Published in final edited form as:

Mol Cancer Ther. 2013 December ; 12(12): 2857–2863. doi:10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-13-0319-T.

Target-Based Therapeutic Matching in Early-Phase Clinical Trials in Patients with Advanced Colorectal Cancer and *PIK3CA* mutations

Prasanth Ganesan^{1,*}, Filip Janku^{1,*}, Aung Naing¹, David S. Hong¹, Apostolia M. Tsimberidou¹, Gerald S. Falchook¹, Jennifer J. Wheler¹, Sarina A. Piha-Paul¹, Siqing Fu¹, Vanda M. Stepanek¹, J. Jack Lee², Rajyalakshmi Luthra³, Michael J. Overman⁴, E. Scott Kopetz⁴, Robert A Wolff^{1,4}, and Razelle Kurzrock⁵

¹Department of Investigational Cancer Therapeutics (Phase I Clinical Trials Program), The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd., Houston, TX 77030

²Department of Biostatistics, The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd., Houston, TX 77030

³Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd., Houston, TX 77030

⁴Department of Gastrointestinal Medical Oncology, The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd., Houston, TX 77030

⁵Moore's Cancer Center, The University of California San Diego, 3855 Health Sciences Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093

Abstract

Target-matched treatment with PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors in patients with diverse advanced cancers with *PIK3CA* mutations have shown promise. Tumors from patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) were analyzed for *PIK3CA*, *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations. *PIK3CA* mutated tumors were treated, whenever feasible, with agents targeting the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. Of 194 patients analyzed, 31 (16%) had *PIK3CA* mutations, and 189 (97%) were assessed for *KRAS* mutations. Patients with *PIK3CA* mutations had a higher prevalence of simultaneous *KRAS* mutations than patients with wild-type (wt) *PIK3CA* (71%, 22/31 vs. 43%, 68/158; $p=0.006$). Of 31 patients with *PIK3CA* mutations, 17 (55%) were treated with protocols containing PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors (median age, 57; median number of prior therapies, 4; mTORC1 inhibitors [11], PI3K inhibitors [5] or an AKT inhibitor [1]). None (0/17) had a partial or complete response (PR/CR) and only 1 (6%, 95% CI 0.01–0.27) had stable disease (SD) 6 months, which was not significantly different from a SD 6 month/PR/CR rate of 16%

Address for correspondence: Dr. Filip Janku, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Investigational Cancer Therapeutics (Phase I Clinical Trials Program), The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1515 Holcombe Blvd., FC8.2018, Box 0455, Houston, Texas, 77030, United States of America, Phone 713-794-1226, Fax 713-563-0566, fjanku@mdanderson.org.

*Both authors contributed equally.

Conflicts of interest/ Disclosure: Filip Janku has research support from Novartis, Roche, Biocartis, Transgenomic, Trovagene. Razelle Kurzrock has research support from GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Merck, and Bayer. Scott Kopetz is a consultant for Roche, Sanofi, Amgen, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Bayer.

(11/67; 95% CI 0.09–0.27) in CRC patients without *PIK3CA* mutations treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors ($p=0.44$). Median progression-free survival was 1.9 months (95% CI 1.5–2.3). In conclusion, our data provide preliminary evidence that in heavily pretreated patients with *PIK3CA*-mutant advanced CRC, protocols incorporating PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors have minimal activity. *PIK3CA* mutations are associated with simultaneous *KRAS* mutations, possibly accounting for therapeutic resistance.

Keywords

PIK3CA mutation; PI3K/AKT/mTOR; Colorectal cancer; Target-matched therapy; KRAS mutation; Phase I trial

INTRODUCTION

The *PIK3CA* gene encodes the 110 α subunit of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and is commonly mutated in a myriad of human cancers. (1) *PIK3CA* mutations activate the PI3K/AKT/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway, which leads to carcinogenesis and tumor progression. (2–4) Preclinical and early clinical data suggest that *PIK3CA* mutations can render tumors sensitive to PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibition, whereas simultaneous *KRAS* mutations can drive therapeutic resistance. (3, 5–9) Many of the latest advances in cancer medicine have occurred when tumor-specific molecular abnormalities were matched with appropriately selected targeted therapies. (10–12) Examples in solid tumors include treatment with KIT inhibitors in gastrointestinal stromal tumors with *KIT* mutations(13), EGFR inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer harboring *EGFR* mutations(14) and BRAF inhibitors in melanoma with *BRAF* mutations. (15, 16) It is plausible that matching patients with colorectal cancer harboring *PIK3CA* mutations with therapies targeting the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway may lead to improved therapeutic benefit, as has been suggested in breast and gynecological cancers. (7, 8) *PIK3CA* mutations occur in approximately 17% of colorectal cancers; however, there are limited data on the outcomes of matched targeting of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in these patients. (17–20) We investigated patients with colorectal cancer referred to the Clinical Center for Targeted Therapy at MD Anderson Cancer Center (MD Anderson) for the presence of *PIK3CA* mutations and analyzed their treatment outcomes.

METHODS

Patients

Patients with advanced colorectal cancer refractory to standard therapies referred for early clinical trials with targeted therapeutic agents to the Clinical Center for Targeted Therapy at MD Anderson were eligible for analysis providing they had adequate tissue available for mutation analysis. The registration of patients in the database, pathology assessment, and mutation analysis were performed at MD Anderson. All treatments and analyses were performed in accordance with MD Anderson IRB guidelines.

Tissue Samples and Mutation Analyses

PIK3CA and *KRAS* mutations were investigated in archival formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue blocks or material from fine needle aspiration biopsy obtained from diagnostic and/or therapeutic procedures. All histologies were centrally reviewed at MD Anderson. *PIK3CA* and *KRAS* mutation testing was done at the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendment (CLIA)-certified Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory within the Division of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine at MD Anderson. DNA was extracted from micro-dissected, paraffin-embedded tumor sections and further studied using a polymerase chain reaction-based DNA sequencing method for *PIK3CA* mutations in codons c532 to c554 of exon 9 (helical domain) and c1011 to c1062 of exon 20 (kinase domain), which included the mutation hotspot region of the *PIK3CA* proto-oncogene by Sanger sequencing after amplification of 276- and 198-base pair amplicons, respectively, using primers designed by the MD Anderson Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory. After January 2011, the assay used was mass spectrometric detection (Sequenom MassARRAY) to screen for the mutational hot spots in exon 1 (Q60K, R88Q, E110K and K111N), exon 4 (N345K), exon 6 (S405S), exon 7 (E418K, C420R, E453K), exon 9 (P539R, E542 [base 1 and 2], E545 [all 3 bases] and Q546 [base 1 and 2]), exon 18 (F909L) and exon 20 (Y1021 [base 1 and 2], T1025 [base 1], M1043I, M1043V, A1046V, H1047Y, H1047R, H1047L, G1049R). The mutations identified during the initial screening were confirmed by Sanger sequencing assay. The lower limit of detection is approximately 10%. Additionally, whenever possible, mutation analyses for *KRAS*, *NRAS* codons 12, 13, and 61 mutations of exons 2–3 and *BRAF* mutations in exon 15 were carried out using PCR-based DNA sequencing mutation, as previously described. (21)

Treatment and Evaluation

Consecutive patients with underlying *PIK3CA* mutations were offered, whenever possible, a clinical trial, which included an inhibitor of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. Treatment continued until disease progression or the occurrence of unacceptable toxicity. Treatment was carried out according to the requisites in the treatment protocols selected. Assessments, including history, physical examination, and laboratory evaluations, were performed as specified in each protocol, typically before the initiation of therapy, weekly during the first cycle, and then, at a minimum, at the beginning of each new treatment cycle. Efficacy was assessed from computed tomography (CT) scans and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at baseline before treatment initiation and then every 2 cycles (6–8 weeks). All radiographs were read in the Department of Radiology at MD Anderson and reviewed in the Department of Investigational Cancer Therapeutics tumor measurement clinic. Responses were categorized per Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.0.(22) In brief, complete response (CR) was defined as the disappearance of all measurable and non-measurable disease; partial response (PR) was defined as at least a 30% decrease in the sum of the longest diameter of measurable target lesions; progressive disease (PD) was defined as at least a 20% increase in the sum of the longest diameter of measurable target lesions, or unequivocal progression of a non-target lesion, or the appearance of a new lesion; and stable disease (SD) was defined as neither sufficient shrinkage to qualify for PR nor sufficient increase to qualify for PD.

Statistical analysis

Two-way contingency tables were employed to summarize the relationship between two categorical variables. Fisher's exact test was used to assess the association among categorical variables and *PIK3CA* mutation status. Progression-free survival (PFS), estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method, was defined as the time interval from the start of therapy to the first observation of disease progression or death, whichever occurred first. Patients alive and without disease progression were censored at the last follow-up date. All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 19 computer software (SPSS Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

Patients characteristics

A total of 194 patients with advanced colorectal cancer were screened for the presence of *PIK3CA* mutations starting January 2009. Their median age was 58 years (range, 25 to 81 years); 140 (72%) were White, 28 (14%) African American, 19 (10%) Hispanic, and 7 (4%) Asian. Detailed patient characteristics are listed in Table 1.

PIK3CA mutations

PIK3CA mutations were detected in 31 (16%) of the 194 patients, with 22 mutations in the kinase domain in exon 9, and 8 mutations in the helical domain in exon 20. The most frequent point mutation was E545K (1633G>A) in 11 (35%) patients, followed by E542K (1624G>A) in 8 patients (26%), and H1047L (3140A>T) in 3 (10%) patients (Table 2). No association between *PIK3CA* mutation and age or ethnicity or tumor site was identified (Table 1).

KRAS and BRAF mutations

Of the 194 patients, 189 patients were tested for *KRAS* mutations and 90 (47%) had a mutation. Among patients with *KRAS* mutations the most prevalent ones were G12D (35G>A) in 30% (27/90), G12V (35G>T) in 20% (18/90), G13D (38G>A) in 11% (10/90) and G12A (35G>C) in 11% (10/90) of patients. Patients with *PIK3CA* mutations were more likely to have simultaneous *KRAS* mutations compared to patients with wild-type (wt) *PIK3CA* (71%, 22/31 vs. 43%, 68/158; $p=0.006$). *KRAS* mutations compared to wt *KRAS* were associated with *PIK3CA* mutations in exon 9 (18%, 16/90 vs. 6%, 6/99; $p=0.01$), but not in exon 20 (6%, 5/90 vs. 3%, 3/99; $p=0.48$) (Table 3).

Of the 194 patients, 167 patients were tested for *BRAF* mutations and 11 (7%) had mutated *BRAF* (10 had a V600E mutation and 1 patient had a D594G mutation). All patients with a *BRAF* mutation were negative for *KRAS* mutations. Of these 11 patients with *BRAF* mutations, 2 (18%) had co-existent *PIK3CA* mutations. There was no difference in the incidence of *BRAF* mutations among those with or without *PIK3CA* mutations (7%, 2/27 vs. 6%, 9/140; $p=0.69$).

Response rate to PI3K/AKT/mTOR-directed therapies

Of the 31 patients with *PIK3CA* mutations, 17 (55%) were treated in clinical trials including a PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitor. These patients had been refractory to a median of 4

prior therapies (range 2–7). Most patients (11/17, 65%) received mTORC1 inhibitor (rapalog)-based therapy; 5/17 (29%) received PI3K inhibitor-based therapy, and 1 (6%) received an AKT inhibitor-based therapy (Supplementary Table S1, online only). Most patients (13/17, 76%) received PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors at 100% of the maximum tolerated dose/recommended phase II dose/FDA-approved dose (range, 30% to 100%). Of the 11 patients treated with mTORC1 inhibitors, 10 (91%) received the maximum tolerated dose/recommended phase II dose/FDA-approved dose. In contrast, only 1 (20%) of 5 patients treated with PI3K inhibitors was treated with the maximum tolerated dose (Supplementary Table S1, online only). Of the 17 patients, none achieved partial or complete responses (PR/CR) and only 1 (6%, 95% CI 0.01–0.27) patient had stable disease for more than 6 months (SD ≥6) (Figure 1). This was similar to the SD ≥6/PR/CR rate of 16% (11/67; 95% CI 0.09–0.27) in colorectal cancer patients without *PIK3CA* mutations treated on the same protocols targeting the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway ($p=0.44$).

We also reviewed 14 of 31 patients (45%) with *PIK3CA* mutations who were not treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors. Of these 14 patients, 5 were not treated due to ineligibility or patient/doctor preference and 9 received other experimental therapies, often because *PIK3CA* status was not available at the time of decision making. None (0%, 95% CI 0.00–0.29) of these 9 patients attained a PR or SD ≥6, which was similar to SD ≥6/PR/CR rate of 6% (1/17, 95% CI 0.01–0.27) in the remaining 17 patients treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors ($p=1.00$).

Progression-free survival on PI3K/AKT/mTOR therapies

The median PFS for these 17 patients with a *PIK3CA* mutation on target-matched therapy with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors was 1.9 months (95% CI; 1.5–2.3). There was no difference in median PFS in 11 patients with *KRAS* mutations vs. 6 patients with wt *KRAS* (1.8 months; 95% CI, 1.4–2.2 vs. 1.9 months; 95% CI, 1.1–2.7; $p=0.59$). The same 17 patients had a median PFS of 3.1 months (95% CI; 0.4–5.8) on their last FDA-approved therapy prior to referral to the Clinical Center for Targeted Therapy ($p=0.1$) (Figure 2). Patients ($n=67$) without *PIK3CA* mutations treated with the same therapies with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors had a similar median PFS (2.3 months; 95% CI 2.1–2.6) as did patients with *PIK3CA* mutations treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors (1.9 months; 95% CI; 1.5–2.3; $p=0.44$, Figure 2).

In addition, we reviewed PFS in 9 patients with colorectal cancer and *PIK3CA* mutations who were treated with experimental therapies other than PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors. The median PFS for those 9 patients was 1.9 months (95% CI 1.0–2.7), which was similar to the median PFS of 1.9 months (95% CI 1.5–2.3) in 17 patients with *PIK3CA* mutations treated with matched therapy ($p=0.77$, Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

We identified *PIK3CA* mutations in 16% of the 194 patients with colorectal cancer referred to the Clinical Center for Targeted Therapy. The most common types of *PIK3CA* mutations associated with colorectal cancer in our patients were E545K and E542K mutations in exon 9. These results are similar to those in published studies. (18, 19) Earlier studies suggested

that H1047R mutations may be more sensitive to PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibition, but in our study, only 2 patients (6%) had this particular mutation and that can potentially account for their lack of therapeutic response. (8)

We found a strong association between *KRAS* mutations and *PIK3CA* mutations in our patients that supports previously published data. (18, 19, 23–25) We found that *KRAS* mutations were more frequently associated with exon 9 than exon 20 *PIK3CA* mutations. A similar association was noted by De Roock et al. in a study of 743 patients with metastatic colorectal cancer, but a larger study of 1,170 patients with predominantly localized colorectal cancer did not find a similar association. (18, 19)

The paradigm for matching targeted therapy based on specific molecular defects identified in the cancer cell was established by the remarkable success of imatinib in chronic myeloid leukemia. (26) This model has been successfully replicated in solid tumors such as *BRAF* V600E mutated melanoma and non-small cell lung cancer with *ALK* rearrangement. (15, 16, 27) In the context of targeting *PIK3CA* mutated cancers with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors, we have observed encouraging responses in heavily pretreated patients with breast and gynecological malignancies and *PIK3CA* mutations treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors. (9, 28, 29) In the current study, 17 heavily pretreated patients with colorectal cancer and *PIK3CA* mutations treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors did not achieve better outcomes compared to patients with colorectal cancer without *PIK3CA* mutations treated with identical therapies (SD 6/PR/CR rate 6% vs. 16%, $p=0.44$) and their outcomes were strikingly similar response rates of 4–11% previously reported from early-phase clinical trials in an unselected population, including a 1.4% response rate and 9% rate of SD at 6 months for unselected colorectal cancer patients from our center. (30–33) In addition, the median PFS in patients with *PIK3CA* mutations treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors demonstrated a trend toward inferiority to the median PFS achieved by the same patients on their last FDA-approved therapy (1.9 months vs. 3.1 months; $p=0.1$). Our observations are in line with the experience from a major European cancer center reporting lack of therapeutic benefit with therapeutic matching (including *PIK3CA*) in colorectal cancer. (20) Reasons why these patients do not derive similar benefits from PI3K/AKT/mTOR targeted therapies as patients do with *PIK3CA* mutations and breast or gynecological cancers remains unknown. Preclinical models demonstrated that simultaneous mutations in the MAPK pathway (*RAS*, *RAF* or *MEK*) can negate the effect of mTOR inhibitors in patients with *PIK3CA* mutations. (5, 6) Therefore, it is plausible that this strong association between *PIK3CA* and *KRAS* mutations in colorectal cancer can contribute to therapeutic resistance since, in our series, 71% of patients with colorectal cancer and *PIK3CA* mutations had simultaneous *KRAS* mutations. In contrast, in patients with breast and gynecological malignancies with *PIK3CA* mutations, only 23% had co-existing *KRAS* mutations, which were often in different exons than in colorectal cancer. (9) Furthermore, Shimizu et al. analyzed phase I therapies targeting PI3K and MAPK pathways in diverse advanced cancers and demonstrated superior outcomes with combined PI3K and MAPK inhibition in patients with cancers having alterations in both pathways. (20, 34)

The majority of patients in our study were treated with rapalogs and it is possible that the outcomes with these inhibitors may be inferior due to feedback activation of AKT, as has

been previously described in vitro and in patients. (35, 36) Other biomarkers besides activating mutations in *PIK3CA* may be required to select for inhibitors of the PI3K pathway, including loss of the tumor suppressor PTEN or gene amplification of members the insulin growth factor family. Ongoing clinical efforts in *PIK3CA*-mutant colorectal cancer are utilizing AKT or PI3K inhibitors and excluding concurrent KRAS mutations in an effort to isolate a responsive population.

Our study has several important limitations. First, there were only a small number of patients with *PIK3CA* mutations who were treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors; the majority of patients (65%) received mTORC1-targeting agents. Second, the analysis was retrospective. Third, patients received drugs that impacted different parts of the pathway (mTORC1 inhibitors, 11 patients; PI3K inhibitors, 5 patients; AKT inhibitor, 1 patient), though the latter might also imply that conclusions are not confined to one type of drug. Fourth, doses were variable. For instance, only 76% of patients received a maximum tolerated dose/recommended phase II dose/FDA-approved dose of a PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitor. Of interest, 91% of patients treated with mTORC1 inhibitors received a maximum tolerated dose/recommended phase II dose/FDA-approved dose compared to only 20% of patients treated with a PI3K inhibitor, which precludes firm conclusions about the latter class of drugs. (32, 37) Fifth, none of the patients in our analysis received combined PI3K and MAPK targeted therapy.

In conclusion, our data, though preliminary, suggest that targeted matched therapy with PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors (in particular mTORC1 inhibitors) in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer harboring *PIK3CA* mutations may be associated with minimal activity. The lack of benefit can conceivably be explained, in part, by the high prevalence of co-existing *KRAS* mutations driving therapeutic resistance.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported in part by NIH grant U01 CA062461 (R. Kurzrock). Molecular testing was supported in part by the Sheikh Khalifa Bin Zayed Al Nahyan Institute for Personalized Cancer Therapy.

We thank Ms. Joann Aaron for scientific review and editing of this article.

References

1. Samuels Y, Wang Z, Bardelli A, Silliman N, Ptak J, Szabo S, et al. High frequency of mutations of the PIK3CA gene in human cancers. *Science*. 2004; 304(5670):554. [PubMed: 15016963]
2. Kang S, Bader AG, Vogt PK. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase mutations identified in human cancer are oncogenic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005; 102(3):802–7. [PubMed: 15647370]
3. Engelman JC, Rahmann S, Wolf M, Schultz J, Fritzilas E, Kneitz S, et al. Modelling cross-hybridization on phylogenetic DNA microarrays increases the detection power of closely related species. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 2009; 9(1):83–93. [PubMed: 21564570]
4. Samuels Y, Velculescu VE. Oncogenic mutations of PIK3CA in human cancers. *Cell Cycle*. 2004; 3(10):1221–4. [PubMed: 15467468]

5. Ihle NT, Lemos R Jr, Wipf P, Yacoub A, Mitchell C, Siwak D, et al. Mutations in the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase pathway predict for antitumor activity of the inhibitor PX-866 whereas oncogenic Ras is a dominant predictor for resistance. *Cancer Res.* 2009; 69(1):143–50. [PubMed: 19117997]
6. Di Nicolantonio F, Arena S, Tabernero J, Grosso S, Molinari F, Macarulla T, et al. Deregulation of the PI3K and KRAS signaling pathways in human cancer cells determines their response to everolimus. *J Clin Invest.* 2010; 120(8):2858–66. [PubMed: 20664172]
7. Janku F, Tsimberidou AM, Garrido-Laguna I, Wang X, Luthra R, Hong DS, et al. PIK3CA mutations in patients with advanced cancers treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR axis inhibitors. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2011; 10(3):558–65. [PubMed: 21216929]
8. Janku F, Wheler JJ, Naing A, Falchook GS, Hong DS, Stepanek VM, et al. PIK3CA mutation H1047R is associated with response to PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway inhibitors in early-phase clinical trials. *Cancer Res.* 2013; 73(1):276–84. [PubMed: 23066039]
9. Janku F, Wheler JJ, Westin SN, Moulder SL, Naing A, Tsimberidou AM, et al. PI3K/AKT/mTOR inhibitors in patients with breast and gynecologic malignancies harboring PIK3CA mutations. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012; 30(8):777–82. [PubMed: 22271473]
10. Janku F, Stewart DJ, Kurzrock R. Targeted therapy in non-small-cell lung cancer--is it becoming a reality? *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2010; 7(7):401–14. [PubMed: 20551945]
11. Janku F, Garrido-Laguna I, Petruzella LB, Stewart DJ, Kurzrock R. Novel therapeutic targets in non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2011; 6(9):1601–12. [PubMed: 21849857]
12. Tsimberidou AM, Iskander NG, Hong DS, Wheler JJ, Falchook GS, Fu S, et al. Personalized medicine in a phase I clinical trials program: the MD Anderson Cancer Center initiative. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012; 18(22):6373–83. [PubMed: 22966018]
13. Demetri GD, von Mehren M, Blanke CD, Van den Abbeele AD, Eisenberg B, Roberts PJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of imatinib mesylate in advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *N Engl J Med.* 2002; 347(7):472–80. [PubMed: 12181401]
14. Mok TS, Wu YL, Thongprasert S, Yang CH, Chu DT, Saijo N, et al. Gefitinib or carboplatin-paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; 361(10):947–57. [PubMed: 19692680]
15. Falchook GS, Long GV, Kurzrock R, Kim KB, Arkenau TH, Brown MP, et al. Dabrafenib in patients with melanoma, untreated brain metastases, and other solid tumours: a phase 1 dose-escalation trial. *Lancet.* 2012; 379(9829):1893–901. [PubMed: 22608338]
16. Flaherty KT, Puzanov I, Kim KB, Ribas A, McArthur GA, Sosman JA, et al. Inhibition of mutated, activated BRAF in metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2010; 363(9):809–19. [PubMed: 20818844]
17. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. *Nature.* 2012; 487(7407):330–7. [PubMed: 22810696]
18. De Roock W, Claes B, Bernasconi D, De Schutter J, Biesmans B, Fountzilias G, et al. Effects of KRAS, BRAF, NRAS, and PIK3CA mutations on the efficacy of cetuximab plus chemotherapy in chemotherapy-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer: a retrospective consortium analysis. *Lancet Oncol.* 2010; 11(8):753–62. [PubMed: 20619739]
19. Liao X, Morikawa T, Lochhead P, Imamura Y, Kuchiba A, Yamauchi M, et al. Prognostic role of PIK3CA mutation in colorectal cancer: cohort study and literature review. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012; 18(8):2257–68. [PubMed: 22357840]
20. Dienstmann R, Serpico D, Rodon J, Saura C, Macarulla T, Elez E, et al. Molecular profiling of patients with colorectal cancer and matched targeted therapy in phase I clinical trials. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2012; 11(9):2062–71. [PubMed: 22723336]
21. Zuo Z, Chen SS, Chandra PK, Galbincea JM, Soape M, Doan S, et al. Application of COLD-PCR for improved detection of KRAS mutations in clinical samples. *Mod Pathol.* 2009; 22(8):1023–31. [PubMed: 19430420]
22. Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, Wanders J, Kaplan RS, Rubinstein L, et al. New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2000; 92(3):205–16. [PubMed: 10655437]

23. Ogino S, Nosho K, Kirkner GJ, Shima K, Irahara N, Kure S, et al. PIK3CA mutation is associated with poor prognosis among patients with curatively resected colon cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009; 27(9):1477–84. [PubMed: 19237633]
24. Garrido-Laguna I, Hong DS, Janku F, Nguyen LM, Falchook GS, Fu S, et al. KRASness and PIK3CAness in patients with advanced colorectal cancer: outcome after treatment with early-phase trials with targeted pathway inhibitors. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(5):e38033. [PubMed: 22675430]
25. Ihle NT, Powis G. Inhibitors of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase in cancer therapy. *Mol Aspects Med*. 2010; 31(2):135–44. [PubMed: 20176047]
26. O'Brien SG, Guilhot F, Larson RA, Gathmann I, Baccarani M, Cervantes F, et al. Imatinib compared with interferon and low-dose cytarabine for newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med*. 2003; 348(11):994–1004. [PubMed: 12637609]
27. Kwak EL, Bang YJ, Camidge DR, Shaw AT, Solomon B, Maki RG, et al. Anaplastic lymphoma kinase inhibition in non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2010; 363(18):1693–703. [PubMed: 20979469]
28. Moulder S, Moroney J, Helgason T, Wheler J, Booser D, Albarracín C, et al. Responses to liposomal Doxorubicin, bevacizumab, and temsirolimus in metaplastic carcinoma of the breast: biologic rationale and implications for stem-cell research in breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011; 29(19):e572–5. [PubMed: 21482991]
29. Moroney JW, Schlumbrecht MP, Helgason T, Coleman RL, Moulder S, Naing A, et al. A phase I trial of liposomal doxorubicin, bevacizumab, and temsirolimus in patients with advanced gynecologic and breast malignancies. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2011; 17(21):6840–6. [PubMed: 21890452]
30. Horstmann E, McCabe MS, Grochow L, Yamamoto S, Rubinstein L, Budd T, et al. Risks and benefits of phase I oncology trials, 1991 through 2002. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 352(9):895–904. [PubMed: 15745980]
31. Kurzrock R, Benjamin RS. Risks and benefits of phase I oncology trials, revisited. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 352(9):930–2. [PubMed: 15745986]
32. Jain RK, Lee JJ, Hong D, Markman M, Gong J, Naing A, et al. Phase I oncology studies: evidence that in the era of targeted therapies patients on lower doses do not fare worse. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2010; 16(4):1289–97. [PubMed: 20145187]
33. Hong DS, Patel JC, Wheler J, Naing A, Garrido-Laguna I, Falchook G, et al. Outcomes in 144 patients with colorectal cancer treated in a phase I clinic: the MD Anderson Cancer Center experience. *Clin Colorectal Cancer*. 2012; 11(4):297–303. [PubMed: 22537607]
34. Shimizu T, Tolcher AW, Papadopoulos KP, Beeram M, Rasco DW, Smith LS, et al. The clinical effect of the dual-targeting strategy involving PI3K/AKT/mTOR and RAS/MEK/ERK pathways in patients with advanced cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2012; 18(8):2316–25. [PubMed: 22261800]
35. O'Reilly KE, Rojo F, She QB, Solit D, Mills GB, Smith D, et al. mTOR inhibition induces upstream receptor tyrosine kinase signaling and activates Akt. *Cancer Res*. 2006; 66(3):1500–8. [PubMed: 16452206]
36. Rodrik-Outmezguine VS, Chandarlapaty S, Pagano NC, Poulikakos PI, Scaltriti M, Moskatel E, et al. mTOR kinase inhibition causes feedback-dependent biphasic regulation of AKT signaling. *Cancer Discov*. 2011; 1(3):248–59. [PubMed: 22140653]
37. Gupta S, Hunsberger S, Boerner SA, Rubinstein L, Royds R, Ivy P, et al. Meta-analysis of the Relationship Between Dose and Benefit in Phase I Targeted Agent Trials. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2012; 104(24):1860–6. [PubMed: 23169991]

Figure 1.
Waterfall plot describing responses per RECIST in 17 patients with metastatic colorectal cancer and *PIK3CA* mutations treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors

Figure 2.

A. Progression-free survival (PFS) in 17 patients with metastatic colorectal cancer and *PIK3CA* mutations treated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway inhibitors compared to median PFS in the same patients treated with the last FDA-approved therapy for metastatic disease (median PFS of 1.9 months vs. 3.1 months; $p=0.1$). **B.** PFS in patients with *PIK3CA* mutations who received PI3K/AKT/mTOR-directed therapy ($n=17$) compared to patients without *PIK3CA* mutations ($n=67$) treated with same therapies (median PFS of 1.9 months vs. 2.3 months, $p=0.44$). **C.** PFS in patients with *PIK3CA* mutations who received PI3K/AKT/mTOR directed therapy ($n=17$) compared to patients ($n=9$) treated with other phase 1 therapies (median PFS of 1.9 months vs. 1.9 months; $p=0.77$).

TABLE 1**BASELINE PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS**

Variable	Number	<i>PIK3CA</i> mutation (%)	Wild-type <i>PIK3CA</i> (%)	<i>P</i> value
All	194	31 (100)	163 (100)	Not applicable
Median age	58 range, 25–81	56 range, 36–71	59 range, 25–81	0.21
Ethnicity				
White	140	21 (68)	119 (73)	0.58
African-American	28	6 (19)	22 (13.5)	
Hispanic	19	2 (6.5)	17 (10.5)	
Asian	7	2 (6.5)	5 (3)	
Tumor site				
Colon	156	28 (90)	128 (79)	0.15
Rectum	38	3 (10)	35 (21)	
<i>KRAS</i> (n=189)*				
<i>KRAS</i> mutation	84	22 (71)	68 (43)	0.006
<i>KRAS</i> wild-type	91	9 (29)	90 (57)	

* Only patients tested for *KRAS* mutations are included in the analysis

TABLE 2**TYPES OF *PIK3CA* MUTATIONS IDENTIFIED**

Mutation type	N (%)
<i>PIK3CA</i> mutations	31
N345K	1 (<5)
E542K	8 (26)
E545A	1 (<5)
E545K	11 (35)
Q546P	1 (<5)
Q546R	1 (<5)
R1023Q	1 (<5)
M1043V	1 (<5)
H1047R	2 (6)
H1047L	3 (10)
G1049R	1 (<5)

TABLE 3COEXISTENCE OF *PIK3CA* AND *KRAS* MUTATIONS

Variable	Number	<i>KRAS</i> mutation (%)	Wild-type <i>KRAS</i> (%)	<i>P</i> value
Tested	189	90 (100)	99 (100)	Not applicable
<i>PIK3CA</i> mutations (any)	31	22 (24)	9 (9)	0.006
Wild-type <i>PIK3CA</i>	158	68 (76)	90 (91)	
<i>PIK3CA</i> exon 9 mutations	21	16(18)	6 (6)	0.01
Wild-type or exon 20 <i>PIK3CA</i> mutations	168	74 (82)	93 (94)	
<i>PIK3CA</i> exon 20 mutations	8	5 (6)	3 (3)	0.48
Wild-type or exon 9 <i>PIK3CA</i> mutations	181	85 (94)	96 (97)	