Published in final edited form as:

Am J Med. 2014 June ; 127(6): e15. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2014.01.035.

Dietary Sodium Restriction: Still Searching for the Grains of Truth

Scott L. Hummel, MD, MS^{a,b} and Alan B. Weder, MD^a

^aDivision of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich

^bAnn Arbor Veteran's Affairs Medical Center, Section of Cardiology, Ann Arbor, Mich

To the Editor:

We read with great interest the review “Dietary Sodium Restriction: Take It With a Grain of Salt,” by DiNicolantonio et al.¹ The authors point out the paucity of data linking dietary sodium restriction to reductions in cardiovascular events and provide an excellent and broad-based overview of many important studies. However, we want to raise several issues for comment.

The authors conclude that “there is sound evidence that a low sodium diet leads to a worse cardiovascular prognosis in patients with systolic congestive heart failure....” This statement is based on several studies performed by a single Italian research group, in which large loop diuretic doses (100-500 mg/d furosemide equivalent) were continued for months in outpatient participants regardless of clinical status. For reference, the mean furosemide equivalent dose at hospital discharge in large North American and European studies is 50 to 80 mg/d,^{2,3} and discharge furosemide doses of 240 mg/d predict higher mortality even in the very ill heart failure population in the Evaluation Study of Congestive Heart Failure and Pulmonary Artery Catheterization Effectiveness study.⁴ In this setting, it seems difficult to conclude that low-sodium diets “caused increased mortality and heart failure hospitalizations” rather than the known neurohormonal and renal effects of high-dose diuretics in the setting of volume depletion.

Abundant data from animal models and humans suggest that at least some persons would benefit greatly from dietary sodium restriction. DiNicolantonio et al¹ correctly characterize sodium consumption as physiologically regulated and challenging to modulate. However, it is not impossible to do so in populations, as evidenced by successful policy-driven sodium intake reductions in Finland and the United Kingdom.⁵ We strongly believe that additional research, particularly in special populations such as those with heart failure, is needed before dismissing such efforts as potentially “counterproductive... and risky.”

Conflict of Interest: None.

Authorship: Both authors had access to the data and played a role in writing this manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Funding: SLH receives funding from the National Institutes of Health/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (K23HL109176) to study the effects of dietary modification in heart failure.

References

1. DiNicolantonio JJ, Niazi AK, Sadaf R, O'Keefe JH, Lucan SC, Lavie CJ. Dietary sodium restriction: take it with a grain of salt. *Am J Med.* 2013; 126:951–955. [PubMed: 24054177]
2. Nunez J, Nunez E, Minana G, et al. Differential mortality association of loop diuretic dosage according to blood urea nitrogen and carbohydrate antigen 125 following a hospitalization for acute heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2012; 14:974–984. [PubMed: 22700856]
3. Abdel-Qadir HM, Tu JV, Yun L, Austin PC, Newton GE, Lee DS. Diuretic dose and long-term outcomes in elderly patients with heart failure after hospitalization. *Am Heart J.* 2010; 160:264–271. [PubMed: 20691831]
4. O'Connor CM, Hasselblad V, Mehta RH, et al. Triage after hospitalization with advanced heart failure: the ESCAPE (Evaluation Study of Congestive Heart Failure and Pulmonary Artery Catheterization Effectiveness) risk model and discharge score. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010; 55:872–878. [PubMed: 20185037]
5. Polonia J, Martins L. A comprehensive review on salt and health and current experience of worldwide salt reduction programmes. *J Hum Hypertens.* 2009; 23:771–772. [PubMed: 19727126]