Published in final edited form as:

Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2012 May 25; 422(1): 54–58. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.04.104.

Blocking FSH Action Attenuates Osteoclastogenesis

Ling-Ling Zhu^{1,2}, Irina Tourkova³, Tony Yuen², Lisa J. Robinson³, Zhuan Bian¹, Mone Zaidi^{2,#}, and Harry C. Blair^{3,4,#}

¹School of Stomatology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

²The Mount Sinai Bone Program, Department of Medicine, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York

³Departments of Pathology and of Cell Biology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, USA

⁴The Pittsburgh VA Medical Center, Pittsburgh, USA

Abstract

A direct effect of FSH on bone turnover *via* stimulation of osteoclast formation has been reported. Here we show that monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies to FSH inhibit osteoclast formation induced by FSH to an extent similar to that noted in FSH receptor (FSHR) knockout cells. Furthermore, we document the amplification of FSHR cDNA from well-characterized human CD14⁺ osteoclast precursors and osteoclasts, and the direct sequencing of the PCR products to definitively establish the expression of FSHRs. At these sites, the FSHR was expressed predominantly as an isoform that omits exon 9, a linker between the FSH-binding region and a long, invariant signaling domain of the receptor. These data provide compelling evidence for expression of a FSH receptor isoform in osteoclasts and their precursors.

Keywords

FSH receptor; bone turnover; glycoprotein hormone receptors; osteoporosis; menopause

Introduction

FSH has been shown to stimulate osteoclast formation through its action on a G_{i2α}-coupled FSH receptor (FSHR) [1]. FSHR stimulation reduces cAMP levels, and activates MAP kinase and NF-κB signaling to produce its osteoclastogenic effect. Others confirmed existence of FSHRs on human precursor monocytes, in which instance, FSH stimulates the production of the osteoclastogenic cytokine interleukin-1 (IL-1) [2]. Likewise, we showed

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence: Harry C. Blair (hclair@imap.upitt.edu). Mone Zaidi (mone.zaidi@mssm.edu).

[#]equal contributors

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

that FSH enhances the production of another osteoclastogenic cytokine tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) from cells of the monocyte/macrophage lineage [3,4]. Thus, a direct action on osteoclast precursors and indirect stimulation through osteoclastogenic cytokines may together contribute to the effects of FSH in stimulating bone resorption. Notably, FSH injections increased alveolar bone loss in ovariectomized rats [5].

We surmise that FSH bypasses the ovary to act on bone independently of estrogen in what is now considered as a pituitary-bone axis. We have proposed that rising FSH levels contribute to the rapid rates of bone loss during the late peri-menopause; these declines have been attributed traditionally solely to low estrogen levels. If this is the case, not only is the pathophysiology of post-menopausal osteoporosis more complex than previously understood, but a rising FSH could potentially be a target for therapeutic intervention.

Indeed, a role for FSHRs in humans has been demonstrated by the fact that women with an activating FSHR polymorphism have a low bone mass and high resorption rates [6]. Additional consistent findings, reviewed elsewhere [7], include observations that amenorrheic women with high FSH levels (>35 mIU/L) suffer from greater bone loss than those with a relatively lower serum FSH (8 mIU/L) [8]. Despite this, some studies have been unable to demonstrate the expression of FSHRs on human and murine osteoclasts and osteoclast-like cells by PCR [9–11].

Here, we used a highly specific human anti-FSH β monoclonal antibody, as well as a polyclonal murine anti-FSH β antibody, to block the effects of FSH on osteoclastogenesis *in vitro*. This provides functional evidence for FSH action on osteoclastogenesis. We also show that FSHR-deficient osteoclast precursors form fewer osteoclasts *in vitro* compared with precursors from wild type littermates. Further, focusing on human osteoclasts, nested PCR primers, and DNA sequencing of PCR products, we provide definitive evidence for an alternatively spliced FSHR, which is slightly shorter than the ovarian isoform. Overall, these studies add to the body of evidence favoring a role for FSH in skeletal regulation.

Materials and Methods

Human peripheral blood monocytes were isolated by centrifugation on a density gradient to isolate cells of specific gravity <1.077. CD14⁺ cells were isolated by anti-CD14 immunomagnetic selection with verification of purity by flow cytometry [15]. Recombinant human CSF-1 and RANKL were used to induce osteoclast differentiation *in vitro* [15]. Procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board. Murine and human osteoclasts were produced as described [1]. Procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees. TRAP-positive osteoclast number was determined [1, 3].

Messenger RNA was isolated and first strand cDNA synthesis was performed using gene-specific primers for FSHR targets, or random hexamers for other targets. In replicating this work it should be noted that since the FSHR is a low abundance target in monocyte-derived cells, the reactions are not reliable unless gene-specific antisense primers are used. First strand cDNA was synthesized using MMLV reverse transcriptase (Superscript; Invitrogen). PCR reactions were initiated by adding 2.5 mM Mg, 100 nM of primers, and first strand

mixture containing 1–2 µg of RNA. After 10 min at 95°C, cycles of 30 sec at 95 °C, and 1 min at 54–59 °C (as indicated) were run on mastercycler Gradient PCR (Epindorf, Hippauge, NY), for 40 cycles.

Oligonucleotide primers for GAPDH were as reported [3]. For FSHR isoforms, reference sequences shown and primers are from: Human gene: FSHR; Genbank RefSeq NM_000145.3. Primer set 1: Forward primer, inside exon 8, 5'-AGC CTC TGG ACC AGT CAT TCT -3'; Reverse primer, inside exon 10, 5'-CTC TGC TGT AGC TGG ACT CAT -3'. These produce products of 140 bp for the FSHR variant missing exon 9, and 320 bp from FSHR including exon 9. Primer set 2 (specific for the form excluding exon 9): Forward primer, extending across the exon 8–10 boundary, 5'-TGG ACC AGT CAT TCT CTC TGA -3'; Reverse primer (the same as in primer set 1) 5'-CTC TGC TGT AGC TGG ACT CAT -3'; Product size is 134 bp. A second primer, internal to the set 2 forward primer by three bases, was Forward primer (2B) 5'-ACC AGT CAT TCT CTC TGA GCT-3. This nested primer produces a product of 131 bp and was used for verification and to eliminate nonspecific products.

Results

We previously showed that FSH β ^{+/-} mice, with reduced FSH β , and FSHR^{-/-} mice, devoid of the FSHR, are resistant to bone loss despite severe hypoestrogenemia [1]. Although we had first attempted to explain this attenuated bone loss (and, in instances, bone gain) solely through reduced FSH signaling, the accompanying hyper-androgenemia was shown to be, in part, responsible for the noted preservation of bone mass [12]. This is nonetheless subject to debate as high serum testosterone levels in mice lacking aromatase gene, in which FSH levels are high, continue to lose bone. Thus, we elected to use blocking antibodies to determine whether we can specifically block FSH-induced osteoclastogenesis.

We used a highly specific blocking monoclonal antibody against human FSH β (IgG1) (MedixMab cat. #6602, BiosPacific, Emeryville, CA). This antibody has a high affinity of 3×10^{-10} M for FSH β , without measurable binding with LH, hCG, or TSH by RIA [13]. In parallel, we generated a peptide-based polyclonal antibody in goat against a 14 amino acid FSHR-binding sequence of FSH β [14]. This antibody has been shown by others to block FSH action on estradiol production in mice [14].

Bone marrow cells were isolated from 6 month-old mice, and osteoclast differentiation was induced with 40 ng/ml of murine RANKL and 10 ng/ml of murine CSF-1. As expected [1], the addition of FSH, 30 ng/ml, increased osteoclast formation (Fig 1A, $p = 0.025$ and 0.036 in duplicate experiments). In one experiment monoclonal anti-FSH β was added in excess (1 µg/ml), completely eliminating the effect of the FSH ($p = 0.001$). In the second experiment, polyclonal anti-FSH β , 10 µg/ml, similarly returned osteoclast formation to background ($p = 0.003$). We also compared osteoclast development in FSHR^{-/-} and FSHR^{+/-} mice to wild type littermates in the presence of 30 ng/ml of FSH. Formation of multinucleated TRAP-positive osteoclasts 5-days after RANK-L treatment was significantly attenuated in FSHR^{-/-} cultures compared with FSHR^{+/-} or wild type cultures (Fig 1B, $p < 0.001$ FSHR^{-/-} relative to wild type). The magnitude of the effect was similar to the effect of FSH addition on

osteoclast formation in wild type cells. Photomicrographs illustrating the effect of FSHR on the formation of multinucleated TRAP-expressing cells in the wild type, FSHR^{+/-}, and FSHR^{-/-} marrow is shown in Fig 1C. Thus, osteoclast formation decreased with decrements in FSH signaling using either an antibody or through the genetic deletion of the FSHR.

Whereas we and others have demonstrated that functional FSHRs are present on osteoclasts [1–4], some studies have failed to identify such receptors by PCR [9–11]. The major ovarian FSHR transcript has 10 exons, nine of which are short and encode the extracellular domain, while a large tenth exon encodes the conserved transmembrane domain. We showed in earlier studies that the FSHR isoform that is predominantly expressed in osteoclasts has a slightly smaller size than the ovarian form, consistent with deletion of an exon, probably exon 9 [3]. To address this hypothesis in sufficient detail to produce definitive results, we made new preparations from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), and using a purified (98%+) CD14+ fraction [15] from human blood obtained by procedures approved by the Institutional Review Board. Both PBMC and CD14+ cells were induced to form osteoclasts by incubation for up to 14 days with RANK-L, as in murine preparations, except that human-specific cytokines were used. RNA isolated from human ovarian cancer cells (COV-413) was obtained for use as a positive control. Several PCR primer sets were used to amplify FSHR, and the meaningful results are shown in Figures 2 and 3.

Figure 2A shows results using a primer pair that amplifies regions from exon 8 through exon 10 with a predicted size of 320 bp (primer set 1 in Methods). This gave a strong band of 320 bp in ovarian COV cells (type 1 FSHR) at an annealing temperature of 54°C and up to 59°C; this 320 bp product displayed very weak bands in osteoclasts prepared from CD14+ cells. However, in these cells, a much stronger signal amplified consistently at 54 to 59°C; the size of the product was 140 bp, which suggests the absence of exon 9. At this size, a faint band also was produced using the ovarian cDNA; this is consistent with the description of truncated isoforms as minor FSHR variants in mammals [16].

Figure 2B shows results of PCR amplification using primers specific for FSHR isoform 2 with exon 9 omitted (the 5' primer with 18 nucleotides in exon 8 and the last three nucleotides from exon 10) followed by re-amplification using an internal nested primer set (amplicon size 131 bp) to reduce noise (primer sets 2 and 2B, Methods). The key to this amplification is that, because the 5' primer extends across the exon 8–10 boundary, it cannot amplify cDNA that contains exon 9. The products of these two sequential amplification procedures showed that the FSHR type 2 isoform was present in PMBCs, CD14+ cells, osteoclasts prepared from CD14 cells, and ovarian control (COV) cells. A weak band was seen in CD14-negative cells, the fall-through from magnetic bead isolation of CD14+ cells [15]. This might represent type 2 FSHR in other white blood cells, but some CD14+ cells might not be captured by the magnetic beads.

Figure 3A(i) shows that re-amplification of the 320 bp DNA product gave a very strong signal in the ovary, as expected, but weaker products of the same size did occur in PMBCs and CD14+ cell fractions. Because this product was rare and might be an artifact of extreme amplification, we sequenced the 320 bp re-amplified band from CD14+ osteoclasts. This sequence is shown in Fig 3A (ii). Reference sequence is black and product sequence in

color; portions of the sequence within ~30 nucleotides of the primers (yellow highlight) were not resolved. This sequence includes full length exon 9; however, and the read does extend across the exon 9–10 boundary. The exon 10 is the long, invariant signaling portion that contains the transmembrane domains. Exon 9, in contrast, is a short extracellular exon just proximal to that. The protein fragment derived from exons 1 through 8 binds FSH. We also sequenced the amplified cDNA from the ovarian cells (Fig. 2A). This sequence (not shown) was identical to the reference full length FSHR.

We also sequenced the PCR product from probe set 2, shown in Fig 3B (i), specific for the FSHR isoform 2. Sequence using the primers (yellow highlight) across exons 8 and 10 for osteoclasts made from CD14⁺ cells and for CD14⁺ cells before differentiation is shown in Fig 3B (ii). The reference sequence, in which exon 9 is absent, is shown in black with the read sequence in color. Sequence of the FSHR type 2 transcript from the ovarian cells was identical to the reference sequence. The sequences shown also included a few misread bases near the ends of the reads (underlined bases in color), a common artifact of the method. But, the long regions of matching sequence are definitive evidence that FSHR type 1 occurs. However, the FSHR type 2 isoform occurs mainly in osteoclasts and in CD14⁺ cells (Fig 2A).

Discussion

The presence of different functional isoforms of glycoprotein hormone receptors is not surprising in the context of phylogenetic studies of the FSHR. In fish, the gonadal expression of the receptors, LHR and FSHR, is established, and all higher orders of animals retain this. However, multiple differently processed forms of the FSHR occur as early as this gonadal specialization in fish [17]; this may reflect FSHR isoforms with differing functions, which we hypothesize is the basis for the difference in FSH response in osteoclasts [1]. There has been divergence in many respects, on the other hand: in fish the FSHR binds both FSH and LH, but the LHR recognizes only LH [18]. In contrast, while high-level FSHR expression in fish is restricted to gonads, low-level expression is was also found in spleen [19], in keeping with expression in immune-related cells such as the CD14⁺ monocyte.

The functional effects of the osteoclast receptor *in vivo* have been difficult to separate from the action of FSH on the ovary. FSH releases estrogen, and the actions of FSH and estrogen on the osteoclast are opposed. Thus, injection of FSH into mice with intact ovaries [12], or over-expression of FSH [20], even in hypogonadal mice, is unlikely to resolve the pro-resorptive action of FSH from effects due to sex steroids. Nonetheless, in ovariectomized rats, FSH injection aggravates bone loss [21]. The direct effects of FSH on the osteoclast will invariably be masked to a large extent by the anti-resorptive and anabolic actions of ovarian estrogen. Other than the menopause, one other physiological state, lactation, has tonically high FSH with low estrogen, which may in part explain why the seemingly paradoxical effect of FSH on bone mass exists and complicates the menopause, as discussed elsewhere [22].

Whether lowering FSH in hypogonadal states will be useful to prevent bone loss remains uncertain. Data suggesting that this might be useful include that amenorrheic women with

lower FSH levels have less bone loss [23], and that the effectiveness of estrogen therapy varies with the degree of FSH suppression [24]. Nevertheless, pituitary hypogonadism causes bone loss. Leuprolide treatment, which reduces FSH, does not improve bone resorption indices when given experimentally with aromatase inhibitors for 11 weeks in postmenopausal women. Bone degradation markers are increased significantly in aromatase inhibitor-only controls; however, serum osteocalcin did not vary and bone density was not measured [25]. This demonstrates that extremely low estrogen exacerbates bone degradation in postmenopausal women, an important reaffirmation, but it does not exclude a role for FSH in human skeletal homeostasis. Rather than blocking FSH in acute hypogonadism, where the effect of very low estrogen is likely to be overwhelming, FSH inhibition during the late peri-menopause, particularly when estrogen levels are normal and FSH reaches extremely high levels, could potentially be therapeutically useful. A highly selective approach, such as the use of a blocking antibody, is thus envisaged.

In summary, our studies using antibodies to block the FSH stimulus of osteoclast formation, and the amplification of FSHR isoforms by nested PCR primer sets with sequencing of the PCR products, provide evidence that a splice variant of the FSHR missing exon 9 occurs in osteoclasts and CD14+ osteoclast precursors. An unknown issue remains whether the deletion of exon 9 in the FSHR affects specificity of the receptor for FSH, and whether other isoforms, for example, a splice variant lacking exon 6, exist in these cells.

Acknowledgments

The work is supported, in part, by grants AR053976 (to HCB), AR055208 (to HCB), AG23176 (to MZ), and DK70526 (to MZ and LS), and by the Department of Veterans Affairs (to HCB).

References

1. Sun L, Sharrow AC, Zhang Z, et al. FSH directly regulates bone mass. *Cell*. 2006; 125:247–260. [PubMed: 16630814]
2. Cannon JG, Cortez-Cooper M, Meaders E, et al. Follicle-stimulating hormone, interleukin-1, and bone density in adult women. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2010; 298:R790–8. [PubMed: 20042686]
3. Robinson LJ, Tourkova I, Wang Y, et al. FSH-receptor isoforms and FSH-dependent gene transcription in human monocytes and osteoclasts. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2010; 394:12–7. [PubMed: 20171950]
4. Iqbal J, Sun L, Kumar TR, et al. Follicle-stimulating hormone stimulates TNF production from immune cells to enhance osteoblast and osteoclast formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103:14925–30. [PubMed: 17003115]
5. Liu S, Cheng Y, Fan M, et al. FSH aggravates periodontitis-related bone loss in ovariectomized rats. *J Dent Res*. 2010; 89:366–71. [PubMed: 20139335]
6. Rendina D, Gianfrancesco F, De Filippo G, et al. FSHR gene polymorphisms influence bone mineral density and bone turnover in postmenopausal women. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2010; 163:165–72. [PubMed: 20335500]
7. Zaidi M, Blair HC, Iqbal J, et al. Proresorptive actions of FSH and bone loss. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2007; 1116:376–82. [PubMed: 18083939]
8. Devleta B, Adem B, S. Hypergonadotropic amenorrhea and bone density: new approach to an old problem. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2004; 22:360–364.
9. Carpenter AM, Lukyanenko YO, Lee VH, Hutson JC. FSH does not directly influence testicular macrophages. *J Androl*. 1998; 19:420–7. [PubMed: 9733144]

10. Ritter V, Thuring B, Saint Mezdard P, et al. Follicle-stimulating hormone does not impact male bone mass in vivo or human male osteoclasts in vitro. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2008; 82:383–91. [PubMed: 18465073]
11. Allan CM, Kalak R, Dunstan CR, et al. Follicle-stimulating hormone increases bone mass in female mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010; 107:22629–34. [PubMed: 21149714]
12. Gao J, Tiwari-Pandey R, Samadfar R, et al. Altered ovarian function affects skeletal homeostasis independent of the action of FSH. *Endocrinology.* 2007; 148:2613–21. [PubMed: 17332067]
13. Anti FSH 6602 SP-5. Medix Biochemica; 2011 Aug 12. (http://www.medixbiochemica.com/Medixmab/MedixMAB_Products/Fertility/FSH/en_GB/FSH/_files/85639558858016290/default/Specification_FSH%206602_SP-5.pdf)
14. Ferro VA, Stimson WH. Fertility-disrupting potential of synthetic peptides derived from the β -subunit of follicle-stimulating hormone. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 1998; 40:187–197. [PubMed: 9764364]
15. Robinson LJ, Yaroslavskiy BB, Griswold RD, et al. Estrogen inhibits RANKL-stimulated osteoclastic differentiation of human monocytes through estrogen and RANKL-regulated interaction of estrogen receptor- α with BCAR1 and Traf6. *Exp Cell Res.* 2009; 315:1287–301. [PubMed: 19331827]
16. Rajapaksha WR, Robertson L, O'Shaughnessy PJ. Expression of follicle-stimulating hormone-receptor mRNA alternate transcripts in bovine granulosa cells during luteinization in vivo and in vitro. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 1996; 120:25–30. [PubMed: 8809735]
17. Kobayashi T, Andersen O. The gonadotropin receptors FSH-R and LH-R of Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*): isolation of multiple transcripts encoding full-length and truncated FSH-R. *Gen Comp Endocrinol.* 2008; 156:584–594. [PubMed: 18359484]
18. Bogerd J, Granneman JC, Schulz RW, Vischer HF. Fish FSH receptors bind LH: how to make the human FSH receptor to be more fishy? *Gen Comp Endocrinol.* 2005; 142:34–43. [PubMed: 15862546]
19. Kumar RS, Ijiri S, Trant JM. Molecular biology of the channel catfish gonadotropin receptors: 2. Complementary DNA cloning, functional expression, and seasonal gene expression of the follicle-stimulating hormone receptor. *Biol Reprod.* 2001; 65:710–717. [PubMed: 11514332]
20. Ritter V, Thuring B, Saint Mezdard P, et al. Follicle stimulating hormone does not impact male bone mass in vivo or human male osteoclasts in vitro. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2008; 82:383–391.
21. Liu S, Cheng Y, Fan M, et al. FSH aggravates periodontitis-related bone loss in ovariectomized rats. *J Dent Res.* 2010; 89:366–71. [PubMed: 20139335]
22. Blair HC, Robinson LJ, Sun L, et al. Skeletal receptors for steroid-family regulating glycoprotein hormones: A multilevel, integrated physiological control system. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2011; 1240:26–31. [PubMed: 22172036]
23. Devleta B, Adem B, Senada S. Hypergonadotropic amenorrhea and bone density: new approach to an old problem. *J Bone Miner Metab.* 2004; 22:360–364. [PubMed: 15221495]
24. Kawai H, Furuhashi M, Suganuma N. Serum follicle stimulating hormone level is a predictor of bone mineral density in patients with hormone replacement therapy. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2004; 269:192–195. [PubMed: 13680264]
25. Drake MT, McCready LK, Hoey KA, et al. Effects of suppression of follicle-stimulating hormone secretion on bone resorption markers in post-menopausal women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* 2010; 95:5063–5068.

Highlights

- Monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies to FSH inhibit FSH-induced osteoclast formation.
- Human CD14⁺ osteoclast precursors and osteoclasts express FSH receptor.
- The FSHR expressed in these cells is predominantly a shorter isoform lacking exon 9.

Figure 1. FSH increases osteoclastic differentiation from mouse marrow cells, but the effect is abrogated by neutralizing antibodies

A. Blocking antibodies for FSH reverse the effect of FSH on osteoclast formation. Bone marrow cells from 6 month old mice were isolated and osteoclast differentiation was induced with murine RANKL and murine CSF-1 [1]. This increased osteoclast formation by ~20%; duplicate experiments are shown. In the first experiment monoclonal anti-FSH β was added in excess and this eliminated the effect of FSH ($p = 0.001$). In the second experiment polyclonal anti-FSH β also returned osteoclast formation to background ($p = 0.003$). B. The effect of FSHR deletion on osteoclast formation is shown. Multinucleated TRAP-expressing osteoclasts 5-days after RANK-L treatment were attenuated in FSHR^{-/-} cultures compared with FSHR^{+/-} or wild type ($p < 0.001$ FSHR^{-/-} relative to WT littermates). The size of the effect was similar to that seen when FSH is added during osteoclast formation in wild type cells (A). C. Photomicrographs of multinucleated TRAP-expressing cells in wild type, FSHR^{+/-}, and FSHR^{-/-} marrow cell cultures with 30 ng/ml of

FSH during differentiation in RANKL and CSF-1. The knockout cells produce fewer multinucleated cells.

Figure 2. An alternatively spliced FSH receptor transcript is expressed in human osteoclasts, CD14+ monocytes, and CD14-depleted monocytic cells

(A) FSHR isoform 1 is expressed in the ovary, but a truncated form is the main form found in monocytes and osteoclasts. Primer set 1 (Methods), amplifying across exon 9, shows the full length FSHR fragment from distal exon 8 to early exon 10, and the smaller isoform missing exon 9. The smaller, type 2 isoform, 140 bp, is barely visible in ovary, but is the major product in osteoclasts made from CD14 cells with 14 day incubation in RANKL and CSF-1. Exon 9 is a short extracellular exon just distal to the FSH-binding sequence and proximal to the invariant transmembrane signaling region, exon 10. Results from three reactions in a temperature gradient PCR are shown. Further reactions were annealed at 54°C.

(B) FSHR isoform 2 with exon 9 omitted. Primer set 2, the forward primer of which extends

across the exon 8–10 boundary, was used, and the products of 30 cycles of amplification were then re-amplified with an internal nested primer set (131 bp) for a further 20 cycles. Transcript of this isoform was seen in fractions of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (unselected, CD14-selected, CD14-depleted, and osteoclasts from CD14 cells), and ovarian control (COV) cells. Presence of the smaller isoform, at low levels, in ovarian cells was previously described (see text).

Figure 3. Sequence confirmation of an alternatively spliced FSH receptor transcript

(A) (i) Full length FSHR transcript noted in osteoclasts. Bands at 320 bp from agarose gels (A) were excised, re-amplified 10 cycles, and (ii) direct sequencing of the PCR products was performed. Sequence of the FSHR from osteoclasts using the forward primer, extending across the exon 9–10 boundary, is shown in color below the reference genomic sequence. The ovarian product also matched the reference sequence (not shown). The osteoclast sequence had several unresolved bases (pink) and two mismatches (underlined), which are probably misreads due to low level signal, but might also be amplification errors. Bases in yellow are primer pair 1. (B) The FSHR isoform 2, missing exon 9, is expressed in osteoclasts and CD14 cells. Bands at 140 bp from agarose gels (i) were excised, re-amplified using primer set 2 for 10 cycles, and direct sequencing of the products was performed (ii). Sequence from the reverse primer, extending across the exon 8–10 boundary, is shown in color below the reference genomic sequence, for both osteoclasts (top color sequence) and CD14 cell (lower color sequence) amplicons. Bases in yellow are primer pair 2. The reference sequence was also obtained from control ovarian cells, and in the PCR product amplified from CD14 depleted cells (neither shown). As in (A), unresolved bases are shown in pink and misreads are underlined.