Published in final edited form as:

J Nutr Educ Behav. 2014 ; 46(6): 610–615. doi:10.1016/j.jneb.2014.06.002.

Using Technology to Promote Postpartum Weight loss in Urban, Low-Income Mothers: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial

Sharon J. Herring, MD, MPH^{1,2,3,*}, Jane F. Cruice, BA, RN¹, Gary G. Bennett, PhD^{4,5}, Adam Davey, PhD², and Gary D. Foster, PhD^{1,2}

¹Department of Medicine, Center for Obesity Research and Education, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA

²Department of Public Health, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA

³Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA

⁴Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, NC

⁵Duke Obesity Prevention Program, Duke University, Durham, NC

Abstract

Objective—To examine the feasibility, acceptability, and initial efficacy of a technology-based weight loss intervention for urban, low-income mothers.

Methods—Eighteen obese, ethnic minority, socioeconomically disadvantaged mothers in the first year after childbirth were randomly assigned to either: 1) technology-based intervention, which included empirically-supported behavior change strategies, daily skills and self-monitoring text messages with personalized feedback, biweekly counseling calls from a health coach, and access to a Facebook support group or 2) usual care control.

Results—After 14 weeks of treatment, the technology-based intervention participants had significantly greater weight loss (-2.9 ± 3.6 kg) than usual care (0.5 ± 2.3 kg; adjusted mean difference: -3.2 kg, 95% CI: $-6.2, -0.1$ kg, $p = 0.04$). One-third of intervention participants (3 of 9) and no control participants lost $> 5\%$ of their initial body weight at follow-up.

Conclusions and Implications—Results suggest the potential for using technology to deliver a postpartum weight loss intervention among low-income racial/ethnic minorities.

Keywords

Postpartum; Obesity; Weight loss; Technology; Disparities

© 2014 Society for Nutrition Education. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Correspondence Sharon J. Herring, MD, MPH, Center for Obesity Research and Education, Temple University School of Medicine, 3223 N. Broad Street, Suite 175, Philadelphia, PA 19140. Telephone: 215-707-2234. Fax: 215-707-6475. Sharon.Herring@temple.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity prevalence among women in the U.S. has reached epidemic proportions,¹ with disproportionate rates among low-income and minority women. The childbearing period represents a critical life stage of heightened vulnerability for excess weight gain and new or persistent obesity,² especially among racial/ethnic minorities, who retain 2 to 3 times more weight after pregnancy than non-Hispanic white women.^{2–8} These women may be especially disadvantaged, as they are the most likely to enter pregnancy overweight,¹ which is a strong risk factor for retaining a substantial amount of weight by the end of the first postpartum year.²

Efforts to reduce postpartum weight retention among low-income, racial/ethnic minorities in clinical trials have proven challenging. Four recent weight loss studies targeting these high risk women suffered from poor intervention adherence, high attrition, and non-significant weight differences between intervention and control groups.^{9–12} The challenge of keeping participants actively engaged in a postpartum weight loss intervention may be due to competing demands for mothers' time and energy (e.g., childcare, work, school responsibilities), making traditional, in-person weight control interventions targeting racial/ethnic minorities largely unsuccessful.

Text messaging and social media are innovative formats that may overcome many of these barriers.^{13,14} Users can interact frequently and at their convenience, a pattern that facilitates engagement, retention, and delivers a high intervention dose – all at a low cost. These technologies have become ubiquitous, especially among young racial/ethnic minorities who are increasingly connected through their mobile devices,¹⁵ yet no published studies have leveraged technology to support postpartum weight loss. The purpose of this pilot study was to evaluate the feasibility, acceptability, and initial short-term efficacy of a novel, technology-based behavioral weight control program (using text messaging, Facebook, and telephonic counseling sessions with a health coach) to promote postpartum weight loss among predominately obese, socioeconomically disadvantaged, ethnic minority women.

METHODS

Participants, recruitment and randomization

In early 2013, women were recruited from the waiting rooms of two large outpatient practices (obstetrics, pediatrics) which served primarily Medicaid-insured patients in Philadelphia, PA. Eligibility criteria included: (i) age ≥ 18; (ii) singleton infant delivered within the last 2 weeks to 12 months; (iii) early pregnancy (first trimester) body mass index (BMI) greater than or equal to 25 kg/m² via prenatal records; (iv) weight at enrollment that exceeded early pregnancy weight by at least 5 kg; (v) cell phone ownership with unlimited text messaging; and (vi) member of Facebook. Exclusion criteria included current tobacco use and any history of cardiac, gastrointestinal, cognitive or psychiatric disorders.

Figure 1 outlines study enrollment and retention flow. A total of 18 women completed a baseline visit, at which a trained research assistant measured body weight and height and administered a number of questionnaires assessing demographics, diet quality (using

questions from the Dietary History Questionnaire II, focusing exclusively on weight-related behaviors such as soda, fruit drinks, chips, and fast or fried food consumption),¹⁶ physical activity (via the International Physical Activity Questionnaire, which has fair agreement [$r=0.36$] with accelerometer-determined physical activity in Blacks),^{17,18} health literacy (using the short form of the Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine, which has moderate evidence for validity using the Wide Range Achievement Test-Revised as the standard [$r=0.64$] and demonstrated reliability [Cronbach's $\alpha=0.91$]),¹⁹ and mood (via the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, which has high sensitivity [86%] and specificity [78%] for all forms of depression when compared to diagnostic clinical interviews).²⁰ We have used similar self-report instruments in other studies of pregnant and postpartum mothers and found relationships between these variables and weight-related outcomes.^{21–23} Following completion of baseline assessments, participants were randomized 1:1 by computer-generated numbers in sealed envelopes to technology-based intervention ($n=9$) or usual care ($n=9$). The intervention was delivered for 14 weeks, after which participants in both treatment groups again completed in-person anthropometric measures and questionnaires assessing diet quality, physical activity, along with program satisfaction. Twenty dollars was provided to participants for attending each assessment. All participants gave written informed consent to take part in the study, which was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Temple University.

Treatment groups

Technology-based intervention—Using the interactive obesity treatment approach as our guide,^{24–27} the intervention (Healthy4Baby) was designed to create an energy deficit sufficient to produce weight loss by focusing on the modification of evidence-based, weight-related lifestyle behaviors. During our formative work, a set of 6 empirically supported weight-related behavior change strategies were identified and prioritized that were relevant to the patient population, could be communicated simply, and were easily self-monitored through text messaging.²⁸ The resulting set of weight-related behavior change strategies included (as provided to all participants): “Limit sugary drinks like juice and soda to no more than 1 per day,” “Limit junk and high fat foods to no more than 1 per day,” “Aim for 1,200–1,500 calories per day,” “Walk 30 minutes or 5,000 steps every day,” “Sleep at least 7 hours per day,” “Weigh yourself weekly.” Strategies were implemented one at a time, for 2 to 4 weeks, after a problem solving session with a bachelor's level health coach by phone. Participants were encouraged to set personal goals around each of the six behavioral strategies; for example, if a participant was drinking 4 cups of sugary drinks a day at the start of the program, she would be asked by her coach to set a realistic goal around changing her sugary drink consumption, which might have been to cut her drink consumption in half. Mothers who were breastfeeding were encouraged to set caloric goals at/or above 1,800 calories per day. The health coach was trained in methods of behavioral weight control and prioritized the order by which each of the strategies was executed (based on participant weight loss progress and preference). Calls were 15 minutes in length and conducted biweekly over the 14-week intervention period.

Skills training and self-monitoring of all strategies were done through text messaging and Facebook. Participants received daily text messages tailored to each weight-related strategy

that were based on effective behavior change techniques (e.g., recognizing cues, role modeling, reward)²⁹ to build skills and self-efficacy (e.g., “Do u eat at the sight or smell of junk or greasy foods? Keep tempting foods where they’re harder to reach or don’t keep them in the house at all!”). Participants also received self-monitoring texts 3 to 4 times weekly to probe about adherence to behavioral strategies. Participants received a text message prompt in the morning (e.g., “Healthy4Baby Check-in: Please text us # cups of sugary drinks u had yesterday. Remember, 1 cup = 8 ounces”) and received immediate personalized automatic feedback that reinforced successes and/or offered motivational support (e.g., “U had 0 sugary drinks. Great job! Ur really working toward getting healthy! Keep drinking water, it’s the healthiest! ☺”). Raffles for \$25 gift certificates, to incentivize participants to text their self-monitoring data, were held on a monthly basis. Each time a participant responded to a self-monitoring prompt, she received a raffle entry; an automated computer program randomly chose a monthly winner, announced on our private Facebook group created exclusively for Healthy4Baby participants. This Facebook group provided a forum for support and additional behavioral skills training via links to websites and videos. Participants were encouraged to post questions, photos, and status updates about their study progress on Facebook in order to create a virtual support network and promote participant engagement.

To aid in behavioral goal attainment, intervention participants received a digital scale for self-weighing, pedometer to track daily steps, calorie guide, and water bottle at their baseline visit. A binder with print versions of program content was also provided and served to offer tailored skills training if participants’ access to text messaging or Facebook was lost during the study period.

Usual care—Participants randomized to usual care received the current standard of care offered to postpartum mothers from their primary care providers or through the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC). Often, usual care meant one visit over the entire first postpartum year with their physicians (typically at 6 to 8 weeks postpartum), at which time providers screened for depression and counseled new mothers about breastfeeding and birth control. All mothers in the study received nutrition counseling and food/beverage vouchers from WIC, although WIC visit frequency varied among participants from monthly to every 3 months over the first year postpartum. At baseline, usual care participants additionally received information about postpartum weight loss published by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.^{30, 31}

Outcome measures

The primary outcome was change in body weight (kg) at 14 weeks from baseline. Each participant’s weight was measured without shoes, in lightweight street clothing using a calibrated electronic scale (Detecto digital scale with 758C weight indicator, Webb City, MO, USA) at baseline and 14 weeks after baseline in both groups. Each participant’s height was measured at baseline using a wall-mounted stadiometer (Holtain Limited Harpenden Stadiometer, Crymych, Dyfed, UK). Secondary outcomes included changes in weight-related dietary and physical activity targets self-reported via questionnaires. Also examined was the relationship between adherence to the intervention (assessed by the number of self-

monitoring texts sent and number of coach calls completed) with weight loss among intervention participants. Program satisfaction was assessed via a 10-item questionnaire at follow-up.

Statistical methods

Univariate analyses of variables of interest were examined to test for baseline group differences, outliers, and distributional assumptions. Analysis of covariance, controlling for baseline weight, was used to test for group differences in the primary study outcome. All but one participant (17/18) completed baseline and 14-week follow-up measures; for this participant, a weight measured at 8 weeks post-scheduled follow-up was used in intent-to-treat analyses. Continuous secondary outcomes were analyzed in the same manner as body weight. Categorical secondary outcomes (e.g., changes in dietary or activity behaviors) were analyzed using Fisher's Exact Tests. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 2012).

RESULTS

Participants' baseline characteristics

All participants self-identified as non-Hispanic Black (78%) or Hispanic (22%) and received Medicaid (100%). Mean age was 24.2 ± 5.1 years and baseline BMI was 36.9 ± 6.1 kg/m². The majority of study participants were multiparous (67%) and unmarried (78%). More than two-thirds graduated high school (72%), yet most participants had inadequate health literacy (61%). Few participants breastfed their infants (22%) or had depressive symptoms (11%). Daily sugary beverage (juice and soda, 83%), fried/fast food (33%), and chip (44%) consumption were relatively common. Most reported they walked at least 10 minutes at a time (83%), several days per week (mean number of days walked was 4.1 ± 2.6). Participants were, on average, 4.3 ± 3.9 months postpartum at study entry. There were no differences between treatment groups on demographic characteristics, weight, weight-related behaviors, mood, or timing of baseline measures.

Change in body weight

As shown in Table 1, mean weight losses varied slightly between intent-to-treat and completer analyses; however, both analyses revealed significantly greater mean weight losses among technology-based intervention participants compared to usual care. Participants randomized to the technology-based intervention group also lost a greater percentage of baseline body weight ($-2.8 \pm 3.7\%$), compared to $0.7 \pm 2.7\%$ gained among those in usual care (adjusted difference: -3.4% , 95% CI $-6.8, -0.03$, $p = 0.05$). One-third of intervention participants (3 of 9) and no control participants lost $> 5\%$ of their initial body weight by 14-week follow-up.

Secondary outcomes

Greater reductions were observed in intervention participants' daily consumption of sugary drinks (78% at baseline vs. 38% at follow-up), fried/fast foods (44% at baseline vs. 0% at follow-up), and chips (44% at baseline vs. 0% at follow-up) compared to control participants (although none reached statistical significance). More intervention than control participants

endorsed eating “less food” at follow-up (100% vs. 44%, $p = 0.03$). Group differences in number of days spent walking or time spent walking were not found.

Adherence to the intervention

Over the 14-week intervention period, the mean frequency of self-monitoring texts per intervention participant was 32.4 ± 17.5 (expected texts = 57). All 9 participants in the intervention treatment arm text-messed their self-monitoring data at least once during the 14-week study period, and the majority ($n=7$, 78%) responded to at least 50% of the self-monitoring text prompts. Text message frequency was inversely correlated with weight loss (Spearman's $\rho = -0.5$), although this finding did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.2$). Similarly, completing a greater proportion of coach calls led to greater weight losses; however, adherence with coach calls waned over time (78% completed the first 3 calls vs. 33% completed the final 4 calls).

Acceptability

Among intervention participants who completed the program satisfaction questionnaire ($n = 5$), 80% reported that the skills they learned in the program were extremely helpful (at least 8 on a 10-point scale); 80% found the text messages, Facebook posts, and coach calls extremely useful; and 100% reported that the program was extremely successful in promoting weight control.

DISCUSSION

Findings from this pilot study revealed that participation in a 14-week moderate-intensity technology-based behavioral weight loss intervention was associated with a 3.2 kg greater weight loss than usual care among predominately obese, socioeconomically disadvantaged, ethnic minority mothers. This study is believed to be the first to demonstrate the feasibility, acceptability, and initial efficacy of text messaging and Facebook as platforms for the delivery of a weight loss program in the postpartum period. These platforms provide a mechanism for mothers to instantaneously receive new information, obtain immediate personalized automated feedback, and interact within a virtual group network, while at the same time allow for flexibility around work/school schedules and childcare responsibilities.

While the magnitude of weight loss produced in this study was modest and somewhat lower than reported in other technology-based interventions,^{32,33} the population under study has been previously recalcitrant to weight loss treatment. In the largest postpartum weight loss trial to date, Ostbye *et al.* randomized 450 overweight and obese mothers (45% African-American, 60% low-income) to receive either: 1) nutrition and physical activity group sessions over the first postpartum year, or 2) newsletters with general tips for postpartum mothers.¹² The nutrition and physical activity intervention did not produce differences in 1-year postpartum weight compared to the control (newsletter) arm; however, intervention mothers were not encouraged to self-monitor, nor did they receive strategies around setting calorie goals. These two methods have proved successful in promoting weight loss in previous trials and were included in the pilot intervention.³⁴ Further, the investigators used a group format, leading to poor attendance and high attrition ($> 30\%$). In contrast to Ostbye *et*

al., much higher rates of participant adherence (nearly all participants texted self-monitoring data over 50% of the time) and lower rates of participant attrition (6%) were observed in this study. These findings provide support for an intervention approach that advocates for the modification of several simple and easily understood behaviors through platforms already integrated into the lives of mothers with young children. In-person visits and/or group sessions required in Ostbye *et al.* and 3 other recent studies among low-income postpartum mothers may not be feasible for disadvantaged women with low levels of social support.^{9–12} Even connecting with a human counselor by phone proved challenging by the end of the 14-week study period and questions whether conducting the intervention entirely via texting and Facebook would be equally (or even more) efficacious.

Findings suggest that the intervention effects were mediated primarily by changes in dietary behaviors, not activity. It is important to note, however, that this pilot study focused exclusively on walking, and most mothers in the sample already walked several days weekly at baseline. It is unclear if greater weight losses could have been observed if more moderate and/or vigorous activities were recommended.³⁵

Limitations to this study include its small sample size and relatively short follow-up period (14 weeks). The study design did not allow for the stratification of analyses by racial/ethnic group or isolation of the independent contribution of discrete intervention components (e.g., text messaging, Facebook). Considering the use of a usual care control arm with minimal provider contact, it is unclear whether the observed weight differences are attributable to the increased contact with intervention participants or the actual components of the intervention. Additionally, participation in text4baby was not collected and may be a confounder. Objective measures of activity and diet were not used in this study, which limits the results, as social desirability bias may have influenced intervention participants' responses. Staff involved with end-of-study measures were not blinded to treatment arm, and thus, measurement bias may have been introduced. However, strict protocols on completion of anthropometric measures and delivery of questionnaires were used in this study which should have minimized error. Further, the acceptability findings are limited regarding mothers' satisfaction with the program as not all intervention participants were surveyed at the program's conclusion.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a grant from the U.S. National Institutes of Health (K23 HL106231).

References

1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity among adults: United States, 2011–2012. NCHS Data Brief. 2013; 131:1–8. [PubMed: 24152742]
2. Gore SA, Brown DM, West DS. The role of postpartum weight retention in obesity among women: a review of the evidence. Ann Behav Med. 2003; 26:149–159. [PubMed: 14534032]
3. Smith DE, Lewis CE, Caveny JL, Perkins LL, Burke GL, Bild DE. Longitudinal changes in adiposity associated with pregnancy. The CARDIA Study. JAMA. 1994; 271:1747–1751. [PubMed: 8196117]
4. Keppel KG, Taffel SM. Pregnancy-related weight gain and retention: implications of the 1990 Institute of Medicine guidelines. Am J Public Health. 1993; 83:1100–1103. [PubMed: 8342716]

5. Davis EM, Zyzanski SJ, Olson CM, Stange KC, Horwitz RI. Racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic differences in the incidence of obesity related to childbirth. *Am J Public Health*. 2009; 99:294–299. [PubMed: 19059856]
6. Boardley DJ, Sargent RG, Coker AL, Hussey JR, Sharpe PA. The relationship between diet, activity, and other factors, and postpartum weight change by race. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1995; 86:834–838. [PubMed: 7566859]
7. Williams MA, Miller RS, Qiu C, Cripe SM, Gelaye B, Enquobahrie D. Associations of early pregnancy sleep duration with trimester-specific blood pressures and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy. *Sleep*. 2010; 33:1363–1371. [PubMed: 21061859]
8. Walker LO, Freeland-Graves JH, Milani T, et al. Weight and behavioral and psychosocial factors among ethnically diverse, low-income women after childbirth: II. Trends and correlates. *Women Health*. 2004; 40:19–34. [PubMed: 15778136]
9. Walker LO, Sterling BS, Latimer L, Kim SH, Garcia AA, Fowles ER. Ethnic-specific weight-loss interventions for low-income postpartum women: findings and lessons. *West J Nurs Res*. 2012; 34:654–676. [PubMed: 21483027]
10. Krummel D, Semmens E, MacBride AM, Fisher B. Lessons learned from the mothers' overweight management study in 4 West Virginia WIC offices. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2010; 42:S52–58. [PubMed: 20399410]
11. Chang MW, Nitzke S, Brown R. Design and outcomes of a Mothers In Motion behavioral intervention pilot study. *J Nutr Educ Behav*. 2010; 42:S11–21. [PubMed: 20399404]
12. Ostbye T, Krause KM, Lovelady CA, et al. Active Mothers Postpartum: a randomized controlled weight-loss intervention trial. *Am J Prev Med*. 2009; 37:173–180. [PubMed: 19595557]
13. Moorhead SA, Hazlett DE, Harrison L, Carroll JK, Irwin A, Hoving C. A new dimension of health care: systematic review of the uses, benefits, and limitations of social media for health communication. *J Med Internet Res*. 2013; 15:e85. [PubMed: 23615206]
14. Head KJ, Noar SM, Iannarino NT, Grant Harrington N. Efficacy of text messaging-based interventions for health promotion: a meta-analysis. *Soc Sci Med*. 2013; 97:41–48. [PubMed: 24161087]
15. Pew Research Center. [Accessed October 1, 2013] Smartphone Ownership — 2013 Update. http://pewinternet.org/~media/Files/Reports/2013/PIP_Smartphone_adoption_2013_PDF
16. Subar AF, Thompson FE, Kipnis V, et al. Comparative validation of the Block, Willett, and National Cancer Institute food frequency questionnaires: the Eating at America's Table Study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2001; 154:1089–1099. [PubMed: 11744511]
17. Ainsworth BE, Macera CA, Jones DA, et al. Comparison of the 2001 BRFSS and the IPAQ Physical Activity Questionnaires. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2006; 38:1584–1592. [PubMed: 16960519]
18. Wolin KY, Heil DP, Askew S, Matthews CE, Bennett GG. Validation of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short among Blacks. *J Phys Act Health*. 2008; 5:746–760. [PubMed: 18820348]
19. Bass PF, Wilson JF, Griffith CH. A shortened instrument for literacy screening. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2003; 18:1036–1038. [PubMed: 14687263]
20. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression. Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry*. 1987; 150:782–786. [PubMed: 3651732]
21. Herring SJ, Nelson DB, Davey A, et al. Determinants of excessive gestational weight gain in urban, low-income women. *Womens Health Issues*. 2012; 22:e439–446. [PubMed: 22818249]
22. Herring SJ, Rich-Edwards JW, Oken E, Rifas-Shiman SL, Kleinman KP, Gillman MW. Association of postpartum depression with weight retention one year after childbirth. *Obesity*. 2008; 16:1296–1301. [PubMed: 18369338]
23. Tovar A, Guthrie LB, Platek DM, et al. Modifiable predictors associated with having a gestational weight gain goal. *Matern Child Health J*. 2011; 15:1119–1126. [PubMed: 20711804]
24. Bennett GG, Herring SJ, Puleo E, Stein E, Emmons KM, Gillman MW. Web-based weight loss in primary care: a randomized controlled trial. *Obesity*. 2010; 18:308–313. [PubMed: 19696764]

25. Bennett GG, Warner ET, Glasgow RE, et al. Obesity treatment for socioeconomically disadvantaged patients in primary care practice. *Arch Intern Med.* 2012; 172:565–574. [PubMed: 22412073]
26. Bennett GG, Foley P, Levine E, et al. Behavioral treatment for weight gain prevention among black women in primary care practice: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013; 173:1770–1777. [PubMed: 23979005]
27. Steinberg DM, Levine EL, Askew S, Foley P, Bennett GG. Daily text messaging for weight control among racial and ethnic minority women: randomized controlled pilot study. *J Med Internet Res.* 2013; 15:e244. [PubMed: 24246427]
28. Reyes NR, Klotz AA, Herring SJ. A qualitative study of motivators and barriers to healthy eating in pregnancy for low-income, overweight, African-American mothers. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2013; 113:1175–1181. [PubMed: 23871106]
29. Abraham C, Michie S. A taxonomy of behavior change techniques used in interventions. *Health Psychol.* 2008; 27:379–387. [PubMed: 18624603]
30. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. [Accessed January 6, 2013] Weight control: eating right and keeping fit. FAQ064: Women's Health. <https://www.acog.org/~media/For%20Patients/faq064.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20140523T1057347441>
31. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. [Accessed January 6, 2013] Getting in shape after your baby is born. FAQ131: Labor, Delivery, and Postpartum Care. <http://www.acog.org/~media/For%20Patients/faq131.pdf?dmc=1&ts=20140523T1110395732>
32. Tate DF, Jackvony EH, Wing RR. A randomized trial comparing human e-mail counseling, computer-automated tailored counseling, and no counseling in an Internet weight loss program. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006; 166:1620–1625. [PubMed: 16908795]
33. Polzien KM, Jakicic JM, Tate DF, Otto AD. The efficacy of a technology-based system in a short-term behavioral weight loss intervention. *Obesity.* 2007; 15:825–830. [PubMed: 17426316]
34. Wing RR, Phelan S. Long-term weight loss maintenance. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2005; 82:2225–2255.
35. Evans RK, Bond DS, Wolfe LG, et al. Participation in 150 min/wk of moderate or higher intensity physical activity yields greater weight loss after gastric bypass surgery. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* 2007; 3:526–530. [PubMed: 17903772]

IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

These findings suggest that technology-based platforms hold promise for the delivery of weight loss interventions in the postpartum period among socioeconomically disadvantaged, ethnic minority women. Achieving clinically meaningful weight loss after childbirth among these women has been challenging. It is clear that new treatment approaches, such as using mobile technology platforms for intervention delivery, may be necessary to overcome the considerable challenge of preventing postpartum weight retention among urban, low-income women and requires further study in larger samples for longer durations to confirm efficacy. Future study designs allowing for analyses by racial/ethnic group are also important for understanding how some ethnic minority participants may respond differently than those from other racial/ethnic groups.

Figure 1.
The flow of participant enrollment and retention in the study

Table 1

Weight loss (kg) by treatment group

<i>Treatment group</i>	<i>Completers (n=17)</i>			<i>Intent to treat (n = 18)</i>		
	Mean (SD)	Difference between conditions ^a	Mean (95% CI)	P value	Mean (SD)	Difference between conditions ^a
Technology-based intervention	-3.3 (3.6)	-3.6 (-6.8, -0.3)		0.03	-2.9 (3.6)	-3.2 (-6.2, -0.1)
Control	0.5 (2.3)				0.5 (2.3)	

^a Adjusted for baseline weight