Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2013 October 16; 135(41): 15350–15352. doi:10.1021/ja408354s.

Fast, label-free force spectroscopy of histone-DNA interactions in individual nucleosomes using nanopores

Andrey Ivankin[†], Spencer Carson[†], Shannon R. M. Kinney[‡], and Meni Wanunu^{*†}

[†]Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA

[‡]Department of Pharmaceutical and Administrative Sciences, Western New England University, Springfield, MA

Abstract

Herein we report a novel approach for fast, label-free probing of DNA-histone interactions in individual nucleosomes. We use solid-state nanopores to unravel individual DNA/histone complexes for the first time, and find that the unraveling time depends on the applied electrophoretic force, and our results are in line with previous studies that employ optical tweezers. Our approach for studying nucleosomal interactions can greatly accelerate our understanding of fundamental mechanisms by which transcription, replication, and repair processes in a cell are modulated through DNA-histone interactions, as well as in diagnosis of diseases with abnormal patterns of DNA and histone modifications.

Eukaryotic DNA is packaged into nucleosomes, each comprised of ~147 base pairs of DNA wrapped 1.7 turns around histone octamers.¹ Nucleosome organization inherently limits the accessibility of regulatory proteins to genes, which serves as a sophisticated mechanism to control transcription, replication, and repair processes in a cell.² While it is known that dynamic modulation of nucleosomal structures is achieved via epigenetic modifications of histone proteins and DNA^{3,4} and by ATP-dependent remodelers,⁵ the mechanisms by which these enzyme-assisted modifications affect intranucleosomal interactions remain elusive.

Methods for fast, label-free measurements of DNA-histone interactions at the single nucleosome level can greatly accelerate our understanding of the factors that modulate nucleosome stability. While valuable insight has been obtained by Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET),^{6–8} atomic force microscopy,⁹ and optical tweezers,^{10–14} all of these methods require time-consuming sample labeling and/or surface immobilization. Recently, Soni and co-workers reported the use of nanopores for studying chromatin sub-structures such as histone monomers, tetramers, and octamers.¹⁵ While other DNA/protein systems have been studied using solid-state nanopores,^{16–18} in this paper we employ force spectroscopy for studying nucleosomal structures by choosing a pore size that applies an unraveling force to the wound DNA molecule.

^{*}Corresponding Author Meni Wanunu wanunu@neu.edu.

Supporting Information. Detailed description of nucleosome preparation, data for higher voltages, data analysis, raw traces, and force estimation. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org/>.

Figure 1a displays the schematic of our solid-state nanopore setup. A nanopore in an ultrathin silicon nitride membrane connects two chambers filled with an ionic buffer solution (0.265 M KCl, 0.0825 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM tris buffered to pH 7.9) that is isotonic with the eukaryotic cell nucleus.¹⁹ Voltage applied across the membrane creates a steady-state trans-membrane ion current flux that sculpts a highly localized (<10 nm) electrophoretic force gradient within the pore (see Supporting Information). Entry and exit of DNA from the pore are signaled by a characteristic ion current spike of measurable duration, t_d , and mean ion blockade fraction, I/I_{open} (see SI). Mononucleosomes used in this study were reconstituted *in vitro* with 208-bp control DNA provided with the EpiMark nucleosome assembly kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), and their formation was confirmed by gel shift analysis (Figure 1c, see SI). Nucleosome concentrations were kept above 100 nM during experiments to prevent nucleosome dissociation.²⁰ For nucleosome unraveling via DNA pulling, ~3 nm diameter pores were chosen (Figure 1b). Electrophoretic force captures a DNA end into the pore until the nucleosome is unwrapped, and the unwrapping time is measured for many individual complexes in the solution. Measurement time resolution of 4-5 μ s was achieved by collecting raw current samples at a 4.2 MHz sampling rate and low-pass filtering the data using a digital 200 kHz low-pass filter (Chimera Instruments).²¹ Offline data analysis was then performed using Open-Nanopore, an open-source MATLAB software.²²

Representative current traces at 325 mV reveal a striking difference between free DNA (sample 1) and DNA/nucleosomes (sample 2) (Figure 1d, see SI). A distinct population of events with considerably longer dwell times is observed for sample 2 at $V > 300$ mV. We hypothesize that these events correspond to nucleosome unraveling. To support this, we have analyzed >6,000 events for each voltage in the range 225-350 mV for the DNA/nucleosome sample. Surprisingly, during the course of our experiments our pores did not foul with nucleosomes, which may owe to the larger size of histones with respect to our 3 nm pore.

Results for sample 2 are summarized in Figure 2, in which we plot two-dimensional color maps of normalized I/I_{open} and t_d for different applied voltages. Above each scatter plot we show log-normal dwell time histograms fit to multi-Gaussian distributions. Three distinct populations, labeled as Populations 1-3, are attributed to free DNA translocation, nucleosome collisions, and nucleosome unraveling events, respectively. A minor, fast population seen for $V = 225$ mV ($t_d \sim 5$ μ s, $I/I_{open} \sim 0.6$) is attributed to signal artifacts due to fast DNA translocation.

Our assignment of Population 1 as free DNA translocations is supported by the systematic decrease in dwell times with voltage, with $\log(t_d)$ regularly declining from 1.71 to 0.65 (corresponding to t_d 51.3 and 4.5 μ s). Increased broadening of I/I in the maps for $V > 250$ mV is due to coincidence of our signal durations with the minimum time resolution (~5 μ s). While in similar experiments with pure DNA only Population 1 was observed, Populations 2 and 3 only appeared for the nucleosome sample. Population 2 appears at $V = 300$ mV and gradually disappears at larger voltages. Two observations suggest that events in Population 2 represent nucleosome collisions with the pore: 1) Mean t_d values do not decrease with increasing force (78 μ s at 300 mV vs. 85 μ s at 325 mV), and 2) The rate of

events in Population **2** decreases with voltage. Population **3**, **which** becomes pronounced at voltages 325 mV and above, is attributed to nucleosome unraveling by the pore. This is supported by an increase in the frequency of events in Population **3** with voltage, and a decrease in mean event duration (1.29 ms for 325 mV, 1.07 ms for 340 mV, 0.96 ms for 350 mV, 0.78 ms for 360 mV, and 0.71 ms for 370 mV see SI).

To provide further rationale for our hypothesis, we have estimated the force acting on the nucleosomal DNA in the nanopore, and compared it to the literature value of the total rupture force required for complete disruption of DNA from the histone octamers (see SI for a detailed description of our assumptions). To provide an estimate of force, we have compiled a set of reported DNA translocation times²³⁻²⁹ and related the observed velocities to directly measured forces.^{30,31} Our approach yields nucleosome rupturing forces of ~10 pN for $V = 325$ mV and ~18 pN for $V = 350$ mV, in good agreement with previous reports.^{9,11-13} In a study by Gemmen *et al*,¹² DNA-histone assemblies were stretched using optical tweezers at a constant rate, in which rupture occurred with sub-10 ms kinetics, similar to our rupturing rates. Mean nucleosome rupture forces decreased from 31 pN to 24 pN as monovalent salt concentrations increased from 5 mM to 100 mM. Extrapolation to our monovalent salt regime of 350 mM yields a nucleosome rupture force of ~6 pN, consistent with our study. We note that in contrast to most AFM and optical tweezers measurements, the loading rate in a typical nanopore experiment is unknown and can exceed that of established single-molecule techniques because of μ s-timescale loading of a protein-DNA assembly into a pore. Molecular dynamics simulations have recently provided an in-depth description of this process,³² suggesting that direct comparison of nanopore force measurements to other single-molecule studies can be difficult. Future use of active voltage control techniques can provide more precise control over loading rates.

In summary, we have shown here the reproducible (see SI) capture and unraveling of individual nucleosomes using a 3 nm diameter pore. Three force regimes were observed, as shown in Figure 3: Below 300 mV (<7 pN), no pronounced nucleosome-related events were observed, implying that a minimum force is required to capture a nucleosome. At 300 mV, nucleosome collisions with the pore are observed, although force is insufficient for nucleosome unraveling. At voltages above 300 mV (>7 pN), nucleosomes are captured and unraveled by the pore. Nanopore-based measurements of histone-DNA interactions are label-free and convenient. Since samples contain a resolvable mixture of free DNA and nucleosomes, unraveling forces are easily calibrated based on free DNA velocities during the experiment. This self-calibration is important in solid-state nanopore experiments, where pore size variability can substantially affect forces within the pore. Future studies can focus on mechanisms that control transcription, replication, and repair processes in a cell through modulation of DNA-histone interactions, as well as in diagnosis of diseases with abnormal patterns of DNA and histone modifications. In addition, further work will be carried out to obtain a more accurate force determination, as well as an analytical model that accounts for DNA-pore interactions and hydrodynamics.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully thank J. K. Rosenstein for use of the Chimera VC100 Instruments amplifier.

Funding Sources

Research supported by NIH grant # 5R01HG006321.

REFERENCES

- (1). Luger K, Mader AW, Richmond RK, Sargent DF, Richmond TJ. *Nature*. 1997; 389:251. [PubMed: 9305837]
- (2). Workman JL, Kingston RE. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 1998; 67:545. [PubMed: 9759497]
- (3). Kouzarides T. *Cell*. 2007; 128:693. [PubMed: 17320507]
- (4). Strahl BD, Allis CD. *Nature*. 2000; 403:41. [PubMed: 10638745]
- (5). Saha A, Wittmeyer J, Cairns BR. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2006; 7:437. [PubMed: 16723979]
- (6). Choy JS, Wei S, Lee JY, Tan S, Chu S, Lee TH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:1782. [PubMed: 20095602]
- (7). Gansen A, Toth K, Schwarz N, Langowski J. *J. Phys. Chem. B*. 2009; 113:2604. [PubMed: 18950220]
- (8). Gansen A, Valeri A, Hauger F, Felekyan S, Kalinin S, Toth K, Langowski J, Seidel CAM. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2009; 106:15308. [PubMed: 19706432]
- (9). Mihardja S, Spakowitz AJ, Zhang YL, Bustamante C. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2006; 103:15871. [PubMed: 17043216]
- (10). Bennink ML, Leuba SH, Leno GH, Zlatanova J, de Grooth BG, Greve J. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 2001; 8:606. [PubMed: 11427891]
- (11). Brower-Toland BD, Smith CL, Yeh RC, Lis JT, Peterson CL, Wang MD. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2002; 99:1960. [PubMed: 11854495]
- (12). Gemmen GJ, Sim R, Haushalter KA, Ke PC, Kadonaga JT, Smith DE. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2005; 351:89. [PubMed: 16002089]
- (13). Hall MA, Shundrovsky A, Bai L, Fulbright RM, Lis JT, Wang MD. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2009; 16:124. [PubMed: 19136959]
- (14). Shundrovsky A, Smith CL, Lis JT, Peterson CL, Wang MD. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2006; 13:549. [PubMed: 16732285]
- (15). Soni GV, Dekker C. *Nano Lett.* 2012; 12:3180. [PubMed: 22554358]
- (16). Dorvel B, Sigalov G, Zhao Q, Comer J, Dimitrov V, Mirsaidov U, Aksimentiev A, Timp G. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009; 37:4170. [PubMed: 19433506]
- (17). Kowalczyk SW, Hall AR, Dekker C. *Nano Lett.* 2010; 10:324. [PubMed: 19902919]
- (18). Zhao Q, Sigalov G, Dimitrov V, Dorvel B, Mirsaidov U, Sligar S, Aksimentiev A, Timp G. *Nano Lett.* 2007; 7:1680. [PubMed: 17500578]
- (19). Dick DA. *J. Physiol.* 1978; 284:37. [PubMed: 104029]
- (20). Dyer PN, Edayathumangalam RS, White CL, Bao YH, Chakravarthy S, Muthurajan UM, Luger K. *Methods Enzymol.* 2004; 375:23. [PubMed: 14870657]
- (21). Rosenstein JK, Wanunu M, Merchant CA, Drndic M, Shepard KL. *Nat. Meth.* 2012; 9:487.
- (22). Raillon C, Granjon P, Graf M, Steinbock LJ, Radenovic A. *Nanoscale*. 2012; 4:4916. [PubMed: 22786690]
- (23). Chen P, Gu JJ, Brandin E, Kim YR, Wang Q, Branton D. *Nano Lett.* 2004; 4:2293. [PubMed: 25221441]
- (24). Kowalczyk SW, Wells DB, Aksimentiev A, Dekker C. *Nano Lett.* 2012; 12:1038. [PubMed: 22229707]
- (25). Langecker M, Pedone D, Simmel FC, Rant U. *Nano Lett.* 2011; 11:5002. [PubMed: 21981323]
- (26). Li JL, Gershow M, Stein D, Brandin E, Golovchenko JA. *Nat. Mat.* 2003; 2:611.
- (27). Li JL, Talaga DS. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter*. 2010; 22:454129. [PubMed: 21339615]

- (29). Wanunu M, Sutin J, McNally B, Chow A, Meller A. Biophys. J. 2008; 95:4716. [PubMed: 18708467]
- (30). Keyser UF, Koeleman BN, Van Dorp S, Krapf D, Smeets RMM, Lemay SG, Dekker NH, Dekker C. Nat. Phys. 2006; 2:473.
- (31). van Dorp S, Keyser UF, Dekker NH, Dekker C, Lemay SG. Nat. Phys. 2009; 5:347.
- (32). Comer J, Ho A, Aksimentiev A. Electrophoresis. 2012; 33:3466. [PubMed: 23147918]

Figure 1.

(a) Scheme of a nanopore setup for measuring DNA-histone interactions. (b) A transmission electron microscope (TEM) image of the ~3 nm silicone nitride nanopore used in the experiment. (c) Gel validation of nucleosome assembly (6% PAGE). (d) Current traces for 100 nM DNA (208-bp) and DNA/Nucleosome samples at 325 mV.

Figure 2.

Scatter plots of current blocked vs. dwell time in the voltage range 225-350 mV ($n = 6400$ for each voltage) collected from a single pore, and corresponding $\log(\text{dwell time})$ histograms. Log-normal fits (red dashed lines) are shown and errors are indicated (see Supporting Information).

Figure 3.
Scheme outlining the force-dependent interactions of nucleosomes with a nanopore.