Published in final edited form as:

J Adolesc Health. 2014 November ; 55(5): 633–639. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.05.017.

Self-harm among Hispanic adolescents: Investigating the role of culture-related stressors

Dr. Richard C. Cervantes, Ph.D.,
Behavioral Assessment, Incorporated

Dr. Jeremy T. Goldbach, Ph.D.,
University of Southern California, School of Social Work

Alberto Varela, and
University of Utah, Department of Educational Psychology

Dr. Daniel A. Santisteban, Ph.D.
University of Miami, School of Nursing and Health Studies

Abstract

Suicide is the third leading cause of death among adolescents. Research shows Hispanic adolescents report disproportionate rates of both suicidal ideation and attempts.

PURPOSE—The purpose of the current study was twofold. First, the current study aimed to document the presence of suicidal ideation and self-harm behavior in a large, heterogeneous sample of Hispanic adolescents. Second, this study sought to identify specific and unique culturally relevant stressors that were associated with the higher self reported suicidal thoughts and self-harm among Hispanic males and females separately.

METHOD—Data were collected on 1,651 Hispanic adolescents, who completed the Hispanic Stress Inventory –Adolescent Version (Cervantes, et. al) ¹⁾

RESULTS—Results of both rates and culture-related stressors that associated with the high rates suicidal ideation are presented. Of the eight subscales measured in the Hispanic Stress Inventory-A (HSI-A), four subscales were predictive of either suicidal ideation or self-harm. For males, Acculturation Gap stress was associated with suicidal thoughts and Discrimination Stress was associated with both suicidal thoughts and self-harm behavior. For females, Family Drug Stress was associated with suicidal thoughts. Acculturation Gap Stress, Family Drug Stress, and Immigration Stress were all significantly associated with self-harm behaviors.

© 2014 Society for Adolescent Medicine. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author's Contact Information: Richard C. Cervantes, Ph.D., Behavioral Assessment, Inc. 291 S. La Cienega Blvd., Suite 304, Beverly Hills, CA 90211; (310) 652-6449 (work); (310) 652-5462 (fax); bassessment@aol.com.

Financial Disclosures: N/A

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

CONCLUSION—Findings are discussed as they inform future culturally competent prevention interventions and future research studies.

Keywords

Hispanic; adolescent; self-harm; stress; depression

Introduction

According to Miniño and Murphy,² suicide is the third leading cause of death among adolescents. Epidemiological research by Nock³ indicates that 12.1% of adolescents reported suicidal ideation, 4.0% reported having a plan, and 4.1% reported attempting suicide in their lifetime. This same research shows that there is a relatively rapid increase in the rates of suicide ideation, plans, and attempts between the ages of 12 and 17.

In a recent Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) report, Hispanic adolescents report disparate rates of suicidal ideation and attempts when compared to their non-Hispanic peers.⁴ In addition, Hispanic adolescents reported higher rates of both suicidal ideation (16.7%) and suicide attempts (10.2%) when compared to both their White and African American peers.⁴ Further, the same report finds that Hispanic adolescent females (hereafter Latinas) may be driving this disparity. According to the recent United States Census⁵ report, given that the population of U.S. Latinos is predicted to double by 2050, understanding the unique factors that may contribute to suicide disparities among Hispanic adolescents is of increasing interest to researchers and practitioners alike.^{6,7}

Bronfenbrenner^{8,9} developed an ecological model to understand an individual's concerns from a number of complex systems: the microsystem (e.g. school, family), mesosystem, exosystem (e.g. parental employment), and macrosystem (e.g. cultural norms). Other research studies have implemented this ecological model to further understand suicidal ideation and suicidal behavior among adolescents.^{10,11,12} Findings from these studies have linked adolescent suicidal behavior to the various ecological systems. In an effort to understand the unique experience of Latino adolescents, Zayas¹³ provided a comprehensive conceptual model for researchers to consider in exploring suicidal behavior. This model includes microsystem variables (e.g. family, parent-adolescent interaction, and psychosocial development and functioning), which are influenced by macrosystem variables (e.g. immigration, socioeconomic and economic hardship, and/or trauma). It was proposed that both microsystem and macrosystem variables negatively impact psychological functioning. This, in turn, was theorized to weaken coping abilities and result in an increased risk of a suicide attempt.

A number of studies have contributed to the use of this conceptual model. As early as 1996, Hovey and King¹⁴ examined the relationship between acculturative stress, depression, and suicidal ideation and found that adolescents who reported high levels of acculturative stress also reported high levels of depressive symptoms and suicidal ideation. More recent studies have also explored the impact of immigration-related, acculturation-related, and discrimination-related stressors on mental health outcomes.^{15,16,17,18,19} The social environment that Latino adolescents grow up in may also contribute to suicidality. A

number of studies have found that Latino adolescents are the target of anti-immigrant and anti-Latino attitudes and negative public references toward their ethnic identity.^{1,15} The literature details descriptions of the often traumatic immigration processes which could include life-threatening circumstances and being forced to leave family members behind in their country of origin²⁰ with possible impacts on suicidal behavior.²¹ Mena, Santisteban, Mason and Mitrani²² found that parent-child separations resulting from immigration have been linked to depression, particularly in Latinas.

Recognizing a need to better measure cultural stress and its influence on negative mental health outcomes, several validated stress instruments have been developed, including the Hispanic Stress Inventory (HSI) and Hispanic Stress Inventory – Adolescents (HSI-A).^{1,23,24} In the national HSI-A studies, eight unique stress domains for Hispanic youth were identified, including acculturative stress, discrimination stress, family separation, and cultural conflict using the Hispanic Stress Inventory-Adolescent Version.¹ Higher levels of stress across the eight domains were related to heightened rates of internalizing (e.g., depression, anxiety) and externalizing (e.g., conduct problems) behaviors.¹ The relationship between these cultural stress domains and suicidality, however, has not been explored. Thus, the purpose of the current study was to; a) document the presence of suicidal ideation and self-harm behavior among Hispanic adolescents in a large four site, representative sample, b) determine gender differences in these symptoms, and c) to investigate the utility of the Hispanic Stress Inventory- Adolescent Version in identifying specific stressors that can predict self-harm in this high risk population. Finally, this study also sought to link specific and unique culture-related stressors that are associated with the high self reported suicidal ideation and self-harm among Hispanic males and females separately. The HSI-A measures stress factors that cut across a number of the ecological model systems. The analysis presented here aimed to determine if these independent factors were more or less related to the behavioral outcomes of interest. As these factors have previously been found to operate independently, we did not test for specific interactions between the stress factors, but rather, we entered the factors logically into gender specific regressions based on the ecological model. Results have implications for clinical assessment and culturally tailored clinical interventions.

Method

Data were collected on 1,651 Hispanic adolescents, ages 10 – 20 years of age. From this sample, n=1,254 participants completed all survey protocols and were included in this analysis. For the inferential analysis (regression models) we removed fifteen outliers that stated an age of 10, 11, or 20. Among the 1,254 youth in the sample, 1037 were in the non-clinical group and 217 were in the clinical group. The recruitment of the national sample of non-clinical adolescents has been described elsewhere.¹ Non-clinical youth were surveyed in a random classroom sampling design in 4 urban cities, each with a large and diverse ethnic, racial and immigrant composition (Los Angeles, Miami, El Paso, and Boston). By including non-clinical youth in the sample, we sought to include data from youth who may be experiencing psychological distress, but who otherwise may not seek or be referred for treatment. This is particularly important in studies involving Hispanic/Latinos given low access to health services and high stigma against seeking mental health services.

Youth receiving clinical behavioral health services were drawn from the Los Angeles, Miami and El Paso sites. Purposive sampling to obtain a diverse clinical group from both school based counseling centers, as well as community mental health and substance abuse treatment facilities. All youth in the clinical sample had a DSM-IV diagnosis to be eligible for the study. Adolescents in the clinic sample were being treated for a number of conditions ranging from Major Depression (10.3%), Generalized Anxiety Disorder (17%), Opioid Abuse (2.1%), Substance Use Disorders including Alcohol abuse (12.6%), Attention Deficit Hyperactivity (20.2%), Oppositional Defiant Disorder (7.1%), Anxiety Disorder NOS (2.7%), Depressive Disorder NOS (4.7%), Language or other learning Disorder (3.2%), missing diagnoses (3%).

Measures

The primary independent variable of interest in the current study was cultural stress, often referred to as “acculturation” stress. Cultural stress was measured using the Hispanic Stress Inventory-Adolescent version (HSI-A).¹ The HSI-A includes 71 items that measure exposure to life-event stressors related to minority status among Hispanics adolescents in the United States. If a participant reported experiencing the stressor, he/she was asked to appraise the severity of the stressor using a five-point Likert scale; 1= *not at all worried/tense*, 2= *a little worried/tense*, 3 = *moderately worried/tense*, 4 = *very worried/tense*, 5 = *extremely worried/tense*. In circumstances where the participant reported they had not experienced the stressor, the appraisal scores was coded to 1 = *not at all worried/tense*. The HSI-A was validated using factor analysis for both the Spanish and English version of the measure (see Cervantes et al.²⁴ for more information about psychometric properties of the HSI-A). Eight unique domains of cultural stress (e.g. subscales) were identified, including family economic stress (12 items), cultural/educational stress (14 items), acculturation-gap stress, (12 items), immigration stress (7 items), discrimination stress (6 items), family immigration stress (7 items), community/gang related stress (8 items), and family and drug-related stress (5 items). Higher stress appraisal scores reflected greater intensity of the life-stress experiences. The validity of the HSI-A scales was previously established with immigrant and U.S.-born Hispanic adolescents in the United States.¹ The total HSI-A scale demonstrated strong reliability, $\alpha = .94$ and the domains of stress ranged from $\alpha = .64$ to $\alpha = .85$.

The dependent variable was depression as measured by the Children’s Depression Inventory 2 (CDI2).²⁵ The CDI2 was selected as a measure of depression because of its previous use with Hispanic populations.^{26,27} The CDI2 consists of 27 items that assess negative mood, interpersonal problems, ineffectiveness, anhedonia, and negative self-esteem. For each item, participants are asked to select the statement that best describes their mood. In the current study, the total score for CDI2 was used. In addition to yielding a Total CDI2 Depression Score and sub scores related to negative mood, negative self-esteem, ineffectiveness and interpersonal problems the CDI2 also includes an assessment of self-harm and suicidal ideation. These 2 latter components of the CDI2 were examined separately in this study, as well as total CDI2 totals and sub scale scores. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Behavioral Assessment, Incorporated.

Analysis—The analyses were completed using SPSS 19.0. Frequency distributions chi-square, and difference of means T-tests were performed to compare gender differences and key variables related to the independent variables (HSI subscales) and dependent variables (CDI scores, suicidal ideation, self-harm). The analyses exploring the relationship between HSI sub-scales and self-harm and suicidal ideation were conducted in two stages. First a series of bivariate logistic regressions were run to determine significant associations ($p < 0.05$) between all study variables. Independent variables found to be significant were retained in the full linear regression model. Due to differences between males and females and theoretical considerations, all regression analyses were stratified by gender. Table 2 presents the findings from the linear regression model of HSI-A domains on self-harm and suicidal ideation. As shown, age was first added to the model as a control. Then, we applied an adapted ecological theoretical approach^{10,11,12} adding HSI-A domains in subsequent steps, beginning with internalized processes (Acculturation), moving toward external processes (family economic, drug, and immigration), followed by external community experiences (discrimination, immigration stress) and finally community structures (community gang and educational systems).

Results

Descriptive Findings

The mean age of the sample was 14.9 (SD=1.8), with a range of 10 to 20 years. The sample was nearly evenly split between males (n=574; 46%) and females (n=674; 54%). Thirty-three percent of the youth identified themselves as White, 20.6% as Other, 8.6% as Mixed, 3.4% as Native American/American Indian, and 2.7% as Black.

Table 1 presents differences between males and females on key study variables. Females were significantly more likely to report suicidal thoughts ($\chi^2 = 4.517$; $p < 0.05$). Females also reported higher scores on CDI subscales, including negative mood ($t(984) = -3.08$; $p < .01$) and anhedonia ($t(984) = -3.01$; $p < .01$), though no significant differences existed on the CDI total score. No significant differences emerged between boys and girls on measures of self-harm.

In addition to examining the presence of suicidal ideation and self-harm in the sample, an examination of HSIA stress appraisals was conducted. For the total HSIA Stress Appraisal Score, there were significant differences between boys and girls, with girls reporting greater stress ($t(1246) = -3.12$; $p < .01$). Gender differences also emerged in several of the eight HSIA stress appraisal sub-scale scores. Females reported higher rates of Acculturation Gap Stress ($t(1200) = -7.19$; $p < .001$), Family Immigration Stress ($t(1246) = -2.41$; $p < .05$), Family Drug Stress ($t(1238) = -3.64$; $p < .001$).

Regression Findings

Bivariate regressions were conducted (available upon request) and found significant relationships between all study variables. Tables 2 through 5 present the findings from the linear regression model of HSI domains impact on self-harm and suicidal thoughts as stratified by gender.

There was a significant relationship ($p < 0.001$) between Acculturation Gap stress and self-harm for both males and females. For males, this relationship disappears once Discrimination Stress is added to the model. In the final model, which includes all eight HSI domains, only Discrimination Stress was significantly associated ($p < 0.01$) with self-harm behavior in males. For females, Acculturation Gap Stress, Family Drug Stress, and Immigration Stress were all significantly associated ($p < 0.05$) with self-harm behaviors.

When exploring the relationship between HSIA domains and suicidal thoughts for females, Acculturation Gap Stress emerged as being significantly associated with suicidal thoughts ($p < 0.01$). However, once Family Drug Stress which was significant in the final model ($p < 0.01$) was accounted for, this relationship disappeared. For males, after all stress domains were included, only Discrimination Stress was associated ($p < 0.05$) with suicidal thoughts.

Discussion

The purpose of this study was twofold. First, this study sought to investigate the presence of suicidal ideation and self-harm behavior among Hispanic males and females. Results from this study showed that Hispanic females self-reported higher rates of suicidal ideation compared to Hispanic males. This is in line with literature that has consistently shown females to report higher rates of nonlethal suicidal ideation compared to males.^{3,4} Other researchers, such as Zayas,⁷ have highlighted alarming rates of self-harm among Hispanic adolescents, particularly Hispanic females. Although less common among males, the rates of self-harm were comparable to those of females. Thus, in the current study it was important to investigate self-harm rates for both Hispanic males and females. The increasing number of Hispanic adolescents in this country together with the alarmingly high rates of suicidal ideation, particularly among Latinas, makes the understanding and prevention of self-harm behavior among this high risk group an urgent national priority.

Second, this study sought to examine whether specific stressors measured by the Hispanic Stress Inventory-Adolescent. Previous studies have been limited by the lack of culturally valid psychometric measures. In the current study, using a newly developed, culturally validated stress measure, the HSIA, was demonstrated that total HSIA and subscale scores were associated with suicidal ideation and/or self-harm behavior. Of the eight subscales measured in the Hispanic Stress Inventory-A (HSI-A), four subscales were predictive of either suicidal ideation or self-harm. For males, Acculturation Gap stress was associated with suicidal thoughts and Discrimination Stress was associated with both suicidal thoughts and self-harm behavior. For females, Family Drug Stress was associated with suicidal thoughts. Acculturation Gap Stress, Family Drug Stress, and Immigration Stress were all significantly associated with self-harm behaviors.

Gender: Consistent with previous literature, the females in our sample reported higher rates of suicidal ideation than did the males. However our findings did not indicate higher self-harm behaviors among females compared to males. The investigation of subscales associated with *suicide ideation/thoughts* in females point to the important role of the family context. More specifically, only the Family Drug subscale was significantly related to suicide ideation/thoughts. This subscale reflects family dysfunction often related to family

member drug use and violence. Analyses focused on *self harm* in females showed a more complex picture with three stress subscales showing significant relationships to self harm. What is most interesting about seeing Immigration-Related Stress, Family Drug Stress and Acculturation Gap Stress all predicting self harm is their common focus on family and support system contexts. In addition to Family Drug Stress which is described above, Acculturation Gap Stress focuses on disruptions and stress primarily relation to parents, while the Immigration Stress scale focuses on immigration related disruptions in family and other support networks such as friends. Taken together our findings are consistent with reports in the literature suggesting that compared to males, females may be more directly and negatively impacted by stress and ruptures in the family and support networks. This set of findings suggests the possible usefulness of family oriented intervention models designed to addressing suicide ideation and self harm particularly in female adolescents/Latinas. Further, within Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Model, stressors associated with different "systems" appear to impact females quite differently from male. Specifically family factors (micro-system) appear to be more strongly related to our behavioral outcomes among females when compared with males.

Unlike the picture outlined above that highlights an emphasis on the central role of the family unit, the analyses focused on male adolescents pointed strongly in the direction of Discrimination related stress. This finding was consistent in predicting suicidal ideation among males. Although Acculturation-Gap Stress showed a strong association in early steps in analyses particularly for suicidal ideation but also to a lesser extent for self harm, it became insignificant in later steps in both analyses, leaving only Discrimination Stress as a strong correlate for self harm. In understanding the role of Discrimination Stress in adolescent males, it is interesting to note that Discrimination Stress has a strong emphasis on being the target of racism and disrespect, particularly in the student and peer context.

Acculturation Gap Stress

Results from this study confirmed that Acculturation Gap Stress was especially problematic for Hispanic. Acculturation Gap Stress (a micro system family factor) was predictive of Hispanic female self-harm. Prior research has emphasized the negative impact that acculturation gaps can have on Hispanic adolescent mental health.^{17,19} When Hispanic adolescents acculturate at a faster pace than their parents who often attempt to maintain Hispanic values and traditions the discrepancy can create tension in interpersonal relationships, particularly within the family. Complex acculturation factors such as parent-adolescent gaps can disrupt protective family factors such as effective communication; conflict resolution, parental monitoring, and attachment that help adolescents develop in a healthy way.²⁸

Immigration Stress

Results from this study also indicate that immigration stress was predictive of self-harm behavior among Hispanic females. Prior research indicates that immigration stress plays a significant role in suicidal ideation.²¹ Indeed, immigrant adolescents are at greater risk for a number of mental health and school-related concerns.^{29,30} Interestingly, the results suggest that immigration stress impacted only females on the target outcomes. This finding is

consistent with the work of Mena et al.,²² that showed females to be more clearly impacted by such processes as immigration related parent-child separations. More specifically these researchers found that immigration-related separations were associated with depression but only for females. More work is needed to understand the process by which there are gender differences in the impact of immigration stress. Both micro and meso systems appear to be implicated in this analysis.

Discrimination Stress

Results of the current study found that Discrimination Stress was predictive of Hispanic male suicidal ideation. Prior research has confirmed that racial or ethnic discrimination can create a source of daily stress for Hispanic adolescents.³¹ Again, it is unclear why this was predictive among Hispanic males but not Hispanic females. Perhaps Hispanic males place more value and emphasis on perceptions outside the family context (e.g. community perceptions). When community feedback is negative, Hispanic males may turn to suicidal ideation as a method of coping with these feelings.

Family and Drug-Related Stress

The current study found that Family and Drug-related Stress was significantly predictive of suicidal ideation for Hispanic females. There is an extensive literature indicating that family risk factors are often implicated in maladaptive adolescent functioning^{32, 33} including self-harm behavior.³⁴ As noted above, there is also literature to suggest that females may be particularly vulnerable to disruptions in family support, communication, attachment, and other protective factors. Among the most powerful family risk factors is exposure to drug use behaviors that can increase the risk of adolescent suicidal ideation. Future research should continue to examine gender differences with respect to predictors of suicidal ideation and self-harm behavior.

Clinical Implications

The findings of this study revealed significant culture and immigration related stress factors that were associated with suicide-related behaviors. With suicide standing as the third leading cause of death among adolescents and Hispanics being at greater risk for this type of behavior, it becomes particularly important that we identify the stressors that may be contributing to the problem and that must be included as a focus of treatment. The prevention and treatment of suicide ideation and self harm behaviors is a complex process that can be made even more complex by unique acculturation, discrimination and immigration-related factors that have been documented in the Hispanic population. The finding that these unique stressors are associated with suicide ideation and self harm strongly suggest that the culturally competent counselor must be prepared to explore, understand, and diminish the negative effects of these unique experiences. The findings also suggest that treatment must be attuned to possible gender specific risk processes and be prepared to address these processes. The study findings highlight the importance of competent screening and assessment of the unique stressors impinging on the lives of Hispanic adolescents and the development and testing of culturally informed treatments that directly target these types of stressors that may negatively impact the mental health of Hispanic adolescents.

Strengths & Limitations

Use of the Hispanic Stress Inventory-Adolescent with a national data set comprised of a heterogeneous group of Hispanic adolescent respondents was strength of this study. A limitation of this study was that it used a cross sectional design and as such, unable to know the direction of causation. While it is likely that acculturation related stressors are impacting adolescents symptoms, there may also be an effect in the other direction, with adolescents who are experiencing distress may be more likely to report acculturation related stress. It will be important to follow these findings with longitudinal designs that can better investigate the direction of effects.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health, Grant No. 2R44MH073180-02 to Dr. Richard C. Cervantes.

References

1. Cervantes RC, Fisher DG, Córdova D, et al. The Hispanic Stress Inventory—Adolescent Version: A Culturally Informed Psychosocial Assessment. *Psychol Assess*. 2011 Advance Online Publication. 10.1037/a0025280
2. Miniño, AM.; Murphy, SL. NCHS Data Brief, No 99. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; 2012. Death in the United States, 2010.
3. Nock M, Green J, Hwang I, et al. Prevalence, correlates, and treatment of lifetime suicidal behavior among adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. *JAMA Psychiatry* (Chicago, Ill). 2013; 70(3):300–310.10.1001/2013.jamapsychiatry.55
4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance—United States, 2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2012; 61:11–12. [PubMed: 22695458]
5. U.S. Census Bureau. [Accessed July 27, 2013] Projections of the Population by Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin for the United States: 2015 to 2060. Available at: <http://www.census.gov/population/projections/data/national/2012/summarytables.html>
6. Fortuna L, Perez D, Canino G, et al. Prevalence and correlates of lifetime suicidal ideation and suicide attempts among Latino subgroups in the United States. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2007; 68(4):572–581. [PubMed: 17474813]
7. Zayas LH, Lester RJ, Cabassa LJ, et al. Why Do So Many Latina Teens Attempt Suicide? A Conceptual Model for Research. *Am J Orthopsychiatry*. 2005; 75(2):275–287.10.1037/0002-9432.75.2.275 [PubMed: 15839764]
8. Bronfenbrenner U. Toward an experimental ecology of human development. *American Psychologist*. 1977; 32:513–531.
9. Bronfenbrenner, U. The ecology of human development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1979.
10. Ayyash-Abdo, H. *Psychology*. Vol. 39. PsycINFO; Ipswich, MA: Jul. 2002 Adolescent suicide: An ecological approach; p. 459-475. The Schools [serial online] [Accessed February 26, 2014]
11. Perkins, D.; Hartless, G. *Journal Of Adolescent Research* [serial online]. Vol. 17. PsycINFO; Ipswich, MA: Jan. 2002 An ecological risk-factor examination of suicide ideation and behavior of adolescents; p. 3-26. [Accessed February 26, 2014]
12. De Luca, S.; Wyman, P.; Warren, K. *Suicide And Life-Threatening Behavior* [serial online]. Vol. 42. PsycINFO; Ipswich, MA: Dec. 2012 Latina adolescent suicide ideations and attempts: Associations with connectedness to parents, peers, and teachers; p. 672-683. [Accessed February 26, 2014]
13. Zayas, LH. *Latinas attempting suicide: When cultures, families, and daughters collide*. New York, NY US: Oxford University Press; 2011.

14. Hovey JD, King CA. Acculturative stress, depression, and suicidal ideation among immigrant and second-generation Latino adolescents. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1996; 35(9):1183–1192. [PubMed: 8824062]
15. Cervantes RC, Córdova D. Life experiences of Hispanic adolescents: Developmental and clinical considerations in acculturation stress. *J Community Psychol*. 2011; 39:336–352.
16. Santisteban DA, Mena MP. Culturally Informed and Flexible Family Therapy for Adolescents: A Tailored and Integrative Treatment for Hispanic Youth. *Fam Proces*. 2009; 48:253–268.
17. Martinez CR Jr. Effects of Differential Family Acculturation on Latino Adolescent Substance Use. *Fam Relat*. 2006; 55(3):306–17.
18. Zayas LH, Pilat AM. Suicidal Behavior in Latinas: Explanatory Cultural Factors and Implications for Intervention. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*. 2008; 38(3):334–342. [PubMed: 18611132]
19. Cervantes, RC.; Cardoso, JB. Differences in Cultural stress and Depression in Clinical and Non-Clinical Samples of Hispanic Adolescents. Poster presented at the National Hispanic Science Network on Drug Abuse Thirteenth Annual International Conference; October 2013; Bethesda, MD.
20. Mitrani VB, Santisteban D, Muir JA. Addressing immigration-related separations in Hispanic families with a behavior-problem adolescent. *Am J Orthopsychiatry*. 2004; 74(3):219–229. [PubMed: 15291699]
21. Goldston DB, Molock S, Whitbeck LB, et al. Cultural considerations in adolescent suicide prevention and psychosocial treatment. *Am Psychol*. 2004; 63(1):14–31.10.1037/0003-066X.63.1.14 [PubMed: 18193978]
22. Mena MP, Santisteban DA, Mason CA, et al. Extended parent-child separations: impact on adolescent functioning and possible gender differences. *J Spec Pediatr Nurs*. 2008; 13(1):50–52. [PubMed: 18096013]
23. Cervantes RC, Padilla AM, Salgado de Snyder NS. The Hispanic Stress Inventory: A culturally relevant approach to psychosocial assessment. *Psychol Assess: J Consult Clin Psychol*. 1991; 3(3):438–447.
24. Cervantes RC, Goldbach J, Padilla A. Using Qualitative Methods for Revising the Hispanic Stress Inventory. *Hisp J Behav Sci*. 2012; 34(2):208–231.
25. Kovacs, M. Multi-Health Systems Staff. Children's Depression Inventory (CDI): Technical Manual Update. North Tonawanda, NY: Multi-Health Systems; 2003.
26. Cowell JM, Gross D, McNaughton D, et al. Depression and suicidal ideation among Mexican-American school-aged children. *Res Theory Nurs Pract*. 2005; 19:77–94. [PubMed: 15989168]
27. Worchel FF, Hughes JN, Hall BM, et al. Evaluation of subclinical depression in children using self-, peer-, and teacher-reported measures. *J Abnorm Child Psychol*. 1990; 18(3):271–282.10.1007/BF00916565 [PubMed: 2376654]
28. Santisteban, DA.; Muir-Malcolm, JA.; Mitrani, VB., et al. Integrating the study of ethnic culture and family psychology intervention science. In: Liddle, H.; Levant, R.; Santisteban, DA.; Bray, J., editors. *Fam Psycho Interv Sci*. Washington, D.C: American Psychological Association Press; 2002. p. 331-351.
29. Cervantes RC, Padilla AM, Goldbach JT, et al. Acculturation Related-Stress and Mental Health Outcomes among Three Generations of Hispanic Adolescents. *Hisp J Behav Sci*. In press.
30. Reynolds, GL.; Cervantes, RC.; Fisher, DG.; Fagen, D. Measuring gender differences in stress in Latina/o adolescents. Abstract presented at the annual meeting of the American Public Health Association; 2012; San Francisco, CA.
31. Romero AJ, Roberts RE. Stress within a bicultural context for adolescents of Mexican descent. *Cult Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol*. 2003; 9:171–184.10.1037/1099-9809.9.2.171
32. Gayles J, Coatsworth J, Pantin H, et al. Parenting and neighborhood predictors of youth problem behaviors within Hispanic families: The moderating role of family structure. *Hisp J Behav Sci*. 2009; 31(3):277–296.10.1177/0739986309338891
33. Kiesner J, Dishion T, Poulin F, et al. Temporal dynamics linking aspects of parent monitoring with early adolescent antisocial behavior. *Soc Dev*. 2009; 18(4):765–784.10.1111/j.1467-9507.2008.00525.x [PubMed: 19966920]

34. Berman, AL.; Jobes, DA. Adolescent suicide: Assessment and intervention. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 1991.

Implications and Contribution

This research addresses a significant public health disparity involving high rates of suicidal ideation and attempts among Latino/a adolescents. Findings specified psychosocial stress factors that constitute the basis for increased risk for suicidal ideation and self harm among Latino/a adolescents. Results inform clinical assessment, treatment and directions for future research.

Table 1

Difference between Males and Females on Study Variables

Variable (total N)	Male			Female			T-test	Chi ²	p-value
	N	%	Mean	Std. Dev.	N	%			
Harm Self	28	5.63			53	8.59		3.568	0.059
Suicidal Thoughts	44	9.98			82	14.44		4.517	0.034
HSLA Domains (n=1248)									
Family Economic Stress			1.25	0.569			1.69		0.091
Culture and Educational Stress			1.11	0.338			0.98		0.326
Acculturation Gap Stress			1.25	0.412			7.19		<.001
Immigration Related Stress			1.18	0.500			0.22		0.823
Discrimination Stress			1.15	0.461			0.92		0.360
Family Immigration Stress			1.18	0.465			2.41		0.016
Comm/Gang Stress			1.23	0.459			0.17		0.867
Family, Drug Stress			1.21	0.424			3.64		<.001
HSLA Total			1.20	0.326			3.12		0.002

Table 2
Linear Regression Model of HSI Domains impact on Self Harm stratified by Gender – Male

	Male																	
	Step 1		Step 2		Step 3		Step 4		Step 5		Step 6		Step 7		Step 8		Step 9	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
Age	0.207	0.114	0.190	0.119	0.180	0.120	0.154	0.123	0.153	0.123	0.167	0.125	0.158	0.124	0.158	0.124	0.158	0.124
1			1.338	0.348***	1.476	0.415***	1.299	0.443***	1.307	0.448**	0.755	0.523	0.853	0.540	0.853	0.557	0.892	0.567
2					-0.196	0.332	-0.283	0.345	-0.256	0.412	-0.682	0.496	-0.727	0.489	-0.727	0.496	-0.695	0.502
3							0.497	0.404	0.491	0.407	0.471	0.425	0.485	0.430	0.485	0.433	0.480	0.432
4									0.057	0.486	-0.119	0.532	-0.023	0.525	-0.023	0.535	0.041	0.553
5											1.110	0.415**	1.184	0.429**	1.184	0.430**	1.212	0.435**
6													-0.315	0.367	-0.315	0.372	-0.285	0.379
7															0.000	0.508	0.006	0.506
8																	-0.198	0.604
Nagelkerke R ²	0.020		0.094		0.096		0.105		0.105		0.144		0.149		0.149		0.150	
R ²			0.074		0.002		0.009		0.000		0.039		0.005		0.000		0.001	

* p < .05,

** p < .01,

*** p < .001;

1= Acculturation Gap; 2= Family Economic; 3= Family Drug; 4= Family Immigration; 5= Discrimination; 6= Immigration; 7= Community/Gang; 8= Culture/Education

Table 3

Linear Regression Model of HSI Domains impact on Self Harm stratified by Gender – Females

	Female															
	Step 1		Step 2		Step 3		Step 4		Step 5		Step 6		Step 7		Step 8	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
Age	−0.091	0.086	−0.148	0.091	−0.144	0.091	−0.148	0.094	−0.147	0.094	−0.147	0.094	−0.147	0.095	−0.145	0.095
1			0.821	0.188***	0.891	0.221***	0.660	0.242**	0.652	0.250**	0.564	0.263*	0.559	0.264*	0.548	0.269*
2					−0.151	0.261	−0.182	0.272	−0.197	0.295	−0.249	0.297	−0.270	0.291	−0.274	0.292
3							0.622	0.230**	0.619	0.230**	0.620	0.231**	0.678	0.232**	0.663	0.242**
4									0.038	0.286	0.069	0.286	−0.122	0.316	−0.123	0.316
5											0.337	0.302	0.284	0.310	0.269	0.318
6													0.565	0.241*	0.567	0.241*
7															0.070	0.303
8															−0.246	0.622
Nagelkerke R ²	0.004		0.069		0.071		0.096		0.096		0.100		0.118		0.118	
R ²			0.065		0.002		0.025		0.000		0.004		0.018		0.000	

* p < .05,
** p < .01,
*** p < .001;

1= Acculturation Gap; 2= Family Economic; 3= Family Drug; 4= Family Immigration; 5= Discrimination; 6= Immigration; 7= Community/Gang; 8= Culture/Education

Table 4
Linear Regression Model of HSI Domains impact on Suicidal Thoughts stratified by Gender – Males

	Male																	
	Step 1		Step 2		Step 3		Step 4		Step 5		Step 6		Step 7		Step 8		Step 9	
	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE	B	SE
Age	0.006	0.098	-0.020	0.102	-0.033	0.103	-0.061	0.106	-0.061	0.106	-0.054	0.106	-0.060	0.106	-0.062	0.107	-0.058	0.107
1			1.205	0.311***	1.422	0.380***	1.226	0.408**	1.222	0.410**	0.862	0.460	0.983	0.479*	0.863	0.499	0.981	0.502
2					-0.292	0.307	-0.356	0.317	-0.372	0.381	-0.719	0.461	-0.744	0.450	-0.838	0.453	-0.711	0.457
3							0.529	0.373	0.531	0.374	0.500	0.386	0.511	0.389	0.478	0.386	0.440	0.388
4									0.032	0.418	0.022	0.450	0.108	0.441	0.019	0.469	0.213	0.442
5											0.822	0.394*	0.872	0.395*	0.876	0.396*	1.000	0.401*
6													-0.331	0.329	-0.276	0.337	-0.164	0.340
7															0.412	0.406	0.456	0.408
8																	-0.771	0.655
Nagelkerke R ²	0.000		0.069		0.074		0.083		0.083		0.105		0.110		0.115		0.123	
R ²			0.069		0.005		0.009		0.000		0.022		0.005		0.005		0.008	

* p < .05,

** p < .01,

*** p < .001;

1= Acculturation Gap; 2= Family Economic; 3= Family Drug; 4= Family Immigration; 5= Discrimination; 6= Immigration; 7= Community/Gang; 8= Culture/Education