Published in final edited form as:

J Cell Biochem. 2014 April ; 115(4): 611–624. doi:10.1002/jcb.24704.

Prospects on Strategies for Therapeutically Targeting Oncogenic Regulatory Factors by Small-Molecule Agents

Chih-Chien Chou, Santosh B. Salunke, Samuel K. Kulp, and Ching-Shih Chen*

Division of Medicinal Chemistry, College of Pharmacy and Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

Abstract

Although the Human Genome Project has raised much hope for the identification of druggable genetic targets for cancer and other diseases, this genetic target-based approach has not improved productivity in drug discovery over the traditional approach. Analyses of known human target proteins of currently marketed drugs reveal that these drugs target only a limited number of proteins as compared to the whole proteome. In contrast to genome-based targets, mechanistic targets are derived from empirical research, at cellular or molecular levels, in disease models and/or in patients, thereby enabling the exploration of a greater number of druggable targets beyond the genome and epigenome. The paradigm shift has made a tremendous headway in developing new therapeutic agents targeting different clinically relevant mechanisms/pathways in cancer cells. In this Prospects article, we provide an overview of potential drug targets related to the following four emerging areas: (1) tumor metabolism (the Warburg effect), (2) dysregulated protein turnover (E3 ubiquitin ligases), (3) protein–protein interactions, and (4) unique DNA high-order structures and protein–DNA interactions. Nonetheless, considering the genetic and phenotypic heterogeneities that characterize cancer cells, the development of drug resistance in cancer cells by adapting signaling circuitry to take advantage of redundant pathways or feedback/crosstalk systems is possible. This “phenotypic adaptation” underlies the rationale of using therapeutic combinations of these targeted agents with cytotoxic drugs.

Keywords

WARBURG EFFECT; E3 LIGASES; PROTEIN–PROTEIN INTERACTIONS; PROTEIN–DNA INTERACTIONS; DNA QUADRUPLEX

In light of remarkable technological breakthroughs in cancer “omics,” the past decade has witnessed tremendous progress in our understanding of cancer biology [Vucic et al., 2012]. These advances have also been translated into new cancer biomarkers and therapeutic targets, leading to a shift in the paradigm of drug discovery toward a target-based rational design approach in lieu of empirical structure–activity relationship-based lead modifications. Such a paradigm is epitomized by the FDA’s approvals of more than 10 kinase inhibitors

that target mutational activation of kinase signaling in various types of malignancies [Zhang et al., 2009; Dar and Shokat, 2011], including *BCR-ABL* fusion in chronic myelogenous leukemia [Druker et al., 2001], *BRAF* mutations in melanomas [Flaherty et al., 2010], *EGFR* mutations in a subset of lung adenocarcinoma [Lynch et al., 2004; Paez et al., 2004; Pao et al., 2004], and *ALK* fusion in lung cancer [Koivunen et al., 2008].

Although these new therapeutic agents have led to improved clinical outcomes for many cancer patients, kinase inhibitors face two major challenges in clinical development, that is, specificity for target versus off-target kinases and emergence of drug resistance. Most kinase inhibitors developed so far act by competing with ATP for the ATP-binding sites located at the hinge region of target kinases [Zhang et al., 2009]. As there are a total of 518 kinases encoded in the human genome [Venter et al., 2001], it is inevitable that many of these drugs show complex clinical pharmacology in vivo by targeting multiple kinases [Zhang et al., 2009; Dar and Shokat, 2011], which raises potential concerns of untoward side effects arising from this polypharmacology. However, from a clinical perspective, such multikinase inhibitors might be therapeutically advantageous through enhanced efficacy by targeting a spectrum of kinases involved in cancer pathogenesis and progression. Examples include sorafenib [Ahmad and Eisen, 2004] and sunitinib [Fabian et al., 2005], both of which suppress tumor proliferation and angiogenesis by blocking multiple kinase pathways, including those mediated by RAF-kinase, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGF)2, VEGF3, platelet-derived growth factor receptor- β , KIT, and FLT3. With regard to drug resistance, cancer cells acquire a resistant phenotype to kinase inhibitors under selective pressure, in part, through target amplification or mutations at the gate-keeper residues that abrogate drug binding [Zhang et al., 2009]. Alternatively, cancer cells might adapt their signaling circuitry to develop compensatory mechanisms by taking advantage of redundant signaling pathways or feedback/crosstalk systems to counteract drug actions [Logue and Morrison, 2012].

Another frontier that has progressed rapidly in cancer therapeutic development is epigenetic-modulating drugs [Rodríguez-Paredes and Esteller, 2011]. The cancer epigenome is characterized by global changes in the patterns of DNA methylation and histone modifications arising from dysregulated expression of DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) and histone-modifying enzymes, including histone acetyltransferases (HATs)/deacetylases (HDACs), lysine- and arginine-specific methyltransferases (HMTs)/demethylases (HDMs), kinases/phosphatases, and so on [Kouzarides, 2007]. Dysregulation of any of these epigenetic enzymes through mutations or altered expression results in aberrant gene expression associated with typical cancer traits. More important, in contrast to genetic mutations, the reversible nature of epigenetic changes in the patterns of DNA methylation and histone acetylation/methylation underlies the impetus of targeting this epigenetic machinery, particularly DNMTs [Heyn and Esteller, 2012; Singh et al., 2013] and HDACs [Marks, 2010], in cancer cells to restore the epigenome to its normal state. In the past few years, the epigenetic field has generated 4 FDA-approved drugs for the treatment of subtypes of leukemia and lymphoma, including the DNMT inhibitors 5-azacytidine (azacitidine, Vidaza) and 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (decitabine, Dacogen) for myelodysplastic syndrome and the HDAC inhibitors SAHA (vorinostat, Zolinza) and depsipeptide

(romidepsin, Istodax) for the rare cutaneous T cell lymphoma and other hematological malignancies.

Although the biology of other epigenetic enzymes remains less well defined, inhibitors of many of these enzymes, especially those of sirtuins, HATs, HMTs, and HDMs, have shown promising preclinical tumor-suppressive efficacy in vitro and/or in vivo [Rodríguez-Paredes and Esteller, 2011].

HOW MANY DRUGGABLE ANTICANCER TARGETS ARE THERE? GENOME- VERSUS MECHANISM-BASED TARGETS

Although the Human Genome Project has raised much hope/hype for the identification of druggable genetic targets for cancer and other diseases, this genetic target-based approach, however, has not improved productivity over the traditional approach. This discrepancy might, in part, be attributable to the complex process of in vitro and in vivo validation of these targets in relevant cell and transgenic animal models [Sams-Dodd, 2005]. Analyses of known human target proteins of currently marketed drugs reveal that these drugs target only a limited number of proteins as compared to the whole proteome. For example, a comprehensive analysis of all FDA-approved small-molecule drugs, a total number of 1,204, by Overington et al. [2006] indicated that these drugs, including 5 kinase inhibitors acting on 18 protein kinases, targeted 207 distinct human genome-derived proteins [Hopkins and Groom, 2002], a small number in comparison to the estimated 30,000 human protein-coding genes [Overington et al., 2006]. The majority of these 207 drug-targeted proteins in human cells fall into the following categories: G protein-coupled receptors, ligand-gated ion channels, nuclear receptors, phosphodiesterases, proteases, protein kinases, voltage-gated ion channels, and enzymes involved in DNA synthesis/mitosis [Overington et al., 2006]. With the exception of kinases, the identification of these targets, prior to the Human Genome Project, were based on laboratory or clinical findings associated with various pathological conditions. From a translational perspective, this mechanism-based approach avoids the one-gene-one-disease hypothesis, and can be applied much more broadly in the context of target identification.

In contrast to genome-based drug targets, mechanistic targets are derived from empirical research, at cellular or molecular levels, in disease models and/or in patients, thereby enabling the exploration of a greater number of druggable targets. This approach is illustrated by the therapeutic targeting of the Warburg effect by developing inhibitors of enzymes involved in glucose metabolism in tumor cells. In addition, a number of molecular defects resulting from dysregulated protein turnover or interactions with other macromolecules (proteins and DNA) have also been interrogated as targets (Fig. 1), which are delineated as follows.

THE WARBURG EFFECT (TUMOR METABOLISM): GLYCOLYTIC ENZYMES AS TARGETS

Cells undergoing malignant transformation often exhibit a shift in cellular metabolism from oxidative phosphorylation to glycolysis, known as the Warburg effect, to gain growth

advantage [Kroemer and Pouyssegur, 2008; Vander Heiden, 2011]. This glycolytic shift enables cancer cells to adapt to low-oxygen environments, to produce biosynthetic building blocks needed for cell proliferation, to acidify the local environment to facilitate tumor invasion, and to generate NADPH and glutathione through the pentose phosphate shunt to increase resistance to oxidative stress. As the Warburg effect is considered a fundamental property of neoplasia, targeting glycolysis represents a therapeutically relevant strategy for cancer treatment [Eisenstein, 2012]. Thus, development of small-molecule agents that target various aspects of glucose metabolism has been the focus of many recent investigations, which are summarized in Table I.

The emerging view of cancers as a metabolic disease opens up opportunities for the development of new strategies for cancer therapy. Many of the tumor metabolism-targeted agents listed in Table I exhibit in vivo efficacy alone or in combination with chemotherapeutic drugs in advanced cancers. Although it is generally believed that interference with energy metabolism gives rise to ATP depletion and metabolic stress, leading to cell death, data from this and other laboratories indicate that reduction of glycolytic rate by energy restriction elicits the activation of multiple signaling pathways, including those mediated by the NAD⁺-dependent HDAC Sirt1 (silent information regulator 1), AMPK, and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress [Wei et al., 2010]. This complicated signaling network affects many aspects of cellular functions in cell cycle regulation, survival, and aggressive phenotype, culminating in cancer cell death through autophagy and apoptosis. Thus, it is plausible to achieve synergy in killing cancer cells by using metabolism-targeted agents with other molecularly targeted agents, such as kinase inhibitors or HDAC inhibitors. Further understanding of the signaling mechanisms underlying the antitumor effects of these tumor metabolism-targeted agents will help foster novel strategies for cancer therapy.

DYSREGULATED PROTEIN TURNOVER: ONCOGENIC E3 LIGASES AS TARGETS TO RESTORE DYSREGULATED PROTEIN FUNCTION

The ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) plays a pivotal role in the regulation of key cellular functions, including cell cycle control, DNA repair, and growth factor receptor signaling, through targeted degradation of regulatory proteins [Devoy et al., 2005]. UPS-mediated protein degradation consists of two sequential steps initiated by ubiquitination of the target protein, followed by proteolysis via the 26S proteasome complex. The targeted ubiquitination is mediated through the concerted action of three enzymes: E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme, E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, and E3 ubiquitin ligase (Fig. 3) [Nakayama and Nakayama, 2006]. In the past few years, inhibitors targeting different components of this ubiquitination system have been developed. For example, a mechanism-based neddylation inhibitor, MLN4924, was developed to target NEDD8 activating enzyme, an essential component of the NEDD8 conjugation pathway that controls the activity of the cullin-RING subtype of ubiquitin ligases [Soucy et al., 2009], while small-molecule inhibitors of murine double minute 2 protein (Mdm2) have progressed into preclinical/clinical development (see discussion below). From a therapeutic perspective, relative to E1

and E2, E3 ligases are of particular interest as drug targets for their role in conferring the selectivity for protein ubiquitination [Nalepa et al., 2006].

E3 ligases can be divided into three groups: the RING-finger E3s, the HECT (homologous to E6-AP COOH-terminus)-domain E3s, and the U-box E3s, each of which is characterized by a distinct protein interaction domain (RING-finger, HECT, or U-box domain) that serves to bind E2 ligases. As these E2-interacting domains are highly conserved, the specificity of E3 ligases is conferred by a variable substrate recognition motif that determines which substrate is to be ubiquitinated. Consequently, while proteasome inhibitors block the degradation of all ubiquitinated proteins indiscriminately, targeting a single E3 ligase allows for selective stabilization of a subset of ubiquitinated proteins. In light of this increased specificity, it is more therapeutically advantageous to target E3 ligases, as compared to the proteasome, to increase the stability/activity of selected tumor-suppressive proteins.

Among the three groups of E3 ligases, RING-finger E3 ligases have received much attention in the development of small-molecule inhibitors, which are represented by inhibitors of Mdm2 and S-phase kinase associated protein 2 (Skp2) in light of their well-characterized roles in regulating the degradation and/or activity of the tumor suppressors p53 [Kubbutat et al., 1997] and p27 [Carrano et al., 1999], respectively. The therapeutic targeting of Mdm2, Skp2, and other E3 ligases involved in regulating the stability of oncogenic or tumor-suppressive proteins are addressed as follows.

Mdm2

At the cellular level, Mdm2 and p53 are mutually regulated through an autoregulatory feedback loop: in response to stress signals, p53 transcriptionally activates Mdm2 gene expression, and in turn Mdm2 inhibits the transcriptional activity and promotes the ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation of p53 [Wang et al., 2012b]. Thus, dysregulation of this regulatory loop results in malignant transformation of normal cells. Among all E3 ligases identified, Mdm2 is the most intensely pursued target, leading to the development of several structurally distinct classes of inhibitors, at least six of which have progressed into clinical trials in advanced solid tumors or acute myelogenous leukemia [Zhao et al., 2013]. Mechanistically, Mdm2 inhibitors are classified into two categories: inhibitors of the Mdm2-p53 protein-protein interactions, such as Nutlin-3 [Vassilev et al., 2004] and most other Mdm2 inhibitors [Zhao et al., 2013], and inhibitors of the Mdm2 E3 ligase activity [Yang et al., 2005].

Recently, MdmX, an Mdm2 homolog, has also received considerable attention as a target for therapeutic development [Zhao et al., 2013] because of its non-redundant and essential role as a negative regulator of p53 [Finch et al., 2002]. MdmX has no E3 ligase activity, but forms heterodimers with Mdm2 through their RING domains to increase Mdm2 E3 ligase activity [Tanimura et al., 1999]. However, due to the high degree of sequence homology between Mdm2 and MdmX, many small-molecule inhibitors that were designed to target MdmX-p53 interactions also showed high affinity with Mdm2, thus becoming MdmX/Mdm2 dual inhibitors.

Skp2

Substantial evidence indicates that Skp2, a Skp1-Cul1-F-box (SCF) E3 ubiquitin ligase, acts as an oncoprotein by targeting a wide range of signaling effectors, such as the tumor suppressor p27 [Carrano et al., 1999], for degradation. Moreover, it was demonstrated that Skp2 facilitates the activation of Akt through ubiquitination downstream of ErbB receptor signaling in Her2-positive breast cancer [Chan et al., 2012], and that Skp2 represents a key component for the Mre11/Rad50/NBS1 (MRN) complex-mediated ATM activation in response to DNA double-strand breaks through NBS1 ubiquitination [Wu et al., 2012]. Together, this oncogenic E3 ligase represents an important target for cancer drug discovery [Frescas and Pagano, 2008; Wang et al., 2012c].

Data from the authors' laboratory indicate that downregulation of Skp2 represents a cellular response in cancer cells to energy restriction induced by CG-5 (a novel glucose transporter inhibitor) and 2-deoxyglucose [Wei et al., 2012]. This Skp2 downregulation was attributable to Sirt1-dependent suppression of COP9 signalosome (Csn)5 expression in response to CG-5, leading to increased cullin 1 neddylation in the SCF protein complex and consequent Skp2 destabilization. This finding provides a proof-of-concept that the oncogenic Csn5/Skp2 signaling axis represents a "druggable" target by using this novel glucose transporter inhibitor. More recently, a Skp2 inhibitor, 3-(1,3-benzothiazol-2-yl)-6-ethyl-7-hydroxy-8-)-1-piperidinylmethyl)-4H-chromen-4-one (compound #25), that selectively inhibited Skp2 E3 ligase activity, but not other SCF complexes, was identified using high-throughput in silico screening [Chan et al., 2013]. This Skp2 inhibitor phenocopied the effects observed upon genetic Skp2 deficiency, such as suppressing survival and Akt-mediated glycolysis and triggering p53-independent cellular senescence, and showed antitumor efficacy in multiple animal models.

β -TRANSDUCIN REPEAT-CONTAINING PROTEIN (β -TrCP)—Besides Skp2, another SCF E3 ligase that has received much attention is β -TrCP. In contrast to Skp2, the role of β -TrCP as a therapeutic target remains controversial because it plays a dichotomous role, either oncogenic or tumor-suppressive, in a cellular context-dependent manner considering its diverse substrate spectrum [Frescas and Pagano, 2008]. Although evidence suggests its oncogenic character is mediated through the activation of NF- κ B signaling [Fuchs et al., 2004], β -TrCP also facilitates the degradation of a wide array of tumor-promoting proteins, including β -catenin [Hart et al., 1999], Snail [Yook et al., 2006], ATF4 [Lassot et al., 2001], cdc25A [Jin et al., 2003], Mcl-1 [Ding et al., 2007], cyclin D1 [Wei et al., 2008], and Sp1 [Wei et al., 2009], thereby suppressing cancer cell proliferation and invasion. The authors previously demonstrated that treatment of cancer cells with the glucose transporter inhibitor CG-5 led to decreased Skp2 accompanied by upregulated β -TrCP expression, as Skp2 targets β -TrCP for degradation via a cyclin-dependent kinase 2-dependent mechanism [Wei et al., 2012]. Mechanistic evidence indicates that this β -TrCP upregulation underlies the suppressive effect of CG-5 on cancer cell proliferation. Together, these findings raise a question of whether the inhibition of β -TrCP-mediated ubiquitination represents a therapeutically relevant strategy for cancer treatment.

CASITAS B-LINEAGE LYMPHOMA (c-Cbl)—Is a RING-type E3 ligase involved in ubiquitination and degradation of BCR–ABL, EGFR, and a series of other receptor and non-receptor protein kinases [Lu and Hunter, 2009]. Thus, wild type c-Cbl has been proposed to function as a tumor suppressor. A recent report indicates that arsenic sulfide (As₄S₄) upregulated the expression of c-Cbl by blocking its self-ubiquitination/degradation through the RING finger binding, thereby inducing degradation of BCR–ABL in chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) [Mao et al., 2010]. This finding provides a molecular basis to design small-molecule agents that activate c-Cbl through a similar mode of mechanism.

TARGETING PROTEIN–PROTEIN INTERACTIONS

The concept of targeting protein–protein interactions has been demonstrated by therapeutic antibodies, which block ligand-mediated activation of growth factor or cytokine receptors. In contrast, even just a decade ago, it was generally perceived unfeasible to develop selective small-molecule compounds that could interfere with protein–protein interactions effectively, of which the reason is multifold [Arkin and Wells, 2004]. For example, the interface involved in the protein–protein complex formation is typically large and associated with diverse protein topologies, and small molecules would have to compete with macromolecular partners for binding. However, recent advances in structural biology and bioinformatic analysis indicate that a few amino acids at the interface (“binding hotspots”) contribute to the majority of the binding energy in protein–protein interactions [Valkov et al., 2012]. This paradigm shift in combination with advances in computation- and chemical library-based high-throughput screening technologies has proven the feasibility of developing small-molecule inhibitors of protein–protein interactions [Blundell et al., 2006; Berg, 2008b; Fry, 2008; Valkov et al., 2012]. To date, therapeutic development targeting a number of protein–protein interactions has been the focus of many recent reports (Table II). Especially noteworthy is that at least two BH3 mimetics, (–)-gossypol and ABT-263 (Navitoclax), have advanced to clinical trials [<http://clinicaltrials.gov>].

TARGETING UNIQUE DNA HIGH-ORDER STRUCTURES AND PROTEIN–DNA INTERACTIONS

A large portion of currently used chemotherapeutics, such as platinum drugs, alkylating agents, topo II poisons, and DNA intercalating agents, act by inhibiting DNA replication and cell division through their reactions with DNA [Sheng et al., 2013]. However, relative to proteins, DNA historically has not been as well recognized as a mechanistic target for structure-based drug design for a number of reasons. First, the highly charged nature of DNA renders ligand recognition of target DNA less discriminative/specific. Second, transcription factors have generally been considered undruggable due to lack of suitable assay methods. In recent years, advances in nucleic acid chemistry and molecular and structural biology have created opportunities for potential drug discovery, which is addressed as follows.

TARGETING THE DNA QUADRUPLUX

G-quadruplexes (also known as G-tetrads or G₄-DNA) are higher-order DNA structures formed from guanine (G)-rich sequences that are capable of forming a four-stranded structure (Fig. 4) [Burge et al., 2006]. Four guanine bases can associate through Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding to form a square planar structure called a G-tetrad, and two or more G-tetrads can stack on top of each other to form a G-quadruplex.

Potential G-quadruplex sequences have been identified in eukaryotic telomeres, and more recently in non-telomeric genomic DNA, for example, in the nuclease-hypersensitive promoter regions of many genes, such as *c-myc*, chicken β -globin gene, human ubiquitin-ligase RFP2, and the protooncogenes *c-kit*, *bcl-2*, *vegf*, *H-ras*, *N-ras*, and *K-ras* [Burge et al., 2006; Neidle, 2009]. As G-quadruplexes exhibit diverse topologies and structures, targeting these high-order DNA structures for selective therapeutic intervention represents a feasible strategy since it is reasonable to assume that each target G-quadruplex has a unique architecture. Consequently, small-molecule agents capable of stabilizing a G-quadruplex structure in upstream regions essential to the promoter activity of a protooncogene will result in down-regulation of its gene expression. This premise provides a mechanistic rationale to identify ligands for selective G-quadruplex binding [Neidle, 2009], of which the proof-of-concept is provided by several small-molecule G-quadruplex-stabilizing agents, including RHPS4 [Leonetti et al., 2004], BRACO-19 [Burger et al., 2005], telomestatin [Miyazaki et al., 2012], and TMPyP4 [Le et al., 2013], with interesting antitumor activities associated with telomere capping alteration and/or inhibition of various protooncogenes, such as *c-myc* and *bcl-2*.

TARGETING PROTEIN–DNA INTERACTIONS

In addition to blocking protein–protein interaction as discussed above, the function of transcription factors can also be inhibited by disrupting their interactions with DNA [Berg, 2008a]. For example, c-Myc could be targeted by blocking its dimerization with Max (Table II), or by inhibiting the recruitment of c-Myc-Max dimers to their DNA recognition motif, that is, the E-box element (5'-CACGTG-3'), to block c-Myc-induced transcriptional activation of target genes (Fig. 5).

The latter strategy was demonstrated by the identification of two DNA binding inhibitors of c-Myc/Max dimers, MYRA-A [Mo and Henriksson, 2006], and NSC308848 [Mo et al., 2006]. Evidence suggests that MYRA-A might target DNA-binding domains of c-Myc-Max dimers, in lieu of the DNA recognition motif.

Similar approaches were also taken to design inhibitors of other transcription factors, including hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 and signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)3, by targeting their dimerization or DNA binding, which have led to the identification of HIF-1 and Stat3 inhibitors targeting either mechanism [Berg, 2008a].

OUTLOOK

The paradigm shift in drug discovery toward a target-based approach in the past decade has made a tremendous headway in developing new therapeutic agents targeting different

clinically relevant signaling mechanisms/pathways in cancer cells. However, despite apparent advantages of targeted therapies, challenges remain in improving clinical outcomes, which is, in part, attributable to the genetic and, equally important, phenotypic heterogeneities of cancer cells. Assumptions are made that gain/loss of function of a particular target protein or pathway is the major cause for the pathogenesis or progression of cancer. However, under targeted therapy-imposed selective pressure, cancer cells might adapt their signaling circuitry to develop compensatory mechanisms by taking advantage of redundant signaling pathways or feedback/crosstalk systems to develop drug resistance. Such a “phenotypic adaptation” represents a major challenge for targeted therapy, which underlies the rationale of using a therapeutic combination with cytotoxic drugs.

Acknowledgments

Grant sponsor: National Institutes of Health; Grant numbers: CA112250, CA158807; Grant sponsor: Stefanie Spielman Fund for Breast Cancer Research; Grant sponsor: Lucius A. Wing Endowed Chair Fund.

Work in my research group is supported by NIH grants CA112250 and CA158807, the Stefanie Spielman Fund for Breast Cancer Research, and the Lucius A. Wing Endowed Chair Fund at The Ohio State University Medical Center.

References

- Adhikary S, Eilers M. Transcriptional regulation and transformation by Myc proteins. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2005; 6:635–645. [PubMed: 16064138]
- Ahmad T, Eisen T. Kinase inhibition with BAY 43-9006 in renal cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004; 10:6388S–6392S. [PubMed: 15448036]
- Arkin MR, Wells JA. Small-molecule inhibitors of protein–protein interactions: Progressing towards the dream. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2004; 3:301–317. [PubMed: 15060526]
- Athar M, Back JH, Tang X, Kim KH, Kopelovich L, Bickers DR, Kim AL. Resveratrol: A review of preclinical studies for human cancer prevention. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 2007; 224:274–283. [PubMed: 17306316]
- Azmi AS, Wang Z, Philip PA, Mohammad RM, Sarkar FH. Emerging Bcl-2 inhibitors for the treatment of cancer. *Expert Opin Emerg Drugs.* 2011; 16:59–70. [PubMed: 20812891]
- Baur JA, Sinclair DA. Therapeutic potential of resveratrol: The in vivo evidence. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2006; 5:493–506. [PubMed: 16732220]
- Berg T. Inhibition of transcription factors with small organic molecules. *Curr Opin Chem Biol.* 2008a; 12:464–471. [PubMed: 18706517]
- Berg T. Small-molecule inhibitors of protein–protein interactions. *Curr Opin Drug Discov Devel.* 2008b; 11:666–674.
- Berg T. Small-molecule modulators of c-Myc/Max and Max/Max interactions. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* 2011; 348:139–149. [PubMed: 20680803]
- Billard C. BH3 mimetics: Status of the field and new developments. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2013; 12:1691–1700. [PubMed: 23974697]
- Bishayee A. Cancer prevention and treatment with resveratrol: From rodent studies to clinical trials. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila).* 2009; 2:409–418. [PubMed: 19401532]
- Blundell TL, Sibanda BL, Montalvão RW, Brewerton S, Chelliah V, Worth CL, Harmer NJ, Davies O, Burke D. Structural biology and bioinformatics in drug design: Opportunities and challenges for target identification and lead discovery. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2006; 361:413–423. [PubMed: 16524830]
- Boockch DJ, Faust GE, Patel KR, Schinas AM, Brown VA, Ducharme MP, Booth TD, Crowell JA, Perloff M, Gescher AJ, Steward WP, Brenner DE. Phase I dose escalation pharmacokinetic study

- in healthy volunteers of resveratrol, a potential cancer chemopreventive agent. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2007; 16:1246–1252. [PubMed: 17548692]
- Braisted AC, Oslob JD, Delano WL, Hyde J, McDowell RS, Waal N, Yu C, Arkin MR, Raimundo BC. Discovery of a potent small molecule IL-2 inhibitor through fragment assembly. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2003; 125:3714–3715. [PubMed: 12656598]
- Buijs M, Vossen JA, Geschwind JF, Ishimori T, Engles JM, Acha-Ngwodo O, Wahl RL, Vali M. Specificity of the anti-glycolytic activity of 3-bromopyruvate confirmed by FDG uptake in a rat model of breast cancer. *Invest New Drugs.* 2009; 27:120–123. [PubMed: 18553054]
- Burge S, Parkinson GN, Hazel P, Todd AK, Neidle S. Quadruplex DNA: Sequence, topology and structure. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2006; 34:5402–5415. [PubMed: 17012276]
- Burger AM, Dai F, Schultes CM, Reszka AP, Moore MJ, Double JA, Neidle S. The G-quadruplex-interactive molecule BRACO-19 inhibits tumor growth, consistent with telomere targeting and interference with telomerase function. *Cancer Res.* 2005; 65:1489–1496. [PubMed: 15735037]
- Buzzai M, Jones RG, Amaravadi RK, Lum JJ, DeBerardinis RJ, Zhao F, Viollet B, Thompson CB. Systemic treatment with the antidiabetic drug metformin selectively impairs p53-deficient tumor cell growth. *Cancer Res.* 2007; 67:6745–6752. [PubMed: 17638885]
- Carrano AC, Eytan E, Hershko A, Pagano M. SKP2 is required for ubiquitin-mediated degradation of the CDK inhibitor p27. *Nat Cell Biol.* 1999; 1:193–199. [PubMed: 10559916]
- Cay O, Radnell M, Jeppsson B, Åhrén B, Bengmark S. Inhibitory effect of 2-deoxy-d-glucose on liver tumor growth in rats. *Cancer Res.* 1992; 52:5794–5796. [PubMed: 1394204]
- Chan CH, Li CF, Yang WL, Gao Y, Lee SW, Feng Z, Huang HY, Tsai KK, Flores LG, Shao Y, Hazle JD, Yu D, Wei W, Sarbassov D, Hung MC, Nakayama KI, Lin HK. The Skp2-SCF E3 ligase regulates Akt ubiquitination, glycolysis, herceptin sensitivity, and tumorigenesis. *Cell.* 2012; 149:1098–1111. [PubMed: 22632973]
- Chan CH, Morrow JK, Li CF, Gao Y, Jin G, Moten A, Stagg LJ, Ladbury JE, Cai Z, Xu D, Logothetis CJ, Hung MC, Zhang S, Lin HK. Pharmacological inactivation of Skp2 SCF ubiquitin ligase restricts cancer stem cell traits and cancer progression. *Cell.* 2013; 154:556–568. [PubMed: 23911321]
- Chiorean EG, Dragovich T, Hamm J, Langmuir VK, Kroll S, Jung DT, Colowick AB, Tidmarsh GF, Loehrer PJ. A Phase 1 dose-escalation trial of glufosfamide in combination with gemcitabine in solid tumors including pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2008; 61:1019–1026. [PubMed: 17661038]
- Christofk HR, Vander Heiden MG, Harris MH, Ramanathan A, Gerszten RE, Wei R, Fleming MD, Schreiber SL, Cantley LC. The M2 splice isoform of pyruvate kinase is important for cancer metabolism and tumour growth. *Nature.* 2008; 452:230–233. [PubMed: 18337823]
- Ciuleanu TE, Pavlovsky AV, Bodoky G, Garin AM, Langmuir VK, Kroll S, Tidmarsh GT. A randomised Phase III trial of glufosfamide compared with best supportive care in metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma previously treated with gemcitabine. *Eur J Cancer.* 2009; 45:1589–1596. [PubMed: 19188061]
- Cucciolla V, Borriello A, Oliva A, Galletti P, Zappia V, Della Ragione F. Resveratrol: From basic science to the clinic. *Cell Cycle.* 2007; 6:2495–2510. [PubMed: 17726376]
- Cunningham L, Finckbeiner S, Hyde RK, Southall N, Marugan J, Yedavalli VR, Dehdashti SJ, Reinhold WC, Alemu L, Zhao L, Yeh JR, Sood R, Pommier Y, Austin CP, Jeang KT, Zheng W, Liu P. Identification of benzodiazepine Ro 5-3335 as an inhibitor of CBF leukemia through quantitative high throughput screen against RUNX1-CBF β interaction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2012; 109:14592–14597. [PubMed: 22912405]
- Dar AC, Shokat KM. The evolution of protein kinase inhibitors from antagonists to agonists of cellular signaling. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2011; 80:769–795. [PubMed: 21548788]
- De Lena M, Lorusso V, Latorre A, Fanizza G, Gargano G, Caporusso L, Guida M, Catino A, Crucitta E, Sambiasi D, Mazzei A. Paclitaxel, cisplatin and lonidamine in advanced ovarian cancer. A phase II study. *Eur J Cancer.* 2001; 37:364–368. [PubMed: 11239758]
- De Marinis F, Rinaldi M, Ardizzoni A, Bruzzi P, Pennucci MC, Portalone L, D'Aprile M, Ripanti P, Romano F, Belli M, Altavilla G, Migliorino MR, Rosso R, Salvati F. The role of vindesine and lonidamine in the treatment of elderly patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: A phase

- III randomized FONICAP trial. Italian Lung Cancer Task Force. *Tumori*. 1999; 85:177–182. [PubMed: 10426128]
- Devoy A, Soane T, Welchman R, Mayer RJ. The ubiquitin-proteasome system and cancer. *Essays Biochem*. 2005; 41:187–203. [PubMed: 16250906]
- Ding Q, He X, Hsu JM, Xia W, Chen CT, Li LY, Lee DF, Liu JC, Zhong Q, Wang X, Hung MC. Degradation of Mcl-1 by beta-TrCP mediates glycogen synthase kinase 3-induced tumor suppression and chemosensitization. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2007; 27:4006–4017. [PubMed: 17387146]
- Dowling RJ, Zakikhani M, Fantus IG, Pollak M, Sonenberg N. Metformin inhibits mammalian target of rapamycin-dependent translation initiation in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res*. 2007; 67:10804–10812. [PubMed: 18006825]
- Druker BJ, Talpaz M, Resta DJ, Peng B, Buchdunger E, Ford JM, Lydon NB, Kantarjian H, Capdeville R, Ohno-Jones S, Sawyers CL. Efficacy and safety of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in chronic myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2001; 344:1031–1037. [PubMed: 11287972]
- D'Souza-Schorey C, Chavrier P. ARF proteins: Roles in membrane traffic and beyond. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2006; 7:347–358. [PubMed: 16633337]
- Eisenstein M. Foundation medicine. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2012; 30:14. [PubMed: 22231083]
- Evans JM, Donnelly LA, Emslie-Smith AM, Alessi DR, Morris AD. Metformin and reduced risk of cancer in diabetic patients. *BMJ*. 2005; 330:1304–1305. [PubMed: 15849206]
- Fabian MA, Biggs WH III, Treiber DK, Atteridge CE, Azimioara MD, Benedetti MG, Carter TA, Ciceri P, Edeen PT, Floyd M, Ford JM, Galvin M, Gerlach JL, Grotzfeld RM, Herrgard S, Insko DE, Insko MA, Lai AG, Lélías JM, Mehta SA, Milanov ZV, Velasco AM, Wodicka LM, Patel HK, Zarrinkar PP, Lockhart DJ. A small molecule–kinase interaction map for clinical kinase inhibitors. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2005; 23:329–336. [PubMed: 15711537]
- Finch RA, Donoviel DB, Potter D, Shi M, Fan A, Freed DD, Wang CY, Zambrowicz BP, Ramirez-Solis R, Sands AT, Zhang N. mdmX is a negative regulator of p53 activity in vivo. *Cancer Res*. 2002; 62:3221–3225. [PubMed: 12036937]
- Flaherty KT, Puzanov I, Kim KB, Ribas A, McArthur GA, Sosman JA, O'Dwyer PJ, Lee RJ, Grippo JF, Nolop K, Chapman PB. Inhibition of mutated, activated BRAF in metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2010; 363:809–819. [PubMed: 20818844]
- Frescas D, Pagano M. Deregulated proteolysis by the F-box proteins SKP2 and beta-TrCP: Tipping the scales of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2008; 8:438–449. [PubMed: 18500245]
- Fry DC. Drug-like inhibitors of protein–protein interactions: A structural examination of effective protein mimicry. *Curr Protein Pept Sci*. 2008; 9:240–247. [PubMed: 18537679]
- Fuchs SY, Spiegelman VS, Kumar KG. The many faces of beta-TrCP E3 ubiquitin ligases: Reflections in the magic mirror of cancer. *Oncogene*. 2004; 23:2028–2036. [PubMed: 15021890]
- Geschwind JF, Georgiades CS, Ko YH, Pedersen PL. Recently elucidated energy catabolism pathways provide opportunities for novel treatments in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther*. 2004; 4:449–457. [PubMed: 15161443]
- Guh JH, Chang WL, Yang J, Lee SL, Wei S, Wang D, Kulp SK, Chen CS. Development of novel adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase activators. *J Med Chem*. 2010; 53:2552–2561. [PubMed: 20170185]
- Guo D, Hildebrandt IJ, Prins RM, Soto H, Mazzotta MM, Dang J, Czernin J, Shyy JY, Watson AD, Phelps M, Radu CG, Cloughesy TF, Mischel PS. The AMPK agonist AICAR inhibits the growth of EGFRvIII-expressing glioblastomas by inhibiting lipogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009; 106:12932–12937. [PubMed: 19625624]
- Hart M, Concordet JP, Lassot I, Albert I, del los Santos R, Durand H, Perret C, Rubinfeld B, Margottin F, Benarous R, Polakis P. The F-box protein beta-TrCP associates with phosphorylated beta-catenin and regulates its activity in the cell. *Curr Biol*. 1999; 9:207–210. [PubMed: 10074433]
- Hatzivassiliou G, Zhao F, Bauer DE, Andreadis C, Shaw AN, Dhanak D, Hingorani SR, Tuveson DA, Thompson CB. ATP citrate lyase inhibition can suppress tumor cell growth. *Cancer Cell*. 2005; 8:311–321. [PubMed: 16226706]
- Hersey P, Watts RN, Zhang XD, Hackett J. Metabolic approaches to treatment of melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2009; 15:6490–6494. [PubMed: 19861452]

- Heyn H, Esteller M. DNA methylation profiling in the clinic: Applications and challenges. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2012; 13:679–692. [PubMed: 22945394]
- Hightower LE. Stress proteins in biology and medicine. *Science.* 1990; 249:572–573. [PubMed: 17735293]
- Hopkins AL, Groom CR. The druggable genome. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2002; 1:727–730. [PubMed: 12209152]
- Jang M, Cai L, Udeani GO, Slowing KV, Thomas CF, Beecher CW, Fong HH, Farnsworth NR, Kinghorn AD, Mehta RG, Moon RC, Pezzuto JM. Cancer chemopreventive activity of resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes. *Science.* 1997; 275:218–220. [PubMed: 8985016]
- Jin J, Shirogane T, Xu L, Nalepa G, Qin J, Elledge SJ, Harper JW. SCF β -TRCP links Chk1 signaling to degradation of the Cdc25A protein phosphatase. *Genes Dev.* 2003; 17:3062–3074. [PubMed: 14681206]
- Kern KA, Norton JA. Inhibition of established rat fibrosarcoma growth by the glucose antagonist 2-deoxy-d-glucose. *Surgery.* 1987; 102:380–385. [PubMed: 3039679]
- Kiessling A, Wiesinger R, Sperl B, Berg T. Selective inhibition of c-Myc/Max dimerization by a pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine. *Chem Med Chem.* 2007; 2:6276–6280.
- Kim H, Huang J, Chen J. CCDC98 is a BRCA1-BRCT domain-binding protein involved in the DNA damage response. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2007a; 14:710–715. [PubMed: 17643122]
- Kim W, Yoon JH, Jeong JM, Cheon GJ, Lee TS, Yang JI, Park SC, Lee HS. Apoptosis-inducing antitumor efficacy of hexokinase II inhibitor in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2007b; 6:2554–2562. [PubMed: 17876052]
- Ko YH, Smith BL, Wang Y, Pomper MG, Rini DA, Torbenson MS, Hullihen J, Pedersen PL. Advanced cancers: Eradication in all cases using 3-bromopyruvate therapy to deplete ATP. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2004; 324:269–275. [PubMed: 15465013]
- Koivunen JP, Mermel C, Zejnullahu K, Murphy C, Lifshits E, Holmes AJ, Choi HG, Kim J, Chiang D, Thomas R, Lee J, Richards WG, Sugarbaker DJ, Ducko C, Lindeman N, Marcoux JP, Engelman JA, Gray NS, Lee C, Meyerson M, Jänne PA. EML4-ALK fusion gene and efficacy of an ALK kinase inhibitor in lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008; 14:4275–4283. [PubMed: 18594010]
- Kouzarides T. Chromatin modifications and their function. *Cell.* 2007; 128:693–705. [PubMed: 17320507]
- Kroemer G, Pouyssegur J. Tumor cell metabolism: Cancer's Achilles' heel. *Cancer Cell.* 2008; 13:472–482. [PubMed: 18538731]
- Kubbutat MH, Jones SN, Vousden KH. Regulation of p53 stability by Mdm2. *Nature.* 1997; 387:299–303. [PubMed: 9153396]
- Kung AL, Zabludoff SD, France DS, Freedman SJ, Tanner EA, Vieira A, Cornell-Kennon S, Lee J, Wang B, Wang J, Memmert K, Naegeli HU, Petersen F, Eck MJ, Bair KW, Wood AW, Livingston DM. Small molecule blockade of transcriptional coactivation of the hypoxia-inducible factor pathway. *Cancer Cell.* 2004; 6:33–43. [PubMed: 15261140]
- LaCasse EC, Mahoney DJ, Cheung HH, Plenchette S, Baird S, Korneluk RG. IAP-targeted therapies for cancer. *Oncogene.* 2008; 27:6252–6275. [PubMed: 18931692]
- Lassot I, Ségéral E, Berlioz-Torrent C, Durand H, Groussin L, Hai T, Benarous R, Margottin-Goguet F. ATF4 degradation relies on a phosphorylation-dependent interaction with the SCF(β TrCP) ubiquitin ligase. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2001; 21:2192–2202. [PubMed: 11238952]
- Le A, Cooper CR, Gouw AM, Dinavahi R, Maitra A, Deck LM, Royer RE, Vander Jagt DL, Semenza GL, Dang CV. Inhibition of lactate dehydrogenase A induces oxidative stress and inhibits tumor progression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2010; 107:2037–2042. [PubMed: 20133848]
- Le VH, Nagesh N, Lewis EA. Bcl-2 promoter sequence G-quadruplex interactions with three planar and non-planar cationic porphyrins: TMPyP4, TMPyP3, and TMPyP2. *PLoS ONE.* 2013; 8:e72462. [PubMed: 23977303]
- Lee KH, Hsu EC, Guh JH, Yang HC, Wang D, Kulp SK, Shapiro CL, Chen CS. Targeting energy metabolic and oncogenic signaling pathways in triple-negative breast cancer by a novel adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) activator. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:39247–39258. [PubMed: 21917926]

- Leonetti C, Amodei S, D'Angelo C, Rizzo A, Benassi B, Antonelli A, Elli R, Stevens MF, D'Incalci M, Zupi G, Biroccio A. Biological activity of the G-quadruplex ligand RHPS4 (3,11-difluoro-6,8,13-trimethyl-8H-quino[4,3,2-kl]acridinium methosulfate) is associated with telomere capping alteration. *Mol Pharmacol*. 2004; 66:1138–1146. [PubMed: 15304549]
- Lessene G, Czabotar PE, Colman PM. BCL-2 family antagonists for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2008; 7:989–1000. [PubMed: 19043450]
- Lin JN, Lin VC, Rau KM, Shieh PC, Kuo DH, Shieh JC, Chen WJ, Tsai SC, Way TD. Resveratrol modulates tumor cell proliferation and protein translation via SIRT1-dependent AMPK activation. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2010; 58:1584–1592. [PubMed: 19928762]
- Little E, Ramakrishnan M, Roy B, Gazit G, Lee AS. The glucose-regulated proteins (GRP78 and GRP94): Functions, gene regulation, and applications. *Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Exp*. 1994; 4:1–18.
- Liu B, Fan Z, Edgerton SM, Deng XS, Alimova IN, Lind SE, Thor AD. Metformin induces unique biological and molecular responses in triple negative breast cancer cells. *Cell Cycle*. 2009; 8:2031–2040. [PubMed: 19440038]
- Logue JS, Morrison DK. Complexity in the signaling network: Insights from the use of targeted inhibitors in cancer therapy. *Genes Dev*. 2012; 26:641–650. [PubMed: 22474259]
- Lu Z, Hunter T. Degradation of activated protein kinases by ubiquitination. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 2009; 78:435–475. [PubMed: 19489726]
- Lynch TJ, Bell DW, Sordella R, Gurubhagavatula S, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, Harris PL, Haserlat SM, Supko JG, Haluska FG, Louis DN, Christiani DC, Settleman J, Haber DA. Activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor underlying responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancer to gefitinib. *N Engl J Med*. 2004; 350:2129–2139. [PubMed: 15118073]
- MacDonald BT, Tamai K, He X. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling: Components, mechanisms, and diseases. *Dev Cell*. 2009; 17:9–26. [PubMed: 19619488]
- Maher JC, Krishan A, Lampidis TJ. Greater cell cycle inhibition and cytotoxicity induced by 2-deoxy-d-glucose in tumor cells treated under hypoxic vs aerobic conditions. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 2004; 53:116–122. [PubMed: 14605866]
- Mao JH, Sun XY, Liu JX, Zhang QY, Liu P, Huang QH, Li KK, Chen Q, Chen Z, Chen SJ. As4S4 targets RING-type E3 ligase c-CBL to induce degradation of BCR-ABL in chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2010; 107:21683–21688. [PubMed: 21118980]
- Marks PA. The clinical development of histone deacetylase inhibitors as targeted anticancer drugs. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. 2010; 19:1049–1066.
- Maschek G, Savaraj N, Priebe W, Braunschweiger P, Hamilton K, Tidmarsh GF, De Young LR, Lampidis TJ. 2-Deoxy-d-glucose increases the efficacy of adriamycin and paclitaxel in human osteosarcoma and non-small cell lung cancers in vivo. *Cancer Res*. 2004; 64:31–34. [PubMed: 14729604]
- Mathupala SP, Ko YH, Pedersen PL. Hexokinase II: Cancer's double-edged sword acting as both facilitator and gatekeeper of malignancy when bound to mitochondria. *Oncogene*. 2006; 25:4777–4786. [PubMed: 16892090]
- Michalakos ED, Webster L, Mackey JR. Dichloroacetate (DCA) as a potential metabolic-targeting therapy for cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2008; 99:989–994. [PubMed: 18766181]
- Miyazaki T, Pan Y, Joshi K, Purohit D, Hu B, Demir H, Mazumder S, Okabe S, Yamori T, Viapiano M, Shin-ya K, Seimiya H, Nakano I. Telomestatin impairs glioma stem cell survival and growth through the disruption of telomeric G-quadruplex and inhibition of the proto-oncogene, c-Myb. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2012; 18:1268–1280. [PubMed: 22230766]
- Mo H, Henriksson M. Identification of small molecules that induce apoptosis in a Myc-dependent manner and inhibit Myc-driven transformation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006; 103:6344–6349. [PubMed: 16606833]
- Mo H, Vita M, Crespin M, Henriksson M. Myc overexpression enhances apoptosis induced by small molecules. *Cell Cycle*. 2006; 5:2191–2194. [PubMed: 17012843]
- Moeller RE, Cornejo M, Davis TN, Del Bianco C, Aster JC, Blacklow SC, Kung AL, Gilliland DG, Verdine GL, Bradner JE. Direct inhibition of the NOTCH transcription factor complex. *Nature*. 2009; 462:182–188. [PubMed: 19907488]

- Moerke NJ, Aktas H, Chen H, Cantel S, Reibarkh MY, Fahmy A, Gross JD, Degterev A, Yuan J, Chorev M, Halperin JA, Wagner G. Small-molecule inhibition of the interaction between the translation initiation factors eIF4E and eIF4G. *Cell*. 2007; 128:257–267. [PubMed: 17254965]
- Nakayama KI, Nakayama K. Ubiquitin ligases: Cell-cycle control and cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2006; 6:369–381. [PubMed: 16633365]
- Nalepa G, Rolfe M, Harper JW. Drug discovery in the ubiquitin–proteasome system. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2006; 5:596–613. [PubMed: 16816840]
- Neidle S. The structures of quadruplex nucleic acids and their drug complexes. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*. 2009; 19:239–250. [PubMed: 19487118]
- Niles RM, Cook CP, Meadows GG, Fu YM, McLaughlin JL, Rankin GO. Resveratrol is rapidly metabolized in athymic (nu/nu) mice and does not inhibit human melanoma xenograft tumor growth. *J Nutr*. 2006; 136:2542–2546. [PubMed: 16988123]
- Oudard S, Carpentier A, Banu E, Fauchon F, Celerier D, Poupon MF, Dutrillaux B, Andrieu JM, Delattre JY. Phase II study of lonidamine and diazepam in the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. *J Neurooncol*. 2003; 63:81–86. [PubMed: 12814259]
- Overington JP, Al-Lazikani B, Hopkins AL. How many drug targets are there? *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2006; 5:993–996. [PubMed: 17139284]
- Paez JG, Jänne PA, Lee JC, Tracy S, Greulich H, Gabriel S, Herman P, Kaye FJ, Lindeman N, Boggon TJ, Naoki K, Sasaki H, Fujii Y, Eck MJ, Sellers WR, Johnson BE, Meyerson M. EGFR mutations in lung cancer: Correlation with clinical response to gefitinib therapy. *Science*. 2004; 304:1497–1500. [PubMed: 15118125]
- Pao W, Miller V, Zakowski M, Doherty J, Politi K, Sarkaria I, Singh B, Heelan R, Rusch V, Fulton L, Mardis E, Kupfer D, Wilson R, Kris M, Varmus H. EGF receptor gene mutations are common in lung cancers from “never smokers” and are associated with sensitivity of tumors to gefitinib and erlotinib. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2004; 101:13306–13311. [PubMed: 15329413]
- Portalone L, Lombardi A, Antilli A, Cruciani AR, Magliacani V, Mugnaini L, Nunziati F, Perrone N, Signora M, Salvati F. Treatment of inoperable non-small cell lung carcinoma stage IIIb and IV with cisplatin, epidoxorubicin, vindesine and lonidamine: A phase II study. *Tumori*. 1999; 85:239–242. [PubMed: 10587024]
- Raimundo BC, Oslob JD, Braisted AC, Hyde J, McDowell RS, Randal M, Waal ND, Wilkinson J, Yu CH, Arkin MR. Integrating fragment assembly and biophysical methods in the chemical advancement of small-molecule antagonists of IL-2: An approach for inhibiting protein–protein interactions. *J Med Chem*. 2004; 47:3111–3130. [PubMed: 15163192]
- Rattan R, Giri S, Singh AK, Singh I. 5-Aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-beta-d-ribofuranoside inhibits cancer cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo via AMP-activated protein kinase. *J Biol Chem*. 2005; 280:39582–39593. [PubMed: 16176927]
- Rodríguez-Paredes M, Esteller M. Cancer epigenetics reaches mainstream oncology. *Nat Med*. 2011; 17:330–339. [PubMed: 21386836]
- Sams-Dodd F. Target-based drug discovery: Is something wrong? *Drug Discov Today*. 2005; 10:139–147. [PubMed: 15718163]
- Sheng J, Gan J, Huang Z. Structure-based DNA-targeting strategies with small molecule ligands for drug discovery. *Med Res Rev*. 2013; 33:1119–1173. [PubMed: 23633219]
- Simeonov A, Yasgar A, Jadhav A, Lokesh GL, Klumpp C, Michael S, Austin CP, Natarajan A, Inglese J. Dual-fluorophore quantitative high-throughput screen for inhibitors of BRCT-phosphoprotein interaction. *Anal Biochem*. 2008; 375:60–70. [PubMed: 18158907]
- Singh V, Sharma P, Capalash N. DNA methyltransferase-1 inhibitors as epigenetic therapy for cancer. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets*. 2013; 13:379–399. [PubMed: 23517596]
- Sols A, Crane RK. Substrate specificity of brain hexokinase. *J Biol Chem*. 1954; 210:581–595. [PubMed: 13211595]
- Sonveaux P, Végran F, Schroeder T, Wergin MC, Verrax J, Rabbani ZN, De Saedeleer CJ, Kennedy KM, Diepart C, Jordan BF, Kelley MJ, Gallez B, Wahl ML, Feron O, Dewhirst MW. Targeting lactate-fueled respiration selectively kills hypoxic tumor cells in mice. *J Clin Invest*. 2008; 118:3930–3942. [PubMed: 19033663]

- Soucy TA, Smith PG, Milhollen MA, Berger AJ, Gavin JM, Adhikari S, Brownell JE, Burke KE, Cardin DP, Critchley S, Cullis CA, Doucette A, Garnsey JJ, Gaulin JL, Gershman RE, Lublinsky AR, McDonald A, Mizutani H, Narayanan U, Olhava EJ, Peluso S, Rezaei M, Sintchak MD, Talreja T, Thomas MP, Traore T, Vyskocil S, Weatherhead GS, Yu J, Zhang J, Dick LR, Claiborne CF, Rolfe M, Bolen JB, Langston SP. An inhibitor of NEDD8-activating enzyme as a new approach to treat cancer. *Nature*. 2009; 458:732–736. [PubMed: 19360080]
- Swinnen JV, Beckers A, Brusselmans K, Organe S, Segers J, Timmermans L, Vanderhoydonc F, Deboel L, Derua R, Waelkens E, De Schrijver E, Van de Sande T, Noël A, Fougelle F, Verhoeven G. Mimicry of a cellular low energy status blocks tumor cell anabolism and suppresses the malignant phenotype. *Cancer Res*. 2005; 65:2441–2448. [PubMed: 15781660]
- Tanimura S, Ohtsuka S, Mitsui K, Shirouzu K, Yoshimura A, Ohtsubo M. MDM2 interacts with MDMX through their RING finger domains. *FEBS Lett*. 1999; 447:5–9. [PubMed: 10218570]
- Thanos CD, DeLano WL, Wells JA. Hot-spot mimicry of a cytokine receptor by a small molecule. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2006; 103:15422–15427. [PubMed: 17032757]
- Tower DB. The effects of 2-deoxy-d-glucose on metabolism of slices of cerebral cortex incubated in vitro. *J Neurochem*. 1958; 3:185–205. [PubMed: 13621240]
- Trosset JY, Dalvit C, Knapp S, Fasolini M, Veronesi M, Mantegani S, Gianellini LM, Catana C, Sundström M, Stouten PF, Moll JK. Inhibition of protein–protein interactions: The discovery of druglike beta-catenin inhibitors by combining virtual and biophysical screening. *Proteins*. 2006; 64:60–67. [PubMed: 16568448]
- Valkov E, Sharpe T, Marsh M, Greive S, Hyvönen M. Targeting protein–protein interactions and fragment-based drug discovery. *Top Curr Chem*. 2012; 317:145–179. [PubMed: 22006238]
- Vander Heiden MG. Targeting cancer metabolism: A therapeutic window opens. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2011; 10:671–684. [PubMed: 21878982]
- Vassilev LT, Vu BT, Graves B, Carvajal D, Podlaski F, Filipovic Z, Kong N, Kammlott U, Lukacs C, Klein C, Fotouhi N, Liu EA. In vivo activation of the p53 pathway by small-molecule antagonists of MDM2. *Science*. 2004; 303:844–848. [PubMed: 14704432]
- Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, Sutton GG, Smith HO, Yandell M, Evans CA, Holt RA, Gocayne JD, Amanatides P, Ballew RM, Huson DH, Wortman JR, Zhang Q, Kodira CD, Zheng XH, Chen L, Skupski M, Subramanian G, Thomas PD, Zhang J, Gabor Miklos GL, Nelson C, Broder S, Clark AG, Nadeau J, McKusick VA, Zinder N, Levine AJ, Roberts RJ, Simon M, Slayman C, Hunkapiller M, Bolanos R, Delcher A, Dew I, Fasulo D, Flanigan M, Florea L, Halpern A, Hannenhalli S, Kravitz S, Levy S, Mobarry C, Reinert K, Remington K, Abu-Threideh J, Beasley E, Biddick K, Bonazzi V, Brandon R, Cargill M, Chandramouliswaran I, Charlab R, Chaturvedi K, Deng Z, Di Francesco V, Dunn P, Eilbeck K, Evangelista C, Gabrielian AE, Gan W, Ge W, Gong F, Gu Z, Guan P, Heiman TJ, Higgins ME, Ji RR, Ke Z, Ketchum KA, Lai Z, Lei Y, Li Z, Li J, Liang Y, Lin X, Lu F, Merkulov GV, Milshina N, Moore HM, Naik AK, Narayan VA, Neelam B, Nusskern D, Rusch DB, Salzberg S, Shao W, Shue B, Sun J, Wang Z, Wang A, Wang X, Wang J, Wei M, Wides R, Xiao C, Yan C, Yao A, Ye J, Zhan M, Zhang W, Zhang H, Zhao Q, Zheng L, Zhong F, Zhong W, Zhu S, Zhao S, Gilbert D, Baumhueter S, Spier G, Carter C, Cravchik A, Woodage T, Ali F, An H, Awe A, Baldwin D, Baden H, Barnstead M, Barrow I, Beeson K, Busam D, Carver A, Center A, Cheng ML, Curry L, Danaher S, Davenport L, Desilets R, Dietz S, Dodson K, Doup L, Ferreira S, Garg N, Gluecksmann A, Hart B, Haynes J, Haynes C, Heiner C, Hladun S, Hostin D, Houck J, Howland T, Ibegwam C, Johnson J, Kalush F, Kline L, Koduru S, Love A, Mann F, May D, McCawley S, McIntosh T, McMullen I, Moy M, Moy L, Murphy B, Nelson K, Pfannkoch C, Pratt E, Puri V, Qureshi H, Reardon M, Rodriguez R, Rogers YH, Romblad D, Ruhfel B, Scott R, Sitter C, Smallwood M, Stewart E, Strong R, Suh E, Thomas R, Tint NN, Tse S, Vech C, Wang G, Wetter J, Williams S, Williams M, Windsor S, Winn-Deen E, Wolfe K, Zaveri J, Zaveri K, Abril JF, Guigó R, Campbell MJ, Sjolander KV, Karlak B, Kejariwal A, Mi H, Lazareva B, Hatton T, Narechania A, Diemer K, Muruganujan A, Guo N, Sato S, Bafna V, Istrail S, Lippert R, Schwartz R, Walenz B, Yoosheph S, Allen D, Basu A, Baxendale J, Blick L, Caminha M, Carnes-Stine J, Caulk P, Chiang YH, Coyne M, Dahlke C, Mays A, Dombroski M, Donnelly M, Ely D, Esparham S, Fosler C, Gire H, Glanowski S, Glasser K, Glodek A, Gorokhov M, Graham K, Gropman B, Harris M, Heil J, Henderson S, Hoover J, Jennings D, Jordan C, Jordan J, Kasha J, Kagan L, Kraft C, Levitsky A, Lewis M, Liu X, Lopez J, Ma D, Majoros W, McDaniel J,

- Murphy S, Newman M, Nguyen T, Nguyen N, Nodell M, Pan S, Peck J, Peterson M, Rowe W, Sanders R, Scott J, Simpson M, Smith T, Sprague A, Stockwell T, Turner R, Venter E, Wang M, Wen M, Wu D, Wu M, Xia A, Zandieh A, Zhu X. The sequence of the human genome. *Science*. 2001; 291:1304–1351. [PubMed: 11181995]
- Viaud J, Zeghouf M, Barelli H, Zeeh JC, Padilla A, Guibert B, Chardin P, Royer CA, Cherfils J, Chavanieu A. Structure-based discovery of an inhibitor of Arf activation by Sec7 domains through targeting of protein–protein complexes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007; 104:10370–10375. [PubMed: 17563369]
- Vogler M, Weber K, Dinsdale D, Schmitz I, Schulze-Osthoff K, Dyer MJ, Cohen GM. Different forms of cell death induced by putative BCL2 inhibitors. *Cell Death Differ*. 2009; 16:1030–1039. [PubMed: 19390557]
- Vucic EA, Thu KL, Robison K, Rybaczyk LA, Chari R, Alvarez CE, Lam WL. Translating cancer ‘omics’ to improved outcomes. *Genome Res*. 2012; 22:188–195. [PubMed: 22301133]
- Wang D, Chu PC, Yang CN, Yan R, Chuang YC, Kulp SK, Chen CS. Development of a novel class of glucose transporter inhibitors. *J Med Chem*. 2012a; 55:3827–3836. [PubMed: 22468970]
- Wang S, Zhao Y, Bernard D, Aguilar A, Kumar S. Targeting the MDM2-p53 protein–protein interaction for new cancer therapeutics. *Top Med Chem*. 2012b; 8:57–79.
- Wang Z, Gao D, Fukushima H, Inuzuka H, Liu P, Wan L, Sarkar FH, Wei W. Skp2: A novel potential therapeutic target for prostate cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2012c; 1825:11–17. [PubMed: 21963805]
- Wei S, Yang HC, Chuang HC, Yang J, Kulp SK, Lu PJ, Lai MD, Chen CS. A novel mechanism by which thiazolidinediones facilitate the proteasomal degradation of cyclin D1 in cancer cells. *J Biol Chem*. 2008; 283:26759–26770. [PubMed: 18650423]
- Wei S, Chuang HC, Tsai WC, Yang HC, Ho SR, Paterson AJ, Kulp SK, Chen CS. Thiazolidinediones mimic glucose starvation in facilitating Sp1 degradation through the up-regulation of beta-transducin repeat-containing protein. *Mol Pharmacol*. 2009; 76:47–57. [PubMed: 19372209]
- Wei S, Kulp SK, Chen CS. Energy restriction as an antitumor target of thiazolidinediones. *J Biol Chem*. 2010; 285:9780–9791. [PubMed: 20093366]
- Wei S, Chu PC, Chuang HC, Hung WC, Kulp SK, Chen CS. Targeting the oncogenic E3 ligase Skp2 in prostate and breast cancer cells with a novel energy restriction-mimetic agent. *PLoS ONE*. 2012; 7:e47298. [PubMed: 23071779]
- Wenzel E, Soldo T, Erbersdobler H, Somoza V. Bioactivity and metabolism of *trans*-resveratrol orally administered to Wistar rats. *Mol Nutr Food Res*. 2005; 49:482–494. [PubMed: 15779067]
- Wright CW, Duckett CS. Reawakening the cellular death program in neoplasia through the therapeutic blockade of IAP function. *J Clin Invest*. 2005; 115:2673–2678. [PubMed: 16200201]
- Wu J, Zhang X, Zhang L, Wu CY, Rezaeian AH, Chan CH, Li JM, Wang J, Gao Y, Han F, Jeong YS, Yuan X, Khanna KK, Jin J, Zeng YX, Lin HK. Skp2 E3 ligase integrates ATM activation and homologous recombination repair by ubiquitinating NBS1. *Mol Cell*. 2012; 46:351–361. [PubMed: 22464731]
- Xiang X, Saha AK, Wen R, Ruderman NB, Luo Z. AMP-activated protein kinase activators can inhibit the growth of prostate cancer cells by multiple mechanisms. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2004; 321:161–167. [PubMed: 15358229]
- Yang Y, Ludwig RL, Jensen JP, Pierre SA, Medaglia MV, Davydov IV, Safiran YJ, Oberoi P, Kenten JH, Phillips AC, Weissman AM, Vousden KH. Small molecule inhibitors of HDM2 ubiquitin ligase activity stabilize and activate p53 in cells. *Cancer Cell*. 2005; 7:547–559. [PubMed: 15950904]
- Yook JI, Li XY, Ota I, Fearon ER, Weiss SJ. Wnt-dependent regulation of the E-cadherin repressor snail. *J Biol Chem*. 2006; 280:11740–11748. [PubMed: 15647282]
- Zakikhani M, Dowling R, Fantus IG, Sonenberg N, Pollak M. Metformin is an AMP kinase-dependent growth inhibitor for breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res*. 2006; 66:10269–10273. [PubMed: 17062558]
- Zhan T, Digel M, Küch EM, Stremmel W, Füllekrug J. Silybin and dehydrosilybin decrease glucose uptake by inhibiting GLUT proteins. *J Cell Biochem*. 2011; 112:849–859. [PubMed: 21328458]

- Zhang J, Yang PL, Gray NS. Targeting cancer with small molecule kinase inhibitors. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2009; 9:28–39. [PubMed: 19104514]
- Zhao Y, Bernard D, Wang S. Small molecule inhibitors of MDM2-p53 and MDMX-p53 interactions as new cancer therapeutics. *BioDiscovery*. 2013; 4:1–15.

Fig. 1. Pathways identified by a mechanism-based approach as promising targets for anticancer therapy. Pathways associated with energy metabolism, protein turnover, and protein–protein and protein–DNA interactions can be dysregulated in cancer cells, and involve multiple potentially druggable targets.

Fig. 2.
Structures of all of the small-molecule agents mentioned in this article.

Fig. 3.

Diagram depicting the ubiquitination of a target protein. The protein is ubiquitinated by the concerted actions of three enzymes (E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme, E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, and E3 ubiquitin ligase) which marks it for subsequent degradation by the 26S proteasome complex.

Fig. 4.
Diagram of the structure of a DNA G-quadruplex.

Fig. 5. Schematic diagram depicting the strategies for developing c-Myc inhibitors by targeting dimerization or DNA binding. HLH-LZ, the basic helix–loop–helix leucine zipper domain.

TABLE I

Small-Molecule Agents Targeting Glucose Metabolism

Target (small-molecule agents)	Mode of action
1. Glucose intake	
Glufosfamide ^a	Glufosfamide is a covalent conjugate of glucose with an ifosfamide mustard. Cancer cells preferentially take up glufosfamide, which is metabolized to release a cytotoxic compound, isophosphoramidate, to kill cancer cells. This agent has undergone clinical trials in solid tumors, alone or in combination with gemcitabine, with low to modest activities [Chiorean et al., 2008; Ciuleanu et al., 2009]
2. Adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK)	
5-Amino-imidazole-4-carboxamideribo- nucleotide (AICAR)	AICAR is widely used experimentally to activate AMPK and effectively inhibits the growth of established tumor cells in vitro and in vivo [Xiang et al., 2004; Rattan et al., 2005; Swinnen et al., 2005; Buzzai et al., 2007]
Metformin and its analogues phenformin and biguanide	Epidemiologic data have suggested the chemopreventive potential of metformin in breast cancer [Evans et al., 2005], which is supported by its in vivo efficacy in suppressing breast xenograft tumor growth in nude mice [Liu et al., 2009]. At the molecular level, metformin suppresses cancer cell growth by inhibiting mTOR- dependent translation initiation through AMPK activation [Zakikhani et al., 2006; Dowling et al., 2007], or through the phosphorylating inactivation of acetyl-CoA carboxylase accompanied by suppression of fatty acid synthase, leading to growth inhibition through the blockade of lipogenesis [Xiang et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2009]
OSU-53	OSU-53, developed in the authors' laboratory, is an allosteric activator of AMPK by binding to the auto-inhibitory domain [Guh et al., 2010]. Thus, it directly activates the kinase activity of the recombinant AMPK $\alpha 1\beta 1\gamma 2$ with EC ₅₀ of 0.3 μ M relative to 8 μ M for AMP. This AMPK activator inhibits cancer cell proliferation by targeting both metabolic and oncogenic signaling pathways [Lee et al., 2011]. Especially noteworthy is that OSU-53 blocks Akt signaling via a protein phosphatase 2A-dependent pathway
3. Glucose transporters	
CG-5	CG-5, a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ -inactive ciglitazone derivative, is a promising energy restriction-mimetic agent (ERMA) with high 20- and 1,000-fold higher potency than resveratrol and 2-DG, respectively [Wei et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012a]. CG-5 inhibits glucose metabolism through effects at different molecular levels, including the cellular uptake of glucose, inhibition of Akt, and the transcription of genes associated with glycolysis and energy metabolism. Further investigation of additional mechanisms is currently underway
Silybin	Silybin, a flavonoid natural product, is widely used to treat hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhosis-associated insulin resistance. A recent study indicates that silybin and its derivative dehydrosilybin inhibit cellular glucose uptake by directly interacting with GLUT transporters [Zhan et al., 2011]
Resveratrol	Resveratrol has been reported to target cancer cells, in part, by mimicking energy restriction [Baur and Sinclair, 2006; Cucciolola et al., 2007; Bishayee, 2009; Lin et al., 2010]. Many studies show the anti-cancer activity of resveratrol in vitro and in animal models [Jang et al., 1997]. With the exception of skin and gastrointestinal tract tumors [Athar et al., 2007], resveratrol showed no activity against the growth of existing tumors, in part, due to its poor systemic bioavailability [Wenzel et al., 2005; Niles et al., 2006; Boocock et al., 2007]
4. Hexokinase II (HK II)	
Lonidamine	Inhibition of the mitochondrial-bound HK II not only affects glucose metabolism but also increases the sensitivity of cancer cells to apoptosis by facilitating the docking of Bax on the HK II-binding partner voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC) [Mathupala et al., 2006]. Phase II trials with the combination of lonidamine and cytotoxic chemotherapy was active against advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer and ovarian cancer [Portalone et al., 1999; De Lena et al., 2001]. However, in the absence of cytotoxic drugs, lonidamine showed little activity against nonsmall cell lung cancer or glioblastoma multiforme [De Marinis et al., 1999; Oudard et al., 2003]

Target (small-molecule agents)	Mode of action
3-Bromopyruvate (3-BrPA)	3-BrPA is an alkylating agent with structural similarity to lactate, which may enter cancer cells on the same transporter that exports lactate and then induce ATP depletion [Ko et al., 2004]. 3-BrPA showed in vitro and in vivo efficacy in suppressing the growth of hepatocellular carcinoma [Kim et al., 2007b] and breast cancer [Buijs et al., 2009]
5. Phosphohexose isomerase 2-Deoxyglucose (2-DG)	2-DG blocks glycolysis through the inhibition of phosphohexose isomerase [Sols and Crane, 1954; Tower, 1958], which leads to depletion of ATP and glucose derivatives required for protein glycosylation. 2-DG also induces unfolded protein response (UPR), as does low glucose stress [Hightower, 1990; Little et al., 1994]. 2-DG effectively blocks growth of rat fibrosarcoma [Kern and Norton, 1987], hepatocellular carcinoma [Cay et al., 1992; Geschwind et al., 2004], and other tumors by itself or in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents [Maher et al., 2004; Maschek et al., 2004]
6. Lactate dehydrogenase A (LDH-A) FX-11	Treatment of P493 human lymphoma B cells with FX11 reduced ATP levels and caused oxidative stress-induced cell death, and inhibited the progression of human lymphoma and pancreatic xenograft tumor growth [Le et al., 2010]
7. Pyruvate kinase TLN-232 (or CAP-232)	The M2 splice isoform of pyruvate kinase has been reported to play an important role in cancer metabolism and tumor growth [Christofk et al., 2008]. TLN-232 is a cyclic heptapeptide targeting M2PK, which is currently undergoing a small phase II study in metastatic melanoma [Hersey et al., 2009]
8. Pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) Dichloroacetate (DCA)	DCA has been proposed as a novel and relatively non-toxic anti-cancer agent that can reverse the glycolytic phenotype in cancer cells through the inhibition of PDK [Michelakis et al., 2008]. DCA has been in clinical use since 1969 for the treatment of lactic acidosis, and is currently undergoing clinical trials to evaluate its toxicity in cancer patients
9. Monocarboxylate transporter 1 (MCT1) α -Cycno-4-hydroxy-cinnamate (CHC)	Inhibition of MCT1 by CHC induced a switch from lactate-fueled respiration to glycolysis in oxygenated tumor cells, and suppressed lung and colon xenograft tumor growth [Sonveaux et al., 2008]
10. ATP citrate lyase SB-204990	Inhibition of ATP citrate lyase by SB-204990 blocks cytosolic acetyl-CoA production and lipid synthesis, thereby inhibiting proliferation and survival of tumor cells displaying aerobic glycolysis in vitro and in vivo [Hatzivassiliou et al., 2005]

^a Structures of all chemical compounds mentioned in this review are listed in Figure 2.

TABLE II

Examples of Targeting Protein–Protein Interactions by Small-Molecule Inhibitors

Protein–protein interaction	Clinical relevance
Arf/ARNO [Viaud et al., 2007]	Arf1, a major regulator of cellular traffic, is activated by the Sec7 catalytic domain of its guanine nucleotide exchange factor ARNO [D'Souza-Schorey and Chavrier, 2006]. In silico screening of a flexible pocket near the Arf1/ARNO interface led to the identification of LM11 [Viaud et al., 2007]. In vitro cell-based assays indicated that LM11 impaired Arf-dependent trafficking structures at the Golgi and inhibited ARNO-dependent migration of MDCK cells, confirming that ARNO is a target of LM11 in cells
BRCT/p-BACH1 [Simeonov et al., 2008]	The C-terminal portion of BRCA1 (BRCT) is a key tumor suppressor protein with diverse function, and its interaction with the phosphoprotein p-BACH1 is implicated in the DNA damage response and repair signaling pathways [Kim et al., 2007a]. Inhibitors of this interaction are useful to study BRCA1's role in cancer and to potentially sensitize tumors to chemotherapeutic agents
BH3-only proteins/pro-survival Bcl-2 family members [Lessene et al., 2008; Vogler et al., 2009; Azmi et al., 2011; Billard, 2013]	Members of the B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) family are important regulators of apoptotic cell death. The BH3-only Bcl-2 family members (NOXA, BAD and BIM) can trigger apoptosis by binding to the prosurvival members of this family (Bcl-2, Bcl-XL, Bcl-W, Mcl-1, and A1), thus neutralizing their functional activity. The “BH3 mimetic” concept is to develop small molecules capable of mimicking BH3-only proteins and thus inducing apoptosis, which has generated a large number of Bcl-2 inhibitors, some of which are currently undergoing preclinical/clinical development
β -Catenin/Tcf4 [Trosset et al., 2006]	Targeting the interaction between β -catenin and Tcf members is considered a therapeutically relevant anticancer strategy in light of the role of this interaction in mediating the Wnt signaling pathway, which is constitutively activated in colorectal and other types of cancer [MacDonald et al., 2009]. The Tcf3/Tcf4-binding surface on β -catenin contains a well-defined hot spot around residues K435 and R469. A virtual screening of a library collection of 17,700 compounds by docking into this hot spot resulted in the identification of three Tcf4- competitive compounds with the tightest binder PUN 74654 having a K_D of 450 nM [Trosset et al., 2006]
eIF4E/eIF4G [Moerke et al., 2007]	Assembly of the eIF4E/eIF4G complex has a central role in the regulation of gene expression at the level of translation initiation. Through a high-throughput screening assay, 4EGI-1 and structurally related small-molecule inhibitors of the eIF4E/eIF4G interaction were identified [Moerke et al., 2007]. 4EGI-1 inhibited cap-dependent translation but not initiation factor-independent translation, and inhibited expression of oncogenic proteins encoded by weak mRNAs
HIF-1 α /p300 [Kung et al., 2004]	Chetomin, a fungal metabolite, was identified through high-throughput screening of libraries consisting of over 500,000 natural products and synthetic compounds for inhibitors of HIF-1 α /p300 interactions that regulate HIF-1 transcriptional activity. Chetomin exhibited in vivo efficacy in suppressing xenograft tumor growth in different animal models, in part, by blocking HIF-1 transcriptional activity through the disruption of HIF-1 α /p300 interactions
IAPs/apoptosis effectors [Wright and Duckett, 2005; LaCasse et al., 2008]	The inhibitor of apoptosis (IAP) family members, defined by the presence of a baculovirus IAP repeat (BIR) protein domain, are key regulators of cytokinesis, apoptosis and signal transduction. Specific IAPs regulate cell division, caspase activity or survival pathways mediated through binding to their BIR domains, and/or through their ubiquitin-ligase RING domain activity. These protein–protein interactions are the subject of intense investigations for therapeutic development. Several IAP protein-targeted agents are currently evaluated in early clinical trials
IL-2/IL-2R α [Thanos et al., 2006]	Overexpression of the interleukin-2 receptor (IL-2R) α in tumor cells is associated with tumor progression and a poor patient prognosis, and binding of IL-2 to IL-2R α leads to activation of several proliferative and anti-apoptotic intracellular signaling pathways. A small-molecule, SP4206, was discovered through fragment-based drug design to bind IL-2R α with high affinity (K_D , 70 nM) [Braisted et al., 2003; Raimundo et al., 2004]. This high affinity of ligand binding might be attributable to the ability of SP4206 to target virtually the same critical “hot-spot” residues on IL-2 that drive binding of IL-2R α [Thanos et al., 2006]
c-Myc/Max [Berg, 2011]	c-Myc is involved in fundamental cellular processes including cell cycle progression, growth, oncogenic transformation, and apoptosis [Adhikary and Eilers, 2005]. It forms heterodimers with Max to regulate the transcription of target genes. Several c-Myc-Max dimerization inhibitors have been developed, which target the association of the basic helix–loop–helix leucine zipper (HLH-LZ) domains of these two proteins through chemical library screening [Berg, 2011]. An optimized representative c-Myc-Max dimerization inhibitor, Mycro3, showed good potency and selectivity at concentrations of 10–40 μ M against c-Myc in cellular assays [Kiessling et al., 2007]
The Notch transcription factor complex [Moellering et al., 2009]	Notch proteins regulate conserved pathways governing cell differentiation, proliferation, and death. Gain-of-function mutations in the Notch pathway are causally linked to cancer. Synthetic, cell-permeable, stabilized α -helical peptides were developed to target a critical protein–protein interface of the Notch transactivation complex [Moellering et al., 2009]. Treatment of leukemic cells with these peptides caused potent Notch-specific antiproliferative effects in vitro and in vivo
RUNX1/CBF β [Cunningham et al., 2012]	Transcription factors RUNX1 and CBF β form a heterodimer for DNA binding and regulation of gene expression. Evidence suggests that interaction between these two transcription factors plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of core binding factor (CBF) leukemias, for which the treatments are

Protein–protein interaction	Clinical relevance
	associated with significant morbidity and mortality, with a 5-year survival rate of 50%. High-throughput screening of a large compound library netted an inhibitor, Ro5-3355, that preferentially killed human CBF leukemia cell lines, rescued preleukemic phenotype in a RUNX1–ETO transgenic zebra fish, and reduced leukemia burden in a mouse CBF leukemia model [Cunningham et al., 2012]