Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2013 August 16; 15(16): 4086–4089. doi:10.1021/ol401661j.

Modular Synthesis of *N*-Vinyl Benzotriazoles

Govindra Singh, Rakesh Kumar, Jorge Swett, and Barbara Zajc*

Department of Chemistry, The City College and The City University of New York, 160 Convent Avenue, New York, NY 10031-9198, United States

Abstract

A modular approach to *N*1-vinyl benzotriazoles by azide–aryne cycloadditions and Julia–Kocienski reactions is described. Reactions of azidomethyl phenyl-1*H*-tetrazol-5-yl (PT) sulfide with arynes gave methyl(PT-sulfanyl)-substituted benzotriazoles in 68–89% yields. Oxidation of the sulfides to the sulfones gave the benzotriazole-substituted Julia–Kocienski reagents. Olefination reactions of aldehydes and a ketone with reagents derived from benzyne, 2,3-naphthyne, and 4,5-dimethoxybenzyne precursors proceeded to give various *N*1-vinyl benzotriazole derivatives. Olefination stereoselectivities are tunable for electron-rich aldehydes, but not for electron-deficient aldehydes and alkanals, where they proceed with good to excellent *Z*-stereoselectivity.

Benzotriazole derivatives are versatile synthetic intermediates that can undergo multiple transformations.¹ Several benzotriazole derivatives were also found to possess biological activity, such as Vorozole that was in clinical testing as an antineoplastic agent.^{2a} Other examples include tubulin inhibitors^{2b} and compounds with antitubercular,^{2c} antimicrobial,^{2d} antiproliferative^{2e} and anti-inflammatory^{2f} activities (Figure 1).

In light of the high pharmacological importance as well as synthetic utilities of benzotriazoles, we became interested in delineating a highly modular approach to *N*-vinyl benzotriazoles.

Current approaches to *N*-vinyl benzotriazoles are based on reactions of benzotriazole or benzotriazole derivatives; for example, addition of 1-chlorobenzotriazole to alkenes, followed by elimination,^{3a,b} or *N*-alkylation of benzotriazole with chloroethanol, followed by bromination and elimination.⁴ However, alkylation reactions of benzotriazoles tend to form *N* 1 and *N*2 regioisomers.

*barbaraz@sci.cuny.cuny.edu.

Supporting Information Available Experimental details and copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

More recent examples involve synthesis of Wittig reagents from 1-(1-chloroalkyl)benzotriazoles followed by olefination,^{5a} the Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons approach via diethyl-(1-benzotriazolmethyl)phosphonate,^{5b} and by a Peterson reaction via desilylative-olefination of 1-[1,1-bis(trimethylsilyl)alkyl]benzotriazoles.^{5a,c} Among these various methods, only a *single example* involving the olefination of an enolizable alkanal has been reported in a low 30% yield.^{5a}

Alternatively, *N*1-(1-substituted-ethenyl) benzotriazoles were synthesized from *N*1-ethenyl benzotriazole via metalation, followed by reaction with an electrophile.⁶ An example of Cu-catalyzed reaction of (*E*)- β -bromostyrene with benzotriazole has been reported as well, giving an *E*-olefin, but a mixture of *N*1 (major) and *N*2 regioisomers was formed.⁷

To date, there is no approach to *N*-vinyl benzotriazoles, wherein both the benzotriazole unit as well as the vinyl substituents can be varied in a facile manner. An uncatalyzed azide–aryne [3 + 2] cycloaddition⁸ offers efficient access to benzotriazoles, with variable aryl and *N*-substituents. Herein, we report a new and highly modular approach to *N*-vinyl benzotriazoles. Notably, this involves development of a novel bifunctionalizable building block, containing both a Julia–Kocienski^{9,10} olefination handle and an azide moiety.

Synthesis of the requisite azido derivative with a handle for the Julia–Kocienski olefination is shown in Scheme 1. Our initial substrate, azidomethyl benzothiazolyl sulfide, gave complex reaction mixtures in the reactions with benzyne. This prompted us to focus on the more stable¹¹ phenyltetrazolyl derivative. Reaction of 1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazole-5-thiol (PT-thiol) with bromochloromethane gave chloromethyl derivative **1**, which was converted to the more reactive iodo derivative **2**. Reaction of **2** with NaN₃ in DMF gave the desired 5-(azidomethylthio)-1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazole (**3**, Scheme 1). Notably, only **1** needed chromatographic purification, whereas crude **2** and **3** were used in the subsequent steps.

Next, the azide–aryne cycloaddition was utilized to assemble the benzotriazole core. Initially, as a cost-economical approach, the reaction of **3** with benzyne derived from anthranilic acid was evaluated. However, only a complex reaction mixture was obtained. We therefore evaluated the use of *o*-(trimethylsilyl)phenyl triflate.⁸ Generation of benzyne from this precursor and reaction with **3** led to the desired benzotriazole derivative **4** in 85% yield after purification (Scheme 2). Oxidation of **4**, using H₅IO₆/CrO₃ or Mo₇O₂₄(NH₄)₆•4H₂O/H₂O₂, gave the Julia–Kocienski reagent **5** (Scheme 2).

Olefination conditions were screened in the reactions of *p*-methoxybenzaldehyde with **5** (Table 1). First, the effect of the base counterion was assessed, and LHMDS gave the highest yield and *E*-selectivity (entries 1–3). Lowering of the reaction temperature reversed the selectivity (entry 4), but the reaction was incomplete after 32 h. A higher yield was obtained with LHMDS at reflux, with a somewhat lower *E*-selectivity (entry 5). Neither MgBr₂•OEt₂ nor DMPU additives improved the *E*-selectivity (entries 6, 7). Condensation also proceeded under mild, DBU mediated conditions, with *Z*-selectivity and in a moderate yield (entry 8).

The effect of substrate structure on the yields and stereoselectivities was evaluated in reactions of **5** with a series of aldehydes and a ketone (Table 2). All reactions were performed using Method A (LHMDS, THF, 0 °C). The mild, but lower yielding, *Z*-selective Method B (DBU, THF, reflux) was evaluated against five representative aldehydes. Using Method A, vinyl benzotriazoles were formed in moderate to good yields with a wide range of aldehydes. The *E*-isomer predominated with electron-rich aromatic aldehydes (Table 1, entry 3 and Table 2 entries 1, 5, 6). The exceptions are five-membered heterocycles with the carboxaldehyde in an ortho position to the heteroatom (entries 4, 7). Condensations with electron-deficient aldehydes (entries 2, 3) and alkanals (entries 8–11) proceeded with *Z*-stereoselectivity. Selectivity was highest with *n*-octanal (entry 8), and branching at the α - (entries 9, 10) or β -position (entry 11) slightly decreased the selectivity. A ketone, *N*-benzyl-4-piperidone, reacted as well and gave product **18** in a good 77% yield (entry 12). The bulkier and conjugated acetophenone, on the other hand, did not give good results under these conditions. No further attempts were made to improve the condensation of acetophenone. DBU-mediated reactions were *Z*-selective in all cases tested. As compared to Method A, this is a reversal of selectivity with electron-rich aldehydes (Table 1, entry 8, and Table 2 entries 1, 5), and a substantial improvement in *Z*-selectivity for the electron-deficient aldehyde, thiophene-2-carboxaldehyde, and alkanal (entries 2, 4, 9).

Azidomethyl (phenyl)tetrazolyl sulfide **3** was also reacted with substituted benzyne and 2,3-naphthylene, generated in situ from the corresponding *o*-(trimethylsilyl)aryl triflates. Cycloaddition reactions proceeded in yields of 76–89% (entries 1, 2, 4–6 in Table 3), except for 3-methoxy benzyne, where the yield was lower (68%, entry 3), but here formation of only a single regioisomer was observed. Formation of a single regioisomer in the reaction of 3-methoxy benzyne with benzyl azide has previously been reported.⁸

Sulfides **19–21** were oxidized to the Julia–Kocienski reagents. Oxidation of naphthotriazole derivative **19** with $\text{H}_5\text{IO}_6/\text{CrO}_3$ led to the quinone **25**,¹² but not to the sulfone **26** (Scheme 3). On the other hand, use of $\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}(\text{NH}_4)_6 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ gave the desired sulfone **26**.

Similarly, 4-methoxy-substituted benzotriazole derivative **21** gave the corresponding sulfone **27** upon oxidation with $\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}(\text{NH}_4)_6 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. 5,6-Dimethoxy-substituted benzotriazole derivative **20** was converted to sulfone **28** via *m*CPBA oxidation (see the Supporting Information for details).

Condensations of sulfones **26** and **28** with aldehydes proceeded smoothly under LHMDS-mediated conditions (Method A) to give *N*-vinyl naphthotriazoles and 5,6-dimethoxybenzotriazoles (Table 4). Since sulfone **26** exhibited poor solubility in THF at 0 °C, it was used as a crude material (entries 3, 6, and 8) or DMF was used as the solvent (entries 4, 7, 9). Product yields were higher in DMF than in THF (entries 4, 7, and 9). The *E/Z* selectivity was comparable in THF and DMF for *p*-trifluoromethylbenzaldehyde and was lower in DMF for 2-ethylbutanal. With 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde, opposite stereoselectivities were observed in the two solvents. Highest *Z*-selectivity was observed with the use of DBU-mediated conditions (Method B, entry 5); however, the yield was the lowest (compare entries 3, 4, 5).

Possible isomerization of the alkene mixtures was then considered. Exposure of *E/Z*-**6** to I_2 in $CHCl_3$,^{13a} to $(CH_3CN)_2PdCl_2$ in CH_2Cl_2 ,^{13b} and to LHMDs in THF at reflux did not cause isomerization. An isomerization attempt using a 450 W medium-pressure Hg lamp in PhH caused decomposition (see the Supporting Information).

In summary, a modular and facile approach to *N*1-vinyl benzo-, substituted benzo-, and naphthotriazoles has been reported. The method is highly flexible for introduction of substituents, at *both the vinyl and the benzotriazolyl moieties*, and circumvents the *N*1/*N*2 regioisomer problem encountered in alkylation reactions. The stereoselectivity of olefinations is tunable for electron-rich aldehydes, whereas reactions with electron-deficient aldehydes and alkanals proceed with good to excellent *Z*-stereoselectivity. Other reactions of bifunctional building block **3** are currently being pursued and will be published in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NSF Grant CHE-1058618 and a PSC CUNY award. Infrastructural support was provided by NIH NCRR Grant 2G12RR03060-26A1 and by NIMHD Grant 8G12MD007603-27.

References

- (1) (a). Katritzky AR, Lan X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1994; 23:363–373. (b) Katritzky AR, Lan X, Yang JZ, Denisko OV. Chem. Rev. 1998; 98:409–548. [PubMed: 11848906] (c) Katritzky AR, Rogovoy BV. Chem. Eur. J. 2003; 9:4586–4593. [PubMed: 14566863] (d) Katritzky AR, Manju K, Singh SK, Meher NK. Tetrahedron. 2005; 61:2555–2581. (e) Kale RR, Prasad V, Mohapatra PP, Tiwari VK. Monatsh. Chem. 2010; 141:1159–1182.
- (2) (a). Goss PE. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 1998; 49:S59–S69. [PubMed: 9797019] (b) Carta A, Briguglio I, Piras S, Boatto G, La Colla P, Loddo R, Tolomeo M, Grimaudo S, Di Cristina A, Pipitone RM, Laurini E, Paneni MS, Posocco P, Fermeglia M, Priol S. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2011; 46:4151–4167. [PubMed: 21741130] (c) Sanna P, Carta A, Nikookar MER. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2000; 35:535–543. [PubMed: 10889332] (d) Swamy SN, Basappa, Sarala G, Priya BS, Gaonkar SL, Prasad JS, Rangappa KS. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2006; 16:999–1004. [PubMed: 16298529] (e) Zhang S-S, Zhang H-Q, Li D, Sun L-H, Ma C-P, Wang W, Wan J, Qu B. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2008; 584:144–152. [PubMed: 18343364] (f) Dawood KM, Abdel-Gawad H, Rageb EA, Ellithy M, Mohamed HA. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006; 14:3672–3680. [PubMed: 16464601]
- (3) (a). Rees CW, Storr RC. J. Chem. Soc. (C). 1969:1478–1483. (b) Wender PA, Cooper CB. Tetrahedron. 1986; 42:2985–2991.
- (4). Märky M, Schmid H, Hansen H-J. Helv. Chim. Acta. 1979; 62:2129–2153.
- (5) (a). Katritzky AR, Offerman RJ, Cabildo P, Soleiman M. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. 1988; 107:641–645. (b) Qian H, Huang X. Synth. Commun. 2000; 30:1413–1417. (c) Katritzky AR, Lam JN. Heteroatom Chem. 1990; 1:21–31.
- (6). Katritzky AR, Li J, Malhotra N. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1992:843–853.
- (7). Taillefer M, Ouali A, Renard B, Spindler J-F. Chem. Eur. J. 2006; 12:5301–5313. [PubMed: 16680787]
- (8) (a). Shi F, Waldo JP, Chen Y, Larock RC. Org. Lett. 2008; 10:2409–2412. [PubMed: 18476707] (b) Campbell-Verduyn L, Elsinga PH, Mirfeizi L, Dierckx RA, Feringa BL. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008; 6:3461–3463. [PubMed: 19082144]

- (9) (a). Baudin JB, Hareau G, Julia SA, Ruel O. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1993; 130:336–357. (b) Baudin JB, Hareau G, Julia SA, Lorne R, Ruel O. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1993; 130:856–878.
- (10). For reviews on Julia–Kocienski olefination see: Blakemore PR. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I. 2002:2563–2585. Plesniak K, Zarecki A, Wicha J. Top. Curr. Chem. 2007; 275:163–250. [PubMed: 23605513] Aïssa C. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009:1831–1844. Blakemore PR. Knochel P, Molander G. Olefination of Carbonyl Compounds by Main-group Element Mediators. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis (2nd ed). 2013Elsevier Ltd.Oxfordin press For a review on fluoro Julia–Kocienski olefination, see: Zajc B, Kumar R. Synthesis. 2010:1822–1836. [PubMed: 22544979]
- (11) (a). Blakemore PR, Cole WJ, Kocienski PJ, Morley A. Synlett. 1998:26–28. (b) Kocienski PJ, Bell A, Blakemore PR. Synlett. 2000:365–366.
- (12). Oxidation of naphthalene and methyl substituted naphthalenes, phenanthrene, anthracene, and trimethoxy substituted benzene to quinones using $\text{CrO}_3/\text{H}_5\text{IO}_6$ has been reported: Yamazaki S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001; 42:3355–3357.
- (13) (a). Gaukroger K, Hadfield JA, Hepworth LA, Lawrence NJ, McGown AT. J. Org. Chem. 2001; 66:8135–8138. and references therein. [PubMed: 11722216] (b) Yu J, Gaunt MJ, Spencer JB. J. Org. Chem. 2002; 67:4627–4629. [PubMed: 12076172]

Figure 1.
Biologically active benzotriazole derivatives.

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of the Azidomethyl PT-Sulfide

Scheme 2.

Cycloaddition/Oxidation to the Benzotriazole Julia–Kocienski Reagent

Scheme 3.
Oxidation of Naphthotriazole Derivative **19**

Table 1Screening of Olefination Conditions^a

entry	base (molar equiv)	solvent	<i>t</i> (°C)	rxn time	yield ^b	<i>E/Z</i> ratio ^c
1	NaHMDS (2.4)	THF	0	0.5 h	45%	60/40
2	KHMDS (2.4)	THF	0	0.5 h	66%	60/40
3	LHMDS (2.4)	THF	0	4 h	76%	79/21
4	LHMDS (4.0)	THF	−78	32 h	— ^d	28/72
5	LHMDS (2.4)	THF	66 ^e	2 h	81%	70/30
6	LHMDS (3.0)	THF ^f	rt	5 h	30%	77/23
7	LHMDS (2.4)	DMF/ DMPU ^g	−50	20 h	— ^d	57/43
8	DBU (2.0)	THF	66 ^e	2h	47%	26/74

^a Conditions: sulfone **5** (1 molar equiv), *p*MeO-C₆H₄-CHO (1.5 molar equiv).^b Yields are of isolated and purified products.^c *E/Z* ratio was determined by ¹H NMR.^d Reaction was incomplete; **6** was not isolated.^e At reflux.^f MgBr₂·OEt₂ additive.^g DMF/DMPU (1:1 v/v).

Table 2

Synthesis of Vinyl Benzotriazoles^a

entry	carbonyl	rxn time	method	product: yield ^b	E/Z ratio ^c
1		0.5 h	A	7: 86%	93/7
		5 h	B	7: 57%	15/85
2		0.5 h	A	8: 83%	41/59
		5 h	B	8: 57%	11/89
3		2 h	A	9: 62%	29/71
4		0.5 h	A	10: 90%	40/60
		5 h	B	10: 47%	25/75
5		1 h	A	11: 65%	64/36
		14 h	B	11: 60%	20/80
6		3 h	A	12: 72%	71/29
7		2 h	A	13: 71%	29/71
8		0.5 h	A	14: 67%	4/96
9		0.5 h	A	15: 73%	22/78
		16 h	B	15: 50%	3/97

entry	carbonyl	rxn time	method	product: yield ^b	E/Z ratio ^c
10		2 h	A	16 : 53%	20/80
11		1 h	A	17 : 80%	16/84
12		3 h	A	18 : 77%	--

^a Conditions: Method A: sulfone **5** (1 molar equiv), carbonyl compound (1.2–1.5 molar equiv), LHMDs (2.4 molar equiv), THF, 0 °C. Method B: sulfone **5** (1 molar equiv), carbonyl compound (1.5 molar equiv), DBU (2.0 molar equiv), THF, reflux.

^b Yields are of isolated and purified products.

^c E/Z ratio was determined by ¹H NMR.

Table 3

Reactions of **3** with Various Aryne Precursor

entry	aryne precursor	product yields ^a and isomer ratio where applicable ^b
1		 19 : 76%
2		 20 : 85%
3 ^c		 21 : 68%
4 ^c		 22 : 85% ^d (22a 22b = 40:60)
5 ^c		 23 : 80% ^d (23a 23b = 45:55)
6 ^c		 24 : 85% ^d (24a 24b = 49:51)

^aYields are of isolated and purified products.^bDetermined by ¹H NMR.^cStructures of regioisomeric products were determined by NOESY.^dCombined yield of the two regioisomers.

Table 4Synthesis of *N*-Vinyl 5,6-Dimethoxy Benzotriazoles and *N*-Vinyl Naphthotriazoles

entry	sulfone + aldehyde	conditions ^a	product: yield ^b	<i>E/Z</i> ratio ^c
1	28 +	A, THF, 25 min	29 : 80%	60/40
2	28 +	A, THF, 25 min	30 : 69%	41/59
3	26 +	A, THF, 20 min	31 : 80%	65/35 ^d
4		A, DMF, 40 min	31 : 93%	37/63
5		B, THF, 4 h	31 : 52%	25/75
6	26 +	A, THF, 20 min	32 : 56%	44/56 ^d
7		A, DMF, 40 min	32 : 62%	41/59
8	26 +	A, THF, 25 min	33 : 54%	22/78 ^d
9		A, DMF, 40 min	33 : 74%	33/67

^a Conditions: Method A: sulfone (1 molar equiv), carbonyl compound (1.2–2.2 molar equiv, see the Supporting Information), LHMDS (2.4 molar equiv), THF or DMF, 0 °C. Method B: sulfone (1 molar equiv), carbonyl compound (1.5 molar equiv), DBU (2.0 molar equiv), THF, reflux.

^b Yields are of isolated and purified products.

^c *E/Z* ratio was determined by ¹H NMR.

^d Due to poor solubility, crude sulfone **26** was used (see the Supporting Information).