Published in final edited form as:

Chem Commun (Camb). 2012 January 30; 48(9): 1293–1295. doi:10.1039/c1cc15713b.

Aerosol-Assisted Synthesis of Monodisperse Single-Crystalline α -Cristobalite Nanospheres

Xingmao Jiang^{a,b,c}, Lihong Bao^d, Yung-Sung Cheng^b, Darren R. Dunphy^c, Xiaodong Li^d, and C. Jeffrey Brinker^{*,c,e}

^aKey Laboratory of Fine Petrochemical Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou, 213164, PR China.

^bDepartment of Chemical and Nuclear Engineering and Center for Micro-Engineered Materials, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA

^cAerosol and Respiratory Dosimetry Program, Lovelace Respiratory Research Institute, Albuquerque, NM 87108, USA.

^dDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, University of South Columbia, SC 29208, USA.

^eSandia National Laboratories, MS1349, Albuquerque, NM 87106, USA.

Abstract

Monodisperse single-crystalline α -cristobalite nanospheres have been synthesized by hydrocarbon-pyrolysis-induced carbon deposition on amorphous silica aerosol nanoparticles, devitrification of the coated silica at high temperature, and subsequent carbon removal by oxidation. The nanosphere size can be well controlled by tuning the size of the colloidal silica precursor. Uniform, high-purity nanocrystalline α -cristobalite is important for catalysis, nanocomposites, advanced polishing, and understanding silica nanotoxicology.

Unlike the most abundant form of crystalline silica, α -quartz, which is trigonal and stable at temperatures below 573°C, α -cristobalite is tetragonal in crystal structure (P41212 space group) and metastable at temperatures < 270°C at normal pressure.¹ Above 270°C, α -cristobalite transforms into cubic β -cristobalite, but reconstructive transformation to quartz is kinetically limited. α -cristobalite has a thermal expansion coefficient 4× greater than α -quartz, which makes it of interest for nanocomposites with enhanced mechanical, electrical, chemical, and thermal properties.² Compared with amorphous silica, crystalline α -cristobalite is a preferred catalytic material due to its high catalytic activity and selectivity for oxidative coupling of methane—especially with respect to the formation of ethylene.³ Due to its high surface reactivity, cristobalite has been claimed to be the most pathogenic polymorph of silica,⁴ and therefore synthesis of uniform, high-purity nanocrystalline α -

© The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

*Corresponding Author: Sandia National Laboratories, MS1349, Albuquerque, NM 87106, USA. cjbrink@sandia.gov; Fax:

01-505-272-7336; Tel: 01-505-272-7627.

Fax: 86-519-8633-0251; Tel: 86-519-8633-0253

Fax: 01-505-272-7336; Tel: 01-505-272-7132

Fax: 01-505-348-8567; Tel: 01-505-348-9410

Fax: 01-803-777-0106; Tel: 01-803-777-8011

cristobalite with controlled size is important for understanding the nanotoxicology of silicas.⁵ Many efforts have been made for synthesizing α -cristobalite, for example by annealing boiled rice husks at high temperature.⁶ Hydrothermal methods⁷⁻¹¹ employing amorphous silica as a starting material, have been used, and result in tetragonal or cubic phases of cristobalite, respectively, when KF or NaF are used as the mineralization agents. However, for all these methods, based on cristobalite phase behavior, it has proven difficult to control cristobalite nucleation and crystal growth and therefore its size and morphology. Moreover, the crystalline silica particles¹² are often very large and severely aggregated. Synthesis of high purity, nonaggregated, uniform single-crystalline nanospheres of the low-temperature polymorph, α -cristobalite, remains challenging.

Here we report a novel method for fabricating uniform, well-dispersed, high purity α -cristobalite nanospheres. The process uses monodisperse silica colloids as precursors. The uniform monosized silica colloids with diameters ranging from ~5-300 nm were synthesized by a modified Stöber method.¹³ The colloids were dispersed as ~2-4% (wt) in ethanol or as 50-50 (v/v) in ethanol-hexane solution. The mixture was sprayed into aerosol droplets using a TSI 9302A atomizer with nitrogen as the carrier gas and a flow rate of 5L/min using a method described previously.¹⁴ The aerosol dispersion was flowed into a horizontal ceramic tube furnace maintained at ~900-1100°C. Under this high temperature, vapor pressure resulting from rapid evaporation/boiling of the solvent breaks up the aerosol and disperses the individual colloidal particles into a final, well-dispersed silica nanoparticle aerosol suspended in solvent vapor and nitrogen. A carbonaceous coating layer deposited uniformly on each silica nanosphere to form a core-shell structured nanoparticle via accompanying chemical vapor deposition as a result of thermal decomposition of solvent molecules under the high temperature. Uniformly-sized carbon nanospheres were also generated by self-nucleation of the carbonaceous materials. Fig. 1 shows a representative TEM image of collected aerosol particles synthesized using ~280 nm colloidal silica particles as precursors. We observe a bimodal particle morphology composed of ~400 nm carbon-coated amorphous silica spheres. TEM EDS elemental carbon mapping of the edges of the coated silica particles shows a uniform carbonaceous coating, which on average was ~60-nm thick.

The smaller carbon nanospheres can be separated from the larger carbon-coated particles by centrifugation or gravity precipitation. TGA/DTA analysis as shown in Fig.S1 (ESI[†]) showed a weight loss of 46.4% for carbon oxidation of the larger particles. The silica@C spheres were further calcined in inert gas at high temperature to devitrify the amorphous silica into crystalline silica.

Calcination of amorphous SiO₂@C core/shell nanoparticles was performed in a horizontal alumina tube furnace. The furnace was sealed and heated to the desired temperature at a rate of 5° C/min, and then held for the desired time to crystallize the amorphous SiO₂@C core/shell nanoparticles. High purity Ar gas (99.99%) was introduced into the tube at a flow rate of 50 cc(STP)/min and the pressure of the tube was kept at normal pressure or evacuated to a pressure of 4 Torr. The carbon on the particle surface was subsequently removed by wet oxidation via stirring in nitric acid or oxidatively pyrolyzed in air at ~300 °C for 5-30 hrs

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: TGA/DTA analysis of carbon coated silica particles

depending on carbon layer thickness and calcination conditions. The relatively lower temperature minimized the coarsening of the cristobalite spheres. Carbon content in the silica samples was checked by TGA/DTA analysis to confirm complete removal of carbon. Fig. 2 shows a representative TEM image for the ~5 nm silica samples after calcination at 1100 °C for 4 hrs followed by carbon removal, indicating that the silica nanospheres are monodisperse and that they preserve the size of the original colloidal silica precursors. Selected area electron diffraction of multiple nanospheres and a HRTEM of a single nanoparticle as shown in the insets of Fig. 2 jointly reveal that the silica nanospheres are single crystalline. The X-ray diffraction pattern shown in Fig. 3 indicates that the monodisperse silica nanospheres are pure phase α -cristobalite. The metastable low-temperature phase was formed by crystallization of β -cristobalite and its subsequent transformation into α -cristobalite upon cooling.

It is found that crystallization of the amorphous $\text{SiO}_2@\text{C}$ core/shell nanoparticles was dependent on the system temperature and pressure, and the size of the amorphous nanoparticles.¹⁵ For all the calcinations performed under atmospheric conditions (at ambient pressure) the calcined silica nanoparticles are still amorphous at temperatures of up to 1000 °C. However, when the calcination was performed under low pressure (4 Torr), the crystallization was observed to occur as low as 750 °C and well-crystallized cristobalite was formed at 800°C. Fig. 4 shows the XRD patterns of the calcined nanoparticles of varying size and corresponding crystallization temperatures. The lowest crystallization temperature increased with the size of nanoparticles. A low pressure is beneficial to nucleation and coarsening needed to achieve single crystal cristobalite during devitrification.¹⁶ It may also be beneficial for evaporation of any impurities such as carbon and salts which would detrimentally affect nucleation and crystallization of cristobalite.¹⁷ At higher temperature (1400 °C), carbon will react further with silica to form SiC nanospheres or fused silica@SiC@C structures. A minimum thickness of the carbon shell is required to protect the silica core and block aggregation of silica nanospheres during high-temperature devitrification.

The Raman spectrum (Fig. 5) matches that of pure cristobalite.¹⁸ No peaks for carbon or amorphous silica were found, indicating that the sample has high purity and is fully crystallized. The size of cristobalite nanosphere is determined by that of the original Stöber silica colloidal precursors along with the greater relative density of cristobalite compared to amorphous Stöber silica, causing the final devitrified cristobalite nanoparticles to be smaller. To make uniform cristobalite nanospheres, the Stöber silica should be uniform in size. The obtained cristobalite can be used as grinding media, catalyst supports or as a filler in nanocomposites, and we expect this aerosol-assisted method to be generally useful for the fabrication of other uniform oxide nanospheres with controlled phase and size.

In summary, by using monodisperse amorphous Stöber silica nanoparticles as precursors and employing an aerosol assisted method to make amorphous $\text{SiO}_2@\text{C}$ core/shell nanoparticles, thermal devitrification results in well dispersed, uniformly sized, single-crystalline α -cristobalite nanospheres. The method for high-quality cristobalite nanospheres is not only important for fillers, catalysts, and understanding silica nanotoxicology, but also provides a guideline for preparing other uniform crystalline nanospheres.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (EF-0830117 and CMMI-0968843), the National Institute of Health (U19 ES019528, UCLA Center for Nanobiology and Predictive Toxicology), the Army Research Office under Agreement/Grant W911NF-07-1-0320, and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. Sandia National Laboratories is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under Contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

Notes and references

1. http://www.quartzpage.de/gen_mod.html
2. Zyl van WE, Garcia M, Schrauwen BAG, Kooi BJ, Hosson de J Th.M. Verweij H. Hybrid Polyamide/Silica Nanocomposites: Synthesis and Mechanical Testing. *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering*. 2002; 287(2):106–110.
3. Palermo A, Vazquez JPH, Lee AF, Tikhov MS, Lambert RM. *J Catal*. 1998; 177:259.
4. Fubini, B. The Surface Properties of Silica. Legrand, AP., editor. Wiley; New York: 1998.
5. Warheit, David B.; Webb, Thomas R.; Colvin, Vicki L.; Reed, Kenneth L.; Sayes, Christie M. *Toxicol Sci*. 2007; 95:270. [PubMed: 17030555]
6. Ibrahim DM, Helmy M. *Thermochimica Acta*. 1981; 45(1):79.
7. Jiang XM, Jiang YB, Brinker CJ. *Chem. Commun*. 2011; 47:7524. Bertone JF, Cizeron J, Wahi RK, Bosworth JK, Colvin VL. *Nano Lett*. 2003; 3:6, 55.
8. Zhu Y, Yanagisawa K, Onda A, Kajiyoshi K. *J. Mater*. 2005; 40:3829.
9. Martin B. *Eur J Mineral*. 1995; 7:1389.
10. Lee KJ, Seo KW, Yu HS, Mok YI. *Korean J Chem Eng*. 1996; 13:489.
11. Korytkova EN, Chepik LF, Mashchenko TS, Drozdova IA, Gusarov VV. *Inorg. Mater*. 2002; 38:227.
12. Okabayashi M, Miyazaki K, Kono T, Tanaka M, Toda Y. *Chem Lett*. 2005; 34:58.
13. Stober W, Fink A, Bohn E. *J Colloid Interf Sci*. 1968:2662.
14. Jiang XM, Brinker CJ. *J. Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:4512. [PubMed: 16594654]
15. Das SK, Mookerjee SK, Niyogi SK, Thakur RL. DTA study of kinetics of transformation of silica gel to cristobalite. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*. 1976; 9:43–51. Verduch AG. *J. Am. Ceram Soc*. 1958; 41:427.
16. Yong-Taeg, Shigeru Fujino; Kenji Morinaga, Science. *Technology of Advanced Materials*. 2002; 3:297–301.
17. Nanri H, Takeuchi N, Ishida S, Watanabe K, Wakamatsu M. *J Non-Cryst Solid*. 1996; 203:375. Horii N, Kamide M, Inouye A, Kuzuu N. *J Ceram Soc Jpn*. 2010; 118:318. Bassett DR, Boucher EA, Zettlemoyer AC. *J. Mater Sci*. 1972; 7:1379. Corwin, James I.; Swinnerton, AC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 1951; 73:3598.
18. Palmer DC, Hemley RJ, Prewitt CT. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*. 1994; 21(8):481–488.

Fig. 1. TEM image for pyrolyzed carbon-coated amorphous silica nanoparticles. Scale bar: 200-nm. Inset shows a magnified view of the amorphous carbon coating (elemental mapping image), scale bar: 40-nm.

Fig. 2. TEM images for crystalline silica nanospheres after calcination at 1100°C and oxidation at 300 °C. Insets: HR TEM and SED.

Fig 3.
XRD pattern for nanoparticles after treating 5 nm silica@C at 1100 °C over 4 hrs. Standard diffraction peaks for α -cristobalite are marked for comparison

Fig. 4.
XRD patterns of calcinated SiO₂@C nanoparticles of different sizes.

Fig. 5.
Raman spectrum for cristobalite sample after treating 5 nm silica@C at 1100 °C over 4 hrs and oxidation at 300 °C.