Published in final edited form as:

Cochrane Database Syst Rev. ; (4): CD001561. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001561.pub2.

Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Shah Ebrahim¹, Andrew Beswick², Margaret Burke¹, and George Davey Smith³

¹Department of Epidemiology & Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

²MRC Health Services Research Collaboration, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

³Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

Abstract

Background—Primary prevention programmes in many countries attempt to reduce mortality and morbidity due to coronary heart disease (CHD) through risk factor modification. It is widely believed that multiple risk factor intervention using counselling and educational methods is efficacious and cost-effective and should be expanded. Recent trials examining risk factor changes have cast considerable doubt on the effectiveness of these multiple risk factor interventions.

Objectives—To assess the effects of multiple risk factor intervention for reducing cardiovascular risk factors, total mortality, and mortality from CHD among adults without clinical evidence of established cardiovascular disease.

Search strategy—MEDLINE was searched for the original review to 1995. This was updated by searching the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials on The Cochrane Library Issue 3 2001, MEDLINE(2000 to September 2001) and EMBASE (1998 to September 2001).

Selection criteria—Intervention studies using counselling or education to modify more than one cardiovascular risk factor in adults from general populations, occupational groups, or high risk groups. Trials of less than 6 months duration were excluded.

Data collection and analysis—Data were extracted by two reviewers independently. Investigators were contacted to obtain missing information.

Copyright © 2009 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

Contact address: Shah Ebrahim, Department of Epidemiology & Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London, WC1E 7HT, UK. shah.ebrahim@lshtm.ac.uk.

Editorial group: Cochrane Heart Group.

Publication status and date: Edited (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 1, 2009.

Review content assessed as up-to-date: 17 August 2006.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

G Davey Smith and S Ebrahim wrote the original review.

For the update:

A Beswick selected studies, extracted data, performed analysis, and co-wrote the review

M Burke ran searches, selected studies and extracted data

S Ebrahim selected studies, analysed data and co-wrote the review

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None known.

Main results—A total of 39 trials were found of which ten reported clinical event data. In the ten trials with clinical event end-points, the pooled odds ratios for total and CHD mortality were 0.96 (95% confidence intervals (CI) 0.92 to 1.01) and 0.96 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.04) respectively. Net changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and blood cholesterol were (weighted mean differences) -3.6 mmHg (95% CI -3.9 to -3.3 mmHg), -2.8 mmHg (95% CI -2.9 to -2.6 mmHg) and -0.07 mMol/l (95% CI -0.8 to -0.06 mMol/l) respectively. Odds of reduction in smoking prevalence was 20% (95% CI 8% to 31%). Statistical heterogeneity between the studies with respect to mortality and risk factor changes was due to trials focusing on hypertensive participants and those using considerable amounts of drug treatment.

Authors' conclusions—The pooled effects suggest multiple risk factor intervention has no effect on mortality. However, a small, but potentially important, benefit of treatment (about a 10% reduction in CHD mortality) may have been missed. Risk factor changes were relatively modest, were related to the amount of pharmacological treatment used, and in some cases may have been over-estimated because of regression to the mean effects, lack of intention to treat analyses, habituation to blood pressure measurement, and use of self-reports of smoking. Interventions using personal or family counselling and education with or without pharmacological treatments appear to be more effective at achieving risk factor reduction and consequent reductions in mortality in high risk hypertensive populations. The evidence suggests that such interventions have limited utility in the general population.

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Coronary Disease [mortality; *prevention & control]; Patient Education as Topic; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Risk Factors

MeSH check words

Humans

BACKGROUND

In apparently healthy and asymptomatic people, the incidence of cardiovascular disease is in part explained by the modifiable risk factors: serum total cholesterol and reduced high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, blood pressure, cigarette smoking and diabetes. Primary prevention programmes attempt to reduce coronary heart disease (CHD) mortality and morbidity through risk factor modification. While the identification of high risk individuals and treatment according to level of cardiac risk may be effective at an individual level, population strategies may be more effective in reducing the burden of cardiovascular disease (Emberson 2004). Recent findings suggest that increasingly effective drug treatments for high risk individuals and widespread implementation of population wide strategies of tobacco control and dietary changes is increasing the advantage of high risk approaches to prevention. (Jackson 2006)

In the UK, the National Service Framework for coronary heart disease promotes multiple risk factor counselling and health education (NSF-CHD 2000). In the USA, the AHA Guidelines for Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease and Stroke: 2002 update

identify areas for intervention based on the non-pharmacological treatment of established risk factors (AHA 2002). Similarly, the Third Joint Task Force of the European and other Societies recommend use of behavioural counselling for stopping smoking tobacco, making healthy food choices and increasing physical activity (ESC 2003).

Primary prevention programmes in many countries attempt to reduce mortality and morbidity due to coronary heart disease through risk factor modification (Canadian 1986; Kickbush 1988). Randomized controlled trials of the efficacy of multiple risk factor intervention using counselling and education in addition to, or instead of, pharmacological treatments to modify major cardiovascular risk factors have been carried out in primary care and in the work place. The findings of these trials have been equivocal; efficacy in reducing disease incidence appears to be associated with the degree of risk factor control achieved (Editorial I 1982; Editorial II 1982). Taken with evidence from quasi-experimental studies, such as the North Karelia project (Puska 1976; Puska 1981) and the Stanford Heart Disease Prevention Programme (Farquhar 1977; Farquhar 1990; Fortmann 1993), it was widely believed that multiple risk factor intervention using counselling and educational methods was efficacious and cost-effective and should be expanded.

Along side this research health services have acted by developing health promotion as a specialty (Editorial 1984), organising the routine collection of data on cardiovascular risk factors in British primary care, and issuing primary prevention policy, for example the Health of the Nation strategy in England (DoH 1992). More recent trials examining risk factor changes have cast considerable doubt on the effectiveness of these multiple risk factor interventions (Stott 1994a; Stott 1994b) and even interventions specifically against smoking (COMMIT I 1995; COMMIT II 1995) prompting a review of the reasons for the frequent failure of such community experiments (Susser 1995). A new generation of population based interventions such as the Minnesota Heart Health Program (Luepker 1994), Heartbeat Wales (Tudor-Smith 1998), the Malmö preventive project (Berglund 2000) have cast further doubt on the value of such interventions.

Treatment of multiple risk factors by diet modification, promotion of physical activity, weight loss and smoking cessation has been evaluated in a number of randomised trials. Two previous reviews (McCormick 1988; Schoenberger 1990) of multiple risk factor intervention using counselling and education with or without pharmacological treatments were conducted prior to the publication of more recent trials, were not systematic in their coverage, and did not formally aggregate the findings through meta-analysis.

In a systematic review, fourteen randomised trials were identified up until April 1995. Meta-analyses suggested that although interventions achieved reductions in risk factors, these were small and were not translated into significant decreases in morbidity or mortality (Ebrahim 1997). Since this systematic review was published a number of trials of multiple risk factor interventions have been reported and update of the review is needed.

OBJECTIVES

To assess the effectiveness of multiple risk factor intervention using counselling and/or educational approaches with or without pharmacological interventions in:

- a) reducing systolic and diastolic blood pressure;
- b) reducing blood cholesterol levels;
- c) reducing smoking rates;
- d) reducing total mortality;
- e) reducing CHD mortality;

among middle-aged (40+) adults.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies—Randomized controlled trials of at least 6 months duration with parallel group design. Trials may be randomized by individual or by group (e.g. family, workplace site).

Types of participants—Adults aged at least 40 years old.

General populations, workforce populations and high risk groups.

Participants without clinical evidence of cardiovascular diseases.

Types of interventions—Counselling or educational interventions, with or without pharmacological treatments, which aim to reduce more than one cardiovascular risk factor (i.e. blood pressure, smoking, total blood cholesterol, physical activity, diet).

Types of outcome measures—Total mortality, CHD mortality, net change in blood pressure, smoking and total blood cholesterol.

Search methods for identification of studies

MEDLINE was searched from 1966 to April 1995 using an RCT filter (Dickersin 1994) together with relevant diagnostic terms (i.e. coronary heart disease and stroke) and text word searches for specific interventions (e.g. dietary change, smoking cessation, exercise, blood pressure control, prevention, cholesterol lowering). Searches of reference lists of papers, expert advice and use of citation searches. This was updated by searching the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) on the Cochrane Library Issue 3 2001, MEDLINE (2000 to September 2001) and EMBASE (1998 to September 2001), using an RCT filter for MEDLINE (Dickersin 1994) and EMBASE (Lefebvre 1996). See additional tables for details of search strategies (Table 1; Table 2; Table 3; Table 4).

Strategy used for searching The Cochrane Library:

- #1 CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES
- #2 CORONARY DISEASE
- #3 cardiovascular

- #4** (coronary near disease*)
- #5** (heart near disease*)
- #6** HYPERTENSION
- #7** hypertension
- #8** (atherosclerosis or arteriosclerosis)
- #9** (hyperlipidaemia or hyperlipidemia)
- #10** ARTERIOSCLEROSIS
- #11** CHOLESTEROL
- #12** HYPERLIPIDEMIA
- #13** cholesterol
- #14** (multiple next risk next factor*)
- #15** (coronary next risk next factor*)
- #16** (#1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10)
- #17** (#11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15)
- #18** (#16 or #17)
- #19** HEALTH EDUCATION
- #20** HEALTH PROMOTION
- #21** HEALTH BEHAVIOR
- #22** PRIMARY PREVENTION
- #23** COUNSELING
- #24** counsel*
- #25** (health near educat*)
- #26** (patient near educat*)
- #27** (education* near program*)
- #28** (health near promotion*)
- #29** (health near behaviour*)
- #30** (health near behavior*)
- #31** (primary next prevention)
- #32** ((multiple next risk) near intervention*)
- #33** (multifactor* near intervention*)
- #34** (multifactor* near prevention)
- #35** ((risk next factor*) near reduc*)

- #36 ((risk next factor*) near manag*)
- #37 ((risk next factor*) near intervent*)
- #38 (lifestyle near intervention*)
- #39 (lifestyle near advice)
- #40 (life-style near intervention*)
- #41 (life-style near advice)
- #42 (life-style near alter*)
- #43 (lifestyle near alter*)
- #44 (lifestyle near educat*)
- #45 (life-style near educat*)
- #46 (life-style near chang*)
- #47 (lifestyle near chang*)
- #48 (behavior* near chang*)
- #49 (behaviour* near chang*)
- #50 ((health next care) near advice)
- #51 (healthcare near advice)
- #52 nonpharmacologic*
- #53 non-pharmacologic*
- #54 (#19 or #20 or #21 or #22 or #23 or #24 or #25 or #26 or # 27 or #28 or #29)
- #55 (#30 or #31 or #32 or #33 or #34 or #35 or #36 or #37 or # 38 or #39)
- #56 (#40 or #41 or #42 or #43 or #44 or #45 or #46 or #47 or # 48 or #49 or #50 or #51 or #52 or #53)
- #57 ((#54 or #55 or #56)
- #58 (#18 and #57)

Data collection and analysis

For the original search titles and abstracts obtained through searches were checked by two reviewers. Each paper thought to be of possible relevance was obtained and read by each reviewer independently to determine whether it fitted specified inclusion criteria. Disagreements were discussed and resolved between the two reviewers. The results of the updated search were checked by one reviewer to eliminate those studies that were definitely not relevant to the review. Remaining records were checked by two reviewers independently. All papers that were thought to be of relevance were obtained and read by two reviewers independently. Disagreements were resolved by discussion or by consultation with a third reviewer. The main aspect of quality that was formally assessed was the

adequacy of concealment of randomisation as other aspects (e.g. blinding) are of less relevance in non-pharmacological interventions. In addition, comparability of baseline characteristics and completeness of follow up were assessed.

Independent data abstraction was performed and chief investigators were contacted to provide additional relevant information where necessary.

For continuous variables, net changes were compared (i.e. control group minus intervention group differences) at the longest duration of follow up available and the standardised mean difference were examined using random and fixed effects model. Categorical variables (mortality rates) were expressed as odds ratios and fixed effect models used except where there was significant heterogeneity of effects.

RESULTS

Description of studies

See: Characteristics of included studies; Characteristics of excluded studies.

Details of the studies included in the review are shown in the table of characteristics of included studies.

Risk of bias in included studies

The quality of the trials examined deserves comment. Very few of the published reports provided sufficient detail to replicate the intervention used, and in several trials the intervention varied between sites and over time. It is likely that the quality of the intervention, in terms of intensity and frequency, person carrying out activities, and the theoretical framework of behavioural change used will determine the impact of intervention. Losses to follow up were a particular problem as changes in risk factors cannot be assessed in an intention to treat analysis. Validation of smoking outcomes using biochemical assay of cotinine was only used in one trial.

Random allocation methods were not usually reported in the smaller trials and specific enquiries were not made of investigators. In the large trials, it is unlikely that the allocation method was suspect. Blinding of intervention allocation is not possible in lifestyle interventions and this inevitably raises the possibility of bias. Outcomes were usually assessed with knowledge of treatment allocation and this too makes biased assessment of some outcomes possible. It seems unlikely that lack of blinding had any effect on clinical event outcomes, but it is possible that participants randomised to a control or usual care group might have been more likely to take health preventive activity as they may have felt they were missing potential benefits. Lack of blinding in assessment of smoking histories may have resulted in a reporting bias with those allocated to interventions more likely to say they had stopped smoking. Validation of self-reported smoking outcomes using biochemical assay of serum thiocyanate was reported in three trials.

Effects of interventions

Details of the studies included in the review are shown in Table 1. A total of 39 trials of multiple risk factor intervention were found comprising 44 distinct study groups. This is more than double the 18 trials identified for the original review. Ten trials reported total or coronary heart disease mortality as outcomes but none of these were additional to those in the original review. One trial from the original review, the Swedish RIS study, reported extended mortality follow up. Only four trials were sufficiently large to have adequate power to show meaningful changes in total or coronary heart disease mortality. More recent trials focussed on the outcomes: blood pressure, serum cholesterol, physical activity, diet, control of diabetes and weight loss. In the original review eleven studies reported smoking habit as an outcome. Among the newly identified trials only a further three reported an outcome relating to smoking cessation.

In more recent trials the quality of reporting was noticeably improved. It was noted in the original review that few early trials provided sufficient detail to replicate the intervention used and that several studies reported interventions that varied between sites and over time.

In general, the trials compared an intervention comprising some form of counselling and education with control groups, which either received nothing or usual care. The type of behavioural intervention used was seldom reported. In the few studies reporting the type of intervention a Stages of Change model (Diclemente 1991; Prochaska 1983) and a person centred and self directed psychological approach (Meichenbaum 1993) were described. In trials included in the review, education and counselling targeted combinations of diet, exercise, weight loss, smoking cessation, diabetes management and use of medication.

With the exception of one study comprising men and women aged 60-85 years (Applegate 1992), the oldest subjects included in the trials were 75 years of age. The majority of trials randomised only middle-aged adults and some included younger adults. One trial was not included in analyses (Connell 1995) as losses to follow up were extremely high.

Sixty seven trials identified as involving multiple risk factor interventions were excluded from consideration for the following reasons: no risk factor changes measured and/or reported (n=9), non-random or inadequate allocation to intervention and control groups (n=21), no specific multiple risk factor intervention (n=3), control group received substantial intervention (n=17), follow up to 6 months was not reported (n=6), the mean age of participants was less than 40 (n=5), a substantial proportion of participants had heart disease (n=3), numbers in groups were not reported (n= 1), or baseline or follow up data were not provided (n=2). A large number of studies were set up in what was then the Soviet Union but it appears that allocation to intervention and control groups was not random although attempts to trace the investigators have been unsuccessful.

The trials with clinical end-points comprise approximately 903,000 patient years of observation and those with risk factor end-points had 303,000 patient-years of observation. The oldest subjects included in any of the trials were less than 74 years of age, with the majority of trials randomising only middle-aged adults.

Total mortality and coronary heart disease mortality—Ten trials reported total and coronary heart disease mortality outcomes. For total mortality there was a pooled odds ratio of 0.96 (95% CI 0.92 to 1.01) favouring intervention. With regard to coronary heart disease mortality the odds ratio favouring intervention was 0.96 (95% CI 0.89 to 1.04). Funnel plots suggested little evidence of small study bias in trials with these outcomes (Figure 1; Figure 2). Only the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program, Johns Hopkins Hypertension Trial and Swedish RIS reported significant effects on total mortality (HDFP trial, Johns Hopkins, Swedish RIS). The Johns Hopkins Hypertension Trial also showed a significant benefit for coronary heart disease mortality.

Evidence of statistical heterogeneity was apparent in the pooled odds ratios for total mortality but not for coronary heart disease mortality when all studies were included. Removal of the trials including hypertensives (HDFP trial; Johns Hopkins) reduced the heterogeneity for total mortality. Including a term for interaction between treatment effect and baseline level of coronary heart disease risk calculated using either control group coronary heart disease risk or combined control and intervention group risk reduced heterogeneity between the trials to insignificant levels for total and coronary heart disease mortality.

Modelling the effects of age using the mean age of study participants and proportion of patients on antihypertensive treatment and cholesterol lowering drug treatment did not reveal any significant interactions between age, drug treatments and outcome. The significant interaction between intervention and level of coronary heart disease risk indicated that trials recruiting higher risk participants were more likely to demonstrate beneficial effects. This effect is explained by the inclusion of the two trials which studied hypertensive patients rather than general population or workforce subjects. It is impossible to separate this effect of baseline coronary heart disease risk from the benefits of pharmacological treatment of hypertension.

Non-fatal myocardial infarctions were reported in the WHO Factory Study, the Gothenberg Study and in the Oslo Study (WHO Factories; Gothenberg Study; The Oslo Study). The pooled odds ratio for non-fatal myocardial infarction in these three trials was 1.01 (95% CI 0.93 to 1.10).

Changes in risk factors—In all but five of the trials reporting systolic blood pressure as an outcome there was a tendency for reduced systolic blood pressure in intervention groups. Changes in blood pressure were small and slightly less in the updated compared with the original review. In 38 interventions reporting systolic blood pressure change, the weighted mean difference between intervention and control was -3.6 mm Hg (95% CI -3.9 to -3.3) in fixed effect analysis. For diastolic blood pressure the weighted mean difference was -2.8 mm Hg (95% CI -2.9 to -2.6). For both outcomes there was no evidence of small study bias in the trials as shown by the funnel plots (Figure 3; Figure 4; Figure 5; Figure 6).

The Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial, the Hypertension Detection and Follow Up Program, the WHO factories study, the Gothenburg study and the Finnish Businessmen study used antihypertensive drug therapy if indicated (Finnish men; Gothenberg Study;

HDFP trial; MRFIT Study; WHO Factories). Exclusion of those trials in which a high proportion of participants were on pharmacological treatment (CELL Study; Gothenberg Study; Finnish men; HDFP trial; MRFIT Study; Perez-Stable prop) resulted in smaller, but still statistically significant, net reductions in blood pressure: systolic -2.7 mmHg (CI -3.9 to -1.5), diastolic -1.7 mmHg (CI -2.0 to -1.5).

Not all trials reported, or were able to provide data on, blood pressure at follow up. Investigators from the Oslo study stated that there were no changes observed (Hjerman I. personal communication, 1996).

Blood cholesterol—Blood cholesterol levels showed a small but highly significant fall (net difference -0.07 mmol/L, 95% CI -0.08 to -0.06 mmol/L). This was half the fall seen in the earlier review and may reflect more widespread use of cholesterol lowering medication in recent years. Substantial heterogeneity was apparent in this meta-analysis. The Hypertension Detection & Follow-up Program reported no reduction in blood cholesterol levels but published quantitative data are not available (HDFP trial). The Finnish Businessmen Trial made substantial use of cholesterol lowering drugs (mainly probucol and clofibrate) which probably explains the larger reduction in blood cholesterol observed in this trial (Finnish men). In the FARIS trial there was a mean reduction in cholesterol of 3.0 mmol/L in men randomised to multifactorial intervention (FARIS men; FARIS women). This is probably a consequence of statin prescription being recommended after 3 months of intervention if cholesterol control was not adequate by lifestyle modification. Exclusion of these trials did not make a difference to the pooled fall observed.

Smoking—Smoking prevalence showed an overall net reduction of 24%. Substantial heterogeneity was apparent and an odds reduction of 20% was observed in a random effects model. In the Hypertension Detection & Follow up Program quantitative data were not available but no changes in smoking rates were found (HDFP trial). Smoking rates fell particularly sharply in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial and in the Oslo Study which both used individual smoking advice given by a physician (MRFIT Study; The Oslo Study) and in the Change of Heart study where large baseline differences between groups were noted and losses to follow up were high (Change of Heart study). Validation of self-reported smoking rate reductions in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial by comparison with serum thiocyanate levels suggested that the improvement might be overestimated (MRFIT Study).

Heterogeneity of effects—Blood pressure, smoking, and blood cholesterol outcomes were subject to substantial heterogeneity. Random effects analyses were also conducted which showed similar pooled net effects but as the variation between trials is taken into account (which is large), confidence intervals were wider. The heterogeneity between trials is probably explained by differences in the use of both antihypertensive and cholesterol-lowering drugs, and the populations studied. Risk factor net changes were strongly correlated with the initial level of diastolic blood pressure, smoking and blood cholesterol but not systolic blood pressure. The sample size weighted correlation coefficients between initial level and magnitude of risk factor reduction for diastolic blood pressure, smoking and blood cholesterol were 0.73 , $p=0.006$, 0.63 , $p=0.01$ and 0.74 , $p=0.004$ respectively. Those

studies with the highest baseline diastolic blood pressure, smoking prevalence and blood cholesterol levels demonstrated larger intervention associated falls in these risk factors.

Cluster-randomisation—In meta-analysis the weighting given to trials with a cluster design may be over-estimated. In trials with cluster design analysed by individual or by cluster there was no evidence of overall benefit with regard to lowering of blood pressure or cholesterol. Benefits tended to be in trials with randomisation by individual.

DISCUSSION

Findings

As reported in the earlier review, multiple risk factor interventions comprising counselling, education and drug therapies were ineffective in achieving reductions in total or cardiovascular disease mortality when used in general or workforce populations of middle-aged adults. The pooled effects of intervention were statistically insignificant but a potentially useful benefit of treatment (about a 10% reduction in coronary heart disease mortality) may have been missed.

The risk factor changes associated with interventions were modest, but are probably optimistic estimates as changes could only be measured in those remaining in the trials. Habituation to blood pressure measurement, regression to the mean, and self-reports of smoking will also tend to exaggerate the changes observed. It is, however, not possible to separate participants' level of risk from the use of anti-hypertensives in the present set of trials, as studies with high-risk participants tended to be the ones which included participants with high levels of anti-hypertensive drug use. Furthermore, there are many problems in relating trial outcome to a risk measure which is itself dependent on the outcome in meta-analysis (Egger 1995). Therefore our conclusions on these issues can only be tentative.

Heterogeneity of intervention effects is apparent. This is probably caused by two factors: the participants included in the trials, and the use of pharmacological treatments. Hypertensives, at highest risk, were more likely to benefit from counselling and education, and effective drugs. These findings suggest that targeting of current health promotion activities to high risk individuals might be of more value than more general health promotion for everyone.

The interventions used

The benefits of drug treatments for lowering blood pressure and cholesterol are clear (Collins 1994; Davey Smith 1993; CTT 2005). However, those people at highest risk of disease in both hypertension control (Mulrow 1995) and cholesterol lowering (Davey Smith 1993) benefit most. Treatment of low risk populations may result in small treatment benefits being outweighed by small treatment risks (Davey Smith 1994) which may have occurred in both the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial and the Finnish businessmen's trial (Finnish men; MRFIT Study). There were strong associations between baseline levels of risk factors and net falls experienced, suggesting that intervention may be more effective in populations with particularly adverse risk factor profiles.

More intensive interventions might be expected to produce better effects although those used in many of the trials would far exceed what is feasible in routine practice. A meta-analysis of dietary modifications found that increasing intensity of dietary intervention was associated with greater falls in blood cholesterol levels in high risk participants (Brunner 1997). In the Minnesota Heart Health Programme, a non-randomised community trial of intensive health promotion, both risk-factor and mortality changes showed virtually no difference between intervention and control communities (Luepker 1996). The continued enthusiasm for health promotion practices given the failure of these community intervention trials is curious, especially given the huge resources which have been put into them.

Latency of effects

It is possible that benefits cannot be detected in the early stages but emerge over time. Longer term follow up of the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial participants has demonstrated increased divergence between control and intervention group mortality rates (MRFITRG 1990) which has also been found in the Tromso Family Trial (Professor S. Knutson, personal communication). However, evidence from pharmacological trials suggests benefits from reduction of blood pressure and blood cholesterol are observed within two to four years (Collins 1994; Scandanavian 1994). The effects of giving up smoking vary depending on the clinical outcome considered: stroke risk falls rapidly after stopping (Wannamethee 1995), but coronary heart disease risk may be less reversible (Ben-Shlomo 1994; Cook 1986).

Evidence of benefit

The quasi-experimental North Karelia study has been very influential in supporting multiple risk factor intervention. Examination of the trends in both risk factors (Puska 1985; Vartiainen 1994) and coronary heart disease mortality (Valkonen 1992) observed in North Karelia and comparison regions show similar patterns occurring at the same time, suggesting that the interventions in North Karelia were not instrumental in causing the improvements observed (Ebrahim 2001). Indeed, the North Karelia and similar projects may be viewed as effects, or epiphenomena, of the very high coronary heart disease mortality rates experienced in many countries in the 1960s.

In secondary prevention following myocardial infarction and angina, trials of multiple and single risk factor interventions have suggested substantial benefits (Mullen 1992; Oldridge 1988; O'Connor 1989). It is probable that intervention aimed at lifestyle modification following myocardial infarction is effective because participants are much more likely to change their behaviours.

Limitations of randomised controlled trials

The interventions reviewed were essentially individual or family approaches. Randomised controlled trials impose limitations on the nature of interventions that may be tested and are of more value in examining high risk rather than population and social approaches to prevention (Rose 1992).

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

The use of “health promotion” techniques of one-to-one or family orientated information and advice on a range of life-styles (exercise, smoking cessation, diet) given to people at relatively low risk of cardiovascular disease is not particularly effective in terms of reducing the risk of clinical events. The costs of such interventions are high and it seems likely that these resources and techniques may be better used in people at high risk of cardiovascular disease where evidence of effectiveness is much stronger.

Policy implications—Health protection through national fiscal and legislative changes that aim to reduce smoking, dietary consumption of fats, “hidden” salt and calories, and increase facilities and opportunities for exercise should have a higher priority than health promotion interventions applied to general and workforce populations. It is essential that the current concepts and practices of multiple risk factor intervention, primarily through individual risk factor counselling, are not exported to poorer countries as the best policy option for dealing with existing and projected burdens of cardiovascular disease, as is currently happening (Pearson 1993). Health protection should be promoted as the mainstay of chronic disease prevention in poorer countries (Ebrahim 2001).

Implications for research

It is unlikely that any further large-scale multiple risk factor intervention trials will be mounted in high income countries in the future. It is also unlikely that uncontrolled or quasi-experimental study designs will produce more robust answers to questions of the effectiveness of multiple risk factor intervention by means of individual or family health information and advice.

Research on the effects and costs of health protection (i.e. fiscal and legislative approaches) and primary prevention would be of direct policy relevance, particularly in low and middle income countries.

Qualitative studies examining how participants perceived and responded to the advice and treatment given in these randomised controlled trials could be very helpful in shaping future interventions.

Our methods of attempting behaviour change in the general population are very limited. Different approaches to behaviour change are needed and should be tested empirically before being widely promoted. For example, the availability of foods and better access to recreational and sporting facilities may have a greater impact on dietary and exercise patterns respectively, than health professional advice. The effects of new approaches need to be examined in a wide range of people as it seems likely that the poor, socially excluded, specific ethnic groups and older people may all react in different ways.

Acknowledgments

We are extremely grateful to the following investigators who provided us with data: M. Shipley (WHO Factories), L. Wilhelmsen (Gothenberg Study), I. Hjermann (The Oslo Study), J. Shaten (MRFIT Study), T. Miettinen (Finnish

men), J. Muir and T. Lancaster (OXCHECK Study), J. Baron (Abingdon), S. Pyke (Family Heart - men), S. Boles (Take Heart), T. Ekblom (CELL Study), A. Goble and M. Worcester (FARIS). The following investigators replied to our request but were unable to provide us with further data for various reasons: G. Payne (HDFP trial), D. Morisky (Johns Hopkins), R. Stamler (Stamler 1989), S. Knutson (Tromsø men), C. Connell (Connell 1995), P. Whelton and M. Espelund (TONE 1998), A. Steptoe (Change of Heart), G. Berglund (Persson 1996), Emmons K (WHP). We would also like to thank M. Napoli (Center for Medical Consumers) for her help with the plain language summary.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

- MRC Health Services Research Collaboration, UK.
- Systematic Reviews Training Unit, University of London, UK.
- Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, UK.
- Department of Epidemiology & Population Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, UK.

External sources

- NHS Centre for Reviews & Dissemination, University of York, UK.
- Health Education Authority, London, UK.

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies *[ordered by study ID]*

Aberg men

Methods	Primary care. Random allocation by health centre (centres paired according to size, number of doctors and personnel). Unit of analysis was individual
Participants	Men and women on anti-hypertensive drugs aged 30-69 years N=159
Interventions	Group based video taped lifestyle counselling: Dietary change, stress management, increased physical activity, home blood pressure monitoring. Up to 8 group sessions.
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes. Change in anti-hypertensive treatment, weight, hypertension, cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting glucose, life quality
Notes	All patients followed the same schedule for reduction and withdrawal of antihypertensive drugs. Concluded that intervention was effective in reducing hypertensive medication

Aberg women

Methods	Primary care. Random allocation by health centre (centres paired according to size, number of doctors and personnel) . Unit of analysis was individual
Participants	Men and women on anti-hypertensive drugs aged 30-69 years N=129
Interventions	Group based video taped lifestyle counselling: Dietary change, stress management, increased physical activity, home blood pressure monitoring. Up to 8 group sessions.
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes. Change in anti-hypertensive treatment, weight, hypertension, cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting glucose, life quality
Notes	All patients followed the same schedule for reduction and withdrawal of antihypertensive drugs. Concluded that intervention was effective in reducing hypertensive medication

Abingdon

Methods	Primary care Random allocation by individual
Participants	Men and women, mean age 42 years (range 25-60) N=368
Interventions	Diet, weight control, smoking advice, exercise, alcohol advice carried out by nurse Duration 1 year
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence
Notes	Main focus was on dietary change, but despite self reported behaviour change, no changes in blood cholesterol found

Applegate 1992

Methods	Community screening and volunteers Randomisation by individual
Participants	Men and women aged 60-85 with mild diastolic hypertension and modestly overweight N=56
Interventions	Nutritionist supervised. Individual weight loss goals, exercise and diet self-monitoring with behavioural feedback. Duration 6 months
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes. Weight, urinary sodium, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, waist-hip ratio, exercise
Notes	Reduction in weight and systolic blood pressure in those followed up. Authors report good compliance with intervention. Authors conclusions: results indicate intervention will lower borderline or mild diastolic hypertension

Blumenthal 2000

Methods	Volunteers screened Randomisation by individual
Participants	Men and women aged 29+ with unmedicated high-normal blood pressure. Overweight and not performing regular aerobic exercise. N=79
Interventions	Exercise physiologist supervised exercise and behavioural intervention including diet. Duration 6 months
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, glucose tolerance, weight, exercise test
Notes	Another intervention group received only exercise intervention. Authors conclusions: Exercise alone reduced BP and the addition of behavioural weight loss programme enhanced this

CELL Study

Methods	Primary care screening Randomisation of individuals in 2x3 factorial design
Participants	People with at least two risk factors in addition to moderately raised blood cholesterol

	Men and women, mean age 49 years (30-59) N=681
Interventions	Factor 1: Counselling on health problems and risk factor management, food purchasing, exercise vs. usual care Factor 2: Pravastatin vs. placebo vs control without drug Duration 1 year
Outcomes	Total mortality and CHD mortality Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence, exercise score
Notes	At one year counselling intervention main effects showed lower blood cholesterol and lower Framingham risk factor scores compared with groups not receiving counselling intervention. No significant differences in blood pressures, smoking prevalence, or exercise score

Change of Heart

Methods	General practice, cluster allocation by minimisation to balance for social deprivation, practice nurse hours and fundholding status. 20 practices. Unit of analysis was general practice
Participants	Men and women mean age 47 years with one or more cardiovascular risk factors. No treatment. N=883
Interventions	Nurse led stages of change behavioural counselling on smoking, diet, physical activity. 2 or 3 20 minute counselling sessions + telephone contact
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes. Diet, exercise, smoking habits, blood pressure, cholesterol, weight, BMI Follow up 4 and 12 months
Notes	Based on stages of change model. Less smokers at baseline in intervention group (39%) than control (49%) Problems with recruitment and dropout - more recruited to intervention than control group - 59% of patients followed up at 12 months. Those at higher risk received more intensive treatment

Connell 1995

Methods	Worksite volunteers Randomisation by worksite. Unit of analysis was individual
Participants	Men and women age 19-67 N=1432
Interventions	Health risk assessment and individual health counselling Educational classes and self-help material Duration 1 year
Outcomes	Total cholesterol, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, BMI, exercise frequency One year follow up
Notes	Large loss to follow up

Family Heart - men

Methods	Primary care Random allocation of households to intervention and control groups
Participants	Primary care screening, mean age 50 (40-59) N=3941
Interventions	Intensity of intervention depended on individual's level of risk. Nurse counselling on diet, weight, smoking, exercise, alcohol Duration 1 year

Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence
Notes	Two control groups used: internal to study used for comparisons in this review. Drop outs were more likely to have high CVD risk factor levels. Overall predicted risk reduction of 12% achieved but thought to be too costly in practice - no cost-effectiveness analysis conducted however

Family Heart - women

Methods	Primary care Random allocation of households to intervention and control groups
Participants	Primary care: women age 50 (40-59) N=2619
Interventions	Intensity of intervention depended on level of individual's risk Nurse counselling on diet, weight control, smoking advice, exercise, alcohol Duration 1 year
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence
Notes	Two control groups used but internal control used in this review

FARIS men

Methods	First degree relatives of AMI, CABG and PTCA patients Randomised by family.
Participants	Families of people with CHD event, age 18-69, N=442
Interventions	Individualised risk factor advice. 3 months dietary advice and lipid lowering medication if required
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic blood pressure diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol, smoking, BMI and CVD risk
Notes	Results are for people without cardiovascular disease attending combined primary and secondary prevention clinic. Information on baseline and follow up smoking prevalence not available. No significant effect of intervention on smoking quit rate

FARIS women

Methods	First degree relatives of AMI, CABG and PTCA patients Randomised by family.
Participants	Families of people with CHD event, age 18-69, N=658
Interventions	Individualised risk factor advice. 3 months dietary advice and lipid lowering medication if required
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic blood pressure diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol, smoking, BMI and CVD risk
Notes	Results are for people without cardiovascular disease attending combined primary and secondary prevention clinic. Information on baseline and follow up smoking prevalence not available. No significant effect of intervention on smoking quit rate

Finnish DPS

Methods	High risk groups identified from epidemiological surveys, opportunistic screening, volunteers. Randomisation by individual, stratified by sex, centre and OGTT result
Participants	Overweight or with family history of type 2 diabetes men and women aged 40-64 years with impaired glucose tolerance N=523
Interventions	Nutritionist delivered individual and group dietary advice. Weight goal established with physician and nutritionist and regular assessment. Supervised exercise. Each person had 7 sessions in the first year and one session every 3 months subsequently
Outcomes	No clinical events outcomes. Development of diabetes, weight, diet, exercise, waist circumference, glucose, insulin, cholesterol, HDL, triglycerides, systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Follow up reported end of year 1
Notes	Study planned for 6 years, recruited 1993 to 1998. In March 2000 study stopped on basis of results regarding reduction in incidence in diabetes in treatment group

Finnish men

Methods	Volunteers recruited Randomisation by individual
Participants	Men only, mean age 48 years (40-58) High risk N = 1222
Interventions	Diet, smoking, exercise, antihypertensive drugs, cholesterol lowering drugs Duration 5 years
Outcomes	Total mortality, CHD mortality Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence
Notes	Large reductions in blood pressure and blood cholesterol achieved largely through drug treatments, reductions in smoking prevalence. Control group risk factors increased. CHD event rates higher in intervention group but stroke rates significantly lower. Concluded that adverse effects of drug treatment may explain lack of benefit

Given 1984

Methods	Primary care Selection of hypertensives by screening Randomisation of individuals
Participants	Men and women with hypertension on a prescribed regimen of diet or medication, mean age 47 years (18-65) N=86
Interventions	Educational handbook on risk, impact and benefits of controlling hypertension. Individual problem solving sessions on medication, diet and exercise. Duration 6 months
Outcomes	Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, weight, patient beliefs, symptom severity
Notes	Authors note reduction in diastolic blood pressure. Intervention affected patient beliefs

Gothenberg Study

Methods	Population based Selection of high risk people by screening Randomisation of individuals
Participants	Men only, mean age 51 years (47-55) N=30,022
Interventions	Diet, smoking, antihypertensive drugs, cholesterol lowering drugs Duration 11.8 years
Outcomes	Total mortality, coronary heart disease mortality Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence
Notes	Large falls in risk factors occurred in both intervention and control groups. Concluded that other strategies in high risk men are required to have a major impact on incidence of disease in the general population

HDFP trial

Methods	Population screening Randomisation of individuals
Participants	Men and women, all hypertensives, age range 30-69 years N=10,940
Interventions	Stepped care: Antihypertensive drugs, diet, smoking advice, weight control, exercise vs. Referred care: usual primary care Duration 5 years
Outcomes	Total mortality, CHD mortality, Stroke mortality Non-fatal CHD and stroke events Diastolic blood pressure
Notes	No reductions in smoking prevalence of blood cholesterol (data not published) but significant reductions in blood pressure. Total mortality, CHD and stroke mortality significantly lower in intervention group. Benefits attributed to treatment of high blood pressure and sustained over prolonged follow up

Hellenius

Methods	Randomization of individuals in a 2x2 factorial design
Participants	Men only, mean age 46 years (35-60) Moderately raised CVD risk factors - already involved in a primary prevention programme N=158
Interventions	Diet and exercise advised Duration 6 months
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol Data also given on BMI, Waist/Hip ratio, HDL/LDL/VLDL cholesterol, tryglycerides, dietary intake, physical activity
Notes	Only data from control group (N=39) and diet and exercise group (N=39) used in this review

Iso

Methods	Community screening. Randomisation by individual using permuted block method, stratified by blood pressure
Participants	Untreated hypertensive men and women age 35-69 years N=111
Interventions	Physician, public health nurse and nutritionist led education, counselling and practical sessions. Individual goals for sodium intake, weight control, walking and alcohol intake. Duration 18 months
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes. Urinary sodium and potassium, sodium reduction behaviours, alcohol intake, calcium intake, BMI, systolic and diastolic blood pressure
Notes	Intervention associated with reduced systolic blood pressure, reduction in sodium excretion, alcohol consumption. No change in BMI, diastolic blood pressure. Greater use of anti-hypertensive medication in control group

Jalkanen 1991

Methods	Patients from hypertension clinic Randomisation of individuals
Participants	Men and women, mean age 49 years (range 35-59) With hypertension and overweight N=50
Interventions	Individually planned diet (1000-1500kcal per day) Advice on exercise and weight reduction, weekly meetings for 6 months then 3 weekly. Duration 12 months
Outcomes	No clinical events outcomes. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, weight, food intake, urinary sodium and potassium
Notes	Intervention led to reduction in weight

Johns Hopkins

Methods	Clinic attenders Randomisation by individual to a complex factorial design with 8 groups
Participants	Men and women, all hypertensives, mean age 54.1 years N=400
Interventions	Antihypertensive drugs, weight control, general health advice vs. no extra educational interventions Duration 5 years
Outcomes	Total and CHD mortality
Notes	Better control of blood pressure (but values not reported), weight and better adherence with treatment and appointments in intervention group. Concluded that educational programmes for hypertensive patients were beneficial

Lin 1996

Methods	Primary care screening 4 villages randomly assigned. Unit of analysis was individual
---------	---

Participants	Men and women aged 40+ (mean 60) N=1102
Interventions	Home visits by public health nurse students aimed at weight reduction, physical activity, compliance with medication. Trained volunteers and community leaders involved. Education classes and speeches. Duration 6 months
Outcomes	No clinical events outcomes. Blood pressure, behavioural changes
Notes	Hypertensives received more intensive intervention

Lindahl 1999

Methods	Participants in health survey screened for abnormal glucose tolerance
Participants	Men and women with abnormal glucose tolerance and high BMI mean age 55 N=301
Interventions	One month stay in full-board wellness centre. Scheduled aerobic physical activity, stress management, diet modification, smoking cessation encouraged
Outcomes	No clinical events outcomes. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol, fibrinolysis, BMI, physical fitness, Follow up of 12 months
Notes	Not all participants were followed up Intense programme compared with usual care group

Meland 1997

Methods	Primary care opportunistic screening. Randomisation by general practice (N=22). Unit of analysis was individual
Participants	Men aged 30-59 at high risk for CVD by infarction score N=127
Interventions	Counselling on health promotion and behaviour change. Self help and self monitoring. Duration 1 year
Outcomes	No clinical event outcome. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, weight, resting pulse, cholesterol, lipid profile, smoking habit, thiocyanate, C-peptide
Notes	Kanfer and Gaelick (1986), and Meichenbaum (1986), person centred and self directed psychological approach. Self efficacy was related to exercise change

MRFIT Study

Methods	Worksite, population and volunteer screening Randomisation by individual
Participants	Men only, mean age 46 years (35-47) N=12,866
Interventions	Diet, smoking, weight, antihypertensive drugs Duration 6 years
Outcomes	Total mortality, coronary heart disease mortality stolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence

Notes	Small reductions in blood cholesterol concentration. Large reductions in blood pressure and smoking rates. No significant reduction in disease events. Concluded that possibly effective in sub-groups but no net benefit because of potentially harmful effects of antihypertensive drugs used. Small benefits emerging after prolonged follow up
-------	--

Nilsson 1992

Methods	Randomisation of hyperinsulinaemics by individual within cross-sectional study of treated hypertensives and normotensive controls
Participants	Men and women, mean age 56.1 years with hyperinsulinaemia but not diabetic N=59
Interventions	Group education and individual counselling on diet and physical activity by nurse, dietician and physiotherapist. Duration 1 year
Outcomes	Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, LDL/HDL cholesterol ratio, weight, waist hip ratio, blood glucose, insulin, c-peptide, urate, glucose tolerance
Notes	63 randomised Intervention group had reduced weight, waist hip ratio, blood pressure and LDL/HDL ratio. Also dietary improvements. Controls informed of hyperinsulinaemic status

Nilsson 2001

Methods	Worksite screening Randomisation by individual
Participants	Men and women, mean age 50 years (range 28-65) N=89
Interventions	Multidisciplinary education and counselling. Weight reduction in obese, diet, physical activity, stress management, smoking cessation Duration 18 months
Outcomes	Risk scores, BMI, waist hip ratio, sick days, sedentary behaviour, heart rate, smoking, CHD risk factors, glucose, insulin, liver function, cortisol, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA)
Notes	128 randomised (Intervention group: 5 did not attend baseline, 16 dropouts or excluded for medical reasons at 12 months, 1 lost to followup at 18 month; control group corresponding figures 10, 5, 2 respectively)

Oldroyd

Methods	People with impaired glucose tolerance identified in research studies, hospital databases and by GPs. Randomisation by individual
Participants	Men and women aged 24-75 years with impaired glucose tolerance identified in 2 OGTT N=78
Interventions	Dietician and physiotherapist counselling on diet and physical activity. Targets set by Stages of Change. Duration 6 months
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes. Diet, aerobic physical activity, glucose tolerance, insulin sensitivity, blood pressure, cholesterol, weight, BMI, waist hip ratio

Notes	Intervention group showed increased physical activity, decreased fat consumption but no change in glucose tolerance
-------	---

Oslo Diet Exercise

Methods	Open, randomized 2×2 factorial design
Participants	Men and women, mean age 40 years N=219
Interventions	Diet advice and supervised endurance exercise programme Duration 1 year
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes reported Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol Also measured haemostatic factors, BMI, body weight, waist hip ratio, aerobic capacity, thiocyanate, triglycerides, HDL/LDL cholesterol
Notes	Comparison used in this review is between the control group (N=43) and the diet+exercise group(N=65). Diet only and exercise only groups were not considered as single interventions

OXCHECK Study

Methods	Primary care practices in urban area Randomisation by household
Participants	Men and women, mean age 49 years (35-64) No risk screening N=11,090
Interventions	Diet, smoking advice, weight control, alcohol advice, exercise, protocols for management of high blood pressure and raised blood cholesterol vs. usual care. Duration 3 years
Outcomes	Total mortality and CHD mortality Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence, BMI
Notes	Changes in diet and small changes in blood cholesterol, blood pressure and body mass index. No effect on smoking prevalence. Concluded that primary prevention programmes were able to achieve benefits which were real but must be weighted against the costs in relation to other priorities. Study was not designed to examine mortality effects but those randomised to health checks in years 1 to 3 were considered to be intervention group and those randomised to checks in year 4 were the control group. Deaths up to year 4 were compared

Perez-Stable no prop

Methods	Volunteers screened Randomised by individual stratified for sex, diastolic blood pressure and weight
Participants	Men and women aged 18-59 Mild hypertension N=156
Interventions	Nutritionist, health educator, behavioural psychologist, general internist supervised Aerobic exercise, diet, relaxation 8 weekly meetings, subsequent meeting at 3 months
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol, physical activity, self-reported adverse effects dietary intake, weight, 24 hour uring (sodium, potassium) Follow up at 1 year

Notes	Four treatment arms other two had propranolol. Intervention did not promote persistent behaviour change.
-------	---

Perez-Stable prop

Methods	Volunteers screened Randomised by individual stratified for sex, diastolic blood pressure and weight
Participants	Men and women aged 18-59 Mild hypertension on propranolol N=156
Interventions	Nutritionist, health educator, behavioural psychologist, general internist supervised Aerobic exercise, diet, relaxation. 8 weekly meetings, subsequent meeting at 3 months
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol, physical activity, self-reported adverse effects, dietary intake, weight, 24 hour uring (sodium, potassium) Follow up at 1 year
Notes	Four treatment arms - other two did not have propranolol. Intervention did not promote persistent behaviour change.

Stamler 1989

Methods	Worksite screening. Randomisation of individuals
Participants	Volunteers from worksites, raised body weight, high pulse rate and DBP 80-89mmHg Men and women, mean age 37.5 (30-44) N=201
Interventions	Diet, weight control, exercise, alcohol Duration 5 years
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic BP, diastolic BP
Notes	Small but significant reduction in blood pressure; other risk factors not reported. Volunteers who were thought unlikely to comply with intervention (eg. heavy drinkers, very obese) were excluded from the trial

Stefanick men

Methods	Volunteers screened for HDL and LDL cholesterol Randomisation by individual
Participants	Men aged 30-64, HDL <45mg/dl, LDL 126-189mg/dl 126-209mg/dl N=98
Interventions	Individual diet counselling and group education. Weight loss groups. Supervised and home-based exercise. Duration 1 year
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes. Diet assessment, body weight, exercise tests, CHD risk factors
Notes	Concluded that diet and aerobic exercise was effective in reducing LDL cholesterol

Stefanick women

Methods	Volunteers screened for HDL and LDL cholesterol Randomisation by individual
Participants	Post-menopausal women aged 45-64, HDL <60mg/dl, LDL N=89
Interventions	Individual diet counselling and group education. Weight loss groups. Supervised and home-based exercise. Duration 1 year
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes. Diet assessment, body weight, exercise tests, CHD risk factors
Notes	Concluded that diet and aerobic exercise was effective in reducing LDL cholesterol

Swedish RIS

Methods	Clinic attending hypertensives Randomisation by individual after stratification by serum cholesterol, smoking habit and target organ damage
Participants	All men, age 50-72 years N=508
Interventions	Smoking advice + nicotine gum, dietary habits, weight control, spouse involved. Lipid lowering drugs used in needed. vs. usual care. All patients on antihypertensive medication Duration 6 years
Outcomes	Total mortality, CHD and stroke mortality Non-fatal myocardial infarction, stroke, new onsets of claudication and angina Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, (HDL, LDL), smoking prevalence, body weight, BMI, blood glucose, heart rate, gGT, HbA1c
Notes	Significant reductions in blood cholesterol and smoking were achieved. No changes in diastolic blood pressure and HbA1c. Stroke incidence reduced in intervention group

Take Heart

Methods	Workplace screening. Matched pairs of worksites randomised. Unit of analysis was worksite
Participants	Men and women mean age 40 (17-73). N=1977
Interventions	Stage of change model used; motivational, educational, workplace environment and community reinforcement; focus on smoking and food choices. Duration 18 months
Outcomes	Smoking, blood cholesterol, dietary intake.
Notes	Despite documented implementation of interventions no evidence that changes in smoking, cholesterol concentration of dietary intakes were greater than improvements associated with secular trends observed in control sites. Large variation in rates of stopping smoking between sites suggested variable use and uptake of interventions

The Oslo Study

Methods	Population screening. Selected for raised blood cholesterol. Randomisation by individual.
Participants	Men only, mean age 45.2 (40-49).

	N=1232
Interventions	Diet and smoking Duration 5 years
Outcomes	Total mortality, CHD mortality, smoking prevalence, blood cholesterol
Notes	Reduction in smoking rates and blood cholesterol. Significant reduction in cardiovascular disease events. Concluded that advice to stop smoking and change eating habits reduces first myocardial infarctions and sudden deaths

Tromso men

Methods	Randomisation of individuals at high risk detected by primary care screening
Participants	Men and women, age 30-45 years N=1373
Interventions	Physician and dietician counselling of family, diet, smoking advice, exercise Duration 6 years
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence
Notes	Participants showed little interest in group meetings. Small significant reductions in blood cholesterol but no effects on smoking or blood pressure. Mortality and clinical event follow up is proceeding in the trial and lead author has not yet published data

Tromso wives

Methods	Wives of the men randomised in the Tromso trial are considered to be a separate trial. Randomisation therefore by husband
Participants	Women aged 30-45 N=809
Interventions	Physician and dietician counselling on diet, smoking, exercise Duration 6 years
Outcomes	No clinical event data Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking prevalence
Notes	Mortality data may be available in the future

Uusitupa

Methods	Diabetes clinic. Randomisation by individual
Participants	Newly diagnosed NIDDM, men and women aged 40-64 years N=86
Interventions	Education on weight reduction, diet, physical activity. Goals and regular monitoring. Duration 12 months
Outcomes	No clinical event data. Weight reduction, normoglycaemia, correction of dislipidaemias, blood pressure
Notes	Intervention and control received 3 months basic diabetes education before randomisation

WHLP

Methods	Volunteers recruited. Randomisation of individuals
Participants	Women aged 44-50 N=535
Interventions	Cognitive- behavioural programme with intensive group and individual guidance on diet, exercise and prevention of weight gain. Duration 4.5 years
Outcomes	No clinical event outcomes Systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, blood LDL and HDL cholesterol
Notes	One accidental death. Participants were receptive to preventive approach and were successful in making initial lifestyle changes

WHO Factories

Methods	Worksites in Belgium, Italy, Poland, Spain, UK; randomisation by factory. Unit of analysis was factory
Participants	Men only, mean age 48.5 (40-59) N=63,732
Interventions	Diet, smoking, weight, exercise, antihypertensive drugs, mass media Control factories had usual occupational health service Duration 6 years
Outcomes	Mortality: cause specific blood pressure, blood cholesterol, smoking rates
Notes	Only small reductions in risk factors found. Spanish arm not included in event ascertainment. Belgium arm showed significant reduction in mortality and was written up separately. Concluded that advice on risk factor reduction is effective to the extent that it is taken up and seems to be safe

Wing

Methods	Volunteers Randomisation by individual
Participants	Overweight men and women aged 40-55. Non diabetic but with 1 or 2 parents with type 2 diabetes. N=80
Interventions	Multidisciplinary led behavioural strategies. Group and individual education. Low calorie, low fat diet. Supervised walking and other activities. Duration 2 years
Outcomes	No clinical events outcomes. Eating and exercise behaviours, weight, incidence of diabetes, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, cholesterol
Notes	

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Andersen 1999	Both groups received an intervention
Basler 1985	Non-random allocation
Blake 1987	No risk factor change measured or reported
Bruno 1983	Six month data not available

Burke 1999	Participants were younger adults
Cambien 1981	Participants were younger adults
Carlberg 1992	No risk factor data measured or reported
Crouch 1986	Control group received some elements of intervention
Da Qing 1997	No risk factor changes reported
Domarkene 1990	Non-random allocation
DPP 1999	Control group received some elements of intervention
Dunn 1997	Both groups received an exercise only intervention
Edye 1989	Non-random allocation
Fielding 1994	Control group received some elements of intervention
Fox 1996	Non-random allocation
Frommer 1990	Inadequate randomisation
Fuchs 1993	Both groups received an intervention
Fullard 1987	Non-random allocation
Gemson 1990	Control group received some elements of intervention
Gemson 1995	Control group received some elements of intervention
German 1994	Control group received some elements of intervention
Gomel 1993	Inadequate randomisation
Gordon 1997	Control group received some elements of intervention
Hanlon 1995	Six month data not available
Haskell 1988	Secondary prevention
Hedberg 1998	Non-randomised allocation
Jula 1990	Inadequate randomisation
Kawakami 1999	Participants were younger adults
Ketola 2001	Mixed primary and secondary prevention
Knappe 1982	Inadequate randomisation
Kreuter 1996	Outcome is contemplation of quitting smoking
Lasater 1986	No risk factor changes measured or reported
Lauritzen 1995	Health screening only
Leighton 1990	Control group received some elements of intervention
Lindahl 1998	Uncontrolled study
Lovibond 1986	Control group received some elements of intervention
Macdonald 1990	RCT assessing simvastatin
Martinez-A 1990	No risk factor changes measured or reported
McCance 1985	Two month follow up
McCann 1997	Control group received some element of the intervention
Meimanaliev 1991	Non-random allocation
Miemanaliev 1993	Non-random allocation
Murray 1986	No control group baseline data available
Nikitin 1991	Non-random allocation

Nisbeth 2000	Participants were younger adults
Nolte 1997	Two month follow up
Ostwald 1989	Control group received some element of the intervention
Patterson 1988	No risk factor changes measured or reported
Persson 1996	No 6 month follow up data available. After 6 months pharmacological treatment was provided to intervention group patients (67% on lipid-lowering drugs and 13% on antihypertensives at 1 year)
Pierce 1984	No risk factor change measured or reported
Reid 1995	Control group received some element of the intervention
Robson 1989	No risk factor changes measured or reported
Rosamond 2000	Non-random allocation
Rowland 1994	Non-random allocation
S-E London 1977	Intervention not characterised
Schwandt 1999	Children and families
Smith 1991	Non-random allocation
Steinbach 1982	Non-random allocation
TOMHS 1991	All participants received intervention
TONE 1998	Three month blood pressure follow up
Tsuyuki 1999	Secondary prevention
Velonakis 1999	Non-random allocation
Volozh 1991	Non-random allocation
WHP	Numbers in intervention and control group not reported
Wisewoman 1999	Control group received some element of the intervention
Working Well Trial	Baseline data only, no follow up
Wu 1999	Non-random allocation

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Total mortality	9	125167	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.92, 1.01]
2 Coronary heart disease mortality	9	125167	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.89, 1.04]
3 Systolic blood pressure	38	53872	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-3.62 [-3.93, -3.31]
4 Diastolic blood pressure	39	64859	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.76 [-2.93, -2.59]
5 Blood cholesterol	35	66106	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.07 [-0.08, -0.06]

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
6 Smoking prevalence	15	48948	Odds Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.81 [0.70, 0.94]
7 Systolic blood pressure (individual analysis or cluster)	38	53872	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.68 [-3.64, -1.72]
7.1 Cluster randomisation - analysis by cluster	2	19355	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.56 [-2.26, 3.38]
7.2 Individual randomisation	32	33157	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-3.24 [-4.16, -2.31]
7.3 Cluster randomisation - analysis by individual	4	1360	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.03 [-3.28, 3.34]
8 Diastolic blood pressure (individual analysis or cluster)	39	65362	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.01 [-2.63, -1.39]
8.1 Cluster randomisation - analysis by cluster	2	19348	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.27 [-0.81, 0.27]
8.2 Individual randomisation	34	44619	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-2.33 [-2.94, -1.72]
8.3 Cluster randomisation - analysis by individual	4	1395	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.00 [-1.07, 1.07]
9 Cholesterol (individual analysis or cluster)	35	66106	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.25 [-0.35, -0.15]
9.1 Cluster randomisation - analysis by cluster	2	18833	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.01 [-0.03, 0.05]
9.2 Individual randomisation	30	46775	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.29 [-0.40, -0.18]
9.3 Cluster randomisation (analysis by individual)	3	498	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.06 [-0.15, 0.28]
10 Smoking prevalence (individual analysis or cluster)	15	48948	Odds Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.81 [0.70, 0.94]
10.1 Cluster randomisation - analysis by cluster	1	520	Odds Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.43 [0.28, 0.64]
10.2 Individual randomisation	12	29508	Odds Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.82 [0.70, 0.95]
10.3 Cluster randomisation - analysis by individual	2	18920	Odds Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.99 [0.90, 1.08]

Analysis 1.1

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 1 Total mortality

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 1 Total mortality

Analysis 1.2

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 2 Coronary heart disease mortality

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 2 Coronary heart disease mortality

Analysis 1.3

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 3 Systolic blood pressure

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 3 Systolic blood pressure

Analysis 1.4

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 4 Diastolic blood pressure

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 4 Diastolic blood pressure

Analysis 1.5

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 5 Blood cholesterol

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 5 Blood cholesterol

Analysis 1.6

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 6 Smoking prevalence

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 6 Smoking prevalence

Analysis 1.7
Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 7 Systolic blood pressure (individual analysis or cluster)

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 7 Systolic blood pressure (individual analysis or cluster)

Analysis 1.8

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 8 Diastolic blood pressure (individual analysis or cluster)

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 8 Diastolic blood pressure (individual analysis or cluster)

Analysis 1.9

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 9 Cholesterol (individual analysis or cluster)

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 9 Cholesterol (individual analysis or cluster)

Analysis 1.10

Comparison 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control, Outcome 10 Smoking prevalence (individual analysis or cluster)

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

Comparison: 1 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control

Outcome: 10 Smoking prevalence (individual analysis or cluster)

ADDITIONAL TABLES

Table 1
MEDLINE search strategy April 1995

randomized controlled trial.pt.

randomized controlled trials/
 random-allocation.sh.
 double-blind-method.sh.
 single-blind-method.sh.
 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5
 clinical trials.pt.
 clinical trials.sh.
 clin\$ near trial\$.ti.
 clin\$ near trial\$.ab.
 placebo.sh.
 placebo.tw.
 random.tw.
 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13
 limit 14 to human
 coronary disease.sh.
 cerebrovascular disorders.sh.

Table 2
CENTRAL search strategy Issue 3 2001

#1	CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES
#3	CORONARY DISEASE
#3	cardiovascular
#4	(coronary near disease*)
#5	(heart near disease*)
#6	HYPERTENSION
#7	hypertension
#8	(atherosclerosis or arteriosclerosis)
#9	(hyperlipidaemia or hyperlipidemia)
#10	ARTERIOSCLEROSIS
#11	CHOLESTEROL
#12	HYPERLIPIDEMIA
#13	cholesterol
#14	(multiple next risk next factor*)
#15	(coronary next risk next factor*)
#16	(#1 or #2 or #3 or #4 or #5 or #6 or #7 or #8 or #9 or #10)
#17	(#11 or #12 or #13 or #14 or #15)
#18	(#16 or #17)
#19	HEALTH EDUCATION
#20	HEALTH PROMOTION
#21	HEALTH BEHAVIOR
#22	PRIMARY PREVENTION
#23	COUNSELING

- #24 counsel*
- #25 (health near educat*)
- #26 (patient near educat*)
- #27 (education* near program*)
- #28 (health near promotion*)
- #29 (health near behaviour*)
- #30 (health near behavior*)
- #31 (primary next prevention)
- #32 ((multiple next risk) near intervention*)
- #33 (multifactor* near intervention*)
- #34 (multifactor* near prevention)
- #35 ((risk next factor*) near reduc*)
- #36 ((risk next factor*) near manag*)
- #37 ((risk next factor*) near intervent*)
- #38 (lifestyle near intervention*)
- #39 (lifestyle near advice)
- #40 (life-style near intervention*)
- #41 (life-style near advice)
- #42 (life-style near alter*)
- #43 (lifestyle near alter*)
- #44 (lifestyle near educat*)
- #45 (life-style near educat*)
- #46 (life-style near chang*)
- #47 (lifestyle near chang*)
- #48 (behavior* near chang*)
- #49 (behaviour* near chang*)
- #50 ((health next care) near advice)
- #51 (healthcare near advice)
- #52 nonpharmacologic*
- #53 non-pharmacologic*
- #54 (#19 or #20 or #21 or #22 or #23 or #24 or #25 or #26 or #27 or #28 or #29)
- #55 (#30 or #31 or #32 or #33 or #34 or #35 or #36 or #37 or #38 or #39)
- #56 (#40 or #41 or #42 or #43 or #44 or #45 or #46 or #47 or #48 or #49 or #50 or #51 or #52 or #53)
- #57 ((#54 or #55 or #56)
- #58 (#18 and #57)

Table 3
MEDLINE search strategy September 2001

Database: Medline <Mid 1998 to August Week 2 2001>

Search Strategy:

- 1 cardiovascular diseases/
- 2 exp coronary disease/

- 3 hypertension/
- 4 exp Arteriosclerosis/
- 5 exp Hyperlipidemia/
- 6 (cardiovascular adj3 disease\$.tw.
- 7 (cardiovascular adj3 (fit or fitness)).tw.
- 8 (Coronary adj3 disease\$.tw.
- 9 heart disease\$.tw.
- 10 hypertension.tw.
- 11 hyperlipid?emia.tw.
- 12 cholesterol.tw.
- 13 atherosclerosis.tw.
- 14 arteriosclerosis.tw.
- 15 coronary risk factor\$.tw.
- 16 multiple risk factor\$.tw.
- 17 cardiovascular risk factor\$.tw.
- 18 or/1-17
- 19 health promotion/
- 20 exp health education/
- 21 exp health behavior/
- 22 exp counseling/
- 23 primary prevention/
- 24 (multifactor\$ adj5 (intervent\$ or prevent\$)).tw.
- 25 ((lifestyle or life-style) adj3 (intervention\$ or educat\$ or advice\$ or alter\$ or change\$)).tw.
- 26 ((lifestyle or life-style or behavior?r\$) adj3 (intervention\$ or educat\$ or advice\$ or alter\$ or change\$)).tw.
- 27 ((healthcare or health care) adj3 advice).tw.
- 28 primary prevention.tw.
- 29 (risk factor\$ adj3 (reduc\$ or manage\$ or managing or intervent\$ or program\$)).tw.
- 30 (educat\$ adj3 (program\$ or patient\$)).tw.
- 31 ((health or healthcare or health care) adj3 (educat\$ or advice or promot\$)).tw.
- 32 (nonpharmacologic\$ or non-pharmacologic\$.tw.
- 33 ((lifestyle or life style or life-style or behavior?r\$ or risk factor\$) adj3 modif\$).tw.
- 34 or/19-33
- 35 18 and 34
- 36 randomized controlled trial.pt.
- 37 controlled clinical trial.pt.
- 38 Randomized controlled trials/
- 39 random allocation.sh.
- 40 double blind method.sh.
- 41 single-blind method.sh.
- 42 or/36-41
- 43 (animal not human).sh.
- 44 42 not 43
- 45 clinical trial.pt.
- 46 exp Clinical trials/

- 47 (clin\$ adj25 trial\$).ti.ab.
- 48 ((singl\$ or doubl\$ or trebl\$ or tripl\$) adj (blind\$ or mask\$)).ti.ab.
- 49 placebos.sh.
- 50 placebo\$.ti.ab.
- 51 random\$.ti.ab.
- 52 research design.sh.
- 53 or/45-52
- 54 53 not 43
- 55 54 not 44
- 56 44 or 54
- 57 35 and 56
- 58 limit 57 to yr=2000-2001

Table 4
EMBASE search strategy September 2001

Database: EMBASE <1996 to August Week 2 2001>

Search Strategy:

- 1 cardiovascular diseases/
- 2 exp coronary disease/
- 3 hypertension/
- 4 exp Arteriosclerosis/
- 5 exp Hyperlipidemia/
- 6 (cardiovascular adj3 disease\$.tw.
- 7 (cardiovascular adj3 (fit or fitness)).tw.
- 8 (Coronary adj3 disease\$.tw.
- 9 heart disease\$.tw.
- 10 hypertension.tw.
- 11 hyperlipid?emia.tw.
- 12 cholesterol.tw.
- 13 atherosclerosis.tw.
- 14 arteriosclerosis.tw.
- 15 coronary risk factor\$.tw.
- 16 multiple risk factor\$.tw.
- 17 cardiovascular risk factor\$.tw.
- 18 or/1-17
- 19 health promotion/
- 20 exp health education/
- 21 exp health behavior/
- 22 exp counseling/
- 23 primary prevention/
- 24 (multifactor\$ adj5 (intervent\$ or prevent\$)).tw.
- 25 ((lifestyle or life-style) adj3 (intervention\$ or educat\$ or advice\$ or alter\$ or change\$)).tw.

- 26 ((lifestyle or life-style or behavior?r\$) adj3 (intervention\$ or educat\$ or advice\$ or alter\$ or change\$)).tw.
- 27 ((healthcare or health care) adj3 advice).tw.
- 28 primary prevention.tw.
- 29 (risk factor\$ adj3 (reduc\$ or manage\$ or managing or intervent\$ or program\$)).tw.
- 30 (educat\$ adj3 (program\$ or patient\$)).tw.
- 31 ((health or healthcare or health care) adj3 (educat\$ or advice or promot\$)).tw.
- 32 (nonpharmacologic\$ or non-pharmacologic\$).tw.
- 33 ((lifestyle or life style or life-style or behavior?r\$ or risk factor\$) adj3 modif\$).tw.
- 34 or/19-33
- 35 18 and 34
- 36 cardiovascular disease/
- 37 exp ischemic heart disease/
- 38 (coronary adj3 disease\$).tw.
- 39 heart disease\$.tw.
- 40 Hypertension/
- 41 hypertension.tw.
- 42 (cardiovascular adj3 (disease\$ or fit of fitness)).tw.
- 43 exp arteriosclerosis/
- 44 exp hyperlipidemia/
- 45 hyperlipid?emia.tw.
- 46 cholesterol.tw.
- 47 arteriosclero\$.tw.
- 48 atherosclero\$.tw.
- 49 coronary risk factor\$.tw.
- 50 multiple risk factor\$.tw.
- 51 cardiovascular risk factor\$.tw.
- 52 or/36-51
- 53 exp health education/
- 54 exp health behavior/
- 55 primary prevention/
- 56 exp counseling/
- 57 (multifactor\$ adj5 (intervent\$ or prevent\$)).tw.
- 58 ((life-style or life style or lifestyle or healthcare or health care) adj3 (intervention\$ or educat\$ or advice or alter\$ or change\$)).tw.
- 59 primary prevention.tw.
- 60 (risk factor\$ adj3 (reduc\$ or manage\$ or managing or intervent\$ or program\$)).tw.
- 61 (educat\$ adj3 (program\$ or patient\$)).tw.
- 62 (non pharmacologic\$ or nonpharmacologic\$).tw.
- 63 (risk factor\$ adj3 modif\$).tw.
- 64 ((lifestyle or life-style or life style) adj3 modif\$).tw.
- 65 exp behavior therapy/
- 66 (behavior?r\$ adj3 (intervention\$ or program\$ or modif\$ or change\$ or alter\$)).tw.
- 67 (promot\$ adj3 (health or healthcare or health care)).tw.
- 68 or/53-67

- 69 52 and 68
- 70 random\$.tw.
- 71 randomized controlled trial/
- 72 trial\$.tw.
- 73 compar\$.tw.
- 74 follow-up.tw.
- 75 blind\$.tw.
- 76 double blind procedure/
- 77 placebo\$.tw.
- 78 placebo/
- 79 doubl\$.tw.
- 80 nonhuman/not human/
- 81 exp child/not exp adult/
- 82 or/70-79
- 83 82 and 69
- 84 83 not (80 or 81)

WHAT'S NEW

Last assessed as up-to-date: 17 August 2006.

Date	Event	Description
9 September 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format.

HISTORY

Review first published: Issue 2, 1999

Date	Event	Description
18 August 2006	New citation required and conclusions have changed	Substantive amendment

References to studies included in this review

- Aberg men {published data only} . Aberg H, Tibblin G. Addition of non-pharmacological methods of treatment in patients on antihypertensive drugs: results of previous medication, laboratory tests and life quality. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 1989; 226:39–46. [PubMed: 2666559]
- Aberg women {published data only} . Aberg H, Tibblin G. Addition of non-pharmacological methods of treatment in patients on antihypertensive drugs: results of previous medication, laboratory tests and life quality. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 1989; 226:39–46. [PubMed: 2666559]
- Abingdon {published data only} . Baron J, Gleason R, Crowe B, Mann J. Preliminary trial of the effect of general practice based nutritional advice. *British Journal of General Practice*. 1990; 40:137–141. MEDLINE: 90321680. [PubMed: 2115348]

- Applegate 1992 {published data only} . Applegate WB, Miller ST, Elam JT, Cushman WC, El Derwi D, Brewer A, Graney MJ. Nonpharmacologic intervention to reduce blood pressure in older patients with mild hypertension. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1992; 152:1162–1166. [PubMed: 1599343]
- Blumenthal 2000 {published data only} . Blumenthal JA, Sherwood A, Gullette ECD, Babyak M, Waugh R, Georgiades A, et al. Exercise and weight loss reduce blood pressure in men and women with mild hypertension. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2000; 160(13):1947–1958. [PubMed: 10888969]
- Steffen PR, Sherwood A, Gullette EC, Georgiades A, Hinderliter A, Blumenthal JA. Effects of exercise and weight loss on blood pressure during daily life. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2001; 33(10):1635–1640. [PubMed: 11581545]
- CELL Study {published data only} . Lindholm LH, Ekblom T, Dash C, Eriksson M, Tibblin G, Schersten B. The impact of health care advice given in primary care on cardiovascular risk. *BMJ*. 1995; 310:1105–1109. MEDLINE: 95261214. [PubMed: 7742677]
- Lindholm LH, Ekblom T, Dash C, Isacsson A, Schersten B. Changes in cardiovascular risk factors by combined pharmacological and nonpharmacological strategies: the main results of the CELL Study. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 1996; 240(1):13–22. MEDLINE: Medline 96332304 embase 96235936. [PubMed: 8708586]
- Change of Heart {published data only} . Hilton S, Doherty S, Kendrick T, Kerry S, Rink E, Steptoe A. Promotion of healthy behaviour among adults at increased risk of coronary heart disease in general practice: methodology and baseline data from the Change of Heart study. *Health Education Journal*. 1999; 58:3–16.
- Steptoe A, Doherty S, Rink E, Kerry S, Kendrick T, Hilton S. Behavioural counselling in general practice for the promotion of healthy behaviour among adults at increased risk of coronary heart disease: randomised trial. *British Medical Journal*. 1999; 319:943–948. [PubMed: 10514155]
- Steptoe A, Kerry S, Rink E, Hilton S. The impact of behavioral counseling on stage of change in fat intake, physical activity, and cigarette smoking in adults at increased risk of coronary heart disease. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2001; 91(2):265–269. [PubMed: 11211636]
- Steptoe A, Rink E, Kerry S. Psychosocial predictors of changes in physical activity in overweight sedentary adults following counseling in primary care. *Preventive Medicine*. 2000; 31(2 Pt 1):183–194. MEDLINE: Medline 20398370. [PubMed: 10938220]
- Connell 1995 {published data only} . Connell CM, Sharpe PA, Gallab MP. Effect of health risk appraisal on health outcomes in a university worksite health promotion trial. *Health Education Research*. 1995; 10:199–209.
- Family Heart - men {published and unpublished data} . Family Heart Study Group. Randomised controlled trial evaluating cardiovascular screening and intervention in general practice: principal results of British family heart study. *BMJ*. 1994; 308:313–320. MEDLINE: 94169709. [PubMed: 8124121]
- Family Heart - women {published and unpublished data} . Family Heart Study Group. Randomised controlled trial evaluating cardiovascular screening and intervention in general practice: principal results of British family heart study. *BMJ*. 1994; 308:313–320. [PubMed: 8124121]
- FARIS men {published data only} . Goble A, Jackson B, Phillips P, Race E, Oliver RG, Worcester MC. The Family Atherosclerosis Risk Intervention Study (FARIS): risk factor profiles of patients and their relatives following an acute cardiac event. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Medicine*. 1997; 27(5):568–577. MEDLINE: Medline 98068400. [PubMed: 9404589]
- FARIS women {published data only} . Goble A, Jackson B, Phillips P, Race E, Oliver RG, Worcester MC. The Family Atherosclerosis Risk Intervention Study (FARIS): risk factor profiles of patients and their relatives following an acute cardiac event. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Medicine*. 1997; 27(5):568–577. MEDLINE: Medline 98068400. [PubMed: 9404589]
- Finnish DPS {published data only} . Eriksson J, Lindstrom J, Valle T, Aunola S, Hamalainen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, et al. Prevention of Type II diabetes in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance: the Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS) in Finland. Study design and 1-year interim report on the feasibility of the lifestyle intervention programme. *Diabetologia*. 1999; 42(7):793–801. MEDLINE: Medline 99366732. [PubMed: 10440120]

- Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hamalainen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2001; 344:1343–1350. [PubMed: 11333990]
- Uusitupa M, Louheranta A, Lindstrom J, Valle T, Sundvall J, Eriksson J, et al. The Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 2000; 83(Suppl 1):S137–S142. MEDLINE: Medline 20348280. [PubMed: 10889804]
- Finnish men {published and unpublished data} . Miettinen T, Huttunen J, Naukkarinen V, Strandberg T, Mattila S, Kundin T, et al. Multifactorial primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases in middle-aged men. Risk factor changes, incidence, and mortality. *JAMA*. 1985; 254:2097–2102. MEDLINE: 86011756; CN-00088450. [PubMed: 4046137]
- Strandberg T, Salomaa V, Naukkarinen V, Vanhanen, Sarna S, Miettinen T. Long-term mortality after 5-year multifactorial primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases in middle-aged men. *JAMA*. 1991; 266:1225–1229. MEDLINE: 91333101. [PubMed: 1870247]
- Strandberg TE, Salomaa VV, Vanhanen HT, Naukkarinen VA, Sarna SJ, Miettinen TA. Mortality in participants and non-participants of a multifactorial prevention study of cardiovascular diseases: a 28 year follow up of the Helsinki Businessmen Study. *British Heart Journal*. 1995; 74:449–454. MEDLINE: 96095992. [PubMed: 7488463]
- Given 1984 {published data only} . Given CW, Given BA, Coyle BW. The effects of patient characteristics and beliefs on responses to behavioral interventions for control of chronic diseases. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 1984; 6:131–140. [PubMed: 10268818]
- Gothenberg Study {published and unpublished data} . Wilhelmsen L, Berglund G, Elmfeldt D, Tibblin G, Wedel H, Pennert K, et al. The multifactor primary prevention trial in Goteborg, Sweden. *European Heart Journal*. 1986; 7:279–288. MEDLINE: 86247180. [PubMed: 3720755]
- HDFP trial {published data only} . Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program Cooperative Group. Five-year findings of the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program. 1. Reduction in mortality of persons with high blood pressure, including mild hypertension. *JAMA*. 1979; 242:2562–2577. MEDLINE: 97144577. [PubMed: 490882]
- Hellenius {published data only} . Hellenius M-L, de Faire U, Berglund B, Hamsten A, Krakau I. Diet and exercise are equally effective in reducing risk for cardiovascular disease. Results of a randomized controlled study in men with slightly to moderately raised cardiovascular risk factors. *Atherosclerosis*. 1993; 103:81–91. MEDLINE: 94107385. [PubMed: 8280188]
- Iso {published data only} . Iso H, Shimamoto T, Yokota K, Sankai T, Jacobs DR, Komachi Y. Community-based education classes for hypertension control. *Hypertension*. 1996; 27:968–974. [PubMed: 8613276]
- Iso H, Shimamoto T, Sankai T, Imano H, Koike K, Yokota K, et al. [A randomized controlled trail of intensive and usual community-based education for blood pressure control]. [Japanese]. *Nippon Koshu Eisei Zasshi Japanese Journal of Public Health*. 1994; 41(10):1015–1026. MEDLINE: 1301.
- Jalkanen 1991 {published data only} . Jalkanen L. The effect of a weight reduction program on cardiovascular risk factors among overweight hypertensives in primary health care. *Scandinavian Journal of Social Medicine*. 1991; 19:66–71. [PubMed: 1925430]
- Johns Hopkins {published data only} . Morisky D, Levine D, Green L, Shapiro S, Russell R, Smith C. Five-year blood pressure control and mortality following health education for hypertensive patients. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1983; 73:153–162. MEDLINE: 83098083. [PubMed: 6849473]
- Lin 1996 {published data only} . Lin T, Chen C-H, Chou P. A hypertension control program in Yu-Chi, Taiwan: preliminary results. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association*. 1997; 96:613–620. [PubMed: 9290271]
- Lindahl 1999 {published data only} . Lindahl B, Nilsson TK, Jansson J-H, Asplund K, Hallmans G. Improved fibrinolysis by intense lifestyle intervention. A randomized trial in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 1999; 246:105–112. [PubMed: 10447232]
- Meland 1997 {published data only} . Meland E, Laerum E, Ulvik RJ. Effectiveness of two preventive interventions for coronary heart disease in primary care. *Scandinavian journal of Primary Health Care*. 1997; 15:57–64. [PubMed: 9101627]

- Meland E, Maeland JG, Laerum E. The importance of self-efficacy in cardiovascular risk factor change. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health*. 1999; 27(1):11–17. MEDLINE: Medline 20304315. [PubMed: 10847665]
- MRFIT Study {published and unpublished data} . Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. Mortality rates after 10.5 years for participants in the multiple risk factor intervention trial. Findings related to a priori hypotheses of the trial. *JAMA*. 1990; 263:1795–1801. MEDLINE: 90189406. [PubMed: 2179590]
- Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Risk factor changes and mortality results. *JAMA*. 1982; 248:1465–1477. MEDLINE: 82269405. [PubMed: 7050440]
- Nilsson 1992 {published data only} . Nilsson PM, Lindholm LH, Schersten BF. Life style changes improve insulin resistance in hyperinsulinaemic subjects: a one-year intervention study of hypertensives and normotensives in Dalby. *Journal of Hypertension*. 1992; 10(9):1071–1078. MEDLINE: Medline 93017836. [PubMed: 1328367] *
- Nilsson 2001 {published data only} . Nilsson PM, Klasson E-B, Nyberg P. Life-style intervention at the worksite - reduction of cardiovascular risk factors in a randomized study. *Scandinavian Journal of Work and Environmental Health*. 2001; 27:57–62.
- Oldroyd {published data only} . Oldroyd JC, Unwin NC, White M, Imrie K, Mathers JC, Alberti KGM. Randomised controlled trial evaluating the effectiveness of behavioural interventions to modify cardiovascular risk factors in men and women with impaired glucose tolerance: outcomes at 6 months. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. 2001; 52:29–43. [PubMed: 11182214]
- Oslo Diet Exercise {published data only} . Anderssen SA, Haaland A, Hjerman I, Urdal P, Gjesdal K, Holme I. Oslo diet and exercise study: a one year randomized intervention trial. Effect on haemostatic variables and other coronary risk factors. *Nutrition Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases*. 1995; 5:189–200.
- OXCHECK Study {published and unpublished data} . Imperial Cancer Research Fund OXCHECK Study Group. Effectiveness of health checks conducted by nurses in primary care: results of the OXCHECK study after one year. *BMJ*. 1994; 308:308–312. MEDLINE: 94169708. [PubMed: 8124120]
- Perez-Stable no prop {published data only} . Perez-Stable EJ, Coates TJ, Baron RB, Biro BS, Hauck WW, McHenry KS, Gardiner PS, Feigal D. Comparison of a lifestyle modification program with propranolol use in the management of diastolic hypertension. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 1995; 10:419–428. [PubMed: 7472698]
- Perez-Stable prop {published data only} . Perez-Stable EJ, Coates TJ, Baron RB, Biro BS, Hauck WH, McHenry KS, Gardiner PS, Feigal D. Comparison of a lifestyle modification program with propranolol use in the management of diastolic hypertension. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 1995; 10:419–428. [PubMed: 7472698]
- Stamler 1989 {published data only} . Stamler R, Stamler J, Gosch F, Civinelli J, Fishman J, McKeever P, et al. Primary prevention of hypertension by nutritional hygienic means: final report of a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 1989; 262:1801–1807. MEDLINE: 89382841. [PubMed: 2778913]
- Stefanick men {published data only} . Stefanick ML, Mackey S, Sheehan M, Ellsworth N, Haskell WL, Wood PD. Effects of diet and exercise in men and postmenopausal women with low levels of HDL cholesterol and high levels of LDL cholesterol. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1998; 339:12–20. [PubMed: 9647874]
- Stefanick women {published data only} . Stefanick ML, Mackey S, Sheehan M, Ellsworth N, Haskell WL, Wood PD. Effects of diet and exercise in men and postmenopausal women with low levels of HDL cholesterol and high levels of LDL cholesterol. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1998; 339:12–20. [PubMed: 9647874]
- Swedish RIS {published data only} . Agewall S, Wikstrand J, Samuelsson O, Persson B, Andersson OK, Fagerberg B. The efficacy of multiple risk factor intervention in treated hypertensive men during long-term follow up. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 1994; 236:651–659. MEDLINE: 95081756. [PubMed: 7989900] *

- Fagerberg B, Wikstrand J, Berglund G, Samuelsson O, Agewall S. Mortality rates in treated hypertensive men with additional risk factors are high but can be reduced. A randomized intervention study. *American Journal of Hypertension*. 1998; 11:14–22. [PubMed: 9504445]
- Take Heart {published data only} . Glasgow RE, Terborg JR, Hollis JF, Severson HH, Boles SM. Take heart: results from the initial phase of a work-site wellness program. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1995; 85(2):209–16. [PubMed: 7856780] *
- The Oslo Study {published data only} . Hjermann I, Holme I, Leren P. Oslo Study Diet and Antismoking Trial. *American Journal of Medicine*. 1986; 80(Suppl 2A):7–11. MEDLINE: 86127401. [PubMed: 3511692]
- Hjermann I, Holme I, Velve Byre K, Leren P. Effect of diet and smoking on the incidence of coronary heart disease. Report from the Oslo Study Group of a randomised trial in healthy men. *Lancet*. 1981; ii:1303–1310. MEDLINE: 82079715. [PubMed: 6118715]
- Holme I, Hjermann I, Helgeland A, Leren P. The Oslo Study; diet and antismoking advice. Additional results from a 5-year primary preventive trial in middle-aged men. *Preventive Medicine*. 1985; 14:279–292. MEDLINE: 86042569. [PubMed: 3903733]
- Tromso men {published and unpublished data} . Knutsen S, Knutsen R. The Tromso Survey: The Family Intervention Study - The effect of intervention on some coronary risk factors and dietary habits, a 6-year follow-up. *Preventive Medicine*. 1991; 20:197–212. MEDLINE: 91279734. [PubMed: 2057468]
- Tromso wives {published and unpublished data} . Knutsen S, Knutsen R. The Tromso Survey: The Family Intervention Study - The effect of intervention on some coronary risk factors and dietary habits, a 6-year follow-up. *Preventive Medicine*. 1991; 20:197–212. MEDLINE: 91279734. [PubMed: 2057468]
- Uusitupa {published data only} . Laitinen JH, Ahola IE, Sarkkinen ES, Winberg RL, Harnaaorpi-Iivonen PA, Uusitupa MI. Impact of intensified dietary therapy on energy and nutrient intakes and fatty acid composition of serum lipids in patients with recently diagnosed non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 1993; 93(3):276–283. MEDLINE: Medline 93179637. [PubMed: 8382712]
- Uusitupa M, Laitinen J, Siitonen O, Vanninen E, Pyorala K. The maintenance of improved metabolic control after intensified diet therapy in recent type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Research & Clinical Practice*. 1993; 19(3):227–238. MEDLINE: Medline 93307030. [PubMed: 8319521]
- Uusitupa MIJ. Early lifestyle intervention in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1996; 28:445–449.
- Vanninen E, Uusitupa M, Siitonen O, Laitinen J, Lansimies E. Habitual physical activity, aerobic capacity and metabolic control in patients with newly-diagnosed Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus: Effect of 1-year diet and exercise intervention. *Diabetologia*. 1992; 35(4):340–346. Embase 92117529. [PubMed: 1516762]
- WHLP {published data only} . Kuller LH, Simkin-Silverman LR, Wing RR, Meilahn EN, Ives DG. Women's healthy lifestyle project: a randomized clinical trial. *Circulation*. 2001; 103:32–37. [PubMed: 11136682] *
- Simkin-Silverman L, Wing RR, Hansen DH, Klem ML, Pasagian-Macaulay AP, Meilahn EN, Kuller LH. Prevention of cardiovascular risk factor elevations in healthy premenopausal women. *Preventive Medicine*. 1995; 24(5):509–517. MEDLINE: Medline 96089880. [PubMed: 8524727]
- Simkin-Silverman LR, Wing RR, Boraz MA, Meilahn EN, Kuller LH. Maintenance of cardiovascular risk factor changes among middle-aged women in a lifestyle intervention trial. *Women's Health*. 1998; 4(3):255–271. MEDLINE: Medline 99003919. [PubMed: 9787651]
- WHO Factories {published and unpublished data} . World Health Organisation European Collaborative Group. WHO European collaborative trial in the multifactorial prevention of coronary heart disease. World Health Organisation; Copenhagen: 1989. MEDLINE: 91122807
- World Health Organisation; European Collaborative Group. European collaborative trial of multifactorial prevention of coronary heart disease: final report on the 6-year results. *Lancet*. 1986; i:869–875. MEDLINE: 86173760.

- World Health Organization; European Collaborative Group. Multifactorial trial in the prevention of coronary heart disease: 2. Risk factor changes at two and four years. *European Heart Journal*. 1982; 3:184–190. MEDLINE: 82210760. [PubMed: 7084265]
- Wing {published data only} . Wing RR, Polley BA, Venditti E, Lang W, Jakicic JM. Lifestyle intervention in overweight individuals with a family history of diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 1998; 21:350–359. [PubMed: 9540015]

References to studies excluded from this review

- Andersen 1999 {published data only} . Andersen RE, Wadden TA, Bartlett SJ, Zemel B, Verde TJ, Franckowiak SC. Effects of lifestyle activity vs structured aerobic exercise in obese women: a randomized trial [see comments]. *JAMA*. 1999; 281(4):335–340. MEDLINE: Medline 99126166. [PubMed: 9929086]
- Basler 1985 {published data only} . Basler H-D, Brinkmeier U, Buser K, Haehn K-D, Molders-Kober R. Psychological group treatment of obese essential hypertensives by lay therapists in rural general practice settings. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 1985; 29(4):383–391. [PubMed: 4057126]
- Blake 1987 {published data only} . Blake R, Doyle M, Straub V, Zweig S, Brent E, Ingman S, et al. A randomized controlled evaluation of an educational program in adults with high psychosocial risk of morbidity. *Journal of Family Practice*. 1987; 24:369–376. MEDLINE: 87168241. [PubMed: 3549966]
- Bruno 1983 {published data only} . Bruno R, Arnold C, Jacobson L, Winick M, Wynder E. Randomized controlled trial of a nonpharmacologic cholesterol reduction program at the worksite. *Preventive Medicine*. 1983; 12:523–532. MEDLINE: 84015981. [PubMed: 6622436]
- Burke 1999 {published data only} . Burke V, Giangiulio N, Gillam HF, Beilin LJ, Houghton S, Houghton S, et al. Health promotion in couples adapting to a shared lifestyle. *Health Education Research*. 1999; 14:269–288. [PubMed: 10387506]
- Cambien 1981 {published data only} . Cambien F, Richard JL, Ducimetiere P, Warnet JM, Kahn J. The Paris Cardiovascular Risk Factor Prevention Trial. Effects of two years of intervention in a population of young men. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*. 1981; 35:91–97. [PubMed: 7299342]
- Cambien F, Richard JL, Jaqueson A, Ducimetiere P. Analysis of the results of a trial where groups have been randomized. The Paris cardiovascular-prevention trial. *Revue d'Epidemiologie et de Sante Publique*. 1981; 29(3):281–288. Embase 82013888. [PubMed: 7302311]
- Carlberg 1992 {published data only} . Carlberg A, Tibblin G. Patient satisfaction in primary health care. A comparative study of two modes of treatment for hypertension. *Family Practice*. 1992; 9:304–310. MEDLINE: 93093366. [PubMed: 1459387]
- Crouch 1986 {published data only} . Crouch M, Sallis J, Farquhar JW, Haskell W, Ellsworth N, King A, et al. Personal and mediated health counseling for sustained dietary reduction of hypercholesterolaemia. *Preventive Medicine*. 1986; 15:282–291. MEDLINE: 86313513. [PubMed: 3749008]
- Da Qing 1997 {published data only} . Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, Wang JX, Yang WY, An ZX, et al. The effect of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance. The Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study. *Diabetes Care*. 1997; 20:537–544. MEDLINE: 97251295. [PubMed: 9096977]
- Domarkene 1990 {published data only} . Domarkene S, Baubinene A, Chazova L, Kalinina A, Meimanaliev T, Shleifer E, et al. Efficiency of a cooperative program on multifactor prevention of coronary heart disease. Results of a 3 year follow up. *Kardiologiia*. 1990; 30:95–98. MEDLINE: 90369778. [PubMed: 2203931]
- DPP 1999 {published data only} . The Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. The Diabetes Prevention Program: baseline characteristics of the randomized cohort. *Diabetes Care*. 2000; 23(11):1619–1629. [PubMed: 11092283]
- The Diabetes Prevention Program. Design and methods for a clinical trial in the prevention of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 1999; 22(4):623–634. MEDLINE: Medline 99205541. [PubMed: 10189543]

- Dunn 1997 {published data only} . Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, Garcia ME, Kohl HW 3rd, Blair SN. Reduction in cardiovascular disease risk factors: 6-month results from Project Active. Preventive Medicine. 1997; 26(6):883–892. MEDLINE: Medline 98050155. [PubMed: 9388801]
- Edye 1989 {published data only} . Edye B, Mandryk J, Frommer M, Healey S, Ferguson D. Evaluation of a worksite programme for the modification of cardiovascular risk factors. Medical Journal of Australia. 1989; 150:574–581. MEDLINE: 89238014. [PubMed: 2654576]
- Fielding 1994 {published data only} . Fielding JE, Knight K, Mason T, Klesges RC, Pelletier KR. Evaluation of the IMPACT blood pressure program. Journal of Occupational Medicine. 1994; 36(7):743–746. MEDLINE: Medline 95017170 embase 94228817. [PubMed: 7931739]
- Fielding JE, Mason T, Knight K, Klesges R, Pelletier KR. A randomized trial of the IMPACT worksite cholesterol reduction program. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 1995; 11(2):120–123. [PubMed: 7632447]
- Fox 1996 {published data only} . Fox AA, Thompson JL, Butterfield GE, Gylfadottir U, Moynihan S, Spiller G. Effects of diet and exercise on common cardiovascular disease risk factors in moderately obese older women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 1996; 63(2):225–233. MEDLINE: Medline 96148836. [PubMed: 8561064]
- Frommer 1990 {published data only} . Frommer MS, Mandryk JA, Edye BV, Healey S, Berry G, Ferguson DA. A randomised controlled trial of counseling in a workplace setting for coronary heart disease risk factor modification: effects on blood pressure. Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health. 1990; 4(1):25–33. MEDLINE: Medline 91026380. [PubMed: 2223274]
- Fuchs 1993 {published data only} . Fuchs Z, Viskoper JR, Drexler I, Nitzan H, Lubin F, Berlin S, et al. Comprehensive individualised nonpharmacological treatment programme for hypertension in physician-nurse clinics: two year follow-up. Journal of Human Hypertension. 1993; 7(6):585–591. MEDLINE: Medline 94157869. [PubMed: 8114054]
- Fullard 1987 {published data only} . Fullard E, Fowler G, Gray M. Promoting prevention in primary care: controlled trial of low technology, low cost approach. BMJ. 1987; 294:1080–1082. MEDLINE: 87214984. [PubMed: 3107700]
- Gemson 1990 {published data only} . Gemson DH, Sloan RP, Messeri P, Goldberg IJ. A public health model for cardiovascular risk reduction. Impact of cholesterol screening with brief nonphysician counseling. Archives of Internal Medicine. 1990; 150:985–989. MEDLINE: 90233900. [PubMed: 2331203]
- Gemson 1995 {published data only} . Gemson DH, Sloan RP. Efficacy of computerized health risk appraisal as part of a periodic health examination at the worksite. American Journal of Health Promotion. 1995; 9:462–466. [PubMed: 10150537] *
- German 1994 {published data only} . German C, Heierle C, Zunzunegui MV, Contreras E, Blanco P, Ruiz E, Salas A. The control of arterial hypertension in primary care: the evaluation of a program of self-care [El control de la hipertension arterial en atencion primaria: evaluacion de un programa de autocuidados]. Atencion Primaria. 1994; 13(1):3–7. MEDLINE: Medline 94183927. [PubMed: 8136443]
- Gomel 1993 {published data only} . Gomel M, Oldenburg B, Simpson JM, Owen N. Work-site cardiovascular risk reduction: a randomized trial of health risk assessment, education, counseling, and incentives. American Journal of Public Health. 1993; 83:1231–1238. MEDLINE: 93370584. [PubMed: 8362997]
- Gomel MK, Oldenburg B, Simpson JM, Chilvers M, Owen N. Composite cardiovascular risk outcomes of a work-site intervention trial. American Journal of Public Health. 1997; 87(4):673–676. [PubMed: 9146453]
- Gordon 1997 {published data only} . Gordon NF, Scott CB, Levine BD. Comparison of single versus multiple lifestyle interventions: are the antihypertensive effects of exercise training and diet-induced weight loss additive? American Journal of Cardiology. 1997; 79(6):763–767. MEDLINE: Medline 97223974. [PubMed: 9070555]
- Hanlon 1995 {published data only} . Hanlon P, McEwan J, Gilmour H, Tannahill C, Tannahill A, Kelly M. Health checks and coronary risk: further evidence from a randomized controlled trial. BMJ. 1995; 311:1609–1613. MEDLINE: 96111859. [PubMed: 8555805]

- Haskell 1988 {published data only} . Haskell WL, Fair J, Sanders W, Alderman EL. New methodologies for studying the prevention of atherosclerosis. *Annals of Clinical Research*. 1988; 20(1-2):39–45. MEDLINE: Medline 88308389. [PubMed: 3408211]
- Hedberg 1998 {published data only} . Hedberg GE, Wikstrom-Frisen L, Janlert U. Comparison between two programmes for reducing the levels of risk indicators of heart diseases among male professional drivers. *Occupational & Environmental Medicine*. 1998; 55(8):554–561. [PubMed: 9849543]
- Jula 1990 {published data only} . Jula A, Ronnema J, Rastas A, Karvetti R-L, Muki J. Long-term nonpharmacological treatment for mild to moderate hypertension. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 1990; 227:413–421. MEDLINE: 90278339. [PubMed: 2191071]
- Kawakami 1999 {published data only} . Kawakami N, Haratani T, Iwata N, Imanaka Y, Murata K, Araki S. Effects of mailed advice on stress reduction among employees in Japan: a randomized controlled trial. *Industrial Health*. 1999; 37(2):237–242. MEDLINE: Medline 99253130. [PubMed: 10319572]
- Ketola 2001 {published data only} . Ketola E, Makela M, Klockars M. Individualised multifactorial lifestyle intervention trial for high-risk cardiovascular patients in primary care. *British Journal of General Practice*. 2001; 51:291–294. [PubMed: 11458482]
- Knappe 1982 {published data only} . Knappe J, Heinrich J, Duck KD. On the efficacy of a programme of the prevention of cardio-vascular diseases. [Zur wirksamkeit eines praventionsprogramms gegen herz-kreislauf-krankheiten]. *Zeitschrift fur die Gesamte Innere Medizin und Ihre Grenzgebiete*. 1982; 37(19):633–641. Embase 83029552. [PubMed: 7180061]
- Kreuter 1996 {published data only} . Kreuter MW, Strecher VJ. Do tailored behavior change messages enhance the effectiveness of health risk appraisal. *Health Education Research*. 1996; 11:97–105. [PubMed: 10160231]
- Lasater 1986 {published data only} . Lasater TM, Wells BL, Carleton RA, Elder JP. The role of churches in disease prevention research studies. *Public Health Reports*. 1986; 101(2):125–131. MEDLINE: Medline 86178246. [PubMed: 3083467]
- Lauritzen 1995 {published data only} . Engberg MD, Christensen B, Karlsmose B, Lous J, Lauritzen T. General health screenings to improve cardiovascular risk profiles: A randomized controlled trial in general practice with 5 year follow-up. *Journal of Family Practice*. 2002; 51(6):546–552. [PubMed: 12100779]
- Lauritzen T, Leboeuf-Yde C, Lunde IM, Nielsen KD. Ebeltoft project: baseline data from a five-year randomized, controlled, prospective health promotion study in a Danish population. *British Journal of General Practice*. 1995; 45(399):542–547. MEDLINE: Medline 96104321. [PubMed: 7492424]
- Leighton 1990 {published data only} . Leighton RF, Repka FJ, Birk TJ, Lynch DJ, Bingle JF, Gohara AF, et al. The Toledo Exercise and Diet Study. Results at 26 weeks. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1990; 150(5):1016–1020. MEDLINE: Medline 90233864. [PubMed: 2184789]
- Lindahl 1998 {published data only} . Lindahl B, Nilsson TK, Asplund K, Hallmans G. Intense nonpharmacological intervention in subjects with multiple cardiovascular risk factors: decreased fasting insulin levels but only a minor effect on plasma plasminogen activator inhibitor activity. *Metabolism: Clinical & Experimental*. 1998; 47(4):384–390. MEDLINE: Medline 98209904. [PubMed: 9550533]
- Lovibond 1986 {published data only} . Lovibond S, Birrell P, Langeluddecke P. Changing coronary heart disease risk-factor status: the effects of three behavioural programs. *Journal of Behavioural Medicine*. 1986; 9:414–436. MEDLINE: 87086747.
- Macdonald 1990 {published data only} . Macdonald NJ, Stark S, et al. Multiple risk factor intervention in the prevention of coronary heart disease [abstract]. *Clinical Science*. 1990; 78(Suppl 22):6P.
- Martinez-A 1990 {published data only} . Martinez-Amencs, Fernandez Ferr M, Mota Vidal C, Alsina Rocasalbas J. Evaluation of two educative models in a primary care hypertension programme. *Journal of Human Hypertension*. 1990; 4:362–364. MEDLINE: 19080073; CN-00060437. [PubMed: 2258874]

- McCance 1985 {published data only} . McCance KL, Eutropius L, Jacobs MK, Williams RR. Preventing coronary heart disease in high-risk families. *Research in Nursing & Health*. 1985; 8(4):413–420. MEDLINE: Medline 86095422. [PubMed: 3853254]
- McCann 1997 {published data only} . McCann TJ, Criqui MH, Kashani IA, Sallis JF, Calfas KJ, Langer RD, Rupp JW. A randomized trial of cardiovascular risk factor reduction: patterns of attrition after randomization and during follow-up. *Journal of Cardiovascular Risk*. 1997; 4(1): 41–46. MEDLINE: Medline 97358401. [PubMed: 9215520]
- Meimanaliev 1991 {published data only} . Meimanaliev T, Shleifer E, Aitbaev K, Aitmurzaeva G, Gilfanova V, Podgurskaya L, et al. Prevalence of ischaemic heart disease risk factors among the male population in Frunze aged 40-59 years and results of a five-year prevention programme. *COR VASA*. 1991; 33:451–457. MEDLINE: 93114001. [PubMed: 1842938]
- Miemanaliev 1993 {published data only} . Meimanaliev T, Oteva E, Aitbaev K, Maslennikov A, Nikolaeva A, Shterental I, et al. Prevalence of main risk factors among probands with a history of early myocardial infarction and their relatives. *Terapevticheskii Arkhiv*. 1993; 65:28–30. MEDLINE: 94310516. [PubMed: 8036565]
- Murray 1986 {published data only} . Murray DM, Luepker RV, Pirie PL, Grimm RH Jr, Bloom E, Davis MA, Blackburn H. Systematic risk factor screening and education: a community-wide approach to prevention of coronary heart disease. *Preventive Medicine*. 1986; 15(6):661–672. MEDLINE: Medline 87092202. [PubMed: 3797397] *
- Nikitin 1991 {published data only} . Nikitin Y, Bondareva Z, Oteva E, Filimonova T. Serum lipid composition in healthy subjects and patients of senile age and long livers. *Klinicheskaya Meditsina*. 1991; 69:32–35.
- Nisbeth 2000 {published data only} . Nisbeth O, Klausen K, Andersen LB. Effectiveness of counselling over 1 year on changes in lifestyle and coronary heart disease risk factors. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2000; 40:121–131. [PubMed: 10771366]
- Nolte 1997 {published data only} . Nolte LJ, Nowson CA, Dyke AC. Effect of dietary fat reduction and increased aerobic exercise on cardiovascular risk factors. *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology*. 1997; 24(11):901–903. Embase 97321842. [PubMed: 9363379]
- Ostwald 1989 {published data only} . Ostwald SK. Changing employees' dietary and exercise practices: an experimental study in a small company. *Journal of Occupational Medicine*. 1989; 31:90–97. MEDLINE: 89216114. [PubMed: 2709166]
- Patterson 1988 {published data only} . Patterson T, Sallis J, Nader P, Rupp J, McKenzie T, Roppe B, et al. Direct observation of physical activity and dietary behaviours in a structured environment: effects of a family-based health promotion program. *Journal of Behavioural Medicine*. 1988; 11:447–458. MEDLINE: 89178617.
- Persson 1996 {published data only} . Persson J, Israelsson B, Stavenow L, Holmstrom E, Berglund G. Progression of atherosclerosis in middle-aged men: effects of multifactorial intervention. *Journal of Internal medicine*. 1996; 239:425–433. [PubMed: 8642235]
- Pierce 1984 {published data only} . Pierce J, Watson D, Knightsm S, Gliddon T, Williams S, Watson R. A controlled trial of health education in the physician's office. *Preventive Medicine*. 1984; 13:185–194. MEDLINE: 84247961. [PubMed: 6377291]
- Reid 1995 {published data only} . Reid C, McNeil JJ, Williams F, Powles J. Cardiovascular risk reduction: a randomized trial of two health promotion strategies for lowering risk in a community with low socioeconomic status. *Journal of Cardiovascular Risk*. 1995; 2(2):155–163. MEDLINE: Medline 95330659. [PubMed: 7606653]
- Robson 1989 {published data only} . Robson J, Boomla K, Fitzpatrick S, Jewell A, Taylor J, Self J, et al. Using nurses for preventive activities with computer assisted follow-up: a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 1989; 298:433–436. MEDLINE: 89194461. [PubMed: 2495053]
- Rosamond 2000 {published data only} . Rosamond WD, Ammerman AS, Holliday JL, Tawney KW, Hunt KJ, Keyserling TC, Will JC, Mokdad AH. Cardiovascular disease risk factor intervention in low-income women: the North Carolina WISEWOMAN project. *Preventive Medicine*. 2000; 31(4):370–379. [PubMed: 11006062]
- Rowland 1994 {published data only} . Rowland L, Dickinson EJ, Newman P, Ford D, Ebrahim S. Look After Your Heart programme: impact on health status, exercise knowledge, attitudes, and

- behaviour of retired women in England. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*. 1994; 48:123–128. MEDLINE: 94246321. [PubMed: 8189164]
- S-E London 1977 {published data only} . South East London Screening Study Group. A controlled trial of multiphasic screening in middle-age: results of the South-East London Screening Study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 1977; 6:357–363. MEDLINE: 78129309. [PubMed: 608798]
- Schwandt 1999 {published data only} . Ohrig E, Geib HC, Haas G-M, Schwandt P. The Prevention Education Program (PEP) Nuremberg: Design and baseline data of a family oriented intervention study. *International Journal of Obesity*. 2001; 25(Suppl 1):S89–S92. [PubMed: 11466597]
- Schwandt P, Geiss HC, Ritter MM, Ublacker C, Parhofer KG, Otto C, et al. The prevention education program (PEP). A prospective study of the efficacy of family-oriented life style modification in the reduction of cardiovascular risk and disease: design and baseline data. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. 1999; 52(8):791–800. MEDLINE: Medline 99392993. [PubMed: 10465324]
- Smith 1991 {published data only} . Smith K, McKinlay S. The validity of health risk appraisals for coronary heart disease: results from a randomized field trial. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1991; 81:466–470. MEDLINE: 91166020. [PubMed: 2003626]
- Steinbach 1982 {published data only} . Steinbach M, Constantineanu M, Harnagea P, Theodorini S, Georgescu M, Mitu S, et al. The Bucharest Multifactorial Prevention Trial. the changes of morbidity and of general and specific mortality. *Revue Roumaine de Medecine - Medicine Interne*. 1982; 20:197–208. MEDLINE: 83119593.
- TOMHS 1991 {published data only} . The Treatment of Mild Hypertension Research Group. The treatment of mild hypertension study. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of a nutritional-hygienic regimen along with various drug monotherapies. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1991; 151(7):1413–1423. MEDLINE: Medline 91290967. [PubMed: 2064494]
- TONE 1998 {published data only} . Whelton PK, Appel LJ, Espeland MA, Applegate WB, Ettinger WH Jr, Kostis JB, TONE Collaborative Research Group. Sodium reduction and weight loss in the treatment of hypertension in older persons: a randomized controlled trial of nonpharmacologic interventions in the elderly (TONE). *JAMA*. 1998; 279(11):839–846. [PubMed: 9515998]
- Tsuyuki 1999 {published data only} . Tsuyuki RT, Johnson JA, Teo KK, Ackman ML, Biggs RS, Cave A, et al. Study of Cardiovascular Risk Intervention by Pharmacists (SCRIP): a randomized trial design of the effect of a community pharmacist intervention program on serum cholesterol risk. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 1999; 33(9):910–919. MEDLINE: Medline 99420238. [PubMed: 10492489]
- Velonakis 1999 {published data only} . Velonakis E, Sourtzi P, Komitopoulos N, Ioannides J, Varsamis E. A health promotion programme for the prevention of cardiovascular diseases in the elderly. *International Journal of Health Promotion & Education*. 1999; 37(1):26–29.
- Volozh 1991 {published data only} . Volozh O, Saava M, Tur I, Neilinn K, Solodkaia E, Taggerluk H. Risk factors of coronary heart disease and atherosclerosis in Tallin inhabitants - relation of age, sex and ethnic origin. A population study. *Kardiologia*. 1991; 31:20–24. MEDLINE: 92139620. [PubMed: 1779509]
- WHP {published data only} . Emmons KM, Linnan LA, Shadel WG, Marcus B, Abrams DB. The working healthy project: a worksite health-promotion trial targeting physical activity, diet and smoking. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 1999; 41:545–555. [PubMed: 10412096]
- Emmons KM, Shadel WG, Linnan L, Marcus BH, Abrams DB. A prospective analysis of change in multiple risk factors for cancer. *Cancer Research Therapy & Control*. 1999; 8(1-2):15–23.
- Wisewoman 1999 {published data only} . The Wisewoman Group. Cardiovascular disease prevention for women attending breast and cervical cancer screening programs: the WISEWOMAN projects. *Preventive Medicine*. 1999; 28(5):496–502. MEDLINE: Medline 99263097. [PubMed: 10329340]
- Working Well Trial {published data only} . Abrams DB, Boutwell WB, Grizzle J, Heimendinger J, Sorensen G, Varnes J. Cancer control at the workplace: the Working Well Trial. *Preventive Medicine*. 1994; 23:15–27. [PubMed: 8016028]

- Wu 1999 {published data only} . Wu X, Cao T, Zhu Y. Effects of dietary pattern modification on blood pressure over in a work site intervention program. *Chinese Journal of Cardiology*. 1999; 27(1):22–25.

Additional references

- AHA 2002 . Pearson TA, Blair SN, Daniels SR, Eckel RH, Fair JM, Fortmann SP, et al. American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee. AHA Guidelines for primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and stroke: 2002 Update: Consensus Panel guide to comprehensive risk reduction for adult patients without coronary or other atherosclerotic vascular diseases. *Circulation*. 2002; 106:388–91. [PubMed: 12119259]
- Ben-Shlomo 1994 . Ben-Shlomo Y, Davey Smith G, Shipley M, Marmot MG. What determines mortality risk in male former cigarette smokers? *American Journal of Public Health*. 1994; 84:1235–1242. MEDLINE: 94337886. [PubMed: 8059878]
- Berglund 2000 . Berglund G, Nilsson P, Eriksson KF, Nilsson JA, Hedblad B, Kristenson H, et al. Long-term outcome of the Malmo preventive project: mortality and cardiovascular morbidity. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2000; 247:19–29. [PubMed: 10672127]
- Brunner 1997 . Brunner E, White I, Thorogood M, Bristow A, Curle D, Marmot MG. Can dietary interventions in the population change diet and cardiovascular risk factors? An assessment of effectiveness utilising a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1997; 87:1451–1422. MEDLINE: 97460408.
- Canadian 1986 . Canadian Public Health Association. Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion. Canadian Public Health Association; Canada: 1986. MEDLINE: 87215459
- Collins 1994 . Collins R, MacMahon S. Blood pressure, antihypertensive drug treatment and the risks of stroke and coronary heart disease. *British Medical Bulletin*. 1994; 50:272–298. MEDLINE: 94265072. [PubMed: 8205459]
- COMMIT I 1995 . The COMMIT Research Group. Community Intervention Trial for Smoking Cessation (COMMIT): I. Cohort results from a four year community intervention. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1995; 85:183–192. MEDLINE: 95160166. [PubMed: 7856777]
- COMMIT II 1995 . The COMMIT Research Group. Community Intervention Trial for Smoking Cessation (COMMIT): II. Changes in adult smoking prevalence. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1995; 85:193–200. Embase: 95064569 Cochrane: 00130982. [PubMed: 7856778]
- Cook 1986 . Cook D, Shaper AG, Pocock S, Kussick S. Giving up smoking and the risk of heart attacks. *Lancet*. 1986; ii:1376–1380. MEDLINE: 87063071. [PubMed: 2878236]
- CTT 2005 . Cholesterol Treatment Trialists (CTT) Collaborators. Efficacy and safety of cholesterol-lowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90,056 participants in 14 randomised trials of statins. *Lancet*. 2005; 366:1267–78. [PubMed: 16214597]
- Davey Smith 1993 . Davey Smith G, Song S, Sheldon T. Cholesterol lowering and mortality: the importance of considering initial level of risk. *BMJ*. 1993; 306:1367–1373. MEDLINE: 93299182. [PubMed: 8518602]
- Davey Smith 1994 . Davey Smith G, Egger M. Who benefits from medical interventions? *BMJ*. 1994; 308:72–74. MEDLINE: 94129335. [PubMed: 8298415]
- Dickersin 1994 . Dickersin K, Scherer R, Lefebvre C. Identifying relevant studies for systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 1994; 309:1286–1291. [PubMed: 7718048]
- Diclemente 1991 . DiClemente CC, Prochaska J, Fairhurst S, Velicer W, Velasques M, Rossi J. The process of smoking cessation: an analysis of precontemplation, contemplation and preparation stages of change. *Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology*. 1991; 59:295–304. MEDLINE: 91251570. [PubMed: 2030191]
- DoH 1992 . The Health of the Nation. A strategy for health in England. HMSO; London: 1992. Secretary of State for Health.
- Ebrahim 2001 . Ebrahim S, Davey Smith GD. Exporting failure? Coronary heart disease and stroke in developing countries. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2001; 30:201–5. [PubMed: 11369713]

- Editorial 1984 . Editorial. Double first in Wales. *BMJ*. 1984; 289:514–515. MEDLINE: 84281652. [PubMed: 6432168]
- Editorial I 1982 . Editorial. Trials of Coronary Heart Disease Prevention. *The Lancet*. 1982; ii:803–804. MEDLINE: 83011769.
- Editorial II 1982 . Editorial. Coronary disease and multiple-risk factor intervention. *The Lancet*. 1982; i:1395. MEDLINE: 82218589.
- Egger 1995 . Egger M, Davey Smith G. Risks and benefits of treating mild hypertension: a misleading meta-analysis? *Journal of Hypertension*. 1995; 13:813–815. MEDLINE: 96039411. [PubMed: 7594446]
- Emberson 2004 . Emberson J, Whincup P, Morris R, Walker M, Ebrahim S. Evaluating the impact of population and high-risk strategies for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. *European Heart Journal*. 2000; 25:484–91. [PubMed: 15039128]
- ESC 2003 . De Backer G, Ambrosioni E, Borch-Johnsen K, Brotons C, Cifkova R, Dallongeville J, et al. Third Joint Task Force of European and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice. European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. *European Heart Journal*. 2003; 24:1601–10. [PubMed: 12964575]
- Farquhar 1977 . Farquhar J, Wood P, Breitrose H, Haskell W, Meyer A, MacCoby N, et al. Community education for cardiovascular health. *Lancet*. 1977; i:1192–1195. MEDLINE: 77191418. [PubMed: 68285]
- Farquhar 1990 . Farquhar J, Fortmann S, Flora J, Taylor B, Haskell W, Williams P, et al. Effects of communitywide education on cardiovascular disease risk factors. The Stanford Five-City Project. *JAMA*. 1990; 264:359–365. MEDLINE: 90300579. [PubMed: 2362332]
- Fortmann 1993 . Fortmann S, Barr Taylor C, Flora J, Jatulis D. Changes in adult cigarette smoking prevalence after 5 years of community health education: the Stanford Five-City Project. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1993; 137:82–96. MEDLINE: 93167232. [PubMed: 8434576]
- Jackson 2006 . Jackson R, Lynch J, Harper S. Preventing coronary heart disease. *BMJ*. 2006; 332:617–8. [PubMed: 16543302]
- Kickbush 1988 . Kickbush, I. Report on the Adelaide Conference. Healthy public policy; 2nd International Conference on Health Promotion; 1988;
- Lefebvre 1996 . Lefebvre, C.; McDonald, S. Development of a sensitive search strategy for reports of randomised controlled trials in EMBASE; Paper presented at the Fourth International Cochrane Colloquium; Adelaide, Australia. 1996; Oct 20-24.
- Luepker 1994 . Luepker RV, Murray D, Jacobs D, Mittlemark M, Bracht N, Carlaw R, et al. Community education for cardiovascular disease prevention: risk factor changes in the Minnesota Heart Health Program. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1994; 84:1383–1393. MEDLINE: 94379281. [PubMed: 8092360]
- Luepker 1996 . Luepker RV, Rastam L, Hanham PJ, Murray DM, Gray C. Community education for cardiovascular disease prevention: morbidity and mortality results from the Minnesota Heart Health Programme. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1996; 144:351–362. MEDLINE: 96316780. [PubMed: 8712192]
- McCormick 1988 . McCormick J, Skrabanek P. Coronary heart disease is not preventable by population interventions. *Lancet*. 1988; ii:839–841. MEDLINE: 89013414. [PubMed: 2902277]
- Meichenbaum 1993 . Meichenbaum D. Changing conceptions of cognitive behavior modification: retrospect and prospect. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2001; 61(2):210–5.
- MRFITRG 1990 . Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. Mortality rates after 10.5 years for participants in the multiple risk factor intervention trial. Findings related to a priori hypotheses of the trial. *JAMA*. 1990; 263:1795–1801. MEDLINE: 90189406. [PubMed: 2179590]
- Mullen 1992 . Mullen PD, Mains DA, Velez R. A meta analysis of controlled trials of cardiac education. *Patient Education Counselling*. 1992; 19:143–162. MEDLINE: 93234317.
- Mulrow 1995 . Mulrow CD, Cornell JA, Herrera CR, Kadri A, Farnett L, Aguilar C. Hypertension in the elderly: implications and generalizability of randomized trials. *JAMA*. 1995; 272:1932–1938. MEDLINE: 95082133. [PubMed: 7990246]

- NSF-CHD 2000 . Department of Health. National Service Framework for coronary heart disease: modern standards and service models. Department of Health; London: 2000.
- O'Connor 1989 . O'Connor GT, Buring JE, Yusuf S, Goldhaber S, Olmstead E, Paffenbarger R, et al. An overview of randomized trials of rehabilitation with exercise after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1989; 80:234–244. MEDLINE: 89324326. [PubMed: 2665973]
- Oldridge 1988 . Oldridge NB, Guyatt GH, Fischer ME, Rimm AA. Cardiac rehabilitation after myocardial infarction. Combined experience of randomized clinical trials. *JAMA*. 1988; 260:945–950. MEDLINE: 88286972. [PubMed: 3398199]
- Pearson 1993 . Pearson, T.; Jamison, D.; Trejo-Gutierrez, J. Cardiovascular Disease. In: Jamison, D.; Mosley, WH.; Measham, A.; Bobadilla, J., editors. *Disease control priorities in developing countries*. Oxford University Press; Oxford: 1993. p. 577-594.
- Prochaska 1983 . Prochaska JO, DiClemente CC. Stages and processes of self-change in smoking: Toward an integrative model of change. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 390-395. 1983; 5:390–395.
- Puska 1976 . Puska P, Koskela K, Pakarinen H, Puumalainen P, Soininen V, Tuomilehto J. The North Karelia Project: a programme for community control of cardiovascular diseases. *Scandinavian Journal of Social Medicine*. 1976; 4:57–60. MEDLINE: 76271039. [PubMed: 959783]
- Puska 1981 . Puska, P.; Tuomilehto, J.; Salonen, J.; Nissinen, A.; Koskela, K.; Vartiainen, E., et al. Community control of cardiovascular diseases. The North Karelia Project. World Health Organization; Copenhagen: 1981. p. 1-351.
- Puska 1985 . Puska P, Nissinen A, Tuomilehto J. The community based strategy to prevent coronary heart disease conclusions from the ten years of the North Karelia Project. *Annual Review Public Health*. 1985; 6:147–193.
- Rose 1992 . Rose, G. The Strategy of Preventive Medicine. Oxford University Press; Oxford: 1992. Chapter 4. Prevention for individuals and the “high risk” strategy; p. 29-52.
- Scandinavian 1994 . Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study Group. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet*. 1994; 344:1383–1389. MEDLINE: 95057659. [PubMed: 7968073]
- Schoenberger 1990 . Schoenberger J. Cardiovascular risk factors: multiple interventions in man. Clinical and experimental hypertension. Part A, Theory and practice. 1990; A12:931–938. MEDLINE: 91004858.
- Stott 1994a . Stott N, Kinnersley P, Rollnick S. The limits to health promotion. *BMJ*. 1994; 309:971–972. MEDLINE: 95038136. [PubMed: 7950715]
- Stott 1994b . Stott N. Screening for cardiovascular risk in general practice. *BMJ*. 1994; 308:285–286. MEDLINE: 94169701. [PubMed: 8124113]
- Susser 1995 . Susser M. The tribulations of trials - intervention in communities. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1995; 85:156. MEDLINE: 95160158. [PubMed: 7856769]
- Tudor-Smith 1998 . Tudor-Smith C, Nutbeam D, Moore L, Catford J. Effects of the Heartbeat Wales programme over five years on behavioural risks for cardiovascular disease: quasi-experimental comparison of results from Wales and a matched reference area. *BMJ*. 1998; 316:818–22. [PubMed: 9549451]
- Valkonen 1992 . Valkonen T. Trends in regional and socio-economic mortality differentials in Finland. *International Journal of Health Sciences*. 1992; 3:157–166. [PubMed: 12345858]
- Vartiainen 1994 . Vartiainen E, Puska P, Pekkanen J, Tuomilehto J, Jousilahti P. Changes in risk factors explain changes in mortality from ischaemic heart disease in Finland. *BMJ*. 1994; 309:23–27. MEDLINE: 94319202. [PubMed: 8044063]
- Wannamethee 1995 . Wannamethee G, Shaper AG, Whincup P, Walker M. Smoking cessation and the risk of stroke in middle-aged men. *JAMA*. 1995; 274:155–160. MEDLINE: 95319028. [PubMed: 7596004]

References to other published versions of this review

Ebrahim 1997 . Ebrahim S, Davey Smith G. Systematic review of randomised controlled trials of multiple risk factor interventions for preventing coronary heart disease. BMJ. 1997; 314:1666–74. MEDLINE: 97336545. [PubMed: 9193292]

* *Indicates the major publication for the study*

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease

In many countries, there is enthusiasm for “Healthy Heart Programmes” that use counseling and educational methods to encourage people to reduce their risks for developing heart disease. These risk factors include high cholesterol, excessive salt intake, high blood pressure, excess weight, a high-fat diet, smoking, diabetes, and a sedentary lifestyle. This updated review of all relevant studies found that the approach of trying to reduce more than one risk factor - multiple risk factor intervention - advocated by these Programmes do result in small reductions in blood pressure, cholesterol, salt intake, weight loss, etc. Contrary to expectations, these lifestyle changes had little or no impact on the risk of heart attack or death. Possible explanations for this are that the small risk factor changes are not maintained long-term or are not real but caused by some of the studies being poorly conducted. This review is based on the findings from 39 trials conducted in several countries over the course of three decades. Its authors discourage more research on the topic: “Our methods of attempting behaviour change in the general population are very limited. Different approaches to behaviour change are needed and should be tested empirically before being widely promoted. For example, the availability of foods and better access to recreational and sporting facilities may have a greater impact on dietary and exercise patterns respectively, than health professional advice.”

Figure 1.
Total mortality funnel plot

Figure 2.
 Coronary heart disease mortality funnel plot

Figure 3.
 Systolic blood pressure funnel plot

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease
 Comparison: 01 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control
 Outcome: 04 Diastolic blood pressure

Figure 4.
 Diastolic blood pressure funnel plot

Review: Multiple risk factor interventions for primary prevention of coronary heart disease
 Comparison: 01 Multiple risk factor intervention versus control
 Outcome: 05 Blood cholesterol

Figure 5.
Cholesterol funnel plot

Figure 6.
Smoking funnel plot