Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jobcr

Case Report

Maxillary canine transposition – A literature review with case report

Shuchi Tripathi*, Raghuwar D. Singh, Saumyendra Vikram Singh,
Deeksha Arya

Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dental Sciences, King George's Medical University,
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 May 2014

Accepted 22 July 2014

Available online 15 August 2014

Keywords:

Ectopic eruption

Permanent dentition

Tooth agenesis

Tooth transposition

ABSTRACT

Tooth transposition is a severe disturbance of tooth eruptive position and their sequence, which involve certain teeth occurring at any of several specific sites in the mouth. Tooth transposition is of several types and their classification depends on the teeth involved. The review of literature aims to discuss the incidence and identifying factors related to occurrence of this dental anomaly. The present study aims to discuss about the prosthetic treatment of a patient with unilateral maxillary canine – lateral incisor transposition with the absence of permanent lateral incisor.

Copyright © 2014, Craniofacial Research Foundation. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Tooth transposition is defined as the positional interchange of two adjacent teeth within the same quadrant.¹ It is identified as complete transposition when the involved teeth get inter-exchanged in the dental arch and as incomplete transposition where the crowns are transposed with the roots remaining in their normal positions.¹ Excluding third molars, the maxillary canines constitute the permanent teeth which more frequently show eruption disturbances.² Tooth transposition is more varied and frequently seen in the upper jaw with commonest involvement of maxillary canines.^{1,3,4} In 1995, Peck and Peck had classified tooth transposition according to the teeth involved into 5 types and these are Canine – First premolar, Canine – Lateral incisor, Canine – first molar

position, Lateral incisor – Central incisor and Canine to central incisor position.⁵

In the present article, a literature review of canine transposition with associated identifying factors and a case report of canine to lateral incisor transposition along with prosthodontic rehabilitation have been discussed.

2. Incidence and prevalence

Tooth transposition can adversely affect the normal dentition both from the esthetic and functional aspects and therefore, it is important to know the etiological factors affecting the incidence and prevalence of this abnormality so that possible preventive measures can be established. There are various

* Corresponding author. C-13, Arawali Marg, Indiranagar, Lucknow 226016, India. Fax: +91 5222344125.

E-mail address: dr08shuchi@gmail.com (S. Tripathi).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jobcr.2014.07.001>

2212-4268/Copyright © 2014, Craniofacial Research Foundation. All rights reserved.

factors responsible for the etiology of tooth transposition along with numerous existing theories describing variables related to the location of the transposition and involvement of particular teeth. Tooth transpositions are more commonly observed in females^{3–9} and the proportions observed in ratio of male and female are – 1:2,⁹ 1:1.55,⁵ 1:3.8⁶ and 2:3.³ Similar occurrence in both genders have also been noticed.¹⁰ There are several etiologic factors associated with tooth transposition like genetics, interchange in the position of the developing tooth buds, trauma, mechanical interferences, and early loss of incisors.^{3–11} The most common teeth involved in transposition are maxillary canines having prevalence rate of 0.14%–0.51%, particularly affecting left side unilateral transpositions.^{3,12–14} Amongst them maxillary canine – first premolar transposition is the most prevalent,¹⁵ having incidence rate of approximately 0.13% in the population, representing 71%–89.2% in total.^{3,16} An autosomal recessive mutation was confirmed as the etiology of maxillary canine – first premolar transposition.¹¹ On the contrary, in a study performed in the Turkish population, Mx.C.I2 was the most frequent transposition having similar incidence in both gender and also involving both sides of the arch.¹⁷

Tooth transposition has been noticed with other dental anomalies also in various literatures, which is reported in 40% of the case with agenesis, in 25% of the cases with peg shaped lateral incisors and in 50% of the cases with retention of deciduous teeth. The unilateral or bilateral absence of lateral incisors was found in nearly 25% of the maxillary canine – first premolar cases. A significant relation ($p < 0.001$) between lateral incisor agenesis and maxillary canine – first premolar transposition was observed.⁴ A multidisciplinary Approach is needed for the successful treatment of this anomaly to achieve a long-term esthetic and functional outcome.^{18–26}

3. Case report

A nineteen year old female patient came to the institution with the chief complain of poor esthetics and mobile front tooth. Intraoral examination revealed presence of mobile 63, absent 22 and 23 transposed at 22. Midline diastema in the maxilla was also seen but the patient was not much concerned for that problem. On radiographic examination agenesis of maxillary left lateral incisor was found with roots of the deciduous canine almost completely resorbed (Fig. 1). All other teeth were in proper occlusion with Angle's class one occlusion. The patient was more esthetic concerned and wanted her tooth replacement earlier. Implant placement along with anatomical correction of canine as lateral incisor was also one of the options but due to financial problem implant placement was not possible. The mobile deciduous canine was extracted and an immediate removable partial denture was inserted just after extraction (Fig. 2). After healing it was decided to replace the canine space using the canine and first premolar as an abutment. Presence of canine in place of lateral incisor was advantageous as canine is supposed to be a very strong and stable abutment while in normal condition canine replacement in fixed prosthodontics is a difficult task. Supragingival wingless marginal preparation of canine and first premolar was done (Fig. 3). Canine was somewhat

Fig. 1 – IOPA x-ray of maxillary left canine region.

overprepared labially and incisally in such a way that it could be restored as lateral incisor, however care was taken to avoid pulp exposure. Coping trial was done and finally after proper shade selection, ceramic was applied on the facial surface of the prosthesis and cemented. Group function occlusion was provided on the left side to avoid any stress on the replaced canine. Patient was quite satisfied with the esthetic and function of the fixed prosthesis (Fig. 4).

4. Discussion

Morphology and growth pattern of connective tissues of jaws are responsible for physiologic tooth migration and tooth eruption path. While the position of the tooth crypts in the upper jaw is determined by the shape of adjacent structures, particularly of the teeth located in the anterior maxilla.²⁷ The

Fig. 2 – Intraoral view showing immediate denture in place.

Fig. 3 – Intra-oral view showing teeth preparation.

eruption path of tooth generally follows orientation of root which can be influenced and altered by adjacent structures like maxillary spaces, variations in the growth speed and mechanical interferences.²⁷ It has been found that in pre-eruptive stage of maxillary canine, the tooth bud is located adjacent to the apertura piriformis which is at relatively higher position occupied by pre-erupted premolars. Therefore, it can be the main cause of maxillary canines presenting higher risk of ectopic eruption.¹⁵ Traumatic injuries to deciduous teeth and bone pathologies such as nonunion, cyst and tumor formation leads to disturbance in eruption path of permanent tooth germs. Also, the increased occurrence of retained deciduous canine and simultaneously presence of permanent canine transposition creates a cause-effect relationship. Though, it is a matter of speculation that whether the retention of primary tooth is responsible for displacement of its permanent successor or a changed path of eruption of corresponding successor may lead to the retention of primary tooth.¹

Fig. 4 – Post-treatment extra-oral view of the patient.

When treating transpositions, many factors that affect the treatment results must be considered, such as esthetics, occlusion, treatment period, patient comfort, patient cooperation, and periodontal support. Age is the noticeable factor beyond the factors listed above, which is directly correlated with the tissue regeneration.²⁸ In the present case, there is a possibility that lateral incisor agenesis was responsible for medial deviation of the left canine. This in turn led to the eruption of the permanent canine in place of permanent lateral incisor and also persistence of the primary canine. Pediatric dentists play a major role in prevention and interception of these type of abnormalities, based on timely detection of variations in the tooth position by making early diagnosis and needful treatment possible.^{5,9,29} This is found to be beneficial in case evolution and reduces the chances of tooth transposition.¹ Late diagnosis of tooth transposition, when the permanent canine has completely erupted, prevents any attempt at its orthodontic correction. Also there is a higher risk of damage to supporting structures if, orthodontic correction will be done in these cases. To avoid this, the tooth should be restored in its erupted sequence and tried to be treated in the same way.^{1,27}

Replacement of canine in fixed prosthodontics is considered to be a difficult process due to presence of lateral incisor and first premolar as weak abutments. However in the present case report, though the space is present at canine place but transposed canine at the place of lateral incisor could serve as adequate abutment for the replacement. As the patient smile was not at high level, so keeping this fact in view supra-gingival marginal preparation was provided which could maintain significant gingival health along with esthetic fulfillment. The treatment was aimed to provide a mutually protected occlusal relationship on the restored side to avoid harmful lateral forces on prosthetic canine during excursive movements of the mandible.

Though the present case report is not very complex, neither a cleft lip nor palate case, however it is quite common to see such type of cases without having any other abnormality. Prosthodontic correction in such type of patient is an acceptable treatment alternative rather than having other complicated and prolonged treatment modalities.

Conflicts of interest

No conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Shapira Y, Kufninec MM. Tooth transpositions—a review of the literature and treatment considerations. *Angle Orthod.* 1989;59:271–276.
2. Garib DG, Alencar BM, Ferreira FV, Ozawa TO. Associated dental anomalies: the orthodontist decoding the genetics which regulates the dental development disturbances. *Dent Press J Orthod.* 2010;15(2).
3. Plunket DJ, Dysart PS, Kardos TB, Herbison GP. A study of transposed canines in a sample of orthodontic patients. *Br J Orthod.* 1998;25:203–208.

4. Budai M, Ficzer I, Gabris K, Tarjan I. Frequency of transposition and its treatment at the Department of Orthodontics of Semmelweis University in the last five years. *Fogorv Sz.* 2003;96:21–24.
5. Peck S, Peck L. Classification of maxillary tooth transpositions. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 1995;107:505–517.
6. Peck L, Peck S, Attia Y. Maxillary canine-first premolar transposition, associated dental anomalies and genetic basis. *Angle Orthod.* 1993;63:99–110.
7. Peck S, Peck L, Kataja M. Mandibular lateral incisor-canine transposition, concomitant dental anomalies, and genetic control. *Angle Orthod.* 1998;68:455–466.
8. Shapira Y. Transposition of canines. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1980;100:710–712.
9. Shapira Y, Kuftinec MM. Maxillary tooth transpositions: characteristic features and accompanying dental anomalies. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2001;119:127–134.
10. Weeks EC, Power SM. The presentations and management of transposed teeth. *Br Dent J.* 1996;181:421–424.
11. Feichtinger C, Rossiwall B, Wunderer H. Canine transposition as autosomal recessive trait in an inbred. *J Dent Res.* 1977;56(12):1449–1452.
12. Demir A, Basciftci FA, Gelgor IE, Karaman AI. Maxillary canine transposition. *J Clin Orthod.* 2002;36:35–37.
13. Ruprecht A, Batniji S, El-Neweihi E. The incidence of transposition of teeth in dental patients. *J Pedod.* 1985;9:244–249.
14. Joshi MR, Bhatt NA. Canine transposition. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1971;31:49–54.
15. Peretz B, Arad A. Bilateral transposition of maxillary canines and first premolars: case report. *Quintessence Int.* 1992;23:345–348.
16. Tukkahraman H, Sayin MO, Yilmaz HH. Maxillary canine transposition to incisor site: a rare condition. *Angle Orthod.* 2005;75:284–287.
17. Yilmaz HH, Tukkahraman H, Sayin MO. Prevalence of tooth transpositions and associated dental anomalies in Turkish population. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol.* 2005;34:32–35.
18. Shapira Y, Kuftinec MM. A unique treatment approach for maxillary canine-lateral incisor transposition. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2001;119:540–545.
19. Chaushu S, Becker A, Zalkind M. Prosthetic considerations in the restoration of orthodontically treated maxillary lateral incisors to replace missing central incisors: a clinical report. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2001;85:335–341.
20. Beznos C. An alternative approach to replacement of a congenitally missing maxillary central incisor: a case report. *Quintessence Int.* 1996;27:759–766.
21. Kokich VG, Nappen DL, Shapiro PA. Gingival contour and clinical crown length: their effect on the esthetic appearance of maxillary anterior teeth. *Am J Orthod.* 1984;86:89–94.
22. Rabie AB, Wong RW. Bilateral transposition of maxillary canines to the incisor region. *J Clin Orthod.* 1999;33:651–655.
23. Oesterle LJ, Cronin Jr RJ, Ranly DM. Maxillary implants and the growing patient. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 1993;8:377–387.
24. Cronin Jr RJ, Oesterle LJ. Implant use in growing patients. Treatment planning concerns. *Dent Clin North Am.* 1998;42:1–34.
25. Sarver DM, Ackerman MB. Dynamic smile visualization and quantification: part 1. Evolution of the concept and dynamic records for smile capture. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2003;124:4–12.
26. Sarver DM, Ackerman MB. Dynamic smile visualization and quantification: part 2. Smile analysis and treatment strategies. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2003;124:116–127.
27. Lptook T, Silling G. Canine transposition – approaches to treatment. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1983;107:746–748.
28. Babacan H, Kilic B, Bicakci A. Maxillary canine-first premolar transposition in the permanent dentition. *Angle Orthod.* 2008;78(5):954–960.
29. Bocchieri A, Braga G. Correction of a bilateral maxillary canine-first premolar transposition in the late mixed dentition. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2002;121:120–128.