Published in final edited form as:

Discrete Math Algorithms Appl. 2010 ; 1: 184–196.

AN EFFICIENT ALGORITHM FOR CHINESE POSTMAN WALK ON BI-DIRECTED DE BRUIJN GRAPHS

VAMSI KUNDETI*, SANGUTHEVAR RAJASEKARAN†, and HEIU DINH‡

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Connecticut Storrs, CT 06269, USA

Abstract

Sequence assembly from short reads is an important problem in biology. It is known that solving the sequence assembly problem exactly on a bi-directed de Bruijn graph or a string graph is intractable. However, finding a shortest double stranded DNA string (SDDNA) containing all the k -long words in the reads seems to be a good heuristic to get close to the original genome. This problem is equivalent to finding a cyclic Chinese Postman (CP) walk on the underlying unweighted bi-directed de Bruijn graph built from the reads. The Chinese Postman walk Problem (CPP) is solved by reducing it to a general bi-directed flow on this graph which runs in $O(|E|^2 \log^2(|V|))$ time. In this paper we show that the cyclic CPP on bi-directed graphs can be solved without reducing it to bi-directed flow. We present a $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|) \log(|V|) + (d_{\max} p)^3)$ time algorithm to solve the cyclic CPP on a weighted bi-directed de Bruijn graph, where $p = \max\{|\{v | d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v) > 0\}|, |\{v | d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v) < 0\}|\}$ and $d_{\max} = \max\{|d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v)|\}$. Our algorithm performs asymptotically better than the bi-directed flow algorithm when the number of *imbalanced* nodes p is much less than the nodes in the bi-directed graph. From our experimental results on various datasets, we have noticed that the value of $p/|V|$ lies between 0.08% and 0.13% with 95% probability. Many practical bi-directed de Bruijn graphs do not have cyclic CP walks. In such cases it is not clear how the bi-directed flow can be useful in identifying contigs. Our algorithm can handle such situations and identify maximal bi-directed sub-graphs that have CP walks. A $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|))$ time heuristic algorithm based on these ideas has been implemented for the SDDNA problem. This algorithm was tested on short reads from a plant genome and achieves an approximation ratio of at most 1.0134. We also present a $\Theta((|V| + |E|) \log(V))$ time algorithm for the single source shortest path problem on bi-directed de Bruijn graphs, which may be of independent interest.

Keywords

Sequence assembly algorithms; bioinformatics; Chinese Postman problem; bi-directed graphs

1. Introduction

Sequencing the human genome was one of the major scientific breakthroughs in the last seven years. Analysis of the sequenced genome can give us vital information about the expression of genes, which in turn can help scientists to develop drugs for diseases. Thus sequencing the genome of an organism is of fundamental importance in both medicine and biology. Unfortunately the technology used in major human genome sequencing projects — Human Genome Project (HGP) [1] and Celera [2], was too expensive to be adopted in a large scale. This led to the research on *next-generation sequencing* methods. *Pyro-sequencing* technologies such as SOLiD, 454 and Solexa generate a large number of short reads which have acceptable accuracy but are several times cheaper compare to the Sanger technology adopted in the HGP project.

Directed de Bruijn graph-based sequence assembly algorithms such as [3] and [4] seem to handle these short read data efficiently compared to the string graph-based algorithms (see e.g., [5]). Unfortunately solving the sequence assembly problem exactly on both these graph models seems intractable [6]. However, heuristics such as finding a shortest string which includes all the k -mers (sub strings of length k) seem to yield results close to the original genome. In the case of directed de Bruijn graphs finding an Eulerian tour seems to yield good results. If the graph is not Eulerian then a Chinese Postman (CP) tour has been suggested in [4]. To account for the double strandedness of the DNA molecule we need to simultaneously search for two complimentary CP tours. In [6] the directed de Bruijn graphs are replaced with bi-directed de Bruijn graphs to find two complimentary CP tours simultaneously. A CP tour on the *unweighted* bi-directed graph constructed from the reads serves as a solution to the *shortest double stranded DNA* string (SDDNA) problem. The solution presented in [6] solves the SDDNA problem by reducing it to a general weighted bi-directed flow problem. This algorithm runs in $O(|E|^2 \log^2(V))$ time.

In this paper we present algorithms for SDDNA/Chinese Postman walk problem (CPP) on bi-directed de Bruijn graphs without using a bi-directed flow algorithm. Our algorithms are based on identifying shortest bi-directed paths and use of weighted bi-partite matching. Our algorithms perform asymptotically better than the bi-directed flow algorithm when the *imbalanced* nodes in the bi-directed graphs are much smaller in number than $|V|$. This restriction seems to be true in practice from what we have observed in our experiments. On the other hand it turns out that in many practical situations these bi-directed de Bruijn graphs fail to have *cyclic* CP tours. In these cases it is not clear how the bi-directed flow algorithm [6] can help us in identifying a set of *contigs* covering every k -long word at least once. In contrast to this flow algorithm, our algorithm can be useful in obtaining a *minimal* set of contigs when a *cyclic* CP tour does not exist. We now summarize our results as follows. First our deterministic algorithm to solve the *cyclic* CPP on a general bi-directed graph takes $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|) \log(|V|) + (d_{\max} p)^3)$ time, where $d_{\max} = \max\{|d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v)|, v \in V\}$, $p = \max\{|V^+|, |V^-|\}$, $V^+ = \{v \mid v \in V, d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v) > 0\}$ and $V^- = \{v \mid v \in V, d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v) < 0\}$. Second we solve the SDDNA problem on an unweighted bi-directed de Bruijn graph deterministically in $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|) + (d_{\max} p)^3)$ time. As a consequence we also present a $\Theta((|V| + |E|) \log(V))$ time single source shortest bi-directed path algorithm, which may be of independent interest to some assembly algorithms such as Velvet [3] — TourBus heuristic.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2 we provide some preliminaries. Section 3 defines the CPP and SDDNA problems. In Sec. 4 we introduce our algorithm for single source shortest bi-directed paths, which is used as a component in our main algorithm. The main algorithm is introduced in Sec. 7 along with algorithms for several sub-problems. Section 9 briefly explains how we can handle situations when the bi-directed graphs do not have cyclic CP tours. A greedy algorithm that runs in $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|))$ time is described in Sec. 8. Finally experimental studies are reported in Sec. 10.

2. Preliminaries

Let $s \in \Sigma^n$ be a string of length n . Any substring s_j (i.e., $s[j, \dots, j + k - 1]$, $n - k + 1 \leq j \leq n$) of length k is called a k -mer of s . The set of all k -mer's of a given string s is called the k -spectrum of s and is denoted by $S(s, k)$. Given a k -mer s_j , $s_j^\sim$ denotes the *reverse compliment* of s_j (e.g., if $s_j = AAGTA$ then $s_j^\sim = TACCT$). Let $\preceq$ be the partial ordering among the strings of equal length, then $s_i \preceq s_j$ indicates that string s_i is lexicographically smaller than s_j . Given any k -mer s_i , let $\hat{s}_i$ be the lexicographically smaller string between s_i and $s_i^\sim$. We call $\hat{s}_i$ the *canonical k -mer* of s_i . More formally, if $s_i \preceq s_i^\sim$ then $\hat{s}_i = s_i$ else $\hat{s}_i = s_i^\sim$. A k -molecule of a given k -mer s_i is a tuple $(s_i, s_i^\sim)$ consisting of s_i and its reverse compliment $s_i^\sim$, the first entry in this tuple is called the positive strand and the second entry is called the negative strand.

A *bi-directed* graph is a generalized version of a standard directed graph. In a directed graph every edge ($\rightarrow$ or $\leftarrow$) has only one arrow head. On the other hand, in a bi-directed graph every edge ($\leftarrow\rightarrow$, $\leftarrow\leftarrow$, $\rightarrow\leftarrow$ or $\rightarrow\rightarrow$) has two arrow heads attached to it. Formally, let V be the set of vertices of a bi-directed graph, $E = \{(v_i, v_j, o_1, o_2) \mid v_i, v_j \in V \wedge o_1, o_2 \in \{\leftarrow, \rightarrow\}\}$ is the set of bi-directed edges in a bi-directed graph $G(V, E)$. A *walk* $w(v_i, v_j)$ between two nodes $v_i, v_j \in V$ of a bi-directed graph $G(V, E)$ is a sequence $v_i, e_{i1}, v_{i1}, e_{i2}, v_{i2}, \dots, v_{im}, e_{im+1}, v_j$, such that for every intermediate vertex v_{il} , $1 \leq l \leq m$, the orientation of the arrow heads on either side is opposite. To make this more clear let e_{il}, v_{il}, e_{il+1} be the sub-sequence in the walk $w(v_i, v_j)$, $e_{il} = (v_{il-1}, v_{il}, o_1, o_2)$, $e_{il+1} = (v_{il}, v_{il+1}, o_1, o_2)$ then for the walk to be valid $e_{il} \cdot o_2 = e_{il+1} \cdot o_1$. If $v_j = v_i$ and $e_{i1} \cdot o_1 = e_{im+1} \cdot o_2$ then the walk is called *cyclic*. A walk on the bi-directed graph is referred to as a *bi-directed walk*. We define an orientation function $O : V^2 \rightarrow \{\rightarrow, \leftarrow\}^2$ which gives the orientation of the bi-directed edge between a pair of vertices — if one exists. For instance if $(v_i, v_j, \leftarrow, \rightarrow)$ is a bi-directed edge between v_i and v_j then $O(v_i, v_j) = \leftarrow\rightarrow$. An edge which is adjacent on a vertex with an orientation $\rightarrow$ ($\leftarrow$) is called an *incoming* (*outgoing*) edge. The incoming (outgoing) degree of a vertex v is denoted by $d_{in}(v)$ ($d_{out}(v)$). A vertex v is called *balanced* iff $d_{in}(v) - d_{out}(v) = 0$. A vertex is called *imbalanced* iff $|d_{in}(v) - d_{out}(v)| > 0$. The imbalance of a vertex is called *positive* iff $d_{in}(v) - d_{out}(v) > 0$. Similarly a vertex is *negative imbalanced* iff $d_{in}(v) - d_{out}(v) < 0$. A bi-directed graph is called *connected* iff every pair of vertices have a bi-directed walk between them.

A de Bruijn graph $D^k(s)$ of the order k on a given string s is defined as follows. The vertex set V of $D^k(s)$ is defined as the k -spectrum of s (i.e., $V = S(s, k)$). We use the notation $\text{suf}(v_i, l)$ ($\text{pre}(v_i, l)$) to denote the suffix(prefix) of length l in string v_i . The symbol $\cdot$ denotes concatenation between two strings. Finally the set of directed edges E of $D^k(s)$ is defined as follows $E = \{(v_i, v_j) \mid \text{suf}(v_i, k-1) = \text{pre}(v_j, k-1) \wedge v_i[1] \cdot \text{suf}(v_i, k-1) \cdot v_j[k] \in S(s, k +$

1)). We can further generalize the definition of a de Bruijn graph $B^k(S)$ on a set $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ of strings, $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S(s_i, k)$ and $E = \{(v_i, v_j) \mid \text{suf}(v_i, k-1) = \text{pre}(v_j, k-1) \wedge \exists l: v_i[l] \cdot \text{suf}(v_i, k-1) \cdot v_j[k] \in S(s_l, k+1)\}$.

To model the double strandedness of the DNA molecules we should also consider the reverse compliments ($\bar{S} = \{\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2, \dots, \bar{s}_n\}$) while we build the de Bruijn graph. To address this a bi-directed de Bruijn graph $BD^k(S \cup \bar{S})$ has been suggested in [6]. The set of vertices V of $BD^k(S \cup \bar{S})$ consists of all the possible k -molecules from Σ^k . For every $k+1$ -mer $z \in S \cup \bar{S}$, if x, y are the two k -mer's of z then an edge is introduced between the k -molecules (v_i, v_j) corresponding to x and y . The orientations of the arrow heads on the edges is chosen as follows. If both x, y are the positive strands in v_i, v_j an edge $(v_i, v_j, \triangleright, \triangleright)$ is introduced. If x is a positive strand in v_i and y is a negative strand in v_j an edge $(v_i, v_j, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$ is introduced. Finally if x is a negative strand in v_i and y is a positive strand in v_j an edge $(v_i, v_j, \triangleleft, \triangleright)$ is introduced.

3. Problem Definitions

A *Chinese Postman walk* in a bi-directed graph is a bi-directed walk which visits every edge at least once. A *cyclic Chinese Postman walk* of minimum cost on a weighted bi-directed graph is denoted as CPW. The problem of finding a CPW is referred to as CPP. The problem of finding a CPW on an unweighted bidirected de Bruijn graph (of order k) constructed from a set of reads is called the SDDNA problem. In this paper we give algorithms for the cyclic CPP and SDDNA problems.

4. Single Source Shortest Path Algorithm on a Bi-Directed de Bruijn Graph

We first present an algorithm for the single source shortest path problem on a bidirected de Bruijn graph. The bi-directed de Bruijn graph in the context of sequence assembly has non-negative weights on the edges. This makes it possible to extend the classic Dijkstra's single source shortest path algorithm to these graphs. In our algorithm we attach two labels for each vertex in the bi-directed graph.

Given a source vertex s , the algorithm initializes all the labels similar to Dijkstra's algorithm. In each stage of the algorithm a label with the smallest cost is picked and some of labels corresponding to adjacent nodes are updated. The only major difference between Dijkstra's algorithm and our algorithm is the way we update the labels. Dijkstra's algorithm updates all the labels/nodes which are adjacent to the smallest label/node currently picked. However, our algorithm updates only those labels/nodes which are consistent with the bi-directed walk property. We now give details of our algorithm and prove its correctness.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be the bi-directed graph of interest. Let s be the source and t be the destination. We are interested in finding a *shortest bi-directed* walk from s to t . We introduce two labels $\text{dist}^+[u], \text{dist}^-[u]$ for every vertex $u \in V$. The algorithm first initializes labels corresponding to the source (i.e., $\text{dist}^+[s]$ and $\text{dist}^-[s]$) to zero. Along with these labels of all the nodes adjacent to s are also initialized with the corresponding edge weight. The orientation of the edge determines the label we use for initialization. For instance, if (s, v) is a bi-directed edge with $\triangleright-\triangleleft$ as the orientation, the label $\text{dist}^-[v]$ is initialized with $w_{s,v}$ and

$\text{dist}^+[v]$ is left uninitialized. In contrast, if the orientation of the edge is $\triangleright-\triangleright$ then $\text{dist}^+[v]$ is initialized to $w_{s,v}$ and $\text{dist}^-[v]$ is left uninitialized. All the uninitialized labels contains ∞ by default.

In each iteration of the algorithm a label with the minimum cost is picked. Since we have two types of labels, the minimum label can come from either dist^+ or dist^- . In the first case let u^+ be the node corresponding to the minimum label during the iteration. This intuitively means that we have a path from s to u^+ and the orientation of the edge adjacent to u^+ in this path is either $\triangleleft-\triangleright$ or $\triangleright-\triangleright$. We are going to prove this fact later in the correctness. On the other hand if u^+ is different from the destination t , then u^+ may possibly appear as an internal node in the shortest bi-directed walk between s and t . In this case the path through u^+ should satisfy *bi-directed walk constraint*. Thus we should explore only those node(s) adjacent to u^+ with an edge(s) orientated as $\triangleright-\triangleleft$ or $\triangleright-\triangleright$. The orientation of the edge determines the type of the label we need to update — similar to the label initialization. For instance let (u^+, v) be an edge adjacent on u^+ with an orientation of $\triangleright-\triangleleft$. In this case we should use label $\text{dist}^-[v]$ to make an update. Similarly if the orientation of the same edge is $\triangleright-\triangleright$ then $\text{dist}^+[v]$ is used in the update process. Consistent with the classical terminology of the Dijkstra's algorithm, we refer to the minimum cost label picked in each iteration as the *permanent label*. For instance if a label $\text{dist}^-[v]$ is picked to be the minimum label in an iteration then we call $\text{dist}^-[v]$ as the *permanent label* of node v . Now to prove the correctness of the algorithm it is sufficient to show that the cost on the permanent label of a node in each iteration is the weight of the shortest bi-directed path from s to that node.

Theorem 4.1

The permanent label of a node $u \in V$ in each iteration of Algorithm 1 is the weight of the shortest bi-directed path from s to u .

Algorithm 1: Algorithm to find the shortest bi-directed path from s to t

INPUT : Bi-directed graph $G = (V, E)$ and two vertices $s, t \in V$
OUTPUT: Cost of the shortest bi-directed path between s and t

```

1   $\text{dist}^+[s] = \text{dist}^-[s] = 0$ 
2   $\text{dist}^+[v] = \text{dist}^-[v] = \infty \forall v \in V \wedge v \neq s$ 
3
4
5  while  $\text{dist}^+ \neq \phi$  or  $\text{dist}^- \neq \phi$  do
6     $u^+ = \min_u \{\text{dist}^+\}$ 
7     $u^- = \min_u \{\text{dist}^-\}$ 
8
9    if  $u^+ = t$  or  $u^- = t$  then
10     return  $\min\{\text{dist}^+[u^+], \text{dist}^-[u^-]\}$ 
11
12
13    if  $\text{dist}^+[u^+] < \text{dist}^-[u^-]$  then
14      $U^+ = \{v \mid (u^+, v) \in E \wedge (O)(u^+, v) = \triangleleft-\triangleleft\}$ 
15      $U^- = \{v \mid (u^+, v) \in E \wedge (O)(u^+, v) = \triangleleft-\triangleright\}$ 
16      $\text{dist}^+[u^+] = \text{dist}^+[u^+]$ 
17      $\text{dist}^+ = \text{dist}^+ - \{u^+\}$ 
18
19    else
20      $U^+ = \{v \mid (u^-, v) \in E \wedge (O)(u^-, v) = \triangleright-\triangleleft\}$ 
21      $U^- = \{v \mid (u^-, v) \in E \wedge (O)(u^-, v) = \triangleright-\triangleright\}$ 
22      $\text{dist}^-[u^-] = \text{dist}^-[u^-]$ 
23      $\text{dist}^- = \text{dist}^- - \{u^-\}$ 
24
25  foreach  $u \in \text{dist}^+$  do
26     $\text{dist}^+[u] = \min\{\text{dist}^+[u], \text{dist}^+[u^+] + w[u^+, u]\}$ 
27  foreach  $u \in \text{dist}^-$  do
28     $\text{dist}^-[u] = \min\{\text{dist}^-[u], \text{dist}^-[u^-] + w[u^-, u]\}$ 
29
30
31  return  $\infty$ 
```

Proof

We prove the statement by induction on the number (n) of iterations in Algorithm 1. We now prove the base case when $n = 1$. Since we have initialized $\text{dist}^+[s] = \text{dist}^-[s] = 0$ and the values of the remaining both initialized and uninitialized nodes are > 0 ; the first iteration picks s and zero is trivially the cost of shortest bi-directed path from s to s .

Assume that the statement is true for $n = 1, \dots, k$. As per the induction hypothesis the permanent labels $\text{dist}[s], \text{dist}[v_{i_2}], \dots, \text{dist}[v_{i_k}]$ correspond to the costs of the shortest bi-directed paths between s and $s, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_k}$.

Now let $\text{dist}'[v_{i_{k+1}}] < \text{dist}[v_{i_{k+1}}]$ be the cost of the shortest bi-directed walk from s to $v_{i_{k+1}}$. Let $s, v_{j_2}, \dots, v_{j_k}, v_{i_{k+1}}$ be the path corresponding to the cost $\text{dist}'[v_{i_{k+1}}]$. Note that v_{j_k} cannot be one of the nodes with a permanent label. If not, we would have $\text{dist}'[v_{i_{k+1}}] = \text{dist}[v_{i_{k+1}}]$ (because we should have updated $v_{i_{k+1}}$ when the v_{j_k} was given a permanent label) which is a contradiction. Now let $\text{dist}'[v_{j_k}]$ be the cost of the shortest path from s to v_{j_k} . Clearly, $\text{dist}'[v_{j_k}] < \text{dist}[v_{i_{k+1}}]$ and this means that none of the nodes $v_{j_2}, v_{j_3}, \dots, v_{j_k}$ had a permanent label. Since in the iteration $n = 1$ the algorithm updated the labels adjacent to all the nodes, this means that either $\text{dist}^+[v_{j_2}]$ or $\text{dist}^-[v_{j_2}]$ should have a cost $0 < w_{s,j_2}$ and $\text{dist}'[v_{i_{k+1}}] < w_{s,j_2}$. In each iteration from $n = 1, \dots, (k + 1)$ we picked the globally minimum label $\text{dist}[v_{i_{k+1}}] < w_{s,j_2} < \text{dist}'[v_{i_{k+1}}]$ which is a contradiction.

We now give a simple example to illustrate the algorithm. Consider the bi-directed graph in Fig. 1(a), with a unit weight on every edge. Let $s = 1$ and $t = 4$ for instance. From Fig. 1(a) we see two bi-directed walks — *red*, *green*. The *green* path is the shortest path of length 4 units. Now let us run our algorithm on this graph. The algorithm starts with initializing from $s = 1$ and from then on Table 3 shows how the dist labels are updated until iteration 7 where we reach the target node 4 and stop the algorithm.

5. Terminal Oriented Shortest Bi-Directed Walks

In the previous section we have seen how to find a shortest bi-directed walk between two nodes in a given bi-directed graph. We now define a *terminal oriented bi-directed walk* as follows. Let $w(v_i, v_j) = v_i, e_{i_1}, v_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_m}, e_{i_{m+1}}, v_j$ be any bi-directed walk between two nodes v_i and v_j in a bi-directed graph. Then this bi-directed walk $w(v_i, v_j)$ is called *terminal oriented bi-directed walk* iff $e_{i_1} \cdot o_1 = \triangleright$ and $e_{i_{m+1}} \cdot o_2 = \triangleright$. For example in Fig. 1(a) there are two bi-directed walks between nodes 4 and 1 — marked with green and red. However, only the green bi-directed walk is terminally oriented. A terminal oriented bi-directed walk w is called the *shortest terminal oriented bi-directed walk* iff there is no other terminal oriented bi-directed walk shorter than w .

5.1. An algorithm for finding a terminal oriented shortest bi-directed walk

It is easy to modify Algorithm 1 to find a terminal oriented shortest path between s and t . We only have to modify the initialization step and the step which checks if the target node has been reached. During the initialization at line 2 of Algorithm 1 we make $\text{dist}^+[s] = 0$ and $\text{dist}^-[s] = \infty$. This avoids the exploration of bi-directed walks which does not start with $\triangleright$.

In line 9, we stop our exploration only if $u^+ = t$. These changes ensure that the bi-directed walk at s starts with $\triangleright$ and ends with $\triangleright$ at t .

6. A Sufficient Condition for an Eulerian Tour on a Bi-Directed Graph

The following Lemma 6.1 [6] is a sufficient condition for a cyclic Eulerian tour in a bi-directed graph. A bi-directed graph which has a cyclic Eulerian tour is called an Eulerian bi-directed graph.

Lemma 6.1

A connected bi-directed graph is Eulerian if and only if every vertex is balanced.

Note that if a bi-directed graph is Eulerian then a cyclic CP walk is the same as a cyclic Eulerian walk. We emphasize the cyclic adjective for the following reason. Figure 1(b) has a CP walk starting and ending at vertex 1. However, the CP walk is not cyclic because the walk starts with $\triangleright$ and ends with $\triangleleft$. The bi-directed graph in Fig. 1(b) is not balanced. If the bi-directed graph is not Eulerian, the key strategy to find a cyclic CP walk is to make it Eulerian by introducing multi-edges into the original graph. The hope is that introducing multi-edges would make the bi-directed graph balanced. Thus a cyclic Eulerian walk on a balanced multi-edge bi-directed graph would give a cyclic CP walk on the original graph. Since we are interested in finding a shortest cyclic CP walk, we would like to minimize the number of multi-edges we introduce in the original graph.

7. A Deterministic Algorithm to Find a Cyclic CP Walk on a Bi-Directed Graph

We now describe our deterministic algorithm to find a cyclic CP walk on a weighted bi-directed graph. First we define a *multi-bi-directed graph* as a bi-directed graph in which an edge between two nodes is overlaid at least once, without changing its orientation. Figure 2(a) shows a bi-directed graph; Fig. 2(b) shows a valid multi-bi-directed graph. Notice that while overlaying the edge we did not change its orientation. Since the orientation of the multi-edges is the same as the original edges, any bi-directed walk involving multi-edges is consistent with the bi-directed walk in the original graph. Another important property of the multi-bi-directed graphs is their ability to make the nodes balanced. Notice that the vertex 3 in the original bi-directed graph is positively imbalanced — $d_{\text{in}}(v_3) = 2$, $d_{\text{out}}(v_3) = 1$. However in the multi-bi-directed graph in Fig. 2(b) we are able to balance vertex 3 by introducing some multi-edges into the original graph. Given a bi-directed graph $G = (V, E)$, let $G^m = (V, E^m)$ be some multi-bi-directed graph corresponding to G . The following Lemma 7.1 gives a characterization for G to have a cyclic CP walk.

Lemma 7.1

A non-Eulerian bi-directed graph $G = (V, E)$ has a cyclic CPW $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ a corresponding multi-bi-directed graph $G^m = (V, E^m)$ which is Eulerian.

Proof

If G has a cyclic CPW, introduce a unique multi-edge in G^m for every repeated edge in the cyclic CPW. This makes the cyclic CPW on the original graph a cyclic Eulerian walk on the multi-bi-directed graph G^m . Proving the other direction is very similar.

Given a multi-bi-directed graph $G^m(V, E^m)$ corresponding to some bi-directed graph $G = (V, E)$, we define the *multi-bi-directed graph weight* as $W(G^m) = \sum_{e \in E^m} c(e)$, where $c : e \in E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a cost function on the bi-directed graph $G(V, E)$. We denote $G^*(V, E^*)$ as the minimum weight Eulerian multi-bi-directed graph corresponding to $G(V, E)$ if at all one exists. The following lemmas are easy to prove.

Lemma 7.2

Finding a cyclic CP walk on a bi-directed graph $G(V, E)$ is equivalent to finding a minimum weight Eulerian multi-bi-directed graph $G^(V, E^*)$ corresponding to G .*

Lemma 7.3

If a bi-directed-graph $G(V, E)$ has a cyclic CP walk then the cost of that walk is equal to the weight of $G^(V, E^*)$.*

7.1. Balancing bi-partite graph

Given a bi-directed de Bruijn graph $G(V, E)$ we define a corresponding *Balancing Bi-partite Graph*, $B(P, Q, E^b)$ as follows. Let $V^+ = \{v \mid d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v) > 0\}$, $V^- = \{v \mid d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v) < 0\}$. $P = \cup_{p \in V^+} \{p^{(1)}, p^{(2)}, \dots, p^{(|d_{\text{in}}(p) - d_{\text{out}}(p)|)}\}$, $Q = \cup_{q \in V^-} \{q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(|d_{\text{in}}(q) - d_{\text{out}}(q)|)}\}$. We now introduce an edge between $p^{(i)} \in P$ and $q^{(j)} \in Q$ iff $p, q \in V$ are connected by a *terminal oriented bi-directed walk* from p to q . Let $\text{dist}^t(p, q)$ be the weight of this walk. Then $E^b = \{(p^{(i)}, q^{(j)}) \mid \text{dist}^t(p, q) > 0 \wedge p, q \in V\}$. The weight of the edge $(p^{(i)}, q^{(j)}) \in E^b$ is the weight of terminal oriented bi-directed walk $\text{dist}^t(p, q)$.

Lemma 7.4—*A non-Eulerian bi-directed graph $G(V, E)$ has a cyclic CP walk $\Leftrightarrow$ the balancing bi-partite graph $B(P, Q, E^b)$ has a perfect match.*

Proof—(Forward direction:) Since G has a cyclic CP walk, every unbalanced node $v \in V$ (positive or negative) should appear at least $|d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v)|$ times. Label each occurrence of v in the cyclic CP walk by $v^{(i)}$. Note that $\sum_{p \in V^+} |d_{\text{in}}(p) - d_{\text{out}}(p)| = \sum_{q \in V^-} |d_{\text{in}}(q) - d_{\text{out}}(q)|$, since G has a cyclic CP walk. Now we can pair every i th occurrence of a positively imbalanced node p to some j th occurrence of a negatively imbalanced node q since $p^{(i)}$ and $q^{(j)}$ are connected by a terminal oriented bi-directed walk in the cyclic CP walk. Every such pairing corresponds to a matched edge in $B(P, Q, E^b)$.

(Reverse direction:) Consider the perfect match M_b in $B(P, Q, E^b)$. For every edge $(p^{(i)}, q^{(j)}) \in M_b$ overlay the underlying oriented bi-directed walk between $p, q \in V$ on $G(V, E)$. This makes $G(V, E)$ a balanced multi-bi-directed graph. Then by Lemma 7.1 we can construct a cyclic CP walk in G .

7.2. Constructing a family of Eulerian multi-bi-directed graphs

We now give a construction for generating Eulerian multi-bi-directed graphs corresponding to a given non-Eulerian bi-directed graph which has a cyclic CP walk. We call this a *Balancing Match Family* denoted by F . Lemma 7.4 can be used to generate F . Assume that $G(V, E)$ is a non-Eulerian bi-directed graph that has a cyclic CP walk. The following construction generates a family of Eulerian multi-bi-directed graphs corresponding to $G(V, E)$.

- **Step 1.** Create a balancing bi-partite graph $B(P, Q, E^m)$ corresponding to $G(V, E)$ by choosing some terminal oriented bi-directed walk between $p^{(i)} \in P$ and $q^{(j)} \in Q$.
- **Step 2.** Find a perfect match M_b in $B(P, Q, E^m)$. For each edge in M_b overlay the corresponding terminal oriented bi-directed walk on $G(V, E)$. This generates a Eulerian multi-bi-directed graph $G^m(V, E^m)$.

The following Lemma 7.5 is easy to see.

Lemma 7.5—If $G(V, E)$ is a non-Eulerian bi-directed graph that has a cyclic CP walk, then every corresponding Eulerian multi-bi-directed graph $G^m(V, E^m)$ belongs to the family F .

The following lemma gives an expression for the weight of any $G^m(V, E^m) \in F$.

Lemma 7.6—Let $G(V, E, c)$ be a non-Eulerian weighted bi-directed graph which has a cyclic CP walk $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $G^m(V, E^m, c) \in F$ be some Eulerian multi-bi-directed graph. Then, $W(G^m) = \sum_{e \in E} c(e) + \sum_{(p^{(i)}, q^{(j)}) \in M_b} \text{dist}^t(p, q)$, where M_b is a perfect match in $B(P, Q, E^b)$.

Proof—Since G^m is Eulerian it should cover every edge in G — this corresponds to the first term. Second, since $G^m(V, E^m, c) \in F$ the cost of multi-edges coming from overlaying the terminal bi-directed walk corresponds to the match M_b in $B(P, Q, E^b)$. This corresponds to the second term.

7.3. An algorithm for finding an optimal cyclic CP walk

We now put together all the results in the preceding sub-section(s) to give an algorithm to find $G^*(V, E^*)$. The algorithm is summarized in the following steps.

- **Step 1.** We first identify positive and negative imbalanced nodes in G . Let $V^+ = \{v \mid d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v) > 0\}$, $V^- = \{v \mid d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v) < 0\}$.
- **Step 2.** Find the cost of a *terminal oriented shortest bi-directed walk* between every pair $(v, u) \in V^+ \times V^-$. Let this cost be denoted as $\text{dist}^t(v, u)$.
- **Step 3.** Create a *balancing bi-partite graph* $B(P, Q, E^b)$ as follows. Let $P = \bigcup_{v \in V^+} \{v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(|d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v)|)}\}$, $Q = \bigcup_{u \in V^-} \{u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, \dots, u^{(|d_{\text{in}}(u) - d_{\text{out}}(u)|)}\}$, $E = \{(v^{(i)}, u^{(j)}) \mid v^{(i)} \in P \wedge u^{(j)} \in Q\}$. The cost of an edge $c(v^{(i)}, u^{(j)}) = \text{dist}^t(v, u)$.
- **Step 4.** Find a minimum cost perfect match in B . Let this match be M_b . If B does not have a perfect match then G does not have a cyclic CP walk.

- **Step 5.** For each edge $(v^{(i)}, u^{(j)}) \in M_b$, overlay the terminal oriented shortest bi-directed walk between v and u in the $G(V, E)$. After overlaying all the terminal oriented bi-directed walks from M_b on to $G(V, E)$ we obtain $G^*(V, E^*)$. We will prove that it is optimal in Theorem 7.7.

Theorem 7.7

If $G(V, E)$ is a bi-directed graph that has a cyclic CP walk, then the cost of this cyclic CP walk is equal to $W(G^*) = \sum_{e \in E} c(e) + \sum_{(v^{(i)}, u^{(j)}) \in M_b} \text{dist}^t(v, u)$. Here M_b is the min-cost perfect match in the balancing bi-partite graph B .

Proof—By Lemma 7.5 the multi-bi-directed graph $G^*(V, E^*)$ belongs to F . Now by Lemma 7.6, any optimal solution has to minimize the second term $(\sum_{(p^{(i)}, q^{(j)})} \text{dist}^t(p, q))$. To minimize this the algorithm chooses *shortest terminal oriented bi-directed walk* in Step 2. Finally, in Step 5 the algorithm finds a minimum cost perfect match. Both these steps ensure that $W(G^*)$ is minimum in the entire family of multi-bi-directed graphs in F .

7.4. Runtime analysis of the algorithm to find a cyclic CP walk

Let $p = \max\{|V^+|, |V^-|\}$ and $d_{\max} = \max_{v \in V} \{|d_{\text{in}}(v) - d_{\text{out}}(v)|\}$. Step 2 of the algorithm runs in $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|) \log(|V|))$ time to compute $\text{dist}^t(v, u)$. In Step 3 $|P| \leq d_{\max}p$, $|Q| \leq d_{\max}p$. For Step 4 Hungarian method can be applied to solve the weighted matching problem in $\Theta((d_{\max}p)^3)$ time. So the total runtime of this deterministic algorithm is $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|) \log(|V|) + (d_{\max}p)^3)$. As mentioned before if p is much smaller than $|V|$ this algorithm performs better than the bi-directed flow algorithm.

7.5. Runtime analysis of the algorithm to find SDDNA

Since SDDNA runs on a bi-directed de Bruijn graph which is unweighted, Step 2 of the algorithm runs in $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|))$ time because we do not need to use a Heap, we just do a BFS on the bi-directed graph. The rest of the analysis for the runtime remains the same and the total run time of the algorithm is $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|) + (d_{\max}p)^3)$.

8. A $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|))$ Time Heuristic Algorithm for the SDDNA Problem

From the analysis in the previous section, to solve the SDDNA problem deterministically we need to spend $\Theta(p(|V| + |E|) + (d_{\max}p)^3)$ time. However, if we just replace the Hungarian method in Step 4 with a simple greedy algorithm we can get rid of the $(d_{\max}p)^3$ term in the asymptotic complexity. Although we have a constant $2/3 - \varepsilon$ approximation algorithm for *maximum weighted matching*, we are not aware of any constant approximation algorithms for *minimum weight perfect matching*. As a result this just remains as a heuristic algorithm. On the other hand this algorithm seems to be performing very close to the optimal (see Sec. 10.2).

9. Dealing with Practical Bi-Directed de Bruijn Graphs with No Cyclic CP Walks

As we have mentioned earlier most of the bi-directed de Bruijn graphs constructed from the reads do not satisfy the sufficient condition for cyclic CP walks. In such cases our algorithm can still be used, by modifying it to find a *maximum* match in the balancing bi-partite graph rather than perfect match. We can introduce a hypothetical node h and connect all the unmatched nodes in the balancing bi-partite graph to h with appropriate bi-directed edges and thus make all the original nodes balanced. We can now find a cyclic CP walk in this hypothetical graph. Every subwalk in the cyclic CP walk that starts from h and ends at h can be reported as a *contig*. Thus our algorithm is capable of handling cases when the bi-directed graph cannot have a cyclic CP walk.

10. Experimental Results

As we have mentioned in the previous sections the asymptotic complexity of our algorithm depends on p — the maximum of positively and negatively imbalanced nodes. In the case of de Bruijn graphs $d_{\max} = |\Sigma|$, where $|\Sigma|$ is the size of the alphabet from which the strings are drawn. In our case this is exactly four. So we can safely ignore $d_{\max}$ in the case of de Bruijn graphs and just concentrate on p . In the rest of the discussion we would like to refer to p as the number of imbalanced nodes.

10.1. Estimation of the mean of the random variable $\frac{p}{|V|}$

It is clear that p is a random variable with support in $[0, |V|]$. So we would like to estimate the expected number of imbalanced nodes in a graph with $|V|$ bi-directed edges. We

estimated the mean of the random variable $\frac{p}{|V|}$ from several samples of bi-directed de Bruijn graphs constructed from reads from a plant genome. A simple t -test is applied to estimate

the 95% confidence interval of $\frac{p}{|V|}$. See Table 1 for the details of the samples used. Notice that as we increase the size of k (de Bruijn graph order) from 21 to 25, the number of imbalanced nodes in columns corresponding to $|V^+|$ and $|V^-|$ reduces. This is because increasing k reduces the number of edges which may reduce the number of imbalanced nodes. On the other hand for a fixed value of k the number of imbalanced nodes increases consistently with the nodes. However, the rate of growth is very slow compared to the rate of growth of the number of nodes. Finally we use this evidence to hypothesize that the number of imbalanced nodes in practical bi-directed graphs is only between 0.087% and 0.133% of the number of nodes in the graph, with a probability of 95%.

10.2. Performance of the greedy heuristic and handling cases which do not have cyclic CP walks

The greedy heuristic described in Sec. 8 has been compared with the optimal *maximum match* with *minimum cost*. As we mentioned in Sec. 9 many of these graphs do not contain cyclic CP walks so they do not have a perfect match. To cope with this situation we treated

the balancing bi-directed graph as a complete bi-directed graph, by introducing a hypothetical edge with large cost whenever there is no edge between two nodes in the original graph. Thus we just used the size of the match to compare the cost of the greedy algorithm and the optimal algorithm to solve the matching problem. Table 2 gives the details of the balancing bi-partite graph obtained from several read samples. As we have mentioned before, to get the approximation ratio we treated a balancing bi-partite graph as a complete bi-partite graph $K_{p,p}$. If M_{opt} and M_{gdy} are the sizes of *maximum* and *maximal* matches then we treated the cost of hypothetical perfect match as $(p - |M_{\text{opt}}|)$ and $(p - |M_{\text{gdy}}|)$ and their ratio is used as approximation ratio. Finally from the evidence in Table 2 we hypothesize that the approximation ratio for this is between 1.008 and 1.016 with a probability of 95%.

10.3. Implementation and data

An implementation of the algorithms discussed is available at http://trinity.engr.uconn.edu/~vamsik/fast_cpp.tgz.

11. Conclusion and Further Research

In this paper we have given an algorithm for cyclic CPW on a bi-directed de Bruijn graph. Our algorithm is based on identifying shortest bi-directed walks and weighted matching. This algorithm performs asymptotically better than the bi-directed flow algorithm when the number of imbalanced nodes are much smaller than the nodes in the bi-directed graph. On the other hand this algorithm can also handle the instances of bi-directed graphs which does not have a cyclic CP walk and provide a minimal set of walks, cyclic walks which cover every edge in the bi-directed graph at least once.

There are several research directions which can be pursued. First, we need to address how the addition of paired reads may impose new constraints on the cyclic CPP walk. Second, while Eulerization of the bi-directed graph we have chosen the shortest path bi-directed path, however this may not correspond to the repeating region in the genome. Other strategy to make the graph Eulerian is to choose the path with maximum read multiplicity. This on other hand may increase the length of the Chinese walk, can we simultaneously optimize these two objectives?

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported in part by the following grants: NSF 0326155, NSF 0829916 and NIH 1R01LM010101-01A1.

References

1. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*. 2001; 409:860–921. [PubMed: 11237011]
2. Craig Venter J, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, et al. The sequence of the human genome. *Science*. 2001; 291:1304–1351. [PubMed: 11181995]
3. Zerbino DR, Birney E. Velvet: Algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de bruijn graphs. *Genome Res*. 2008; 18:821–829. [PubMed: 18349386]
4. Pevzner PA, Tang H, Waterman MS. An Eulerian path approach to DNA fragment assembly. *Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A*. 2001; 98:9748–9753.

5. Myers EW. The fragment assembly string graph. *Bioinformatics*. 2005; 21:ii79–ii85. [PubMed: 16204131]
6. Medvedev, P.; Georgiou, K.; Myers, G.; Brudno, M. Computability of models for sequence assembly. *Workshop on Algorithms for Bioinformatics (WABI), LNBI*; 2007. p. 289-301.

Fig. 1.

(Color online) (a) Node 4 contains two bi-directed walks from node 1, the green colored path is the shortest. (b) The walk starting from node 1 and ending at node 1 is a Chinese walk but not a cyclic Chinese walk.

Fig. 2.

(a) A simple bi-directed graph, (b) a multi-bi-directed graph. Notice that orientations of the multi-edges is the same as the orientation of the original edge.

Table 1

The value of p on short read data from a plant genome sequencing data from CSHL.

			<u>P-Imbal</u>		<u>N-Imbal</u>		<u>Bal-bi-graph</u>				$p \times 100$	
Reads	k	Nodes	$ V^+ $	$ V^- $	$ V^+ $	$ V^- $	$ P^+ $	$ Q^+ $	$ P^- $	$ Q^- $	p	$\frac{ V^+ }{ V }$
102400	21	1588569	1157	1133	1133	1186	1173	1186	1186	1186	0.075	
153600	21	2353171	2240	2141	2141	2298	2211	2298	2298	2298	0.098	
204800	21	3097592	3509	3492	3492	3601	3590	3601	3601	3601	0.116	
256000	21	3825101	4953	5004	5004	5074	5131	5131	5131	5131	0.134	
307200	21	4538734	6719	6748	6748	6878	6912	6912	6912	6912	0.152	
358400	21	5235821	8586	8603	8603	8789	8802	8802	8802	8802	0.168	
409600	21	5917489	10665	10693	10693	10914	10934	10934	10934	10934	0.185	
102400	25	1202962	569	521	521	588	540	588	588	588	0.049	
153600	25	1788533	1104	1026	1026	1139	1062	1139	1139	1139	0.064	
204800	25	2362981	1744	1708	1708	1788	1759	1788	1788	1788	0.076	
256000	25	2927656	2521	2523	2523	2579	2592	2592	2592	2592	0.089	
307200	25	3484849	3370	3414	3414	3451	3517	3517	3517	3517	0.101	
358400	25	4032490	4333	4369	4369	4441	4485	4441	4485	4485	0.111	
409600	25	4571554	5390	5467	5467	5518	5613	5518	5613	5613	0.123	

$\left[\frac{S}{\bar{x} - z \frac{\sigma}{2} \sqrt{n}}, \frac{S}{\bar{x} + z - \frac{\sigma}{2} \sqrt{n}} \right]$

$p \times 100$

95% C.I. for average $\frac{|V^+|}{|V|}$ is [0.0872%, 0.1330%]

$$\left[\bar{x} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right] 95\% \text{ C.I. for average } \frac{p \times 100}{|V|} \text{ is } [0.0872\%, 0.1330\%]$$

Table 2

Approximation ratio of the GDY heuristic.

Nodes		OPT		GRDY			$\frac{GDY}{OPT}$
		$\max P , Q $	Size	Cost	Size	Cost	
Reads	k	$ V $	p	$ M_{opt} $	$p - M_{gdv} $	$p - M_{gdv} $	
102400	21	1202962	1186	416	770	406	780
153600	21	1788533	2298	725	1573	704	1594
204800	21	2362981	3601	1092	2509	1073	2528
256000	21	3825101	5131	1479	3652	1450	3681
307200	21	4538734	6912	1929	4983	1879	5033
358400	21	5235821	8802	2385	6417	2329	6473
409600	21	5917489	10934	2876	8058	2814	8120
102400	25	1202962	588	152	436	147	441
153600	25	1788533	1139	281	858	274	865
204800	25	2362981	1788	438	1350	429	1359
256000	25	2927656	2592	619	1973	601	1991
307200	25	3484849	3517	809	2708	783	2734
358400	25	4032490	4485	995	3490	966	3519
409600	25	4571554	5613	1220	4393	1175	4438

$$\left[\bar{x} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

95% C.I. for average $\frac{APX - Cost}{OPT - Cost}$ is [1.0083%, 1.0106%]

Table 3

Changes in the dist^+ and dist^- labels in every iteration of Algorithm 1 on the bi-directed graph in Fig. 1.

iteration: 1, $\min \equiv \text{dist}^-[2] = 1$				
$\text{dist}^+[2] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[3] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[5] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[6] = \infty$
$\text{dist}^-[2] = 1$	$\text{dist}^-[3] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^-[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^-[5] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^-[6] = \infty$
iteration: 2, $\min \equiv \text{dist}^-[5] = 2$				
$\text{dist}^+[2] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[3] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[5] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[6] = \infty$
$\text{dist}^-[2] = 1$	$\text{dist}^-[3] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^-[5] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[6] = \infty$
iteration: 3, $\min \equiv \text{dist}^-[3] = 2$				
$\text{dist}^+[2] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[3] = 3$	$\text{dist}^+[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[5] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[6] = \infty$
$\text{dist}^-[2] = 1$	$\text{dist}^-[3] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^-[5] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[6] = \infty$
iteration: 4, $\min \equiv \text{dist}^-[6] = 3$				
$\text{dist}^+[2] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[3] = 3$	$\text{dist}^+[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[5] = 3$	$\text{dist}^+[6] = \infty$
$\text{dist}^-[2] = 1$	$\text{dist}^-[3] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^-[5] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[6] = 3$
iteration: 5, $\min \equiv \text{dist}^-[5] = 3$				
$\text{dist}^+[2] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[3] = 3$	$\text{dist}^+[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[5] = 3$	$\text{dist}^+[6] = \infty$
$\text{dist}^-[2] = 1$	$\text{dist}^-[3] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[4] = 4$	$\text{dist}^-[5] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[6] = 3$
iteration: 6, $\min \equiv \text{dist}^-[3] = 3$				
$\text{dist}^+[2] = 4$	$\text{dist}^+[3] = 3$	$\text{dist}^+[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[5] = 3$	$\text{dist}^+[6] = \infty$
$\text{dist}^-[2] = 1$	$\text{dist}^-[3] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[4] = 4$	$\text{dist}^-[5] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[6] = 3$
iteration: 7, $\min \equiv \text{dist}^-[4] = 4$				
$\text{dist}^+[2] = 4$	$\text{dist}^+[3] = 3$	$\text{dist}^+[4] = \infty$	$\text{dist}^+[5] = 3$	$\text{dist}^+[6] = \infty$
$\text{dist}^-[2] = 1$	$\text{dist}^-[3] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[4] = 4$	$\text{dist}^-[5] = 2$	$\text{dist}^-[6] = 3$

The shortest path from node 1 to node 4 is of length 4