Published in final edited form as:

Life Sci. 2014 August 28; 111(0): 62–68. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2014.07.014.

Endogenous ACh suppresses LTD induction and nicotine relieves the suppression via different nicotinic ACh receptor subtypes in the mouse hippocampus

Sakura Nakauchi and Katumi Sumikawa

Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California, Irvine Irvine, CA 92697-4550, USA

Abstract

Aims—Studying the normal role of nicotinic cholinergic systems in hippocampal synaptic plasticity is critical for understanding how cholinergic loss in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and tobacco use affect cognitive function. However, it is largely unknown how nicotinic cholinergic systems regulate the induction of long-term depression (LTD).

Main methods—Extracellular field potential recordings were performed in hippocampal slices prepared from wild-type, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 7$, and $\beta 2$ knockout (KO) mice. Effects of nicotine and nicotinic antagonists on LTD induction in wild-type, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 7$, and $\beta 2$ KO mice were compared.

Key findings—Activation of $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) occurs during LTD-inducing stimulation to suppress LTD induction at CA3-CA1 synapses. Nicotine relieves this suppression, causing larger LTD. This nicotine effect was mediated by the activation of non- $\alpha 7$ nAChR subtypes, which were not activated by ACh released during LTD-inducing stimulation, and requires the presence of endogenous ACh-induced $\alpha 7$ nAChR activation. Furthermore, the effect of nicotine was prevented in the presence of mecamylamine, but not dihydro- β -erythroidine, and was still observed in both $\alpha 2$ KO and $\beta 2$ KO mice.

Significance—This is the first report to evaluate the involvement of different nAChR subtypes in LTD induction. Findings indicate the involvement of unique non- $\alpha 7$ nAChR subtypes, which have not been considered in the nicotinic modulation of hippocampal long-term potentiation, in the control of LTD induction. The implication of our results is that the loss of cholinergic projections to the hippocampus, which reduces ACh release as seen in AD patients, and nicotine from tobacco smoking can differentially affect LTD induction.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence: Katumi Sumikawa, Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697-4550, USA, Tel: (949) 824-5310; Fax: (949) 824-2447; ksumikaw@uci.edu.

Conflict of interest statement

None

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

nicotinic acetylcholine receptors; knockout mice; hippocampus; long-term depression

Introduction

Synaptic plasticity is generally thought to be the cellular substrate of learning and memory, and has been extensively investigated in the hippocampus (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993; Collingridge et al., 2010; Kemp and Bashir, 2001; Malenka and Bear, 2004; Malenka and Nicoll, 1999). Nicotinic cholinergic systems, which are implicated in cognitive function (Jones et al., 1992; Kenney and Gould, 2008; Levin and Rezvani, 2002; Newhouse et al., 2004; Picciotto and Zoli, 2002), are involved in the modulation of synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus (Fujii et al., 1999; Fujii and Sumikawa, 2001; Ge and Dani, 2005; Gu et al., 2012; Gu and Yakel, 2011; Ji et al., 2001; Mann and Greenfield, 2003; Mansvelder and McGehee, 2000; Nakauchi et al., 2007; Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012; Welsby et al., 2006). However, the details of the underlying mechanisms largely remain to be elucidated. Examining nicotine's effects is useful for not only for determining the normal role of nicotinic cholinergic systems in synaptic plasticity, but also for understanding how cholinergic deficiency in Alzheimer's disease (AD) and tobacco use affect synaptic plasticity. Various effects of nicotine are mediated by different nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) subtypes. Thus, understanding distinct roles of different nAChR subtypes in synaptic plasticity is critical, if we are to understand how nicotinic cholinergic systems control synaptic plasticity.

$\alpha 7$ nAChR, which is the most abundant subtype in the hippocampus (Seguela et al., 1993), is expressed in CA1 pyramidal cells and many γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)ergic interneurons in the strata oriens (SO), pyramidale, radiatum (SR) and lacunosum moleculare (SLM) of the hippocampal CA1 region (Alkondon and Albuquerque, 2001; Alkondon et al., 1998; Frazier et al., 1998a; Frazier et al., 1998b; Ji et al., 2001; McQuiston and Madison, 1999; Sudweeks and Yakel, 2000). This subtype is highly Ca^{2+} -permeable (Seguela et al., 1993) and, thus, has been proposed as contributing to synaptic plasticity (Ji et al., 2001). Indeed, this subtype is involved in the control of long-term potentiation (LTP) induction at the Schaffer collateral (SC) pathway (Gu and Yakel, 2011; Ji et al., 2001; Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012). In addition, it has been reported that the nicotinic cholinergic modulation of LTP induction at the SC pathway is blocked by the competitive nAChR antagonist dihydro- β -erythroidine (DH β E) and is absent in $\alpha 2$ knockout (KO) mice (Gu and Yakel, 2011; Ji et al., 2001; Nakauchi et al., 2007; Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012) and $\beta 2$ KO mice (Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012). However, it is unknown whether nAChR subtypes involved in the nicotinic modulation of LTP induction also play roles in the control of other synaptic plasticity. Long-term depression (LTD), which is required for the consolidation of spatial memory (Ge et al., 2010), is induced at the SC pathway (Dudek and Bear, 1993; Dvorak-Carbone and Schuman, 1999; Malenka and Bear, 2004), and we have previously reported that nicotine and methyllycaconitine (MLA), an antagonist for the $\alpha 7$ nAChR subtype, facilitate LTD induction at the SC pathway of rats (Fujii and Sumikawa, 2001). It has been thought that effects of nicotine that are mimicked by MLA are mediated by $\alpha 7$

nAChR desensitization. However, the details of the underlying mechanisms were not investigated in that study. Furthermore, it remains to be examined whether similar nicotinic modulation of LTD induction occurs in mice. In the present study, we examined the nicotinic cholinergic modulation of LTD induction in the hippocampal CA1 region of wild type, $\alpha 2$ -, $\alpha 7$ -, and $\beta 2$ -KO mice in the absence and presence of nicotinic cholinergic drugs. The data obtained demonstrate that activation of $\alpha 7$ nAChRs during LTD-inducing stimulation suppresses LTD induction at SC pathway, and that the suppression is relieved by activation of non- $\alpha 7$ nAChR subtypes, which are not involved in the nicotinic control of LTP induction.

Materials and methods

Animals

All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of California at Irvine. Experiments were carried out using wild-type, littermate wild-type, $\alpha 2$ -, $\alpha 7$ - and $\beta 2$ -KO mice. These mutant mice were from established colonies of heterozygous breeders in the C57BL/6J strain, originally obtained from Dr. Jim Boulter (UCLA, $\alpha 2$ KO mice) and Dr. Marina Picciotto (Yale University, $\alpha 7$ - and $\beta 2$ -KO mice). In initial experiments, we confirmed that littermate wild-type mice behave like wild-type mice in LTD induction as in LTP study (Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012). Thus, data from wild-type C57BL/6J mice were used as controls for comparison between the groups.

Slice preparation

Transverse hippocampal slices (375–400 μ m) were prepared from mice (4–6 weeks) anaesthetized with urethane. Slices were maintained at 30 °C for at least 1 hour before recordings in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing (in mM): NaCl, 124; KCl, 5; NaH_2PO_4 , 1.25; MgSO_4 , 2; CaCl_2 , 2.5; NaHCO_3 , 22; and glucose, 10, and oxygenated with 95% O_2 and 5% CO_2 .

Extracellular field recordings

Slices were placed in a recording chamber, submerged, and continuously superfused at 2–3 ml min with oxygenated ACSF at 30 °C. A bipolar stimulating electrode (Rhodes Medical Instruments, Tujunga, CA, USA) was placed in the SR of CA1 region to stimulate the SC pathway. The SC field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were recorded from the SR of the CA1 region using glass electrodes filled with 2 M NaCl (3–8 M Ω). Stimuli were short current pulses (200 ms duration) delivered every 20 s. At the beginning of each experiment, a stimulus-response curve was established by measuring the slope of fEPSPs. The strength of the stimulus was adjusted to elicit fEPSPs that were ~ 50% of the maximum response, which fell within stimulus intensities of 30–60 μ A. To avoid high variance in LTD magnitude, slices failing to meet these criteria were not used for experiments. The intensity and duration of each stimulus pulse remained invariant thereafter for each experiment. Baseline responses were recorded following delivery of test stimuli via the stimulating electrode to establish the stability of the preparation. LTD was induced by $3 \times$ low

frequency stimulation (LFS; 200 pulses at 1 Hz) at 20 min intervals. To evaluate the magnitude of LTD, the slope of fEPSPs were measured for 35–40 min after the last LFS for $3 \times$ LFS, and the mean value was calculated and expressed as a percentage of the mean value of the initial baseline slope of fEPSPs. Recorded signals were amplified (A-M Systems, Sequim, WA, USA), digitized, stored on a computer and analyzed using NAC 2.0 software (Theta Burst Corp., Irvine, CA, USA).

Drug application and statistical analysis

Nicotine, DH β E, 2-Amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (APV) and MLA were obtained from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA). Nicotine and APV were dissolved in ACSF and bath-applied about 10 min before delivery of LFS. Other drugs were dissolved in ACSF and bath applied throughout recordings after a 10 min baseline recording. Data were normalized relative to baseline and expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM. For statistical analysis, one-way ANOVA was used, followed by *posthoc*-Tukey HSD tests to identify which groups were significantly different. Physiological data were plotted and analyzed using Origin 8.1 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA).

Results

Nicotine facilitates LTD induction

Numerous examples of LFS-induced LTD have been reported at the SC pathway (Citri and Malenka, 2008; Dudek and Bear, 1993; Wagner and Alger, 1995). In many cases, small depression was observed when LFS was applied, suggesting that the SC pathway is resistant to LTD induction by LFS (Bear and Abraham, 1996). Because consecutive LFS applications can cause greater depression (Wagner and Alger, 1995), we used $3 \times$ LFS (200 pulses at 1 Hz) at 20 min intervals to induce LTD (Fig. 1A). However, despite this, we observed only a small depression in control wild-type mice ($88.9 \pm 3.8\%$ of basal levels, $n = 6$; Fig. 1B). In contrast, when the same stimulation paradigm was used in the presence of nicotine (1 μ M), significantly larger LTD was induced (Fig. 1B, D; $72.9 \pm 5.7\%$ of basal levels, $n=7$; control vs. nicotine, $F_{(1, 12)} < 5.12$, $p < 0.05$). This enhanced LTD was completely eliminated in the presence of the *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) antagonist APV (Fig. 1C, D; $95.7 \pm 4.8\%$ of basal levels, $n=4$; nicotine vs. nicotine + APV, $F_{(1, 10)} < 7.36$, $p < 0.05$) to the level achieved by APV alone (Fig. 1C, D; $93.7 \pm 4.1\%$ of basal levels, $n=5$), indicating that the facilitation of LTD requires NMDAR activation.

Inhibition of $\alpha 7$ nAChRs by MLA facilitates LTD induction

The effect of nicotine on LTD could be mediated by nAChR activation, desensitization or both. If nicotine's effect is due to desensitization, it would require the activation of nAChRs. The hippocampus receives extensive cholinergic input from the medial septum/diagonal band (Aznavour et al., 2002; Frotscher and Leranth, 1985; Matthews et al., 1987). These cholinergic fibers could be stimulated to cause the release of ACh during LFS. Because $\alpha 7$ nAChRs appear to be activated during LTP-inducing theta burst stimulation (Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012), we next examined the possibility that $\alpha 7$ nAChRs are activated during LFS to contribute to LTD induction. When we added the selective $\alpha 7$ nAChR antagonist MLAs to the extracellular solution, we found significantly larger LTD ($61.2 \pm 10.1\%$ of

basal levels, $n=5$) as compared to control LTD (Fig. 2A, D; control vs. MLA, $F_{(1, 10)} = 7.66$, $p < 0.05$). This enhanced LTD was not observed when MLA was co-applied with APV (Fig. 2B, D; $89.8 \pm 5.9\%$ of basal levels, $n=6$; MLA vs. MLA + APV, $F_{(1, 10)} = 6.57$, $p < 0.05$; control vs. MLA + APV, $F_{(1, 11)} = 0.016$, $p = 0.90$), indicating that the facilitation requires NMDAR activation as in the case of nicotine-induced facilitation. These findings suggest that activation of $\alpha 7$ nAChRs occurs during LFS and acts as a brake on the induction of LTD.

The magnitude of LTD induced in the presence of MLA is similar to that induced in the presence of nicotine, suggesting that the effect of nicotine is mediated by $\alpha 7$ nAChR desensitization. To examine this possibility, we delivered LFS in the presence of both nicotine and MLA and monitored the induction of LTD. We predicted that the magnitude of LTD would be comparable to that induced in the presence of nicotine or MLA alone, if nicotine's effect is due to desensitization of $\alpha 7$ nAChRs and MLA is mimicking nicotine's desensitizing effect. However, we found that the presence of both nicotine and MLA completely prevented the induction of LTD (Fig. 2C, D; $103.9 \pm 11.0\%$ of basal levels, $n=5$; MLA vs. MLA + nicotine, $F_{(1, 9)} = 8.25$, $p < 0.05$; nicotine vs. nicotine + MLA, $F_{(1, 11)} = 7.49$, $p < 0.05$). This suggests that the effect of nicotine is not mediated by desensitization of $\alpha 7$ nAChRs, but due to the action of nicotine on non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs.

LTD induction is facilitated in $\alpha 7$ KO mice

We next used $\alpha 7$ KO mice to further verify the critical role for this nAChR subtype in LTD induction and the separate role for non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs in LTD. When we delivered LFS in the SC pathway of $\alpha 7$ KO mice, we observed larger LTD (Fig. 3A, B; $67.2 \pm 7.3\%$ of basal levels, $n=7$) as compared to that induced in wild-type mice (wild-type control vs. $\alpha 7$ KO control, $F_{(1, 12)} = 6.31$, $p < 0.05$). Furthermore, the magnitude of LTD induced in $\alpha 7$ KO mice was comparable to that induced in the presence of MLA in wild-type mice (Figs. 2 and 3). These results not only confirm that the activation of $\alpha 7$ nAChRs occurs during LFS to suppress LTD induction, but also provide the reason why LFS causes only small LTD in wild-type mice.

We also delivered LFS in the presence of nicotine in $\alpha 7$ KO mice and found that LTD induction was completely blocked (Fig. 3A, B; $98.7 \pm 5.4\%$ of basal levels, $n=6$; $\alpha 7$ KO control vs. $\alpha 7$ KO nicotine, $F_{(1, 12)} = 11.46$, $p < 0.01$) as in the case of co-application of MLA with nicotine in wild-type mice (Fig. 2C, D). The presence of nicotine's effect in $\alpha 7$ KO mice confirms the involvement of non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs in the effect. Furthermore, we noted that the effects of nicotine in wild-type and $\alpha 7$ KO mice on LTD were significantly different (Fig. 3B; wild-type nicotine vs. $\alpha 7$ KO nicotine, $F_{(1, 12)} = 10.75$, $p < 0.01$), suggesting a complex interplay between endogenous ACh- and exogenous nicotine-mediated effects in LTD induction. Nicotine's action at non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs appears to relieve the $\alpha 7$ nAChR-mediated suppression of LTD induction. However, this effect of nicotine appeared to occur only in the presence of $\alpha 7$ nAChR activation, because it is absent in the presence of MLL in wild-type mice (Fig. 2) and in $\alpha 7$ KO mice (Fig. 3).

Nicotine facilitates LTD induction in $\alpha 2$ KO and $\beta 2$ KO mice

$\alpha 2^*$ nAChRs (asterisk indicates the possible presence of other subunits in the nAChR complex) in O/A interneurons can continuously be activated in the presence of nicotine (Jia et al., 2009) and this subtype plays a major role in nicotinic control of LTP induction (Leao et al., 2012; Nakauchi et al., 2007). Thus, we next investigated the involvement of $\alpha 2^*$ nAChRs in the control of LTD induction. Initially, we monitored LTD induction in the absence of nicotine in $\alpha 2$ KO mice (Fig. 4A, C) and found that the magnitude of LTD induced was similar between wild-type ($88.9 \pm 3.8\%$ of basal levels, $n=6$) and $\alpha 2$ KO mice ($85.9 \pm 3.9\%$ of basal levels, $n=6$, $p=0.58$; Fig. 4A, C). This suggests that this subtype is not involved in the control of LTD induction. However, the absence of $\alpha 2$ KO's effect on LTD might simply reflect the lack of $\alpha 2^*$ nAChR activation by endogenous ACh, as LFS in the SC pathway might not cause ACh diffusion to the O/A region sufficient to activate $\alpha 2^*$ nAChRs on O/A interneurons (Ishii et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2009; Sudweeks and Yakel, 2000; Wada et al., 1989). We then examined the role of $\alpha 2^*$ nAChR activation in the nicotine-induced facilitation of LTD induction. In $\alpha 2$ KO mice, delivery of LFS in the presence of nicotine ($1 \mu\text{M}$) caused significant facilitation of LTD induction (Fig. 4A, C; $\alpha 2$ KO control, $85.9 \pm 3.9\%$ of basal levels, $n=6$; $\alpha 2$ KO nicotine, $64.3 \pm 9.2\%$ of basal levels, $n=5$; $F_{(1, 10)} = 5.29$, $p < 0.05$). The level of nicotine-induced facilitation of LTD was similar between wild-type ($72.9 \pm 5.7\%$ of basal levels, $n=7$) and $\alpha 2$ KO mice ($64.3 \pm 9.2\%$ of basal levels, $n=5$; $p=0.42$; Fig. 4C). Thus, nicotine is still capable of facilitating LTD induction in the absence of $\alpha 2^*$ nAChRs, demonstrating that $\alpha 2^*$ nAChRs are not involved in the nicotinic control of LTD induction.

To gain further insight into the non- $\alpha 7$ nAChR subtype involved in the nicotinic modulation of LTD induction, we used $\beta 2$ KO mice. In the absence of nicotine, LFS did not induce LTD in $\beta 2$ KO mice ($97.5 \pm 6.7\%$ of basal levels, $n=5$; Fig. 4B, C). However, in the presence of nicotine, LTD induction was facilitated ($69.2 \pm 9.7\%$ of basal levels, $n=4$, $\beta 2$ KO control vs. $\beta 2$ KO nicotine, $F_{(1, 8)} = 6.18$, $p < 0.05$) to the level observed in the presence of nicotine in wild-type mice (Fig. 4B, C). These results demonstrated that $\beta 2$ -containing non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs are not involved in nicotine's effect on LTD induction.

Mecamylamine-sensitive non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs mediate the facilitative effect of nicotine on LTD

To gain further insight into the effect of nicotine on LTD induction, we used additional nAChR antagonists. DH β E is an antagonist that blocks different non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs, including the $\alpha 4\beta 2$, $\alpha 3\beta 2$, $\alpha 2\beta 2$, $\alpha 2\beta 4$, and $\alpha 2\beta 4$ subtypes (Harvey et al., 1996). $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nAChR subtype has the highest affinity for nicotine (Flores et al., 1992; Whiting et al., 1991) and is highly sensitive to DH β E (Khiroug et al., 2004), so that this antagonist is often used to study the role of $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nAChRs in nicotine's effects. Because the effect of nicotine on LTD induction was still present in $\beta 2$ KO mice, the use of DH β E would test whether non- $\beta 2$ -containing DH β E-sensitive nAChR subtypes are involved in the nicotinic control of LTD. In the presence of DH β E alone, the slope of fEPSPs was reduced to $87.7 \pm 4.3\%$ of basal levels ($n=5$; Fig. 5A, C), which is comparable to that observed in the absence of DH β E ($88.9 \pm 3.8\%$) (Fig. 5C). These results suggest either that DH β E-sensitive non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs are not activated by ACh released during LFS or that their activation is not involved in LTD induction. We then tested whether nicotine is still capable of facilitating LTD induction

when DH β E-sensitive non- α 7 nAChRs are blocked. As shown in Fig. 5(A, C), nicotine significantly facilitated the induction of LTD in the presence of DH β E ($67.4 \pm 3.4\%$ of basal levels, $n=6$; DH β E vs. DH β E + nicotine, $F_{(1, 10)} = 14.30$, $p < 0.01$). The magnitudes of LTD observed in the presence of both DH β E and nicotine are very similar to those found in the presence of nicotine alone (Fig. 5C). These results indicate that the effect of nicotine is not mediated by DH β E-sensitive non- α 7 nAChRs.

Because activation of DH β E-sensitive, α 2-containing, and β 2-containing non- α 7 nAChRs, which have been demonstrated to be involved in the control of LTP induction, showed no significant effect on LTD induction, we next examined whether mecamylamine, a nonselective nAChR antagonist, blocked nicotine's effect on LTD induction. LTD induced in the presence of mecamylamine ($89.5 \pm 5.0\%$ of basal levels, $n=5$) was similar to that induced in the absence of mecamylamine ($88.9 \pm 3.8\%$) (Fig. 5B, C), suggesting that bath application of mecamylamine ($3 \mu\text{M}$) had no effect on α 7 nAChRs and, thus, α 7 nAChR activation during LFS still suppresses LTD induction. In contrast, the effect of nicotine on LTD induction was significantly blocked in the presence of mecamylamine (Fig. 5B, C; $91.5 \pm 4.6\%$ of basal levels, $n=7$; mecamylamine vs. mecamylamine + nicotine, $F_{(1, 11)} = 0.08$, $p = 0.78$; control nicotine vs. mecamylamine + nicotine, $F_{(1, 13)} = 6.51$, $p < 0.05$). These results suggest that there are DH β E-insensitive and mecamylamine-sensitive non- α 7 nAChRs that mediate nicotine's effect on LTD induction.

Discussion

Our current study suggests that cholinergic fibers in hippocampal slices are stimulated during LFS to cause the release of ACh. This results in the activation of α 7 nAChRs to suppress the induction of NMDAR-dependent LTD at the SC pathway. This supports the unexpected conclusion that NMDAR-dependent LTD induced in the hippocampal CA1 region is normally affected by a nicotinic cholinergic contribution. Thus, our findings will impact the investigation of the cellular mechanism underlying LFS-induced NMDAR-dependent LTD in the hippocampal CA1 region. In addition, an important implication of the current findings is that LTD induction in the hippocampal CA1 region is most likely affected by cholinergic loss observed in AD and nicotine from tobacco use, possibly influencing cognitive function in AD patients and smokers.

Endogenous ACh-induced modulation of LTD

Previous studies show that single-stimulation-evoked α 7 nAChR-mediated synaptic currents can be recorded in the hippocampal CA1 region in slices. However, the probability of recording such synaptic currents in interneurons is very low (Alkondon et al., 1998; Frazier et al., 1998a; Yamazaki et al., 2005), and recording α 7-nAChR-mediated synaptic currents in pyramidal cells has never been reported. Thus, the current finding that α 7 nAChRs are activated during LFS is somewhat surprising. Most cholinergic transmission in the hippocampus appears to occur through volume transmission and diffusion of ACh (Umbriaco et al., 1995). Because endogenous ACh-induced α 7 nAChR responses have been more frequently recorded in both interneurons and pyramidal cells during weak tetanic stimulation (Yamazaki et al., 2005), it is possible that the concentration of ACh at α 7

nAChR sites gradually increases via volume transmission and ACh diffusion, and becomes effective for receptor activation during LFS. Interestingly, LFS-induced $\alpha 7$ nAChR activation shifts synaptic responses towards potentiation in the same way that tetanic-stimulation-induced $\alpha 7$ nAChR activation does in LTP induction (Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012). This suggests that activation of $\alpha 7$ nAChRs by different stimulation paradigms still provides the same signal that crosstalks with signaling involved in LTD and LTP induction. The mechanism underlying the suppression of LTD induction through $\alpha 7$ nAChR activation remains to be elucidated. However, because this nAChR subtype is highly Ca^{2+} -permeable (Seguela et al., 1993), the $\alpha 7$ -nAChR-dependent suppression of LTD induction might be due to a postsynaptic effect involving crosstalk between NMDAR- and $\alpha 7$ -nAChR-mediated Ca^{2+} signaling pathways. It is interesting to note that $\alpha 7$ -nAChR-activation-dependent LTP induction appears to be a postsynaptic effect involving prolongation of the NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} transients in pyramidal cells (Gu and Yakel, 2011), although $\alpha 7$ -nAChR-induced Ca^{2+} transients in CA1 pyramidal cells have never been reported (see Gu and Yakel, 2011; Khiroug et al., 2003). Because both LTP-inducing stimulation and LFS appear to provide the same $\alpha 7$ -nAChR-mediated signaling, similar postsynaptic crosstalk may mediate the suppression of LTD induction.

Nicotine-induced modulation of LTD induction

Bath application of nicotine reduces $\alpha 7$ -nAChR-mediated responses due to desensitization, but does not inactivate the receptors completely (Frazier et al., 1998b; McQuiston and Madison, 1999; Yamazaki et al., 2006; Yamazaki et al., 2005). Thus, endogenous ACh can still activate $\alpha 7$ nAChRs in the presence of nicotine, and their reduced activation still contributes to LTP induction at the SC pathway (Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012). In the present study, we found that bath application of nicotine and MLA facilitate LTD induction at the SC pathway in mouse hippocampal slices, as found previously with rat hippocampal slices (Fujii and Sumikawa, 2001). It has been thought that the effects of nicotine that are mimicked by MLA are mediated by $\alpha 7$ nAChR desensitization. However, our present findings demonstrate that nicotine's effect on LTD is not due to $\alpha 7$ nAChR desensitization, but is mediated by activation of non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs. Our present study also demonstrates that non- $\alpha 7$ -nAChR-mediated regulation of LTD induction depends on the presence of $\alpha 7$ nAChR activation, and it appears that non- $\alpha 7$ nAChR activation counteracts $\alpha 7$ -nAChR-mediated regulation of LTD induction. Such interplays between non- $\alpha 7$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChR activation are not found in the regulation of LTP induction at the SC pathway (Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012). This might be due to the involvement of different non- $\alpha 7$ nAChR subtypes in the regulation of LTD induction. In the hippocampus, at least four functional nAChR subtypes, $\alpha 2^*$, $\alpha 3\beta 4^*$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChRs, are expressed in different locations (Alkondon and Albuquerque, 2001; Alkondon et al., 1998; Frazier et al., 1998a; Frazier et al., 1998b; Ji et al., 2001; McQuiston and Madison, 1999; Sudweeks and Yakel, 2000). However, it is largely unknown whether a specific nAChR subtype is associated with a distinct neuron type or subtype. Selective optogenetic stimulation of cholinergic fibers shows DH β E-sensitive non- $\alpha 7$ nAChR responses in subpopulations of SO and SLM interneurons (Bell et al., 2011) and in 20% of pyramidal cells (Gu and Yakel, 2011). Based on their high sensitivity to DH β E, non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs on these interneurons are thought to be $\alpha 4\beta 2^*$ nAChRs. The identity of non- $\alpha 7$ nAChRs on pyramidal cells, which are also

sensitive to DH β E, remains unknown. In addition, the α 2 nAChR subtype is selectively expressed in subpopulations of O/A interneurons in the CA1 region (Ishii et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2009; Sudweeks and Yakel, 2000; Wada et al., 1989). Nicotine-induced facilitation of LTP induction is absent in the presence of DH β E and in α 2 and β 2 KO mice (Nakauchi et al., 2007; Nakauchi and Sumikawa, 2012). In contrast, our present study demonstrates that nicotine-induced facilitation of LTD induction remains in the presence of DH β E and in α 2 and β 2 KO mice. These results suggest that non- α 7 nAChR subtypes involved in the modulation of LTP inductions are not contributing to the modulation of LTD induction, and that the different non- α 7 nAChRs have selective roles in the different forms of synaptic plasticity. Our present study also demonstrates that nicotine's effect on LTD induction is blocked by mecamylamine. Because mecamylamine appears to have higher potency for α 3 β 4 nAChRs than for other nAChR subtypes (Papke et al., 2001), α 3 β 4 might be the non- α 7 nAChR subtype involved in the nicotine-induced facilitation of LTD induction. The lack of a mecamylamine effect on LTD induction suggests that there is not sufficient activation of mecamylamine-sensitive non- α 7 nAChRs by ACh released during LFS, perhaps due to the fact that these non- α 7 nAChRs are located in different hippocampal layers. However, bath application of nicotine could activate non- α 7 nAChRs in different layers more effectively, which might modulate LTD induction via circuitry-dependent mechanisms, as occurs with the α 2*-nAChR-mediated facilitation of LTP induction (Nakauchi et al., 2007). nAChRs are localized both pre- and postsynaptically at glutamatergic and GABAergic synapses in the hippocampal CA1 region (Alkondon et al., 1998; Frazier et al., 1998b; McQuiston and Madison, 1999; Sudweeks and Yakel, 2000; Alkondon and Albuquerque, 2001; Ji et al., 2001). In addition, projections to the hippocampal CA1 region from other brain regions contain additional presynaptic nAChRs (Role and Berg, 1996). The identity and location of the mecamylamine-sensitive non- α 7 nAChR subtype involved in the facilitation of LTD induction, however, remains to be determined.

Effects of nicotine on memory and LTD

Chronic nicotine exposure designed to mimic smoking has been shown to improve hippocampal memory (Levin et al., 1992). Nicotine has also been investigated as a treatment for AD (Wilson et al., 1995; White and Levin, 1999), resulting in small improvements in cognitive function. Although much less is known about LTD as compared to LTP, increasing evidence suggests that LTD also plays an important role in hippocampus-dependent learning and memory (Collingridge et al., 2010). Thus, the observed nicotine's effects on memory might involve altered LTD. Further studies of nicotine's effects on LTD in chronic nicotine treated animals and animal models of AD could provide insights into the involvement of LTD in the nicotine-induced memory improvement.

Conclusions

The present study implies that the loss of cholinergic projections to the hippocampus, which is seen in AD patients, and nicotine from tobacco smoking would have a profound impact on the magnitude of LTD, reducing the flow of incoming information to the CA1 subfield. Such changes would most likely affect the formation of new memories.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Drs. Jim Boulter (UCLA) and Marina Picciotto (Yale University) for heterozygous $\alpha 2$ knockout mice and $\alpha 7$ and $\beta 2$ knockout mice, respectively. This research was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (DA-14542, DA025269, DA 025676, DA026458).

References

- Alkondon M, Albuquerque EX. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor $\alpha 7$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2$ subtypes differentially control GABAergic input to CA1 neurons in rat hippocampus. *J Neurophysiol.* 2001; 86:3043–3055. [PubMed: 11731559]
- Alkondon M, Pereira EF, Albuquerque EX. α -bungarotoxin- and methyllycaconitine-sensitive nicotinic receptors mediate fast synaptic transmission in interneurons of rat hippocampal slices. *Brain Res.* 1998; 810:257–263. [PubMed: 9813357]
- Aznavor N, Mechawar N, Descarries L. Comparative analysis of cholinergic innervation in the dorsal hippocampus of adult mouse and rat: a quantitative immunocytochemical study. *Hippocampus.* 2002; 12:206–217. [PubMed: 12000119]
- Bear MF, Abraham WC. Long-term depression in hippocampus. *Annu Rev Neurosci.* 1996; 19:437–462. [PubMed: 8833450]
- Bell KA, Shim H, Chen CK, McQuiston AR. Nicotinic excitatory postsynaptic potentials in hippocampal CA1 interneurons are predominantly mediated by nicotinic receptors that contain $\alpha 4$ and $\beta 2$ subunits. *Neuropharmacology.* 2011; 61:1379–1388. [PubMed: 21878344]
- Bliss TV, Collingridge GL. A synaptic model of memory: long-term potentiation in the hippocampus. *Nature.* 1993; 361:31–39. [PubMed: 8421494]
- Citri A, Malenka RC. Synaptic plasticity: multiple forms, functions, and mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2008; 33:18–41. [PubMed: 17728696]
- Collingridge GL, Peineau S, Howland JG, Wang YT. Long-term depression in the CNS. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2010; 11:459–473. [PubMed: 20559335]
- Dudek SM, Bear MF. Bidirectional long-term modification of synaptic effectiveness in the adult and immature hippocampus. *J Neurosci.* 1993; 13:2910–2918. [PubMed: 8331379]
- Dvorak-Carbone H, Schuman EM. Long-term depression of temporoammonic-CA1 hippocampal synaptic transmission. *J Neurophysiol.* 1999; 81:1036–1044. [PubMed: 10085331]
- Flores CM, Rogers SW, Pabreza LA, Wolfe BB, Kellar KJ. A subtype of nicotinic cholinergic receptor in rat brain is composed of $\alpha 4$ and $\beta 2$ subunits and is up-regulated by chronic nicotine treatment. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1992; 41:31–37. [PubMed: 1732720]
- Frazier CJ, Buhler AV, Weiner JL, Dunwiddie TV. Synaptic potentials mediated via α -bungarotoxin-sensitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in rat hippocampal interneurons. *J Neurosci.* 1998a; 18:8228–8235. [PubMed: 9763468]
- Frazier CJ, Rollins YD, Breese CR, Leonard S, Freedman R, Dunwiddie TV. Acetylcholine activates an α -bungarotoxin-sensitive nicotinic current in rat hippocampal interneurons, but not pyramidal cells. *J Neurosci.* 1998b; 18:1187–1195. [PubMed: 9454829]
- Frotscher M, Leranth C. Cholinergic innervation of the rat hippocampus as revealed by choline acetyltransferase immunocytochemistry: a combined light and electron microscopic study. *J Comp Neurol.* 1985; 239:237–246. [PubMed: 4044938]
- Fujii S, Ji Z, Morita N, Sumikawa K. Acute and chronic nicotine exposure differentially facilitate the induction of LTP. *Brain Res.* 1999; 846:137–143. [PubMed: 10536221]
- Fujii S, Sumikawa K. Nicotine accelerates reversal of long-term potentiation and enhances long-term depression in the rat hippocampal CA1 region. *Brain Res.* 2001; 894:340–346. [PubMed: 11251213]
- Ge S, Dani JA. Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors at glutamate synapses facilitate long-term depression or potentiation. *J Neurosci.* 2005; 25:6084–6091. [PubMed: 15987938]
- Ge Y, Dong Z, Bagot RC, Howland JG, Phillips AG, Wong TP, Wang YT. Hippocampal long-term depression is required for the consolidation of spatial memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2010; 107:16697–16702. [PubMed: 20823230]

- Gu Z, Lamb PW, Yakel JL. Cholinergic coordination of presynaptic and postsynaptic activity induces timing-dependent hippocampal synaptic plasticity. *J Neurosci*. 2012; 32:12337–12348. [PubMed: 22956824]
- Gu Z, Yakel JL. Timing-dependent septal cholinergic induction of dynamic hippocampal synaptic plasticity. *Neuron*. 2011; 71:155–165. [PubMed: 21745645]
- Harvey SC, Maddox FN, Luetje CW. Multiple determinants of dihydro-beta-erythroidine sensitivity on rat neuronal nicotinic receptor alpha subunits. *J Neurochem*. 1996; 67:1953–1959. [PubMed: 8863500]
- Ishii K, Wong JK, Sumikawa K. Comparison of alpha2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit mRNA expression in the central nervous system of rats and mice. *J Comp Neurol*. 2005; 493:241–260. [PubMed: 16255031]
- Ji D, Lape R, Dani JA. Timing and location of nicotinic activity enhances or depresses hippocampal synaptic plasticity. *Neuron*. 2001; 31:131–141. [PubMed: 11498056]
- Jia Y, Yamazaki Y, Nakauchi S, Sumikawa K. Alpha2 nicotine receptors function as a molecular switch to continuously excite a subset of interneurons in rat hippocampal circuits. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2009; 29:1588–1603. [PubMed: 19385992]
- Jones GM, Sahakian BJ, Levy R, Warburton DM, Gray JA. Effects of acute subcutaneous nicotine on attention, information processing and short-term memory in Alzheimer's disease. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1992; 108:485–494. [PubMed: 1410164]
- Kemp N, Bashir ZI. Long-term depression: a cascade of induction and expression mechanisms. *Prog Neurobiol*. 2001; 65:339–365. [PubMed: 11527572]
- Kenney JW, Gould TJ. Modulation of hippocampus-dependent learning and synaptic plasticity by nicotine. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2008; 38:101–121. [PubMed: 18690555]
- Khiroug L, Giniatullin R, Klein RC, Fayuk D, Yakel JL. Functional mapping and Ca²⁺ regulation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor channels in rat hippocampal CA1 neurons. *J Neurosci*. 2003; 23:9024–9031. [PubMed: 14534236]
- Khiroug SS, Khiroug L, Yakel JL. Rat nicotinic acetylcholine receptor alpha2beta2 channels: comparison of functional properties with alpha4beta2 channels in *Xenopus* oocytes. *Neuroscience*. 2004; 124:817–822. [PubMed: 15026122]
- Leao RN, Mikulovic S, Leao KE, Munguba H, Gezelius H, Enjin A, Patra K, Eriksson A, Loew LM, Tort AB, Kullander K. OLM interneurons differentially modulate CA3 and entorhinal inputs to hippocampal CA1 neurons. *Nat Neurosci*. 2012; 15:1524–1530. [PubMed: 23042082]
- Levin ED, Briggs SJ, Christopher NC, Rose JE. Persistence of chronic nicotine-induced cognitive facilitation. *Behavioral and Neuroal Biology*. 1992; 58:152–158.
- Levin ED, Rezvani AH. Nicotinic treatment for cognitive dysfunction. *Curr Drug Targets CNS Neurol Disord*. 2002; 1:423–431. [PubMed: 12769614]
- Malenka RC, Bear MF. LTP and LTD: an embarrassment of riches. *Neuron*. 2004; 44:5–21. [PubMed: 15450156]
- Malenka RC, Nicoll RA. Long-term potentiation--a decade of progress? *Science*. 1999; 285:1870–1874. [PubMed: 10489359]
- Mann EO, Greenfield SA. Novel modulatory mechanisms revealed by the sustained application of nicotine in the guinea-pig hippocampus in vitro. *J Physiol*. 2003; 551:539–550. [PubMed: 12815181]
- Mansvelder HD, McGehee DS. Long-term potentiation of excitatory inputs to brain reward areas by nicotine. *Neuron*. 2000; 27:349–357. [PubMed: 10985354]
- Matthews DA, Salvaterra PM, Crawford GD, Houser CR, Vaughn JE. An immunocytochemical study of choline acetyltransferase-containing neurons and axon terminals in normal and partially deafferented hippocampal formation. *Brain Res*. 1987; 402:30–43. [PubMed: 3548884]
- McQuiston AR, Madison DV. Nicotinic receptor activation excites distinct subtypes of interneurons in the rat hippocampus. *J Neurosci*. 1999; 19:2887–2896. [PubMed: 10191306]
- Nakauchi S, Brennan RJ, Boulter J, Sumikawa K. Nicotine gates long-term potentiation in the hippocampal CA1 region via the activation of alpha2* nicotinic ACh receptors. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2007; 25:2666–2681. [PubMed: 17466021]

- Nakauchi S, Sumikawa K. Endogenously released ACh and exogenous nicotine differentially facilitate long-term potentiation induction in the hippocampal CA1 region of mice. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2012; 35:1381–1395. [PubMed: 22462479]
- Newhouse PA, Potter A, Singh A. Effects of nicotinic stimulation on cognitive performance. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2004; 4:36–46. [PubMed: 15018837]
- Papke RL, Sanberg PR, Shytle RD. Analysis of mecamylamine stereoisomers on human nicotinic receptor subtypes. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2001; 297:646–656. [PubMed: 11303054]
- Picciotto MR, Zoli M. Nicotinic receptors in aging and dementia. *J Neurobiol.* 2002; 53:641–655. [PubMed: 12436427]
- Role LW, Berg DK. Nicotinic receptors in the development and modulation of CNS synapses. *Neuron.* 1996; 16:1077–1085. [PubMed: 8663984]
- Seguela P, Wadiche J, Dineley-Miller K, Dani JA, Patrick JW. Molecular cloning, functional properties, and distribution of rat brain alpha 7: a nicotinic cation channel highly permeable to calcium. *J Neurosci.* 1993; 13:596–604. [PubMed: 7678857]
- Sudweeks SN, Yakel JL. Functional and molecular characterization of neuronal nicotinic ACh receptors in rat CA1 hippocampal neurons. *J Physiol.* 2000; 527(Pt 3):515–528. [PubMed: 10990538]
- Umbriaco D, Garcia S, Beaulieu C, Descarries L. Relational features of acetylcholine, noradrenaline, serotonin and GABA axon terminals in the stratum radiatum of adult rat hippocampus (CA1). *Hippocampus.* 1995; 5:605–620. [PubMed: 8646286]
- Wada E, Wada K, Boulter J, Deneris E, Heinemann S, Patrick J, Swanson LW. Distribution of alpha 2, alpha 3, alpha 4, and beta 2 neuronal nicotinic receptor subunit mRNAs in the central nervous system: a hybridization histochemical study in the rat. *J Comp Neurol.* 1989; 284:314–335. [PubMed: 2754038]
- Wagner JJ, Alger BE. GABAergic and developmental influences on homosynaptic LTD and depotentiation in rat hippocampus. *J Neurosci.* 1995; 15:1577–1586. [PubMed: 7869119]
- Welsby P, Rowan M, Anwyl R. Nicotinic receptor-mediated enhancement of long-term potentiation involves activation of metabotropic glutamate receptors and ryanodine-sensitive calcium stores in the dentate gyrus. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2006; 24:3109–3118. [PubMed: 17156372]
- White HK, Levin ED. Four-week nicotine skin patch treatment effects on cognitive performance in Alzheimer's disease. *Psychopharmacology.* 1999; 143:158–165. [PubMed: 10326778]
- Whiting P, Schoepfer R, Lindstrom J, Priestley T. Structural and pharmacological characterization of the major brain nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subtype stably expressed in mouse fibroblasts. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1991; 40:463–472. [PubMed: 1717818]
- Wilson AL, Langley LK, Monley J, Bauer T, Rottunda S, McFalls E, Kovera C, McCarten JR. Nicotine patches in Alzheimer's disease: Pilot study on learning, memory and safety. *Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior.* 1995; 51:509–514.
- Yamazaki Y, Fujii S, Jia Y, Sumikawa K. Nicotine withdrawal suppresses nicotinic modulation of long-term potentiation induction in the hippocampal CA1 region. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2006; 24:2903–2916. [PubMed: 17156213]
- Yamazaki Y, Jia Y, Hamaue N, Sumikawa K. Nicotine-induced switch in the nicotinic cholinergic mechanisms of facilitation of long-term potentiation induction. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2005; 22:845–860. [PubMed: 16115208]

FIGURE 1. Nicotine facilitates NMDAR-dependent LTD induction

(A) Scheme of recording setup showing the position of stimulating and recording electrodes. (B) Nicotine accelerates LTD induction by $3 \times$ LFS (200 pulses at 20 min intervals) in wild-type mice. (C) NMDAR antagonist APV (50 μ M) blocked the effect of nicotine on LTD induction. In this and all subsequent graphs, changes in the slope of fEPSPs are plotted as the percentage change in initial baseline responses, and each trace above the graph was recorded at the time indicated (1 and 2). Lower bars in this and all subsequent figures indicate time at which LFS was given. In (B) and (C), administration of drugs is indicated by the upper horizontal bar, and scale bars are 10 ms and 1 mV. (D) Group data (mean $\pm$

SEM) of the percent change in the slope of the fEPSPs. In this and all subsequent figures, data were measured 35–40 min after the third LFS. $*p < 0.05$.

FIGURE 2. MLA facilitates NMDAR-dependent LTD induction in the absence, but not presence, of nicotine

All experiments were carried out using hippocampal slices prepared from wild-type mice. (A) LFS-induced LTD was facilitated by blocking $\alpha 7$ -nAChR activation with MLA (20 nM). (B) APV (50 μ M) prevented the effect of MLA. (C) MLA's effect on LTD was absent in the presence of nicotine. In (A–C), scale bars are 10 ms and 1 mV, and administration of drugs is indicated by the upper horizontal bar. (D) Group data (mean $\pm$ SEM) of the percent change in the slope of the fEPSPs. * $p < 0.05$

FIGURE 3. LTD induction is facilitated in the absence, but not presence, of nicotine in $\alpha 7$ KO mice

(A) Experiments were performed in the absence (control, open circles) and presence (filled circles) of nicotine using hippocampal slices prepared from $\alpha 7$ KO mice. Administration of nicotine is indicated by the upper horizontal bar, and scale bars are 10 ms and 1 mV. (B) Group data (mean $\pm$ SEM) of the percent change in the slope of the fEPSPs. Larger LTD was induced in $\alpha 7$ KO mice as compared to wild-type mice and nicotine' facilitative effect on LTD induction in wild-type mice was absent in $\alpha 7$ KO mice. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$

FIGURE 4. Nicotine facilitates LTD induction in 2 KO and $\beta 2$ KO mice

(A) Nicotine's facilitative effect on LTD was still present in the absence of $\alpha 2$ -containing nAChRs. (B) Nicotine still facilitates LTD induction in the absence of $\beta 2$ -containing nAChRs. In (A, B), scale bars are 10 ms and 1 mV. (C) Group data (mean $\pm$ SEM) of the percent change in the slope of the fEPSPs. * $p < 0.05$.

FIGURE 5. Mecamylamine, but not DHβE, prevents nicotine-induced facilitation of LTD induction

(A) DHβE (500 nM) alone had no effect on LTD induction and nicotine still facilitates LTD induction in the presence of 500 nM DHβE. (B) Mecamylamine (3 μM) alone had no effect on LTD induction, but it blocked the effect of nicotine on LTD induction. In (A, B), scale bars are 10 ms and 1 mV. (C) Group data (mean ± SEM) of the percent change in the slope of the fEPSPs. * $p < 0.05$.