Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jobcr

Case Report

Two dimensional alveolar ridge augmentation using particulate hydroxyapatite and collagen membrane: A case report

Aparna Singh ^{a,*}, Anika Daing ^a, Vishal Anand ^a, Jaya Dixit ^b^a Senior Resident, Department of Periodontology, Faculty of Dental Sciences, King George Medical College, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India^b Head of Department, Department of Periodontology, Faculty of Dental Sciences, C.S.M. Medical University (Upgraded KGMC), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 February 2012

Accepted 8 January 2014

Available online 28 May 2014

Keywords:

Ridge augmentation

Hydroxyapatite

Collagen membrane

ABSTRACT

Background: Ridge augmentation procedures require bone regeneration outside of the existing bony walls or housing and are therefore often considered to be the most challenging surgical procedures. The bony deficiencies can be managed with GBR techniques involving bone grafting material and membrane while vertical augmentation may require the use of space-creating support mechanisms. Non-degradable membranes have been used for ridge augmentation with encouraging results however; requirement of second surgery for its removal and associated infection on exposure may compromise the desired results. These problems can be overcome by employing resorbable collagen membranes. Different bone graft materials are also used in combination with resorbable membranes, for prevention of membrane collapse and maintenance of space, as they lack sufficient rigidity. Particulate hydroxyapatite bone graft may be better alternative, because it treats the underlying bone defect to restore the natural support of the tissue architecture. Moreover, its use avoids potential donor site complications associated with autogenous block grafts.

Method: Patient described in this report presented with missing right maxillary incisor with ridge deficiency. A treatment approach involving localised ridge augmentation with particulate hydroxyapatite and collagen membrane was used.

Result: Six month post-operative periapical radiograph demonstrated a significant vertical bone fill.

Conclusion: The clinical and radiographic findings of the present case suggests that HA in conjunction with a resorbable collagen membrane may be an acceptable alternative to the autogenous block graft and non-resorbable membrane in the treatment of compromised alveolar ridge deficiencies.

Copyright © 2014, Craniofacial Research Foundation. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 9451081934.

E-mail address: draparna24@yahoo.in (A. Singh).

2212-4268/\$ – see front matter Copyright © 2014, Craniofacial Research Foundation. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jobcr.2014.01.002>

1. Introduction

When planning the replacement of an extracted tooth, one that is missing because of trauma, or one missing congenitally, by conventional or implant retained prostheses, consideration must be given to the alveolar ridge form in the edentulous area. This is important in terms of aesthetic considerations and to provide sufficient bone volume. Labial ridge deficiency after tooth loss is almost inevitable in the anterior maxilla and the ridge architecture may need to be re-established if a satisfactory result is to be created. Guided bone regeneration (GBR) is a well proven and widely used technique for local defect regeneration prior to, or simultaneously with, implant–prosthetic rehabilitation.^{1,2} It involves the use of a physical barrier to exclude soft tissue cells, maintain space and stabilise the wound.³ Non-resorbable expanded polytetrafluoroethylene membranes (e-PTFE) have been used extensively since the 1980s for GBR.^{1,4} However, this material has exhibited various shortcomings like frequent exposure of the membranes, need for an additional surgery, and the exposure of the newly regenerated bone to resorptive conditions after removal of membrane. To overcome these drawbacks, resorbable collagen membranes were introduced which are advantageous, as they permit one-step surgical placement, are well accepted by host and have a reasonably good manipulative consistency.⁵ These membranes, however, possess less robust space-maintaining properties than their e-PTFE prototypes.⁵ To fortify a potential regenerative space, a bioabsorbable membrane requires placement of an underlying adjunct graft such as autografts, allografts and xenografts or alloplasts.^{1,2,6} Bone graft substitutes like hydroxyapatite (HA) have been developed as alternatives to autologous or allogeneic bone grafts and literature is replete with studies documenting its regenerative potential.^{7,8} This case report describes the potential of particulate HA and collagen membrane to correct alveolar ridge defect combined with resin-bonded prosthesis to achieve esthetics and health.

2. Case report

A 27-year-old female reported to the Department of Periodontology, F.O.D.S, C.S.M. Medical University for oral prophylaxis and replacement of missing upper central incisor. The patient had a non-contributory medical history however, dental history revealed trauma to the right maxillary central incisor that led to its extraction 9 months back. A thorough clinical and radiographic examination showed a Seibert's Class III defect,⁹ with pronounced deficiency in faciopalatal width and little loss of ridge height, in the edentulous region (Fig. 1A and B). Informed consent was obtained from the patient.

3. Surgical procedure

The surgical procedure was performed under strict aseptic conditions. Local anaesthesia was administered and horizontal incision was made on the palatal side, 2 mm from the

Fig. 1 – Pre-operative (A) buccal view showing missing right central incisor and related alveolar deficiency and (B) periapical radiograph revealing resorbed alveolar ridge.

mid crest, continuing with the intrasulcular incision at the adjoining teeth. Vertical releasing incisions were made at distobuccal line angles of teeth adjacent to edentulous area to elevate a full thickness mucoperiosteal flap. The width of the ridge was narrow and the buccal aspect showed great deformity (Fig. 2A). The defect area on the buccal side was grafted with HA (G Bone) and collagen membrane (PerioCOL, Type I collagen of fish origin) was shaped to completely cover the bone graft in a saddle-like manner (Fig. 2B and C). Flaps were sutured with a combination of horizontal mattress and simple interrupted sutures using 4–0 silk suture (Fig. 2D) and periodontal pack was placed. Post-surgically, the patient was placed on amoxicillin 500 mg tid and analgesic (diclofenac and paracetamol) bid for 5 days, instructed to use 0.2% chlorhexidine mouthwash twice a day for a month and avoid brushing to the surgical site. Periodontal pack and sutures were removed after 14 days and routine oral hygiene practises were resumed. Patient was recalled for professional tooth cleaning once a week for the first month and once a month for the period of 6 months. Neither subgingival instrumentation nor probing was performed during this period. The healing period of 6 months was complication free with neither tissue inflammation or graft rejection. After 6 months, periapical

Fig. 2 – (A) Full thickness flap elevated, showing the bone resorption of the buccal plate, (B) & (C) defect grafted and covered by collagen membrane, (D) flap released and sutured over the membrane and graft, (E) 6 months post-surgical radiograph of the augmented area and (F) resin bonded bridgework in place.

radiograph of the site was taken which demonstrated a significant bone fill (Fig. 2E) and resin bonded prosthesis was fabricated (Fig. 2F).

4. Discussion

The present clinical case may help to evaluate whether the use of hydroxyapatite graft under collagen membrane could offer some advantage in ridge augmentation or not. The GBR therapeutic protocol, used in the current case, involves surgical placement of a cell occlusive membrane facing the bone surface, in order to physically seal off the skeletal site and creating a secluded space. Therefore, providing an environment for the osteoprogenitor cells differentiation along the

osteoblastic lineage and expression of osteogenic activity.³ The e-PTFE membrane has been the gold standard for GBR since the inception of this concept.^{1,4} However, this non-degradable barrier membrane do not undergo solubilisation when placed in the living body, hence require a second surgical intervention in order to be removed.⁵ Moreover, they have been associated with infection on exposure thus compromising the amount of alveolar ridge augmentation. These disadvantages led to the development of biodegradable membranes made of materials such as collagen and synthetic biodegradable polymers. Collagen membranes have several desirable properties, including its haemostatic, chemotactic, and cell-adhesion functions,⁵ and have yielded favourable results in clinical trials of GBR for ridge-width augmentation.^{2,6,7} Singh et al also found clinical advantages using nano-

crystalline hydroxyapatite bone graft in combination with collagen membrane.¹⁰

Particulate HA bone graft used may be better alternative to other grafting materials, because it treats the underlying bone defect to restore the natural support of the tissue architecture. Significant bone fill was depicted in 6-month post-operative radiograph which may be due to osteophilic and osteoconductive properties of HA. It acts as a trellis for the ingrowth and subsequent deposition of new bone. The use of hydroxyapatite (HA) as an onlay bone graft material has been studied extensively in humans and majority of them reported evidence of bone formation within the graft,⁷ whereas others reported the formation of connective tissue surrounding the HA particles.⁹ Benqué et al⁷ also used a Type I collagen membrane and a resorbable biomaterial composed of porous hydroxyapatite granules blended in Type I collagen and chondroitin-4-sulfate for guided bone regeneration and found that the horizontal deficiencies were almost completely resolved and the defects filled with hard, bone-like tissue, with a few superficial hydroxyapatite granules. Histologic evaluation of the bone biopsies revealed dense, well-reconstructed alveolar bone with a few traces of hydroxyapatite granules that had been completely resorbed.

5. Conclusion

The clinical and radiographic findings of the present case suggests that HA in conjunction with a resorbable collagen membrane may be an acceptable alternative to the autogenous block graft and non-resorbable membrane in the treatment of compromised alveolar ridge deficiencies.

Conflicts of interest

All authors have none to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Nevins M, Mellonig JT. Enhancement of the damaged edentulous ridge to receive dental implants: a combination of allograft and Gore-Tex membrane. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent.* 1992;12:97–111.
2. von Arx T, Buser D. Horizontal ridge augmentation using autogenous block grafts and the guided bone regeneration technique with collagen membranes: a clinical study with 42 patients. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 2006;17:359–366.
3. Linde A, Thoren C, Dahlin C, Sandberg E. Creation of new bone by an osteopromotive membrane technique: an experimental study in rats. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1993;51:892–897.
4. Chiapasco M, Abati S, Romeo E, Vogel G. Clinical outcome of autogenous bone blocks or guided bone regeneration with e-PTFE membranes for the reconstruction of narrow edentulous ridges. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* 1999;10:278–288.
5. Bunyaratavej P, Wang HL. Collagen membranes: a review. *J Periodontol.* 2001;72:215–229.
6. Zitzmann NU, Naef R, Scharer P. Resorbable versus nonresorbable membranes in combination with Bio-Oss for guided bone regeneration. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 1997;12:844–852.
7. Benqué E, Zahedi S, Brocard D, Marin P, Brunel G, Elharar F. Tomodensitometric and histologic evaluation of the combined use of a collagen membrane and a hydroxyapatite spacer for guided bone regeneration: a clinical report. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants.* 1999;14(2):258–264.
8. Beirne OR, Curtis TA, Greenspan JS. Mandibular augmentation with hydroxyapatite. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1986;55:362–367.
9. Seibert JS. Reconstruction of deformed partially edentulous ridges, using full thickness onlay grafts. Part I. Technique and wound healing. *Compend Contin Educ Dent.* 1983;4:437–453.
10. Singh VP, Nayak DG, Uppoor AS, Shah D. Clinical and radiographic evaluation of Nano-crystalline hydroxyapatite bone graft (Sybograf) in combination with bioresorbable collagen membrane (Periocol) in periodontal intrabony defects. *Dent Res J.* 2012;9(1):60–67.