Constraints on the magnitude and rate of CO₂ dissolution at Bravo Dome natural gas field

Kiran J. Sathaye^a, Marc A. Hesse^{a,b,1}, Martin Cassidy^c, and Daniel F. Stockli^a

^aDepartment of Geological Sciences, Jackson School of Geosciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712; ^bInstitute of Computational Engineering and Sciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712; and ^cDepartment of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204

Edited by Susan L. Brantley, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, and approved September 12, 2014 (received for review April 4, 2014)

The injection of carbon dioxide (CO₂) captured at large point sources into deep saline aquifers can significantly reduce anthropogenic CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels. Dissolution of the injected CO₂ into the formation brine is a trapping mechanism that helps to ensure the long-term security of geological CO₂ storage. We use thermochronology to estimate the timing of CO₂ emplacement at Bravo Dome, a large natural CO₂ field at a depth of 700 m in New Mexico. Together with estimates of the total mass loss from the field we present, to our knowledge, the first constraints on the magnitude, mechanisms, and rates of CO₂ dissolution on millennial timescales. Apatite (U-Th)/He thermochronology records heating of the Bravo Dome reservoir due to the emplacement of hot volcanic gases 1.2–1.5 Ma. The CO₂ accumulation is therefore significantly older than previous estimates of 10 ka, which demonstrates that safe long-term geological CO₂ storage is possible. Integrating geophysical and geochemical data, we estimate that 1.3 Gt CO₂ are currently stored at Bravo Dome, but that only 22% of the emplaced CO₂ has dissolved into the brine over 1.2 My. Roughly 40% of the dissolution occurred during the emplacement. The CO₂ dissolved after emplacement exceeds the amount expected from diffusion and provides field evidence for convective dissolution with a rate of 0.1 g/(m²y). The similarity between Bravo Dome and major US saline aquifers suggests that significant amounts of CO₂ are likely to dissolve during injection at US storage sites, but that convective dissolution is unlikely to trap all injected CO₂ on the 10-ky timescale typically considered for storage projects.

geological carbon storage | thermochronology | noble gases | porous media convection | carbon sequestration

Carbon capture and storage has been identified as a potential technology for reductions in carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from coal- and natural gas-fired power plants. CO₂ that would otherwise be released into the atmosphere is captured at power plants and injected into porous geological formations for permanent storage. Carbon capture and storage has the potential for significant reductions of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, because deep saline aquifers provide large storage volumes (1, 2) and existing operations have demonstrated that CO₂ injection and monitoring in saline aquifers are feasible (3).

The leakage of CO₂ from the storage formation into potable aquifers or back into the atmosphere is an inherent risk of large-scale geological CO₂ storage (4–6). Long-term storage security is therefore enhanced by physical and chemical processes that increasingly trap the injected CO₂ in the subsurface over time. Injected CO₂ can be trapped by capillary forces through the formation of disconnected ganglia or by precipitation as solid phases (7–9). Dissolution of CO₂ into the brine not only is a required first step for the subsequent permanent trapping in stable minerals, but also is considered a trapping mechanism itself. The density of the brine increases with dissolved CO₂ concentration and therefore forms a stable stratification less susceptible to leakage (10). Here we refer to total dissolved inorganic carbon simply as dissolved CO₂. The carbon isotope composition of most natural CO₂ fields indicates that dissolution of CO₂ into the brine is often the dominant trapping process over millennial timescales

(11). Determining the rates of CO₂ dissolution is therefore an important aspect of geological CO₂ storage and it has been the focus of intense research in the last decade.

Over the timescales of hydrologic processes the reaction kinetics are fast (12), so that the rate of CO₂ dissolution is limited by interfacial area and mass transport. The uncertainty in the dissolution rate is large, because geological heterogeneity determines the interfacial area and because mass transport can either be diffusive and slow or advective and potentially fast (13). Advective mass transport and fast dissolution always occur during injection and the interfacial area continuously increases as CO₂ contacts new unsaturated brine. The total amount of CO₂ that can be dissolved during injection is determined by the amount of brine inside the CO₂ plume and the migration distance of the plume. After the CO₂ plume has ponded in a geological structure, dissolution into the brine will continue across the gas–water contact and may eventually lead to complete disappearance of the CO₂ plume (14). It is therefore this latter stage of dissolution that ensures long-term storage security, if the CO₂ has ponded.

At this stage, dissolution is limited by the mass transport of dissolved CO₂ away from the gas–water contact. Advective mass transport is possible, if the increase in brine density with aqueous CO₂ concentration destabilizes the diffusive boundary layer beneath the gas–water contact and induces convective overturn in the brine (10, 13, 15). It is therefore necessary to understand the occurrence and rate of convective overturn in the brine, which are determined by the balance of advective and diffusive mass transport, expressed by the Rayleigh number (16, 17). Most work on convective CO₂ dissolution has focused on idealized homogeneous

Significance

Carbon capture and geological storage allow immediate and significant reductions in CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels. CO₂ dissolution into saline water enhances long-term storage security, but dissolution rates are too slow to be quantified during injection pilots. Therefore, we estimate dissolution rates over millennial timescales at the Bravo Dome gas field, a natural analog for geological CO₂ storage. We show that 1.6 Gt CO₂ have been stored at Bravo Dome since the beginning of CO₂ emplacement 1.2–1.5 Ma. Approximately 10% of the CO₂ dissolved during its emplacement, while another 10% dissolved into the underlying aquifer. This exceeds the amount expected from diffusion and provides field evidence for convective CO₂ dissolution. The convective dissolution rate, however, is slow in typical US aquifers.

Author contributions: M.A.H. designed research with contributions from M.C.; K.J.S., M.C., and D.F.S. performed research; K.J.S. and D.F.S. analyzed data; and K.J.S. and M.A.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: mhesse@jsg.utexas.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1406076111/-DCSupplemental.

systems and large Rayleigh numbers, where convection occurs after an initial incubation time (13, 18–20) and the ratio of the convective to the diffusive dissolution rate is a power law of the Rayleigh number (15, 21–24). It is not clear, however, how this work relates to highly heterogeneous natural formations, where already the definition of an appropriate Rayleigh number is difficult (25) and numerical simulations show that simple patterns of heterogeneity strongly affect the convective flux (26, 27).

It is therefore necessary to constrain the magnitude and rate of CO_2 dissolution directly from measurements in the field. The convective dissolution rate, however, is too slow to be quantified during pilot or commercial projects on timescales of a few decades. Therefore, we have studied CO_2 dissolution over millennial timescales in a natural CO_2 field that provides an analog for the long-term evolution of a geological storage site. We present the first constraints on the magnitude and average rate of convective CO_2 dissolution based on field data.

The Bravo Dome natural gas field in New Mexico provides unique constraints on CO_2 dissolution in the field, because the combination of large-scale commercial development and decades of geochemical research has produced a comprehensive and detailed dataset. Fig. 1A shows the location and extent of the Bravo Dome natural gas field, which covers 3,600 km^2 . Below we show that the reservoir held 1.3 Gt of natural gas before the beginning of production in 1981. The composition of the gas is 99.8% CO_2 , 0.1% N_2 , with traces of light hydrocarbons and noble gases. The total dissolved solids in the reservoir brine are 85,000 mg/L (28). The reservoir is formed by a structural-stratigraphic trap on a structural high, dipping to the southeast and segmented by several faults, as shown in the cross section in Fig. 1C. The reservoir is in the Permian Tubb sandstone, which rests unconformably on Precambrian basement and is sealed by the overlying Cimarron Anhydrite. The Tubb consists of well-sorted arkosic riverine sandstones embedded in a matrix of silty aeolian deposits, which leads to a strongly bimodal distribution of porosity, ϕ . The reservoir thins and the abundance of sand decreases toward the west, away from the sediment source in the Ancestral Rocky Mountains in the east (29–31).

The isotopic composition of the noble gases and carbon at Bravo Dome and their gradients across the field have received considerable attention (32–37). The high ^3He content of the gas indicates that it is of volcanic origin (33, 35) and provides an important conservative tracer to evaluate local CO_2 dissolution based on changes in the $\text{CO}_2/^3\text{He}$ ratio across the field. The decrease in $\text{CO}_2/^3\text{He}$ from $5.35 \cdot 10^9$ in the west to $2.25 \cdot 10^9$ in the east suggests that locally more than half the emplaced CO_2 must have dissolved (11, 37, 38). The reservoir shows little sign of permanent CO_2 trapping in the form of carbonate precipitation (39, 40), suggesting CO_2 dissolution is the dominant trapping mechanism.

Fig. 1A shows a pronounced maximum of the 1981 reservoir pressure (29) that suggests continued charging of the western part of the reservoir from fractures in the basement. The initial emplacement of the gas likely also occurred in this area and subsequently filled the reservoir down-dip, forming an elongated gravity tongue (41). This scenario is supported by the increase in groundwater-derived ^{20}Ne in the gas from west to east (37). In 1994 the gas–water contact was determined to be horizontal within each segment of the reservoir, but offset across the faults segmenting the reservoir (38, 42).

Below we provide to our knowledge the first constraints on the magnitude, the mechanism, and the rate of CO_2 dissolution at Bravo Dome. First we provide new thermochronological constraints on the age of the reservoir. Then we determine the mass of CO_2 dissolved by combining existing geophysical and geochemical data. Finally, we estimate the amount of CO_2 dissolved into the residual brine during the emplacement and an averaged dissolution rate for the additional CO_2 that must have dissolved after the ponding of the CO_2 plume.

Fig. 1. (A) Map showing the location of the Bravo Dome CO_2 field and extrusive products of volcanic activity during the last 9 My. The color map shows the pressure distribution in the gas field in 1981, the squares show the locations of the samples used for the (U-Th)/He thermochronology, and the locations of the cross sections are indicated in red. Major faults are indicated by gray lines. (B) A down-dip cross section of the main section of the reservoir from B to B'. (C) A cross section of the NE segment of the reservoir from C to C'.

Constraints on the Emplacement Age of Bravo Dome

Any estimate of the CO_2 dissolution rate at Bravo Dome requires a constraint on the age of the initial CO_2 emplacement. All previous studies assume that the emplacement of volcanic gas is contemporaneous with the occurrence of extrusive volcanism in the area (11, 37–40). The commonly cited age for Bravo Dome is 8–10 ky, which is associated with an early radiocarbon date of a campfire at the Folsom archeological site near Capulin Volcano (43), shown in Fig. 1A. However, later a comprehensive study of the volcanic geochronology of the area established ages between 56 ky and 1.7 My for the basalts associated with the Capulin stage (44, 45). Here, we present a different approach to constrain the age of the initial CO_2 emplacement. Given that the gas is of volcanic origin and that it was emplaced directly from the basement into the reservoir, the gas is likely to have heated the reservoir above the apatite closure temperature of roughly 75 °C (46) in the vicinity of the entry point.

Table 1. Apatite (U-Th)/He results

No.	Location	Distance from source, km	Age, My
1	T1	17	1.2 ± 0.1
2	T1	17	1.4 ± 0.1
3	T1	17	1.5 ± 0.1
4	T1	17	1.2 ± 0.1
5	T2	34	13.2 ± 0.8
6	T2	34	12.0 ± 0.7
7	T2	34	16.3 ± 1.0
8	T2	34	16.7 ± 1.0

To test this hypothesis, we have analyzed two core samples from the Tubb sandstone in the Bravo Dome wells State HN #1 (103.7965°W, 35.8498°N) and Heimann #2 (103.4128°W, 35.9029°N), shown in Fig. 1 and labeled T1 and T2, respectively. The results of (U-Th)/He thermochronology are shown in Table 1. The samples T1 and T2 are located 17 km and 34 km from the assumed CO₂ source near the pressure maximum in Fig. 1A. Zircons show ages between 280 My and 393 My, consistent with the assumed sediment source of the Tubb sandstone in the Ancestral Rocky Mountains (31). Apatites from T2 show ages between 12 My and 17 My consistent with Cenozoic heating due to the migration of hydrothermal fluids (47). In contrast, apatite ages from T1, the sample closer to the CO₂ source, are between 1.2 My and 1.5 My and indicate a localized thermal event that is contemporaneous with the volcanism of the Capulin stage. We suggest that the heating of the reservoir in the vicinity of the CO₂ source is associated with the entry of hot volcanic CO₂ and therefore dates the beginning of the emplacement of CO₂ into the Bravo Dome reservoir between 1.2 Ma and 1.5 Ma. Specific measurements of radioactive and radiogenic isotope concentrations in each crystal are shown in *SI Text, section 5*.

Estimate of the Magnitude of CO₂ Dissolution

Previous authors suggest that no CO₂ leakage to the surface or into the shallow groundwater occurs at Bravo Dome (48) and that mineralization of CO₂ in the reservoir appears to be negligible (39, 40). Changes in CO₂ mass are therefore mainly due to dissolution. Previous work has used the CO₂/He ratio in combination with Rayleigh fractionation to infer the local mass fraction of CO₂ that has dissolved (11, 37, 38),

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{m_f}{m_i}, \quad [1]$$

where m_i is the total mass of CO₂ per unit area emplaced and m_f is the final mass of CO₂ per unit area in 1981, just before the start of significant commercial production (29). This allows us to infer the local mass loss from the reservoir, given by the local change in CO₂ mass per unit area,

$$\Delta m = m_i - m_f = \left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} - 1\right)m_f, \quad [2]$$

and the total change of CO₂ mass across the entire field,

$$\Delta M = \iint \Delta m \, dx dy, \quad [3]$$

where the boundary of the domain of integration is the dashed black line in Fig. 1A. This estimate requires only the local fraction of CO₂ dissolved, $\mathcal{F}$, and the final distribution of CO₂ in the reservoir, m_f . Bravo Dome is an ideal site, because geochemical studies have determined gradients of $\mathcal{F}$ across the field and the data from large-scale commercial development constrain the CO₂ distribution, m_f . In the calculations below all point measurements are

interpolated across the field, using the Kriging algorithm after removing the regional dipping trend (49). The standard deviations of estimated quantities are computed by propagating the Kriging variance of the interpolated fields through Eqs. 1–4. Details of the variograms and the error propagation are in *SI Text, section 1*.

The Distribution of CO₂ in 1981. The mass of CO₂ per unit area in the reservoir depends on the height of the gas column, h , the gas density, ρ_g , and the volume fraction of the gas, $\phi_f = \phi s_g$, which depends on the porosity of the rock, ϕ , and the saturation of the gas, s_g . Given the large aspect ratio of the reservoir (300:1) we approximate the final mass per unit area in 1981 as

$$m_f = \int_{z_c}^{z_c+h} \phi_g \rho_g \, dz \approx h \bar{\phi}_g \bar{\rho}_g, \quad [4]$$

where z_c is the elevation of the gas water contact, and $\bar{\phi}_g$ and $\bar{\rho}_g$ are the vertically averaged properties in the gas cap.

The spatial variation of the gas column height, h , is shown in Fig. 2A and estimated from the reservoir geometry and the height of the gas–water contact. The reservoir geometry has been obtained from more than 300 well logs, similar to those shown in Fig. 2B and C. Both the top and the base of the reservoir have significant topography, which induces large lateral variations in h . Generally, h is largest in the center of the field near the up-dip edge of the gas–water contact, and discontinuities in h are due to offsets of the gas–water contact across faults (42).

The spatial variation of the average gas volume fraction, $\bar{\phi}_g$, is shown in Fig. 3C and estimated from more than 3,500 porosity measurements in 36 cored wells (Fig. 3G) and 44 mercury injection capillary pressure measurements on core plugs obtained from 4 Bravo Dome wells. The distribution of the CO₂ within the reservoir is controlled by capillary forces, which require larger pressures for nonwetting phases like CO₂ to enter finer-grained rocks with smaller pore sizes. This is illustrated by three representative capillary pressure curves from Bravo Dome shown in Fig. 3A. The capillary entry pressure in the fine-grained low-porosity siltstones is so high that CO₂ saturations are insignificant in the pressure range encountered in the reservoir.

In contrast, the coarse-grained, well-sorted, and porous sandstones have low CO₂ entry pressures and allow large CO₂ saturations even at moderate pressures. Fig. 3B shows that there is a good correlation between the porosity and the maximum CO₂ saturation, which allows an estimate of the gas volume fraction from the porosity. The large-scale distribution of free-phase CO₂ is therefore controlled by the distribution of the porosity and in particular the occurrence of sandstones within the reservoir.

Fig. 2. (A) Map of the gas column height, h , throughout the reservoir based on the analysis of 322 well logs and the depth of the gas–water contact (GWC). The up-dip end of the gas–water contact is indicated by a black dashed line. (B and C) Density (B) and neutron porosity (C) logs for a typical Bravo Dome well, shown as a square on the map.

Fig. 4C shows the distribution of the mass loss, Δm , in the reservoir. Due to the highly variable distribution of CO_2 within the reservoir, the variation of Δm is different from $\mathcal{F}$, in particular near the gas–water contact where Δm approaches zero although $\mathcal{F}$ is generally large. This is simply due to the small initial mass of CO_2 per unit area near the gas–water contact and illustrates the importance of considering the distribution of CO_2 in dissolution calculations. Again the northeastern segment of the reservoir shows the largest mass loss from the reservoir.

Using Eq. 3, the total mass of CO_2 that has been lost from Bravo Dome due to dissolution, ΔM , is 366 ± 120 Mt CO_2 , roughly equivalent to the amount of CO_2 emitted by a typical 500-MW coal power plant over a 75-y period (54). Adding this to the mass of CO_2 in Bravo Dome in 1981 the total mass of CO_2 emplaced into Bravo Dome is 1.6 ± 0.67 Gt CO_2 . Therefore, only $22 \pm 7\%$ of the emplaced CO_2 has dissolved, significantly less than maximum local estimates of more than 50% (11, 37, 38). The uncertainty in this dissolution estimate is mostly due to the large variance in the top and bottom elevations of the reservoir rather than the sparsity of ^3He measurements, which have a low total variance.

Discussion

We have presented a novel application of thermochronology to date the emplacement of CO_2 into Bravo Dome and to our knowledge the first field-based estimates of the mass of CO_2 dissolved over millennial timescales in a saline aquifer. Together, the data presented have the potential to constrain the rate of CO_2 dissolution, in particular the rate of convective CO_2 dissolution, in real geological formations over long timescales that are not directly accessible to observation. Estimates of dissolution rates in the field provide important information for the assessment of the long-term security of geological CO_2 storage. Before we present an estimate of dissolution rate we first discuss whether the assumption underlying the age estimate is reasonable and how much CO_2 is likely to have dissolved during the initial emplacement.

Reservoir Heating. The resetting of thermochronometers is usually associated with burial and exhumation. At Bravo Dome the erosion in the last 1 My is only a few meters (45) and can therefore not account for the temperature changes required to reset the apatites. Similarly, the absence of a substantial magma chamber within a few kilometers of Bravo Dome makes regional heating due to a magmatic intrusion unlikely (*SI Text, section 3*). The 15-My difference in apatite ages between samples separated by 17 km suggests that the heating event at 1.2 Ma to 1.5 Ma must be local. We therefore propose that the heating is due to injection of hot volcanic CO_2 . This requires that the injected CO_2 has enough energy to heat the reservoir from 30 °C to above the closure temperature of apatite at 75 °C. A simple energy balance shows that this is reasonable (*SI Text, section 3*). Assuming radial flow from the pressure maximum to the sampling location a volume of $2.2 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ m}^3$ has to be heated by at least 50 °C. Likely the heating was localized to the sandbodies invaded by the hot CO_2 . In this case, the CO_2 must have entered with an average temperature of at least 400 °C, well within the temperature range observed for volcanic gases at the surface. It is therefore plausible that the emplacement of hot volcanic CO_2 heated the reservoir sufficiently to exceed the apatite closure temperature.

Dissolution During Emplacement. Due to the low average gas saturation throughout the reservoir (Fig. 3C), substantial amounts of CO_2 can be dissolved into the brine that is currently contained within the gas cap. This brine resides in the siltstones where high entry pressures prevent the invasion of CO_2 . During emplacement CO_2 enters the sandstones and dissolved CO_2 has to migrate into the low-permeability siltstones by diffusion. The porosity logs in Fig. 3D and E show that the average thickness of the siltstones is less than 10 m, so that diffusive transport can saturate the siltstones in 5 ky. Therefore, we consider all CO_2

dissolved in this way to occur during or shortly after emplacement. We estimate the equilibrium concentration of dissolved CO_2 in the brine from the 1981 pressures, assuming a 2-M NaCl brine (55). Of 266 Mt CO_2 dissolved in the main part of the reservoir $\sim 50\%$ (142 Mt CO_2) dissolved during emplacement. Of 100 Mt CO_2 dissolved in the northeastern (NE) segment $\sim 14\%$ dissolved during emplacement.

Rate of Convective Dissolution. Significant dissolution after CO_2 emplacement occurred in both parts of the reservoir, in particular in the NE segment of the reservoir, where 86% of the dissolution occurred after emplacement. Diffusive mass transport downward from the gas–water contact over 1.4 My can account for the dissolution of less than 0.1 Mt CO_2 . This provides field evidence for the acceleration of dissolution either by background flow in the aquifer or by buoyancy-driven convection. Assuming that the brine was fully saturated with CO_2 , convection must have moved a brine volume of $7 \cdot 10^9 \text{ m}^3$ to dissolve the additional CO_2 . This corresponds to $\sim 25\%$ of the reservoir brine below the gas–water contact and suggests local buoyancy-driven flow rather than regional background flow in the aquifer. Convection occurs if the Rayleigh number exceeds a critical value

$$\text{Ra} = \frac{k \Delta \rho g H}{\phi \mu D} > \text{Ra}_c, \quad [6]$$

where $\Delta \rho$ is the density change of the brine, μ is the brine viscosity, H is the thickness of the brine, and D is the aqueous diffusion coefficient of CO_2 . In heterogeneous reservoirs it is difficult to define a suitable mean permeability, $\bar{k}$, and we use the geometric mean for each cored well. Estimates of Ra for the NE segment are below 20 and therefore the same order of magnitude as Ra_c in simple porous media (17). Given the additional perturbations provided by heterogeneity and complex geometry, buoyancy-driven convection is possible in the NE segment, but the expected convective flux is small for $\text{Ra} \approx \text{Ra}_c$.

Assuming continuous convective dissolution of 86 Mt CO_2 since the emplacement 1.2 Ma ago, the average dissolution rate in the NE segment is 70 t/y, consistent with the small Ra. Normalizing the rate by the 623 km² of the gas–water contact in the NE segment, the average flux is only 0.1 g/(m²y). This is likely due to the low permeabilities at Bravo Dome (Fig. 3F) and the strong geological heterogeneity, where permeable sandstones are embedded in a low-permeability matrix of siltstone. In this case, the connectivity of the individual sandbodies is likely crucial in determining the rate of convective overturn. Given that only 25% of the brine immediately available beneath the gas–water contact has been saturated with CO_2 , it is unlikely that the low average dissolution rates can be explained by convective shutdown (22, 56) or limitations due to the lateral migration of dissolved CO_2 as a dense gravity current (14, 57).

Implications for Geological CO_2 Storage

Our results show that 1.3 Gt CO_2 have been safely contained at Bravo Dome at a depth of 700 m for more than 1 My without any detectable CO_2 leakage to the surface. This demonstrates that safe geological storage of very large accumulations of CO_2 is possible in suitable geological structures. In contrast to many studies that suggest timescales less than 10 ky for the dissolution trapping, we show that over 1 My only $\sim 20\%$ of the emplaced CO_2 has dissolved.

Our results highlight the importance of dissolution during CO_2 emplacement, which likely accounts for more than 40% of the dissolution trapping at Bravo Dome. This mechanism is favored in highly heterogeneous reservoirs, where large volumes of brine are left behind the advancing CO_2 front. In engineered storage sites the timescale for this dissolution would be the injection period plus the time for diffusive equilibration, which depends on the length scale of the geological heterogeneity. A suitable description of the