Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2014 September 8; 53(37): 9856–9859. doi:10.1002/anie.201402407.

Ligand Modification Transforms a Catalase Mimic into a Water Oxidation Catalyst**

Dr. Wei-Tsung Lee,

Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, 800 East Kirkwood Ave. Bloomington, IN 47405, USA, Fax: (+)

Dr. Salvador B. Muñoz III,

Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, 800 East Kirkwood Ave. Bloomington, IN 47405, USA, Fax: (+)

Dr. Diane A. Dickie, and

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, The University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131

Prof. Jeremy M. Smith

Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, 800 East Kirkwood Ave. Bloomington, IN 47405, USA, Fax: (+)

Jeremy M. Smith: smith962@indiana.edu

Abstract

The catalytic reactivity of the high-spin Mn(II) pyridinophane complexes $[(\text{Py}_2\text{NR}_2)\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$ (R = H, Me, *t*Bu) towards O₂ formation is reported. With small macrocycle N-substituents (R = H, Me), the complexes catalytically disproportionate H₂O₂ in aqueous solution. While this catalysis is shut down with a bulky substituent (R = *t*Bu), the complex becomes active for electrocatalytic H₂O oxidation in aqueous solution. Control experiments are in support of a homogeneous molecular catalyst and preliminary mechanistic studies suggest the catalyst is mononuclear. This ligand-controlled switch in catalytic reactivity has implications for the design of new manganese-based water oxidation catalysts.

Keywords

water splitting; catalysis; manganese; macrocycles; molecular electrochemistry

**Funding from Indiana University, the American Chemical Society – Petroleum Research Foundation (50971-ND3) and the U.S. Department of Energy – Office of Basic Energy Sciences (DE-FG02-08ER15996) is gratefully acknowledged. JMS is a Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar. SBM acknowledges support from NIH-RISE (R25 GM06122211). We thank Song Xu, Dennis Chen and Sara Skrabalak for experimental assistance.

© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Correspondence to: Jeremy M. Smith, smith962@indiana.edu.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201xxxxx>. ((Please delete if not appropriate))

Manganese plays an important role in biological dioxygen chemistry, particularly in the active sites of oxygen-evolving enzymes, including manganese catalase (MnCAT) and the oxygen evolving center (OEC) of Photosystem II. In the case of MnCAT, O₂ is formed from the catalytic disproportionation of hydrogen peroxide. The active site of MnCAT has two Mn ions bridged by carboxylate and single atom ligands, likely water and/or hydroxide.^{[1],[2]} As implied by its name, the OEC, which contains a Mn₄Ca cluster held together by bridging carboxylates and oxo ligands, is the site at which Photosystem II oxidizes water to O₂.^[3] Although there is little sequence homology between MnCAT and the OEC, it is striking that the best structural matches to the OEC almost all come from binuclear manganese enzymes, including MnCAT. This observation has fuelled the hypothesis that the inorganic core of the OEC may have derived from manganese catalase.^[4] It is thus tempting to speculate on the relationship between the catalytic reactivities of MnCAT and the OEC.

The water oxidation reactivity of the OEC has attracted substantial attention in the development of catalysts for solar-driven water oxidation, particularly those that are based on inexpensive and earth-abundant first-row transition metals.^[5] The mechanism by which the OEC produces O₂ is still far from resolved, with reaction pathways involving both single and multiple Mn ions of the cluster proposed,^[6] making the rational design of bioinspired manganese complexes for water oxidation difficult. Thus, in contrast to the plethora of manganese complexes that are functional models for MnCAT,^[7] water oxidation by synthetic solution-phase Mn catalysts is still relatively undeveloped.^{[8],[9],[10]} A limited number of “single turnover” manganese complexes have been shown to stoichiometrically evolve O₂ from water or hydroxide.^[11] Catalytic O₂ production in the presence of water has been demonstrated for some mono- and multinuclear Mn complexes,^{[12],[13],[14],[15]} however these studies generally use chemical oxidants having indeterminate chemical innocence.^[16] Electrochemically-driven O₂ formation in the presence of multinuclear Mn complexes has been reported, typically in non-aqueous solvents,^{[14],[17],[18]} however little is known about the stability of these complexes under catalytic conditions, where decomposition to catalytically active heterogeneous materials is a possibility.

We have previously shown that the pyridinophane complex [Mn(Py₂NMe₂)(H₂O)₂]²⁺ **2** (Figure 1) is a robust catalyst for hydrogen peroxide disproportionation. The pyridinophane macrocycle provides the same donor functionality as some open-chain aminopyridine ligands that facilitate the electrochemical oxidation of Mn^{II}-OH₂ complexes to [Mn^{IV}(μ-O)₂Mn^{IV}] dimers under basic conditions.^[19] Mechanistic investigations show that oxidation is coupled to proton transfer from the aqua ligands,^[20] which is reminiscent of water oxidation catalysts that form M=O intermediates by coupled electron/proton transfer events.^[21] Inspired by these results, as well as the potential relationship between catalase and water oxidation reactivity, we hypothesized that pyridinophane ligands may provide the appropriate combination of properties required for manganese water oxidation catalysts.^[22] Thus, in addition to having a donor character that is similar to the aminopyridine ligands mentioned above, these ligands also have tuneable steric properties that are expected to obviate dimer formation and possibly lead to O₂ formation.

In this contribution, we investigate the effect of pyridinophane ligand modifications on the catalytic properties of [Mn(Py₂NR₂)(H₂O)₂]²⁺ complexes, showing that changes to the

amine donor switches catalysis from hydrogen peroxide disproportionation to water oxidation. Importantly, both types of catalysis occur in aqueous solution. This observation has implications for the design of new manganese water oxidation catalysts.

Reaction of Py_2NR_2 ($\text{R} = \text{H}, t\text{Bu}$) with MnBr_2 yields the high-spin $\text{Mn}(\text{II})$ complexes $(\text{Py}_2\text{NR}_2)\text{MnBr}_2$,^[23] similarly to other Mn pyridinophanes.^{[24],[25]} In neutral aqueous solution the manganese ions are solvated as $[(\text{Py}_2\text{NR}_2)\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$ **1** and **3** (Figure 1), as determined by conductivity and mass spectrometry measurements.

As mentioned above, we had previously shown that **2** is a robust catalyst for hydrogen peroxide disproportionation. Similarly to this earlier work, we find that hydrogen peroxide is catalytically disproportionated by **1** (Figure 2), which contains a less bulky pyridinophane ligand. Catalysis is observed over a wide pH range (pH 3.9 – 9) in aqueous solution. The rate of hydrogen peroxide disproportionation for **1** (initial rate = 86(9) $\mu\text{mol/s}$ at pH 3.9) is faster than for the previously reported complex **2** (initial rate = 27(5) $\mu\text{mol/s}$). However, in comparison with **2**, the longevity of complex **1** is attenuated. Thus, lower turnover numbers are observed for **1** (TON 830 at pH 3.9) than for **2** (TON up to 58000).^[25] In contrast to **1** and **2**, complex **3**, which has the bulkiest pyridinophane ligand, shows no evidence for hydrogen peroxide disproportionation. Thus, pyridinophane ligand modification switches off disproportionation catalysis, perhaps due to the increased bulk of the amine substituents.

The three complexes also show different electrochemical behavior in aqueous solution. The cyclic voltammograms of **3** in basic aqueous solutions reveal large irreversible waves that are pH-dependent (Figure 3). For example, with a glassy carbon (GC) disk electrode (0.07 cm^2), the cyclic voltammogram between 0 to 1.8 V (vs SHE) at pH 12.2 reveals a wave at *ca.* 1.0 V, followed by a large irreversible wave with an onset potential of approximately 1.3 V. Similar results are obtained under the same conditions with a fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) working electrode.^[26] Importantly, solutions of Mn^{2+} do not display similar behavior under the same conditions. In contrast to **3**, there is no evidence for electrocatalysis in the cyclic voltammograms of complexes **1** and **2** in basic solution.^[26]

Additional experiments have been undertaken to characterize the electrocatalytic behavior of **3**. The formation of bubbles is observed over several scans on the FTO electrode, concomitant with the appearance of a broad wave at around -0.3 V vs SHE. This wave disappears when the cell is purged with N_2 , leading us to assign this wave to the O_2/O_2^- couple.^[27] Complex **3** is therefore a catalyst for the electrochemical oxidation of water to O_2 . The onset potential for electrocatalysis at pH 12.2 (*ca.* 1.3 V) corresponds to an overpotential for water oxidation of about 0.8 V, which is in the range reported for many homogeneous water oxidation catalysts.^[28]

The catalytic performance of **3** has been evaluated in an N_2 -purged, gas-tight cell. Controlled potential electrolysis at +1.23 V vs SHE (foot of the wave^[29]) shows the charge passed is greater in the presence of **3** than for the pure electrolyte solution or in the presence of Mn^{2+} (Figure 4). The formation of O_2 during electrolysis has been characterized by a number of methods. A Clark-type electrode shows a steady increase in dissolved O_2 during bulk electrolysis. Gas phase O_2 has been characterized by thermal conductivity gas

chromatography following the bulk electrolysis experiment. As expected for water oxidation, the pH of the unbuffered solution decreases over the course of the electrolysis experiment. A number of electrolysis experiments gave turnover numbers (TON) of 16 – 24 with Faradaic efficiencies 74 – 81%.

Other working electrode materials did not give better results. With reticulated vitreous carbon, substantial degradation of the electrode was observed, while the formation of brown deposits on the electrode surface occurred when an indium-doped tin oxide (ITO) electrode was used.

A number of experiments have been undertaken to exclude the possibility of heterogeneous or nanoparticle catalysts, which has been shown to occur with other nominally homogeneous water oxidation electrocatalysts.^[30] No new bands are observed in the optical spectrum of the electrolysis solution, suggesting the formation of nanoparticles is unlikely. This observation is corroborated by dynamic light-scattering measurements of these solutions that provide no evidence for nanoparticles.^[31] There is also no evidence for the formation of a heterogeneous electrocatalytic deposit on the electrode surface. The catalytic current does not increase over successive CV scans, which would be expected for the formation of a catalytic deposit. In addition, there are no significant changes in the optical spectrum of the FTO electrode following electrolysis. Finally, there is no evidence by EDX for the formation of Mn-containing deposits on the electrode. Thus, all available evidence points to a homogeneous molecular catalyst for water oxidation.

Initial mechanistic experiments reveal that the catalytic current varies linearly with the concentration of **3**, consistent with mononuclear catalyst for water oxidation (Figure 5). Based on the precedent of other single-site electrocatalysts^[32] and the first-order dependence of the rate on catalyst concentration, we suggest that water oxidation involves the formation of a high-valent manganese oxo intermediate that is attacked by H₂O/OH⁻ to form the O-O bond.

Both steric and electronic factors appear to play a role in facilitating water oxidation by **3**. For example, while **1** can be oxidized to form a dimer containing a [Mn(μ -O)₂Mn] core,^[26] the analogous transformation is not observed for **3**. This is likely consequence of the bulky Py₂NtBu₂ macrocycle substituents that prevent dimer formation. Additionally, cyclic voltammetry data reveals that the first oxidation wave is observed at lower potential for **3** ($E_a \approx 1.0$ V) than the corresponding waves for **1** and **2** ($E_a \approx 1.2$ V). Thus, access to higher oxidation states is more facile for **3**, likely due to the greater donor strength of the Py₂NtBu₂ macrocycle.

The foregoing results show how a simple ligand modification converts aqueous phase hydrogen peroxide disproportionation catalysts into a water oxidation catalyst. Ongoing mechanistic work is aimed at elucidating the water oxidation pathway as well as the origin of the pyridinophane substituent effect on catalytic reactivity. It is nonetheless intriguing at this stage to consider that existing mononuclear manganese complexes for hydrogen peroxide disproportionation may also be endowed with water oxidation capabilities by simple ligand modification.

Experimental Section

General experimental details and characterization data are included in the Supporting Information. CCDC 983498-983499 and 999618 contain the supplementary crystallographic data in this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Structural Data Centre (www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

1. Wu AJ, Penner-Hahn JE, Pecoraro VL. *Chem Rev.* 2004; 104:903–938. [PubMed: 14871145]
2. a) Antonyuk SV, Melik-Adamyan VR, Popov AN, Lamzin VS, Hempstead PD, Harrison PM, Artymyuk PJ, Barynin VV. *Crystallogr Rep.* 2000; 45:105–116. b) Barynin VV, Whittaker MM, Antonyuk SV, Lamzin VS, Harrison PM, Artymyuk PJ, Whittaker JW. *Structure.* 2001; 9:725–738. [PubMed: 11587647]
3. a) McEvoy JP, Brudvig GW. *Chem Rev.* 2006; 106:4455–4483. [PubMed: 17091926] b) Dau H, Zaharieva I, Haumann M. *Curr Opin Chem Biol.* 2012; 16:3–10. [PubMed: 22387134]
4. a) Williamson A, Conlan B, Hillier W, Wydrzynski T. *Photosynth Res.* 2011; 107:71–86. [PubMed: 20512415] b) Raymond J, Blankenship RE. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2008; 252:377–383.
5. Du P, Eisenberg R. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2012; 5:6012–6021.
6. a) Siegbahn PEM. *Acc Chem Res.* 2009; 42:1871–1880. [PubMed: 19856959] b) Yamanaka S, Isobe H, Kanda K, Saito T, Umena Y, Kawakami K, Shen JR, Kamiya N, Okumura M, Nakamura H, Yamaguchi K. *Chem Phys Lett.* 2011; 511:138–145.
7. Signorella S, Hureau C. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2012; 256:1229–1245.
8. Liu X, Wang F. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2012; 256:1115–1136.
9. or a critical evaluation of water oxidation catalysis by homogenous Mn complexes, see Artero V, Fontecave M. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2013; 2:2338–2356. [PubMed: 23165230]
10. See Electronic Supporting Information (Tables S1–S3) for a summary of previous reports of water oxidation by homogeneous manganese complexes as well as comparison with other electrocatalysts based on 3d transition metals (Table S4).
11. a) Shimazaki Y, Nagano T, Takesue H, Ye BH, Tani F, Naruta Y. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2004; 43:98–100. b) Gao Y, Åkermark T, Liu J, Sun L, Åkermark B. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:8726–8727. [PubMed: 19496534]
12. Recent reviews: Cady CW, Crabtree RH, Brudvig GW. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2008; 252:444–455. [PubMed: 21037800] Wiechen M, Berends HM, Kurz P. *Dalton Trans.* 2012; 41:21–31. [PubMed: 22068958]
13. Photochemical water oxidation by a multinuclear Mn complex: Karlsson EA, Lee BL, Åkermark T, Johnston EV, Kärkäs MD, Sun J, Hansson Ö, Bäckvall JE, Åkermark B. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2011; 50:11715–11718.
14. Electrocatalytic water oxidation in the presence of a multinuclear Mn complex in aqueous solution: Gao Y, Crabtree RH, Brudvig GW. *Inorg Chem.* 2012; 51:4043–4050. [PubMed: 22435651]
15. a) Seidler-Egdal RK, Nielsen A, Bond AD, Bjerrum MJ, McKenzie CJ. *Dalton Trans.* 2011; 40:3849–3858. [PubMed: 21394376] b) Young KJ, Takase MK, Brudvig GW. *Inorg Chem.* 2013; 52:7615–7622. [PubMed: 23777320]
16. a) Chen H, Tagore R, Olack G, Vrettos JS, Weng TC, Penner-Hahn J, Crabtree RH, Brudvig GW. *Inorg Chem.* 2007; 46:34–43. [PubMed: 17198410] b) Yoshida M, Masaoka S, Abe J, Sakai K. *Chem Asian J.* 2010; 5:2369–2378. [PubMed: 20857479] c) Wasylenko DJ, Ganesamoorthy C, Henderson MA, Koivisto BD, Osthoff HC, Berlinguette CP. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:16094–16106. [PubMed: 20977265] d) Wasylenko DJ, Ganesamoorthy C, Henderson MA, Berlinguette

- CP. *Inorg Chem.* 2011; 50:3662–2672. [PubMed: 21413748] e) Parent AR, Crabtree RH, Brudvig GW. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2013; 42:2247–2252. [PubMed: 22975690] f) Hetterscheid DGH, Reek JN. *Eur J Inorg Chem.* 2014:742–749.
17. Naruta Y, Sasayama M, Sasaki T. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 1994; 33:1839–1841.
18. Substoichiometric amounts of O₂ (maximum TON = 0.05) were observed in the constant potential electrolysis of mononuclear Mn complexes in non-aqueous solvent, see Gao T, Liu JH, Wang M, Na Y, Åkermark B, Sun L. *Tetrahedron.* 2007; 63:1987–1994.
19. See for example, Hureau C, Blondin G, Charlot MF, Philouze C, Nierlich M, Césario M, Anxolabéhère-Mallart E. *Inorg Chem.* 2005; 44:3669–3683. [PubMed: 15877451] Groni S, Hureau C, Guillot R, Blondin G, Blain G, Anxolabéhère-Mallart E. *Inorg Chem.* 2008; 47:11783–11797. [PubMed: 19007154]
20. Lassalle-Kaiser B, Hureau C, Pantazis DA, Pushkar Y, Guillot R, Yachandra VK, Yano J, Neese F, Anxolabéhère-Mallart E. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2010; 3:924–938. [PubMed: 24772190]
21. Gagliardi CJ, Vannucci AK, Concepcion JJ, Chen Z, Meyer TJ. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2012; 5:7704–7717.
22. a) Che CM, Li ZY, Wong KY, Poon CK, Mak TCW, Peng SM. *Polyhedron.* 1994; 13:771–776. b) Meneghetti SP, Lutz PJ, Fischer J, Kress J. *Polyhedron.* 2001; 20:2705–2710. c) Graf M, Wolmershäuser G, Kelm H, Demeschko S, Meyer F, Krüger HJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2010; 49:950–953. d) Khusnutdinova JR, Luo J, Rath NP, Mirica LM. *Inorg Chem.* 2013; 52:3920–3932. [PubMed: 23517006]
23. The complexes have also been crystallographically characterized as the acetonitrile solvate.
24. Albela B, Carina C, Policar S, Poussereau S, Cano J, Guilhem J, Tchertanov L, Blondin G, Delroisse M, Girerd JJ. *Inorg Chem.* 2005; 44:6959–6966. [PubMed: 16180857]
25. Lee W-T, Xu SS, Dickie DA, Smith JM. *Eur J Inorg Chem.* 2013:3867–3873.
26. See Electronic Supporting Information for more details.
27. Ichinose K, Kimikado Y, Yoshida T. *Electrochemistry (Tokyo, Jpn).* 2011; 79:146–155.
28. See for example, Concepcion JJ, Jurss JH, Brennaman MK, Hoertz PG, Patrocinio AOT, Iha NYM, Templeton JL, Meyer TJ. *Acc Chem Res.* 2009; 42:1954–1965. [PubMed: 19817345] Barnett SM, Goldberg KI, Mayer JM. *Nat Chem.* 2012; 4:498–502. [PubMed: 22614386] Zhang M-T, Chen Z, Kang P, Meyer TJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2013; 135:2048–2051. [PubMed: 23350950]
29. Costentin C, Drouet S, Robert M, Savéant JM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:11235–11242. [PubMed: 22670885]
30. a) Schley ND, Blakemore JD, Subbaiyan NK, Incarvito CD, D'Souza F, Crabtree RH, Brudvig GW. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133:10473–10481. [PubMed: 21671679] b) Stracke JJ, Finke RG. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133:14872–14875. [PubMed: 21894961] c) Limburg B, Bouwman E, Bonnet S. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2012; 256:1451–1467.
31. The detection limit for the DLS experiment is 40 nm, while the sensitivity is 0.1 ppm.
32. Concepcion JJ, Jurss JW, Templeton JL, Meyer TJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:16462–16463. [PubMed: 19554681] b) Wasylenko DJ, Ganesamoorthy C, Borau-Garcia J, Berlinguette CP. *Chem Commun.* 2011; 47:4249–4251. c) Wasylenko DJ, Palmer RD, Berlinguette CP. *Chem Commun.* 2013; 49:218–227.

R = H (1), Me (2), *t*Bu (3)

Figure 1.
Structure of $[\text{Py}_2\text{NR}_2\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$ complexes..

Figure 2.

Dioxygen formation from catalytic hydrogen peroxide disproportionation catalyzed by complexes **1** and **2**. Initial conditions: $[\text{Mn}] = 0.4 \text{ mM}$, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 3.7 \text{ M}$, pH 3.9, 298 K. Inset: Formation of O_2 over the first 50 seconds of catalysis.

Figure 3.

Cyclic voltammogram of solutions with and without **3** (0.5 mM) at pH 12.2 in aqueous solution. Experimental conditions: 50 mM KOTf, 100 mV/s scan rate, GC electrode. Inset: Cyclic voltammograms of **3** as a function of pH.

Figure 4.

Charge passed during controlled potential electrolysis without manganese (light gray dash), with 25 μM MnBr_2 (gray dots) and with 25 μM **3** (black solid). Initial pH 12.2, 2.5 mM KOTf, FTO electrode. Electrolysis potential = 1.23 V vs SHE (foot of the wave).²⁹.

Figure 5. Plot of the catalytic current as function of catalyst concentration for complex **3**. The background current has been subtracted.