Research

Cite this article: Fessler DMT, Holbrook C.

2014 Marching into battle: synchronized walking diminishes the conceptualized formidability of an antagonist in men. *Biol. Lett.* **10**: 20140592.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.0592>

Received: 25 July 2014

Accepted: 6 August 2014

Subject Areas:

behaviour

Keywords:

synchrony, alliance, fighting capacity

Author for correspondence:

Daniel M. T. Fessler

e-mail: dfessler@anthro.ucla.edu

Electronic supplementary material is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.0592> or via <http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org>.

Animal behaviour

Marching into battle: synchronized walking diminishes the conceptualized formidability of an antagonist in men

Daniel M. T. Fessler and Colin Holbrook

Center for Behavior, Evolution, & Culture and Department of Anthropology, 341 Haines Hall, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

Paralleling behaviours in other species, synchronized movement is central to institutionalized collective human activities thought to enhance cooperation, and experiments demonstrate that synchrony has this effect. The influence of synchrony on cooperation may derive from an evolutionary history wherein such actions served to signal coalitional strength to both participants and observers—including adversaries. If so, then synchronous movement should diminish individuals' estimations of a foe's formidability. Envisioned physical size and strength constitute the dimensions of a representation that summarizes relative fighting capacity. Experiencing synchrony should therefore lead individuals to conceptualize an antagonist as smaller and weaker. We found that men who walked synchronously with a male confederate indeed envisioned a purported criminal as less physically formidable than did men who engaged in this task without synchronizing.

1. Introduction

McNeill [1] observed that a widespread human practice is the use of synchronous movement to enhance within-group cooperation, particularly in situations of intergroup conflict. Subsequent research reveals that synchronous movement signals alliance affiliation in a variety of cetaceans [2–4], predicting the outcome of agonistic intergroup encounters [4]. Likewise, synchronized chorusing is thought to signal alliance affiliation in many primates, and this is demonstrably so in chimpanzees [5]. The use of synchrony in humans is thus plausibly understood as the product of a trait that has evolved in a number of species, whereby synchronized behaviour signals coalitional size, solidarity and capacity for coordination—a signal of particular importance during intergroup competition [1,6–9].

Experiments involving orchestrated or spontaneous synchrony in humans demonstrate that synchrony increases cooperation (e.g. [10–15]; but see [16]), and, conversely, cooperation can spontaneously produce synchrony [17]. The effects of synchrony on cooperation in humans are proximately explicable in terms of the recognition of affordances for joint action [10] and the enhancement of feelings of connectedness (reviewed in [18]).

Although much literature on synchrony in humans stresses its prosocial consequences, increased bonding and cooperation with ingroup members can produce destructive behaviours directed at outgroups: synchrony increases compliance with requests to aggress against an outgroup [19] or kill insects [20]. Elevated aggression is to be expected if the trait at issue evolved in the context of intergroup competition, as, in ancestral populations, much of the adaptive utility of social bonding and cooperation will have derived from collective actions against rival groups. The effects of synchrony should therefore not be limited to affiliative motivation or recognizing affordances for joint action, as other processes relevant to conflict should be similarly influenced.

Table 1. Mean rated bonding, inclusion of other in the self, positive affect, negative affect and task difficulty.

	control mean (s.d.)	synchronous mean (s.d.)	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	η_p^2	95% CI
bonding	3.15 (1.01)	3.90 (1.17)	11.50	0.001	0.11	−1.19, −0.31
IOS	1.82 (0.82)	2.53 (1.18)	11.97	0.001	0.11	−1.12, −0.30
positive affect	3.67 (1.19)	3.95 (1.44)	1.05	0.307	0.01	−0.81, 0.26
negative affect	1.98 (1.20)	1.53 (0.61)	5.26	0.024	0.05	0.06, 0.85
task difficulty	1.82 (1.23)	2.86 (1.53)	13.72	<0.001	0.13	−1.60, −0.48

Here, we explore how synchronous behaviour affects representations thought to contribute to decision-making in agonistic contexts.

In agonistic interactions, individuals must rapidly decide whether to fight, flee or appease. One determinant of this decision is relative fighting capacity. Because many factors contribute to relative fighting capacity, decision-making can be facilitated via a representation that serves as a running tally, summarizing these as each is assessed in turn. We have proposed that, owing to the phylogenetic antiquity and ontogenetic ubiquity of the importance of physical size and strength in agonistic contests, in humans, these dimensions form the basis for such a representation [21]. Consonant with this perspective, a foe's envisioned size and muscularity are influenced by his access to weapons [21], propensity to take risks [22], membership in a group stereotyped as dangerous [23] and commitment to conflict [24]; the effectiveness of the foe's leaders [25]; and the perceiver's strength [26], temporary incapacitation [27] and parenthood status [28]. Likewise, inducing changes in perceived social power causes inverse changes in estimates of another's size and weight [29], while feelings of power lead to overestimates of own height and underestimates of another's [30]. Notably, the presence of allies reduces estimates of the size and strength of an antagonist [31]. If synchrony indexes the potential for cooperation—including joint action in agonistic contexts—then experiencing synchrony should lead individuals to decrease their assessments of an adversary's relative formidability, causing them to envision the foe as smaller and less muscular. We tested this prediction.

2. Material and methods

(a) Participants and overview of procedure

See the electronic supplementary material for full methods and discussion of limitations. As men appear particularly sensitive to the possibility of coalitional violence, to provide the clearest test, we limited our sample to men. Data were pre-screened (see the electronic supplementary material), producing a sample of 96 men (31.3% White; 36.5% Asian; 32.3% other; age 18–29 ($M = 20.02$, $s.d. = 2.26$)).

Participants walked 244 m along a pathway with a male confederate posing as another participant, then completed a survey packet on site. Participants were randomly assigned to either an experimental condition, in which they were asked to walk in sync with the other person, or a control condition, in which they were instructed to walk at a natural pace.

In the survey, embedded within filler visual estimation tasks, participants estimated the bodily attributes of a supposed criminal based on a cropped 'mugshot' of an angry male face. The target's bodily traits were estimated in fixed order: height (to

the nearest half-inch), size (assessed using an array of six silhouettes) and muscularity (assessed using an array of six images of male bodies). Estimated physical formidability was composited using standardized values for estimated height, overall size and muscularity ($\alpha = 0.58$).¹

Participants next answered questions about how they felt while walking. The first three items ($\alpha = 0.65$) pertained to feelings of bonding with the confederate (1 = *not at all*; 7 = *very much*). Next, three items measured the perceived difficulty of the walking task, using the same scale ($\alpha = 0.91$). Participants then rated their feelings towards the confederate using the inclusion of other in the self scale (IOS [33]), composed of seven pairs of circles, labelled as 'self' and 'other', ranging from non-overlapping to almost entirely overlapping. Finally, to assess whether any effects of condition owed to changes in affect, participants rated their current states of positive and negative emotion (*happy, joyful, elated*, $\alpha = 0.87$; *sad, irritated, angry*, $\alpha = 0.75$).

3. Results

(a) Effects of condition on bonding, affect and task difficulty

As predicted, participants in the synchrony condition reported greater feelings of bonding, inclusion of other in the self, and task difficulty, and lower feelings of negative emotion, than did participants in the control condition, $ps < 0.03$. There was no effect of condition on self-reported positive affect (see table 1).

(b) Envisioned physical formidability

As predicted, the target individual's envisioned physical formidability was lower in the synchrony condition ($M = -0.21$, $s.d. = 0.79$) than in the control condition ($M = 0.19$, $s.d. = 0.64$), $F_{1,94} = 7.48$, $p < 0.01$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.07$, 95% CI (0.11, 0.69) (see figure 1). Follow-up tests assessing the individual dimensions of envisioned physical formidability revealed significant differences in estimated height (in inches) and estimated size according to the silhouette array, with a similar trend for estimated muscularity (see table 2). To assess whether the effect of walking synchronously on the envisioned physical formidability of the criminal was due to indirect effects of bonding, affect or task difficulty, we ran a simultaneous regression of condition (1 = control; 2 = synchrony) and the bonding, inclusion of the other in the self, negative affect and task difficulty measures, with the target's envisioned physical formidability as the outcome variable. In the model, only synchrony condition predicted the target's envisioned physical formidability (see table 3; see the electronic supplementary material for additional analyses).

Table 2. Mean estimated height, size and muscularity of target.

	control mean (s.d.)	synchronous mean (s.d.)	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	η_p^2	95% CI
height (in.)	69.66 (1.96)	68.71 (2.39)	4.52	0.036	0.05	0.06, 1.83
size	3.82 (0.84)	3.44 (1.01)	4.01	0.048	0.04	0.003, 0.76
muscularity	2.75 (0.82)	2.44 (0.81)	3.24	0.075	0.03	−0.03, 0.63

Figure 1. Estimations of target's physical formidability (standardized scores) by condition.

4. Conclusion

Paralleling conclusions from behavioural observations in cetaceans and apes, consonant with the thesis that (i) synchronized movement increases cooperation and (ii) cooperative action potentially includes defence against a foe, men who walked synchronously with another man envisioned a purported criminal as less physically imposing than did men who engaged in the same task without synchronization. Thus, synchrony diminished the perceived relative fighting capacity of the foe.²

Although synchrony increased perceived social bonding, this did not mediate the formidability effect, suggesting that these are independent consequences of synchrony. This implies that the diminution of the perceived threat posed by a foe that synchrony induces may not be subjectively experienced as an outgrowth of social bonding. Such experiential independence would be consonant with the utility of cooperation in both agonistic and non-agonistic contexts, and would be consistent with findings that (i) absent an agonistic context, synchrony enhances prosociality towards third parties [14] and (ii) ingroup affiliation is independent from hostility towards outgroups [34]. Future research should therefore further explore the relationship between bonding and perceived formidability following synchronized movement.

Synchronized behaviour can be understood as a multi-directional signal, communicating information to both those

Table 3. Linear regression of potential predictors of target's estimated physical formidability.

	<i>B</i>	<i>p</i>
condition	−0.299	0.015
bonding	0.139	0.253
IOS	−0.069	0.566
negative affect	−0.072	0.493
task difficulty	−0.034	0.758

who engage in it and observers (including potential adversaries). Changes in assessments of a foe's relative formidability following synchrony can thus be understood as a consequence of the receipt of information concerning affordances for coalitional defence. Correspondingly, observers should assess synchronous groups as more formidable than asynchronous groups—a testable prediction. Lastly, our study employed a threatening target, and thus concerns defensive preparedness. However, if assessments of relative fighting capacity shape behaviour in agonistic contexts, then the experience of synchrony may also upregulate the motivation to aggress, as, *ceteris paribus*, when interests conflict, individuals are more likely to attack if a foe is viewed as less formidable. Given the ubiquity of institutionalized synchronization in contexts as diverse as athletic competitions and police formations, this disturbing possibility merits investigation.

Acknowledgements. We thank our research assistants.

Data accessibility. Data archived at <http://www.escholarship.org/uc/item/3mc0h2vx>.

Funding statement. Supported by the US Air Force Office of Scientific Research, award no. FA9550-10-1-0511.

Endnotes

¹Although α of 0.7 is generally considered necessary to establish reliability, scores of 0.5 or higher may be acceptable when the measure comprises few or notably non-redundant items [32].

²It is also possible to measure participants' conceptualizations of themselves [27]. However, this requires procedures not suitable to a naturalistic field experiment; such methods may instead prove useful in future laboratory studies of synchrony.

References

- McNeill WH. 1995 *Keeping together in time: dance and drill in human history*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Connor RC, Smolker R, Bejder L. 2006 Synchrony, social behaviour and alliance affiliation in Indian Ocean bottlenose dolphins, *Tursiops aduncus*. *Anim. Behav.* **72**, 1371–1378. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.03.014)

3. Senigaglia V, de Stephanis R, Verborgh P, Lusseau D. 2012 The role of synchronized swimming as affiliative and anti-predatory behavior in long-finned pilot whales. *Behav. Process.* **91**, 8–14. (doi:10.1016/j.beproc.2012.04.011)
4. Cusick JA, Herzing DL. 2014 The dynamic of aggression: how individual and group factors affect the long-term interspecific aggression between two sympatric species of dolphin. *Ethology* **120**, 287–303. (doi:10.1111/eth.12204)
5. Fedurek P, Machanda ZP, Schel AM, Slocombe KE. 2013 Pant hoot chorusing and social bonds in male chimpanzees. *Anim. Behav.* **86**, 189–196. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.05.010)
6. Merker B. 2000 Synchronous chorusing and human origins. In *The origins of music* (eds NL Wallin, SB Merker), pp. 315–327. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
7. Huron D. 2001 Is music an evolutionary adaptation? *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* **930**, 43–61. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb05724.x)
8. Hagen EH, Bryant GA. 2003 Music and dance as a coalition signaling system. *Hum. Nat.* **14**, 21–51. (doi:10.1007/s12110-003-1015-z)
9. Fitch W. 2006 The biology and evolution of music: a comparative perspective. *Cognition* **100**, 173–215. (doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2005.11.009)
10. Marsh KL, Richardson MJ, Schmidt RC. 2009 Social connection through joint action and interpersonal coordination. *Topics Cogn. Sci.* **1**, 320–339. (doi:10.1111/j.1756-8765.2009.01022.x)
11. Wiltermuth SS, Heath C. 2009 Synchrony and cooperation. *Psychol. Sci.* **20**, 1–5. (doi:10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02253.x)
12. Kirschner S, Tomasello M. 2010 Joint music making promotes prosocial behavior in 4-year-old children. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* **31**, 354–364. (doi:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2010.04.004)
13. Fischer R, Callander R, Reddish P, Bulbulia J. 2013 How do rituals affect cooperation? An experimental field study comparing nine ritual types. *Hum. Nat.* **24**, 115–125. (doi:10.1007/s12110-013-9167-y)
14. Reddish P, Bulbulia J, Fischer R. 2013 Does synchrony promote generalized prosociality? *Relig. Brain Behav.* **4**, 3–19.
15. Reddish P, Fischer R, Bulbulia J. 2013 Let's dance together: synchrony, shared intentionality and cooperation. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e71182. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071182)
16. Kirschner S, Ilari B. 2014 Joint drumming in Brazilian and German preschool children: cultural differences in rhythmic entrainment, but no prosocial effects. *J. Cross Cult. Psych.* **45**, 137–166. (doi:10.1177/0022022113493139)
17. Strang AJ, Funke GJ, Russell SM, Dukes AW, Middendorf MS. 2014 Physio-behavioral coupling in a cooperative team task: contributors and relations. *J. Expt. Psych.* **40**, 145–158.
18. Miles LK, Nind LK, Macrae CN. 2009 The rhythm of rapport: interpersonal synchrony and social perception. *J. Expt. Soc. Psychol.* **45**, 585–589. (doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2009.02.002)
19. Wiltermuth SS. 2012 Synchronous activity boosts compliance with requests to aggress. *J. Expt. Soc. Psychol.* **48**, 453–456. (doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2011.10.007)
20. Wiltermuth S. 2012 Synchrony and destructive obedience. *Soc. Influence* **7**, 78–89. (doi:10.1080/15534510.2012.658653)
21. Fessler DMT, Holbrook C, Snyder JK. 2012 Weapons make the man (larger): formidability is represented as size and strength in humans. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e32751. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032751)
22. Fessler DMT, Tiokhin L, Holbrook C, Gervais M, Snyder JK. 2014 Foundations of the Crazy Bastard Hypothesis: nonviolent physical risk-taking enhances conceptualized formidability. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* **35**, 26–33. (doi:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2013.09.003)
23. Holbrook C, Fessler DMT, Navarrete CD. Submitted. Stature or danger: racist stereotypes moderate the conceptual links between threat, social status, and physical size.
24. Fessler DMT, Holbrook C, Dashoff D. Submitted. Dressed to kill? Visible markers of coalitional affiliation enhance conceptualized formidability.
25. Holbrook C, Fessler DMT. 2013 Sizing up the threat: the envisioned physical formidability of terrorists tracks their leaders' failures and successes. *Cognition* **127**, 46–56. (doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2012.12.002)
26. Fessler DMT, Holbrook C, Gervais M. 2014 Men's physical strength moderates conceptualizations of prospective foes in two disparate societies. *Hum. Nat.* (doi:10.1007/s12110-014-9205-4)
27. Fessler DMT, Holbrook C. 2013 Bound to lose: physical incapacitation increases the conceptualized dimensions of an antagonist in men. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e71306. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0071306)
28. Fessler DMT, Holbrook C, Pollack JS, Hahn-Holbrook J. 2014 Stranger danger: parenthood increases the envisioned bodily formidability of menacing men. *Evol. Hum. Behav.* **35**, 109–117. (doi:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2013.11.004)
29. Yap AJ, Mason MF, Ames DR. 2013 The powerful size others down: the link between power and estimates of others' size. *J. Expt. Soc. Psychol.* **49**, 591–594. (doi:10.1016/j.jesp.2012.10.003)
30. Duguid MM, Goncalo JA. 2012 Living large: the powerful overestimate their own height. *Psychol. Sci.* **23**, 36–40. (doi:10.1177/0956797611422915)
31. Fessler DMT, Holbrook C. 2013 Friends shrink foes: the presence of comrades decreases the envisioned physical formidability of an opponent. *Psychol. Sci.* **24**, 797–802. (doi:10.1177/0956797612461508)
32. Robinson JP, Wrightsman LS, Andrews FM. (eds) 1991 *Measures of personality and social psychological attitudes*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
33. Aron A, Aron EN, Smollan D. 1992 Inclusion of other in the self scale and the structure of interpersonal closeness. *J. Person. Soc. Psychol.* **63**, 596–612. (doi:10.1037/0022-3514.63.4.596)
34. Brewer MB. 1999 The psychology of prejudice: ingroup love and outgroup hate? *J. Soc. Issues* **55**, 429–444. (doi:10.1111/0022-4537.00126)