Published in final edited form as:

Cochrane Database Syst Rev. ; 2: CD008783. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008783.pub2.

Diclofenac with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults

Sheena Derry¹, Roy Rabbie², and R Andrew Moore¹

¹Pain Research and Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences (Nuffield Division of Anaesthetics), University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

²Department of Pharmacology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Abstract

Background—Migraine is a common, disabling condition and a burden for the individual, health services and society. Many sufferers choose not to, or are unable to, seek professional help and rely on over-the-counter (OTC) analgesics. Diclofenac is an established analgesic, and new formulations using the potassium or epolamine salts, which can be dissolved in water, have been developed for rapid absorption, which may be beneficial in acute migraine. Co-therapy with an antiemetic should help to reduce the nausea and vomiting commonly associated with migraine.

Objectives—To determine the efficacy and tolerability of diclofenac, alone or in combination with an antiemetic, compared to placebo and other active interventions in the treatment of acute migraine headaches in adults.

Search methods—We searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE, EMBASE, the Oxford Pain Relief Database, ClinicalTrials.gov, and reference lists for studies through 27 September 2011.

Selection criteria—We included randomised, double-blind, placebo- and/or active-controlled studies using self administered diclofenac to treat a migraine headache episode, with at least 10 participants per treatment arm.

Data collection and analysis—Two review authors independently assessed trial quality and extracted data. We used numbers of participants achieving each outcome to calculate relative risk

Copyright © 2012 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

Contact address: Maura Moore, Pain Research and Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences (Nuffield Division of Anaesthetics), University of Oxford, Pain Research Unit, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, Oxfordshire, OX3 7LJ, UK. maura.moore@pru.ox.ac.uk.

Editorial group: Cochrane Pain, Palliative and Supportive Care Group.

Publication status and date: New, published in Issue 2, 2012.

Review content assessed as up-to-date: 21 November 2011.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS: RAM and SD wrote the protocol. SD and RR carried out searching, selection of studies, data extraction, analyses and writing. One author entered data into RevMan. All authors were involved with analyses and writing. RAM acted as arbitrator.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST: RAM has consulted for various pharmaceutical companies and has received lecture fees from pharmaceutical companies related to analgesics and other healthcare interventions. RAM and SD have received research support from charities, government and industry sources at various times. RR has no interests to declare. Support for this review was from Pain Research Funds, and a Cochrane Incentive Scheme grant. Neither funding body had any input into the review at any stage.

(or 'risk ratio') and numbers needed to treat to benefit (NNT) or harm (NNH) compared to placebo or a different active treatment.

Main results—Five studies (1356 participants) compared oral diclofenac with placebo, and one also compared it with sumatriptan; none combined diclofenac with a self administered antiemetic. Four studies treated attacks with single doses of medication, and two allowed an optional second dose for inadequate response. Only two studies, with three active treatment arms, provided data for pooled analysis of primary outcomes. For single doses of diclofenac potassium 50 mg versus placebo (two studies), the NNTs were 6.2, 8.9, and 9.5 for pain-free at two hours, headache relief at two hours, and pain-free responses at 24 hours, respectively.

Associated symptoms of nausea, photophobia and phonophobia, and functional disability were reduced within two hours, and similar numbers of participants experienced adverse events, which were mostly mild and transient.

There were insufficient data to evaluate other doses of oral diclofenac, or to compare different formulations or different dosing regimens; only one study compared oral diclofenac with an active comparator (oral sumatriptan 100 mg).

Authors' conclusions—Oral diclofenac potassium 50 mg is an effective treatment for acute migraine, providing relief from pain and associated symptoms, although only a minority of patients experience pain-free responses. Adverse events are mostly mild and transient and occur at the same rate as with placebo.

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Acute Disease; Analgesics [*administration & dosage; adverse effects]; Antiemetics [*administration & dosage]; Diclofenac [*administration & dosage; adverse effects]; Drug Therapy, Combination; Hyperacusis [drug therapy; etiology]; Migraine Disorders [complications; *drug therapy]; Nausea [drug therapy; etiology]; Photophobia [drug therapy; etiology]; Sumatriptan [administration & dosage]

MeSH check words

Adult; Humans

BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Migraine is a common, disabling headache disorder, with considerable social and economic impact (Hazard 2009). Recent reviews found a one-year prevalence of 15% for adults in European countries (Stovner 2010) and 13% for all ages in the US (Victor 2010). Migraine is more prevalent in women than in men (by a factor of two to three), and in the age range 30 to 50 years.

The International Headache Society (IHS) classifies two major subtypes. Migraine without aura is the most common subtype. It is characterised by attacks lasting 4 to 72 hours that are typically of moderate to severe pain intensity, unilateral, pulsating, aggravated by normal physical activity, and associated with nausea and/or photophobia and phonophobia.

Migraine with aura is characterised by reversible focal neurological symptoms that develop over a period of 5 to 20 minutes and last for less than 60 minutes, followed by headache with the features of migraine without aura. In some cases the headache may lack migrainous features or be absent altogether (IHS 2004).

A recent large prevalence study in the US found that over half of migraineurs had severe impairment or required bed rest during attacks. Despite this high level of disability and a strong desire for successful treatment, only a proportion of migraine sufferers seek professional advice for the treatment of attacks. The majority were not taking any preventive medication, although one-third met guideline criteria for offering or considering it. Nearly all (98%) migraineurs used acute treatments for attacks, with 49% using over-the-counter (OTC) medication only, 20% using prescription medication, and 29% using both. OTC medication included aspirin, other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), paracetamol (acetaminophen), and paracetamol with caffeine (Bigal 2008; Diamond 2007; Lipton 2007). Similar findings have been reported from other large studies in France and Germany (Lucas 2006; Radtke 2009).

The significant impact of migraine with regard to pain, disability, social functioning, quality of relationships, emotional well-being, and general health (Edmeads 1993; Osterhaus 1994; Solomon 1997) results in a huge burden for the individual, health services, and society (Clarke 1996; Ferrari 1998; Hazard 2009; Hu 1999; Solomon 1997). The annual US economic burden relating to migraine, including missed days of work and lost productivity, is USD 14 billion (Hu 1999). Thus successful treatment of acute migraine attacks not only benefits patients by reducing their disability and improving health-related quality of life, but also reduces the need for healthcare resources and increases economic productivity (Jhingran 1996; Lofland 1999).

Description of the intervention

Diclofenac is a NSAID with proven efficacy in treating mild to moderate pain and inflammation in acute and chronic musculoskeletal disorders, postoperative pain, gout, and dysmenorrhoea. It is available OTC in some countries, including parts of Europe, but remains prescription-only in the US. In the UK, diclofenac sodium is prescription-only, while diclofenac potassium is available OTC as 12.5 mg tablets (with a maximum dose restriction of 25 mg) for headache relief (among other things). Diclofenac, like other NSAIDs, may cause irritation of the gastrointestinal tract and result in discomfort, ulcers, and bleeding, particularly with prolonged use.

Diclofenac is most often taken orally as a standard tablet or as a powder to be dissolved in water just before ingestion, but rectal (suppositories), intramuscular, and intravenous routes of administration are also used; generic formulations are widely available. As suggested above, diclofenac is widely available as the sodium or the potassium salt; in fewer places, it is available as the powdered epolamine salt (hydrosoluble diclofenac epolamine (DHEP)). In primary care in the UK, 6.4 million prescriptions for diclofenac sodium and 69,000 prescriptions for diclofenac potassium were issued in 2009, mostly as 50 mg tablets (PCA 2010). The potassium salt is more soluble and is thought to have a more rapid onset of action because it has been shown to be significantly more effective than the sodium salt in

acute pain (Derry 2009). This rapid onset of action is exploited in the brand-name formulations Voltarol Rapid, marketed by Novartis, with specific approval for treatment of acute migraine in the UK, and Cambia, marketed by Nautilus Neurosciences, with approval for acute migraine in the US. The epolamine salt (DHEP) is highly soluble in both hydrophilic and lipophilic tissues, and was developed to improve absorption of diclofenac. The complex is claimed to have both improved gastric absorption (leading to more rapid onset of action) and reduced mucosal irritation compared with diclofenac sodium, while bioavailability is maintained (IBSA 2011).

In order to establish whether diclofenac is an effective analgesic at a specified dose in acute migraine, it is necessary to study its effects in circumstances that permit detection of pain relief. Such studies are carried out in individuals with established pain of moderate to severe intensity, using single doses of the interventions. Participants who experience an inadequate response with either placebo or active treatment are permitted to use rescue medication, and the intervention is considered to have failed in those individuals. In clinical practice, however, individuals would not normally wait until pain is of at least moderate severity, and may take a second dose of medication if the first dose does not provide adequate relief. Once analgesic efficacy is established in studies using single doses in established pain, further studies may investigate different treatment strategies and patient preferences. These are likely to include treating the migraine early while pain is mild, and using a low dose initially, with a second dose if response is inadequate.

How the intervention might work

NSAIDs act by inhibiting the activity of cyclooxygenase (COX), now recognised to consist of two isoforms (COX-1 and COX-2), which catalyses the production of prostaglandins responsible for pain and inflammation. Diclofenac inhibits both COX isoforms, with low to moderate preference for COX-2 (IC₅₀ ratio of 29; Patrono 2001; Patrono 2009). Suppression of prostaglandin synthesis is believed to underlie most of the analgesic effects of diclofenac, although other mechanisms probably contribute.

The efficacy of oral medications is reduced in many migraineurs because of impaired gastrointestinal motility, which is associated with nausea, and because of non-absorption of the drug due to vomiting (Volans 1974). The addition of an antiemetic may improve outcomes by alleviating the often incapacitating symptoms of nausea and vomiting, and (at least potentially) by enhancing the bioavailability of the co-administered analgesic. In particular, prokinetic antiemetics such as metoclopramide, which stimulate gastric emptying, may improve outcomes by increasing absorption of the analgesic. This has been investigated for metoclopramide and aspirin (Ross-Lee 1983; Volans 1975). It has been claimed that treatment with intravenous metoclopramide alone can reduce pain in severe migraine (Friedman 2005; Salazar-Tortolero 2008), but this claim requires further investigation, since metoclopramide has not been shown to be an analgesic in classical pain studies. This review seeks to determine whether treatment of acute migraine with diclofenac plus an antiemetic is in any way superior to treatment with diclofenac alone. In a recent review of aspirin with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches, aspirin plus metoclopramide was

significantly better than aspirin alone for headache relief and relief of nausea at two hours, but not for pain-free at two or 24 hours. (Kirthi 2010).

Why it is important to do this review

Diclofenac has proven efficacy in a variety of acute pain situations, and it is important to know where it fits in the range of therapeutic options for migraine therapy. Use of the more soluble potassium salt may provide the rapid relief that many migraineurs want. Where available OTC, it may offer a convenient and affordable alternative to migraine-specific prescription medications. We could find no systematic review of the efficacy of diclofenac for acute migraine in adults. This review is one of a series examining the efficacy of OTC treatments for migraine, including aspirin (Kirthi 2010), paracetamol (acetaminophen; Derry 2010), and ibuprofen (Rabbie 2010), as well as oral sumatriptan (Derry 2012).

OBJECTIVES

The objective of this review is to determine the efficacy and tolerability of diclofenac, alone or in combination with an antiemetic, compared to placebo and other active interventions in the treatment of acute migraine headaches in adults.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies—We included randomised, double-blind, placebo- and/or active-controlled studies using diclofenac to treat a migraine headache episode. Studies had to have a minimum of 10 participants per treatment arm and report dichotomous data for at least one of the outcomes specified below. We accepted studies reporting treatment of consecutive headache episodes if outcomes for the first, or each, episode were reported separately; we used first-attack data preferentially. We accepted cross-over studies if there was adequate (48 hours) washout between treatments.

Types of participants—Studies included adults (at least 18 years of age) with migraine. We used the diagnosis of migraine specified by the International Headache Society (IHS 1988; IHS 2004). There were no restrictions on migraine frequency, duration, or type (with or without aura). We accepted studies including participants taking stable prophylactic therapy to reduce the frequency of migraine attacks; details on any prophylactic therapy prescribed or allowed are provided in the 'Characteristics of included studies' table.

Types of interventions—We included studies in which self administered diclofenac was used to treat a migraine headache episode. There were no restrictions on dose, dosing regimen (e.g. single dose versus optional second dose), formulation, route of administration, or timing of the first dose in relation to headache intensity (e.g. taking the first dose when pain was of moderate or severe intensity versus when pain was only mild).

Included studies could use either diclofenac alone, or diclofenac plus an antiemetic. The antiemetic had to be taken either combined with diclofenac in a single formulation, or separately not more than 30 minutes before diclofenac, and be self administered. A placebo

comparator is essential to demonstrate that diclofenac is effective in this condition. We considered active-controlled trials without a placebo as secondary evidence. We excluded studies designed to demonstrate prophylactic efficacy in reducing the number or frequency of migraine attacks.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes: In selecting the main outcome measures for this review, we considered scientific rigour, availability of data, and patient preferences (Lipton 1999). Patients with acute migraine headaches have rated complete pain relief, no headache recurrence, rapid onset of pain relief, and no side effects as the four most important outcomes (Lipton 1999).

In view of these patient preferences, and in line with the guidelines for controlled trials of drugs in migraine issued by the IHS (IHS 2000), we considered the following primary outcomes:

- pain-free at two hours, without the use of rescue medication;
- reduction in headache pain ('headache relief') at one hour and two hours (pain reduced from moderate or severe to none or mild without the use of rescue medication);
- sustained pain-free during the 24 hours postdose (pain-free within two hours, with no use of rescue medication or recurrence of moderate to severe pain within 24 hours);
- sustained headache relief during the 24 hours postdose (headache relief at two hours, sustained for 24 hours, with no use of rescue medication or a second dose of study medication).

Pain intensity or pain relief had to be measured by the patient (not the investigator or carer).

Pain measures accepted for the primary outcomes were:

- pain intensity (PI): four-point categorical scale, with wording equivalent to none, mild, moderate, and severe; or 100 mm visual analogue scale (VAS), where < 30 mm was considered equivalent to mild or no pain and 30 mm equivalent to moderate or severe pain (Collins 1997);
- pain relief (PR): five-point categorical scale, with wording equivalent to none, a little, some, a lot, complete; or 100 mm VAS, where < 30 mm was considered equivalent to none or a little, and 30 mm equivalent to some, a lot, or complete.

We considered only data obtained directly from the patient.

Secondary outcomes: Secondary outcomes considered were:

- use of rescue medication;
- relief of headache-associated symptoms (symptom present or not);
- relief of functional disability (disability present or not);
- participants with any adverse event during the 24 hours postdose;

- participants with particular adverse events during the 24 hours postdose;
- withdrawals due to adverse events during the 24 hours postdose.

Search methods for identification of studies

Electronic searches—We searched the following databases:

- the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (2011, Issue 10);
- MEDLINE (via OVID) (to 27 September 2011);
- EMBASE (via OVID) (to 27 September 2011);
- Oxford Pain Relief Database (Jadad 1996a).

See Appendix 1 for the MEDLINE (via OVID) search strategy, Appendix 2 for the EMBASE (via OVID) search strategy, and Appendix 3 for the CENTRAL search strategy. There were no language restrictions.

Searching other resources—We searched reference lists of retrieved studies and review articles for additional studies (we identified two unpublished studies). We also searched online databases of clinical trials (www.clinicaltrials.gov and www.novctrd.com). We made written requests to Novartis, who manufacture Voltarol Rapid tablets, and Nautilus Neurosciences, who manufacture Cambia, asking for details of any randomised controlled trials (RCTs) known to them involving diclofenac for acute treatment of migraine. Both manufacturers supplied citations for published papers that we had already identified, but no additional studies (published or otherwise) were identified. We did not search grey literature and short abstracts.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies—Two review authors independently carried out the searches and selected studies for inclusion. We viewed titles and abstracts of all studies identified by electronic searches on screen and excluded any that clearly did not satisfy the inclusion criteria. We read full copies of the remaining studies to identify those suitable for inclusion. Disagreements were settled by discussion with a third review author.

Data extraction and management—Two review authors independently extracted data from included studies using a standard data extraction form. Disagreements were settled by discussion with a third review author. One author entered data into RevMan 5.1 (RevMan 2011).

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies—We assessed methodological quality using the Oxford Quality Score (Jadad 1996b).

The scale is used as follows:

- Is the study randomised? If yes, give one point.
- Is the randomisation procedure reported and is it appropriate? If yes, add one point; if no, deduct one point.

- Is the study double-blind? If yes, add one point.
- Is the double-blind method reported and is it appropriate? If yes, add one point; if no, deduct one point.
- Are the reasons for patient withdrawals and dropouts described? If yes, add one point.

The scores for each study are reported in the 'Characteristics of included studies' table.

We also completed a 'Risk of bias' table for each included study, using assessments of random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, and study size.

Measures of treatment effect—We used relative risk (or 'risk ratio', RR) to establish statistical difference. We used numbers needed to treat (NNT) and pooled percentages as absolute measures of benefit or harm.

We planned to use the following terms to describe adverse outcomes in terms of harm or prevention of harm:

- When significantly fewer adverse outcomes occur with diclofenac than with control (placebo or active) we would use the term the number needed to treat to prevent one event (NNTp).
- When significantly more adverse outcomes occur with diclofenac compared with control (placebo or active) we would use the term the number needed to harm or cause one event (NNH).

In fact, the included studies did not provide the data needed to calculate these measures.

Unit of analysis issues—We accepted randomisation at the individual patient level only. For analysis of studies with more than one treatment arm contributing to any one analysis (e.g. two formulations of the same dose of diclofenac in the same study with a single placebo group), we split the placebo group equally between the two treatment arms so as not to double-count placebo participants.

Dealing with missing data—The most likely source of missing data was in cross-over studies; where possible in such cases we used only first-period data.

For all outcomes we carried out analyses, as far as possible, on a modified intention-to-treat (ITT) basis, i.e. we included all participants who were randomised and received an intervention. Where sufficient information was reported, we re-included missing data in the analyses we undertook. We excluded data from outcomes where data from 10% of participants were missing with no acceptable reason provided or apparent.

Assessment of heterogeneity—We assessed heterogeneity of response rates using L'Abbé plots, a visual method for assessing differences in results of individual studies (L'Abbé 1987).

Assessment of reporting biases—We assessed publication bias by examining the number of participants in trials with zero effect (relative risk of 1.0) needed for the point estimate of the NNT to increase beyond a clinically useful level (Moore 2008). In this case, we specified a clinically useful level as a NNT = 8 for pain-free at two hours, and NNT = 6 for headache relief at two hours.

Data synthesis—We analysed studies using a single dose of diclofenac in established pain of at least moderate intensity separately from studies in which medication was taken before pain become well established, or in which a second dose of medication was permitted.

We calculated effect sizes calculated and combined data for analysis only for comparisons and outcomes where there were at least two studies and 200 participants (Moore 1998). We calculated relative risk of benefit or harm with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using a fixed-effect model (Morris 1995). We calculated NNT, NNT_p, and NNH with 95% CIs, where possible, using the pooled number of events by the method of Cook and Sackett (Cook 1995). We assumed a statistically significant difference from control when the 95% CI of the relative risk of benefit or harm did not include the number one.

We determined significant differences between NNT, NNT_p, and NNH for different groups in subgroup and sensitivity analyses, using the z test (Tramer 1997).

We described data from comparisons and outcomes with only one study or fewer than 200 participants in the summary tables and text where appropriate for information and comparison, but we did not analyse these data quantitatively.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity—Issues for potential subgroup analysis were dose, monotherapy versus combination with an antiemetic, route of administration, and formulation. For combined treatment with an antiemetic, we planned to compare different antiemetics if there were sufficient data.

Sensitivity analysis—We planned sensitivity analysis for study quality (Oxford Quality Score of 2 versus 3 or more), and for migraine type (with aura versus without aura). A minimum of two studies and 200 participants were required for any sensitivity analysis.

RESULTS

Description of studies

See: Characteristics of included studies; Characteristics of excluded studies; Characteristics of studies awaiting classification.

Included studies—We identified five studies, with 1356 participants, that satisfied our inclusion criteria (Dahlof 1993; Diener 2006; DKSMMSG 1999; Lipton 2010; Vecsei 2007). One study used a parallel-group design (Lipton 2010) and the remainder a cross-over design. A period of at least 48 hours was required between qualifying attacks in the cross-over studies.

Studies recruited adults of both sexes who had a diagnosis of migraine according to International Headache Society (IHS) criteria (IHS 1988; IHS 2004) for at least one year. The median or mean ages reported in individual studies ranged from 33 to 44 years, and between 76% and 89% of participants were female. Four studies (Dahlof 1993; Diener 2006; DKSMMSG 1999; Lipton 2010) included participants who experienced migraine with or without aura, while one (Vecsei 2007) included only participants who experienced migraine without aura. Participants were generally excluded for pregnancy or breast-feeding, inadequate contraception, and known hypersensitivity or contraindication to diclofenac or other NSAIDs. Lipton 2010 excluded participants if they experienced vomiting in 20% of attacks or needed bed rest with most attacks, and Vecsei 2007 excluded participants if they usually experienced migraine of 'severe intensity'.

Included studies treated with oral diclofenac as the potassium salt taken either in a standard tablet formulation (Dahlof 1993; Diener 2006; DKSMMSG 1999) or as a powder to be dissolved in water just before ingestion (Diener 2006; Lipton 2010) or as the powdered epolamine salt (hydrosoluble diclofenac epolamine (DHEP)) to be dissolved in water just before ingestion (Vecsei 2007). No study used an antiemetic in combination with diclofenac. In four studies (Dahlof 1993; Diener 2006; DKSMMSG 1999; Lipton 2010) participants took a single dose of study medication for an attack, with rescue medication available after two hours if relief was inadequate. In the remaining study (Vecsei 2007) a second dose of study medication was available after one hour, and the majority of participants took the second dose (63% with diclofenac 50 mg, and 87% with placebo). We did not combine the different dosing regimens for analysis.

Three studies allowed participants to continue with migraine prophylaxis, provided it was stable and unchanged throughout the study (Diener 2006; DKSMMSG 1999; Lipton 2010). Three studies specifically prohibited the use of various analgesics within a specific time of study medication: Dahlof 1993 prohibited NSAID therapy within one week; Diener 2006 prohibited long-acting analgesics during the study, and acute headache medication within 48 hours; and Lipton 2010 prohibited any analgesics within 24 hours of study medication. Other medication was permitted if it did not interact with diclofenac and was unlikely to affect migraine outcomes.

All studies had a placebo control arm; one also compared diclofenac with sumatriptan 100 mg (DKSMMSG 1999). There were 1356 patients who contributed data from 2711 attacks; 1111 attacks were treated with diclofenac potassium 50 mg, 422 with diclofenac potassium 100 mg, 238 with diclofenac DHEP, 115 with sumatriptan 100 mg and 825 with placebo.

In DKSMMSG 1999, 144 participants were randomised to treat four consecutive attacks each with a single dose of the different study medications. There were no data suitable for analysis for the primary outcomes because the study reported only group mean data for these outcomes; in addition, only 115 patients had four attacks, giving an attrition rate of 20%, which exceeds our threshold for exclusion from analysis. It was possible to use adverse event data from this study because these were reported according to participants receiving each treatment, irrespective of whether individuals completed all four treatments, and missing data were likely to be largely due to lack of qualifying headaches.

In one study (Lipton 2010) participants were instructed to wait until pain intensity was moderate or severe before taking study medication, while in four studies (Dahlof 1993; Diener 2006; DKSMMSG 1999; Vecsei 2007) they were to take medication at the first sign of pain. Diener 2006 and Vecsei 2007 report efficacy separately for participants with moderate or severe pain at baseline, and despite instructions to treat early, the vast majority (94% and 89% respectively) had at least moderate pain at baseline, so this subset is analysed together with Lipton 2010.

Full details of included studies are found in the Characteristics of included studies table.

We identified two unpublished studies (Novartis 1995; Novartis 1998) from a narrative review (McNeely 1999), but we have so far been unable to obtain any further details. Judging from the information in the review, the studies are likely to have satisfied our inclusion criteria, but may not have provided dichotomous results for our primary outcomes.

Excluded studies—We excluded six studies after reading the full report (Bigal 2002; Comoglu 2011; Del Bene 1987; Karachalios 1992; Massiou 1991; Peroutka 2004). Reasons for exclusion are provided in the Characteristics of excluded studies table.

Risk of bias in included studies

Included studies were all randomised and double-blind. On the Oxford Quality Score three studies (Diener 2006; DKSMMSG 1999; Lipton 2010) scored 4 of 5, and two (Dahlof 1993; Vecsei 2007) scored 3 of 5. Points were lost for failure to adequately describe the processes of randomisation or blinding, and failure to explicitly report withdrawals.

In addition, a 'Risk of bias' table was created for each study which considered random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, and study size; see Figure 1 for a summary across all studies.

Effects of interventions

Details of results in individual studies are available in Appendix 4 (efficacy) and Appendix 5 (adverse events and withdrawals).

Studies using a single dose of study medication—Two studies (three active treatment arms, 1477 participants) compared diclofenac potassium 50 mg with placebo in participants with moderate or severe baseline pain (Diener 2006; Lipton 2010). An oral solution was used in one active treatment arm of Diener 2006 and in Lipton 2010, and a tablet in the other active arm of Diener 2006. There were insufficient data for analysis of the 100 mg dose compared with placebo for any primary outcome.

Pain-free at two hours

Diclofenac potassium 50 mg versus placebo

- The proportion of attacks pain-free at two hours with diclofenac 50 mg was 22% (195/873; range 17% to 25%).

- The proportion of attacks pain-free at two hours with placebo was 11% (67/604; range 10% to 13%).
- The relative benefit of treatment compared with placebo was 2.0 (1.6 to 2.6; Figure 2); the NNT was 8.9 (6.7 to 13).

For soluble formulations only the relative benefit was 2.3 (1.7 to 3.1; Figure 2); the NNT was 7.4 (5.6 to 11).

Headache relief at two hours

Diclofenac potassium 50 mg versus placebo

- The proportion of attacks with headache relief at two hours with diclofenac 50 mg was 55% (482/873; range 47% to 65%).
- The proportion of attacks with headache relief at two hours with placebo was 39% (236/604; range 36% to 41%).
- The relative benefit of treatment compared with placebo was 1.5 (1.3 to 1.7; Figure 3); the NNT was 6.2 (4.7 to 9.1).

For soluble formulations only the relative benefit was 1.5 (1.3 to 1.7; Figure 3); the NNT was 5.1 (4.0 to 7.0).

Sustained pain-free during the 24 hours postdose: Diener 2006 reported this outcome for all included participants, a proportion (around 11%) of whom had mild baseline pain. All participants in Lipton 2010 had moderate or severe baseline pain. The total number of participants in this comparison was 1578.

Diclofenac potassium 50 mg versus placebo

- The proportion of attacks with a 24-hour sustained pain-free response with diclofenac 50 mg was 19% (175/932; range 15% to 22%).
- The proportion of attacks with a 24-hour sustained pain-free response with placebo was 8.2% (53/646; range 7.2% to 9.4%).
- The relative benefit of treatment compared with placebo was 2.3 (1.7 to 3.0); the NNT was 9.5 (7.2 to 14).

For soluble formulations only the relative benefit was 2.5 (1.8 to 3.6; Analysis 1.3); the NNT was 8.1 (6.2 to 12).

Summary of results A: Pain-free and headache relief in single dose, placebo-controlled studies

Studies	Attacks treated	Treatment (%)	Placebo or comparator (%)	Relative risk (95% CI)	NNT (95% CI)
Pain-free at 2 hours					

	Studies	Attacks treated	Treatment (%)	Placebo or comparator (%)	Relative risk (95% CI)	NNT (95% CI)
Diclofenac potassium 50 mg (solution and tablet)	2	1477	22	11	2.0 (1.6 to 2.6)	8.9 (6.7 to 13)
Diclofenac potassium 50 mg (solution)	2	1212	25	11	2.3 (1.7 to 3.1)	7.4 (5.6 to 11)
Headache relief at 2 hours						
Diclofenac potassium 50 mg (solution and tablet)	2	1477	55	39	1.5 (1.3 to 1.7)	6.2 (4.7 to 9.1)
Diclofenac potassium 50 mg (solution)	2	1212	59	39	1.5 (1.3 to 1.7)	5.1 (4.0 to 7.0)
Sustained pain-free during the 24 hours post-dose						
Diclofenac potassium 50 mg (solution and tablet)	2	1578	19	8.2	2.3 (1.7 to 3.0)	9.5 (7.2 to 14)
Diclofenac potassium 50 mg (solution)	2	1280	21	8.2	2.5 (1.8 to 3.6)	8.1 (6.2 to 12)

Studies using an optional second dose of study medication—In one study (Vecsei 2007) participants were instructed to take hydrosoluble diclofenac epolamine (DHEP) 50 mg at the earliest sign of a migraine attack with an optional dose at one hour if needed, rather than waiting until pain was moderate or severe. It is unclear how many attacks were of mild, moderate, or severe intensity at baseline.

The primary outcome was number of attacks reduced to less than 20 mm on a 100 mm VAS at two hours ('success', which the study authors regarded as equivalent to being 'pain-free') was experienced in 109/238 attacks (46%) with diclofenac and 61/243 (25%) with placebo.

The authors also reported headache relief at two hours (defined, as in IHS 2000, as an improvement from severe or moderate pain to mild or no pain) for the subgroup of attacks that were treated when pain was moderate or severe. Relief was experienced in 123/226 attacks (54%) with diclofenac, and 77/228 (34%) with placebo.

Sensitivity analysis of primary outcomes—We had planned to conduct a sensitivity analysis for study quality, but all studies scored 3 or more on the Oxford Quality Scale, so no analysis was possible.

DKSMSG 1999 did not contribute any usable data for primary outcomes, so no sensitivity analysis was carried out for effect of missing data.

None of the studies that included both participants with and participants without aura analysed data according to migraine type, so no subgroup analysis for this criterion was possible in these studies. The study including only participants without aura (Vecsei 2007) could not be compared with the others because it also used a different dosing regimen.

Use of rescue medication—Four studies reported some data on use of rescue medication (Dahlof 1993; Diener 2006; DKSMMSG 1999; Vecsei 2007), but only in Dahlof 1993 and Diener 2006 was there a clear distinction between additional medication (second dose or alternative medication) taken because of inadequate response at two hours and additional medication taken because of recurrence of headache following initial response. There were no usable data from Dahlof 1993, in which the percentage of participants using rescue medication was reported, but the denominator was uncertain. Diener 2006 reported the percentage of participants using rescue medication at three hours and eight hours, with almost a doubling of numbers over that time; there were insufficient data for analysis. Diener 2006 also reported median time to use of rescue medication, but only in those who took it, at about three hours for all treatments. DKSMMSG 1999 reported a mean time to use of 'rescue medication' of eight hours with placebo and 11 to 13 hours with active treatments (diclofenac and sumatriptan). These somewhat implausible numbers almost certainly include participants who experienced recurrence. No analysis was possible.

Relief of headache-associated symptoms—Only two studies reported sufficient data to calculate relief of headache-associated symptoms (nausea, vomiting, photophobia, phonophobia) at two hours. In DKSMMSG 1999, participants were asked to take study medication at the first sign of pain, while in Lipton 2010 they were to take it when pain was of moderate to severe intensity. Although the group mean pain score at baseline in DKSMMSG 1999 is reported as ± 50 mm on a 100 mm VAS, which is equivalent to moderate pain (Collins 1997), the baseline incidence of nausea, photophobia, and vomiting was substantially lower than in Lipton 2010. For this reason, we thought it unwise to combine data for analysis. Vomiting was infrequent ($< 7\%$) in all studies reporting data. Results for individual studies are in Appendix 6.

Relief of functional disability—The same two studies reported data for relief of functional disability at two hours. At baseline about 80% of participants in DKSMMSG 1999 reported some degree of functional disability, as did 97% in Lipton 2010. Participants in DKSMMSG 1999 took medication at the first sign of pain (mean baseline pain reported as $\sim 50/100$ mm), while those in Lipton 2010 were asked to wait until pain was at least moderate ($\sim 70\%$ moderate, 30% severe).

- The proportion of attacks experiencing relief of functional disability with diclofenac 50 mg was 33% (143/431; range 31% to 41%).
- The proportion of attacks experiencing relief of functional disability with placebo was 14% (62/442; range 14% to 15%).
- The relative benefit of treatment compared with placebo was 2.4 (1.8 to 3.1; Analysis 1.4); the NNT was 5.2 (4.1 to 7.3).

Dahlof 1993 reported that functional disability was less frequent at two hours with diclofenac 100 mg than with 50 mg or placebo; Diener 2006 reported that more participants “improved” at two hours with diclofenac 50 mg than placebo; and Vecsei 2007 reported that “working ability improved by > 1 point” in 54% and 40% of attacks treated with diclofenac 50 mg (with optional second dose) and placebo, respectively.

Adverse events—Three studies reported on the number of participants experiencing at least one adverse event with diclofenac or placebo. DKMSG 1999 and Lipton 2010 treated with diclofenac 50 mg, and DKMSG 1999 also treated with diclofenac 100 mg.

- The proportion of attacks experiencing at least one adverse event with diclofenac was 18% (109/596; range 15% to 19%).
- The proportion of attacks experiencing at least one adverse event with placebo was 16% (78/479; range 15% to 20%).
- The relative benefit of treatment compared with placebo was 1.1 (0.86 to 1.5). There was no statistically significant difference between diclofenac and placebo (Analysis 1.5).

For 50 mg alone, there was significant difference from placebo (relative benefit 1.2 (0.9 to 1.6)).

Serious adverse events—No serious adverse events were reported in any of the included studies.

Withdrawals—Four studies reported specifically on withdrawals due to adverse events (Dahlof 1993; Diener 2006; DKMSG 1999; Lipton 2010). In total there were eight adverse event withdrawals amongst 1123 attacks (0.7%) treated with diclofenac (50 mg or 100 mg), and three amongst 587 attacks (0.5%) treated with placebo. There were too few events for statistical analysis.

Vecsei 2007 did not report any information about withdrawals, although 22 participants were excluded because they had missing data for “various reasons” (unspecified). In the remaining studies, with the exception of DKMSG 1999, which has been discussed, relatively small numbers of participants withdrew or were excluded from analyses for reasons such as lost to follow-up, withdrew consent or too few qualifying headaches. The treatment group of these participants was not always reported, but there was no evidence of any systematic bias.

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

For participants with moderate or severe baseline pain, diclofenac potassium 50 mg was statistically superior to placebo for the primary outcomes of pain-free at two hours, headache relief at two hours, and sustained pain-free at 24 hours, with NNTs of 8.9, 6.2 and 9.5, respectively. For the secondary outcome of participants with relief of functional disability (NNT 5.2), diclofenac was again better than placebo. Efficacy appeared to be

consistently better, but not significantly so, when diclofenac was taken as an oral solution rather than as a tablet. There was no significant difference between diclofenac and placebo for numbers of participants experiencing any adverse event, and adverse events were generally described as of mild or moderate severity. Withdrawals due to adverse events were too few to analyse, and no serious adverse events were reported.

These results are similar to those seen in comparisons with placebo for aspirin (Kirthi 2010) and ibuprofen 200 mg (Rabbie 2010).

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

Although this review included five studies, with information from 1320 participants who received one or more treatments for one or more attacks, analyses were limited because the studies used two different doses of diclofenac (50 mg and 100 mg), different formulations of diclofenac (potassium salt as tablet or in solution, and epolamine salt in solution), different dosing regimens (single dose or with optional second dose), and different levels of baseline pain. Additionally, many of the outcomes of interest were either reported in a way that we could not use (e.g. group mean data for primary outcomes, unclear definitions for use of rescue medication) or were not reported at all by some studies (e.g. participants with any adverse events, presence/relief of associated symptoms). There were insufficient data for any analysis of the 100 mg dose, or to investigate the effects of treating early/mild pain compared to moderate or severe pain, or different formulations of the potassium salt, or to compare diclofenac directly with other active comparators. The rationale for using the potassium salt or DHEP is that these salts are more soluble and therefore likely to be more rapidly absorbed than the sodium salt, giving a faster onset of action, which is important to migraine sufferers. Taking the medication as a solution rather than a tablet is thought to further speed up absorption and has led to development of powdered formulations. Participants in the studies all had migraine diagnosed according to International Headache Society (IHS) criteria. It is likely that participants were recruited from patients attending migraine clinics, who may experience more severe, or more difficult to treat headaches than the general population; one study (Lipton 2010) excluded participants who frequently experienced vomiting, and another small study (Vecsei 2007) excluded individuals who normally experienced severe headaches. The overwhelming majority of participants were female, and most were white. The lack of participants from other ethnic backgrounds may limit generalisation to other populations.

Individual studies were underpowered to determine differences between treatments for adverse events, and even pooling studies may not provide adequate numbers of events to demonstrate differences or allow confidence in the size of the effect. Single dose studies are certainly unlikely to reveal rare, but potentially serious, adverse events. Furthermore, results may be confounded by recording of adverse events after taking rescue medication, which may disproportionately increase rates in the placebo group.

Quality of the evidence

Studies generally were of adequate or good methodological quality. One cross-over study (DKSMG 1999) was considered at risk of bias because it reported a four-period 'completer

analysis' for all efficacy outcomes which excluded 20% of randomised participants (12% for reasons other than lack of qualifying headache), but the study did not contribute to any primary outcomes.

Reporting of primary outcomes as group means is not considered valid (Moore 2010) because underlying distributions are frequently nonGaussian. Reporting the number or proportion of patients who achieve useful clinical outcomes is preferred, and IHS outcomes reflect this. The use of means to report efficacy in some studies limited the amount of data available for analyses. While most studies reported some information about adverse events, the outcomes were not always our preferred ones, and the time over which data were collected was frequently not explicit. It is likely that data continued to be collected after intake of rescue medication or a second dose of study medication, so that total dose over the period assessed is uncertain.

We specified that a minimum of 200 participants in at least two studies were required before carrying out any pooled analysis, but ideally we would need at least 200 participants in each treatment arm where there is an event rate of 50% to be reasonably confident in the size of an effect (Moore 1998). The magnitude of effect for outcomes with fewer participants and/or lower event rates should be interpreted with caution.

Potential biases in the review process

Although we carried out a thorough search for relevant studies, we identified only a few. This may be largely because diclofenac is already an established analgesic in other conditions, and requirements by regulatory bodies for a new indication do not demand the same rigour as for a new drug. Nonetheless, the NNTs obtained for diclofenac potassium 50 mg compared with placebo are of borderline clinical utility (prespecified as 6 and 8 for headache relief and pain-free at two hours, respectively), and it would take relatively few additional, unidentified studies or participants to increase them well beyond this cut-off (Moore 2008). We identified two unpublished studies (Novartis 1995; Novartis 1998), but we have so far been unable to obtain any further information; the unpublished placebo-controlled study alone would not change the results of this review significantly if it found no difference between diclofenac and placebo. On the other hand, randomised studies that we excluded for various reasons were consistent with the efficacy of oral diclofenac found in these analyses (Comoglu 2011; Massiou 1991).

The methods of the review were such as to minimise bias due to the review process itself, but use of data from both phases of cross-over studies and from studies reporting combined data from several attacks may introduce unknown biases. For cross-over studies a 48-hour period between qualifying attacks should limit potential for carry-over effects, and for multiple attacks there is some evidence of consistency of response (in terms of proportion of participants achieving the outcome) for aspirin in migraine (Kirthi 2010).

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

A narrative review considered the pharmacology, efficacy, tolerability, and dosage and administration of diclofenac for acute migraine (McNeely 1999). The review included four randomised controlled trials (RCTs), two of which are published and included in this review

(Dahlof 1993; DKSMMSG 1999), while two are unpublished, and we have been unable to obtain further information about them. It appears likely that these unpublished studies reported primary outcome data as group means, or mean differences (MDs), which we could not have used in our meta-analyses. Secondary outcomes relating to associated symptoms, use of rescue medication, and adverse events may be dichotomous. The McNeely 1999 narrative review had no explicit search strategy and no meta-analysis, and there were no disagreements with our review in the areas they have in common.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Limited data indicate that diclofenac potassium is an effective treatment for the relief of headache pain in a small proportion of patients with moderate or severe migraine headache. While the NNTs for headache relief at two hours, pain-free at two hours and sustained pain-free during the 24 hours postdose are of borderline clinical utility, the 50 mg dose achieves these three outcomes in 55%, 22%, and 19%, respectively, of patients who treat moderate or severe pain.

Implications for research

Diclofenac is a well-established analgesic in both acute and chronic pain conditions, and it seems unlikely that more clinical trials will be conducted to show that any given formulation is more effective than placebo in migraine. Further head-to-head trials would establish its place relative to alternative treatments for migraine and should ideally also include a placebo. Studies investigating different dosing regimens, and combination with an antiemetic, could further establish the optimum dosing regimen for oral diclofenac. All future studies should use IHS-preferred outcomes to facilitate comparison across studies.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the helpful comments and editorial expertise of Timothy Steiner, Douglas McCorry, and Rebecca Gray, and those who contributed to the various stages of peer review.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- Oxford Pain Relief Trust, UK.

External sources

- Cochrane Review Incentive Scheme 2010, UK.
- *Lifting The Burden*: the Global Campaign against Headache, UK.

Funding for administrative costs associated with editorial and peer review

Appendix 1. Search strategy for MEDLINE (via OVID)

1. Diclofenac/ OR diclofenac.mp.
2. (Voltarol OR Voltaren OR Cataflam OR Cambia).mp
3. 1 OR 2

4. Headache/ OR exp Headache Disorders/
5. exp Migraine Disorders/
6. (headach* OR migrain* OR cephalgi* OR cephalalgi*).mp.
7. 4 OR 5 OR 6
8. randomized controlled trial.pt.
9. controlled clinical trial.pt.
10. randomized.ab.
11. placebo.ab.
12. drug therapy.fs.
13. randomly.ab.
14. trial.ab.
15. groups.ab.
16. OR/8-15
17. 3 AND 7 AND 16

Appendix 2. Search strategy for EMBASE (via OVID)

1. Diclofenac/ OR diclofenac.mp.
2. (Voltarol OR Voltaren OR Cataflam OR Cambia).mp
3. 1 OR 2
4. exp Headache and facial pain
5. exp Migraine
6. (headach* OR migrain* OR cephalgi* OR cephalalgi*).mp.
7. 4 OR 5 OR 6
8. clinical trials.sh.
9. controlled clinical trials.sh.
10. randomized controlled trial.sh.
11. double-blind procedure.sh.
12. (clin* adj25 trial*).ab.
13. ((doubl* or trebl* or tripl*) adj25 (blind* or mask*)).ab.
14. placebo*.ab.
15. random*.ab.
16. OR/8-15

17. 3 AND 7 AND 16**Appendix 3. Search strategy for CENTRAL**

1. MeSH descriptor Serotonin Agonists OR MeSH descriptor Tryptamines
2. (diclofenac OR Voltarol OR Voltaren OR Cataflam OR Cambia):ti,ab,kw
3. 1 OR 2
4. MeSH descriptor Headache/ OR MeSH descriptor Headache Disorders explode all trees
5. MeSH descriptor Migraine Disorders explode all trees
6. (headach* OR migrain* OR cephalgi* OR cephalalgi*):ti,ab,kw
7. 4 OR 5 OR 6
8. 3 AND 7
9. Limit 8 to Clinical Trials (CENTRAL)

Appendix 4. Summary of outcomes: efficacy

Study ID	Treatment	Headache relief 1 h	Headache relief 2 h	Pain-free 2 h	Sustained headache relief 24 h	Sustained pain-free 24 h	Use of rescue medication
Dahlof 1993	1 Diclofenac-K 50 mg	No data	No usable dichotomous data (data reported only in graphical form and could not be used because of uncertainty about the denominator)	No data	No data	No data	No usable data Within 2 h:
	2 Diclofenac-K 100 mg	No data	Mean data: diclofenac significantly better than placebo, with no difference between doses	No data	No data	No data	1 46%
	3 Placebo	No data	Mean data: diclofenac significantly better than placebo, with no difference between doses	No data	No data	No data	2 37%
	N = 72 (64 pts treated 3 attacks)						3 58%
							Denominator unclear
Diener 2006	1 Diclofenac-K sachet 50 mg, n = 291	From moderate/severe	1 136/265	From moderate/severe baseline	[Including some mild]	1 65/291	Within 3 h:
	2 Diclofenac-K tablet 50 mg, n = 298	1 146/265	2 124/265	1 64/265	1 107/291	1 65/291	1 50/291
	3 Placebo sachet and tablet, n = 299	From moderate/severe	3 92/257	2 45/265	2 92/298	2 45/298	2 63/298
	N = 317 (888 attacks in total, 274 participants treated 3 attacks)	1 146/265	2 124/265	1 64/265	1 107/291	1 65/291	Within 8 h:
	Participants with moderate/severe pain at baseline:	2 169/265	3 92/257	2 45/265	2 92/298	2 45/298	1 102/291
	1 265/289	3 192/257	This assumes no participants with < moderate at baseline become > moderate at 2 h	3 32/257	3 55/299	3 28/299	2 108/298
	2 265/295						3 150/299
	3 257/297						Median time to use ~3 h for all treatments in those who used it
DKSMMSG 1999	1 Diclofenac-K 50 mg	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	No usable data. 36% of participants taking either dose of diclofenac required rescue medication during attacks, compared with 41% with sumatriptan and 60% with placebo. Unclear whether for failed response or recurrence
	2 Diclofenac-K 100 mg	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	Mean time to use reported as longer with active treatments (11 to 13 h) than placebo (8 h) - probably includes participants with recurrence
	3 Sumatriptan 100 mg	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	
	4 Placebo	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	All active treatments significantly better than placebo for all time points 1.5 to 8 h. No significant differences between active treatments	
	N = 144 (115 completed all 4 treatments)						
Lipton 2010	1 Diclofenac-K oral solution 50 mg, n = 343	No data	1 222/343	1 86/343	No data	1 65/343	No data
			2 144/347	2 35/347		2 25/347	

Study ID	Treatment	Headache relief 1 h	Headache relief 2 h	Pain-free 2 h	Sustained headache relief 24 h	Sustained pain-free 24 h	Use of rescue medication
	2 Placebo, n = 348						
	N = 691						
			Majority of participants took 2nd dose any time after 1 h: (1)63%, (2)78% VAS < 20 mm at 2 h				
	1 DHEP sachet 50 mg (equivalent)		1 109/238				No usable data. Majority of participants took 2nd dose for inadequate response at 1 h (63.6%, 78.4%) and many resorted to 'preferred rescue medication' within 48 h (30.5%, 56.7%).
	2 Placebo	No data	2 61/243	No data	No data	No data	
Vescei 2007	N = 133 (481 attacks, 110 treated 4 attacks)		From moderate/severe baseline pain to mild/none Attacks:				
			1 54.4% = 123/226				
			2 33.8% = 77/228				

DHEP - hydrosoluble diclofenac epolamine; h - hour(s); K - potassium; N - number of participants in study; n - number of participants in treatment arm; Na - sodium; VAS - visual analogue scale

Appendix 5. Summary of outcomes: adverse events and withdrawals

Study ID	Treatment	Any adverse event	Specific adverse events	Serious adverse events	Adverse event withdrawal	Other withdrawals/exclusions
Dahlof 1993		Time not specified				
	1 Diclofenac-K 50 mg	1 27.7%				
	2 Diclofenac-K 100 mg	2 20.3%				
Diener 2006	3 Placebo	3 20.9%	Fatigue/tiredness (1)/6 (2)/4 (3)/7 GI symptoms (1)/3 (2)/3 (3)/4 Denominator unclear	None reported	(1 or 2)/1 (pulmonary embolism) (2)/2 (lack of efficacy, protocol violation)	5 pts did not complete 3 treatments - no reasons given, too few qualifying headaches
		Denominator unclear 23 pts in total reported any AE over 3 treatment periods, 8 had AEs in all periods Most mild to moderate intensity				
		No usable data		None		
DKMSG 1999	1 Diclofenac-K 50 mg	1 25/131	Most common - all < 2% Abdominal pain (1)/2/291 (2)/0/298 (3)/3/299 Dyspepsia (1)/2/291 (2)/1/298 (3)/1/299 Dizziness (1)/2/291 (2)/1/298 (3)/0/299 Diarrhoea (1)/1/291 (2)/1/298 (3)/4/299 Somnolence (1)/1/291 (2)/1/298 (3)/3/299 Nausea (1)/1/291 (2)/1/298 (3)/3/299 Vomiting (1)/3/291 (2)/1/298 (3)/1/299		1 2/291 (urticaria, vomiting) 2 3/298 (urticaria, vomiting, haematuria) 3 1/299 (eye swelling)	< 3 qualifying attacks: (1)/5 (2)/9 (3)/14 Withdrew consent: (1)/3 (2)/1 (3)/2 Lost to follow-up: (1)/0 (2)/0 (3)/3
	2 Diclofenac-K 100 mg	2 18/122	Somnolence (1)/8/131 (2)/1/122 (3)/3/130 (4)/3/131 Paresthesia (1)/2/131 (2)/0/122 (3)/5/130 (4)/1/131 Fatigue (1)/5/131 (2)/1/122 (3)/7/130 (4)/4/131 Asthenia (1)/1/131 (2)/1/122 (3)/4/130 (4)/2/131 Dizziness (1)/1/131 (2)/0/122 (3)/7/130 (4)/3/131 Chest pain (1)/0/131 (2)/0/122 (3)/4/130 (4)/1/131 Tachycardia (1)/2/131 (2)/0/122 (3)/7/130 (4)/2/131 Abdominal pain (1)/1/131 (2)/6/122 (3)/6/130 (4)/4/131 Dyspepsia (1)/3/131 (2)/3/122 (3)/1/130 (4)/1/131 Nausea (1)/3/131 (2)/1/122 (3)/3/130 (4)/5/131			Within 72 h: (2) 2 (allergic-type reaction, purpura of skin + pain + shortness of breath) (4) 2 (amenia + chills+ sweating, nausea + vomiting + gastritis)
	3 Sumatriptan 100 mg	3 43/130		None		
	4 Placebo	4 26/131				

Study ID	Treatment	Any adverse event	Specific adverse events	Serious adverse events	Adverse event withdrawal	Other withdrawals/exclusions
Lipton 2010	1 Diclofenac-K oral solution 50 mg, n = 343	Within 24 h: 1 66/343	Most common: Nausea (1)16/343 (2)12/348			
	2 Placebo, n = 348	2 52/348 Most mild to moderate intensity	Dizziness (1)5/343 (2)3/348 Dyspepsia (1)4/343 (2)5/347 Vomiting (1)4/343 (2)1/348	None	None reported	1 pt discontinued from placebo group after taking study medication - no reason given
Vecsei 2007	1 DHEP sachet 50 mg (equivalent)	No data	No usable data	None possibly related to DHEP	None reported	Data regarding migraine attacks were missing for 22 pts (group not given), for different reasons (not specified) - excluded from analysis
	2 Placebo					

AE = adverse event; DHEP - hydrosoluble diclofenac epolamine; h - hour(s); K - potassium; mod - moderate; N - number of participants in study; n - number of participants in treatment arm; Na - sodium; pt(s) - participant(s); sev - severe

Appendix 6. Summary of outcomes: associated symptoms and functional disability

Study ID	Treatment	Nausea	Vomiting	Photophobia	Phonophobia	Functional disability
Dahlof 1993	1 Diclofenac-K 50 mg	No usable data	Infrequent after 2 h (4 to 7%)	Less frequent at 2 h with (2) than other treatments		
	2 Diclofenac-K 100 mg					
	3 Placebo					
N = 72 (64 pts treated 3 attacks)						
Diener 2006	1 Diclofenac-K sachet 50 mg, n = 291	Pts free of any accompanying symptoms at 2 h:	1 106/253			No usable data
	2 Diclofenac-K tablet 50 mg, n = 298					
	3 Placebo sachet and tablet, n = 299					
	N = 317 (888 attacks in total, 274 pts treated 3 attacks)					
	Pts with mod/sev pain at baseline:					
	1 265/289					
	2 265/295					
	3 257/297					
DKSMMSG 1999	1 Diclofenac-K 50 mg	At baseline:	At baseline:	At baseline:	At baseline:	Not "normal functioning" Baseline:
	2 Diclofenac-K 100 mg	At baseline:	At baseline:	At baseline:	At baseline:	At 2 h:
	3 Sumatriptan 100 mg	At baseline:	At baseline:	At baseline:	At baseline:	At 2 h:
	4 Placebo	At baseline:	At baseline:	At baseline:	At baseline:	At 2 h:
N = 144 (115 completed all 4 treatments)						
	Relief:	Relief:	Relief:	Relief:	Relief at 2 h:	
	1 13/58	1 (increase of 2 with vomiting) -2/12	1 18/59	1 9/46	1 41/100	
	2 23/47	2 5/9	2 20/55	2 20/50	2 37/91	
	3 21/50	3 7/10	3 17/49	3 24/53	3 26/97	
	4 5/47	4 (increase of 3 with vomiting) -3/5	4 8/51	4 7/48	4 14/95	

Study ID	Treatment	Nausea	Vomiting	Photophobia	Phonophobia	Functional disability
Lipton 2010		Baseline:		Baseline:	Baseline:	Baseline:
	1 Diclofenac-K oral solution 50 mg, n = 343	1 224/343		1 333/343	1 312/343	1 331/343
		2 224/347	Baseline:	2 330/347	2 330/347	2 339/347
	2 Placebo, n = 348	At 2 h:		At 2 h:	At 2 h:	At 2 h:
		1 121/343	1 2.0% (7/343)	1 204/343	1 191/343	1 229/343
	N = 317 (888 attacks in total, 274 pts treated 3 attacks)	2 164/347	2 3.2% (11/347)	2 252/347	2 252/347	2 291/347
			Reduced to 2% - too few pts for analysis			
			Relief at 2 h:	Relief at 2 h:	Relief at 2 h:	Relief at 2 h:
		1 103/224	1 129/333	1 121/312	1 102/331	
		2 60/224	2 78/330	2 78/330	2 48/347	
Vecsei 2007	1 DHEP sachet 50 mg (equivalent)	Working ability improved (1 pt) more with DHEP (54.4%) than placebo (39.8%) - based on attacks treated				
	2 Placebo	All associated symptoms reduced (1 pt) more in DHEP than placebo at 2 h				
	N = 133 (481 attacks, 110 treated 4 attacks)					
DHEP - hydrosoluble diclofenac epolamine; h - hour(s); K - potassium; mod - moderate; N - number of participants in study; n - number of participants in treatment arm; Na - sodium; pt(s) - participant(s); severe - severe						

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Dahlof 1993

Methods	Multicentre, randomised, double-dummy, placebo-controlled, 3-period, cross-over study Single oral dose of each treatment for each of three consecutive attacks, with a minimum of 3 days between successively treated attacks. Medication to be taken at the earliest sign of a migraine attack (onset aura/headache) Assessments at 0.5, 1, 1.5 and 3 hours If pain not controlled, participants asked to wait 2 hours before taking rescue medication (of participant's choice)
Participants	Migraine with or without aura (IHS 1988). History: 2 to 8 migraine attacks/month Exclusions: participants with contraindication to study medication, concomitant NSAID therapy, ergotamine/analgesic addiction, pregnancy or inadequate contraception N = 72 M: 16, F: 56 Mean age 40 years, range 18 to 61 Migraine with aura 58%
Interventions	Diclofenac-K 50 mg tablet, n = 64 Diclofenac-K 100 mg tablet, n = 64 Placebo, n = 64 All prophylaxis stopped 2 weeks before start of study
Outcomes	Headache relief (100 mm VAS and 4-point scale) at 2 hours Associated symptoms Working ability Use of rescue medication Adverse events Withdrawals
Notes	Oxford Quality Score: R1, DB2, W0. Total = 3

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not described
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Medication supplied in packs with sequential patient number. Patient allocated lowest available number
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Tablets "of identical outward appearance and shape"
Size	Unclear risk	Group size 50 to 200

Diener 2006

Methods	Multicentre, randomised, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo-controlled, cross-over trial Single dose of each treatment for each of three separate migraine attacks, with at least 48 hours between attacks. Medication taken at the first sign of a migraine attack Assessments at 0, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 90 minutes and 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8 hours If pain not controlled, participants asked to wait 2 hours before taking rescue medication
Participants	Migraine with or without aura (IHS 1988). History: 2 to 6 migraine attacks/month in previous 3 months

Exclusions: participants with interval headaches between attacks, other types of migraine, pregnancy or lactation or inadequate contraception, known hypersensitivity to study or related medications, significant systemic disease

N = 317

M: 44, F: 273

Mean age: 39 years

Interventions	Diclofenac-K sachet 50 mg, n = 291 Diclofenac-K tablet 50 mg, n = 298 Placebo, n = 299 Prophylactic treatment allowed with a single agent if stable
Outcomes	Headache relief at 1, 2 hours Pain-free at 2 hours Sustained headache relief at 24 hours Sustained pain-free at 24 hours Use of rescue medication Relief from accompanying symptoms (combined outcome) Functional disability Adverse events Withdrawals
Notes	Oxford Quality Score: R1, DB2, W1. Total = 4

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not described
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Remote allocation
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	"Double dummy"
Size	Low risk	Group size > 200

DKSMSG 1999

Methods	Multicentre, randomised, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo- and active-controlled, cross-over trial Single oral dose of each medication to treat each of 4 separate attacks; each patient was to receive all 4 treatments during the course of the trial. Medication taken at first sign of pain and attacks separated by 48 hours Assessments at 20 minutes, 40 minutes, and 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8 hours If pain not controlled, participants asked to wait 2 hours before taking rescue medication (paracetamol)
Participants	Migraine $\pm$ aura (IHS 1988). History: 2 to 6 attacks/month in previous 6 months Exclusions: participants experiencing non-migrainous interval headaches or other types of migraine N = 156 M: 37, F: 119 Median age 33 years, range 19 to 70 years Median time since first diagnosis 15 years
Interventions	Diclofenac-K 50 mg, n = 115 Diclofenac-K 100 mg, n = 115 Sumatriptan 100 mg, n = 115 Placebo, n = 115 Beta-blockers allowed if dose stable
Outcomes	Pain intensity (100 mm VAS) at 2 hours - group mean data Associated symptoms Working ability

	Use of rescue medication Adverse events Withdrawals	
Notes	Oxford Quality Score: R1, DB2, W1. Total = 4	
<i>Risk of bias</i>		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not described
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not described
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	“Double dummy”
Size	Unclear risk	Group size 50 to 200

Lipton 2010

Methods	Multicentre, randomised, double-blind, double-dummy, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, single-attack trial Single dose of each medication to treat a single migraine attack, with at least 48 hours of treating previous migraine. Trial medication was to be taken at the earliest sign of a migraine attack, when migraine of moderate or severe intensity Assessments at 0, 15, 30 and 45 minutes and 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 8, 16 and 24 hours If pain not controlled, participants asked to wait 2 hours before taking rescue medication	
Participants	Migraine with or without aura (IHS 2004). History: at least one migraine attack/month in previous year Exclusions: participants experiencing vomiting in 20% of attacks or needing bed rest with most attacks, pregnancy, lactation or inadequate contraception, hypersensitivity to study or related medication, traumatic injury to head or neck within 6 months, other significant medical history N = 690 (ITT population) M: 105, F: 585 Mean age: 40 years, range: 18 to 65 Migraine with aura 13%	
Interventions	Diclofenac-K oral solution 50mg, n = 343 Placebo, n = 347 Prophylactic treatment allowed if dose stable for 3 months	
Outcomes	Headache relief at 2 hours Pain-free at 2 hours Sustained pain-free at 24 hours Associated symptoms Functional disability Adverse events Withdrawals	
Notes	Oxford Quality Score: R1, DB2, W1. Total = 4	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not described

Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not described
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Both treatments made up to clear solution
Size	Low risk	Group size > 200

Vecsei 2007

Methods	Multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over trial Single oral dose of each treatment for four consecutive migraine attacks, with at least 48 hours between consecutive treatments Medication to be taken at the earliest sign of migraine attack, and a second tablet could be taken 1 hour later if relief was judged insufficient by the participant Assessments at 0, 2 and 24 hours “In the case of a migraine attack recurring within 48 hours, the patient was allowed to treat this attack with his ‘usually used attack medicine’ (‘rescue medication’)”
Participants	Migraine without aura. History: 1 to 6 migraine attacks/month in the 12 months prior to enrolment Exclusions: participants usually experiencing severe attacks, known hypersensitivity to study medication, concomitant treatment with drugs that interact with diclofenac, serious psychiatric disease, drug abuse headache N = 133 (ITT participants) M: 14, F: 119 Mean age 42 years
Interventions	Diclofenac epolamine (DHEP) 65 mg sachet, n = 133 Placebo, n = 133
Outcomes	Headache relief at 2 hours “Pain-free” at 2 hours Use of “rescue medication” (appears to refer to medication taken to treat recurrence - see “Methods”, above) Working ability Associated symptoms Adverse events
Notes	Oxford Quality Score: R2, DB1, W0. Total = 3

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	“Computer-generated using validated software”
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not described
Blinding (performance bias and detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not described
Size	Unclear risk	Group size 50 to 200

DB - double blind; F - female; IHS - International Headache Society; ITT - intention-to-treat; M - male; N - number of participants in study; n - number of participants in treatment arm; R - randomised; VAS - visual analogue scale; W - withdrawals

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Bigal 2002	Intramuscular administration of diclofenac
Comoglu 2011	Mixed baseline pain (some mild) with results not reported separately
Del Bene 1987	Intramuscular administration of diclofenac
Karachalios 1992	Intramuscular administration of diclofenac
Massiou 1991	Diagnostic criteria not specified. Baseline pain not specified
Peroutka 2004	30% of participants unaccounted for in first period of cross-over

Characteristics of studies awaiting assessment [ordered by study ID]

Novartis 1995

Methods	Randomised, double-blind, active-control, cross-over Single dose, with additional tablets as needed after 2 hours (maximum 3 per attack for diclofenac or 6 per attack for Cafergot)
Participants	N = 63 (completed both phases?)
Interventions	Diclofenac potassium (Cataflam) 50 mg Cafergot (ergotamine 1 mg + caffeine 100 mg)
Outcomes	
Notes	Referenced in McNeely 1999 as follows: Data on file: GP 45 840 12, Cataflam tablets, diclofenac-K. Novartis Pharma AG (Basel); 1995

Novartis 1998

Methods	Randomised, double-dummy, placebo- and active-control, parallel-group Single dose, with additional tablets as needed after 2 hours (maximum 4 per attack for diclofenac or 5 per attack for Cafergot)
Participants	Migraine $\pm$ aura. Mean baseline pain 50/100 mm N = 423
Interventions	Diclofenac potassium 50 mg, n = 140 (evaluable population) Cafergot (ergotamine 1 mg + caffeine 100 mg), n = 144 Placebo, n = 146
Outcomes	
Notes	Referenced in McNeely 1999 as follows: Data on file: Novartis Pharma AG (Basel). 604man.doc/final draft; 1998

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Pain-free at 2 hours	2	1477	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.02 [1.57, 2.61]
1.1 Standard tablet	1	394	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.37 [0.81, 2.33]
1.2 Soluble	2	1083	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.27 [1.69, 3.05]
2 Headache relief at 2 hours	2	1477	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.47 [1.31, 1.65]
2.1 Standard tablet	1	394	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.31 [1.01, 1.71]
2.2 Soluble	2	1083	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.52 [1.34, 1.73]
3 Sustained pain-free at 24 hours	2	1578	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.25 [1.68, 3.01]
3.1 Standard tablet	1	447	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.61 [0.91, 2.83]
3.2 Soluble	2	1131	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.53 [1.80, 3.55]
4 Relief of functional disability	2	873	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.36 [1.80, 3.08]
5 Any adverse events	2	1075	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.11 [0.86, 1.45]

Analysis 1.1 Comparison 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo, Outcome 1 Pain-free at 2 hours

Review: Diclofenac with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults

Comparison: 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo

Outcome: 1 Pain-free at 2 hours

Analysis 1.2

Comparison 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo,

Outcome 2 Headache relief at 2 hours

Review: Diclofenac with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults

Comparison: 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo

Outcome: 2 Headache relief at 2 hours

Analysis 1.3

Comparison 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo, Outcome

3 Sustained pain-free at 24 hours

Review: Diclofenac with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults

Comparison: 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo

Outcome: 3 Sustained pain-free at 24 hours

Analysis 1.4

Comparison 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo,

Outcome 4 Relief of functional disability

Review: Diclofenac with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults

Comparison: 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo

Outcome: 4 Relief of functional disability

Analysis 1.5

Comparison 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo,

Outcome 5 Any adverse events.

Review: Diclofenac with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults

Comparison: 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo

Outcome: 5 Any adverse events

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 10, 2010

Review first published: Issue 2, 2012

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

We have considered data on one outcome not specified in the protocol. Use of rescue medication was reported by the majority of studies and provides a measure of efficacy from the point of view of the patient. In taking rescue medication the patient is saying that the efficacy of the medication is not adequate and that they need alternative analgesia. They are effectively withdrawing due to lack of efficacy, where efficacy is defined by their preparedness to carry on without additional analgesia, rather than a predefined outcome such as headache relief at 2 hours. We believe this is useful additional information relevant to clinical practice.

References to studies included in this review

- Dahlof 1993 {published data only} . Dahlof C, Bjorkman R. Diclofenac-K (50 and 100mg) and placebo in the acute treatment of migraine. *Cephalgia*. 1993; 13(2):117–23.
- Diener 2006 {published data only} . Diener H-C, Montaga P, Gacs G, Lyczak P, Schumann G, Zoller B, et al. on behalf of the Study Group. Efficacy and tolerability of diclofenac potassium sachets in migraine: a randomised, double-blind, cross-over study in comparison with diclofenac potassium tablets and placebo. *Cephalgia*. 2006; 26(5):537–47.
- DKSMSG 1999 {published data only} . The Diclofenac-K/Sumatriptan Migraine Study Group. Acute treatment of migraine attacks: efficacy and safety of a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, diclofenac-potassium, in comparison to oral sumatriptan and placebo. *Cephalgia*. 1999; 19(4):232–40.
- Lipton 2010 {published data only} . Lipton RB, Grosberg B, Singer RP, Pearlman SH, Sorrentino JV, Quiring JN, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of a new powdered formulation of diclofenac potassium for oral solution for the acute treatment of migraine: results from the International Migraine Pain Assessment Clinical Trial (IMPACT). *Cephalgia*. 2010; 30(11):1136–45.
- Vecsei 2007 {published data only} . Vecsei L, Gallacchi G, Sagi I, Semjen J, Tajti J, Szok D, et al. Diclofenac epolamine is effective in the treatment of acute migraine attacks. A randomised, crossover, double blind, placebo-controlled, clinical study. *Cephalgia*. 2007; 27(1):29–34.

References to studies excluded from this review

- Bigal 2002 {published data only} . Bigal ME, Bordini CA, Speciali JG. Intramuscular diclofenac in the acute treatment of migraine: a double-blind placebo controlled study. *Arquivos de Neuropsiquiatria*. 2002; 60(2-B):410–5.
- Comoglu 2011 {published data only} . Comoglu T, Dogan A, Comoglu S, Basci N. Formulation and evaluation of diclofenac potassium fast-disintegrating tablets and their clinical application in migraine patients. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*. 2011; 37(3):260–7. [PubMed: 20704458]
- Del Bene 1987 {published data only} . Del Bene E, Poggioni M, Garagiola U, Maresca V. Intramuscular treatment of migraine attacks using diclofenac sodium: a cross-over clinical trial. *Journal of International Medical Research*. 1987; 15:44–8. [PubMed: 3545942]
- Karachalios 1992 {published data only} . Karachalios GN, Fotiadou A, Chrisikos N, Karabetsos A, Kehagioglou K. Treatment of acute migraine attack with diclofenac sodium: a double-blind study. *Headache*. 1992; 32(2):98–100. [PubMed: 1551795]
- Massiou 1991 {published data only} . Massiou H, Serrurier D, Lasserre O, Bousser MG. Effectiveness of oral diclofenac in the acute treatment of common migraine attacks: a double-blind study versus placebo. *Cephalgia*. 1991; 11(2):59–63.
- Peroutka 2004 {published data only} . Peroutka SJ, Lyon JA, Swarbrick J, Lipton RB, Kolodner K, Goldstein J. Efficacy of diclofenac sodium softgel 100mg with or without caffeine 100mg in migraine without aura: a randomised, double-blind, crossover study. *Headache*. 2004; 44(2):136–41. [PubMed: 14756851]

References to studies awaiting assessment

- Novartis 1995 {unpublished data only}
- Novartis 1998 {unpublished data only}

Additional references

- Bigal 2008 . Bigal ME, Serrano D, Reed M, Lipton RB. Chronic migraine in the population: burden, diagnosis, and satisfaction with treatment. *Neurology*. 2008; 71(8):559–66. DOI: 10.1212/01.wnl.0000323925.29520.e7. [PubMed: 18711108]

- Clarke 1996 . Clarke CE, MacMillan L, Sondhi S, Wells NEJ. Economic and social impact of migraine. *The Quarterly Journal of Medicine*. 1996; 89(1):77–84.
- Collins 1997 . Collins SL, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. The visual analogue pain intensity scale: what is moderate pain in millimetres? *Pain*. 1997; 72(1-2):95–7. [PubMed: 9272792]
- Cook 1995 . Cook RJ, Sackett DL. The number needed to treat: a clinically useful measure of treatment effect. *BMJ*. 1995; 310(6977):452–4. [PubMed: 7873954]
- Derry 2009 . Derry P, Derry S, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. Single dose oral diclofenac for acute postoperative pain in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2009; (2) DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004768.pub2.
- Derry 2010 . Derry S, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. Paracetamol (acetaminophen) with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2010; (11) DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008040.pub2.
- Derry 2012 . Derry CJ, Derry S, Moore RA. Sumatriptan (oral route of administration) for acute migraine attacks in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2012; (2) DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008615.
- Diamond 2007 . Diamond S, Bigal ME, Silberstein S, Loder E, Reed M, Lipton RB. Patterns of diagnosis and acute and preventive treatment for migraine in the United States: results from the American Migraine Prevalence and Prevention study. *Headache*. 2007; 47(3):355–63. DOI: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.2006.00631.x. [PubMed: 17371352]
- Edmeads 1993 . Edmeads J, Findlay H, Tugwell P, Pryse-Phillips W, Nelson RF, Murray TJ. Impact of migraine and tension-type headache on life-style, consulting behaviour, and medication use: a Canadian population survey. *Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences*. 1993; 20(2):131–7. [PubMed: 8334575]
- Ferrari 1998 . Ferrari MD. The economic burden of migraine to society. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 1998; 13(6):667–76. [PubMed: 10179702]
- Friedman 2005 . Friedman BW, Corbo J, Lipton RB, Bijur PE, Esses D, Solorzano C, et al. A trial of metoclopramide vs sumatriptan for the emergency department treatment of migraines. *Neurology*. 2005; 64(3):463–8. DOI: 10.1212/01.WNL.0000150904.28131.DD. [PubMed: 15699376]
- Hazard 2009 . Hazard E, Munakata J, Bigal ME, Rupnow MF, Lipton RB. The burden of migraine in the United States: current and emerging perspectives on disease management and economic analysis. *Value in Health*. 2009; 12(1):55–64. DOI: 10.1111/j.1524-4733.2008.00404.x. [PubMed: 18671771]
- Hu 1999 . Hu XH, Markson LE, Lipton RB, Stewart WF, Berger ML. Burden of migraine in the United States: disability and economic costs. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1999; 159(8):813–8. [PubMed: 10219926]
- IBSA 2011 . Institut Biochimique SA. [accessed 7 January 2012] Flector oral form. <http://www.ibsa.ch/welcome-intl/products-nsaids-flector.oral-intl.htm?id=1240>
- IHS 1988 . Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. Classification and diagnostic criteria for headache disorders, cranial neuralgias and facial pain. *Cephalalgia*. 1988; 8(Suppl 7):1–96.
- IHS 2000 . International Headache Society Clinical Trials Subcommittee. Guidelines for controlled trials of drugs in migraine: second edition. *Cephalalgia*. 2000; 20(9):765–86. [PubMed: 11167908]
- IHS 2004 . Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society. The International Classification of Headache Disorders: 2nd edition. *Cephalalgia*. 2004; 24(Suppl 1): 1–160.
- Jadad 1996a . Jadad AR, Carroll D, Moore A, McQuay H. Developing a database of published reports of randomised clinical trials in pain research. *Pain*. 1996; 66(2-3):239–46. [PubMed: 8880846]
- Jadad 1996b . Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJM, Gavaghan DJ, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Controlled Clinical Trials*. 1996; 17(1):1–12. [PubMed: 8721797]

- Jhingran 1996 . Jhingran P, Cady RK, Rubino J, Miller D, Grice RB, Gutterman DL. Improvements in health-related quality of life with sumatriptan treatment for migraine. *Journal of Family Practice*. 1996; 42(1):36–42. [PubMed: 8537803]
- Kirthi 2010 . Kirthi V, Derry S, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. Aspirin with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2010; (4) DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008041.pub2.
- L'Abbé 1987 . L'Abbé KA, Detsky AS, O'Rourke K. Meta-analysis in clinical research. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1987; 107(2):224–33. [PubMed: 3300460]
- Lipton 1999 . Lipton RB, Stewart WF. Acute migraine therapy: do doctors understand what patients with migraine want from therapy? *Headache*. 1999; 39(Suppl 2):S20–6.
- Lipton 2007 . Lipton RB, Bigal ME, Diamond M, Freitag F, Reed ML, Stewart WF, AMPP Advisory Group. Migraine prevalence, disease burden, and the need for preventive therapy. *Neurology*. 2007; 68(5):343–9. [PubMed: 17261680]
- Lofland 1999 . Lofland JH, Johnson NE, Batenhorst AS, Nash DB. Changes in resource use and outcomes for patients with migraine treated with sumatriptan: a managed care perspective. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1999; 159(8):857–63. [PubMed: 10219932]
- Lucas 2006 . Lucas C, Géraud G, Valade D, Chautard MH, Lantéri-Minet M. Recognition and therapeutic management of migraine in 2004, in France: results of FRAMIG 3, a French nationwide population-based survey. *Headache*. 2006; 46(5):715–25. DOI: 10.1111/j.1526-4610.2006.00430.x. [PubMed: 16643573]
- McNeely 1999 . McNeely W, Goa KL. Diclofenac-Potassium in Migraine. A Review. *Drugs*. 1999; 57(6):991–1003. [PubMed: 10400409]
- Moore 1998 . Moore RA, Gavaghan D, Tramer MR, Collins SL, McQuay HJ. Size is everything - large amounts of information are needed to overcome random effects in estimating direction and magnitude of treatment effects. *Pain*. 1998; 78(3):209–16. [PubMed: 9870574]
- Moore 2008 . Moore, RA.; Barden, J.; Derry, S.; McQuay, HJ. Managing potential publication bias. In: McQuay, HJ.; Kalso, E.; Moore, RA., editors. *Systematic Reviews in Pain Research: Methodology Refined*. IASP Press; Seattle: 2008. p. 15-24. ISBN: 978-0-931092-69-5
- Moore 2010 . Moore RA, Eccleston C, Derry S, Wiffen P, Bell RF, Straube S, et al. ACTINPAIN Writing Group of the IASP Special Interest Group on Systematic Reviews in Pain Relief; Cochrane Pain, Palliative and Supportive Care Systematic Review Group. "Evidence" in chronic pain - establishing best practice in the reporting of systematic reviews. *Pain*. 2010; 150(3):386–9. DOI: doi:10.1016/j.pain.2010.05.011. [PubMed: 20627575]
- Morris 1995 . Morris, JA.; Gardner, MJ. Calculating confidence intervals for relative risk, odds ratios and standardised ratios and rates. In: Gardner, MJ.; Altman, DG., editors. *Statistics with confidence - confidence intervals and statistical guidelines*. British Medical Journal; London: 1995. p. 50-63.
- Osterhaus 1994 . Osterhaus JT, Townsend RJ, Gandek B, Ware JE Jr. Measuring the functional status and well-being of patients with migraine headache. *Headache*. 1994; 34(6):337–43. [PubMed: 7928312]
- Patrono 2001 . Patrono C, Patrignani P, García Rodríguez LA. Cyclooxygenase-selective inhibition of prostanoid formation: transducing biochemical selectivity into clinical read-outs. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2001; 108(1):7–13. [PubMed: 11435450]
- Patrono 2009 . Patrono C, Baigent C. Low-dose aspirin, coxibs, and other NSAIDs: a clinical mosaic emerges. *Molecular Interventions*. 2009; 9:31–9. [PubMed: 19299662]
- PCA 2010 . The NHS Information Centre. Prescription Cost Analysis for England 2009. The NHS Information Centre for health and social care; 2010. Prescribing Support Unit. ISBN: 978-1-84636-408-2
- Rabbie 2010 . Rabbie R, Derry S, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. Ibuprofen with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2010; (10) DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008039.pub2.
- Radtke 2009 . Radtke A, Neuhauser H. Prevalence and burden of headache and migraine in Germany. *Headache*. 2009; 49(1):79–89. [PubMed: 19125877]

- RevMan 2011 . The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). 5.1. The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration; Copenhagen: 2011.
- Ross-Lee 1983 . Ross-Lee LM, Eadie MJ, Heazlewood V, Bochner F, Tyrer JH. Aspirin pharmacokinetics in migraine. The effect of metoclopramide. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. 1983; 24(6):777–85. [PubMed: 6884416]
- Salazar-Tortolero 2008 . Salazar-Tortolero G, Huertas-Campistol A, Vergez-Pinto L, Ramos-Brunet A, Lluch-López J. Metoclopramide as a painkiller for intense migraine headache in emergency departments [Metoclopramida como analgésico en la cefalea migrañosa intensa en urgencias]. *Revista de Neurologia*. 2008; 47(10):506–8. [PubMed: 19012253]
- Solomon 1997 . Solomon GD, Price KL. Burden of migraine. A review of its socioeconomic impact. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 1997; 11(Suppl 1):1–10. [PubMed: 10168038]
- Stovner 2010 . Stovner LJ, Andree C. Prevalence of headache in Europe: a review for the Eurolight project. *Journal of Headache and Pain*. 2010; 11(4):289–99. DOI: 10.1007/s10194-010-0217-0. [PubMed: 20473702]
- Tramer 1997 . Tramèr MR, Reynolds DJM, Moore RA, McQuay HJ. Impact of covert duplicate results on meta-analysis: a case study. *BMJ*. 1997; 315(7109):635–40. [PubMed: 9310564]
- Victor 2010 . Victor TW, Hu X, Campbell JC, Buse DC, Lipton RB. Migraine prevalence by age and sex in the United States: a life-span study. *Cephalalgia*. 2010; 30(9):1065–72. DOI: 10.1177/0333102409355601. [PubMed: 20713557]
- Volans 1974 . Volans GN. Absorption of effervescent aspirin during migraine. *BMJ*. 1994; 4(5939):265–8. [PubMed: 4425854]
- Volans 1975 . Volans GN. The effect of metoclopramide on the absorption of effervescent aspirin in migraine. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*. 1975; 2(1):57–63. [PubMed: 791318]
- * Indicates the major publication for the study

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Diclofenac with or without an antiemetic for acute migraine headaches in adults

This review found that oral diclofenac potassium 50 mg was an effective treatment for migraine headache, reducing moderate to severe pain to no more than mild pain within two hours in about half (55%) of those treated, to no pain at two hours in about one in five (22%), and to no pain sustained to 24 hours in about the same number (19%). Based on very limited information, it appears that associated symptoms of nausea, photophobia and phonophobia were also relieved by diclofenac potassium. Adverse events were mostly self limiting and of mild or moderate intensity, and were not significantly different from placebo over the short term. Although diclofenac provided good outcomes for some patients, almost half did not experience adequate pain relief within two hours, and as few as one in five became pain-free. It is not clear whether the 100 mg dose provides good outcomes for more people. For those who do not experience adequate responses, a different therapy will be needed.

There was no information about different formulations of diclofenac (e.g. rectal) to treat acute migraine headaches.

Figure 1. Risk of bias graph: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies

Figure 2. Forest plot of comparison: 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo, outcome: 1.1 Pain-free at 2 hours

Figure 3. Forest plot of comparison: 1 Diclofenac 50 mg versus placebo, outcome: 1.2 Headache relief at 2 hours