Published in final edited form as:

Curr Drug Targets. 2014 January ; 15(1): 65–79.

Therapeutic targeting of cancers with loss of PTEN function

Lloye M. Dillon and Todd W. Miller*

Department of Pharmacology & Toxicology and Norris Cotton Cancer Center, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Lebanon, NH

Abstract

Phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10 (PTEN) is one of the most frequently disrupted tumor suppressors in cancer. The lipid phosphatase activity of PTEN antagonizes the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT/mTOR pathway to repress tumor cell growth and survival. In the nucleus, PTEN promotes chromosome stability and DNA repair. Consequently, loss of PTEN function increases genomic instability. PTEN deficiency is caused by inherited germline mutations, somatic mutations, epigenetic and transcriptional silencing, post-translational modifications, and protein-protein interactions. Given the high frequency of PTEN deficiency across cancer subtypes, therapeutic approaches that exploit PTEN loss-of-function could provide effective treatment strategies. Herein, we discuss therapeutic strategies aimed at cancers with loss of PTEN function, and the challenges involved in treating patients afflicted with such cancers. We review preclinical and clinical findings, and highlight novel strategies under development to target PTEN-deficient cancers.

Keywords

Phosphatase; cancer; tumor; targeted therapy; tumor suppressor; PI3K; mTOR; synthetic lethal

Introduction

Phosphatase and tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10 (PTEN) is as a well-known tumor suppressor that has both phosphatase-dependent and -independent roles. It was first identified in 1997 as a phosphatase that is mutated or lost in several cancers [1, 2]. We now know that lesions in the *PTEN* gene, located on chromosome 10q23, occur at a significant rate in the majority of human tumor subtypes, and this locus is thought to have the highest preference for loss in humans [3].

The best-characterized tumor suppressive role of PTEN is as a lipid phosphatase that antagonizes phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling [4]. PI3K is a critical node in a major signaling pathway that regulates cancer cell growth, survival, and metabolism (Fig. 1). When activated, PI3K phosphorylates the 3' (D3) position on the inositol ring of

*Corresponding author Todd W. Miller, Ph.D., Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, One Medical Center Dr. HB-7936, Lebanon, NH 03756, Phone: (603) 653-9284, Todd.W.Miller@Dartmouth.edu.

Conflict of interest

T.W.M. has received research funding from Piramal Life Sciences, Ltd.

phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PIP₂), which is present on the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane, to produce phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP₃). PIP₃ serves as a second messenger and binds proteins containing pleckstrin homology (PH) domains. The recruitment of PH domain-containing proteins such as AKT to the plasma membrane facilitates their activation, and triggers downstream signaling cascades. Cytoplasmic PTEN negatively regulates this pathway by dephosphorylating PIP₃ at its D3 position, thereby inhibiting downstream kinase activation and preventing cancer cell growth and survival (Fig. 1 and ref. [5]). Two recent studies have found that there is a translational variant(s) long form of PTEN secreted from cell that can enter neighboring cells. Like cytoplasmic PTEN, secreted PTEN has lipid phosphatase activity and antagonizes PI3K signaling in target cells [6, 7].

PTEN has also been reported to exhibit protein phosphatase activity. *In vitro* studies showed that PTEN dephosphorylates tyrosine, serine, and threonine residues on phosphopeptides [8]. PTEN interacts with and dephosphorylates focal adhesion kinase and Shc [9, 10]. The protein phosphatase activity of PTEN also reduces cyclin D1 levels, preventing cell cycle progression [11]. Using a new bioassay to measure PTEN function in living tissue, it was recently shown that PTEN auto-dephosphorylates serine and/or threonine residues in its own C-terminal region; this event(s) appears to promote its lipid phosphatase activity [12, 13]. The protein phosphatase activity of PTEN also regulates secretion of hepatitis C virus particles in liver, possibly via regulation of cholesterol metabolism [14].

While cytoplasmic PTEN is primarily involved in regulating PI3K/PIP₃ signaling, nuclear PTEN exhibits phosphatase-independent tumor suppressive functions, including regulation of chromosome stability, DNA repair, and apoptosis (Fig. 1; reviewed in refs. [15, 16]). Despite the fact that PTEN lacks a canonical nuclear localization sequence, ubiquitination in its C-terminal region may promote its nuclear import [17]. Studies in PTEN-null mouse embryonic fibroblasts revealed that 1) nuclear PTEN interacts with Centromere-Specific Binding Protein (CENP-C), an essential component for centromere stability, and 2) PTEN is crucial for the induction of RAD51, which regulates DNA double-strand break repair [18]. Nuclear PTEN binds to the anaphase-promoting complex or cyclosome (APC/C), and heightens the association of APC/C with the co-activator CDC20 homologue 1 (CDH1) [19]. In so doing, PTEN increases the chromosome-stabilizing activity of the APC/C-CDH1 complex [19]. Nuclear PTEN may also promote apoptosis [15]. Human glioblastoma cells with predominantly nuclear PTEN were more likely to have condensed nuclei in response to apoptosis induction, compared to cells with primarily cytoplasmic PTEN [20]. Hence, intracellular localization plays an important role(s) in the regulation of PTEN function(s) [16]. These various phosphatase-dependent and -independent functions of PTEN contribute to tumor suppression, and highlight the complexity of strategies to therapeutically target PTEN-deficient cancers.

Mechanisms of functional loss of PTEN

Loss of PTEN function is a major determinant that affects tumor development across tissues. PTEN function and expression are modulated by germline and somatic *PTEN* mutations,

genomic deletion, epigenetic and transcriptional silencing, post-transcriptional regulation, post-translational regulation, and protein-protein interactions [3].

Inherited germline mutations

Patients with PTEN Hamartoma Tumor Syndrome (PHTS), which is rare in the general population, have germline mutations throughout much of the *PTEN* coding region [21]. PHTS includes the previously named Cowden Syndrome and Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba Syndrome, and may include some individuals with Proteus Syndrome, Proteus-like Syndrome, and Autism Spectrum Disorder with Macrocephaly [22]. In PHTS, exon 5 encoding the PTEN phosphatase domain accounts for approximately 40% of germline mutations [21]. Some patients with Cowden Syndrome harbor germline mutations in the *PTEN* promoter, or in possibly splice donor and acceptor sites [23]. All types of germline mutations found in Cowden Syndrome lead to loss of expression or activity of PTEN [24]. PHTS patients have an increased lifetime risk of developing cancer [25–27].

Somatic Mutations

Missense, nonsense, insertion, and deletion mutations occur throughout *PTEN* and contribute to loss of PTEN expression and/or function. Although the distribution of these mutations is mostly sporadic, several mutational hotspots have been identified at amino acids Arg130, Arg173 and Arg233 (Fig. 2 and ref. [3]). However, *PTEN* mutations are not limited to a specific cancer subtype. Genomic sequence data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) shows that mutations in PTEN occur across a wide range of cancers, with uterine cancer and glioblastoma multiforme having the highest percentages of *PTEN* mutations and homozygous loss (Table 1).

Epigenetic, transcriptional, and post-transcriptional silencing

PTEN loss-of-function can also result from epigenetic and transcriptional silencing. Several studies have shown that CpG islands in the *PTEN* promoter are hypermethylated in cancer, leading to silencing of *PTEN* transcription [45]. This hypermethylation has been observed in breast, colorectal, endometrial, gastric, hematological, liver, lung, skin (melanoma), and prostate cancers, and glioma [46–55]. Transcription of *PTEN* can be repressed by the epigenetic repressor complex Mi-2/NuRD that contains a chromatin-remodeling ATPase and a histone deacetylase (HDAC). This repression occurs when the transcription factor Sal-Like Protein 4 (SALL4) binds to the *PTEN* promoter and recruits Mi-2/NuRD [56]. *PTEN* transcription can also be repressed by the transcription factors NF- κ B, c-JUN, and BM1 [57–59].

The p53 tumor suppressive transcription factor promotes *PTEN* expression. The oncogenic transcription factors Inhibitor of DNA-binding 1 (ID1) and SNAIL can repress transcription of *PTEN* by binding to its promoter region and preventing p53 binding [53, 60]. The ubiquitous transcription factor Specificity Protein 1 (Sp1) can also inhibit *PTEN* expression: acetylated Sp1 binds to the *PTEN* promoter and recruits HDAC1 to repress *PTEN* transcription [61]. Accordingly, Sp1 overexpression upregulated PI3K pathway activation (assessed by AKT phosphorylation), and promoted migration and invasion of human salivary adenoid cystic cancer cells [61].

MicroRNAs (miRNAs), have been shown to repress translation of *PTEN* mRNA by interacting with the 3' untranslated region (reviewed in ref. [45]). Usually such miRNAs are specific to a particular cancer subtype; however, miR-21 represses *PTEN* expression in many cancer subtypes and metabolic diseases [45]. Intriguingly, it was proposed that miR-21 represses *PTEN* expression by increasing the expression of other miRNAs known to repress *PTEN* [62]. The transcription factor transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β), which inhibits *PTEN* expression in some models, upregulates miR-21 expression [63].

Post-translational regulation

Post-translational modifications including phosphorylation, acetylation, oxidation, and ubiquitylation have been shown to cause loss of *PTEN* function. The phosphatase activity of *PTEN* can be inhibited by phosphorylation of several serine and threonine residues in its C-terminal tail [64, 65], which may be driven by the kinase CK2 [66]. While such phosphorylation stabilizes *PTEN*, it reduces *PTEN* localization to the plasma membrane, thereby limiting its interaction with PIP₃ [65].

PTEN can be also inhibited by oxidation and acetylation. *PTEN* contains a residue characteristic of protein tyrosine phosphatases termed a catalytic cysteine nucleophile which is prone to oxidation at Cys124 [67]. Reactive oxygen species can contribute to the formation of a disulfide bond between Cys71 and Cys124 in *PTEN* to inhibit its catalytic activity [68, 69]. Also, peroxiredoxin 1 (PRDX1) and thioredoxin-interacting protein (TXNIP) are involved in the oxidation and subsequent inactivation of *PTEN* [70, 71]. p300/CREB-binding protein (CBP)-associated factor (PCAF) and CBP are thought to acetylate *PTEN* at Lys125–128 and Lys402, respectively, to inhibit its phosphatase activity [72].

PTEN ubiquitylation and subsequent degradation are controlled by the ubiquitin E3 ligase NEDD4 [73]. *PTEN* monoubiquitination at Lys13 and Lys289 promotes its nuclear localization and suppresses its phosphatase activity [17].

Protein-protein interactions

Several proteins have been shown to interact with *PTEN* to repress its tumor suppressive functions [3]. Parkinson Protein 7 (PARK7, DJ-1) binds *PTEN* under conditions of oxidative stress, and this interaction is associated with increased AKT activation and poor clinical outcome in different cancer subtypes [74]. PIP₃-dependent Rac Exchange Factor 2a (P-REX2a), Shank-Interacting Protein-Like 1 (SIPL1) and α -Mannosidase 2C1 (MAN2C1) have also been shown to bind *PTEN* and inhibit its phosphatase activity, leading to increased activation of AKT [75–77]. These proteins make attractive therapeutic targets to reverse loss of *PTEN* phosphatase activity in cancer cells.

Other *PTEN*-binding proteins promote *PTEN* stability, thereby increasing the potential for antagonism of PI3K signaling. The membrane-localized proteins E-cadherin and MAGI-2, which are lost in some cancers, promote *PTEN* stability [78, 79]. The p85 subunit of PI3K binds *PTEN* to promote stability. The genes encoding p85 isoforms (*PIK3R1*, *PIK3R2*) are frequently mutated in endometrial cancer, and some mutations destabilize *PTEN* and promote PI3K pathway activation [80].

Interplay between PTEN and p53 has led to the suggestion that PTEN can have tumor promoting effects in cells expressing mutant p53. Nuclear PTEN binds p53 in a phosphatase-independent manner to promote p53 stabilization, thus promoting *PTEN* transcription [81]. PTEN complexes with p300/CBP acetyltransferase to promote p53 acetylation in response to DNA damage, and p53 acetylation enhances PTEN-p53 interaction [82]. In cells expressing wild-type p53, PTEN inhibits cell proliferation and increases apoptosis. In contrast, PTEN promotes proliferation and suppresses apoptosis in cells expressing mutant p53. PTEN knockdown in mutant p53-expressing cells decreases proliferation and tumor growth in mice [83]. Thus, p53 status should be considered when PTEN may be involved in a pathway of therapeutic interest.

Challenges in determining tumor PTEN status

Loss-of-function mutations in *PTEN* occur in only a fraction of PTEN-deficient tumors. As described above, PTEN expression may be lost by many non-genomic mechanisms. It is therefore necessary to determine PTEN status in tumors by both protein quantification and DNA sequencing, as neither method alone will provide comprehensive information. Ideally, tumor PTEN phosphatase activity would also be quantified, although current technologies may not permit routine clinical implementation of such an assay.

Methods to determine PTEN status by IHC were historically difficult and continue to be refined, which may contribute to conflicting results between studies. These issues are likely due to antibody and scoring variability. The most commonly used control for PTEN positivity is adjacent normal cells (*i.e.*, vascular endothelium) within a tumor section, which should be PTEN-positive. If the PTEN IHC signal is weaker in tumor cells compared to normal cells, the tumor is usually scored as “PTEN-deficient,” and tumors with malignant cells with no IHC signal are considered “PTEN-null.” This scoring system is subjective, prone to variability, and difficult to implement into routine clinical practice. Also, there are reports of PTEN heterogeneity by IHC within tumors [84, 85], which complicates scoring and interpretation. Reverse-phase protein array (RPPA) analysis, which is analogous to quantitative high-throughput dot-blotting, of lysates from 306 breast tumors showed that PTEN levels are strongly, inversely correlated with levels of activated AKT [86]. Efforts are underway to employ RPPA in routine clinical use; this method may be useful to accurately determine PTEN protein content, and is amenable to multiplex analysis of a panel of proteins and post-translational modifications [87].

Further confounding is the fact that tumors exhibited genetic heterogeneity. Biopsies of seven regions from a primary tumor and one from a liver metastasis within a patient with renal cell carcinoma were analyzed by DNA (exome) sequencing. A *PTEN* splice site mutation was detected in 3/6 regions of the primary tumor, and a *PTEN* missense mutation was detected in a fourth region of the primary tumor; the other two regions of the primary tumor, and the metastasis, were *PTEN*-wild-type [88]. In another study of multiple biopsies from 134 prostate cancers, *PTEN* exhibited genetic heterogeneity in 6 cases (5%), whereby one or both *PTEN* alleles were lost in different regions of a tumor [89]. Given that *PTEN* is often silenced at the transcriptional level, it is possible that the remaining intact *PTEN* allele in hemizygous prostate tumors was transcriptionally silenced. It should be considered that

different regions within a tumor may exhibit convergent phenotypic evolution (*i.e.*, different patterns of mutations to achieve the same phenotype). Hence, determination of *PTEN* status may not be as important as determination of the resultant phenotype (*e.g.*, PHTS, Tuberous Sclerosis, and Progeria exhibit similar phenotypes; described below), which may be more amenable to therapeutic intervention, and capture a larger patient population.

Therapeutically targeting loss of PTEN phosphatase activity

Loss of PTEN lipid phosphatase activity leads to PIP₃ accumulation at the plasma membrane, which activates the AKT/mTOR pathway to drive cell growth, proliferation, and survival [5]. As such, therapeutics targeting several nodes of this pathway are under development.

Pre-clinical findings on treatment of cancers with loss of PTEN phosphatase activity

Mouse models with genetic loss of PTEN have proven valuable in the testing and development of therapies to target loss of PTEN phosphatase activity. *Pten* heterozygous mice (*Pten*^{+/-}) exhibit several of the phenotypes displayed by patients with Cowden's Syndrome, including increased susceptibility to endometrial and breast cancers [90]. *Pten*^{+/-} mice treated with the pharmacological inhibitor of mTORC1 Temsirolimus (CCI-779) had reduced or no development of several tumor subtypes [91]. Another rapamycin analogue (Everolimus, Afinitor, RAD001) retarded the development of endometrial hyperplasia, and rapamycin decreased growth of prostate tumors in *Pten*^{+/-} mice [92, 93]. In mice with prostate-specific deletion of *Pten*, heterozygous deletion of components of the TORC1/2 complexes (*Mtor*, *Mlst8*) increased lifespan [94]. In addition, deletion of *Akt1* in prostate-specific *Pten*^{+/-} mice prevented endometrial and prostate tumor growth [95]. These findings suggest that inhibitors of the AKT/mTOR pathway may be effective in preventing and controlling growth of PTEN-deficient tumors. Indeed, genetic lesions in *PTEN* are associated with increased sensitivity to Temsirolimus (allosteric mTORC1 inhibitor), AZD6482 (PI3K/p110 β inhibitor), MK-2206 (allosteric AKT inhibitor), and 17-AAG (HSP90 chaperone inhibitor that induces degradation of many proteins including HER2 and AKT) (Fig. 3).

In addition to promoting tumorigenesis, loss of PTEN drives resistance to anti-cancer therapeutics. Knockdown of *PTEN* in estrogen receptor α (ER)-positive breast cancer cells conferred resistance to the anti-estrogens tamoxifen and fulvestrant, and to estrogen deprivation [4]. *PTEN* knockdown increased ER transcriptional activity, Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 Receptor (IGF-1R) and ErbB3 receptor tyrosine kinase activity, AKT activation, and cell proliferation [4]. Another study showed that *PTEN* knockdown in non-small cell lung cancer cells induced resistance to the EGFR inhibitor Gefitinib, but not to the VEGFR/EGFR dual inhibitor Vandetanib [96]. Genetic *PTEN* aberrations are associated with resistance to Bosutinib (BCR/Abl and Src inhibitor), BMS-754807 (IGF-1R/InsR and c-Met inhibitor), and OSI-906 (IGF-1R/InsR inhibitor) (Fig. 3). These data suggest that targeted agents acting at the level of PI3K or downstream may be most effective for treatment of PTEN-deficient cancers, while agents targeting signaling nodes upstream of PI3K will be less useful.

Most recently, PTEN loss was shown to reduce the sensitivity of melanoma cells to the γ -secretase inhibitor (GSI) RO4929097 [97]. GSIs are used to treat patients with melanoma because of their ability to prevent cleavage and activation of the Notch receptor, which is usually de-regulated in melanoma. RO4929097 was only effective at inducing senescence and apoptosis in human melanoma cell lines with wild-type PTEN. PTEN rescue in *PTEN*-null or -mutant melanoma cells restored sensitivity to RO4929097.

Post-translational modifications in PTEN also confer resistance to targeted therapies. PTEN phosphorylation at Tyr240, mediated by Src and Fibroblast Growth Factor Receptor (FGFR) 2 and 3 was shown to confer resistance to EGFR inhibitors in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) *in vitro* and *in vivo* [98]. Although this modification in PTEN does not abrogate its ability to repress PI3K signaling, it occurs frequently in human GBM tumors and has been linked to shortened survival [98]. To corroborate their findings, the authors showed that a phospho-inhibiting Tyr240Phe mutation in *PTEN* enhanced sensitivity of xenograft-derived GBM cells to EGFR inhibitors [98].

Clinical findings on PTEN status and response to RTK/PI3K pathway-targeted therapeutics

Several drugs are currently in clinical trials for the treatment of patients with PTEN-deficient cancers (Table 2). Drug sensitivity information gleaned from preclinical studies has been partially validated in the clinic. The observation that PTEN deficiency is associated with resistance to RTK inhibitors *in vitro* makes mechanistic sense: if a PI3K antagonist (PTEN) is defective, then less PI3K activation from RTKs should be required to drive PIP₃ signaling. However, whether PTEN loss is associated with resistance to RTK-directed therapies in cancer patients remains a matter of debate. Mature clinical data exist concerning the relationship between PTEN status and response to the anti-HER2 therapeutic antibody Trastuzumab in patients with breast cancers that overexpress the HER2 RTK protooncogene. First, we must consider the putative mechanisms of Trastuzumab anti-tumor action: Trastuzumab binds to the extracellular domain of HER2 on the cell surface, disrupts HER2-HER3 heterodimers (which activate PI3K) [99], inhibits cleavage of the HER2 extracellular domain (which removes the Trastuzumab-binding epitope from HER2) [100], and induces antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity [101, 102]. Several groups found that PTEN loss was generally associated with poor response to Trastuzumab therapy, whether this agent was administered in the neoadjuvant, adjuvant, or metastatic settings [103–105]. Oddly, PTEN loss was associated with improved response (assessed by pathological complete response) to neoadjuvant treatment with the EGFR/HER2 kinase inhibitor lapatinib followed by Trastuzumab [104]. Contrary to these smaller studies, results from a recent phase III trial (NCCTG N9831) with 1,201 patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy plus Trastuzumab (sequential or concurrent) revealed that PTEN status did not have an impact on disease-free-survival [106]. Therefore, the role of PTEN status in sensitivity to HER2-directed therapy in HER2+ breast cancer remains unclear.

If PTEN loss confers resistance to Trastuzumab, adding a drug that blocks a signaling node downstream of PI3K may abrogate the effects of PTEN loss. In a phase I/II clinical study, patients with metastatic breast cancer that progressed on Trastuzumab were treated with Trastuzumab plus the TORC1 inhibitor Everolimus. Patients with PTEN-deficient tumors

had decreased overall survival compared to those with PTEN-normal tumors, and progression-free survival was not affected by PTEN status [152]. In two phase I trials, patients with metastatic breast cancer that had progressed on Trastuzumab were treated with Trastuzumab plus Everolimus, with or without Vinorelbine chemotherapy. These studies did not report PTEN status of tumors, but encouraging anti-tumor activity was observed [153, 154]. These data indicate that PTEN deficiency may not sensitize tumors to TORC1 inhibition, but a fraction of patients with PTEN-deficient, HER2+, Trastuzumab-resistant breast cancer may benefit from the combination of Trastuzumab and Everolimus.

Several clinical studies are ongoing to evaluate the benefit of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors in patients with advanced HER2+ breast cancer. Most trials thus far with PI3K inhibitors only include patients with tumors harboring *PIK3CA* mutations or PTEN deficiency. However, early data suggest that PTEN and *PIK3CA* status are not associated with response to the PI3K/mTOR dual inhibitor BEZ235 in patients with HER2+, metastatic, Trastuzumab-resistant breast cancer, or to the PI3K inhibitor Buparlisib (BKM120) in patients with advanced ER+ breast cancer [155, 156]. Notably, all of the aforementioned trials have been conducted with patients with advanced breast cancer. The benefit of PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors in early-stage breast cancer is being tested in ongoing studies [*e.g.*, NeoPHOEBE, NCT01816594, testing neoadjuvant Trastuzumab, Buparlisib (BKM120), and paclitaxel].

EGFR is a major oncogenic driver in colorectal cancer (CRC) and squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck (HNSCC), and patients with EGFR-expressing tumors are often treated with an EGFR antibody-based regimen (*i.e.*, Cetuximab or Panitumumab). PTEN deficiency has been linked with Cetuximab resistance in preclinical studies in CRC [157]. In a meta-analysis of five small clinical studies, PTEN loss in tumors was associated with decreased objective response, shorter progression-free survival, and shorter overall survival in patients with primary or metastatic CRC treated with Cetuximab-based therapy [158–163]. While clinical studies suggest that PTEN deficiency is associated with poor disease outcome following EGFR antibody therapy for CRC, PTEN loss/mutation often co-exists with mutations in *BRAF* and *KRAS*, two oncogenes that play significant roles in determining drug sensitivity/resistance; so mutational overlap confounds interpretation of the effects of PTEN loss. Results from early-phase clinical studies show encouraging anti-tumor activity of the combination of an EGFR antibody and a TORC1 inhibitor (Everolimus or Temsirolimus) [164, 165]. Ongoing phase I/II studies testing combinations of EGFR antibodies and PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors (*e.g.*, NCT01256385, NCT00522665, NCT01283334, NCT01816984, NCT01602315, NCT01252628, NCT01719380) will provide larger numbers of patients to assess the role of PTEN status in therapeutic response.

While PTEN deficiency has been associated with increased sensitivity to PI3K pathway inhibitors in preclinical studies in select cancer subtypes [139, 166–171], early clinical data from patients receiving single-agent therapies give mixed results. A phase I study with the PI3K inhibitor Buparlisib (BKM120) in patients with advanced solid tumors showed no association between PTEN status and clinical response [108]; these data imply that selection of patients for Buparlisib therapy based on PTEN status is not beneficial, and that some PTEN-deficient tumors will respond to Buparlisib. In contrast, PTEN deficiency may

sensitize tumors to TORC1 inhibition. In a phase II study with patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with Everolimus, *PTEN* loss (determined by FISH) was associated with improved response and longer progression-free survival [172]. Patients with advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors treated with standard-of-care plus Everolimus exhibited significantly increased progression-free survival compared to placebo/standard-of-care [173]; while the association between *PTEN* status and clinical benefit remains to be determined, it is likely that at least a fraction of patients with *PTEN*-deficient pancreatic cancers benefited from Everolimus.

A body of evidence suggests that *PTEN*-deficient cancer cells rely on the p110 β isoform of Class IA PI3K to drive phosphatidylinositol signaling [174–177]. PI3K/p110 β is thought to be activated primarily by G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). In contrast, *PTEN*-wild-type cells seem to engage the p110 α or p110 δ isoforms, depending on tumor subtype (p110 δ is thought to be more important in hematologic malignancies). As such, clinical testing of p110 β -specific inhibitors in patients with *PTEN*-deficient cancers is ongoing (*e.g.*, NCT01458067).

Treatment of patients with *PTEN* Hamartoma Tumor Syndrome (PHTS)

Germline mutations in *PTEN* predispose to PHTS. Such individuals exhibit macrocephaly, delayed mental development, skin lesions, vascular abnormalities, and cancer predisposition. The lifetime risks for cancer among PHTS individuals versus the general population are: female breast (85.2% vs. 12.4%); colorectal (9% vs. 5%); thyroid (35.2% vs. 1%); kidney (33.6% vs. 1.6%); endometrial (28.2% vs. 2.6%); melanoma (6% vs. 2%) [25–27]. A gain-of-function somatic mutation in *AKT1* was recently discovered in patients with Proteus Syndrome [178]. Individuals with Tuberous Sclerosis carry germline mutations in *TSC1* or *TSC2*, exhibit benign tumors, and have an elevated risk of developing subependymal giant cell astrocytoma [179]. These observations indicate that similar phenotypes can result from mutations in genes encoding proteins present at distinct signaling nodes, all of which converge to activate the AKT/mTOR pathway (Fig. 1). Such signaling is known to promote cell growth and proliferation, leading to tissue overgrowth and the formation of benign tumors. However, DNA replication promotes the acquisition of additional genetic lesions, *PTEN* deficiency can increase genomic instability, and tissue overgrowth promotes inflammation. These processes likely contribute to malignant transformation and cancer development.

A logical target for treatment of these syndromes is TORC1, which lies downstream of the signaling nodes known to be mutated/lost in afflicted individuals. Trials testing TORC1 inhibitors (Rapamycin, Everolimus) in patients with Tuberous Sclerosis have shown promising results and tumor shrinkage in many cases [180–185]. Given that the penetrance of PHTS in individuals with germline *PTEN* mutations is ~80%, preventive therapy may be beneficial prior to cancer development. This presents a challenge with the use of TORC1 inhibitors because the effects of long-term treatment are unknown, and these drugs elicit significant adverse events (*e.g.*, mucositis, rash, ulcers, fatigue, anorexia, diarrhea, nausea, arthralgia, thrombocytopenia, and immunosuppression) that will decrease quality of life. Early clinical data indicate that short-term TORC1 inhibition is beneficial in patients with

PHTS [186], and the results of recently completed phase I/II studies with TORC1 and PI3K inhibitors are pending (*e.g.*, NCT00971789, NCT00600275, NCT00620594).

Therapeutically targeting loss of PTEN nuclear/genomic activity

Nuclear PTEN is important for the regulation of genome stability, homologous recombination, and apoptosis [15, 16]. PTEN loss or disruption of nuclear import leads to severe chromosomal alterations [16]. Several recent studies have proposed that the role of PTEN in regulation of homologous recombination can be harnessed therapeutically. PTEN deficiency incites a defect in homologous recombination in tumor cells. This defect sensitizes tumor cells to inhibitors of polyadenosine diphosphate ribose polymerase (PARP), an enzyme important for repair of DNA double-strand breaks [187]. Moreover, PTEN loss in endometrial cancer cells decreases homologous recombination and sensitizes cells to PARP inhibitors [188]. In line with these findings, a mouse model with T cell-specific deletion of *Pten* develops T cell receptor (*Tcr*)-*Myc* translocations caused by a defect in *Tcr* recombination [189]. These findings suggest that PARP inhibitors may be beneficial for the treatment of tumors with loss of PTEN nuclear/genomic activity.

Since PTEN deficiency causes a defect in homologous recombination, cells rely on PARP for repair of double-strand DNA breaks. PTEN deficiency therefore sensitizes cancer cells to PARP inhibition [188, 190, 191]. PARP inhibitors are in widespread clinical testing for a variety of cancer subtypes with deficiencies in genome integrity, mainly in patients with breast or ovarian cancers harboring mutations in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*. Such genetic lesions can co-occur with PTEN aberrations, confounding interpretation of the contribution of PTEN deficiency to response to PARP inhibitors. Similarly, prostate cancers exhibiting PTEN loss often harbor a genetic rearrangement leading to a *TMPRSS22-ERG* fusion. The *TMPRSS22-ERG* protein product promotes the formation of DNA double-strand breaks and interacts with PARP, thus sensitizing cells to PARP inhibition [192, 193].

Inference from early clinical results suggests that some PTEN-deficient cancers may be sensitive to PARP inhibition. In a phase I trial with the PARP inhibitor Niraparib, tumors in 7/10 patients with advanced prostate cancer exhibited clinical response [194]. Given that the majority of prostate cancers are PTEN-deficient, it is likely that a fraction of Niraparib-sensitive prostate tumors were PTEN-deficient in this study. A case report also described significant tumor response to the PARP inhibitor Olaparib in a patient with PTEN-null, *BRCA1/2*-wild-type, metastatic endometrial cancer [195]. Retrospective analysis of genetic alterations and PTEN status in ongoing studies with PARP inhibitors will help resolve the question of whether PTEN deficiency, in the absence of co-existing defects in DNA repair, is associated with sensitivity to PARP inhibition.

Novel strategies to develop therapeutics targeting PTEN-deficient cancers

Synthetic lethality occurs when aberrations in two genes cause cell death, but individually these aberrations do not. This approach can be used to discover PTEN synthetic lethal interactions in PTEN-deficient tumors [196]. A recent study by Ashworth and colleagues identified *Nemo-Like Kinase (NLK)*, *Polo-Like Kinase 4 (PLK4)* and *MonoPolar Spindle 1 (MLK)* as synthetic lethal genes in PTEN-deficient cancer cells [197]. In addition, the

synthetic lethal effect of *NLK* can be abolished by knockdown of *Forkhead Box O1* (*FOXO1*), which suggests that FOXO1 mediates the effects of NLK.

Two recent reports describing cellular export of functional PTEN that can enter other cells and suppress PI3K/PIP₃ signaling suggest that PTEN itself may be therapeutic. Putz *et al.* found that PTEN is exported in exosomes in a Ndfip1-dependent manner. Ndfip1 is an adaptor for Nedd4 E3 ubiquitin ligases, and the ubiquitination site Lysine-13 of PTEN is required for PTEN export [198]. Hopkins *et al.* reported that a translational variant gives rise to a longer PTEN protein (“PTEN-Long”) that is secreted from cells. This membrane-permeable lipid phosphatase enters other cells and antagonizes PI3K signaling [199]. It is therefore conceivable that PTEN may be delivered to cancer cells to treat patients with PTEN-deficient cancers.

Other therapeutic strategies have been proposed to target tumors with PTEN loss. It was suggested that statins may be used to increase PTEN expression since they promote the activity of the transcription factor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ (PPAR γ), known to upregulate *PTEN* mRNA levels [200]. Since *PTEN* can be silenced by methylation, demethylating agents may reverse epigenetic silencing of *PTEN* [24, 201]. Furthermore, it was proposed that the mRNA of the *PTEN* pseudogene *PTENP1* can be used to sequester miRNAs that repress *PTEN* translation [202]. Finally, therapies that target P-REX2a and SIPL1 may be useful to derepress PTEN phosphatase activity.

Summary

The recent development of targeted anti-cancer therapeutics has been focused primarily on oncogenes and tumor promoters, most commonly in the form of kinase inhibitors. Designing anti-cancer therapeutics directed at loss of tumor suppressors has traditionally been more difficult. Even now, most of the strategies to target PTEN-deficient cancers utilize inhibitors of kinases that lie at the level of PI3K or downstream. With the relatively recent implementation of synthetic lethal screens in cancer drug target studies, novel therapeutic angles may be uncovered that will expand our thinking of PTEN deficiency beyond the PI3K pathway and genome instability. Retrospective analysis of PTEN status in tumors from patients participating in ongoing clinical trials will provide much needed support for the idea that PTEN deficiency modulates drug sensitivity and resistance.

Acknowledgments

Funding

Funding for this manuscript was supported by NCI R00CA142899.

Abbreviations

PI3K	phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
RTK	receptor tyrosine kinase
miRNA	microRNA

PARP	polyadenosine diphosphate ribose polymerase
PIP₃	phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate
PHTS	PTEN Hamartoma Tumor Syndrome
PLK	polo-like kinase
HDAC	histone deacetylase
FISH	fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridization

References

1. Li J, Yen C, Liaw D, et al. PTEN, a putative protein tyrosine phosphatase gene mutated in human brain, breast, and prostate cancer. *Science*. 1997; 275(5308):1943–1947. [PubMed: 9072974]
2. Steck PA, Pershouse MA, Jasser SA, et al. Identification of a candidate tumour suppressor gene, MMAC1, at chromosome 10q23.3 that is mutated in multiple advanced cancers. *Nat Genet*. 1997; 15(4):356–362. [PubMed: 9090379]
3. Song MS, Salmena L, Pandolfi PP. The functions and regulation of the PTEN tumour suppressor. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2012; 13(5):283–296. [PubMed: 22473468]
4. Miller TW, Perez-Torres M, Narasanna A, et al. Loss of Phosphatase and Tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10 engages ErbB3 and insulin-like growth factor-I receptor signaling to promote antiestrogen resistance in breast cancer. *Cancer Res*. 2009; 69(10):4192–4201. [PubMed: 19435893]
5. Miller TW, Rexer BN, Garrett JT, et al. Mutations in the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway: role in tumor progression and therapeutic implications in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2011; 13(6):224. [PubMed: 22114931]
6. Hopkins BD, Fine B, Steinbach N, et al. A Secreted PTEN Phosphatase that Enters Cells to Alter Signaling and Survival. *Science*. 2013
7. Putz U, Howitt J, Doan A, et al. The tumor suppressor PTEN is exported in exosomes and has phosphatase activity in recipient cells. *Sci Signal*. 2012; 5(243):ra70. [PubMed: 23012657]
8. Leslie NR, Downes CP. PTEN: The down side of PI 3-kinase signalling. *Cell Signal*. 2002; 14(4):285–295. [PubMed: 11858936]
9. Gu J, Tamura M, Pankov R, et al. Shc and FAK differentially regulate cell motility and directionality modulated by PTEN. *J Cell Biol*. 1999; 146(2):389–403. [PubMed: 10427092]
10. Tamura M, Gu J, Matsumoto K, et al. Inhibition of cell migration, spreading, and focal adhesions by tumor suppressor PTEN. *Science*. 1998; 280(5369):1614–1617. [PubMed: 9616126]
11. Weng LP, Brown JL, Eng C. PTEN coordinates G(1) arrest by down-regulating cyclin D1 via its protein phosphatase activity and up-regulating p27 via its lipid phosphatase activity in a breast cancer model. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2001; 10(6):599–604. [PubMed: 11230179]
12. Zhang XC, Piccini A, Myers MP, et al. Functional analysis of the protein phosphatase activity of PTEN. *Biochem J*. 2012; 444(3):457–464. [PubMed: 22413754]
13. Tibarewal P, Zilidis G, Spinelli L, et al. PTEN protein phosphatase activity correlates with control of gene expression and invasion, a tumor-suppressing phenotype, but not with AKT activity. *Sci Signal*. 2012; 5(213):ra18. [PubMed: 22375056]
14. Peyrou M, Clement S, Maier C, et al. PTEN protein phosphatase activity regulates hepatitis C virus secretion through modulation of cholesterol metabolism. *J Hepatol*. 2013
15. Planchon SM, Waite KA, Eng C. The nuclear affairs of PTEN. *J Cell Sci*. 2008; 121(Pt 3):249–253. [PubMed: 18216329]
16. Yin Y, Shen WH. PTEN: a new guardian of the genome. *Oncogene*. 2008; 27(41):5443–5553. [PubMed: 18794879]
17. Trotman LC, Wang X, Alimonti A, et al. Ubiquitination regulates PTEN nuclear import and tumor suppression. *Cell*. 2007; 128(1):141–156. [PubMed: 17218261]

18. Shen WH, Balajee AS, Wang J, et al. Essential role for nuclear PTEN in maintaining chromosomal integrity. *Cell*. 2007; 128(1):157–170. [PubMed: 17218262]
19. Song MS, Carracedo A, Salmena L, et al. Nuclear PTEN regulates the APC-CDH1 tumor-suppressive complex in a phosphatase-independent manner. *Cell*. 2011; 144(2):187–199. [PubMed: 21241890]
20. Gil A, Andres-Pons A, Fernandez E, et al. Nuclear localization of PTEN by a Ran-dependent mechanism enhances apoptosis: Involvement of an N-terminal nuclear localization domain and multiple nuclear exclusion motifs. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2006; 17(9):4002–4013. [PubMed: 16807353]
21. Orloff MS, Eng C. Genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity in the PTEN hamartoma tumour syndrome. *Oncogene*. 2008; 27(41):5387–5397. [PubMed: 18794875]
22. Mester J, Eng C. When Overgrowth Bumps Into Cancer: The PTEN-Opathies. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet*. 2013
23. Pezzolesi MG, Li Y, Zhou XP, et al. Mutation-positive and mutation-negative patients with Cowden and Bannayan-Riley-Ruvalcaba syndromes associated with distinct 10q haplotypes. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2006; 79(5):923–934. [PubMed: 17033968]
24. Hollander MC, Blumenthal GM, Dennis PA. PTEN loss in the continuum of common cancers, rare syndromes and mouse models. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2011; 11(4):289–301. [PubMed: 21430697]
25. Tan MH, Mester JL, Ngeow J, et al. Lifetime cancer risks in individuals with germline PTEN mutations. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2012; 18(2):400–407. [PubMed: 22252256]
26. Mester J, Eng C. When Overgrowth Bumps Into Cancer: The PTEN-Opathies. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part C-Seminars in Medical Genetics*. 2013; 163C(2):114–121.
27. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program (www.seer.cancer.gov) Research Data (1973–2010), National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Surveillance Systems Branch, released April 2013, based on the November 2012 submission.
28. Iyer G, Al-Ahmadie H, Schultz N, et al. Prevalence and Co-Occurrence of Actionable Genomic Alterations in High-Grade Bladder Cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31(25):3133–3140. [PubMed: 23897969]
29. TCGA. The Cancer Genome Atlas (<http://cancergenome.nih.gov/>).
30. Cancer Genome Atlas N. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nature*. 2012; 490(7418):61–70. [PubMed: 23000897]
31. Shah SP, Roth A, Goya R, et al. The clonal and mutational evolution spectrum of primary triple-negative breast cancers. *Nature*. 2012; 486(7403):395–399. [PubMed: 22495314]
32. Banerji S, Cibulskis K, Rangel-Escareno C, et al. Sequence analysis of mutations and translocations across breast cancer subtypes. *Nature*. 2012; 486(7403):405–409. [PubMed: 22722202]
33. Stephens PJ, Tarpey PS, Davies H, et al. The landscape of cancer genes and mutational processes in breast cancer. *Nature*. 2012; 486(7403):400–404. [PubMed: 22722201]
34. Cancer Genome Atlas N. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. *Nature*. 2012; 487(7407):330–337. [PubMed: 22810696]
35. Seshagiri S, Stawiski EW, Durinck S, et al. Recurrent R-spondin fusions in colon cancer. *Nature*. 2012; 488(7413):660–664. [PubMed: 22895193]
36. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways. *Nature*. 2008; 455(7216):1061–1068. [PubMed: 18772890]
37. Ding L, Getz G, Wheeler DA, et al. Somatic mutations affect key pathways in lung adenocarcinoma. *Nature*. 2008; 455(7216):1069–1075. [PubMed: 18948947]
38. Imielinski M, Berger AH, Hammerman PS, et al. Mapping the hallmarks of lung adenocarcinoma with massively parallel sequencing. *Cell*. 2012; 150(6):1107–1120. [PubMed: 22980975]
39. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. *Nature*. 2012; 489(7417):519–525. [PubMed: 22960745]
40. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. Integrated genomic analyses of ovarian carcinoma. *Nature*. 2011; 474(7353):609–615. [PubMed: 21720365]
41. Taylor BS, Schultz N, Hieronymus H, et al. Integrative genomic profiling of human prostate cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2010; 18(1):11–22. [PubMed: 20579941]

42. Barretina J, Taylor BS, Banerji S, et al. Subtype-specific genomic alterations define new targets for soft-tissue sarcoma therapy. *Nat Genet.* 2010; 42(8):715–721. [PubMed: 20601955]
43. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N, Kandoth C, Schultz N, et al. Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. *Nature.* 2013; 497(7447):67–73. [PubMed: 23636398]
44. Cerami E, Gao J, Dogrusoz U, et al. The cBio cancer genomics portal: an open platform for exploring multidimensional cancer genomics data. *Cancer Discov.* 2012; 2(5):401–404. [PubMed: 22588877]
45. Leslie NR, Foti M. Non-genomic loss of PTEN function in cancer: not in my genes. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2011; 32(3):131–140. [PubMed: 21236500]
46. Khan S, Kumagai T, Vora J, et al. PTEN promoter is methylated in a proportion of invasive breast cancers. *Int J Cancer.* 2004; 112(3):407–410. [PubMed: 15382065]
47. Goel A, Arnold CN, Niedzwiecki D, et al. Frequent inactivation of PTEN by promoter hypermethylation in microsatellite instability-high sporadic colorectal cancers. *Cancer Res.* 2004; 64(9):3014–3021. [PubMed: 15126336]
48. Salvesen HB, MacDonald N, Ryan A, et al. PTEN methylation is associated with advanced stage and microsatellite instability in endometrial carcinoma. *Int J Cancer.* 2001; 91(1):22–26. [PubMed: 11149415]
49. Kang YH, Lee HS, Kim WH. Promoter methylation and silencing of PTEN in gastric carcinoma. *Lab Invest.* 2002; 82(3):285–291. [PubMed: 11896207]
50. Wiencke JK, Zheng S, Jelluma N, et al. Methylation of the PTEN promoter defines low-grade gliomas and secondary glioblastoma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2007; 9(3):271–279. [PubMed: 17504928]
51. Dahia PL, Aguiar RC, Alberta J, et al. PTEN is inversely correlated with the cell survival factor Akt/PKB and is inactivated via multiple mechanisms in haematological malignancies. *Hum Mol Genet.* 1999; 8(2):185–193. [PubMed: 9931326]
52. Wang L, Wang WL, Zhang Y, et al. Epigenetic and genetic alterations of PTEN in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol Res.* 2007; 37(5):389–396. [PubMed: 17441812]
53. Soria JC, Lee HY, Lee JI, et al. Lack of PTEN expression in non-small cell lung cancer could be related to promoter methylation. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2002; 8(5):1178–1184. [PubMed: 12006535]
54. Mirmohammadsadeh A, Marini A, Nambiar S, et al. Epigenetic silencing of the PTEN gene in melanoma. *Cancer Res.* 2006; 66(13):6546–6552. [PubMed: 16818626]
55. Whang YE, Wu X, Suzuki H, et al. Inactivation of the tumor suppressor PTEN/MMAC1 in advanced human prostate cancer through loss of expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998; 95(9):5246–5250. [PubMed: 9560261]
56. Lu J, Jeong HW, Kong N, et al. Stem cell factor SALL4 represses the transcriptions of PTEN and SALL1 through an epigenetic repressor complex. *PLoS One.* 2009; 4(5):e5577. [PubMed: 19440552]
57. Song LB, Li J, Liao WT, et al. The polycomb group protein Bmi-1 represses the tumor suppressor PTEN and induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human nasopharyngeal epithelial cells. *J Clin Invest.* 2009; 119(12):3626–3636. [PubMed: 19884659]
58. Hettinger K, Vikhanskaya F, Poh MK, et al. c-Jun promotes cellular survival by suppression of PTEN. *Cell Death Differ.* 2007; 14(2):218–229. [PubMed: 16676006]
59. Xia D, Srinivas H, Ahn YH, et al. Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase-4 promotes cell survival by decreasing PTEN expression through an NF kappa B-dependent pathway. *J Biol Chem.* 2007; 282(6):3507–3519. [PubMed: 17158870]
60. Escrivá M, Peiro S, Herranz N, et al. Repression of PTEN phosphatase by Snail1 transcriptional factor during gamma radiation-induced apoptosis. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2008; 28(5):1528–1540. [PubMed: 18172008]
61. Kou XX, Hao T, Meng Z, et al. Acetylated Sp1 inhibits PTEN expression through binding to PTEN core promoter and recruitment of HDAC1 and promotes cancer cell migration and invasion. *Carcinogenesis.* 2013; 34(1):58–67. [PubMed: 23104175]
62. Kato M, Putta S, Wang M, et al. TGF-beta activates Akt kinase through a microRNA-dependent amplifying circuit targeting PTEN. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2009; 11(7):881–889. [PubMed: 19543271]
63. Davis BN, Hilyard AC, Lagna G, et al. SMAD proteins control DROSHA-mediated microRNA maturation. *Nature.* 2008; 454(7200):56–61. [PubMed: 18548003]

64. Odrizola L, Singh G, Hoang T, et al. Regulation of PTEN activity by its carboxyl-terminal autoinhibitory domain. *J Biol Chem*. 2007; 282(32):23306–23315. [PubMed: 17565999]
65. Rahdar M, Inoue T, Meyer T, et al. A phosphorylation-dependent intramolecular interaction regulates the membrane association and activity of the tumor suppressor PTEN. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106(2):480–485. [PubMed: 19114656]
66. Torres J, Pulido R. The tumor suppressor PTEN is phosphorylated by the protein kinase CK2 at its C terminus. Implications for PTEN stability to proteasome-mediated degradation. *J Biol Chem*. 2001; 276(2):993–998. [PubMed: 11035045]
67. Ross SH, Lindsay Y, Safrany ST, et al. Differential redox regulation within the PTP superfamily. *Cell Signal*. 2007; 19(7):1521–1530. [PubMed: 17346927]
68. Lee SR, Yang KS, Kwon J, et al. Reversible inactivation of the tumor suppressor PTEN by H₂O₂. *J Biol Chem*. 2002; 277(23):20336–20342. [PubMed: 11916965]
69. Silva A, Yunes JA, Cardoso BA, et al. PTEN posttranslational inactivation and hyperactivation of the PI3K/Akt pathway sustain primary T cell leukemia viability. *J Clin Invest*. 2008; 118(11):3762–3774. [PubMed: 18830414]
70. Cao J, Schulte J, Knight A, et al. Prdx1 inhibits tumorigenesis via regulating PTEN/AKT activity. *EMBO J*. 2009; 28(10):1505–1517. [PubMed: 19369943]
71. Hui ST, Andres AM, Miller AK, et al. Txnip balances metabolic and growth signaling via PTEN disulfide reduction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105(10):3921–3926. [PubMed: 18322014]
72. Okumura K, Mendoza M, Bachoo RM, et al. PCAF modulates PTEN activity. *J Biol Chem*. 2006; 281(36):26562–26568. [PubMed: 16829519]
73. Wang X, Trotman LC, Koppie T, et al. NEDD4-1 is a proto-oncogenic ubiquitin ligase for PTEN. *Cell*. 2007; 128(1):129–139. [PubMed: 17218260]
74. Kim RH, Peters M, Jang Y, et al. DJ-1, a novel regulator of the tumor suppressor PTEN. *Cancer Cell*. 2005; 7(3):263–273. [PubMed: 15766664]
75. Fine B, Hodakoski C, Koujak S, et al. Activation of the PI3K pathway in cancer through inhibition of PTEN by exchange factor P-REX2a. *Science*. 2009; 325(5945):1261–1265. [PubMed: 19729658]
76. He L, Fan C, Kapoor A, et al. alpha-Mannosidase 2C1 attenuates PTEN function in prostate cancer cells. *Nat Commun*. 2011; 2(307)
77. He L, Ingram A, Rybak AP, et al. Shank-interacting protein-like 1 promotes tumorigenesis via PTEN inhibition in human tumor cells. *J Clin Invest*. 2010; 120(6):2094–2108. [PubMed: 20458142]
78. Wu X, Hepner K, Castelino-Prabhu S, et al. Evidence for regulation of the PTEN tumor suppressor by a membrane-localized multi-PDZ domain containing scaffold protein MAGI-2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2000; 97(8):4233–4238. [PubMed: 10760291]
79. Fournier MV, Fata JE, Martin KJ, et al. Interaction of E-cadherin and PTEN regulates morphogenesis and growth arrest in human mammary epithelial cells. *Cancer Res*. 2009; 69(10):4545–4552. [PubMed: 19417140]
80. Cheung LW, Hennessy BT, Li J, et al. High frequency of PIK3R1 and PIK3R2 mutations in endometrial cancer elucidates a novel mechanism for regulation of PTEN protein stability. *Cancer Discov*. 2011; 1(2):170–185. [PubMed: 21984976]
81. Tang Y, Eng C. PTEN autoregulates its expression by stabilization of p53 in a phosphatase-independent manner. *Cancer Res*. 2006; 66(2):736–742. [PubMed: 16424003]
82. Li AG, Piluso LG, Cai X, et al. Mechanistic insights into maintenance of high p53 acetylation by PTEN. *Molecular Cell*. 2006; 23(4):575–587. [PubMed: 16916644]
83. Li YQ, Guessous F, Kwon S, et al. PTEN has tumor-promoting properties in the setting of gain-of-function p53 mutations. *Cancer Research*. 2008; 68(6):1723–1731. [PubMed: 18339852]
84. Garg K, Broaddus RR, Soslow RA, et al. Pathologic Scoring of PTEN Immunohistochemistry in Endometrial Carcinoma is Highly Reproducible. *International Journal of Gynecological Pathology*. 2012; 31(1):48–56. [PubMed: 22123723]
85. Gumuskaya B, Gurel B, Fedor H, et al. Assessing the order of critical alterations in prostate cancer development and progression by IHC: further evidence that PTEN loss occurs subsequent to ERG gene fusion. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis*. 2013; 16(2):209–215. [PubMed: 23545904]

86. Stemke-Hale K, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Lluch A, et al. An integrative genomic and proteomic analysis of PIK3CA, PTEN, and AKT mutations in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2008; 68(15):6084–6091. [PubMed: 18676830]
87. Espina V, Liotta LA, Petricoin EF 3rd. Reverse-phase protein microarrays for theranostics and patient tailored therapy. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2009; 520(89–105)
88. Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing. *N Engl J Med.* 2012; 366(10):883–892. [PubMed: 22397650]
89. Yoshimoto M, Ding K, Sweet JM, et al. PTEN losses exhibit heterogeneity in multifocal prostatic adenocarcinoma and are associated with higher Gleason grade. *Mod Pathol.* 2013; 26(3):435–447. [PubMed: 23018874]
90. Stambolic V, Tsao MS, Macpherson D, et al. High incidence of breast and endometrial neoplasia resembling human Cowden syndrome in pten+/- mice. *Cancer Res.* 2000; 60(13):3605–3611. [PubMed: 10910075]
91. Podsypanina K, Lee RT, Politis C, et al. An inhibitor of mTOR reduces neoplasia and normalizes p70/S6 kinase activity in Pten+/- mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2001; 98(18):10320–10325. [PubMed: 11504907]
92. Milam MR, Celestino J, Wu W, et al. Reduced progression of endometrial hyperplasia with oral mTOR inhibition in the Pten heterozygote murine model. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007; 196(3):247 e1–247 e5. [PubMed: 17346540]
93. Blando J, Portis M, Benavides F, et al. PTEN deficiency is fully penetrant for prostate adenocarcinoma in C57BL/6 mice via mTOR-dependent growth. *Am J Pathol.* 2009; 174(5): 1869–1879. [PubMed: 19395652]
94. Guertin DA, Stevens DM, Saitoh M, et al. mTOR complex 2 is required for the development of prostate cancer induced by Pten loss in mice. *Cancer Cell.* 2009; 15(2):148–159. [PubMed: 19185849]
95. Chen ML, Xu PZ, Peng XD, et al. The deficiency of Akt1 is sufficient to suppress tumor development in Pten+/- mice. *Genes Dev.* 2006; 20(12):1569–1574. [PubMed: 16778075]
96. Takeda H, Takigawa N, Ohashi K, et al. Vandetanib is effective in EGFR-mutant lung cancer cells with PTEN deficiency. *Exp Cell Res.* 2013; 319(4):417–423. [PubMed: 23274758]
97. Nair JS, Sheikh T, Ho AL, et al. PTEN regulates sensitivity of melanoma cells to RO4929097, the gamma-secretase inhibitor. *Anticancer Res.* 2013; 33(4):1307–1316. [PubMed: 23564767]
98. Fenton TR, Nathanson D, Ponte de Albuquerque C, et al. Resistance to EGF receptor inhibitors in glioblastoma mediated by phosphorylation of the PTEN tumor suppressor at tyrosine 240. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2012; 109(35):14164–14169. [PubMed: 22891331]
99. Junttila TT, Akita RW, Parsons K, et al. Ligand-independent HER2/HER3/PI3K complex is disrupted by trastuzumab and is effectively inhibited by the PI3K inhibitor GDC-0941. *Cancer Cell.* 2009; 15(5):429–440. [PubMed: 19411071]
100. Molina MA, Codony-Servat J, Albanell J, et al. Trastuzumab (Herceptin), a humanized anti-HER2 receptor monoclonal antibody, inhibits basal and activated HER2 ectodomain cleavage in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Research.* 2001; 61(12):4744–4749. [PubMed: 11406546]
101. Gennari R, Menard S, Fagnoni F, et al. Pilot study of the mechanism of action of preoperative trastuzumab in patients with primary operable breast tumors overexpressing HER2. *Clinical Cancer Research.* 2004; 10(17):5650–5655. [PubMed: 15355889]
102. Musolino A, Naldi N, Bortesi B, et al. Immunoglobulin G fragment C receptor polymorphisms and clinical efficacy of trastuzumab-based therapy in patients with HER-2/neu-positive metastatic breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology.* 2008; 26(11):1789–1796. [PubMed: 18347005]
103. Razis E, Bobos M, Kotoula V, et al. Evaluation of the association of PIK3CA mutations and PTEN loss with efficacy of trastuzumab therapy in metastatic breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment.* 2011; 128(2):447–456. [PubMed: 21594665]
104. Dave B, Migliaccio I, Gutierrez MC, et al. Loss of Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog or Phosphoinositol-3 Kinase Activation and Response to Trastuzumab or Lapatinib in Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Overexpressing Locally Advanced Breast Cancers. *Journal of Clinical Oncology.* 2011; 29(2):166–173. [PubMed: 21135276]

105. Esteva FJ, Guo H, Zhang SY, et al. PTEN, PIK3CA, p-AKT, and p-p70S6K Status Association with Trastuzumab Response and Survival in Patients with HER2-Positive Metastatic Breast Cancer. *American Journal of Pathology*. 2010; 177(4):1647–1656. [PubMed: 20813970]
106. Perez EA, Dueck AC, McCullough AE, et al. Impact of PTEN Protein Expression on Benefit From Adjuvant Trastuzumab in Early-Stage Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Positive Breast Cancer in the North Central Cancer Treatment Group N9831 Trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31(17):2115–2122. [PubMed: 23650412]
107. Maira SM, Pecchi S, Huang A, et al. Identification and characterization of NVP-BKM120, an orally available pan-class I PI3-kinase inhibitor. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2012; 11(2):317–328. [PubMed: 22188813]
108. Bendell JC, Rodon J, Burris HA, et al. Phase I, dose-escalation study of BKM120, an oral pan-Class I PI3K inhibitor, in patients with advanced solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012; 30(3):282–290. [PubMed: 22162589]
109. Reynolds CP, Kang MH, Carol H, et al. Initial testing (stage 1) of the phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase inhibitor, SAR245408 (XL147) by the pediatric preclinical testing program. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*. 2013; 60(5):791–798. [PubMed: 23002019]
110. Ihle NT, Williams R, Chow S, et al. Molecular pharmacology and antitumor activity of PX-866, a novel inhibitor of phosphoinositide-3-kinase signaling. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics*. 2004; 3(7):763–772. [PubMed: 15252137]
111. Folkes AJ, Ahmadi K, Alderton WK, et al. The identification of 2-(1H-indazol-4-yl)-6-(4-methanesulfonyl-piperazin-1-ylmethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine (GDC-0941) as a potent, selective, orally bioavailable inhibitor of class I PI3 kinase for the treatment of cancer. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. 2008; 51(18):5522–5532. [PubMed: 18754654]
112. Glauer J, Pletz N, Schon M, et al. A novel selective small-molecule PI3K inhibitor is effective against human multiple myeloma in vitro and in vivo. *Blood Cancer J*. 2013; 3(e141)
113. Ohwada J, Ebiike H, Kawada H, et al. Discovery and biological activity of a novel class I PI3K inhibitor, CH5132799. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2011; 21(6):1767–1772. [PubMed: 21316229]
114. Tanaka H, Yoshida M, Tanimura H, et al. The selective class I PI3K inhibitor CH5132799 targets human cancers harboring oncogenic PIK3CA mutations. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2011; 17(10):3272–3281. [PubMed: 21558396]
115. Yaguchi S, Fukui Y, Koshimizu I, et al. Antitumor activity of ZSTK474, a new phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase inhibitor. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2006; 98(8):545–556. [PubMed: 16622124]
116. Ndubaku CO, Heffron TP, Staben ST, et al. Discovery of 2-{3-[2-(1-isopropyl-3-methyl-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)-5,6-dihydrobenzo[f]imidazo[1,2-d][1,4]oxazepin-9-yl]-1H-pyrazol-1-yl}-2-methylpropanamide (GDC-0032): a beta-sparing phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitor with high unbound exposure and robust in vivo antitumor activity. *J Med Chem*. 2013; 56(11):4597–4610. [PubMed: 23662903]
117. Furet P, Guagnano V, Fairhurst RA, et al. Discovery of NVP-BYL719 a potent and selective phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase alpha inhibitor selected for clinical evaluation. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2013; 23(13):3741–3748. [PubMed: 23726034]
118. Elkabets M, Vora S, Juric D, et al. mTORC1 Inhibition Is Required for Sensitivity to PI3K p110 alpha Inhibitors in PIK3CA-Mutant Breast Cancer. *Science Translational Medicine*. 2013; 5(196)
119. So LM, Yea SS, Oak JS, et al. Selective Inhibition of Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase p110 alpha Preserves Lymphocyte Function. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2013; 288(8):5718–5731. [PubMed: 23275335]
120. Weigelt B, Warne PH, Lambros MB, et al. PI3K Pathway Dependencies in Endometrioid Endometrial Cancer Cell Lines. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2013; 19(13):3533–3544. [PubMed: 23674493]
121. Ma WW, Messersmith WA, Dy GK, et al. Phase I Study of Rigosertib, an Inhibitor of the Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase and Polo-like Kinase 1 Pathways, Combined with Gemcitabine in Patients with Solid Tumors and Pancreatic Cancer. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2012; 18(7):2048–2055. [PubMed: 22338014]

122. Qian CG, Lai CJ, Bao RD, et al. Cancer Network Disruption by a Single Molecule Inhibitor Targeting Both Histone Deacetylase Activity and Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase Signaling. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2012; 18(15):4104–4113. [PubMed: 22693356]
123. Mallon R, Feldberg LR, Lucas J, et al. Antitumor Efficacy of PKI-587, a Highly Potent Dual PI3K/mTOR Kinase Inhibitor. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2011; 17(10):3193–3203. [PubMed: 21325073]
124. Venkatesan AM, Dehnhardt CM, Delos Santos E, et al. Bis(morpholino-1,3,5-triazine) Derivatives: Potent Adenosine 5'-Triphosphate Competitive Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/Mammalian Target of Rapamycin Inhibitors: Discovery of Compound 26 (PKI-587), a Highly Efficacious Dual Inhibitor. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. 2010; 53(6):2636–2645. [PubMed: 20166697]
125. Maira SM, Stauffer F, Brueggen J, et al. Identification and characterization of NVP-BEZ235, a new orally available dual phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor with potent in vivo antitumor activity. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2008
126. Chang KY, Tsai SY, Wu CM, et al. Novel Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase/mTOR Dual Inhibitor, NVP-BGT226, Displays Potent Growth-Inhibitory Activity against Human Head and Neck Cancer Cells In Vitro and In Vivo. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2011; 17(22):7116–7126. [PubMed: 21976531]
127. Markman B, Tabernero J, Krop I, et al. Phase I safety, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic study of the oral phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase and mTOR inhibitor BGT226 in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Annals of Oncology*. 2012; 23(9):2399–2408. [PubMed: 22357447]
128. Bendell JC, Wagner AJ, Dolly S, et al. A First-in-Human Phase I Study to Evaluate the Dual PI3k/Mtor Inhibitor Gdc-0980 Administered Qd in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors or Non-Hodgkin S Lymphoma (Nhl). *Annals of Oncology*. 2010; 21(162–163)
129. Wagner AJ, Jayson GC, Gomez-Roca C, et al. A phase I study evaluating the pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) activity of the dual PI3K/mTor inhibitor GDC-0980 administered QW. *Ejc Supplements*. 2010; 8(7):123–124.
130. Sutherland DP, Bao L, Berry M, et al. Discovery of a Potent, Selective, and Orally Available Class I Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase (PI3K)/Mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) Kinase Inhibitor (GDC-0980) for the Treatment of Cancer. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*. 2011; 54(21):7579–7587. [PubMed: 21981714]
131. Garlich JR, De P, Dey N, et al. A vascular targeted pan phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitor prodrug, SF1126, with antitumor and antiangiogenic activity. *Cancer Research*. 2008; 68(1):206–215. [PubMed: 18172313]
132. Baselga J, Campone M, Piccart M, et al. Everolimus in postmenopausal hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2012; 366(6):520–529. [PubMed: 22149876]
133. Motzer RJ, Escudier B, Oudard S, et al. Efficacy of everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase III trial. *Lancet*. 2008; 372(9637):449–456. [PubMed: 18653228]
134. Elit L. CCI-779 Wyeth. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs*. 2002; 3(8):1249–1253.
135. Demetri GD, Chawla SP, Ray-Coquard I, et al. Results of an international randomized phase III trial of the mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor ridaforolimus versus placebo to control metastatic sarcomas in patients after benefit from prior chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31(19):2485–2492. [PubMed: 23715582]
136. Gokmen-Polar Y, Liu Y, Toroni RA, et al. Investigational drug MLN0128, a novel TORC1/2 inhibitor, demonstrates potent oral antitumor activity in human breast cancer xenograft models. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2012; 136(3):673–682. [PubMed: 23085766]
137. Pike KG, Malagu K, Hummersone MG, et al. Optimization of potent and selective dual mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibitors: the discovery of AZD8055 and AZD2014. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2013; 23(5):1212–1216. [PubMed: 23375793]
138. Shih KC, et al. Phase I trial of an oral TORC1/TORC2 inhibitor (CC-223) in advanced solid and hematologic cancers. *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2012; 30(suppl) abstr 3006.

139. Davies BR, Greenwood H, Dudley P, et al. Preclinical pharmacology of AZD5363, an inhibitor of AKT: pharmacodynamics, antitumor activity, and correlation of monotherapy activity with genetic background. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2012; 11(4):873–887. [PubMed: 22294718]
140. Calvo E, et al. First-in-human phase I study of LY2780301, an oral P70S6K/AKT inhibitor, in patients with refractory solid tumors. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2012; 30(suppl) abstr 3005.
141. Lin J, Sampath D, Nannini MA, et al. Targeting Activated Akt with GDC-0068, a Novel Selective Akt Inhibitor That Is Efficacious in Multiple Tumor Models. *Clinical Cancer Research.* 2013; 19(7):1760–1772. [PubMed: 23287563]
142. Yoon S-S, et al. Novel AKT Inhibitor GSK2110183 Shows Favorable Safety, Pharmacokinetics, and Clinical Activity in Multiple Myeloma. Preliminary Results From a Phase I First-Time-In-Human Study American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting. 2011 Abstract 1856.
143. Hirai H, Sootome H, Nakatsuru Y, et al. MK-2206, an Allosteric Akt Inhibitor, Enhances Antitumor Efficacy by Standard Chemotherapeutic Agents or Molecular Targeted Drugs In vitro and In vivo. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics.* 2010; 9(7):1956–1967. [PubMed: 20571069]
144. Fong PC, Boss DS, Yap TA, et al. Inhibition of Poly(ADP-Ribose) Polymerase in Tumors from BRCA Mutation Carriers. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2009; 361(2):123–134. [PubMed: 19553641]
145. Donawho CK, Luo Y, Luo YP, et al. ABT-888, an orallyactive poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor that potentiates DNA-damaging agents in preclinical tumor models. *Clinical Cancer Research.* 2007; 13(9):2728–2737. [PubMed: 17473206]
146. Liang HY, Tan AR. Iniparib, a PARP1 inhibitor for the potential treatment of cancer, including triple-negative breast cancer. *Idrugs.* 2010; 13(9):646–656. [PubMed: 20799148]
147. Plummer R, Lorigan P, Steven N, et al. A phase II study of the potent PARP inhibitor, Rucaparib (PF-01367338, AG014699), with temozolomide in patients with metastatic melanoma demonstrating evidence of chemopotentialiation. *Cancer Chemotherapy and Pharmacology.* 2013; 71(5):1191–1199. [PubMed: 23423489]
148. Campone M, Plummer R, Stephens P, et al. Phase I dose-escalation study to evaluate the safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of CEP-9722 (a PARP1>2 inhibitor) as single-agent and in combination with temozolomide in patients with advanced solid tumors (NCT00920595). *Journal of Clinical Oncology.* 2012; 30(15)
149. Miknyoczki S, Chang H, Grobelny J, et al. The selective poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase-1(2) inhibitor, CEP-8983, increases the sensitivity of chemoresistant tumor cells to temozolomide and irinotecan but does not potentiate myelotoxicity. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics.* 2007; 6(8): 2290–2302. [PubMed: 17699724]
150. Russo AL, Kwon HC, Burgan WE, et al. In vitro and In vivo Radiosensitization of Glioblastoma Cells by the Poly (ADP-Ribose) Polymerase Inhibitor E7016. *Clinical Cancer Research.* 2009; 15(2):607–612. [PubMed: 19147766]
151. McGonigle S, et al. E7449: A novel PARP inhibitor enhances the efficacy of radiotherapy and chemotherapy and has potent single agent anticancer activity in BRCA-deficient tumors. *Cancer Res.* 2012; 72(8 suppl.):4688.
152. Morrow PK, Wulf GM, Ensor J, et al. Phase I/II Study of Trastuzumab in Combination With Everolimus (RAD001) in Patients With HER2-Overexpressing Metastatic Breast Cancer Who Progressed on Trastuzumab-Based Therapy. *Journal of Clinical Oncology.* 2011; 29(23):3126–3132. [PubMed: 21730275]
153. Jerusalem G, Fasolo A, Dieras V, et al. Phase I trial of oral mTOR inhibitor everolimus in combination with trastuzumab and vinorelbine in pre-treated patients with HER2-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2011; 125(2):447–455. [PubMed: 21107682]
154. Andre F, Campone M, O'Regan R, et al. Phase I study of everolimus plus weekly paclitaxel and trastuzumab in patients with metastatic breast cancer pretreated with trastuzumab. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28(34):5110–5115. [PubMed: 20975068]
155. Krop IE, Saura C, Rodon-Ahnert J, Becerra C, Britten CD, Isakoff SJ, Demanse D, Hackl W, Quadt C, Silva AP, Burris HA, Abu-Khalaf MM, Baselga J. A phase I/IB dose-escalation study of BEZ235 in combination with trastuzumab in patients with PI3-kinase or PTEN altered HER2+ metastatic breast cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2013; 30(Suppl) Abstract 508.

156. Mayer, IA.; Balko, JM.; Kuba, MG.; Sanders, ME.; Yap, J.; Li, Y.; Winer, E.; Arteaga, CL. SU2C phase Ib study of pan-PI3K inhibitor BKM120 plus aromatase inhibitor letrozole in ER+/HER2– metastatic breast cancer. *Cancer Res*; Presented at the San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium, Dec. 2011; 2011. (Abstract PD09-05).
157. Jhawer M, Goel S, Wilson AJ, et al. PIK3CA mutation/PTEN expression status predicts response of colon cancer cells to the epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor cetuximab. *Cancer Res*. 2008; 68(6):1953–1961. [PubMed: 18339877]
158. Shen Y, Yang J, Xu Z, et al. Phosphatase and tensin homolog expression related to cetuximab effects in colorectal cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2012; 18(21):2712–2718. [PubMed: 22690082]
159. Sartore-Bianchi A, Di Nicolantonio F, Nichelatti M, et al. Multi-determinants analysis of molecular alterations for predicting clinical benefit to EGFR-targeted monoclonal antibodies in colorectal cancer. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4(10):e7287. [PubMed: 19806185]
160. Negri FV, Bozzetti C, Lagrasta CA, et al. PTEN status in advanced colorectal cancer treated with cetuximab. *Br J Cancer*. 2010; 102(1):162–164. [PubMed: 19953097]
161. Loupakakis F, Pollina L, Stasi I, et al. PTEN expression and KRAS mutations on primary tumors and metastases in the prediction of benefit from cetuximab plus irinotecan for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009; 27(16):2622–2629. [PubMed: 19398573]
162. Frattini M, Saletti P, Romagnani E, et al. PTEN loss of expression predicts cetuximab efficacy in metastatic colorectal cancer patients. *Br J Cancer*. 2007; 97(8):1139–1145. [PubMed: 17940504]
163. Razis E, Briasoulis E, Vrettou E, et al. Potential value of PTEN in predicting cetuximab response in colorectal cancer: an exploratory study. *BMC Cancer*. 2008; 8(234)
164. Saba NF, Kono SA, Robin-Mendel J, Hurwitz SJ, Kunle-Owonikoko T, Lewis CM, Harvey D, Willemann-Rogério J, et al. Carboplatin (C), cetuximab (Cet), and everolimus (E) in recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (RMSCHN). Results of a phase Ib study. *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2013; 31(suppl) abstr 6083.
165. Shahda S, Yu M, Picus J, Bufill JA, Harb WA, Burns M, Spittler AJ, Flynn J, Zeng Y, Vance GH, Wu J, Currie CR, Loehrer PJ, Chiorean EG. Phase I study of everolimus (RAD001) with irinotecan (Iri) and cetuximab (C) in second-line metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): Hoosier Oncology Group GI05-102—Final report. *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2011; 29(suppl) abstr 3587.
166. Spoerke JM, O'Brien C, Huw L, et al. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway alterations are associated with histologic subtypes and are predictive of sensitivity to PI3K inhibitors in lung cancer preclinical models. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2012; 18(24):6771–6783. [PubMed: 23136191]
167. Shoji K, Oda K, Kashiya T, et al. Genotype-dependent efficacy of a dual PI3K/mTOR inhibitor, NVP-BEZ235, and an mTOR inhibitor, RAD001, in endometrial carcinomas. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(5):e37431. [PubMed: 22662154]
168. Meric-Bernstam F, Akcakanat A, Chen H, et al. PIK3CA/PTEN mutations and Akt activation as markers of sensitivity to allosteric mTOR inhibitors. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2012; 18(6):1777–1789. [PubMed: 22422409]
169. Cao C, Subhawong T, Albert JM, et al. Inhibition of mammalian target of rapamycin or apoptotic pathway induces autophagy and radiosensitizes PTEN null prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res*. 2006; 66(20):10040–10047. [PubMed: 17047067]
170. Lin J, Sampath D, Nannini MA, et al. Targeting activated Akt with GDC-0068, a novel selective Akt inhibitor that is efficacious in multiple tumor models. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2013; 19(7):1760–1772. [PubMed: 23287563]
171. Jiang X, Chen S, Asara JM, et al. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway activation in phosphate and tensin homolog (PTEN)-deficient prostate cancer cells is independent of receptor tyrosine kinases and mediated by the p110beta and p110delta catalytic subunits. *J Biol Chem*. 2010; 285(20):14980–14989. [PubMed: 20231295]
172. Templeton AJ, Dutoit V, Cathomas R, et al. Phase 2 Trial of Single-agent Everolimus in Chemotherapy-naïve Patients with Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer (SAKK 08/08). *Eur Urol*. 2013; 64(1):150–158. [PubMed: 23582881]
173. Yao JC, Shah MH, Ito T, et al. Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *N Engl J Med*. 2011; 364(6):514–523. [PubMed: 21306238]

174. Chen H, Mei L, Zhou L, et al. PTEN restoration and PIK3CB knockdown synergistically suppress glioblastoma growth in vitro and in xenografts. *J Neurooncol.* 2011; 104(1):155–167. [PubMed: 21188471]
175. Kim J, Hong S, Hong S. Discovery of new aminopyrimidine-based phosphoinositide 3-kinase beta (PI3Kbeta) inhibitors with selectivity over PI3Kalpha. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2011; 21(23):6977–6981. [PubMed: 22030027]
176. Edgar KA, Wallin JJ, Berry M, et al. Isoform-specific phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitors exert distinct effects in solid tumors. *Cancer Res.* 2010; 70(3):1164–1172. [PubMed: 20103642]
177. Ni J, Liu Q, Xie S, et al. Functional characterization of an isoform-selective inhibitor of PI3K-p110beta as a potential anticancer agent. *Cancer Discov.* 2012; 2(5):425–433. [PubMed: 22588880]
178. Lindhurst MJ, Sapp JC, Teer JK, et al. A Mosaic Activating Mutation in AKT1 Associated with the Proteus Syndrome. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2011; 365(7):611–619. [PubMed: 21793738]
179. Hallett L, Foster T, Liu Z, et al. Burden of disease and unmet needs in tuberous sclerosis complex with neurological manifestations: systematic review. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2011; 27(8):1571–1583. [PubMed: 21692602]
180. Davies DM, de Vries PJ, Johnson SR, et al. Sirolimus Therapy for Angiomyolipoma in Tuberous Sclerosis and Sporadic Lymphangioleiomyomatosis: A Phase 2 Trial. *Clinical Cancer Research.* 2011; 17(12):4071–4081. [PubMed: 21525172]
181. Krueger DA, Care MM, Holland K, et al. Everolimus for Subependymal Giant-Cell Astrocytomas in Tuberous Sclerosis. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2010; 363(19):1801–1811. [PubMed: 21047224]
182. McCormack FX, Inoue Y, Moss J, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Sirolimus in Lymphangioleiomyomatosis. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2011; 364(17):1595–1606. [PubMed: 21410393]
183. Pressey JG, Wright JM, Geller JI, et al. Sirolimus Therapy for Fibromatosis and Multifocal Renal Cell Carcinoma in a Child With Tuberous Sclerosis Complex. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer.* 2010; 54(7):1035–1037. [PubMed: 20108343]
184. Hofbauer GFL, Marcollo-Pini A, Corsenca A, et al. The mTOR inhibitor rapamycin significantly improves facial angiofibroma lesions in a patient with tuberous sclerosis. *British Journal of Dermatology.* 2008; 159(2):473–475. [PubMed: 18547304]
185. Franz DN, Belousova E, Sparagana S, et al. Efficacy and safety of everolimus for subependymal giant cell astrocytomas associated with tuberous sclerosis complex (EXIST-1): a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2013; 381(9861):125–132. [PubMed: 23158522]
186. Komiya T, Blumenthal GM, Ballas MS, Dechowdhury R, Manu M, Fioravanti S, Hornyak TJ, Morrow B. A pilot study of sirolimus (S) in subjects with Cowden syndrome (CS) with germ-line mutations in PTEN. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2013; 31(suppl) abstr 2532.
187. Mendes-Pereira AM, Martin SA, Brough R, et al. Synthetic lethal targeting of PTEN mutant cells with PARP inhibitors. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2009; 1(6-7):315–322. [PubMed: 20049735]
188. Dedes KJ, Wetterskog D, Mendes-Pereira AM, et al. PTEN Deficiency in Endometrioid Endometrial Adenocarcinomas Predicts Sensitivity to PARP Inhibitors. *Science Translational Medicine.* 2010; 2(53)
189. Liu X, Karnell JL, Yin B, et al. Distinct roles for PTEN in prevention of T cell lymphoma and autoimmunity in mice. *J Clin Invest.* 2010; 120(7):2497–2507. [PubMed: 20516645]
190. Mendes-Pereira AM, Martin SA, Brough R, et al. Synthetic lethal targeting of PTEN mutant cells with PARP inhibitors. *Embo Molecular Medicine.* 2009; 1(6-7):315–322. [PubMed: 20049735]
191. McEllin B, Camacho CV, Mukherjee B, et al. PTEN Loss Compromises Homologous Recombination Repair in Astrocytes: Implications for Glioblastoma Therapy with Temozolomide or Poly(ADP-Ribose) Polymerase Inhibitors. *Cancer Research.* 2010; 70(13):5457–5464. [PubMed: 20530668]
192. King JC, Xu J, Wongvipat J, et al. Cooperativity of TMPRSS2-ERG with PI3-kinase pathway activation in prostate oncogenesis. *Nature Genetics.* 2009; 41(5):524–526. [PubMed: 19396167]

193. Brenner JC, Ateeq B, Li Y, et al. Mechanistic Rationale for Inhibition of Poly(ADP-Ribose) Polymerase in ETS Gene Fusion-Positive Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Cell*. 2011; 19(5):664–678. [PubMed: 21575865]
194. Ogilvie-Michie C, Sandhu SK, Schelman WR, Molife LR, Wilding G, Omlin AG, Kansra V, Wenham RM. Final results of the phase I trial of niraparib (MK4827), a poly(ADP)ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitor incorporating proof of concept biomarker studies and expansion cohorts involving BRCA1/2 mutation carriers, sporadic ovarian, and castration resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). *J. Clin. Oncol*. 2013; 31(suppl) abstr 2513.
195. Forster MD, Dedes KJ, Sandhu S, et al. Treatment with olaparib in a patient with PTEN-deficient endometrioid endometrial cancer. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*. 2011; 8(5):302–306.
196. Ashworth A. A synthetic lethal therapeutic approach: poly(ADP) ribose polymerase inhibitors for the treatment of cancers deficient in DNA double-strand break repair. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008; 26(22): 3785–3790. [PubMed: 18591545]
197. Mendes-Pereira AM, Lord CJ, Ashworth A. NLK is a novel therapeutic target for PTEN deficient tumour cells. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(10):e47249. [PubMed: 23144700]
198. Putz U, Howitt J, Doan A, et al. The Tumor Suppressor PTEN Is Exported in Exosomes and Has Phosphatase Activity in Recipient Cells. *Sci Signal*. 2012; 5(243)
199. Hopkins BD, Fine B, Steinbach N, et al. A Secreted PTEN Phosphatase That Enters Cells to Alter Signaling and Survival. *Science*. 2013; 341(6144):399–402. [PubMed: 23744781]
200. Teresi RE, Shaiu CW, Chen CS, et al. Increased PTEN expression due to transcriptional activation of PPARGgamma by Lovastatin and Rosiglitazone. *Int J Cancer*. 2006; 118(10):2390–2398. [PubMed: 16425225]
201. Nguyen TTP, Kim SK, Lim SC, et al. Role of PTEN promoter methylation in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*. 2011; 130(1):73–83. [PubMed: 21170675]
202. Poliseno L, Salmena L, Zhang J, et al. A coding-independent function of gene and pseudogene mRNAs regulates tumour biology. *Nature*. 2010; 465(7301):1033–1038. [PubMed: 20577206]
203. UniProt C. The Universal Protein Resource (UniProt) in 2010. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2010; 38(Database issue):D142–D148. [PubMed: 19843607]
204. Yang W, Soares J, Greninger P, et al. Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC): a resource for therapeutic biomarker discovery in cancer cells. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2013; 41(Database issue):D955–D961. [PubMed: 23180760]

Fig. 1. PTEN exhibits tumor suppressive functions in the cytoplasm and nucleus

The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway regulates cancer cell growth and survival. This pathway is activated by ligand binding to receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and/or G protein coupled receptors (GPCRs). PI3K is then recruited to the membrane where it phosphorylates phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PIP₂) to produce phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP₃), leading to activation of several signaling cascades including AKT/mTORC1. Cytoplasmic PTEN negatively regulates this pathway by dephosphorylating PIP₃ at its D3 position. Nuclear PTEN promotes chromosome stability and regulates DNA double-strand break repair. Red star indicates a potential therapeutic target for which a drug(s) is in development.

Fig. 2. Location and frequency of somatic mutations in *PTEN*

Somatic mutations in *PTEN* occur throughout the coding region. 47.6% of these mutations form a premature STOP codon or cause a frameshift mutation leading to a truncated *PTEN* protein. Data was obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) via the cBio Portal for Cancer Genomics [29, 44]. Domain mapping was obtained from UniProt [203].

Fig. 3. Genetic lesions in *PTEN* are associated with increased sensitivity to PI3K, AKT, and mTOR inhibitors, and decreased sensitivity to RTK inhibitors

As part of the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer Project [204], >500 genetically characterized cell lines were profiled for sensitivity to a panel of 139 small molecules. This database (<http://www.cancerrxgene.org>) was queried to identify drugs with sensitivity/resistance profiles associated with *PTEN* genetic alterations. Data are presented as a volcano plot, where x-axis indicates magnitude of effect that *PTEN* alterations have on IC₅₀ values in response to drug, y-axis indicates p-value from MANOVA of drug-*PTEN* interaction, size of a circle reflects number of *PTEN* alterations contributing to the analysis for a given drug, and red dashed line represents a Benjamini-Hochberg multiple testing correction threshold with a false discovery rate of 20%. Statistically significant *PTEN*-related sensitizing or resistance effects are indicated by green circles and red circles, respectively. *PTEN* alterations are associated with increased sensitivity to MK-2206 (AKT inhibitor), AZD6482 (PI3K/p110 β inhibitor), Temsirolimus (allosteric mTORC1 inhibitor), and 17-AAG (Hsp90 inhibitor), and with increased resistance to BIBW2992 (Afatinib; EGFR/HER2 inhibitor), AZD8055 (ATP-competitive mTORC1/2 inhibitor), Bosutinib (Src and Abl inhibitor), BMS-754807 (IGF-1R/InsR inhibitor), and OSI-906 (IGF-1R/InsR inhibitor).

Table 1
Frequencies of *PTEN* genetic lesions across cancer subtypes

Genomic sequence data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) [29] was interrogated using the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics [44]. The percentages of tumors exhibiting *PTEN* mutations or homozygous loss are indicated, followed in parentheses by the numbers of cases containing such lesions / total number of cases analyzed.

Cancer Type	% of tumors with <i>PTEN</i> mutation or homozygous loss (# altered/total)	Reference(s)
Bladder	4.1% (4/97)	[28]
Lower Grade Glioma	5.3% (9/169)	Provisional [29]
Breast	7.47% (57/760)	[30–33]
Cervical	13.9% (5/36)	Provisional [29]
Colorectal	6.3% (14/221)	[34, 35]
Glioblastoma Multiforme	41.9% (99/236)	Provisional [29, 36]
Head & Neck SCC	2.6% (8/302)	Provisional [29]
Renal clear cell	3.4% (10/290)	Provisional [29]
Renal papillary	3% (3/100)	Provisional [29]
Lung Adenocarcinoma	3.9% (5/129)	[37, 38]
Lung SCC	11.2% (20/179)	[39]
Ovarian	7.28% (23/316)	[40]
Prostate	13.6% (14/103)	[41]
Sarcoma	2.9% (6/207)	[42]
Melanoma	12.4% (28/225)	Provisional [29]
Stomach	11.3% (13/115)	Provisional [29]
Thyroid	1.9% (6/318)	Provisional [29]
Uterine	66.3% (159/240)	[43]

Table 2
Drugs under clinical development that may be useful to treat patients with PTEN-deficient cancers

The phase of clinical development is indicated in the right column.

Drug target	Drug	Mechanism of action	Clinical Phase	Reference(s)
Class IA PI3K	BKM120	ATP-competitive	3	[107, 108]
	XL-147	ATP-competitive	2	[109]
	PX-866	ATP-competitive	2	[110]
	GDC-0941	ATP-competitive	2	[111]
	BAY80-6946	ATP-competitive	2	[112]
	CH5132799	ATP-competitive	1	[113, 114]
	GDC-0084	ATP-competitive	1	
	ZSTK474	ATP-competitive	1	[115]
	GDC-0032	ATP-competitive	1	[116]
p110 α /γ/δ/PI3K-specific	BYL719	ATP-competitive	2	[117, 118]
p110 α /PI3K-specific	MLN1117	ATP-competitive	1	[119]
	GSK2636771	ATP-competitive	1	[120]
p110 β /PI3K-specific	Rigosertib	ATP-competitive	3	[121]
PI3K/PLK	CUDC-907	Bifunctional	1	[122]
PI3K/mTOR	PKI-587 (PF-05212384)	ATP-competitive	2	[123, 124]
	BEZ235	ATP-competitive	2	[125]
	BGT226	ATP-competitive	2	[126, 127]
	GDC-0980	ATP-competitive	2	[128–130]
	XL-765	ATP-competitive	2	
	SF1126	ATP-competitive *	1	[131]
	LY3023414	ATP-competitive	1	
	Everolimus (RAD001)	Indirect, FKBP12-mediated	Approved	[132, 133]
	Temsirolimus (CCI-779)	Indirect, FKBP12-mediated	Approved	[134]
TORC1	Ridaforolimus (AP-23573)	Indirect, FKBP12-mediated	3	[135]
	MLN0128	ATP-competitive	1/2	[136]
	AZD-2014	ATP-competitive	1	[137]
TORC1/TORC2	CC-223	ATP-competitive	1	[138]
	AZD-5363	ATP-competitive	1	[139]
	LY2780301	ATP-competitive	1	[140]
AKT/p70S6K/PKA	GDC-0068	ATP-competitive	1	[141]
AKT/p70S6K	GSK2110183	ATP-competitive	1	[142]
AKT	MK-2206	Allosteric	2	[143]
PARP	Olaparib	Inhibits DNA repair	2	[144]
	Veliparib	Inhibits DNA repair	2	[145]
	Iniparib	Inhibits DNA repair	3	[146]

Drug target	Drug	Mechanism of action	Clinical Phase	Reference(s)
	Rucaparib	Inhibits DNA repair	2	[147]
	CEP-9722	Inhibits DNA repair	2	[148, 149]
	E7016	Inhibits DNA repair	2	[150]
	E7449	Inhibits DNA repair	2	[151]

* indicates peptide conjugate.