Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jor

Editorial

Patellar instability – Changing beliefs and current trends

Patellar instability may be defined as inability of the patella to contain itself in the trochlear groove throughout the normal arc of knee movement. It encompasses a variety of injuries including patellar subluxation, dislocation and recurrent symptomatic instability interfering with the day to day living activities.¹ It largely affects young active individuals with a female preponderance.² It is associated with long-term arthritis and there is renewed interest in the understanding of the pathophysiology of patellar instability.³ In the past, a variety of different treatments have been tried with varying degrees of success. There have recently been substantial advances in the understanding of the biomechanics of patellar instability. Newer treatments and techniques have been developed as a result of better understanding of the basic pathology, which seem to provide superior results for the management of this disorder.⁴

This article discusses the current developments in the understanding of anatomy and biomechanics of patellar instability as well as the relevant clinical features with imaging and management of this complex problem. A thorough clinical examination is mandatory to suggest a treatment protocol for each patient. The treatment in patellar instability should be individualised and “one size fits all” approach should not be adopted.

1. Imaging

Standard radiographic evaluation begins with weight bearing posteroanterior in 45° (Rosenberg view) lateral and merchant views. These views help to assess reduction, identify avulsion fractures of MPFL, osteochondral fractures and evaluate for the anatomic variations predisposing to patellar instability thus guiding treatment. Three joint radiographs are helpful to detect malalignment and hip pathologies. Patella alta is defined using the modified Insall-Salvati ratio (>2.0); Caton-Deschamps Index (>1.3) and the Blackburne-Peel ratio (>1.0).^{13–15} The lateral radiograph is mandatory to assess the trochlear dysplasia. The “crossing sign” in the lateral radiograph is representative of a flat

trachlea.⁶ Dejour et al defined dysplasia as a trochlear depth less than 4 mm, a positive crossing sign or a trochlear bump greater than 3 mm.⁶ Merchant view gives the measure of the sulcus angle. An average sulcus angle is 138° and values greater than 145° are suggestive of Trochlear dysplasia.¹⁶ Lateral patellofemoral angle, or Laurin angle is useful in assessment of the patellar tilt and greater than zero in the normal population.

In addition to the standard X-rays, computer tomography (CT scans) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans has become an important adjuncts in the evaluation bony and soft tissue constraints of the patellofemoral instability. CT scans are used to assess the trochlear morphology, TT-TG distance, patellar tilt, femoral and tibial torsions.¹⁶ A TT-TG distance greater than 20 mm is abnormal and indicates lateralization of tibial tuberosity. MRI scans are used to detect the soft tissue injury especially injury to the medial retinaculum, tearing of VMO and MPFL. It also helps to detect the osteochondral fractures, chondral loose bodies and bone bruises of medial facet of patella and lateral femoral condyle in acute cases. The TT-TG angle as well as femoral version and tibial torsion may be detected on MRI, making it superior to CT scans for evaluating patellar instability.

2. Nonoperative treatment

Nonoperative treatment begins with immobilization in extension in cast, posterior splint or brace for four to six weeks. In a long-term study by Maenpaa et al it was found that patients with primary patellar dislocations treated in casts for 6 weeks had the lowest risk of redislocation but the highest rates of stiffness. whereas, patients treated with just a patellar brace had 3 times the risk of redislocation but least stiffness.¹⁸ Current dictum is judicious immobilisation using posterior splint for the pain and oedema to subside and begin range of movement exercises at the earliest to prevent stiffness and improve articular cartilage nutrition.¹⁹ Post immobilisation rehabilitation should be directed towards strengthening of VMO and gluteal muscles along with the core muscles.²⁰

Closed chain exercises has been found to be superior than open chain exercises.⁷ Closed chain exercises involving the gluteal musculature increases the external rotation of the femur and decreases the Q angle. Patellar taping has been shown to improve the VMO activity onset, reduce pain and improve patellar tracking but the mechanism of action remains unknown.²¹ In a long term study of nonoperative treatment by Sillanpaa et al it was found that preinjury level was regained by fewer patients who sustained MPFL tears at the femoral origin when compared with midsubstance or tears at patellar insertion.²¹ Higher rates of redislocation and surgical intervention were seen in these groups of patients.²² As a whole, first-time dislocators were found to have a low rate of subsequent dislocation.²³ Many studies have shown no significant differences in nonsurgical and surgical treatment groups on long term followup.^{24,25}

3. Surgical treatment

There has been no clear guidelines for the operative interventions for patellar instability with more than 100 procedures described. This has been due to the lack of understanding of the basic pathoanatomy of patellar instability. Current developments have put more light towards better management of this difficult problem. The fundamental surgical principle in the reconstruction of unstable joints (Shoulder, Knee, Ankle) is restoration or reconstruction of the injured structure that restrains the abnormal movements. This approach applies to patellofemoral instability too. Current surgical procedures are aimed to recreate normal anatomy, rather than impose a nonanatomic restraint to motion. Decision for surgical intervention is related to patient pain and functional restriction produced by constant feeling of apprehension on walking. Surgical interventions may be divided into soft tissue (lateral release, medial plication, MPFL repair or reconstruction) and bony (tibial tubercle transfer, derotational tibial and femoral osteotomies and trochleoplasty) realignment procedures.

Soft tissue realignment procedures: Lateral release: This has been a widely used procedure in the past for lateral patellar instability but many studies have shown that it has no role when used in isolation for treating patellar instability.²⁶ It may be used to centralise the patella as an adjunct to proximal and distal realignment procedures as well in lateral patella compression syndromes. It is recommended that a lateral release be used only when there is residual positive patellar tilt sign after restoration of the medial retinacular structures. Overzealous lateral release may lead to medial patellar instability and paradoxical worsening of lateral instability. **Medial plication:** Medial imbrication and lateral release has been a favourite procedure for patellar instability in the past. A study by Ostermeier et al found that combination of lateral release and medial plication resulted in significantly medialized and internally tilted patellar movement.²⁷ Medial imbrication is a nonanatomic procedure which fails to address the primary problem of MPFL injury at

femoral attachment leading to abnormal tracking and excessive medialization of the patella. **MPFL repair or reconstruction:** MPFL repair is indicated for acute cases presenting with MPFL femoral avulsion. Chronic cases have got higher failure rates with MPFL repair.²⁸ MPFL reconstruction have given a more predictable results than repair. MPFL reconstruction is done using autografts like gracilis, semitendinosus, quadriceps tendon or allografts. Various techniques have been described for MPFL reconstruction. Placement of the femoral tunnel and proper tensioning of the graft are the two critical steps determining the success of the surgery. Femoral placement should be done using fluoroscopy. The appropriate knee flexion angle at which to tension the graft is controversial. Tensioning the graft at 30–45° of knee flexion is advocated by many.²⁷ Knee flexion depended in which position patella engaged well in the femoral trochlea and comparable to opposite normal patella movements. Long term studies for MPFL reconstruction are not available. There is ample evidence to prove that the MPFL acts as the critical soft tissue restraint against lateral patellar translation. It may be presumed that residual laxity in the healed MPFL is responsible for the increased patellar mobility after the initial injury in majority of cases in addition to the anatomical abnormality. In a cadaveric study, Ostermeier et al demonstrated that reconstruction of the MPFL was better than medial transfer of tibial tuberosity for stabilising patellar movement under laterally directed force.²⁷ MPFL reconstruction alone may be sufficient for cases with mild degrees of trochlear dysplasia. Complications of MPFL reconstruction include overtightening of MPFL resulting in medial patellofemoral arthrosis, patella fracture and hardware problems.

4. Bony realignment procedures

1. Tibial tubercle transfers

The main indication for these procedures are high Q angles and increased TT-TG distances. Anteromedialisation of the tibial tuberosity using Fulkerson type osteotomy are the currently favoured techniques. The Fulkerson procedure off-loads the distal articular cartilage of the patella that have been damaged by repeated episodes of instability and improves the patellar stability by allowing patella to engage earlier in the trochlear groove along with medialisation of the tibial tuberosity. Pidioriano et al found correlation between clinical outcomes and preoperative location of patellar articular lesions. Patients with lateral facet and distal patellar articular lesions had better outcomes than medial facet and proximal patellar articular lesions.²⁹ Tibial tubercle distalisation may be performed for patellar instability following patella alta but it involves concerns regarding raising patellofemoral pressures and predisposing to pain and late onset patellofemoral arthritis. Many times, it is combined with anteromedialisation of the tuberosity. Careful patient selection is mandatory for success of the procedure. Complications include medial patellofemoral arthritis, non-union of the tubercle fragment,

prominent hardware and tibial fracture. It is better to avoid excessive medialization of the tubercle in patients with medial compartment osteoarthritis, varus knees and medial menisectomised patients.³⁰

2. Trochleoplasty:

This is the procedure of choice for correction of trochlear dysplasia. It involves procedures like lateral facet elevating osteotomy (Albee), deepening trochleoplasty (Dejour), resection trochleoplasty (Goutallier), Proximal Trochleoplasty (Vasiliadis) and arthroscopic trochleoplasty (Blond).^{31–35} Trochleoplasty is in the developmental stage due to the concerns regarding articular cartilage damage and changes in the patellofemoral pressures predisposing to patellofemoral arthritis.

5. Conclusion

The understanding of the proper pathoanatomy of patellar instability has come a long way in the last few years. Several factors can predispose to patella instability, such as weakness of medial soft tissue restraint, patella alta, ligamentous laxity, increased Medial repair is indicated for patients with largely normal knee anatomy. MPFL reconstruction can successfully stabilize majority of patients with medial soft tissue injury with or without trochlear dysplasia. Medial plication is considered a nonanatomic procedure. Current literature doesn't support isolated lateral release. A combination of procedures may be sometimes necessary to fully address the multiple factors involved in patients with patellar instability.

REFERENCES

- Redziniak DE, Diduch DR, Mihalko WM, et al. Patellar instability. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009 Sep;91:2264–2275.
- Fithian DC, Paxton EW, Stone ML, et al. Epidemiology and natural history of acute patellar dislocation. *Am J Sports Med.* 2004 Jul–Aug;32:1114–1121.
- Arnbjornsson A, Egund N, Rydning O, et al. The natural history of recurrent dislocation of the patella. Long-term results of conservative and operative treatment. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1992;74:140–142.
- White BJ, Sherman OH. Patellofemoral instability. *Bull NYU Hosp Jt Dis.* 2009;67:22–29.
- Dejour H, Walch G, Nove-Josserand L, Guier C. Factors of patellar instability: an anatomic radiographic study. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 1994;2:19–26.
- Colvin AC, West RV. Patellar instability. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2008 Dec;90:2751–2762.
- Grelsamer RP, Meadows S. The modified Insall-Salvati ratio for assessment of patellar height. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1992 Sep;282:170–176.
- Caton J, Deschamps G, Chambat P, Lerat JL, Dejour H. Les rotules basses: a propos de 128 observations. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot.* 1982;68:317–325.
- Blackburne JS, Peel TE. A new method of measuring patellar height. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1977 May;59:241–242.
- Merchant AC, Mercer RL, Jacobsen RH, Cool CR. Roentgenographic analysis of patellofemoral congruence. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1974 Oct;56:1391–1396.
- Maenpää H, Lehto MU. Patellar dislocation. The long-term results of nonoperative management in 100 patients. *Am J Sports Med.* 1997;25:213–217.
- Hinton RY, Sharma KM. Acute and recurrent patellar instability in the young athlete. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2003 Jul;34:385–396.
- Cowan SM, Bennell KL, Crossley KM, Hodges PW, McConnell J. Physical therapy alters recruitment of the vasti in patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2002 Dec;34:1879–1885.
- Gilleard W, McConnell J, Parsons D. The effect of patellar taping on the onset of vastus medialis obliquus and vastus lateralis muscle activity in person with patellofemoral pain. *Phys Ther.* 1998 Jan;78:25–32.
- Sillanpää PJ, Peltola E, Mattila V, Kiuru M, Visuri T, Pihlajamäki H. Femoral avulsion of the medial patellofemoral ligament after primary traumatic patellar dislocation predicts subsequent instability in men: a mean 7-year nonoperative follow-up study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009 Aug;37:1513–1521.
- Hing CB, Smith TO, Donell S, et al. Surgical versus non-surgical interventions for treating patellar dislocation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2011;11. CD008106.
- Nikku R, Nietosvaara Y, Kallio PE, Aalto K, Michelsson JE. Operative versus closed treatment of primary dislocation of the patella. Similar 2-year results in 125 randomized patients. *Acta Orthop Scand.* 1997 Oct;68:419.
- Sillanpää PJ, Mattila VM, Mäenpää H, Kiuru M, Visuri T, Pihlajamäki H. Treatment with and without initial stabilizing surgery for primary traumatic patellar dislocation: a prospective randomized study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009 Feb;91:263–273.
- Kolowich PA, Paulos LE, Rosenberg TD, Farnsworth S. Lateral release of the patella: indications and contraindications. *Am J Sports Med.* 1990 Jul–Aug;18:359–365.
- Ostermeier S, Holst M, Bohnsack M, Hurschler C, Stukenborg-Colsman C, Wirth CJ. In vitro measurement of patellar kinematics following reconstruction of the medial patellofemoral ligament. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2007;15:276–285.
- Camp CL, Krych AJ, Dahm DL, Levy BA, Stuart MJ. Medial patellofemoral ligament repair for recurrent patellar dislocation. *Am J Sports Med.* 2010 Nov;38:2248–2254.
- Pidoriano AJ, Weinstein RN, Buuck DA, Fulkerson JP. Correlation of patellar articular lesions with results from anteromedial tibial tubercle transfer. *Am J Sports Med.* 1997 Jul–Aug;25:533–537.
- Kuroda R, Kambic H, Valdevit A, Andrish JT. Articular cartilage contact pressure after tibial tuberosity transfer. A cadaveric study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2001 Jul–Aug;29:403–409.
- Duncan ST, Noehren BS, Lattermann C. The role of trochleoplasty in patellofemoral instability. *Sports Med Arthrosc.* 2012 Sep;20:171–180.
- Dejour D, Saggin P. The sulcus deepening trochleoplasty—the Lyon's procedure. *Int Orthop.* 2010;34:311–316.
- Goutallier D, Raou D, Van Driessche S. Retro-trochlear wedge reduction trochleoplasty for the treatment of painful patella

syndrome with protruding trochleae. Technical note and early results. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot.* 2002;88:678–685 [in French].

34. Peterson LA, Vasiliadis HS. In: Zattagnini S, DeJour D, Arendt EA, eds. *Proximal Open Trochleoplasty (Grooveplasty)*, in *Patellofemoral Pain, Instability, and Arthritis*. Berlin, Heidelberg (Germany): Springer-Verlag; 2010:217–224.
35. Blond L, Schottle PB. The arthroscopic deepening trochleoplasty. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2010;18:480–485.

Sibin Surendran
Kerala health university, India

E-mail address: drsibins@rediffmail.com

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2014.11.001>

0972-978X/Copyright © 2014, Professor P K Surendran Memorial Education Foundation. Publishing Services by Reed Elsevier India Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.