Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2014 June ; 0: 53–58. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2014.06.002.

Chromatin: A Capacitor of Acetate for Integrated Regulation of Gene Expression and Cell Physiology

Siavash K. Kurdistani

Department of Biological Chemistry, Molecular Biology Institute, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, and Eli and Edythe Broad Center of Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

Summary

Cancer tissues with lower global levels of histone acetylation display significantly increased rate of tumor recurrence or cancer-related mortality. The function global regulation of histone acetylation serves for the cell or how lower levels of histone acetylation may contribute to a more aggressive cancer phenotype has been unclear. Chromatin and histone modifications are currently thought to regulate only DNA-based processes. However, recent findings have revealed a novel function for global histone acetylation in direct regulation of cellular physiology. I will discuss how chromatin, by regulating the cellular flux of acetate, may integrate control of cellular physiologic state with gene expression and help explain the observations in cancer tissues.

Introduction

Local regulation and function of histone modifications: the current paradigm

Understanding the distributions of histone modifications across the genome in a variety of biological settings has become a priority for the epigenetic community and has led to significant investment in large-scale mapping efforts such as the NIH Epigenome Roadmap [1]. These maps are used to infer the functions of histone modifications by comparing the location of modified histones to other genomic landmarks or to nearby DNA-based processes such as transcription. At specific genomic loci, single or combinations of histone modifications may alter the local chromatin structure and/or serve as binding sites for recruitment of protein complexes with regulatory activities to the vicinity of DNA. This approach is based on what I call a “Positional Indexing” paradigm which assumes that histone modifications are regulated locally and mostly independently of other regions of the genome and function mainly to regulate proximate DNA-based events. While Positional Indexing has provided a useful intellectual framework for understanding the functional relevance, causally or correlationally, of histone modifications to various genomic

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Correspondence: Siavash Kurdistani, Tel: 310.794.5194, skurdistani@mednet.ucla.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

processes, this paradigm is too limited to explain the alterations in global levels of histone modifications observed in cancer as discussed below.

The biological relevance of global regulation of histone modifications

Individual cells or tissues display dramatic differences in the total levels of histone modifications contained within cells. Such cell to cell or tissue to tissue heterogeneity has been demonstrated by western blotting or immunohistochemical analysis of various cell types or tissues. For example, cells of skeletal muscle, heart and stomach contain much lower levels of histone acetylation compared to other tissues such as lung or colon [2]. But whether such regulation was biologically meaningful had remained obscure until our laboratory showed that the differences in global levels of histone acetylation and methylation of specific residues are prognostic of clinical outcome in cancer patients. Specifically, increased prevalence of cells with lower global levels of histone acetylation and/or methylation was associated with increased risk of tumor recurrence in prostate cancer [3]. The prognostic utility of global histone modification patterns is not specific to prostate cancer but has been extended by several laboratories to multiple other cancer types, revealing a surprisingly common feature among adenocarcinomas [4–7]. In most cases, lower global levels of histone acetylation and methylation has been associated with more clinically aggressive cancers of several organs, regardless of tissue of origin, and poor patient outcome such as decreased survival probability (Fig. 1) [8,9].

This prognostic link has underscored the biological relevance of global regulation of histone modifications. Maintenance of global histone acetylation requires a pool of ac-CoA generated from glucose, acetate or other carbon sources as well as enzymes involved in ac-CoA synthesis such as ATP citrate lyase and ac-CoA synthetase [10–12]. But it has been unclear what cellular processes might be affected by regulation of histone modifications at a global scale. Even more challenging has been the difficulty to formulate coherent and testable hypotheses within the Positional Indexing paradigm that would explain several observations made from analyses of global histone modifications in primary cancer tissues. First, it is hard to envision how lower levels of histone modifications would be associated with an aggressive phenotype in cancers that are derived from distinct tissue types with different gene expression patterns and mutational profiles. Second, different histone modifications are established by different sets of enzymes, yet most modifications show similar associations with cancer aggressiveness, requiring the balance of addition and removal of the modifications be tipped consistently toward the latter in aggressive cancers. Third, and most curious, the normal cells such as stromal fibroblasts within cancer tissues, show similar levels of global histone modifications as those of neighboring cancer cells—when cancer cells exhibit lower global levels of histone modifications, so too the normal cells in the same cancer tissue. These findings suggest that despite their prognostic relationship, the alterations in global levels of histone modifications are not cancer-cell specific, and may operate in both normal and cancer cells within a given tissue. An outstanding issue from the cancer studies has been whether the low global histone modification levels represent an active or a static epigenetic state. Do these cancers contain little histone acetyl- or methyltransferase activities resulting in hypo-modified histones? Or

is the rate of deacetylation/demethylation higher than acetylation/methylation and thus histones only *appear* hypo-modified?

Global regulation of histone acetylation is linked to the physiologic state of the cell

Addition or removal of histone modifications involves metabolites that are central to many catabolic and anabolic reactions such as acetyl coenzyme A (ac-CoA) and acetate in the case of histone acetylation and deacetylation, respectively. Acetate as a two carbon unit is a building block for biosynthesis of cellular macromolecules such as fatty acids but is also used as a post-translational modification. In the case of histones, not only they are heavily acetylated but the acetyl groups are turned over rapidly within minutes when measured by radioactive acetate labeling [13–15] (measurements using labeled glucose yield slower turnover rates [16,17]). Histone acetylation turnover rates are so far measured only in nutritionally replete and physiologically balanced conditions; but the rates may be faster or slower in different metabolic and physiological conditions. The turnover of histone acetylation is essentially converting ac-CoA to acetate anions and free CoA as histones are rapidly and continuously acetylated and deacetylated. The sheer scale and the short transit time of acetate molecules on histones could constitute a substantial fraction of cellular carbon pool that passes through chromatin. Regulation of the speed of acetate flux through chromatin—via modulation of the balance of acetylation and deacetylation reactions—could therefore determine the global levels of histone modifications at any given time; and also serve to regulate the availability of acetate anions as they get liberated from histones. Such regulation could be made responsive to certain biological cues such as metabolic or physiologic events that rely on supply of acetate.

Following this premise, our laboratory systematically analyzed the various components of a typical cell culture media to determine whether metabolic or physiologic perturbations affect global levels of histone modifications [18]. In none of the experimental conditions did we observe a significant change in global levels of histone methylation. I therefore will limit the discussion henceforth to histone acetylation. Of all the available carbon sources in the media, glucose, glutamine or pyruvate alone was sufficient in maintaining the global levels of histone acetylation at a similar level to that found when cells (HeLa, MDA-MB-231 and primary lung fibroblasts) were cultured in complete media. Removal of these carbon sources resulted in a gradual and stable loss of histone acetylation globally. But surprisingly addition of minimal amounts of glucose or glutamine at concentrations significantly below what is normally required for sustaining cell division did in fact restore normal levels of histone acetylation. Addition of all three nutrients had no additive or synergistic effects on global histone acetylation levels. So, while glucose, glutamine or pyruvate is required for histone acetylation, it is unlikely that availability of these carbon sources is related to the global alterations in global histone acetylation observed in cancer tissues.

In analyzing various physiological parameters, we made the surprising discovery that global acetylation and deacetylation of histones is in flux with exogenous acetate and protons and the direction of this flux is sensitive to intracellular pH (pH_i) [18]. Cells must constantly buffer their pH_i against extreme acidity or alkalinity—due to pH changes in microenvironment or intracellular metabolic activity—to function properly and have

therefore acquired multiple mechanisms to maintain their pH_i . These mechanisms include sodium/proton and chloride/bicarbonate exchangers, proton pump ATPases as well as the monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs) [19,20]. MCTs in particular have been shown to function mainly when pH_i drops below 7 [20–22]. Acetate and other small organic acids are primarily transported in and out of the cell through the MCTs [23]. Interestingly, MCT1–4 proteins are obligate proton symporters, coupling the transport of small fatty acids such as acetate to protons [23]. In rapidly growing cells in typical DMEM, the pH_i is slightly alkaline ($\text{pH} \sim 7.4$) and although acetate is continually exchanging with the outside environment, the net flow of acetate is toward inside the cell with high levels of global histone acetylation. As pH_i becomes more acidic especially as it goes below $\text{pH}_i \sim 7.0$, the net flow of acetate reverses and becomes outward. At the same time histones are increasingly deacetylated leading to appearance of globally hypoacetylated chromatin. Increased and continual deacetylation of histones liberates acetate anions that are then exported out of the cell along with protons. The net effect of global and dynamic histone deacetylation and export of acetate and protons out of the cell is decreased proton concentration inside the cell and buffering the cell against further acidification [18]. Thus by regulating the availability of acetate for proton transport, chromatin in effect regulates the cellular proton load, and hence, pH_i (Fig. 2). Importantly, we ruled out a connection between global acetylation levels and the degree of glycolytic phenotype (i.e., the Warburg effect) so long as the cells had similar intracellular pH levels (unpublished data).

These findings have now provided a plausible explanation for why cancers with lower histone acetylation levels are more aggressive. It is possible that these cancers are experiencing an acidic microenvironment and are continuously deacetylating their histones to generate acetate anions for proton export to maintain a viable pH_i . It is not uncommon for tumors to have low interstitial pH and in fact measured pH of cancer tissues in vivo is commonly in the range of 6.4–7.5 [24–26] and in certain cases as low as pH 6 [27]. Such acidic conditions select for more resilient cells that are resistant to chemotherapy and/or radiation [28–31], potentially explaining the association of low histone acetylation with aggressive cancers, regardless of the tissue of origin. Even normal stromal cells within acidic cancer tissues or normal cells with low pH_i such as skeletal muscles ($\text{pH}_i \sim 6.0$ [32]) could use histone acetylation to prevent even further intracellular acidification.

Regulation of intracellular pH by histone acetylation is also conserved in the budding yeast which also globally deacetylates its histones in response to acidity. Interestingly, a screen of yeast gene knockout collection for mutants with low global histone acetylation levels identified several members of the Vacuolar-type H^+ -ATPase (V-ATPase) [33]. This proton pump uses the energy of ATP hydrolysis to transport protons across intracellular and plasma membranes into the vacuole or to the extracellular space. Disruption of this enzyme complex leads to acidification of cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments due to insufficient proton transport into the vacuole or out of the cell, potentially obliging the cell to increase histone deacetylation to generate acetate anions for proton export. This could explain why yeast cells lacking the V-ATPase genes have low global levels of histone acetylation.

Relating the ‘global’ effects to the molecular distribution of histone acetylation

The term ‘global’ is used to describe broad changes in the levels histone acetylation at the whole cell level. However, this term is too simplistic and naively implies that all histone acetylation sites across the genome are functionally equivalent. For instance, at the molecular level, vast regions of the genome are deacetylated on histone H4 lysine 16 (H4K16) at low pH but a limited and unique number of chromosomal domains are newly acetylated [18]. And not all hypoacetylated regions are similar in nature. Some hypoacetylated regions are dynamically deacetylated as they regain acetylation when treated with HDAC inhibitors; while other hypoacetylated regions are subject to one-off deacetylation events and remain deacetylated even in presence of HDAC inhibitors (unpublished data). So, the global changes in histone modification levels are principally an indication of an ‘extreme makeover’ of the epigenome: extensive redistribution at the genomic level that is associated with considerable changes in the total number of modified loci.

Since most histone acetylation peaks are by and large at promoters, enhancers or gene regulatory regions, the response of histone acetylation to pH variation could be integrated with control of gene expression [18]. At this point, there is not adequate information on which HDACs may be involved in response to pH, how they may sense changes in pH or modulate their enzymatic activities. More information on the distributions of several histone acetylation sites at different pH levels are also needed to delineate how changes in levels and distributions of the individual histone lysines correlate with the transcriptional adaptations that occur when pH_i is altered. But it is tempting to speculate that pH regulation or proton dynamics may be an element in the logic by which certain genes or regions in the genome are selected for targeting by a given HDAC. For example, the *S. cerevisiae* major HDAC RPD3 (for Reduced Potassium Dependency 3) is involved in regulation of phosphate and potassium uptake genes as well as genes targeted by the transcription factor Opi1, a negative regulator of phospholipid biosynthesis [34–36]. The biology of these Rpd3 target genes are all linked to dynamics of proton regulation [37–39].

Chromatin as a carbon capacitor

We have known for decades that metabolic pathways provide metabolites and co-factors for epigenetic enzymes to modify chromatin for regulation of gene expression. The response of chromatin to pH variation has generated an expanded paradigm, one in which chromatin is not simply the recipient of metabolic inputs but may provide regulatory feedback through regulation of gene expression *and* provision of metabolites back to metabolic or physiologic pathways (Fig. 3). In the case of acetylation, histones may function as a ‘way station’ in the flux of cellular acetate and together with the use of the numerous histone acetyltransferase and deacetylase enzymes, impart chromatin with the capability to function as a capacitor for storage and release of acetyl groups as dictated by cellular metabolic or physiologic needs.

Acknowledgments

I apologize to those whose research was not discussed due to space limitations. SKK is supported by NIH grant CA178415-01.

References and recommended reading

* of special interest

** of outstanding interest

1. Ernst J, Kheradpour P, Mikkelsen TS, Shores N, Ward LD, Epstein CB, Zhang X, Wang L, Issner R, Coyne M, et al. Mapping and analysis of chromatin state dynamics in nine human cell types. *Nature*. 2011; 473:43–49. [PubMed: 21441907]
2. Iwabata H, Yoshida M, Komatsu Y. Proteomic analysis of organ-specific post-translational lysine-acetylation and -methylation in mice by use of anti-acetyllysine and -methyllysine mouse monoclonal antibodies. *Proteomics*. 2005; 5:4653–4664. [PubMed: 16247734]
- 3**. Seligson DB, Horvath S, Shi T, Yu H, Tze S, Grunstein M, Kurdistani SK. Global histone modification patterns predict risk of prostate cancer recurrence. *Nature*. 2005; 435:1262–1266. This paper reported that lower levels of global histone modifications are associated with more aggressive prostate cancers, providing the first link between global histone modification levels and cancer clinical outcome. [PubMed: 15988529]
- 4*. Elsheikh SE, Green AR, Rakha EA, Powe DG, Ahmed RA, Collins HM, Soria D, Garibaldi JM, Paish CE, Ammar AA, et al. Global histone modifications in breast cancer correlate with tumor phenotypes, prognostic factors, and patient outcome. *Cancer Res*. 2009; 69:3802–3809. The largest study of global histone modifications and clinical outcome in breast cancer, validating the prognostic utility of global histone modifications. [PubMed: 19366799]
- 5**. Fraga MF, Ballestar E, Villar-Garea A, Boix-Chornet M, Espada J, Schotta G, Bonaldi T, Haydon C, Ropero S, Petrie K, et al. Loss of acetylation at Lys16 and trimethylation at Lys20 of histone H4 is a common hallmark of human cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2005; 37:391–400. This paper provided the early evidence that global histone modification levels are altered commonly in cancer. [PubMed: 15765097]
6. Manuyakorn A, Paulus R, Farrell J, Dawson NA, Tze S, Cheung-Lau G, Hines OJ, Reber H, Seligson DB, Horvath S, et al. Cellular histone modification patterns predict prognosis and treatment response in resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma: results from RTOG 9704. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010; 28:1358–1365. [PubMed: 20142597]
7. Seligson DB, Horvath S, McBrien MA, Mah V, Yu H, Tze S, Wang Q, Chia D, Goodglick L, Kurdistani SK. Global levels of histone modifications predict prognosis in different cancers. *Am J Pathol*. 2009; 174:1619–1628. [PubMed: 19349354]
8. Greer EL, Shi Y. Histone methylation: a dynamic mark in health, disease and inheritance. *Nature reviews Genetics*. 2012; 13:343–357.
9. Kurdistani SK. Histone modifications in cancer biology and prognosis. *Prog Drug Res*. 2011; 67:91–106. [PubMed: 21141726]
10. Friis RM, Wu BP, Reinke SN, Hockman DJ, Sykes BD, Schultz MC. A glycolytic burst drives glucose induction of global histone acetylation by picNuA4 and SAGA. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2009; 37:3969–3980. [PubMed: 19406923]
11. Takahashi H, McCaffery JM, Irizarry RA, Boeke JD. Nucleocytosolic acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase is required for histone acetylation and global transcription. *Mol Cell*. 2006; 23:207–217. [PubMed: 16857587]
- 12**. Wellen KE, Hatzivassiliou G, Sachdeva UM, Bui TV, Cross JR, Thompson CB. ATP-citrate lyase links cellular metabolism to histone acetylation. *Science*. 2009; 324:1076–1080. This paper demonstrates the function of a metabolic enzyme in providing acetyl co-enzyme A for histone acetylation. [PubMed: 19461003]
- 13*. Waterborg JH. Dynamics of histone acetylation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochemistry*. 2001; 40:2599–2605. One of the few early studies examining the rate of turnover of histone acetylation in vivo. [PubMed: 11327883]
14. Waterborg JH. Dynamics of histone acetylation in vivo. A function for acetylation turnover? *Biochem Cell Biol*. 2002; 80:363–378. [PubMed: 12123289]

15. Waterborg JH, Kapros T. Kinetic analysis of histone acetylation turnover and Trichostatin A induced hyper- and hypoacetylation in alfalfa. *Biochem Cell Biol.* 2002; 80:279–293. [PubMed: 12123281]
16. Zee BM, Levin RS, Dimaggio PA, Garcia BA. Global turnover of histone post-translational modifications and variants in human cells. *Epigenetics & chromatin.* 2010; 3:22. [PubMed: 21134274]
17. Zheng Y, Thomas PM, Kelleher NL. Measurement of acetylation turnover at distinct lysines in human histones identifies long-lived acetylation sites. *Nature communications.* 2013; 4:2203.
- 18**. McBrien MA, Behbahan IS, Ferrari R, Su T, Huang TW, Li K, Hong CS, Christofk HR, Vogelauer M, Seligson DB, et al. Histone acetylation regulates intracellular pH. *Molecular Cell.* 2013; 49:310–321. This paper demonstrates that dynamic and global histone acetylation and deacetylation is coupled to cellular flux of acetate and protons, imparting chromatin with the ability to regulate intracellular pH. [PubMed: 23201122]
19. Casey JR, Grinstein S, Orlowski J. Sensors and regulators of intracellular pH. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 11:50–61. [PubMed: 19997129]
20. Parks SK, Chiche J, Pouyssegur J. pH control mechanisms of tumor survival and growth. *Journal of cellular physiology.* 2011; 226:299–308. [PubMed: 20857482]
21. Wahl ML, Owen JA, Burd R, Herlands RA, Nogami SS, Rodeck U, Berd D, Leeper DB, Owen CS. Regulation of intracellular pH in human melanoma: potential therapeutic implications. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2002; 1:617–628. [PubMed: 12479222]
22. Parks SK, Chiche J, Pouyssegur J. Disrupting proton dynamics and energy metabolism for cancer therapy. *Nature reviews Cancer.* 2013; 13:611–623.
23. Halestrap AP. The SLC16 gene family - structure, role and regulation in health and disease. *Molecular aspects of medicine.* 2013; 34:337–349. [PubMed: 23506875]
24. Helmlinger G, Yuan F, Dellian M, Jain RK. Interstitial pH and pO₂ gradients in solid tumors in vivo: high-resolution measurements reveal a lack of correlation. *Nat Med.* 1997; 3:177–182. [PubMed: 9018236]
25. Wike-Hooley JL, van den Berg AP, van der Zee J, Reinhold HS. Human tumour pH and its variation. *European journal of cancer & clinical oncology.* 1985; 21:785–791. [PubMed: 4043168]
26. Zhang X, Lin Y, Gillies RJ. Tumor pH and its measurement. *Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine.* 2010; 51:1167–1170.
27. van den Berg AP, Wike-Hooley JL, van den Berg-Blok AE, van der Zee J, Reinhold HS. Tumour pH in human mammary carcinoma. *European journal of cancer & clinical oncology.* 1982; 18:457–462. [PubMed: 6889524]
28. Lora-Michiels M, Yu D, Sanders L, Poulson JM, Azuma C, Case B, Vujaskovic Z, Thrall DE, Charles HC, Dewhirst MW. Extracellular pH and P-31 magnetic resonance spectroscopic variables are related to outcome in canine soft tissue sarcomas treated with thermoradiotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006; 12:5733–5740. [PubMed: 17020978]
29. Moellering RE, Black KC, Krishnamurty C, Baggett BK, Stafford P, Rain M, Gatenby RA, Gillies RJ. Acid treatment of melanoma cells selects for invasive phenotypes. *Clin Exp Metastasis.* 2008; 25:411–425. [PubMed: 18301995]
30. Perona R, Portillo F, Giraldez F, Serrano R. Transformation and pH homeostasis of fibroblasts expressing yeast H(+)-ATPase containing site-directed mutations. *Mol Cell Biol.* 1990; 10:4110–4115. [PubMed: 2142513]
31. Rofstad EK, Mathiesen B, Kindem K, Galappathi K. Acidic extracellular pH promotes experimental metastasis of human melanoma cells in athymic nude mice. *Cancer Res.* 2006; 66:6699–6707. [PubMed: 16818644]
32. Carter NW, Rector FC Jr, Campion DS, Seldin DW. Measurement of intracellular pH of skeletal muscle with pH-sensitive glass microelectrodes. *The Journal of clinical investigation.* 1967; 46:920–933. [PubMed: 6026098]
33. Peng W, Togawa C, Zhang K, Kurdistani SK. Regulators of cellular levels of histone acetylation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics.* 2008; 179:277–289. [PubMed: 18493053]
34. Kurdistani SK, Robyr D, Tavazoie S, Grunstein M. Genome-wide binding map of the histone deacetylase Rpd3 in yeast. *Nature genetics.* 2002; 31:248–254. [PubMed: 12089521]

35. Vidal M, Buckley AM, Hilger F, Gaber RF. Direct selection for mutants with increased K⁺ transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics*. 1990; 125:313–320. [PubMed: 2199313]
- 36**. Vogelauer M, Wu J, Suka N, Grunstein M. Global histone acetylation and deacetylation in yeast. *Nature*. 2000; 408:495–498. This paper provides the first evidence that histone acetylation and deacetylation is pervasive and affects regions of the genome other than promoters. [PubMed: 11100734]
37. Michel B, Lozano C, Rodriguez M, Coria R, Ramirez J, Pena A. The yeast potassium transporter TRK2 is able to substitute for TRK1 in its biological function under low K and low pH conditions. *Yeast*. 2006; 23:581–589. [PubMed: 16823886]
38. Wykoff DD, O'Shea EK. Phosphate transport and sensing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics*. 2001; 159:1491–1499. [PubMed: 11779791]
- 39**. Young BP, Shin JJ, Orij R, Chao JT, Li SC, Guan XL, Khong A, Jan E, Wenk MR, Prinz WA, et al. Phosphatidic acid is a pH biosensor that links membrane biogenesis to metabolism. *Science*. 2010; 329:1085–1088. This paper demonstrates a novel function for the lipid phosphatidic acid as a pH sensor, raising the possibility that signaling lipids with phosphomonoester head groups may provide a prevalent mechanism for linking pH changes to signaling pathways. [PubMed: 20798321]

Figure 1. Global histone modification levels as cancer prognostic markers

Immunohistochemical staining of primary cancer tissues with an anti-histone 3 lysine 18 acetylation (H3K18ac) antibody shows cellular heterogeneity in global levels of H3K18ac. Cells with high and low levels of histone acetylation are stained brown and blue respectively. Generally, lower levels of most histone acetylation and methylation sites are predictive of poorer prognosis. Note that the normal cells within the stroma of the cancer tissues have similar global levels of histone acetylation as cancer cells. Based on the model proposed in this article (see Fig. 2), cancer tissues with low global histone acetylation levels may be experiencing an acidic environment and are actively deacetylating their histones to liberate acetate anions for proton export.

Figure 2. Chromatin as a rheostat for regulation of intracellular pH

A proposed model for how dynamic and global histone acetylation and deacetylation together with the cellular flux of acetate and protons may regulate intracellular pH. Although intracellular acetate is continually exchanging with exogenous acetate, in alkaline pH the net flow of acetate and protons is inward, histone acetylation is favored and histones appear hyper-acetylated (upper panel). Cancers with high global acetylation levels may be in such a condition (inset). Due to increased acidity in the extracellular environment or other cell-intrinsic processes, protons may accumulate in the cell, leading to decreased intracellular pH (middle panel). To buffer the cell against further acidification, histone

deacetylation is favored—in the face of continual acetylation—with the net flow of acetate anions and protons toward the outside of the cell which decreases the intracellular proton load (lower panel). In these acidic conditions, histones *appear* hypo-acetylated, a condition which may explain cancers with low global levels of histone acetylation (inset). This model proposes that chromatin functions as a capacitor for acetate flux, regulating the availability acetate anions through the counteracting actions of histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and deacetylases (HDACs). A prediction of this model that HDAC inhibitors may disrupt the cellular pH buffering capacity by diminishing the availability of acetate for proton export has been borne out by experiments [18]. (MCT: monocarboxylate transporter. The orange circles represent acetylation of histone N-termini.)

Figure 3. Chromatin as a regulator of carbon flux and gene expression

A model for how chromatin may be integrated into the broader metabolic and physiologic networks of the cell. Chromatin may receive inputs from various metabolo-physiologic pathways. These inputs may be in the forms of active metabolites and co-factors for epigenetic enzymes but also relay information about the state of the cell such as pH. Chromatin in turn responds by changing the patterns of gene expression and/or provision of carbon in the form of acetate to modulate cellular metabolism and physiology. Similar concepts may apply to histone methylation and other modifications. (The orange and red circles represent acetylation and methylation of histone N-termini, respectively.)