Published in final edited form as:

Am J Orthopsychiatry. 2015 January ; 85(1): 11–22. doi:10.1037/ort0000027.

A Culturally Adapted Depression Intervention for African American Adults Experiencing Depression: Oh Happy Day

Earlise Ward, Ph.D. and

Associate Professor, University of Wisconsin-Madison, School of Nursing, 608-263-0745

Roger. L. Brown, Dr.

Professor of Research Methodology, Director of Research Design and Statistics Unit, Schools of Nursing, Medicine and Public Health, Clinical Science Center H6/273, 600 Highland Ave., University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53792, Phone: 608-263-5281, FAX: 608-263-5310, SKYPE: rogerbrown1029

Earlise Ward: ecward@wisc.edu; Roger. L. Brown: rlbrown3@wisc.edu

Approximately forty years ago Dr. Martin Luther King stated “of all forms of inequality, injustice in health care is the most shocking and inhumane.” Dr. King’s comment was a call to action, yet inequalities and disparities in mental health care and outcomes remain largely unaddressed, and, sadly, are increasing for African American adults with depression (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [USDHHS], 2001). For example, although the 12-month prevalence of major depressive disorder (MDD) is similar among African Americans (5.9%) and Caucasians (6.9%), African Americans report more chronic MDD and associated disability than Caucasians (56.5% vs. 38.6%) (Williams, Gonzalez, Neighbors et al., 2007).

Despite the negative impact of depression among African Americans, a recent study showed low usage of any medical care for MDD (pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy) (39.7%) compared to Caucasians (54.1%) (Gonzalez, Vega, Williams, Tarraf, West, & Neighbors, 2010). Another study found even lower rates of service use among African Americans; only 5.6% of African Americans reported having four or more visits within 12 months compared to 81.6% of Caucasians (Fortuna, Alegria, & Gao, 2010).

Research suggests African Americans use of mental health services is low in part due to their receipt of poorer quality mental health care (USDHHS, 2001; Gonzalez, Vega, Williams, Tarraf, West, & Neighbors, 2010; First Author, 2005). Specifically, African Americans are less likely than Caucasians to receive guideline-concordant treatment (Gonzalez, Vega, Williams, Tarraf, West, & Neighbors, 2010); have higher rates of attrition from mental health treatment programs, especially treatments that are not culturally specific (Cooper et al., 2003; USDHHS, 2001; Snowden, 2001; Wang et al., 2002); and are more likely to end treatment after only one session (50% vs. 30%, respectively) (Beaman, 1994; Wade & Bernstein; 1991).

Low service use appears consistent among African Americans across gender and age. For instance, researchers at the California Black Women's Health Project (CABWHP, 2003) found only 7% of African American women with symptoms of a mental illness sought treatment. Similarly, Neighbors et al. (2007) in a national survey of Black Americans examining their use of mental health services in a 12-month period, found only 7% of African American men and 12% of African American women used mental health services in a 12-month period. Research examining older African Americans (age 55 years or older) use of mental health services revealed that in a subsample of 65 individuals meeting criteria for one mental disorder (mood, anxiety, and substance disorders), only 46.6% (n=30) used any mental health services within a 12-month period. For those with two or more mental disorders, only 47.2% (n= 12) used any mental health services within a 12-month period (Neighbors, Woodward, Bullard, Ford, Taylor, & Jackson, 2008).

In summary, among African Americans there is a high prevalence of chronic depression and disability associated with depression. However, they evidence low levels of seeking mental health care service. At the same time, when they do seek mental health care service, they receive poor quality care. These issues for African Americans suggest significant unmet mental health needs. Recent research suggests existing evidence-based psychological treatments are not providing optimal care for racial and ethnic minorities, including African Americans (USDHHS, 2001; Gonzalez, Vega, Williams, Tarraf, West, & Neighbors, 2010; First Author, 2007; First Author & Mengesha, 2012). The good news is there is a growing body of research showing culturally adapted treatments/interventions increase psychotherapy effectiveness and mental health outcomes for racial and ethnic minority patients/clients (Bernal, Jimenez-Chafey, & Domenech Rodriguez, 2009; Nicolas, Arntz, & Hirsch, 2009), thus showing promise as one option in meeting the mental health needs of African American adults with depression.

Culturally Adapted Treatments/Interventions

The term culturally adapted treatments/interventions (CAT/I) refers to any modification to evidence-based mental health treatments that involves changes in the approach to service delivery, in the nature of the therapeutic relationship, or in components of the treatment itself to accommodate the cultural beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors of the target population (Whaley & Davis, 2007). Major mental health professional organizations, including the American Psychiatric Association and the American Psychological Association, have stipulated in their ethical codes and training standards that provision of culturally sensitive care is a moral and ethical responsibility of mental health clinicians (APA, 2010; APA 2013).

A recent meta-analysis of 76 studies that examined clinical outcomes associated with culturally adapted mental health interventions indicated the average effect size of using a culturally adapted intervention was $d = 0.45$, indicating a moderately strong benefit (Griner & Smith, 2006). In particular, mental health interventions targeted to a specific cultural group were *four times* more effective than interventions provided to culturally diverse groups of clients, suggesting culturally adapted treatment may be more efficacious when adaptations are specific to a particular racial or ethnic group, and optimal benefit is derived

when treatment is tailored to a specific cultural context (Griner & Smith, 2006). Although findings from this meta-analysis support the efficacy of CAT/I and the need for cultural congruence in treatment interventions, the meta-analysis included only one study with an African American population (Kohn et al., 2002).

Kohn and colleagues compared a culturally adapted model of cognitive-behavior therapy (CBT) to standard CBT in treating depression in 22 low-income African-American women (Kohn et al., 2002). They hypothesized the adapted CBT would result in decreased symptom intensity compared to standard CBT. The adapted CBT focused on African American culture, including spirituality, Black identity, and Black family issues. Study results indicated the adapted CBT was effective in reducing depression scores (Beck Depression Inventory II) an average of 12.6 points, compared to standardized CBT, with a reduction score of 5.9 points pre-to-post-treatment. Although this study makes a contribution to the sparse literature in this area, it contained several major weaknesses: (1) the sample given the culturally adapted CBT was small (N=12), (2) also, the control group consisted of 10 participants from a previous study who completed the standardized CBT, thus introducing bias, and (3) randomization was not used, as participants were offered the option to choose which intervention they preferred. The weakness of Kohn's study conveys the urgent need for more research developing and testing effectiveness of culturally adapted depression interventions for African American adults.

To this end, we designed a culturally adapted depression intervention (Oh Happy Day Class [OHDC]) for African Americans adults experiencing MDD. Using a cognitive behavioral approach, the underlying hypothesis guiding the OHDC was that a cognitive behavioral depression intervention with cultural adaptations made specifically for the targeted group (African Americans) would:

1. Increase retention in treatment (continuity of care),
2. Increase satisfaction with treatment, and
3. Decrease symptoms of major depressive disorder (MDD)

This paper describes development of the OHDC and two pilot studies conducted to test feasibility (increase retention in treatment) and acceptability (increase satisfaction with treatment) of the OHDC, and examine short-term effects of the OHDC in reducing symptoms of MDD.

Oh Happy Day Class

Cultural Adaptation and Theoretical Frameworks of the OHDC Intervention

The OHDC was culturally adapted from the Coping with Depression Course (CWD). The CWD is theoretically grounded in social learning theory and uses a psychoeducation format in which participants in a group counseling setting learn skills to cope with depression. (Lewinsohn, Clarke, & Hoberman, 1989). The CWD has been identified as the most studied depression intervention and has been implemented in the U.S and internationally (Germany, Canada, Finland, and The Netherlands) (Cuijpers, Munoz, Clarke, & Lewinsohn; 2009). A recent meta-analysis of 25 studies examining the effectiveness of the CWD found it to be

effective in the treatment of MDD, however the overall effect size was relatively small, $d = 0.28$ (Cuijpers, Munoz, Clarke, & Lewinsohn, 2009). The small effect sizes were attributed to the racial/ethnic and socioeconomic demographic makeup of the sample or what Cuijpers and colleagues called “complex populations” that included low-income minority women, older Native American Indians, and populations who did not seek treatment. In particular, Cuijpers and colleagues concluded the CWD may not be effective for all groups of patients, and recommended further research to determine which groups might benefit. Recognizing the clinical benefits of the CWD, along with its limited application to African American men and women, we modified the CWD and culturally adapted it to develop the OHDC.

The ecological validity framework (Bernal, Bonilla, & Bellido, 1995) guided adaptation of the OHDC. Bernal et al. (1995) postulated the following areas should guide cultural adaptation of treatments and interventions: language (culturally appropriate), persons (role of race, ethnicity, and culture for the client and therapist, and how it may affect client-therapist relationship), metaphors (symbols, concepts, and sayings consistent with culture), content (knowledge of culture, values, socioeconomics, history and politics unique to the group), concepts (treatment concepts congruent with clients’ culture and context), goals (continuity of positive and adaptive cultural values and context), methods (cultural adaptation and congruence of treatment methods), and context (consideration of clients’ context which might include economic, cultural, and social contexts). The ecological validity framework was used to adapt depression interventions for use with Puerto-Rican Americans adolescents (Bernal, Bonilla, & Santiago, 1995) and Haitian-American youth in the U.S. (Nicolas, Arntz, & Hirsch, 2009), and was found to be effective in reducing symptoms of depression among these groups.

Once the ecological validity framework was identified as the guiding framework, the second step involved conducting a series of descriptive research studies examining African Americans’ beliefs about mental illness, perceptions of stigma, experiences in counseling, and treatment preferences (First Author, 2005; First Author, 2007; First Author & Heidrich, 2009; First Author, Clark, & Heidrich, 2009; First Author & Collins, 2010; First Author, Wiltshire, Detry, & Brown, in press). Overall, results indicated our African American male and female adult participants believed they knew some of the symptoms and causal factors of mental illness, but felt the need to increase their knowledge. Also, findings indicated culturally-specific beliefs suggesting participants believed racism and discrimination can cause depression among African Americans. In addition, there were age-specific beliefs such that older participants believed aging was a causal factor for the onset of depression. However, no gender differences about causes of mental illness were found. The predominant belief was that mental illness is chronic, with negative health outcomes, and praying and talking to a pastor, and seeking counseling were preferred coping strategies, as opposed to using medications. Their openness to counseling appeared contingent on it being culturally sensitive which including have African American therapists, and having content specific to African American culture. In addition, they were not very open to acknowledging psychological problems and were very concerned about stigma associated with mental illness and seeking treatment for mental illness. Treatment-seeking barriers they endorsed included poor access to care, receipt of culturally insensitive care, and stigma. In another one of our studies, we found older African American women believed depression was

normal reaction to life circumstances and did not see the need to seek professional treatment for depression (First Author & Mengesha, 2013). These results from our prior research were incorporated into the OHDC, with specific attention to language, persons, metaphors, content, concepts, goals, methods, and context as recommended in the ecological validity framework. More specifically, given participants perception that depression was normal, one of the modules in the OHDC focused on the diagnostic process for depression and treatment options to help participants understand depression is a medical condition. Also, with our finding indicating pray is a preferred coping strategy, in the session focusing on coping behaviors, use of prayer is discussed and encouraged among individuals who indicate their prayer life is important to him/her. In addition, as our research indicated participants' preference was working with African American clinicians, OHDC was designed to be facilitated by African American clinicians whereby the clinicians appropriately disclosed relevant to topics of discussion about their experiences of being African Americans.

The third step involved reviewing literature on African American history and culture with the goal of identifying an Afrocentric paradigm to incorporate into the OHDC. The Afrocentric paradigm selected was Dr. Karenga's Nguzo Saba, which is comprised of seven humanistic principles originating in Africa. These principles were designed to facilitate an individual's sense of direction, personal growth, and meaning in life. The seven principles are unity, self-determination, collective work and responsibility, cooperative economics, purpose, creativity, and faith (Karenga, 1988). These principles are used in the African American Kwanzaa celebration, which honors African American heritage and culture. Kwanzaa is held annually in the U.S. from December 26th through January 1st. Use of Nguzo Saba principles in mental health interventions with African Americans show benefits in resolving psychosocial issues (Franklin & Pack-Brown, 2001; Mclean & Marini, 2003). Also, use of Nguzo Saba principles in education interventions resulted in increased high school grade point average, improved behaviors at school and attitudes toward school, and increased interest in personal and social growth, as well as aspirations for success (Johnson, 2001; Wyatt, 2009). These positive results were influential in our decision to use Nguzo Saba in the OHDC.

After the adaptation processes described above the OHDC was essentially different from the original coping with depression treatment in the following ways: (1) The OHDC was culturally-specific, incorporating African American cultural beliefs into treatment, (2) adding risk factors specific to African Americans, as well as preferred coping behaviors including use of religious coping and preference for group counseling, and (3) African American clinicians for treatment as requested by participants in our previous research. Please see Table 1 for weekly topics of the OHDC. Weeks 1–12 class module and content were designed specifically for African Americans, using the 3-step adaptation process described above. In sum, the majority OHDC content (90%) was different from the CWD as it was developed specifically for African Americans with input from African Americans, while the CWD was not developed with a focus on African Americans and had not been tested with African Americans.

Format and Treatment Approach of the OHDC

The OHDC uses cognitive behavioral therapy and a support group format combined with a strong psycho-education focus. The weekly sessions are called *classes*. Classes are 2.5 hours long, held over a 12-week period, and met once per week. In the first 30 minutes a light meal is provided depending on the time of day (lunch or dinner) and soft background music is played; during which time participants share a meal together, check-in and bond with each other. After meal time, the next hour of class focuses on use of cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) conducted in a support group format which allows participants the opportunity to share psychosocial issues they are struggling with and get emotional support from group facilitators and group members. The second hour of class consists of the psycho-education component and CBT. The goal of this segment is to teach participants cognitive and behavioral strategies to increase use of healthier coping behaviors. Emphasis is placed on increasing knowledge of depression and treatment options, developing healthy coping behaviors, and shifting negative perceptions of health and disability status to more positive. Participants are given a workbook, which includes content specific to each class module. Classes are facilitated by two African American counselors that hold masters' degrees in social work or counseling.

12-Week Psycho-education Content

This section provides a detailed overview of the weekly psycho-education content of the OHDC (see Table 1 for weekly topics). In the first class we educate participants about the process of group counseling. As discussed earlier, stigma is a barrier to treatment-seeking among African, thus education about counseling is critical in the first class/session. Also, in the first class, we present the Nguzo Saba principles (Karenga, 1998) to help participants understand classes are specifically designed to their cultural needs and to foster a sense of direction, personal growth, and life purpose from an Afrocentric perspective. In the second class, we focus on key concepts of depression, including etiology, risk factors, symptoms, and treatment options (psychotherapy and psychotropic medications). These concepts are discussed in a manner sensitive to cultural manifestations of depression among this group; in particular we discuss that depression is not a normal reaction to stressful life situations, rather depression is a medical condition. In the third class, we emphasize depression and its impact on African Americans, with particular focus on risk factors and symptom manifestation. In addition, issues related to the stigma of mental illness, language used to negatively label individuals with mental illness, and treatment-seeking among African Americans are discussed. In the fourth class, we incorporate recent research to help participants understand the relationship between their physical and mental health. In particular, we discuss the relationship between cardiovascular disease, cancer, diabetes and depression among African Americans, and the importance of having both physical and mental health conditions treated simultaneous. In the fifth class, we focus on community resources, as our previous research suggested a lack of knowledge about community resources among African Americans. The sixth class focuses on anger management to address the negative label of "angry Black men/women." In this class we use the Nguzo Saba principle of self-determination to help participants recognize they can "define themselves and speak for themselves." In class seven, we focus on stress management

including spiritual coping, because of its salience among this group. In the eighth class, we focus on constructive thinking to facilitate cognitive reframing and healthier coping. In this session we also emphasize the Nguzo Saba principle of faith to foster participants' belief in themselves. In class nine, we focus on forgiveness, health benefits of forgiveness, and achieving a sense of unity. In class ten, we focus on pleasant activities and using creativity (Nguzo Saba) to foster healthy coping and a sense of happiness. In class 11, we use the seven principles of Nguzo Saba to help participants evaluate their progress and develop a life plan/mission statement. In class 12, which is the last week, we review key concepts discussed throughout the 11 classes and host a graduation celebration. The reunion (3-month post-intervention) is a booster session in which participants review key concepts, share their challenges and progress, receive support and encouragement, and are provided with a referral for continued care if needed.

After completion of the cultural adaption, the OHDC was named and a treatment manual was developed. The treatment was strategically named Oh Happy Day Class to minimize the stigma associated with mental illness and treatment-seeking among African Americans. In addition, the treatment name was chosen from the popular gospel song, *Oh Happy Day*. The name OHDC was anecdotally pilot tested in the African American community and received high positive ratings.

Once the OHDC manual was developed, three African Americans clinicians were then trained to administer the OHDC. These clinicians received 20 hours of training focusing on content of the OHDC and mental health clinical skills. The following areas were emphasized in the training: Cognitive Behavior Theory (CBT), major depressive disorder, suicide risk assessment, listening skills, demonstration of empathy, ethical issues including confidentiality, and making referrals for additional treatment as appropriate. Because the OHDC is a culturally-specific intervention, therapists and group members were racially matched, thus 3 African-American mental health therapists (with master's degree in counseling or social work) were hired and trained to conduct the OHDC. The training and weekly supervision were provided by first author who is a licensed psychologist. In addition, reinforcement training sessions were provided as necessary. Throughout the time the clinicians worked on the pilot studies all of the sessions they administered were video recorded. The supervisor reviewed all the recordings and provided on-going feedback and supervision to ensure the clinicians were competently administering the OHDC.

We then conducted two pilot studies examining whether a cognitive behavioral depression intervention with cultural adaptations made specifically for African Americans would increase retention in treatment, increase satisfaction with treatment, and decrease symptoms of depression. These two pilot studies are described below.

Method

Pilot Study I and II

Design and Sample—Both pilot study I and II used a one-group pretest-posttest design. Depression symptoms were assessed at four time points: baseline, mid-way through the intervention (week 6), end of the intervention (week 12), and at follow-up (week 24 or 3

months post-intervention). These time points were selected to allow enough time for participants to be able to incorporate information learned in the intervention, to institute changes in cognition and behavior, and for these changes to have an effect on symptoms. A longer time was not used because high dropout rates can occur with longer follow-up periods, particularly among African Americans (Pinn, Harden, & Blehar, 2002).

Inclusion criteria for Pilot I were African American female, 60 years or older, with mild to moderate depression symptoms as evidenced by a score of 10 or higher on the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Exclusion criteria were individuals with a dual diagnosis (depression co-morbid with alcohol dependence or other types of mental illness as evidenced by the results of the Patient Health Outcomes [PHO]); (b) individuals with moderate to severe cognitive impairment as evidenced by a score < 23 on the Mini Mental Status Exam (MMSE) (n=0, no individuals had a score lower than 23); (c) individuals currently receiving psychotherapy; and (d) individuals presently experiencing suicidal ideation (n=0, no one was identified as experiencing suicidal ideation). The GDS, PHQ and MMSE are described in the screening measures section. There was no exclusion based on use of psychotropic medications, as this was a pilot feasibility study and the intention was to be inclusive. Thus, individuals presently taking psychotropic medications were included in the study, providing they met the inclusion criteria.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria for Pilot II was the same as Pilot I, except we included young and middle aged women and men (30 to 60 years of age). Pilot study II was designed to address the shortcomings in pilot study I. In Pilot II, men were included in the study to address the unmet mental health treatment needs of African American men. In addition, the age for inclusion were lowered in an effort to examine the effectiveness of the intervention with young and middle-aged African American men and women. Also, an attitudes measure was included in Pilot II to better understand African American men and women's attitudes toward seeking mental health services. The research questions for Pilot II were the same as Pilot I, with the addition of one question examining attitudes toward seeking mental health services.

Measures Pilot I

Screening Measures

Geriatric Depression Scale: The GDS is a 30-item self-report rating scale used to screen for depression in adults > 60 years of age. It has been validated in and used extensively with various older adults experiencing major depressive disorder. The GDS can be self-administered or administered by a clinician; in the present study it was self-administered. The GDS consist of 30 items which are scored yes (1) or no (0) with a possible range of scores from 0–30. Cutoff scores are as follows: normal (0–9), mild depression (10–19), severe depression (20–30) (Brink & Yesavage, 1982). The GDS has shown acceptable reliability among older African Americans with coefficient alphas ranging from .87 to .91 (Wall et al., 1999; Kurlowicz, Freida, Outlaw et al., 2005). Our study had acceptable reliability with coefficient alpha of .87.

Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ): The PHQ is a self-administered questionnaire that screens for depression, anxiety, alcohol, somatoform, and eating disorders in primary care (Spitzer et al., 1999). PHQ-9 consists of 9 items and participants respond not at all (0), several days (1), more than half days (2) and nearly every day (3), and total scores can range from 0–27. Interpretation of total score is as follows: 1–4 Minimal depression; 5–9 Mild depression. 10–14 Moderate depression; 15–19 Moderately severe depression; 20–27 Severe depression. The PHQ has strong diagnostic validity as evidenced by the following confidence intervals (CI): any mood disorder (85–91 CI), major depressive disorder (91–95 CI), any anxiety disorder (89–93 CI), panic disorder (97–99 CI), probable alcohol abuse/dependence (93–97 CI), and any eating disorder (94–98 CI) (Spitzer et al., 1999).

Mini Mental Status Exam (MMSE) is an 11-item measure that tests five areas of cognitive function including orientation, registration, attention and calculation, recall, and language. The maximum score is 30. The MMSE takes only 5–10 minutes to administer (Kurlowicz & Wallace, 1999). Although the MMSE is one of the most widely used measures to assess cognitive functioning, its utility among ethnic minorities and highly educated individuals has been problematic (Bohnstedt, Fox, & Kohatsu, 1994), such that, ethnic minorities have had lower MMSE scores compared to Caucasian adults with comparable level of cognitive impairment. More recently, Pedraza et al., (2012) have found African-American adults had significantly lower unadjusted MMSE scores (23.0 ± 7.4) than Caucasian adults (25.3 ± 5.4). Pedraza et al., recommend use of unadjusted MMSE scores when screening older African Americans for dementia, with an unadjusted MMSE cut score of 22/23. Thus, in the present study we used an unadjusted score of 23 or lower to indicate cognitive impairment. The MMSE was administered during screening to exclude individuals with cognitive impairment.

Depression Measures—Participants completed depression measures at baseline, and at weeks 6, 12, and 24 (3-months post intervention follow-up). The following depression measures were used.

Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D): The CES-D scale is a 20-item self-report inventory developed by NIMH to assess the frequency and severity of depression symptoms in the past week (Radloff, 1977). The 20 items are each scored 0–3, with a possible range of total scores from 0–60; depressive symptoms are defined by a standard cutoff score of 16 (Nguyen et al., 2004). A recent study examining measurement adequacy of the 20-item CES-D among community-dwelling African Americans aged 59 and older, found the Cronbach's alpha was acceptable (0.86) (Foley et al., 2002). Our study generated a Cronbach's alpha of 0.85.

Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D): Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D) is a semi-structured, clinician-administered interview designed to assess severity of depression (Hamilton, 1967) and 17-item version of the HAM-D is the most common. A recent meta-analytic reliability generalization study of the HAM-D found coefficient alpha was .79, with 95% confidence limits of .78 and .79 (López-Pina1, Sánchez-Meca, and Rosa-Alcázar, 2009). XXX concluded applications of the HAM-D scale, in general, is acceptable as internal consistency over the critical cut off point of 70 is usually the accepted minimum

advisable reliability (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994). Thus, in the present study, with use of the 17-item version of the HAM-D we had an acceptable reliability of 0.72.

Feasibility Measures—Feasibility was assessed by examining rate of recruitment and retention in for the duration of the study. The following were used: (1) *Recruitment and Retention Tracking (RRT)* was completed throughout the trial to track recruitment, retention, and drop-out; and (2) *Attendance Log (AL)*: An Attendance Log (AL) was used throughout the trial to record attendance to the OHDC.

Acceptability Measures

Client Satisfaction Inventory (CSI): To assess client satisfaction with counseling the CSI–Short Form (CSI-SF) was completed at the end of the intervention at week 12. The CSI-SF consist of 9 items and clients respond to each item via a 7-point Likert scale anchored from 1 = none of the time to 7 = all of the time, Total scores this scale range from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating higher levels of satisfaction (McMurtry & Hudson, 2000). The total score, S , is computed as $S = (\text{Sum}(Y) - N)(100)/[(N)(6)]$, where Y is the score for an item and N is the total number of items correctly completed by the respondent (McMurtry & Hudson, 2000). The CSI-SF is reported to have an alpha of .89 (McMurtry & Hudson, 2000), and in the present study, we had an acceptable alpha of 0.75 (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994).

Quality of Life—Quality of life (QOL) conceptualized as physical and mental health was measured by self-report using the Short Form Health Survey (SF-12) (Resnick & Nahm, 2001). The SF-12 was developed to measure physical health (role limitations resulting from physical health problems, bodily pain, general health, energy and fatigue), and mental health (social functioning, role limitations resulting from emotional problems, psychological distress, and psychological well-being). Scores range from 0 – 100 ($M = 50$, $SD = 10$), and higher scores indicate better QOL. In a recent study examining use of the SF-12 among low-income African Americans, it demonstrated good internal consistency reliability with alpha coefficients of 0.80 for physical health status and 0.78 for mental health status. (Larson, Schlundt, Patel, Beard, & Hargreaves, 2008). In our study we had acceptable Cronbach's alpha of 0.76 for both the physical health and mental health status (Nunnally and Bernstein, 1994). Participants completed the SF-12 at baseline and at weeks 6, 12, and 24-week follow-up.

Physical Health Problems: To further measure physical health problems and their impact on quality of life, the Physical Health Outcome (PHO) measure was used to obtain information about physical health problems and whether these health problems affected activities of daily living (ADLs). In the Wisconsin Longitudinal Study, the PHO was found to have acceptable reliability in assessing participants self-report of physical health problems (Miech & Hauser, 1998). In the present study, the PHO was modified to include illnesses that are more prevalent among African Americans such as stroke and sickle cell anemia. Participants were asked to indicate whether they have been told by a health care provider they have the condition, and to indicate the degree of inference in performing daily life activities from the condition. The total number of health problems was computed and degree

of interference was calculated. Participants completed the 31-question PHO at baseline and at weeks 6, 12, and 24-week follow-up.

Descriptive Measure

Demographic Questionnaire: Demographic information including age, education, income, marital status, health insurance status, employment status, type of housing, and living arrangement were self-reported. The demographic questionnaire was completed at baseline.

Measures Pilot II

Measures were the same as Pilot I except for the following changes. Since participants in Pilot II were ages 30–60, an assessment for cognitive impairment was not performed and the MMSE was deleted from the measures. To reduce participation burden, QOL was measured with only the PHO and SF-12 was not used. Similarly, only the CES-D was used to measure depression symptoms and HAM-D was not used. Added to the measures was the Inventory of Attitudes Toward Seeking Mental Health Services (IASMHS). The IASMHS consist of 24 items and measures attitudes associated with seeking mental health services in the following three dimensions: (a) psychological openness, (b) help-seeking propensity, and (c) indifference to stigma. Scores range from 0 to 96, with subscale scores ranging from 0 to 32, and higher scores are more positive. The IASMHS internal consistency for the full scale is reported at 0.87 and test-retest reliability of $r = 0.85$ (Mackenzie, Knox, Gekoski, & Macaulay, 2004). In our study, internal consistency of the full IASMHS was 0.98.

Procedures

The two pilots studies were approved by the local university institutional review board, and all participants provided written informed consent.

The samples for the two pilots were drawn from a suburban city in the Midwest. Emphasizing community-engaged research, we worked with community health clinics and agencies to recruit participants. Local health clinics serving African Americans were informed of the study and agreed to refer patients/clients. Specifically, information sessions were held at local clinics to inform clinical staff and receptionists about the study to facilitate appropriate identification and referrals. Study flyers were posted in clinic lobby and waiting areas. Community agencies with existing relationships and partnerships with our study team readily endorsed the study and publicized it in the African American community. For example, the African American Council of Churches agreed to place a study flyer in their Sunday church program. The Urban League and local senior housing complexes offered to put flyers in their common office areas and in their resource packets for community members.

All eligible individuals 60 years and older expressing interest in the study were screened using the GDS, PHQ, and MMSE after providing written informed consent; and individuals between 30–60 of age were screened with the PHQ. Upon meeting inclusion criteria participants were enrolled in the study, they attended the 12-week OHDC intervention and a 3-month booster session. Data was collected from participants at baseline, and at weeks 6, 12, and 24 (3-months post intervention).

Statistical Analysis—Pilot studies I and II used descriptive statistics and d-family effect sizes (Cohen, 1988) to describe the data. General linear mixed model for repeated measures using compound symmetry covariance matrix was used to assess changes in the outcome measures (CES-D, SF-12, and PHO) with time contrasts assessed according to described methods (Kenward & Roger, 1997).

Results

Results of Pilot I

Demographics and health insurance status for participants Pilot I is are reported in Table 2.

Feasibility and acceptability—Twenty nine women were assessed for eligibility and 18 of them met inclusion criteria and were invited to enroll in 2 OHDC groups (9 women per group). Seventy three percent (N=15) of the women were retained over the 6-month course of the study. All women who completed the study reported being very satisfied with the treatment, with a mean satisfaction score of 90.1 and $SD = 14.5$.

Effects on symptoms of depression—In the OHDC intervention, symptoms of depression were compared over time using general linear mixed model for repeated measures using compound symmetry covariance matrix with time contrasts assessed according to methods described by Kenward and Roger (1997). Only women with ratings at all four times were included in the analyses (baseline, weeks 6 and 12, and 3-month follow-up). Depression scores on the CES-D decreased significantly from baseline ($M = 24.1$, $SD = 11.22$) to week 6 ($M = 19.0$, $SD = 11.9$) $p < .019$; baseline to week 12 ($M = 18.8$, $SD = 12.2$), and from baseline to the 3-month follow-up ($M = 17.5$, $SD = 11.36$) $p < 0.027$, suggesting changes from moderate to mild depression (Figure 1). There was also a significant negative time effect $\beta = -2.04$ ($se = 0.98$), $p = 0.04$ and an average of .38 effect size. Also, scores on the HAM-D decreased significantly showing a negative time effect $\beta = -3.68$ ($se = 1.48$), $p = 0.01$.

Quality of life—Statistically significant changes were indicated in QOL as measured with the SF-12. Specifically, scores on physical health showed a mean difference of 4.2 from baseline ($M = 30.7$, $SD = 9.3$) to week 6 ($M = 34.9$, $SD = 12.6$) $p < 0.017$, but did not show any significant changes at the other time points $\beta = 0.75$ ($se = 0.76$), $p = 0.32$. Scores on mental health showed significant differences from baseline ($M = 39.3$, $SD = 13.9$) to week 12 ($M = 49.3$, $SD = 13.0$) $p < 0.01$, and week 24 ($M = 48.2$, $SD = 10.4$) $p < 0.01$ with an overall significant increase over time ($\beta = 3.75$ ($se = 0.92$), $p < 0.001$). PHO, the other QOL measure, had no significant change in number of physical health conditions ($\beta = -0.006$ ($se = 0.006$), $p = 0.33$), however there was a significant change in interference from these physical health conditions over time as indicated by $\beta = -0.039$ ($se = 0.06$), $p = 0.56$.

In summary, results of Pilot I indicated the OHDC was feasible and very acceptable to older African American women (age 60 and older) with depression. In addition, depression symptoms decreased significantly showing an average 0.38 effect size, and significant changes in quality of life were indicated.

Results of Pilot II

Demographics and health insurance status for participants in Pilot II are reported in Table 2.

Feasibility and acceptability—Fifty African Americans (25 women and 25 men) were assessed for eligibility and 40 of them met inclusion criteria and were invited to enroll into the study. Eighty seven percent ($N = 35$ [$n = 18$ women and $n = 17$ men]) of participants were retained over the 6-month course of the study. Sixty one percent ($n = 11$) of women and 71% ($n = 12$) of men fully completed treatment. Overall, 66% completed the full intervention. Mean satisfaction among women were 91.7($SD = 10.8$), and men 90.0 ($SD = 10.8$) there was a significant difference in satisfaction by gender (men $M = 36$, women $M = 43$, $p < 0.03$).

Effects on Depression symptoms—In the OHDC intervention group, symptoms of depression were compared over time using a general linear mixed model for repeated measures; compound symmetry covariance matrix with time contrasts was assessed according to methods described by Kenward and Roger (1997). Depression symptoms across both genders decreased significantly from baseline ($M = 26.9$, $SD = 9.6$) to week 6 ($M = 17.7$, $SD = 8.0$) $p < .000$, with a mean difference of 9.0, indicating a significant change from moderate to mild depression. Also, significant decreases for women and men were evident from baseline ($M = 26.9$, $SD = 9.6$) to week 12 ($M = 16.5$, $SD = 1.5$), with a mean difference of 10.3, and the 3-month follow-up ($M = 15.3$, $SD = 7.3$) $p < 0.00$, with a mean difference of 11.6., indicating a significant change from moderate depression to no depression among both men and women. There was also a significant negative time effect with beta = -3.94 ($se = 0.61$), $p < 0.001$ (Figure 2) and fairly large effect size for the men (1.01), and a moderate effect size for the women (0.41) (Figure 3). However, there was no gender effect ($\beta = 0.15$ ($se = 2.08$), $p = 0.94$) (Figure 2 and Table 3).

Quality of Life

QOL was measured using PHO. Findings indicated the number of physical health outcomes (conditions) remained stable, with no significant changes over time for both men (baseline $M = 3.6$, week 12 $M = 3.9$) and women (baseline $M = 4.8$, 24-week follow-up $M = 4.0$). Also, there was no change in interference from physical health conditions ($\beta = -0.22$ ($se = .18$) $p = 0.22$).

Attitudes

Findings showed stability in attitudes towards seeking mental health services, with no significant changes from baseline to week 12, indicating both male and female participants endorsed low openness to seeking mental health services (baseline $M = 25$, week 12 $M = 22$), low psychological openness (baseline $M = 19.6$, week 12 $M = 16.6$) and remained concerned about stigma (baseline $M = 21$, week 12 $M = 18$) associated with seeking mental health services. No significant gender differences were found.

In summary, results of Pilot II indicated the OHDC is feasible and well-liked by both African American men and women participants. This group also experienced a significant

reduction in symptoms of depression indicating an effect size of 1.01 for men and 0.41 for women. QOL remained stable, and no significant changes in attitudes toward seeking mental health services were evident.

Discussion

The findings provide evidence that the OHDC benefits African American adults with MDD. In particular, the OHDC is feasible and acceptable to African American men and women, as there were high rates of retention and satisfaction with treatment. Our findings on feasibility suggest we were able to execute the study by recruiting and retaining participants in the study, many of whom completed the full treatment. Also, with appropriate training and weekly supervision the clinicians were able to successfully treat research participants. In addition, from our acceptability findings we surmise the tolerability and appropriateness of the OHDC from participants perspective were satisfactory (Almirall et al., 2012). These findings are important as they are in contrast to current research indicating African Americans have low rates of seeking mental health services, and those individuals who seek services have higher rates of premature termination from therapy (Gonzalez, Vega, Williams, Tarraf, West, & Neighbors, 2010; Wade & Bernstein, 1991).

The most significant finding in our studies was reduction in symptoms of depression. In both pilot studies, participants showed statistically significant reduction in symptoms of depression. These findings are consistent with literature supporting the benefits of culturally adapted treatments (Wang et al., 2009; Nicolas et al., 2009; Bernal, 2010, Rossello & Bernal, 2001; Munoz & Mendelson, 2005). In particular, Griner & Smith, (2006) found an average effect size of $d = 0.45$ for culturally adapted interventions. Similarly, in our studies we found average effect sizes of $d = 0.38$ (women age 60 and older), $d = 1.01$ (men ages 30–60), and $d = 0.41$ (women ages 30–60). In addition, our findings are consistent with the only other study we could identify that examined efficacy of a culturally adapted intervention in treating depression among African American adults (Kohn et al., 2002). However, unlike Kohn study which only focused on women ($M = 47$ years), our study included a sample of men and women ($M = 51$ years), and also included older women ($M = 75$ years). Thus, our findings are more informative regarding gender and age, and can guide future research on gender and age specific depression interventions.

In addition to our study having statistically significant findings pertaining to reduction in depression symptoms; the findings also suggested clinically meaningful change. Jacobson and Truax (1991) seminal article postulates clinical significance (meaningfulness) involves two main questions: (1) Is the amount of change large enough to be considered reliable? (2) Are treated individuals distinguishable from “normal” individuals with respect to the target complaint? The reduction in depression symptoms evident in our two pilot studies meet the criteria suggested by Jacobson and Truax. In particular, the medium to large effect sizes in Pilot I & II indicate the changes can be considered reliable. Furthermore, in Pilot I with only 6 weeks of treatment, participants evidenced symptom change from moderate depression to mild depression, and this change was maintained through the end of treatment. Similarly in Pilot II reduction in symptoms changed from severe to mild by the end of treatment, and at the 3-month follow-up most participants were no longer experiencing symptoms of

depression. In addition, during treatment, participants shared anecdotally, that they were feeling better as they were experiencing increased energy, motivation, and positive mood. These participants unsolicited sharing provides corroborating evidence of the clinically meaningful change participants experienced, which is consistent with Jacobson and Traux recommendation that consumers expect psychotherapy to accomplish goals including feeling better.

Given the high rate of disability associated with MDD among African American adults (Williams et al., 2007), we examined QOL. Surprisingly, there was no significant change in quality of life. This lack of change might be due to the time span of the OHDC. The OHDC is 12 weeks, which might not be enough time by 6, 12-week and 3 month-follow-up for changes in quality of life to take place. A longer follow-up might provide more opportunity to assess change. Also, no literature could be identified examining changes in quality of life among African American adults with MDD, hence it is difficult to determine with certainty how much time is needed to effect change in quality of life for this group.

Although participants spent 12 weeks in the OHDC intervention and reported high satisfaction with the intervention, there was no change in attitudes towards seeking mental health services. One possible explanation for no change might be due to participants' knowledge of the experimental nature of the intervention and that it was not currently available in clinics. Thus, if they were to seek mental health services after the study was completed, they would not get the OHDC, which now appears to be their preferred treatment based on the high rates of satisfaction scores on the Client Satisfactory Inventory (CSI).

These pilot studies were designed to test feasibility and acceptability of a culturally adapted intervention, the OHDC. One limitation of the results is the small sample sizes. However, although our sample was small, it was consistent with sample sizes in other pilot studies, and study results were helpful in guiding other research. In particular, we are currently testing the OHDC in a large, randomized controlled trial of African American adults with depression. If efficacious, it will be the first large trial to provide evidence of benefit for a culturally adapted treatment for African American adults with depression.

Given the increasing prevalence of late life depression among older adults, and the increase in the aging population, future research testing the effectiveness of the OHDC with a larger sample of older African American adults is warranted. We have also had clinicians express interest in using the OHDC to treat depression among African American teenagers and young adults. However, the OHDC has not yet been tested with young African Americans, so future research in this area is needed. Hence the need to test the OHDC effectiveness using a life course perspective.

In sum, our results from the pilot studies suggest the OHDC is feasible, well-liked by participants, and effective in reducing symptoms of depression among African American adults. Given that the OHDC is new, more research is needed to explore its full impact, not only among African Americans using a life course perspective, but possibly other racial and ethnic minority groups.

Acknowledgments

Supported by grant 1UL1RR025011 from the Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) program of the National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health; Also supported by research grants from the University of Wisconsin–Madison Graduate School and the Center for Patient-Centered Interventions (grant no. P20 NR008987 from the National Institute of Nursing Research [principal investigator: Sandra Ward]).

References

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Program brief: Mental health research findings. Retrieved from <http://www.ahrq.gov>
- American Psychiatric Association. The principles of medical ethics: with annotations especially applicable to psychiatry. 2013. Retrieved from <http://www.psych.org/practice/ethics>
- American Psychological Association. Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct. 2012. Retrieved from <http://www.apa.org/ethics/code/principles.pdf>
- Anderson RJ, Freedland KE, Clouse RE, Lustman PJ. The prevalence of comorbid depression in adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2001; 24:1069–1078. [PubMed: 11375373]
- Andrews G, Peters L. The psychometric properties of the composite international diagnostic interview. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. 1998; 33:80–88. [PubMed: 9503991]
- Angrist JD, Imbens GW, Rubin DB. Identification of causal effects using instrumental variables. *Journal of American Statistical Association*. 1996; 91:444–55.
- Asparouhov T, Muthén B. Multilevel modeling of complex survey data. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Joint Statistical Meeting. 2006
- Bernal G, Bonilla J, Bellino C. Ecological validity and cultural sensitivity for outcome research: Issues for the cultural adaptation and development of psychosocial treatments with Hispanics. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*. 1995; 23:67–82. [PubMed: 7759675]
- Bernal G, Scharron del Rio MR. Are empirically supported treatments valid for ethnic minorities? Toward an alternative approach for treatment research. *Journal of Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*. 2001; 7:328–342.
- Bernal G, Jiménez-Chafey M, Domenech Rodríguez M. Cultural adaptation of treatments: A resource for considering culture in evidence-based practice. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 2009; 40:361–368.
- Bohnstedt M, Fox PJ, Kohatsu ND. Correlates of mini-mental status examination scores among elderly demented patients: The influence of race-ethnicity. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 1994; 47:1381–1387. [PubMed: 7730847]
- Briere, J. Psychometric review of the trauma symptom checklist-40. In: Stamm, BH., editor. *Measurement of stress, trauma, and adaptation*. Lutherville, MD: Sidran Press; 1996.
- Chen, HY.; Baumgardner, DJ.; Bergstrom, JP.; Mori, N.; Swain, GR.; Cisler, RA. Milwaukee health report 2010: Health disparities in Milwaukee by socioeconomic status. Center for Urban Population Health; Milwaukee, WI: 2010.
- Clarke GN. Intervention fidelity in adolescent depression prevention and treatment. *Journal of Prevention Intervention Community*. 1998; 17:19–33.
- Cohen, J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. 2. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.
- Conerly R, Baker F, Dye J, Douglas C, Zabora J. Measuring depression in African American cancer survivors: The reliability and validity of the Center for Epidemiological Study-Depression (CES-D) scale. *Journal of Health Psychology*. 2002; 7:107–114. [PubMed: 22114231]
- Connell AM. Employing complier average causal effect analytic methods to examine effects of randomized encouragement trials. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*. 2009; 35:253–259. [PubMed: 20180678]
- Cooper LA, Gonzales JJ, Gallo JJ, Rost KM, Meredith LS, Rubenstein LV, Ford DE. The acceptability of treatment for depression among African American, Hispanic, and White primary care patients. *Medical Care*. 2003; 41:479–489. [PubMed: 12665712]

- Cuijpers P, Munoz RF, Clarke GN, Lewinsohn PM. Psychoeducational treatment and prevention of depression: The “coping with depression” course thirty years later. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2009; 29:449–458. [PubMed: 19450912]
- Depression in African Americans is not “Just the Blues.”. Retrieved from <https://www.nmha.org/newsroom/system/news.vw.cfm.dovw&rid>
- Donner A, Klar N. Statistical considerations in the design and analysis of community intervention trials. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 1996; 49:435–439. [PubMed: 8621994]
- Donner, A.; Klar, N. Design and analysis of cluster randomization trials in health research. London: Arnold; 2000.
- Fortuna LR, Alegria M, Gao S. Retention in depression treatment among ethnic and racial minority groups. *Depression and Anxiety*. 2010; 27:485–494. [PubMed: 20336808]
- Frangakis CE, Rubin DB. Principal stratification in causal inference. *Biometrics*. 2002; 58(1):21–29. [PubMed: 11890317]
- Franklin RB, Pack-Brown S. Team brothers: An afri-centric approach to group work with African American male adolescents. *Journal for Specialists in Group Work*. 2001; 26:237–245.
- Gonzalez HM, Vega W, Williams DR, Tarraf W, West B, Neighbors H. Depression care in the United States: Too little for too few. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2010; 67:37–46. [PubMed: 20048221]
- Griner D, Smith TB. Culturally adapted mental health interventions: A meta-analytic review. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*. 2006; 43:531–548.
- Green, BL. Psychometric review of trauma history questionnaire (self-report). In: Stamm, BH.; Varra, EM., editors. *Measurement of stress, trauma, and adaptation*. Lutherville, MD: Sidran Press; 1996.
- Huitema, B. The analysis of covariance and alternatives. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons; 1980.
- Iceland, J.; Weinberg, DH.; Steinmetz, E. Racial and ethnic residential segregation in the United States: 1980–2000. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office; 2002.
- Jo B. Estimation of intervention effects with noncompliance: Alternative model specifications. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*. 2002; 27(4):385–409.
- Jo B, Asparouhov T, Muthen BO. Intention-to-treat analysis in cluster randomized trials with noncompliance. *Statistician Medicine*. 2008; 27(27):5565–5577.
- Johnson VD. The nguzo saba as a foundation for African American college student development theory. *Journal of Black Studies*. 2001; 31:406–422.
- Karenga, M. Kawaia theory. Los Angeles, CA: Kawaia Publications; 1980.
- Karenga, M. The African American holiday of Kwanzaa: A celebration of family, community and culture. Los Angeles, CA: University of Sankore Press; 1988.
- Kenward MG, Roger JH. Small sample inference for fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. *Biometrics*. 1997; 53:983–997. [PubMed: 9333350]
- Kohn LP, Oden T, Munoz RF, Robinson A, Leavitt D. Brief report: Adapted cognitive behavioral group therapy for depressed low-income African American women. *Community Mental Health Journal*. 2002; 38:497–504. [PubMed: 12474937]
- Kurlowicz LH, Outlaw FH, Ratcliffe SJ, Evans LK. An exploratory study of depression among older African American users of an academic outpatient rehabilitation program. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*. 2005; 19(1):3–9. [PubMed: 15765366]
- Larson C, Schlundt D, Patel K, Beard K, Hargreaves M. Validity of the SF-12 for use in low-income African American community-based research initiative (REACH 2010). *Public Health Research, Practice and Policy*. 2008; 5:1–14.
- Leibert T, Archer Jr J. An exploratory study of client perceptions of internet counseling and the therapeutic alliance. *Journal of Mental Health Counseling*. 2006; 28(1):69–83.
- Lewinsohn PM, Steinmetz JL, Antonuccio DO, Teri L. Group therapy for depression: The coping with depression course. *International Journal of Mental Health*. 1985; 13:8–33.
- Lewinsohn, PM.; Muñoz, R.; Youngren, MA.; Zeiss, A. *Control your depression*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall; 1986.
- Lewinsohn PM, Clarke GN, Hoberman HM. The coping with depression course: Review and future directions. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*. 1989; 21:470–493.

- Little RJA. A test of missing completely at random for multivariate data with missing values. *Journal of American Statistical Association*. 1988; 83:1198–1202.
- Little, RJA.; Rubin, DB. *Statistical analysis with incomplete data*. 2. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons; 2002.
- Little RJA, Yau L. Statistical techniques for analyzing data from prevention trials: Treatment of no-shows using rubin's causal model. *Psychological Methods*. 1998; 3(2):147–159.
- López-Pina JA, Sánchez-Meca J, Rosa-Alcázar AI. The Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression: A meta-analytic reliability generalization study. *International Journal of Clinical Health & Psychology*. 2009; 9(1)
- Liu, X.; Spybrook, J.; Congdon, R.; Martinez, A.; Raudenbush, S. Optimal design for multi-level and longitudinal research, version 1.77. University of Michigan, Survey Research Center of the Institute of Social Research; 2006.
- Mackenzie CS, Knox VJ, Gekoski WL, Macaulay HL. An adaptation and extension of the attitudes toward seeking professional psychological help scale. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*. 2004; 34(11):2410–2433.
- Manson, SM.; Brennenman, DL. Chronic disease among older American Indians: Preventing depressive symptoms and related problems of coping. In: Pagett, DK., editor. *Handbook on ethnicity, aging, and mental health*. Vol. xxvi. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press/Greenwood Publishing Group; 1995. p. 284-303.347 pp
- Matsuyama Y, Morita S. Estimation of the average causal effect among subgroups defined by post-treatment variables. *Clinical Trials*. 2006; 3:1–9. [PubMed: 16539085]
- McKibbin C, Patterson TL, Jeste DV. Assessing disability in older patients with schizophrenia: Results from the WHODAS-II. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 2004; 192(6):405–413. [PubMed: 15167403]
- McLean R, Marini I. Counseling issues and approaches working with families of African-American gay male members with HIV/AIDS. *Journal of Family Psychotherapy*. 2003; 14:9–21.
- McMurtry SL, Hudson WW. The Client Satisfaction Inventory: Results of an initial validation study. *Research on Social Work Practice*. 2000; 10(5):644–663.
- Miech RA, Hauser RM. Socioeconomic status (SES) and health at midlife: A comparison of educational attainment with occupation-based indicators. *Annals of Epidemiology*. 2001; 11:75–84. [PubMed: 11164123]
- Miranda J, Chung JY, Green BL, Krupnick J, Siddique J, Revicki DA, Belin T. Treating depression in predominantly low-income young minority women. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2003; 290:57–65. [PubMed: 12837712]
- Miranda J, Green B, Krupnick L, Chung J, Siddique J, Belin T, Revicki D. One-year outcomes of the randomized clinical trial treating depression in low-income minority women. *Journal of Counseling and Clinical Psychology*. 2006; 74(1):99–111.
- Muthén B. Latent variable modeling of longitudinal and multilevel data. *Sociological Methodology*. 1997; 27(1):453–480.
- Muthén, LK.; Muthén, BO. *Mplus user's guide*. 6. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén; 1998–2010.
- Nicolas G, Arntz DL, Hirsch B. Cultural adaptation of a group treatment for Haitian American adolescents. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 2009; 40:378–384.
- Nunnally J, Bernstein I. *Psychometric theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill. O'Rourke, N. (2004). Reliability generalization of responses by care providers to the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. 1994; 64:973–990.
- Pedraza O, Clark JH, O'Bryant SE, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, Graff Radford NR, Lucas JA. Diagnostic validity of age and education corrections for the mini-mental state examination in older african americans. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2012; 60(2):328–331. [PubMed: 22150301]
- Potthoff RF, Tudor GE, Pieper KS, Hasselblad V. Can one assess whether missing data are missing at random in medical studies? *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*. 2006; 15:213–234. [PubMed: 16768297]
- Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. 1977; 1:385–401.

- Radloff, LS.; Locke, BZ. Center for epidemiological studies depression scale (CES-D). 2000. Retrieved from <https://sakai.ohsu.edu/access/content/user/brodym/N574A%20Spring08/appendix/CESDepre.doc>
- Rohde P, Clarke GN, Mace DE, Jorgensen JS, Seeley JR. An efficacy/effectiveness study of cognitive-behavioral treatment for adolescents with comorbid major depression and conduct disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2003; 43(6):660–668. [PubMed: 15167082]
- Rossello J, Bernal G. The efficacy of cognitive-behavioral and interpersonal treatments for depression in Puerto Rican adolescents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1999; 67:734–745. [PubMed: 10535240]
- Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Ibrahim HM, Carmody TJ, Arnow B, Klein DN, Keller MB. The 16-item quick inventory of depressive symptomatology (QIDS), clinician rating (QIDS-C), and self-report (QIDS-SR): A psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. *Society of Biological Psychiatry*. 2003; 54:573–583.
- Sanders VL, Bazile A, Akbar M. African Americans' perceptions of psychotherapy and psychotherapists. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 2004; 35:19–26.
- Satcher, D. Mental health: Culture, race, and ethnicity—A supplement to mental health: A report of the Surgeon General. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Office of the Surgeon General; 2001.
- Sirey JA, Meyers BS, Teresi JA, Bruce ML, Ramirez M, Raue PJ, Holmes D. The cornell service index as a measure of health service use. *Psychiatric Services*. 2005; 56(12):1564–1569. [PubMed: 16339619]
- Smedley, BD.; Stith, AY.; Nelson, AE. Unequal treatment: Confronting racial and ethnic disparities in healthcare. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2003.
- Snowden LR, Catalano R, Shumway M. Disproportionate use of psychiatric emergency services by African Americans. *Psychiatric Services*. 2009; 60(12):1664–1671. [PubMed: 19952158]
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB. Validation and utility of a self-report version of the prime-MD: the PHQ primary care study. Primary care evaluation of mental disorders. Patient health questionnaire. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1999; 282(18):1737–1744. [PubMed: 10568646]
- Thompson LW, Gallagher D, Nies G, Epstein D. Evaluation of the effectiveness of professionals and nonprofessionals as instructors of “coping with depression” classes for elders. *The Gerontologist*. 1983; 4:390–396. [PubMed: 6618248]
- Trevedi MH. The link between depression and physical symptoms. *Primary Care Companion Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2004; 6(suppl 1):12–16.
- Trimble, JE.; Fisher, C., editors. Handbook of ethical research with ethnocultural populations and communities. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2006.
- Uebelacker L, Smith M, Lewis A, Sasaki R, Miller I. Treatment of depression in low-income primary care setting with colocated mental health care. *Families, Systems, & Health*. 2009; 27:161–171.
- U.S. Census Bureau. U.S. Department of Commerce; Washington DC; Retrieved from <http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/releases/archives/population/011910.html>
- Wang PS, Demler O, Kessler RC. Adequacy of treatment for serious mental illness in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2002; 92:92–98. [PubMed: 11772769]
- First Author. Keeping it real: A grounded theory study of African American clients engaging in counseling at a community mental health agency. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*. 2005; 52:471–481.
- First Author. Examining differential treatment effects for depression in racial and ethnic minority women: A qualitative systematic review. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 2007; 99:265–274. [PubMed: 17393951]
- First Author. Mengesha. Depression in African American Men: A Review of What We Know and Where We Need to go From Here. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*. 2013; 83(2pt3):386–397. [PubMed: 23889029]
- First, Author; Cronin, K. Recruiting older African American women to participate in mental health research: A culturally competent research model. In: Yee-Melichar, D.; Renwanz-Boyle, A.,

editors. *Minority women: Current issues in research, education and practice*. MI: Copley Custom Textbooks; 2008. p. 63-73.

First, Author; Heidrich, S. African American women's beliefs about mental illness, stigma and preferred coping behaviors. *Research in Nursing and Health*. 2009; 32:480–492. [PubMed: 19650070]

First Author. Clark L, Heidrich S. African American women's beliefs, coping behaviors, and barriers to seeking mental health services. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2009; 19(11):1589–1601. [PubMed: 19843967]

Whaley A, Davis K. Cultural competence and evidence-based practice in mental health services: A complimentary perspective. *American Psychologist*. 2007; 6:563–574. [PubMed: 17874897]

White H. Maximum likelihood estimation of misspecified models. *Econometrics*. 1982; 50:1–25.

Williams DR, Collins C. Racial residential segregation: A fundamental cause of racial disparities in health. *Public Health Reports*. 2001; 116:404–416. [PubMed: 12042604]

Williams DR, Gonzalez HM, Neighbors H, Neese R, Abelson JM, Sweetman J, Jackson JS. Prevalence and distribution of major depressive disorder in African Americans, Caribbean blacks, and non-Hispanic whites: Results from the national survey of american life. *Archive of General Psychiatry*. 2007; 64:305–315.

Wilayto, P. Wisconsin's exploding prison population: The bradley connection. Money, Education and Prisons. 2000. Retrieved from <http://old.mediatransparency.org/story.php?storyID=50>

Wisconsin African American Eliminating Health Disparities Institute. The state of black health in Wisconsin: The struggle for quality health continues. Milwaukee, WI: Black Health Coalition of Wisconsin; Feb. 2005

Wisconsin Minority Health Program. Minority health report: The health of racial and ethnic populations in Wisconsin: 1996–2000. 2004. Retrieved from <http://dhfs.wisconsin.gov/health/MinorityHealth/Report.htm>

Wyatt S. The brotherhood: Empowering adolescent African American males toward excellence. *Professional School Counseling*. 2009; 12:463–470.

Figure 1.
Reduction in Depression Symptoms – Pilot I

Figure 2.
Reduction in Depression Symptoms – Pilot II

Figure 3.
Effect sizes for CESD and Interference Pilot II

Table 1

Weekly topics for OHDC

Week	Topics
1	Introduction and overview of group counseling and Nguzo Saba Principles
2	Depression – etiology, risk factors, symptoms, & treatment
3	Me/women and Depression, Stigma, and Access Barriers
4	Depression and chronic physical illness
5	Community Resources
6	Anger Management
7	Stress Management and Learning to Relax
8	Constructive Thinking
9	Forgiveness
10	Depression and Pleasant Activities
11	Maintaining gains & Developing a Life Plan using Nguzo Saba
12	Wrap up and Review
Reunion (3months post intervention)	Booster session

Table 2

Demographic characteristics of participants in Pilot I & II

Characteristic	Pilot I (N = 15)			Pilot II (N = 35)		
	Mean (SD)	Min – Max	Characteristic	Mean (SD)	Min – Max	
Age (years)	75 (5.52)	64 – 82	Age (years)	51 (8.02)	33 – 63	
Number of Children	4.69 (3.8)	0 – 16	Number of Children	2.72 (2.40)	0 – 11	
Education	n (%)		Education	n (%)		
Elementary	0 (0%)		Elementary	1 (2.9)		
Completed 8 th grade	9 (60%)		Completed 8 th grade	2 (5.9%)		
High school or GED	3 (20%)		High school or GED	13 (38.2%)		
2-year college or Tec	0 (0%)		Years college/Tech	7 (20.5%)		
Bachelor's degree	1 (6.7%)		Bachelor's degree	0 (0%)		
Master's degree	0 (0%)		Master's degree	3 (8.8%)		
Doctorate degree	0 (0%)		Doctorate degree	1 (2.94)		
Other (some college)	2 (13.3%)		Other (JD, some college Completed 10 th grade	7(20.6%)		
Income			Income			
\$ 0 – 10,000	10 (77%)		\$ 0 – 10,000	18 (54.6%)		
\$10,001 – 20,000	2 (15.3%)		\$10,001 – 20,000	4 (12.2%)		
\$20,001 – 30,000	0 (0%)		\$20,001 – 30,000	5 (15.2%)		
\$30,001 – 40,000	0 (0%)		\$30,001 – 40,000	3 (9.1%)		
\$40,001 – 50,000	0 (0%)		\$40,001 – 50,000	1 (3.0%)		
\$50,001 – 60,000	1 (7.7%)		\$50,001 – 60,000	1 (3.0%)		
\$60,001 – 70,000	0 (0%)		\$60,001 – 70,000	1 (3.0%)		
Socioeconomic Status			Socioeconomic Status			
Working class	2 (13.3%)		Working class	20 (60.6%)		
Middle class	1 (6.7%)		Middle class	9 (27.3%)		
Upper middle class	0 (0%)		Upper middle class	1 (3.03%)		
Upper class	0 (0%)		Upper class	0 (0%)		
Retired	12 (80.0%)		Retired	3 (9.1%)		
Health Insurance	Yes 13 (87%)	No 2 (13%)	Health Insurance	Yes 27 (76%)	No 8 (24%)	