Published in final edited form as:

Child Dev Perspect. 2008 April ; 2(1): 49–52. doi:10.1111/j.1750-8606.2008.00041.x.

Autistics' Atypical Joint Attention: Policy Implications and Empirical Nuance

Morton Ann Gernsbacher, Jennifer L. Stevenson, Suraiya Khandakar, and H. Hill Goldsmith
University of Wisconsin–Madison

Abstract

Burack and Russo (2008) applaud our approach to understanding autistics' atypical joint attention (Gernsbacher, Stevenson, Khandakar, & Goldsmith, 2008) but express some concerns about the evidence we drew upon to support our thesis. In response, we underscore the empirical nuance of our thesis—that autistics' atypical manifestations of joint attention arise from their atypical resistance to distraction, atypical parallel perception, and atypical execution of volitional actions. We recap how our hypothesis derives from fresh interpretations, well-replicated findings, and underlying mechanisms.

Keywords

autism; enhanced perceptual processing; gaze dyspraxia; joint attention; intentionality; pointing

We appreciate Burack and Russo's (2008) commentary, which applauds the policy implications of our essay on autistics' atypical joint attention (Gernsbacher, Stevenson, Khandakar, & Goldsmith, 2008). While preparing our target essay, we heeded *Child Development Perspectives'* charge to address a “second anticipated audience,” which comprises “consumers of research.” In addition to parents, professionals, and policy makers, who increasingly digest autism research, we addressed the most relevant consumers: autistic¹ individuals themselves, who increasingly contribute to and critique autism research (Gernsbacher, 2007).

However, while we respected federal policy regarding public discussion of individuals with disabilities, such as the mandate by the National Institutes of Health's parent organization and the American Psychological Association to “emphasize abilities, not limitations,” we neither adopted nor advocated a blanket positivistic approach. Rather, we built our thesis—that autistics' atypical manifestations of joint attention arise from their atypical resistance to

© 2008, Copyright the Author(s) Journal compilation© 2008, Society for Research in Child Development

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Morton Ann Gernsbacher, Department of Psychology, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706; magernsb@wisc.edu..

¹We follow Sinclair (1999; http://web.syr.edu/~jisincla/person_first.htm) in our respectful use of the term “autistic/s” rather than “person/s with autism” because the former is the term by which autistic individuals prefer to be called, and American Psychological Association (APA) style proscribes that authors “respect people's preferences; call people what they prefer to be called” (APA, 1994, p. 48). Indeed, a Google search conducted on March 14, 2007, revealed that 99% of the first 100 Google hits for the term “autistics” leads to organizations run by autistic persons, whereas all of the first 100 Google hits for the terms “person/s with autism” or “child/ren with autism” lead to organizations run by nonautistic individuals.

distraction, atypical parallel perception, and atypical execution of volitional actions—with the empirical nuance that Burack and Russo (2008) recommend. We forwarded fresh interpretations, emphasized well-replicated findings, and identified underlying mechanisms.

FRESH INTERPRETATIONS

Our target essay advanced our scholarly goal of drawing fresh interpretations from previously reported data (e.g., Akhtar & Gernsbacher, 2007; Dawson, Mottron, & Gernsbacher in press; Dawson, Soulières, Gernsbacher, & Mottron, 2007; Gernsbacher, 2008; Gernsbacher, Dawson, & Mottron, 2006). For example, we reviewed a study that measured how long autistic children followed an experimenter's instruction to remain focused on a visual display. Autistic children, who remained focused twice as long as typically developing children, were originally described as “stuck” (Landry & Bryson, 2004, p. 1118)—a description not applied to typically developing children, who remained focused twice as long as children with Down syndrome. We deduced instead that the experiment assayed all children's resistance to distraction, at which autistic children excel.

Similarly, we reviewed another study in which autistic children persevered on a Continuous Performance Task twice as long as typically developing children. The autistic children were originally interpreted as having “defective motivational frameworks” (Garretson et al., 1990, p. 112). We concluded instead that the study exemplified autistic children's atypical focused attention.

WELL-REPLICATED PHENOMENA

Our essay advanced our scholarly goal of emphasizing empirical phenomena that, despite repeated replication, often remain under the scientific community's radar (e.g., Gernsbacher, 2003; Gernsbacher, Dawson, & Goldsmith, 2005; Gernsbacher & Frymiare, 2005; Gernsbacher et al., 2005). For example, autistics' intact ability to attend covertly to social and nonsocial stimuli has been replicated in nearly a dozen studies, conducted in nearly a dozen laboratories, across nearly a dozen age ranges (Bird, Catmur, Silani, Frith, & Frith, 2006; Casey, Gordon, Mannheim, & Rumsey, 1993; Chawarska, Klin, & Volkmar, 2003; Greenaway & Plaisted, 2005; Iarocci & Burack, 2004; Kylliäinen & Hietanen, 2004; Ristic et al., 2005; Senju, Tojo, Dairoku, & Hasegawa, 2004; Swettenham, Condie, Campbell, Milne, & Coleman, 2003; Vlamings, Stauder, van Son, & Mottron, 2005).

Autistics' intact understanding of the intentionality of others' actions has been replicated with nearly a dozen laboratory tasks, across a wide range of participants; indeed, every published study has replicated autistic children's and adults' intact understanding of the intentionality of human action (Aldridge, Stone, Sweeney, & Bower, 2000; Carpenter, Pennington, & Rogers, 2001; Russell & Hill, 2001; Sebanz, Knoblich, Stumpf, & Prinz, 2005).

Autistics' superior parallel perception has also been replicated by nearly a dozen experiments, and again, every reported study has replicated this superiority, showing an average advantage of greater than 1 SD (Jarrold, Gilchrist, & Bender, 2005; O'Riordan,

2004; O'Riordan & Plaisted, 2001; O'Riordan, Plaisted, Driver, & Baron-Cohen, 2001; Plaisted, O'Riordan, & Baron-Cohen, 1998).

These three phenomena replicate across heterogeneous samples and various group-matching strategies (e.g., mental age vs. chronological age). We eschew using the terms *low functioning* and *high functioning* because they lack consistent empirical definition, and their usage violates public policy (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2003). Nonetheless, we emphasize that intact covert attention is demonstrated by autistic toddlers (Chawarska et al., 2003) and preteens (Iarocci & Burack, 2004) with average IQs lower than 50, autistic preteens (Kylliäinen & Hietanen, 2004) and young adults (Ristic et al., 2005) with average IQs higher than 100, and autistic middle-age adults with average IQs around 80 (Casey et al., 1993).

Intact understanding of intentionality is demonstrated by autistic toddlers (Aldridge et al., 2000), preschoolers (Carpenter et al., 2001), and grade schoolers (Russell & Hill, 2001) with average IQs lower than 75 as well as autistic adults (Sebanz et al., 2005) with average IQs higher than 100. Superior parallel perception is demonstrated by autistic children with average IQs lower than 50 (Jarrold et al., 2005) as well as autistic adults (O'Riordan, 2004) with average IQs higher than 110.

UNDERLYING MECHANISMS

Our essay advanced our empirical and theoretical goal of identifying underlying mechanisms (e.g., Gernsbacher, 2006; Gernsbacher, Sauer, Geye, Schweigert, & Goldsmith, 2008). For example, we proposed that autistics' less proficient execution of volitional actions (e.g., pointing their index fingers and turning their heads) underlies their less frequent initiation of, and response to, joint attention (by pointing their fingers and turning their heads).

Ninety percent of autistic toddlers who cannot point proto-declaratively (considered an index of joint attention) cannot point protoimperatively (not considered an index of joint attention; Baron-Cohen et al., 1996, p. 162; see also Robins, Fein, Barton, & Green, 2001). As shown in Figure 1, autistic toddlers and preschoolers who are less likely than typically developing children to turn their heads to look alternately between an object and a person (considered an index of joint attention) are also less likely to turn their heads to look alternately between two objects (not considered an index of joint attention; Young, Ozonoff, Pierce, Ong, & Rogers, 2007).

Neurologists have long cautioned that difficulty executing volitional actions can be "mistaken" for cognitive and social-cognitive deficits because of difficulty executing "a reliable [finger] point or yes/no head [movement]" (Murray & Chapey, 2001, p. 74). However, the same tasks that neurologists use to assess difficulty executing volitional head and eye movement (gaze apraxia; Roberts, 1992) are used by psychologists to assess response to joint attention.

We conclude by maintaining our essay's proposal that atypical resistance to distraction, atypical parallel perception, and atypical execution of volitional actions coalesce to produce

autistics' atypical manifestations of joint attention. We have not proposed (cf. Burack & Russo, 2008) that autistics need not share other people's attention or invite other people to share their attention. Instead, we believe autistics do share other people's attention and do invite other people to share their attention but they do so in atypical ways; their joint attention looks atypical. Understanding those atypical manifestations is a goal for us all.

Acknowledgments

We thank Michelle Dawson and Drew Goldsmith for feedback. Preparation of this article was supported by a grant to the first author from the Nancy Lurie Marks Family Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Akhtar N, Gernsbacher MA. Joint attention and language learning: A critical look. *Linguistics and Language Compass*. 2007; 1/3:195–207.
- Aldridge MA, Stone KR, Sweeney MH, Bower TGR. Preverbal children with autism understand the intentions of others. *Developmental Science*. 2000; 3:294–301.
- American Psychological Association. Publication manual of the American Psychological Association. 4th ed.. Author; Washington, DC: 1994.
- Baron-Cohen S, Cox A, Baird G, Swettenham J, Nightingale N, Morgan K, et al. Psychological markers in the detection of autism in infancy in a large population. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 1996; 168:158–163. [PubMed: 8837904]
- Bird G, Catmur C, Silani G, Frith C, Frith U. Attention does not modulate neural responses to social stimuli in autism spectrum disorders. *Neuroimage*. 2006; 31:1614–1624. [PubMed: 16616862]
- Burack JA, Russo N. On why joint attention might look atypical in autism: A case for a strong policy statement but more nuanced empirical story. *Child Development Perspectives*. 2008; 2:46–48.
- Carpenter M, Pennington BF, Rogers SJ. Understanding of others' intentions in children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2001; 31:589–599. [PubMed: 11814270]
- Casey BJ, Gordon CT, Mannheim GB, Rumsey JM. Dysfunctional attention in autistic savants. *Journal of Clinical Experimental Neuropsychology*. 1993; 15:933–946. [PubMed: 8120129]
- Chawarska K, Klin A, Volkmar F. Automatic attention cueing through eye movement in 2-year-old children with autism. *Child Development*. 2003; 74:1108–1122. [PubMed: 12938707]
- Dawson, M.; Mottron, L.; Gernsbacher, MA. Learning in autism.. In: Byrne, JH.; Roediger, H., editors. *Learning and memory: A comprehensive reference: Cognitive psychology*. Elsevier; New York: in press
- Dawson M, Soulières I, Gernsbacher MA, Mottron L. The level and nature of autistic intelligence. *Psychological Science*. 2007; 18:657–662. [PubMed: 17680932]
- Garretson HB, Fein D, Waterhouse L. Sustained attention in children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 1990; 20:101–114. [PubMed: 2324050]
- Gernsbacher MA. Is one style of autism early intervention “scientifically proven?”. *Journal of Developmental and Learning Disorders*. 2003; 7:19–25.
- Gernsbacher MA. Toward a behavior of reciprocity. *Journal of Developmental Processes*. 2006; 1:139–152.
- Gernsbacher MA. The true meaning of research participation. *Observer*. 2007; 20(6):13.
- Gernsbacher MA. Autism without borders. *Nature Medicine*. 2008; 14:113–114.
- Gernsbacher MA, Dawson M, Goldsmith HH. Three reasons not to believe in an autism epidemic. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2005; 14:55–58.
- Gernsbacher MA, Dawson M, Mottron L. Autism: Common, heritable, but not harmful. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 2006; 29:113–114.
- Gernsbacher MA, Dissanayake C, Goldsmith HH, Mundy PG, Rogers SJ, Sigman M. Autism and attachment disorder. *Science*. 2005; 307:1201–1203. [PubMed: 15731426]

- Gernsbacher MA, Frymiare J. Does the autistic brain lack core modules? *Journal of Developmental and Learning Disorders*. 2005; 9:3–16.
- Gernsbacher MA, Sauer EA, Geye HM, Schweigert EK, Goldsmith HH. Infant and toddler oral- and manual-motor skills predict later speech fluency in autism. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2008; 49:43–50. [PubMed: 17979963]
- Gernsbacher MA, Stevenson JL, Khandakar S, Goldsmith HH. Why does joint attention look atypical in autism? *Child Development Perspectives*. 2008; 2:38–45.
- Greenaway R, Plaisted K. Top-down attentional modulation in autistic spectrum disorders is stimulus-specific. *Psychological Science*. 2005; 16:987–994. [PubMed: 16313664]
- Iarocci G, Burack JA. Intact covert orienting to peripheral cues among children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2004; 34:257–264. [PubMed: 15264494]
- Jarrold C, Gilchrist ID, Bender A. Embedded figures detection in autism and typical development: Preliminary evidence of a double dissociation in relationships with visual search. *Developmental Science*. 2005; 8:344–351. [PubMed: 15985068]
- Kylliäinen A, Hietanen JK. Attention orienting by another's gaze direction in children with autism. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2004; 45:435–444. [PubMed: 15055364]
- Landry R, Bryson SE. Impaired disengagement of attention in young children with autism. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2004; 45:1115–1122. [PubMed: 15257668]
- Murray, LL.; Chapey, R. Assessment of language disorders in adults.. In: Chapey, R., editor. *Language intervention strategies in aphasia and related neurogenic communication disorders*. 4th ed.. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; New York: 2001. p. 55-127.
- O'Riordan MA. Superior visual search in adults with autism. *Autism*. 2004; 8:229–248. [PubMed: 15358868]
- O'Riordan M, Plaisted K. Enhanced discrimination in autism. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology A*. 2001; 54:961–979.
- O'Riordan MA, Plaisted KC, Driver J, Baron-Cohen S. Superior visual search in autism. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*. 2001; 27:719–730. [PubMed: 11424657]
- Plaisted K, O'Riordan M, Baron-Cohen S. Enhanced visual search for a conjunctive target in autism: A research note. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*. 1998; 39:777–783. [PubMed: 9690940]
- Ristic J, Mottron L, Friesen CK, Iarocci G, Burack JA, Kingstone A. Eyes are special but not for everyone: The case of autism. *Cognitive Brain Research*. 2005; 24:715–718. [PubMed: 16099372]
- Roberts SP. Visual disorders of higher cortical function. *Journal of the American Optometric Association*. 1992; 63:723–732. [PubMed: 1430747]
- Robins DL, Fein D, Barton ML, Green JA. The Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers: An initial study investigating the early detection of autism and pervasive developmental disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2001; 31:131–144. [PubMed: 11450812]
- Russell J, Hill EL. Action-monitoring and intention reporting in children with autism. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*. 2001; 42:317–328. [PubMed: 11321201]
- Sebanz N, Knoblich G, Stumpf L, Prinz W. Far from action-blind: Representation of others' actions in individuals with autism. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*. 2005; 22:433–454. [PubMed: 21038260]
- Senju A, Tojo Y, Dairoku H, Hasegawa T. Reflexive orienting in response to eye gaze and an arrow in children with and without autism. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2004; 45:445–458. [PubMed: 15055365]
- Sinclair, J. [August 2007] Why I dislike “person first” language. 1999. from http://web.syr.edu/~jisincla/person_first.htm
- Swettenham J, Condie S, Campbell R, Milne E, Coleman M. Does the perception of moving eyes trigger reflexive visual orienting in autism? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B Biological Sciences*. 2003; 358:325–334.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services. Anti-stigma: Do you know the facts?. National Mental Health Information Center; Feb. 2003 from <http://mentalhealth.samhsa.gov/publications/allpubs/OEL99-0004/default.asp> [December 30, 2007]

- Vlamings PH, Stauder JE, van Son IA, Motttron L. Atypical visual orienting to gaze- and arrow-cues in adults with high functioning autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2005; 35:267–277. [PubMed: 16119468]
- Young, GS.; Ozonoff, S.; Pierce, NM.; Ong, M.; Rogers, SJ. Attention shifting, affect, and social orientation in autism.. Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development; Boston. 2007, March;

Figure 1. Frequency of autistic and typically developing children's head turns in a 15 s period (from Young, Ozonoff, Pierce, Ong, & Rogers, 2007).