search engine results were confirmed through the Google Scholar Search Engine (<http://>

scholar.google.com/) where no additional citations were discovered. The search initially produced a total of 57 results which included duplicates. Inclusion criteria for the literature review included unique research articles that evaluated interactions between adolescent idiopathic scoliosis and the vestibular system. Final selection yielded 18 research articles.

RESULTS

Scoliosis and vestibular responsiveness

A link has long been suspected between AIS and dysfunction in the vestibular organs. Early investigations identified a significantly increased prevalence of spontaneous and positional nystagmus among patients with AIS.³² In a series of prospective studies, Sahlstrend *et al.* reported that AIS patients display increased sensitivity to caloric tests on the side ipsilateral to their curve³² and increased postural sway during caloric stimulation.³³

Cakrt *et al.* demonstrated significantly altered perception of subjective visual vertical in AIS patients versus controls.² Off-vertical axis rotation is a similar test but is able to isolate the function of the otoliths in each ear. Wiener-Vacher *et al.* identified that AIS was associated with a significantly abnormal off-vertical axis rotation.⁴³ Mallau *et al.* discovered loss of head yaw strategies in AIS patients.²⁶ Lion *et al.* found that AIS patients with Cobb angle greater than 15 degrees had altered visuocolomotor function, as tested by saccadic latency and velocity.¹⁹

Several studies have demonstrated altered posturography and postural sway under both static and dynamic conditions,^{8-10,15} with various types of scoliotic curves associating with balance perturbations to differing degrees.⁷ By investigating the interplay between the visual, proprioceptive, and vestibular systems, multiple groups have independently found that patients with scoliosis demonstrate increased body sway and poorer balance control under situations of visual or proprioceptive challenge, or in the presence of underlying abnormal proprioceptive responses.^{10,16,18,39,40} In addition to changes that can be attributed to reflexive function, scoliotic patients also show impaired cognitive integration of vestibular signals compared with normal controls.³⁸ In summary, the clinical data provides level III-IV evidence (**Table 1**) that there is an association between vestibular abnormalities and scoliosis.

Scoliosis and vestibular anatomy

The functional deficits in vestibular activity seen in scoliotic patients seem to have anatomic correlates.^{36,37} Rousie *et al.* found correlations between basicranial labyrinthine measurements on MRI, labyrinth activity and lumbar scoliosis.³¹ Shi *et al.* demonstrated that subjects with AIS have 5% shorter distances between centers of the lateral and superior semicircular canals and 6% smaller posterior canals.^{36,37} Using different morphological techniques, Zeng *et al.* found that vestibular canals are 9% longer and 2% thinner in people with AIS than controls.⁴⁵ Rousie *et al.* evaluated 445 patients with vestibular symptoms (95 of whom had scoliosis) and discovered that an abnormal direct connection between the lateral and posterior vestibular canal was found in 55% and 15% of patients with and without scoliosis, respectively.³⁰ Direct morphological vestibular studies also correlate with

findings in downstream neural targets. Upon evaluating MRIs from 90 patients, Wang *et al.* determined that the thickness of cerebral cortex in areas involved with vestibular function were different in patients with AIS.⁴¹ Morphological data from radiological sources support an association between vestibular abnormalities and scoliosis.

Limitation of current clinical data and radiographic data

Despite a long list of clinical and radiological studies to support an association between the vestibular system and AIS, much of the data relating scoliosis and vestibular dysfunction remain predominantly correlative. This association between vestibular dysfunction and scoliosis does not clarify whether one begets the other, as vestibular dysfunction may in fact just represent an epiphenomenon created as an individual adapts to a curved spine. Nonetheless, several studies to date have posited an etiopathological causality, whereby changes in the vestibular system precede and cause scoliosis. One study demonstrated that an increase in scoliosis curvature, caused by changing patients to the erect position, did not in turn affect the amount of labyrinthine sensitivity.³⁵ The initial Sahlstrend *et al.* studies suggested that differences in labyrinthine responses noted in AIS were likely due to primary vestibular etiologies rather than the scoliosis and suggested that such vestibular abnormalities may contribute to scoliosis through vestibular-spinal mechanisms. Furthermore, if scoliosis were the cause of vestibular dysfunction, rather than the other way around, there would need to be a mechanism whereby the vestibular system would receive inputs indicating the presence of a spinal deformity; in fact, there is not a well-described feedback system between the spine and the peripheral vestibular organs. For example, Joassin *et al.* found no significant effects of complete thoracic spinal cord injuries on orientation of subjective visual vertical.¹² Barra *et al.* also found that verticality estimates in subjective visual vertical tests were not different for paraplegic patients versus normal controls.¹

Despite the evidence supporting a potential causative role of vestibular dysfunction, the data linking scoliosis and the vestibular system have not been reproduced in all studies. For example, a prospective evaluation of AIS patients with severe curves demonstrated vestibular and postural dysfunction in only a fraction of subjects, leading the authors to suggest that no etiologic link existed.²⁷ Despite the association between AIS and aberrations in vestibular function, Sahlstrend *et al.* did not find that vestibular abnormalities in stabilometry and electronystomography predict scoliosis progression.³⁴ To further complicate interpretation, scoliosis has been associated with several other genetic, congenital and neuromuscular disorders,^{6,20,31,42} suggesting that AIS may be a multifactorial disease spectrum with vestibular and other etiologies.

Animal models: A search for causality

Several animal studies have also examined the causal relationship between vestibular and scoliosis. De Waele *et al.* evaluated the effect of selective lesions of otolith receptors in guinea pigs, finding that lesion induced scoliotic curvatures with rotation towards the contralateral side.³ X-rays of the head and spine after hemilabyrinthectomy showed head rotation due to cervical vertebra rotation and head tilt due to thoracic vertebra rotation. It was also noted that unilateral lesions of the otolithic and semicircular canal systems resulted

in thoracic vertebra or head rotation, respectively. These studies used adult animals, however, making extrapolation of their results to adolescent human difficult. A recent study using an aquatic tadpole—frog model, Lambert *et al.* isolated the vestibular influence upon the growing spine and have reliably created scoliotic deformities through unilateral vestibular lesioning during a critical window in tadpole development.¹⁷ Labyrinthine endorgans were removed in frog *Xenopus* larva and X-ray images with three-dimensional spinal reconstructions were obtained after metamorphosis into young adult frogs. They found that aqueous frogs develop scoliosis in coronal and sagittal planes and along the rotatory axis. The authors suggest that imbalanced activity in locomotor—postural control mechanisms may be a common mechanism for scoliosis. Scoliosis has also been created by pinealectomy in chickens^{13,21-23,25} or bipedal rats (rendered so by forelimb amputation)^{24,44} and by variations of rib resections or asymmetric muscular stimulations in rabbits, lambs, or chickens,^{4,5,28} though the applicability of these findings to the human condition of AIS remains questionable.¹¹ Combined, the current animal studies suggest the vestibular system may play a major role in the creation of scoliotic deformities.

DISCUSSION

AIS is a common disease with significant clinical and socioeconomic impact. Unfortunately, there is little consensus on the etiology underlying AIS. This review shows that several studies support an association between vestibular abnormalities and AIS. Limitations of this literature review include the fact that the search was restricted to the English language, the limited number of case-controlled studies and the paucity of studies showing causation.

At present, then, the literature is replete with evidence to link scoliosis with abnormalities of the vestibular system. However, additional studies are needed to adequately assess for causality. Novel clinical tools allow us to further interrogate the vestibular system. Vestibular testing has advanced since prior studies have attempted to evaluate a link between vestibular dysfunction and the development of scoliosis. Dynamic assessments of otolithic function are now possible, enabling more direct assessment of the functional accuracy of the postural relationship to the true vertical in individual patients. As technology advances, new neuroradiological testing may also provide additional information. For future clinical studies, one may test if vestibular rehabilitation, including positional tasks, head movements and oculomotor exercises, can mitigate some of the vestibular abnormalities observed and the extent or progression of AIS. If vestibular rehabilitation shows an effect, research using medical vertigo management strategies (*e.g.*, diuretics, vestibular suppressants or other medications) may be considered.

Basic science animal research using systems such as the frog model¹⁷, offer new insights and may also address question of causality. Since AIS was associated with abnormalities in the cerebral cortex associated with vestibular function⁴¹, future animal models could also target regions of the nervous system. Indeed, advanced animal models could allow for targeted manipulation of the vestibular system to induce and potentially reverse scoliosis. Additional clinical and basic science studies offer new opportunities to define an etiological link between the vestibular system and AIS.

CONCLUSION

AIS has historically been linked to genetic, biomechanical, nutritional and congenital causes. There is a significant volume of level 3-4 clinical evidence to support an association between AIS and dysfunction of the vestibular system. These studies include data from physiologic and morphologic studies in humans. Although this association has not been reproduced in all studies, clinical data are supported by a limited number of animal model studies to suggest a causative role of the vestibular system in AIS. Additional research is necessary to justify incorporating vestibular rehabilitation techniques (*e.g.*, positional tasks, oculomotor exercises) within conservative AIS management.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported by the departments of Neurosurgery and Otolaryngology at Washington University and grant funding from the NIH (T32NS007205, AHH).

Abbreviations

AIS	Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
VOR	Vestibuloocular reflex
VCR	Vestibulocollic reflex

REFERENCES

1. Barra J, Marquer A, Joassin R, Reymond C, Metge L, Chauvineau V, et al. Humans use internal models to construct and update a sense of verticality. *Brain*. 2010; 133:3552–3563. [PubMed: 21097492]
2. Cakrt O, Slaby K, Viktorinova L, Kolar P, Jerabek J. Subjective visual vertical in patients with idiopathic scoliosis. *J Vestib Res*. 2011; 21:161–165. [PubMed: 21558641]
3. De Waele C, Graf W, Josset P, Vidal PP. A radiological analysis of the postural syndromes following hemilabyrinthectomy and selective canal and otolith lesions in the guinea pig. *Exp Brain Res*. 1989; 77:166–182. [PubMed: 2792260]
4. Deguchi M, Kawakami N, Kanemura T. Correction of experimental scoliosis by rib resection in the transverse plane. *J Spinal Disord*. 1997; 10:197–203. [PubMed: 9213274]
5. Deguchi M, Kawakami N, Kanemura T, Mimatsu K, Iwata H. Experimental scoliosis induced by rib resection in chickens. *J Spinal Disord*. 1995; 8:179–185. [PubMed: 7670207]
6. Fong DY, Lee CF, Cheung KM, Cheng JC, Ng BK, Lam TP, et al. A meta-analysis of the clinical effectiveness of school scoliosis screening. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2010; 35:1061–1071. [PubMed: 20393399]
7. Gauchard GC, Lascombes P, Kuhnast M, Perrin PP. Influence of different types of progressive idiopathic scoliosis on static and dynamic postural control. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2001; 26:1052–1058. [PubMed: 11337624]
8. Gruber AH, Busa MA, Gorton Iii GE, Van Emmerik RE, Masso PD, Hamill J. Time-to-contact and multiscale entropy identify differences in postural control in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Gait Posture*. 2011; 34:13–18. [PubMed: 21478018]
9. Guo X, Chau WW, Hui-Chan CW, Cheung CS, Tsang WW, Cheng JC. Balance control in adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis and disturbed somatosensory function. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2006; 31:E437–440. [PubMed: 16778672]

10. Haumont T, Gauchard GC, Lascombes P, Perrin PP. Postural instability in early-stage idiopathic scoliosis in adolescent girls. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2011; 36:E847–854. [PubMed: 21304436]
11. Janssen MM, de Wilde RF, Kouwenhoven JW, Castelein RM. Experimental animal models in scoliosis research: a review of the literature. *Spine J*. 2011; 11:347–358. [PubMed: 21474088]
12. Joassin R, Bonniaud V, Barra J, Marquer A, Perennou D. Somaesthetic perception of the vertical in spinal cord injured patients: a clinical study. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med*. 2010; 53:568–574. [PubMed: 20739250]
13. Kanemura T, Kawakami N, Deguchi M, Mimatsu K, Iwata H. Natural course of experimental scoliosis in pinealectomized chickens. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1997; 22:1563–1567. [PubMed: 9253089]
14. Kouwenhoven JW, Castelein RM. The pathogenesis of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis: review of the literature. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2008; 33:2898–2908. [PubMed: 19092622]
15. Kuo FC, Hong CZ, Lai CL, Tan SH. Postural control strategies related to anticipatory perturbation and quick perturbation in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2011; 36:810–816. [PubMed: 21505293]
16. Kuo FC, Wang NH, Hong CZ. Impact of visual and somatosensory deprivation on dynamic balance in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2010; 35:2084–2090. [PubMed: 20975488]
17. Lambert FM, Malinvaud D, Glaunès J, Bergot C, Straka H, Vidal P-P. Vestibular Asymmetry as the Cause of Idiopathic Scoliosis: A Possible Answer from Xenopus. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2009; 29:12477–12483. [PubMed: 19812323]
18. Lao ML, Chow DH, Guo X, Cheng JC, Holmes AD. Impaired dynamic balance control in adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis and abnormal somatosensory evoked potentials. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2008; 28:846–849. [PubMed: 19034176]
19. Lion A, Haumont T, Gauchard GC, Wiener-Vacher SR, Lascombes P, Perrin PP. Visuo-oculomotor deficiency at early-stage idiopathic scoliosis in adolescent girls. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013; 38:238–244. [PubMed: 22828711]
20. Lombardi G, Akoume MY, Colombini A, Moreau A, Banfi G. Biochemistry of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Adv Clin Chem*. 2011; 54:165–182. [PubMed: 21874761]
21. Machida M, Dubousset J, Imamura Y, Iwaya T, Yamada T, Kimura J. An experimental study in chickens for the pathogenesis of idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1993; 18:1609–1615. [PubMed: 8235839]
22. Machida M, Dubousset J, Imamura Y, Iwaya T, Yamada T, Kimura J, et al. Pathogenesis of idiopathic scoliosis: SEPs in chicken with experimentally induced scoliosis and in patients with idiopathic scoliosis. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 1994; 14:329–335. [PubMed: 8006163]
23. Machida M, Dubousset J, Satoh T, Murai I, Wood KB, Yamada T, et al. Pathologic mechanism of experimental scoliosis in pinealectomized chickens. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2001; 26:E385–391. [PubMed: 11568714]
24. Machida M, Murai I, Miyashita Y, Dubousset J, Yamada T, Kimura J. Pathogenesis of idiopathic scoliosis. Experimental study in rats. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1999; 24:1985–1989. [PubMed: 10528372]
25. Machida M, Yamada H, Yamada T, Kimura J, Saito M, Shibasaki K. Rib length in experimental scoliosis induced by pinealectomy in chickens. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2005; 30:E692–696. [PubMed: 16319738]
26. Mallau S, Bollini G, Jouve J, C. A. Locomotor Skills and Balance Strategies in Adolescents Idiopathic Scoliosis. *Spine*. 2007; 32:E14–22. [PubMed: 17202875]
27. Mirovsky Y, Blankstein A, Shlamkovitch N. Postural control in patients with severe idiopathic scoliosis: a prospective study. *J Pediatr Orthop B*. 2006; 15:168–171. [PubMed: 16601583]
28. Monticelli G, Ascani E, Salsano V, Salsano A. Experimental scoliosis induced by prolonged minimal electrical stimulation of the paravertebral muscles. *Ital J Orthop Traumatol*. 1975; 1:39–54. [PubMed: 1233348]
29. PW, F.; BH, H.; VJ, L.; JK, N.; MA, R.; KT, R., editors. *Cummings Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery*. ed 5.. Elsevier; Philadelphia, PA: 2010.

30. Rousie D, Deroubaix J, Joly O, JC. B, Berthoz A. Abnormal Connection between Lateral and Posterior Semicircular Canal Revealed by New Modeling Process. *Annals New York Academy of Sciences*. 2009; 1164:435–457.
31. Rousie D, Hache J, Pellerin P, Deroubaix J, Tichelen P, Berthoz A. Oculomotor, Postural, and Perceptual Assymetries Associated with a Common Cause. *Annals New York Academy of Sciences*. 1999; 871
32. Sahlstrand T, Petruson B. A study of labyrinthine function in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. I. An electro-nystagmographic study. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1979; 50:759–769. [PubMed: 534551]
33. Sahlstrand T, Petruson B, Ortengren R. Vestibulospinal reflex activity in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Postural effects during caloric labyrinthine stimulation recorded by stabilometry. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1979; 50:275–281. [PubMed: 314221]
34. Sahlstrend T, Lidstrom J. Equilibrium Factors as Predictors of the Prognosis in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. *Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research*. 1980; 232-236
35. Sahlstrend T, Petruson B. Postural effects on nystagmus response during caloric labyrinthine stimulation in patients with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. II. An electro-nystagmographic study. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1979; 50:771–775. [PubMed: 532584]
36. Shi L, Wang D, Chu WC, Burwell GR, Wong TT, Heng PA, et al. Automatic MRI segmentation and morphoanatomy analysis of the vestibular system in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Neuroimage*. 2011; 54(Suppl 1):S180–188. [PubMed: 20382235]
37. Shi L, Wang D, Chu WC, Burwell RG, Freeman BJ, Heng PA, et al. Volume-based morphometry of brain MR images in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis and healthy control subjects. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2009; 30:1302–1307. [PubMed: 19386729]
38. Simoneau M, Lamothe V, Hutin E, Mercier P, Teasdale N, Blouin J. Evidence for cognitive vestibular integration impairment in idiopathic scoliosis patients. *BMC Neurosci*. 2009; 10:102. [PubMed: 19706173]
39. Simoneau M, Mercier P, Blouin J, Allard P, Teasdale N. Altered sensory-weighting mechanisms is observed in adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis. *BMC Neurosci*. 2006; 7:68. [PubMed: 17052338]
40. Simoneau M, Richer N, Mercier P, Allard P, Teasdale N. Sensory deprivation and balance control in idiopathic scoliosis adolescent. *Exp Brain Res*. 2006; 170:576–582. [PubMed: 16307257]
41. Wang D, Shi L, Chu WC, Burwell GR, Cheng JC, Ahuja A. Abnormal cerebral cortical thinning pattern in adolescent girls with idiopathic scoliosis. *Neuroimage*. 2012; 59:935–942. [PubMed: 21872666]
42. Weinstein SL, Dolan LA, Cheng JC, Danielsson A, Morcuende JA. Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Lancet*. 2008; 371:1527–1537. [PubMed: 18456103]
43. Wiener-Vacher S, Mazda K. Assymmetric otolith vestibulo-ocular responses in children with idiopathic scoliosis. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 1998; 132:1028–1032. [PubMed: 9627598]
44. Yamamoto H. Experimental scoliosis in rachitic bipedal rats. *Tokushima J Exp Med*. 1966; 13:1–34. [PubMed: 5957665]
45. Zeng W, Lui LM, Shi L, Wang D, Chu WC, Cheng JC, et al. Shape analysis of vestibular systems in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis using geodesic spectra. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv*. 2010; 13:538–546. [PubMed: 20879442]

Figure 1.

The labyrinth (*right side*). The structures shown form the membranous labyrinth, which is filled with endolymphatic fluid. The membranous labyrinth sits inside the bony labyrinth, with the utricle and saccule together occupying the vestibule. The space between the membranous and bony labyrinth is filled with perilymphatic fluid. The semicircular canals sense rotations and the utricle and saccule sense linear accelerations. (Original illustration #933 in the Walters Collection of the Max Brödel Archives, Department of Art as Applied to Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland , USA) .

Table 1

Clinical evidence linking idiopathic scoliosis and the vestibular system

Study	Design	Level of Evidence	# of Subjects	Major Finding
Sahlstrend <i>et al.</i> ³²	Case-control study	III	56	AIS is associated with spontaneous and positional nystagmus. AIS patients display increased sensitivity to caloric tests on the side ipsilateral to their curve.
Sahlstrend <i>et al.</i> ³³	Case-control study	III	49	AIS patients display increased postural sway during caloric stimulation.
Sahlstrend <i>et al.</i> ³⁵	Case-control study	III	40	Increasing scoliosis curvature by changing to the erect position did not affect labyrinthine sensitivity.
Sahlstrend <i>et al.</i> ³⁴	Case-control study	III	52	Vestibular abnormalities cannot predict scoliosis progression in AIS.
Cakrt <i>et al.</i> ²	Case-control study	III	56	AIS patients display altered perception of subjective visual vertical.
Mallau <i>et al.</i> ²⁶	Case-control study	III	33	AIS patients display loss of head yaw strategies.
Wiener-Vacher <i>et al.</i> ⁴³	Case-control study	III	45	AIS is associated with abnormal off-vertical axis rotation
Gruber <i>et al.</i> ⁸	Case-control study	III	46	AIS patients display a complex impairment in center of pressure sway, a measure of postural control.
Guo <i>et al.</i> ⁹	Case-control study	III	162	AIS is associated with increased latencies in somatosensory evoked potentials and an abnormality in postural control
Haurmont <i>et al.</i> ¹⁰	Case series	IV	65	Patients with Cobb angle >15° have worse balance control than those with smaller Cobb angles.
Kuo <i>et al.</i> ¹⁵	Case-control study	III	55	AIS patients show abnormalities in posture control patterns with asymmetric muscle activities.
Gauchard <i>et al.</i> ⁷	Case-control study	III	102	Different curve types display different balance control impairments.
Simoneau <i>et al.</i> ³⁸	Case-control study	III	23	Scoliotic patients show impaired cognitive integration of vestibular signals compared with normal controls
Lao <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸	Case series	IV	24	Somatosensory dysfunction in AIS patients impacts dynamic balance control.
Kuo <i>et al.</i> ¹⁶	Cross-sectional study	III	44	AIS disrupts balance control requiring compensatory muscle activity.
Simoneau <i>et al.</i> ³⁹	Cross-sectional study	III	17	AIS patients display impaired scaling of balance control commands.
Simoneau <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁰	Cross-sectional study	III	18	AIS patients depend more on ankle proprioception than controls for balance control.
Lion <i>et al.</i> ¹⁹	Cross-sectional study	III	53	AIS patients with Cobb angle >15° showed abnormal visuo-oculomotor functions: increased saccade latencies and decreased saccade velocities.