Published in final edited form as:

Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2014 June ; 264(4): 345–355. doi:10.1007/s00406-013-0464-6.

Association of variants in *DRD2* and *GRM3* with motor and cognitive function in first-episode psychosis

Rebekka Lencer, MD^a, Jeffrey R. Bishop, PharmD^b, Margret S.H. Harris, PhD^c, James L. Reilly, PhD^d, Shitalben Patel, MBS^b, Rick Kittles, PhD^c, Konasale M. Prasad, MD^e, Vishwajit L. Nimgaonkar, MD, PhD^{e,f}, Matcheri S. Keshavan, MD^g, and John A. Sweeney, PhD^h

^aDepartment of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Muenster, Muenster, Germany

^bDepartment of Pharmacy Practice, University of Illinois at Chicago College of Pharmacy, Chicago, USA

^cCollege of Medicine, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, USA

^dDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, USA

^eDepartment of Psychiatry, Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic, Pittsburgh, USA

^fDepartment of Human Genetics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, USA

^gDepartment of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA

^hDepartment of Psychiatry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, USA

Abstract

Background—Similar smooth pursuit eye tracking dysfunctions are present across psychotic disorders. They include pursuit initiation and maintenance deficits that implicate different functional brain systems. This candidate gene study examined psychosis-related genotypes regulating dopamine and glutamate neurotransmission in relation to these pursuit deficits.

Methods—138 untreated first-episode patients with a psychotic disorder were genotyped for four markers in *DRD2* and four markers in *GRM3*. The magnitude of eye movement abnormality in patients was defined in relation to performance of matched healthy controls (N=130). 83 patients were followed after 6 weeks of antipsychotic treatment.

Results—At baseline, patients with a -141C deletion in *DRD2* rs1799732 had slower initiation eye velocity and longer pursuit latency than CC insertion carriers. Further, *GRM3* rs274622_CC carriers had poorer pursuit maintenance than T-carriers. Antipsychotic treatment resulted in prolonged pursuit latency in *DRD2* rs1799732_CC insertion carriers and a decline in pursuit maintenance in *GRM3* rs6465084_GG carriers.

Corresponding author Rebekka Lencer, MD Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy University of Muenster Albert Schweitzer Campus 1, Geb. A9 48149 Muenster Germany rebekka.lencer@ukmuenster.de phone: +49 251 83 52581 fax: + 49 251 83 57128.

Financial disclosures

Dr Sweeney is a consultant to Roche, Pfizer, Takeda, Bristol-Myers Squibb and Eli Lilly. Dr. Bishop has received research support from Ortho-McNeil Janssen. Drs Lencer, Harris, Reilly, Patel, Kittles, Prasad, Nimgaonkar and Keshavan report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Conclusions—The present study demonstrates for the first time that neurophysiological measures of motor and neurocognitive deficits in patients with psychotic disorders have different associations with genes regulating dopamine and glutamate systems respectively. Alterations of striatal D2 receptor activity through the -141C Ins/Del polymorphism could contribute to pursuit initiation deficits in psychotic disorders. Alterations in *GRM3* coding for the mGluR3 protein may impair pursuit maintenance by compromising higher perceptual and cognitive processes that depend on optimal glutamate signaling in corticocortical circuits. *DRD2* and *GRM3* genotypes also selectively modulated the severity of adverse motor and neurocognitive changes resulting from antipsychotic treatment.

Keywords

psychotic disorders; intermediate phenotype; eye tracking dysfunction; *DRD2* gene; *GRM3* gene; antipsychotic treatment effects

Introduction

There is considerable interest in neurophysiological intermediate phenotypes as translational biomarkers to advance gene and drug discovery in psychiatry [1,2]. One of the best established intermediate phenotypes is a neurophysiological deficit referred to as smooth pursuit or eye tracking dysfunction that involves a reduced ability to accurately track slowly moving objects with the eyes [3,4]. In schizophrenia, this deficit not only occurs in patients but is familial [5-8]. Consistent with multiple lines of evidence indicating shared neurobiological alterations and genetic vulnerability across schizophrenia spectrum disorders and psychotic affective disorders [9-13,4], comparable pursuit deficits have been demonstrated in patients with psychotic affective disorders [14-17,7] and their unaffected relatives [18]. Certain specific pursuit deficits are caused or increased by antipsychotic treatment [19-22]. However, these treatment-related effects are not consistent across patients, suggesting that there is variability which might be explained by genetic factors that moderate how antipsychotic drugs affect different functional brain systems.

In both patients with schizophrenia and patients with psychotic affective disorder, two distinct neurophysiological pursuit impairments have been identified [14-17] that implicate different functional brain systems in which neurophysiology is modulated by different neurotransmitter systems [23]. First, slowed pursuit initiation in response to the onset of target motion represents an abnormality in motor function. Dopamine modulation in the basal ganglia is crucial for motor response initiation generally and pursuit initiation specifically [24]. Second, deficits in maintaining accurate sustained pursuit reflect altered use of higher-order predictive mechanisms and perceptual analysis of performance that are dependent on corticocortical connectivity across specific well-characterized regions of association cortex [25,26]. This integrated cortical activity is highly dependent on glutamate signaling in frontoparietal tracts [27].

Studies of genetic associations with eye tracking dysfunctions in patients with psychotic disorders differ, first, with respect to methods applied to measure and analyze pursuit performance (all studied pursuit maintenance but not initiation) and second with respect to

the selection of candidate genes coding for catechol-O-methyltransferase, dopamine receptor 3 (DRD3), dopamine transporter 1 (DAT1), neuregulin-1 and -3, RAN-binding protein, putative transmembrane palmitoyltransferase (ZDHHC8), receptor for reticulon 4 (RTN4R) or kynurenine 3-monooxygenase [28-41]. Whether distinct neurophysiological pursuit impairments are related to different specific polymorphisms has not yet been examined.

To assess how genes may regulate pursuit without potential medication confounds, we examined pursuit performance in a sample of untreated first-episode psychosis patients before and after antipsychotic treatment. We selected two candidate genes that have been implicated as risk genes for psychotic disorders and also either for motor (D2 receptor gene on chromosome 11q23, *DRD2*) or cognitive impairments (the type 3 metabotropic glutamate receptor gene on chromosome 7q21.1-q21.2, *GRM3*). Alterations of D2 receptor functions have been related to psychotic symptoms making the D2 receptor a primary target of dopamine antagonists including antipsychotic agents [42,43]. *GRM3* polymorphisms are believed to contribute to susceptibility to psychosis, altered cognitive function, altered prefrontal cortical levels of N-acetylaspartate/creatine [44-47] and the regulation of synaptic glutamate concentrations via effects on astrocytes [48]. Both genes have not previously been studied in relation to pursuit deficits in psychotic disorders. We predicted that pursuit initiation and maintenance would be related to genetic polymorphisms regulating dopamine and glutamate synaptic neurotransmission respectively.

Our second interest was in the role *DRD2* and *GRM3* might modulate response to antipsychotic treatment. Undesirable side effects of D2 antagonists related to D2 receptor occupancy include motor slowing as an aspect of drug-related parkinsonism [49]. *GRM3* polymorphisms have been associated with negative symptom reduction with antipsychotic treatment in schizophrenia patients [50,51]. While *GRM3* is associated with cognitive deficits in schizophrenia its influence on cognitive outcome after antipsychotic treatment is yet not established.

Methods and Materials

Participants

One hundred and thirty-eight first-episode psychosis patients comprising 46 women (33.3%) from in- and outpatient services from the University of Pittsburgh and the University of Illinois at Chicago, met DSM-IV criteria for a schizophrenia spectrum disorder (Schiz: schizophrenia N=86, schizoaffective disorder N=9, schizophreniform disorder N=1), bipolar I disorder with psychosis (BDP, N=26) or unipolar depression with psychosis (UDP, N=16, Table 1). Diagnoses were determined at consensus conferences conducted four to eight weeks after baseline testing using all available clinical data, including results from the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM [52] and ratings on the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) [53]. Groups did not differ between sites with respect to age and IQ [54].

Inclusion criteria comprised: (1) age between 15 and 45 years, (2) no known systemic or neurological disease, (3) no history of significant head trauma with loss of consciousness, (4) no substance dependence for at least one year and no substance abuse for at least one month, and (5) minimum of 20/40 far acuity, with or without correction. Only 28 (15 Schiz,

9 BDP, 4 UDP) of 138 patients had been treated previously in their lifetime with antipsychotic medication, typically with limited treatment adherence resulting in a median total lifetime exposure in those patients of 9 days. At time of testing, all patients were free of antipsychotic medication, and had not taken benzodiazepines within 48 hours of testing.

A subsample of 83 participants (63 Schiz, 11 BDP, 9 UDP) was available for re-evaluation after six weeks of antipsychotic medication treatment. This subsample did not differ from the full baseline sample on socio-demographic features, baseline clinical ratings and oculomotor performance. At follow-up, BPRS scores were significantly reduced compared to baseline ($F_{\text{time}(1,79)} = 54.41$, $p < 0.01$) with no difference across diagnostic groups (mean BPRS difference scores: Schiz -8.5, standard deviation (SD)=9.1; BDP -9.6 (SD=9.7), UDP -12.8 (SD=7.0). Patients were treated with low to moderate doses of antipsychotics including risperidone (N=72, mean dosage 3.0 mg (SD=1.7), olanzapine (N=5, mean dosage 12 mg (SD=7.6), haloperidol (N=2 on 4 and 8 mg/d), aripiprazole (N=2 on 10 and 15 mg/d) and quetiapine (N=2 on 100 and 300 mg/d). Mean chlorpromazine equivalents [55] was $242 \text{ mg} \pm 146$ with no significant difference between patient groups. Sixteen patients (9 Schiz, 7 UDP) received adjunctive treatment with antidepressants, mostly serotonin reuptake inhibitors.

To account for potential site-related effects, patient's eye movement data were referenced to data from site-specific healthy controls (N=130). This procedure provided z-scores for each patient relative to the mean and standard deviation of healthy controls from their site that were used for statistical analyses of associations with genetic data. Healthy community controls were matched for age and IQ as described previously [14]. Exclusion criteria for controls, in addition to those used for patients, included any history of Axis I disorders (SCID) and any known history of psychotic or mood disorder in first-degree relatives [14]. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Pittsburgh and the University of Illinois at Chicago, and all participants provided written informed consent. Data were collected from 1993 through 2011.

Eye movement assessment

Pursuit tasks have been described previously in reports of pursuit data included in the present genetic analyses [14], with recruitment starting in Pittsburgh and then continuing in Chicago. Eye movement studies were performed in a darkened black room in which participants viewed a screen with their head stabilized in a chin rest. Participants were instructed to follow a small moving target with their eyes as precisely as possible. Recording was performed using infrared sensors mounted on spectacle frames (Model 210, Applied Science Laboratories, Inc, Bedford, MA). Fixation targets were presented for 5s at $0, \pm 3, 6, 9, 12$, and 15° to calibrate the eye movement data.

To determine pursuit latency, we used a simple ramp task in which the target moved at an unpredictable time, direction and speed (either 4, 8, 16, 24 or $32^\circ/\text{s}$) from center fixation. Each trial started with central fixation for 2-4s. Targets were extinguished after reaching $\pm 15^\circ$ and reappeared at the central fixation position after a 1s delay to begin the next trial. Target conditions were presented in a randomized order resulting in a total of 40 trials (4

repetitions $\times$ 5 speeds $\times$ 2 directions). Latency of pursuit initiation was defined as time for pursuit velocity to reach 2°/s for at least 20ms if that preceded the first catch-up saccade.

To assess eye velocity during the pursuit initiation phase (first 100ms) and the maintenance phase we used a step-ramp task that was similar to the ramp task. After the initial central fixation for 2-4s, the target stepped 3° to the left or right before continuing moving in that direction at either 4, 8, 16 or 24°/s. The task consisted of 32 trials presented in a fixed pseudorandom order. Initial open-loop eye velocity was determined during the first 100ms after the initial catch-up saccade following the target step. Maintenance eye velocity was also measured in the remaining interval of pursuit after the first 100ms.

Pursuit analyses

Eye movement data were digitized at 500Hz. Data from each trial were visually inspected to eliminate blinks and other artefacts. All saccades were excluded from data before calculating pursuit eye velocity. Saccade onset was defined as the point when eye acceleration exceeded 1000°/s² and saccade endpoints were identified at 25% of peak deceleration. Both initiation and maintenance eye velocity were related to target velocity to determine initiation gain and maintenance gain. All eye movement data were scored blind to participant characteristics.

Since target speed and direction effects did not differ across groups for any of the three parameters of interest, i.e. pursuit initiation gain, latency and pursuit maintenance gain, measurements were averaged across target speed and direction. Table 2 shows means for all eye movement parameters of interest in healthy controls and patients including z-scores used in analyses.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA from patients was isolated from whole blood using standard protocols and quantified and quality checked with Picogreen (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR) and Nanodrop assays (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE). Pyrosequencing assays were designed using PSQ assay design software v 1.0.6. (Qiagen, CA).

Genotyping was done blind to symptom ratings. We selected four candidate single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in each of the *DRD2* and *GRM3* gene regions based on functional consequence or prior association with disease risk, pathology, or antipsychotic treatment response [9,44,50,56-58,51]. Patients were genotyped for the *DRD2/ANKK1* gene region (rs1799732 (-141C Ins/del), rs6277 (957C>T), rs1800497 (TaqIA), rs1800498 (TaqID)) and four SNPs in the *GRM3* gene (rs6465084, rs274622, rs1989796, rs1468412). *GRM3* rs6465084 and rs1468412 genotypes were determined by TaqManSNP Genotyping Assays (C__11245618_10 for rs6465084 and C_7586401_10 for rs1468412 Applied Biosystems, CA) using a StepOne Plus Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, CA). All genotype assays were validated using capillary sequencing. Additionally, approximately 10% of samples were re-genotyped to verify assay precision which were all 100%. Genotyping calls were 100% for all markers.

In addition to *DRD2* and *GRM3* candidate markers, 105 ancestry informative markers (AIMs) were genotyped using the Sequenom MassARRAY platform [59,60] as previously

described [61,62]. Ancestry was determined for each individual using AIMs for European, West African, and Native American genetic ancestry [60-63]. Individual ancestry estimates were obtained from the genotype results using the Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method implemented in STRUCTURE 2.1 [64]. Each participant was then scored from 0-100% for the probability of being in each ancestry group (Table 1).

Statistical Analyses

Allele and genotype frequencies and Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium were evaluated with PLINK software in race specific groups separately [65], Table 3. Significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium was observed in both Caucasians and African Americans for *DRD2* rs6277 ($p < .01$) which was excluded from further association tests. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) plots were created with Haploview version 4.2 software [66], Figure 1. Table 3 shows allele and genotype frequencies for *DRD2* and *GRM3* polymorphisms in the sample as a whole.

We used fixed effects one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with genetic ancestry data as covariates. To test for genotype specific effects of antipsychotic treatment on pursuit parameters of interest (*interaction of genotype x time*), we used repeated measures ANOVAs of the subsample available at both baseline and follow-up, again with genetic ancestry data as covariates. To account for multiple comparisons resulting from the seven SNPs considered in analyses p-values from SNP specific analyses were multiplied by seven to preserve an experiment-wise error rate of $p < 0.05$.

Evaluation of possible confounds

Baseline pursuit performance did not differ between patient groups or race specific groups (African American (N=58), Caucasian (N=57) and others (N=23) including Hispanic (N=11), Asian (N=5) and miscellaneous (N=5)) nor were pursuit variables of interest correlated with age, IQ or chlorpromazine equivalent antipsychotic dose at follow-up. There also were no significant interactions of genotypes as related to the seven markers tested and self-reported race (*genotype x race*) or site (*genotype x site*) for any eye movement parameter of interest (initial eye velocity gain, pursuit initiation latency or maintenance eye velocity gain), indicating that pursuit performance did not differ between genotypes across race groups or sites.

Results

Association of *DRD2* polymorphisms with pursuit initiation

Marker rs1799732 (-141C Ins/Del) was significantly associated with parameters of pursuit initiation. First, at baseline -141C deletion carriers had lower initial eye velocity gain than patients carrying the CC insertion (*genotype*: $F_{(1,114)} 8.45$, $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.028$). This difference between genotype groups did not change after 6 weeks of treatment (*genotype x time*: $p > 0.05$), Figure 2.

Second, the -141C Ins/Del polymorphism was also associated with a change in the latency of pursuit initiation after treatment (*genotype x time*: $F_{(1,79)} 10.55$, $p_{\text{corrected}} = 0.014$). -141C

deletion carriers had longer pursuit latency than CC insertion carriers at baseline (*genotype*: $F_{(1,79)} 12.53$, $p_{\text{corrected}}=0.0014$) but not at follow-up (*genotype*: $p>0.05$). Post-hoc analyses revealed that treatment resulted in an increase of pursuit latency in CC insertion carriers (paired $t_{(37)} 3.61$, $p_{\text{corrected}}=0.0056$) in contrast to a slight latency reduction in deletion carriers, Figure 3.

There was no association between the -141C Ins/Del polymorphism and pursuit maintenance gain nor were there any associations of the *DRD2*rs1800497 (TaqIA) and *DRD2*rs1800498 (TaqID) with any of the pursuit parameters tested.

Association of *GRM3* with pursuit maintenance

GRM3 genotype was related to pursuit maintenance gain but not to either of the pursuit initiation measures. First, there was a significant association of rs274622 with maintenance eye velocity gain at baseline. The rs274622_CC genotype had poorer sustained pursuit maintenance than T-carriers (*genotype*: $F_{(1,134)} 9.80$, $p_{\text{corrected}}=0.014$). There was no differential treatment effect on sustained pursuit performance between T-carriers and the CC carriers (*genotype x time*: $p>0.05$), Figure 4.

With respect to *GRM3*rs6465084, GG carriers had unimpaired pursuit maintenance performance at baseline but showed a decline in performance after treatment while the baseline deficit in A-carriers did not change after treatment (*genotype x time*: $F_{(1,79)} 7.95$, $p_{\text{corrected}}=0.042$). No associations were observed between *GRM3*rs1989796 and *GRM3*rs1468412 with parameters of pursuit initiation or maintenance.

Discussion

In psychiatric genetics, advances are often constrained by uncertainties about how gene variants modulate specific aspects of brain function. Clarification of associations at the level of specific SNPs in genes, that have been identified to mediate risk to psychosis, in relation to highly specified neurophysiological parameters can speed understanding of illness pathophysiology and the development of novel targeted therapies. The present candidate gene study of discrete oculomotor phenotypes demonstrates for the first time that pursuit initiation and sustained pursuit maintenance have distinct associations with genes regulating dopamine and glutamate systems respectively in untreated patients with psychotic disorders. Specifically, *DRD2* was associated with pursuit initiation ability, consistent with the role of dopaminergic neurotransmission in the striatum regulating speed of motor response initiation as demonstrated in preclinical studies and in patients with Parkinson's disease [24]. This association was also modulated by antipsychotic treatment highlighting the importance of studying the genotype-phenotype associations of interest in untreated patients and offering indirect support for the proposed role of D2 receptor activity and motor response initiation. Second, variants in *GRM3* were associated with the maintenance of pursuit which requires active dynamic interaction across corticocortical circuitry for perceptual analysis and action planning. *GRM3* codes for the type 3 metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR3) protein that is essential for optimal glutamate signaling in the brain, notably in prefrontal cortex as has been shown previously with other neurocognitive processes in psychotic disorders [44,45,47]. Together, these preliminary findings demonstrate linkage of specific

neurophysiological parameters with particular functional polymorphisms that regulate brain neurochemistry in ways believed to be fundamental in the pathophysiology of psychotic disorders.

Pursuit initiation modulated by the DRD2 promoter region

Pursuit initiation was impaired in patients carrying a deletion of cytosine at position -141 in the 5' promoter region of *DRD2* compared to carriers of a CC insertion at that position. In healthy subjects, this polymorphism has been related to altered *DRD2* promoter expression *in vitro* [67] and changes in D2 receptor density of the striatum [68]. In schizophrenia, variants of the -141C Ins/Del polymorphism have been associated with disease risk in some studies [67,69-71,42]. A recent meta-analysis of six studies suggested association of the Del allele with poorer antipsychotic drug response compared to the CC genotype [43].

Our present findings indicate that the -141C Ins/Del polymorphism affects pursuit initiation in first-episode psychosis patients, the more motor component of pursuit, in two different ways. First, the -141C deletion was associated with reduced initial eye velocity compared to the CC genotype. This association was not affected by antipsychotic treatment initiation suggesting that it represents a stable genotype - intermediate phenotype association in psychotic disorders. Second, unmedicated -141C Del carriers also needed longer time to start pursuit of a moving target, i.e. their pursuit latency was prolonged compared to the CC genotype. This association was modulated by antipsychotic treatment resulting in reduced response latency in -141C deletion carriers after treatment but increased latencies in CC insertion carriers. Together, these findings suggest that altered D2 receptors in striatum due to a -141C deletion reduce the ability to use retinal motion signals to quickly initiate a pursuit motor response in patients.

During the pursuit initiation phase there is not yet time for feedback about performance to influence pursuit velocity, thus eye velocity directly depends on sensory input. Such a link between striatal activation during pursuit and visual motion processing area V5 has been established in healthy individuals [72]. In contrast, this effect was not seen in untreated patients with schizophrenia [72] which is consistent with the idea that a reduced ability for sensory input to drive striatal activity and motor response initiation occurs in psychotic disorders. An alteration in dopamine physiology is a likely cause of this disturbance, and our findings of an association with D2 genes offer new support for the more general model that disturbances in striatal output represent an important component in the neurobiology of psychotic disorders.

Pursuit maintenance modulated by GRM3

Type 3 metabotropic glutamate receptors mediate signal transduction through G-protein second messenger systems inhibiting cAMP accumulation [73]. *GRM3* has been associated with prefrontal and hippocampal physiology during cognitive function in healthy individuals. It has also been associated with risk for schizophrenia in some studies [45,44,74,46] as well as with risk for psychosis in bipolar I disorder [75]. Consistent with a potential role in modulating function in higher cortical function, the rs274622 polymorphism that we found to be associated with pursuit maintenance has been implicated in modulation

of the auditory cortical response to phoneme change that can be impaired in schizophrenia [76]. We found that patients carrying the *GRM3* rs274622_T allele demonstrated better pursuit maintenance than the CC genotype, a difference that did not change after antipsychotic treatment. Analysis of the *GRM3* promoter region has shown that this polymorphism may exist in a TATA sequence representing a potential transcription factor binding site [77]. The rs274622_C allele would disrupt this sequence, potentially reducing mGluR3 expression and thereby dysregulating glutamate transmission. This could explain our findings of better sustained pursuit performance in the rs274622_T allele carriers. In line with this, a previous Japanese case-control study suggested that haplotypes including the rs274622_T allele may confer protection against schizophrenia [78].

Secondly, our data suggest an association of the *GRM3* rs6465084 polymorphism with changes in pursuit maintenance after antipsychotic treatment. A-carriers had impaired pursuit maintenance at baseline that did not change after treatment while pursuit maintenance in GG carriers was not impaired at baseline but declined after treatment. The finding of a stable pursuit maintenance deficit in patients carrying the A_allele is consistent with studies suggesting that the rs6465084_A allele represents a risk allele for schizophrenia and a modifying marker for the severity of illness-associated cognitive deficits [79,80]. In healthy individuals, AA homozygotes have been shown to have lower prefrontal N-acetylaspartate levels in vivo indicating altered synaptic activity and tissue glutamate [27]. Furthermore, lower mRNA levels of the glial glutamate transporter EAAT2 have been found in postmortem prefrontal cortex of healthy AA homozygotes, a protein regulated by *GRM3* that modulates synaptic glutamate [44]. Healthy AA homozygotes also show heightened activation of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) during a working memory task [44] which has been interpreted as reflecting inefficient cortical processing. A similar activation pattern of DLPFC has been reported in patients with schizophrenia and their healthy siblings [81]. Notably, two independent fMRI studies revealed increased DLPFC and frontal eye field activation during pursuit maintenance in patients with schizophrenia, consistent with the view that altered glutamate signaling in higher order cortical networks disrupts pursuit control in psychotic disorders [82,72].

While our findings are novel and potentially heuristically valuable, there are also limitations. Studies of unmedicated patients with psychosis have the advantage of examining distinct neurophysiological alterations without confounds of antipsychotic treatment, however clinical realities limit the sample size for such investigations. Thus, although our sample size must be regarded as large compared to other eye movement studies in unmedicated first-episode psychosis patients, it is still small for a genetic study. To enhance the statistical power, we combined patients with three psychotic disorders based on the knowledge that previous studies demonstrated comparable pursuit initiation and maintenance impairments in schizophrenia spectrum disorders, psychotic bipolar disorder and psychotic major depression [14,18,16,72,7]. Consistent with this, exploratory analyses of our data did not identify significantly different genotype-phenotype association in the individual patient groups. Still, further research is needed to examine potential disorder-specific effects. With the small sample of untreated early-course patients, we may have lacked the statistical power to detect associations to some candidate markers included in this study (rs1800497

(TaqIA) and rs1800498 (TaqID) in *DRD2* and rs1989796 and rs1468412 in *GRM3*) and to examine effects of other genes in modulating the phenotypes of interest in the framework of a GWAS analysis. Furthermore, our findings with respect to antipsychotic related effects in the follow-up sample must also be regarded as exploratory due to the small sample size. Last, lacking DNA samples in the matched controls, we cannot determine whether the genotype-phenotype associations are limited to or are stronger in psychotic patients than in the general population.

Despite these limitations, our findings with a rare and relatively large sample of untreated psychotic patients demonstrate one of the first direct associations of illness related genotypes that regulate dopamine and glutamate transmission with specific neurophysiological abnormalities of brain function. They offer a promising approach for advancing pathophysiological models and understanding discrete components of the complex multifactorial risks for psychosis. From a pharmacogenetic perspective the data underline the notion that genetic variation influences heterogeneous treatment outcomes following antipsychotic treatment and that neurophysiological biomarkers may be useful for tracking variability in treatment response across individuals.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Ovidio DeLeon, Gretchen Haas, Robert Marvin, Debra Montrose, Cherise Rosen, Hugo Solari, Peter Weiden, and the clinical core staff of the Center for the Neuroscience of Mental Disorders (MH45156, David Lewis MD, Director) for their contributions to diagnostic and psychopathological assessments. We note with appreciation the general statistical genetics input to our studies by Dr. Judith Badner.

This study was supported by National Institute of Health (NIH) grants MH083888, MH062134, MH083126, MH45156, MH63480, RR024153, CTSA Grant UL1TR000050 and NIH/NCRR/GCRC Grant RR000056, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. The contents of this work are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NIH. Main results of this study have been presented as a poster at the World Congress of Psychiatric Genetics 2012 in Hamburg, Germany.

References

1. Gottesman II, Gould TD. The endophenotype concept in psychiatry: etymology and strategic intentions. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2003; 160(4):636–645. [PubMed: 12668349]
2. Javitt DC, Spencer KM, Thaker GK, Winterer G, Hajos M. Neurophysiological biomarkers for drug development in schizophrenia. *Nature reviews*. 2008; 7(1):68–83.
3. Holzman PS. Behavioral markers of schizophrenia useful for genetic studies. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1992; 26(4):427–445. [PubMed: 1491361]
4. Thaker GK. Neurophysiological endophenotypes across bipolar and schizophrenia psychosis. *Schizophr Bull*. 2008; 34(4):760–773. [PubMed: 18502737]
5. Lencer R, Malchow CP, Krecker K, Nolte A, Pinnow M, von Siefart SZ, Schwinger E, Arolt V. Smooth pursuit performance in families with multiple occurrence of schizophrenia and nonpsychotic families. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1999; 45(6):694–703. [PubMed: 10187999]
6. Levy DL, Sereno AB, Gooding DC, O'Driscoll GA. Eye tracking dysfunction in schizophrenia: characterization and pathophysiology. *Current topics in behavioral neurosciences*. 2010; 4:311–347. [PubMed: 21312405]

7. Sweeney JA, Clementz BA, Haas GL, Escobar MD, Drake K, Frances AJ. Eye tracking dysfunction in schizophrenia: characterization of component eye movement abnormalities, diagnostic specificity, and the role of attention. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 1994; 103(2):222–230. [PubMed: 8040491]
8. Calkins ME, Iacono WG, Ones DS. Eye movement dysfunction in first-degree relatives of patients with schizophrenia: a meta-analytic evaluation of candidate endophenotypes. *Brain and.* 2008; 68(3):436–461.
9. Lewis CM, Levinson DF, Wise LH, DeLisi LE, Straub RE, Hovatta I, Williams NM, Schwab SG, Pulver AE, Faraone SV, Brzustowicz LM, Kaufmann CA, Garver DL, Gurling HM, Lindholm E, Coon H, Moises HW, Byerley W, Shaw SH, Mesen A, Sherrington R, O'Neill FA, Walsh D, Kendler KS, Ekelund J, Paunio T, Lonnqvist J, Peltonen L, O'Donovan MC, Owen MJ, Wildenauer DB, Maier W, Nestadt G, Blouin JL, Antonarakis SE, Mowry BJ, Silverman JM, Crowe RR, Cloninger CR, Tsuang MT, Malaspina D, Harkavy-Friedman JM, Svrakic DM, Bassett AS, Holcomb J, Kalsi G, McQuillin A, Brynjolfson J, Sigmundsson T, Petursson H, Jazin E, Zoega T, Helgason T. Genome scan meta-analysis of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, part II: Schizophrenia. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2003; 73(1):34–48. doi:10.1086/376549. [PubMed: 12802786]
10. Lichtenstein P, Yip BH, Bjork C, Pawitan Y, Cannon TD, Sullivan PF, Hultman CM. Common genetic determinants of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder in Swedish families: a population-based study. *Lancet.* 2009; 373(9659):234–239. [PubMed: 19150704]
11. Williams HJ, Craddock N, Russo G, Hamshere ML, Moskvina V, Dwyer S, Smith RL, Green E, Grozeva D, Holmans P, Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC. Most genome-wide significant susceptibility loci for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder reported to date cross-traditional diagnostic boundaries. *Human molecular genetics.* 2011; 20(2):387–391. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddq471. [PubMed: 21037240]
12. McIntosh AM, Moorhead TW, McKirdy J, Hall J, Sussmann JE, Stanfield AC, Harris JM, Johnstone EC, Lawrie SM. Prefrontal gyral folding and its cognitive correlates in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2009; 119(3):192–198. [PubMed: 19032702]
13. McIntosh AM, Whalley HC, McKirdy J, Hall J, Sussmann JE, Shankar P, Johnstone EC, Lawrie SM. Prefrontal function and activation in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2008; 165(3):378–384. [PubMed: 18198268]
14. Lencer R, Reilly JL, Harris MS, Sprenger A, Keshavan MS, Sweeney JA. Sensorimotor transformation deficits for smooth pursuit in first-episode affective psychoses and schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2010; 67(3):217–223. [PubMed: 19782964]
15. Lencer R, Trillenber P, Trillenber-Krecker K, Junghanns K, Kordon A, Broocks A, Hohagen F, Heide W, Arolt V. Smooth pursuit deficits in schizophrenia, affective disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Psychol Med.* 2004; 34(3):451–460. [PubMed: 15259830]
16. Sweeney JA, Luna B, Haas GL, Keshavan MS, Mann JJ, Thase ME. Pursuit tracking impairments in schizophrenia and mood disorders: step-ramp studies with unmedicated patients. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1999; 46(5):671–680. [PubMed: 10472419]
17. Blackwood DH, Sharp CW, Walker MT, Doody GA, Glabus MF, Muir WJ. Implications of comorbidity for genetic studies of bipolar disorder: P300 and eye tracking as biological markers for illness. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1996; (Suppl (30)):85–92.
18. Kathmann N, Hochrein A, Uwer R, Bondy B. Deficits in gain of smooth pursuit eye movements in schizophrenia and affective disorder patients and their unaffected relatives. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2003; 160(4):696–702. [PubMed: 12668358]
19. Lencer R, Sprenger A, Harris MS, Reilly JL, Keshavan MS, Sweeney JA. Effects of second-generation antipsychotic medication on smooth pursuit performance in antipsychotic-naïve schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2008; 65(10):1146–1154. [PubMed: 18838631]
20. Hutton SB, Crawford TJ, Gibbins H, Cuthbert I, Barnes TR, Kennard C, Joyce EM. Short and long term effects of antipsychotic medication on smooth pursuit eye tracking in schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2001; 157(3):284–291. [PubMed: 11605084]
21. Sweeney JA, Haas GL, Li S, Weiden PJ. Selective effects of antipsychotic medications on eye-tracking performance in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 1994; 54(2):185–198. [PubMed: 7761552]
22. Sweeney JA, Luna B, Srinivasagam NM, Keshavan MS, Schooler NR, Haas GL, Carl JR. Eye tracking abnormalities in schizophrenia: evidence for dysfunction in the frontal eye fields. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1998; 44(8):698–708. [PubMed: 9798073]

23. Lencer R, Trillenberg P. Neurophysiology and neuroanatomy of smooth pursuit in humans. *Brain and cognition*. 2008; 68(3):219–228. [PubMed: 18835076]
24. Helmchen C, Pohlmann J, Trillenberg P, Lencer R, Graf J, Sprenger A. Role of anticipation and prediction in smooth pursuit eye movement control in Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2012; 27(8):1012–1018. doi:10.1002/mds.25042. [PubMed: 22693071]
25. Lencer R, Nagel M, Sprenger A, Zapf S, Erdmann C, Heide W, Binkofski F. Cortical mechanisms of smooth pursuit eye movements with target blanking. An fMRI study. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2004; 19(5):1430–1436. [PubMed: 15016102]
26. Burke MR, Barnes GR. Brain and behavior: a task-dependent eye movement study. *Cereb Cortex*. 2008; 18(1):126–135. [PubMed: 17470446]
27. Marengo S, Steele SU, Egan MF, Goldberg TE, Straub RE, Sharrief AZ, Weinberger DR. Effect of metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 genotype on N-acetylaspartate measures in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2006; 163(4):740–742. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.163.4.740. [PubMed: 16585454]
28. Haraldsson HM, Ettinger U, Magnúsdóttir BB, Sigmundsson T, Sigurdsson E, Ingason A, Petursson H. COMT val(158)met genotype and smooth pursuit eye movements in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res*. 2009; 169(2):173–175. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2008.10.003. [PubMed: 19647329]
29. Vorstman JA, Turetsky BI, Sijmens-Morcus ME, de Sain MG, Dorland B, Sprong M, Rappaport EF, Beemer FA, Emanuel BS, Kahn RS, van Engeland H, Kemner C. Proline affects brain function in 22q11DS children with the low activity COMT 158 allele. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2009; 34(3):739–746. doi:10.1038/npp.2008.132. [PubMed: 18769474]
30. Park BL, Shin HD, Cheong HS, Park CS, Sohn JW, Kim BJ, Seo HK, Kim JW, Kim KH, Shin TM, Choi IG, Kim SG, Woo SI. Association analysis of COMT polymorphisms with schizophrenia and smooth pursuit eye movement abnormality. *Journal of human genetics*. 2009; 54(12):709–712. doi:10.1038/jhg.2009.102. [PubMed: 19881467]
31. Rybakowski JK, Borkowska A, Czerski PM, Hauser J. Dopamine D3 receptor (DRD3) gene polymorphism is associated with the intensity of eye movement disturbances in schizophrenic patients and healthy subjects. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2001; 6(6):718–724. [PubMed: 11673801]
32. Rybakowski JK, Borkowska A, Czerski PM, Hauser J. Eye movement disturbances in schizophrenia and a polymorphism of catechol-O-methyltransferase gene. *Psychiatry Res*. 2002; 113(1-2):49–57. [PubMed: 12467945]
33. Thaker GK, Wonodi I, Avila MT, Hong LE, Stine OC. Catechol O-methyltransferase polymorphism and eye tracking in schizophrenia: a preliminary report. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2004; 161(12):2320–2322. [PubMed: 15569909]
34. Wonodi I, Hong LE, Stine OC, Mitchell BD, Elliott A, Roberts RC, Conley RR, McMahon RP, Thaker GK. Dopamine transporter polymorphism modulates oculomotor function and DAT1 mRNA expression in schizophrenia. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2009; 150B(2):282–289. [PubMed: 18553389]
35. Haraldsson HM, Ettinger U, Magnúsdóttir BB, Ingason A, Hutton SB, Sigmundsson T, Sigurdsson E, Petursson H. Neuregulin-1 genotypes and eye movements in schizophrenia. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2010; 260(1):77–85. doi:10.1007/s00406-009-0032-2. [PubMed: 19575259]
36. Pasaje CF, Bae JS, Park BL, Cheong HS, Kim JH, Park TJ, Lee JS, Kim Y, Park CS, Kim BJ, Cha B, Kim JW, Choi WH, Shin TM, Choi IG, Hwang J, Shin HD, Woo SI. Neuregulin 3 does not confer risk for schizophrenia and smooth pursuit eye movement abnormality in a Korean population. *Genes, brain, and behavior*. 2011; 10(8):828–833. doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2011.00722.x.
37. Cheong HS, Park BL, Kim EM, Park CS, Sohn JW, Kim BJ, Kim JW, Kim KH, Shin TM, Choi IG, Han SW, Hwang J, Koh I, Shin HD, Woo SI. Association of RANBP1 haplotype with smooth pursuit eye movement abnormality. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2011; 156B(1):67–71. doi:10.1002/ajmg.b.31139. [PubMed: 21184585]
38. Shin HD, Park BL, Bae JS, Park TJ, Chun JY, Park CS, Sohn JW, Kim BJ, Kang YH, Kim JW, Kim KH, Shin TM, Woo SI. Association of ZDHHC8 polymorphisms with smooth pursuit eye movement abnormality. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2010; 153B(6):1167–1172. doi:10.1002/ajmg.b.31083. [PubMed: 20468065]

39. Arolt V, Lencer R, Purmann S, Schurmann M, Muller-Myhsok B, Krecker K, Schwinger E. Testing for linkage of eye tracking dysfunction and schizophrenia to markers on chromosomes 6, 8, 9, 20, and 22 in families multiply affected with schizophrenia. *Am J Med Genet.* 1999; 88(6): 603–606. [PubMed: 10581476]
40. Pasaje CF, Bae JS, Park BL, Park CS, Kim BJ, Lee CS, Kim JW, Choi WH, Shin TM, Koh IS, Choi IG, Woo SL, Shin HD. Lack of association of the RTN4R genetic variations with risk of schizophrenia and SPEM abnormality in a Korean population. *Psychiatry Res.* 2011; 189(2):312–314. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2011.02.006. [PubMed: 21377214]
41. Wonodi I, Stine OC, Sathyaikumar KV, Roberts RC, Mitchell BD, Hong LE, Kajii Y, Thaker GK, Schwarcz R. Downregulated kynurenine 3-monooxygenase gene expression and enzyme activity in schizophrenia and genetic association with schizophrenia endophenotypes. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2011; 68(7):665–674. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.71. [PubMed: 21727251]
42. Jonsson EG, Nothen MM, Neidt H, Forslund K, Rylander G, Mattila-Evendén M, Asberg M, Propping P, Sedvall GC. Association between a promoter polymorphism in the dopamine D2 receptor gene and schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 1999; 40(1):31–36. [PubMed: 10541004]
43. Zhang JP, Lencz T, Malhotra AK. D2 receptor genetic variation and clinical response to antipsychotic drug treatment: a meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2010; 167(7):763–772. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09040598. [PubMed: 20194480]
44. Egan MF, Straub RE, Goldberg TE, Yakub I, Callicott JH, Hariri AR, Mattay VS, Bertolino A, Hyde TM, Shannon-Weickert C, Akil M, Crook J, Vakkalanka RK, Balkissoon R, Gibbs RA, Kleinman JE, Weinberger DR. Variation in GRM3 affects cognition, prefrontal glutamate, and risk for schizophrenia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004; 101(34):12604–12609. doi:10.1073/pnas.0405077101. [PubMed: 15310849]
45. Cheryl SY, Woon PS, Liu JJ, Ong WY, Tsai GC, Sim K. Genetic association studies of glutamate, GABA and related genes in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: a decade of advance. *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews.* 2010; 34(6):958–977. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.01.002. [PubMed: 20060416]
46. Ghose S, Gleason KA, Potts BW, Lewis-Amezcu K, Tamminga CA. Differential expression of metabotropic glutamate receptors 2 and 3 in schizophrenia: a mechanism for antipsychotic drug action? *Am J Psychiatry.* 2009; 166(7):812–820. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.08091445. [PubMed: 19487395]
47. Baune BT, Suslow T, Beste C, Birosova E, Domschke K, Sehlmeier C, Konrad C. Association between genetic variants of the metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 (GRM3) and cognitive set shifting in healthy individuals. *Genes, brain, and behavior.* 2010; 9(5):459–466. doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2010.00573.x.
48. Sun W, McConnell E, Pare JF, Xu Q, Chen M, Peng W, Lovatt D, Han X, Smith Y, Nedergaard M. Glutamate-dependent neuroglial calcium signaling differs between young and adult brain. *Science (New York, NY).* 2013; 339(6116):197–200. doi:10.1126/science.1226740.
49. Corripio I, Ferreira A, Portella MJ, Perez V, Escarti MJ, Del Valle Camacho M, Sauras RB, Alonso A, Grasa EM, Carrio I, Catafau AM, Alvarez E. The role of striatal dopamine D2 receptors in the occurrence of extrapyramidal side effects: iodine-123-iodobenzamide single photon emission computed tomography study. *Psychiatry Res.* 2012; 201(1):73–77. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2011.02.004. [PubMed: 22281201]
50. Bishop JR, Ellingrod VL, Moline J, Miller D. Association between the polymorphic GRM3 gene and negative symptom improvement during olanzapine treatment. *Schizophr Res.* 2005; 77(2-3): 253–260. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2005.04.001. [PubMed: 15913960]
51. Fijal BA, Kinon BJ, Kapur S, Stauffer VL, Conley RR, Jamal HH, Kane JM, Witte MM, Houston JP. Candidate-gene association analysis of response to risperidone in African-American and white patients with schizophrenia. *The pharmacogenomics journal.* 2009; 9(5):311–318. doi:10.1038/tpj.2009.24. [PubMed: 19451915]
52. First, MB.; Spitzer, RL.; Gibbon, M.; Williams, JBW. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders, Patient Edition (SCID-P). New York State Psychiatric Institute; New York: 1995.
53. Rhoades HM, Overall JE. The semistructured BPRS interview and rating guide. *Psychopharmacol Bull.* 1988; 24(1):101–104. [PubMed: 3290934]

54. The Psychological Corporation TP. Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI) manual. The Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, TX: 1999.
55. Andreasen NC, Pressler M, Nopoulos P, Miller D, Ho BC. Antipsychotic dose equivalents and dose-years: a standardized method for comparing exposure to different drugs. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010; 67(3):255–262. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.08.040. [PubMed: 19897178]
56. Bishop JR, Miller del D, Ellingrod VL, Holman T. Association between type-three metabotropic glutamate receptor gene (GRM3) variants and symptom presentation in treatment refractory schizophrenia. *Human psychopharmacology*. 2011; 26(1):28–34. doi:10.1002/hup.1163. [PubMed: 21344500]
57. Houston J, Dharia S, Bishop JR, Ellingrod VL, Fijal B, Jacobson JG, Hoffmann VP. Association of DRD2 and ANKK1 polymorphisms with prolactin increase in olanzapine-treated women. *Psychiatry Res*. 2011; 187(1-2):74–79. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2010.10.020. [PubMed: 21095016]
58. Lencz T, Robinson DG, Napolitano B, Sevy S, Kane JM, Goldman D, Malhotra AK. DRD2 promoter region variation predicts antipsychotic-induced weight gain in first episode schizophrenia. *Pharmacogenetics and genomics*. 2010; 20(9):569–572. doi:10.1097/FPC.0b013e32833ca24b. [PubMed: 20664489]
59. Tian C, Hinds DA, Shigeta R, Kittles R, Ballinger DG, Seldin MF. A genome-wide single-nucleotide-polymorphism panel with high ancestry information for African American admixture mapping. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2006; 79(4):640–649. [PubMed: 16960800]
60. Giri VN, Egleston B, Ruth K, Uzzo RG, Chen DY, Buyyounouski M, Raysor S, Hooker S, Torres JB, Ramike T, Mastalski K, Kim TY, Kittles R. Race, genetic West African ancestry, and prostate cancer prediction by prostate-specific antigen in prospectively screened high-risk men. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila Pa)*. 2009; 2(3):244–250.
61. Hooker S, Hernandez W, Chen H, Robbins C, Torres JB, Ahaghotu C, Carpten J, Kittles RA. Replication of prostate cancer risk loci on 8q24, 11q13, 17q12, 19q33, and Xp11 in African Americans. *Prostate*. 2010; 70(3):270–275. doi:10.1002/pros.21061. [PubMed: 19902474]
62. Kupfer SS, Anderson JR, Hooker S, Skol A, Kittles RA, Keku TO, Sandler RS, Ellis NA. Genetic Heterogeneity in Colorectal Cancer Associations in Americans of African vs. European Descent. *Gastroenterology*. 2010 doi:S0016-5085(10)01101-7 [pii] 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.07.038.
63. Kupfer SS, Torres JB, Hooker S, Anderson JR, Skol AD, Ellis NA, Kittles RA. Novel single nucleotide polymorphism associations with colorectal cancer on chromosome 8q24 in African and European Americans. *Carcinogenesis*. 2009; 30(8):1353–1357. doi:10.1093/carcin/bgp123. [PubMed: 19520795]
64. Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics*. 2003; 164(4):1567–1587. [PubMed: 12930761]
65. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker PI, Daly MJ, Sham PC. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007; 81(3):559–575. doi:10.1086/519795. [PubMed: 17701901]
66. Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics*. 2005; 21(2):263–265. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bth457. [PubMed: 15297300]
67. Arinami T, Gao M, Hamaguchi H, Toru M. A functional polymorphism in the promoter region of the dopamine D2 receptor gene is associated with schizophrenia. *Human molecular genetics*. 1997; 6(4):577–582. [PubMed: 9097961]
68. Jonsson EG, Nothen MM, Grunhage F, Farde L, Nakashima Y, Propping P, Sedvall GC. Polymorphisms in the dopamine D2 receptor gene and their relationships to striatal dopamine receptor density of healthy volunteers. *Mol Psychiatry*. 1999; 4(3):290–296. [PubMed: 10395223]
69. Cordeiro Q, Siqueira-Roberto J, Zung S, Vallada H. Association between the DRD2-141C Insertion/Deletion polymorphism and schizophrenia. *Arquivos de neuro-psiquiatria*. 2009; 67(2A):191–194. [PubMed: 19547807]
70. Li T, Arranz M, Aitchison KJ, Bryant C, Liu X, Kerwin RW, Murray R, Sham P, Collier DA. Case-control, haplotype relative risk and transmission disequilibrium analysis of a dopamine D2

- receptor functional promoter polymorphism in schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 1998; 32(2):87–92. [PubMed: 9713903]
71. Stober G, Jatzke S, Heils A, Jungkunz G, Knapp M, Mossner R, Riederer P, Lesch KP. Insertion/deletion variant (–141C Ins/Del) in the 5' regulatory region of the dopamine D2 receptor gene: lack of association with schizophrenia and bipolar affective disorder. Short communication. *Journal of neural transmission.* 1998; 105(1):101–109. [PubMed: 9588764]
 72. Lencer R, Keedy SK, Reilly JL, McDonough BE, Harris MS, Sprenger A, Sweeney JA. Altered transfer of visual motion information to parietal association cortex in untreated first-episode psychosis: Implications for pursuit eye tracking. *Psychiatry Research.* 2011; 194(1):30–38. [PubMed: 21873035]
 73. Conn PJ, Pin JP. Pharmacology and functions of metabotropic glutamate receptors. *Annual review of pharmacology and toxicology.* 1997; 37:205–237. doi:10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.37.1.205.
 74. Bishop JR, Wang K, Moline J, Ellingrod VL. Association analysis of the metabotropic glutamate receptor type 3 gene (GRM3) with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Genet.* 2007; 17(6):358. doi:10.1097/YPG.0b013e3281ac231e. [PubMed: 18075480]
 75. Dalvie S, Horn N, Nossek C, van der Merwe L, Stein DJ, Ramesar R. Psychosis and relapse in bipolar disorder are related to GRM3, DAOA, and GRIN2B genotype. *African journal of psychiatry.* 2010; 13(4):297–301. [PubMed: 20957330]
 76. Kawakubo Y, Suga M, Tochigi M, Yumoto M, Itoh K, Sasaki T, Kano Y, Kasai K. Effects of metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 genotype on phonetic mismatch negativity. *PloS one.* 2011; 6(10):e24929. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024929. [PubMed: 22022368]
 77. Corti C, Xuereb JH, Corsi M, Ferraguti F. Identification and characterization of the promoter region of the GRM3 gene. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications.* 2001; 286(2):381–387. doi:10.1006/bbrc.2001.5391. [PubMed: 11500049]
 78. Fujii Y, Shibata H, Kikuta R, Makino C, Tani A, Hirata N, Shibata A, Ninomiya H, Tashiro N, Fukumaki Y. Positive associations of polymorphisms in the metabotropic glutamate receptor type 3 gene (GRM3) with schizophrenia. *Psychiatr Genet.* 2003; 13(2):71–76. doi:10.1097/01.ypg.0000056682.82896.b0. [PubMed: 12782962]
 79. Mossner R, Schuhmacher A, Schulze-Rauschenbach S, Kuhn KU, Rujescu D, Rietschel M, Zobel A, Franke P, Wolwer W, Gaebel W, Hafner H, Wagner M, Maier W. Further evidence for a functional role of the glutamate receptor gene GRM3 in schizophrenia. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2008; 18(10):768–772. doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2008.05.007. [PubMed: 18614340]
 80. Schwab SG, Plummer C, Albus M, Borrmann-Hassenbach M, Lerer B, Trixler M, Maier W, Wildenauer DB. DNA sequence variants in the metabotropic glutamate receptor 3 and risk to schizophrenia: an association study. *Psychiatr Genet.* 2008; 18(1):25–30. doi:10.1097/YPG.0b013e3282ef48d9. [PubMed: 18197082]
 81. Callicott JH, Egan MF, Mattay VS, Bertolino A, Bone AD, Verchinski B, Weinberger DR. Abnormal fMRI response of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in cognitively intact siblings of patients with schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2003; 160(4):709–719. [PubMed: 12668360]
 82. Nagel M, Sprenger A, Nitschke M, Zapf S, Heide W, Binkofski F, Lencer R. Different extraretinal neuronal mechanisms of smooth pursuit eye movements in schizophrenia: An fMRI study. *Neuroimage.* 2007; 34(1):300–309. [PubMed: 17011791]

Figure 1.

Linkage disequilibrium for four SNPs in *DRD2* and four SNPs in *GRM3* displayed as R^2

Figure 2.

Association of variants of the -141C Del/Ins polymorphism in *DRD2* with initial eye velocity gain in unmedicated patients with psychotic disorders at baseline (N=138) and follow-up (N=83) after six weeks of antipsychotic treatment. There was no effect of treatment on genotype differences in repeated measures ANOVA of the follow-up sample, means with standard errors are presented, for detailed statistical results see results section.

Figure 3.

Association of variants of the -141C Del/Ins polymorphism in *DRD2* with pursuit latency in a sample of 83 unmedicated patients with psychotic disorders at baseline and follow-up after six weeks of antipsychotic treatment. Antipsychotic treatment resulted in a reduction of pursuit latency differences between genotype groups, means with standard errors are presented, for detailed statistical results see results section.

Figure 4.

Association of variants of rs274622 polymorphisms in *GRM3* with maintenance eye velocity gain in unmedicated patients with psychotic disorders at baseline (N=138) and follow-up after six weeks of antipsychotic treatment (N=83). There was no effect of treatment on genotype differences in repeated measures ANOVA of the follow-up sample, means with standard errors are presented, for detailed statistical results see results section.

Table 1

Patient characteristics

	Schizophrenia Spectrum Disorder (N = 96)	Psychotic Bipolar Disorder (N = 26)	Psychotic Unipolar Depression (N = 16)
Mean Age (SD), [Years]	24.5 (7.7)	24.9 (8.5)	21.4 (7.2)
Mean IQ (SD), [from WASI]	96.7 (13.9)	94.5 (13.9)	95.9 (15.3)
Mean Genetic Ancestry [%] [*]			
West African	35	37	34
European	52	54	56
Native American	13	9	10
Mean Baseline BPRS (SD) ^{**}	46.2 (9.2)	36.7 (11.1)	43.5 (10.8)

BPRS - Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale [53]

WASI - Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence [54]

^{*}
as assessed by 105 ancestry informative markers (AIMs)^{**}
 $F(2,135) = 9.85$, $p < 0.01$, *post-hoc*: $pBPD_{vs}UDP = 0.03$, $pBPD_{vs}Schiz < 0.01$

Table 2

Means with standard deviation (SD) for eye movement parameters of interest

	Healthy Controls	Psychosis Patients	Patient's Z-Scores Relative to Controls
Pursuit Initiation Latency at Baseline [ms]	187 (25)	190 (32)	0.16 (1.34)
Pursuit Initiation Latency at Follow-up [ms]	183 (21)	190 (33)	0.25 (1.36)
Initial Eye Velocity Gain at Baseline	0.82 (0.24)	0.67 (0.25)	−0.64 (1.07)
Initial Eye Velocity Gain at Follow-up	0.81 (0.28)	0.66 (0.25)	−0.72 (1.02)
Maintenance Eye Velocity Gain at Baseline	0.86 (0.09)	0.81 (0.11)	−0.48 (1.27)
Maintenance Eye Velocity Gain at Follow-up	0.86 (0.10)	0.81 (0.12)	−0.52 (1.41)

Table 3Allele and genotype frequencies for *DRD2* and *GRM3* polymorphisms

SNP	Position	MAF	Alleles	Genotype count AA/Aa/aa
<i>DRD2</i>				
rs1800497	113271078	0.319	C:T	68/52/18
rs6277	113283209	0.373	G:A	76/21/41
rs1800498	113291838	0.384	C:T	30/46/62
rs1799732	113346003	0.286	C:-	77/43/18
<i>GRM3</i>				
rs274622	86272690	0.319	T:C	66/56/16
rs6465084	86403225	0.304	A:G	66/60/12
rs1468412	86433201	0.42	T:A	49/62/27
rs1989796	86474063	0.339	G:A	65/51/21

Note that Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium was evaluated for each SNP and race group, i.e. African American and Caucasian, separately. For more details see supplemental material.

SNP - Single Nucleotide Polymorphism

MAF - Minor Allele Frequency

SNP positions defined by UCSC Genome Browser (GRCh37/hg19) Assembly