Published in final edited form as:

Int Rev Immunol. 2012 February ; 31(1): 66–84. doi:10.3109/08830185.2011.642909.

Alternative Medicines as Emerging Therapies for Inflammatory Bowel Diseases

Udai P. Singh¹, Narendra P. Singh¹, Brandon Busbee¹, H. Guan¹, Balwan Singh², Robert L. Price³, Dennis D. Taub⁴, Manoj K. Mishra⁵, Mitzi Nagarkatti⁶, and Prakash S. Nagarkatti⁶

¹Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, School of Medicine, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, USA

²National Primate Research Center, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

³Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, USA

⁴Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Immunology, NIA-IRP, NIH, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

⁵Department of Biological and Math Sciences, Alabama State University 1627 Hall St. Montgomery, Alabama, USA

⁶Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, School of Medicine, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, USA

Abstract

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) can be divided into two major categories, ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn disease (CD). While the main cause(s) of IBD remain unknown, a number of interventional and preventive strategies have been proposed for use against CD and UC. Many reports have focused on the use of alternative natural medicines as potential therapeutic interventions in IBD patients with minimal side effects. While the use of alternative medicines may be effective in IBD patients that are refractory to corticosteroids or thiopurins, alternative treatment strategies are limited and require extensive clinical testing before being optimized for use in patients.

Keywords

bromelain; Crohn disease (CD); curcumin; inflammation; inflammatory bowel disease (IBD); polyphenols; pomegranate; resveratrol; rutin; ulcerative colitis (UC)

Crohn disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) are the major chronic inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) affecting an estimated 1.4 million people in the United States and 2.2 million

Copyright © Informa Healthcare USA, Inc.

Address correspondence to Udai P. Singh, PhD, Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA. Udai.singh@uscmed.sc.edu; or Dennis D. Taub, PhD, Laboratory of Molecular Biology and Immunology, NIA-IRP, NIH, DHHS, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA. taubd@gcr.nia.nih.gov.

Declaration of Interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

people in Europe [1]. Although the etiology of both CD and UC is unknown, IBD have common complex and multifactorial pathogenesis. During past decades, major insights into pathogenesis of IBD has been achieved, and investigators around the globe agree that factors such as environment, genetic makeup, intestinal microbiota, and, most importantly, a dysregulated immune system are involved in the development of intestinal inflammation that characterizes IBD [2]. Recent evidence has accumulated to demonstrate that IBD-related mucosal inflammation develops in predisposed individuals as result of an inflated mucosal immune response directed against luminal antigens. Both forms of IBD can increase the risk of incidence of gastrointestinal and colon cancer. It has been reported that both CD and UC patients have different pathophysiologies. During IBD progression, tissue damage is mediated by an active cross talk between immune and nonimmune cells and that T cells play a central role in this pathogenic process [3]. It is believed that in CD, the cellular response, with increased activation of CD4⁺ T cells mostly differentiated in T1 subpopulation, increased amounts of cytokines, which has significant impact on the further course of disease progression.

IBD Pathogenesis

IBD diseases have complex and multifactorial pathogenesis. The causes of human IBD are not well known, but the two major forms are defined by their clinical, pathologic, radiologic, and endoscopic characteristics [4]. In a recent study, wide variations in the incidence and prevalence of CD and UC among different populations suggest that genetic factors significantly contribute to susceptibility to IBD. The absolute risk of IBD is approximately 7% among first-degree family members [5]. The nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain containing 2 (NOD2) genes is involved in this increased susceptibility to CD [6]. The NOD2 genes, located on the 16q12 chromosome, encode intracellular proteins activated by both nuclear factor κ B and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways in response to bacterial cell wall component peptidoglycan [7]. As a result, our increasingly sophisticated knowledge of immune regulation, genetic alterations has been noticed in patients with UC and CD that may have important pathogenic implications [8]. The genetic susceptibility seems to be from regional clusters, because these features are not observed in Asian CD patients. Recently identified CD susceptibility in association with polymorphism in IBD5 locus or interleukin (IL) 23R to European CD counterparts is also not associated with their Asian counterparts [9, 10].

Clinical Features of IBD

During IBD progression, clinical and pathological features solely depend on the genetic background, alteration in immunoregulatory molecules, and susceptibility of the host. There is consensus that abnormalities in T cells are essential for colitis development, which is dependent not solely on excessive immune cell activation but also on the imbalance between proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory responses. These general conclusions strongly support the current assumption that different and independent abnormalities cause IBD and that UC and CD are heterogeneous disorders with multiple pathogenic mechanisms. The inflammatory process in UC is confined to the mucosa and superficial submucosa of the large bowel. The histopathological features of UC include bloody diarrhea, which is one of

the common symptoms of this disease. Nonetheless, it should be recognized that a small number of patients with UC have constipation, even during a period of active disease. The severity of the disease is generally proportional to the extent of bowel involvement and the intensity of inflammation. The extensive disease, particularly pancolitis, rectal prolepses, and bloody diarrhea, is generally associated with severe inflammation, which widely varies from patient to patient.

CD is more variable in its clinical manifestations, reflecting a seemingly more complex inflammatory process in symptoms and clinical features as compared to UC. Active CD is characterized by a huge leukocyte infiltration in which macrophages, neutrophils, and T cells predominate. One of the hallmarks of CD pathogenesis is the granulomas that form due to aggregation of macrophages, leading to the development of CD in approximately 50% of patients. This inflammatory process and accumulation of T cells and macrophages in CD can extend beyond the gastrointestinal tract, e.g., formation of fistulas, rectal prolepses, and sometimes bloody diarrhea [11]. The diverse sites of tissue involvement and varying extent of inflammation give rise to a wide spectrum of clinical manifestations.

Experimental Models for IBD

During the past decade, the discovery of many new experimental models has enhanced our capabilities to develop the next generation of IBD therapies and to study this complex disease. Many animal models, including genetically engineered mice, congenic mouse strains, chemically induced, and most importantly cell-transfer models, have been established for IBD study [12]. The major agreement on the models is that they exhibit mainly Th1-dominant immune responses, and most of these studies have centered with CD phenotype. In contrast to Th1-mediated models, mouse models that develop Th2-mediated colitis for the study of UC are very limited. The study of UC mouse models mainly depend on chemically induced models. Indeed, during the last decades animal models contributed a lot to our understanding in the mechanisms of IBD development, and have provided new information for development of novel therapies to overcome this disease [13]. A major advancement in the field of IBD has been made after several reports of associated human gene studies that are associated with IBD-associated genes specific for either CD or UC [6, 13–17]. In addition to these models, advances in genetic engineering technology have developed some new molecules for IBD treatment. It has been shown recently that T and B cells deficient in X-box binding protein (XBP1) and T-box transcription factor (T-bet) mice actively participate in innate immune responses and suppresses the development of colitis [18]. Interestingly, Th2 cytokines (e.g., IL-4) have also been shown to exacerbate murine colitis [19]. Another study of the spontaneous development of colitis shows that mice that lack an autophagy gene (Atg 5, specifically in the thymic epithelial cells, are protected against IBD [20]. It has been shown that several genetically engineered KO mouse strains that lack IBD-associated genes show spontaneous development of chronic inflammation and colitis [14, 21–26]. The overall IBD-associated genes are now classified into at least three groups based on biological function: host defense, IL-23/Th17 axis, and regulatory immunity.

Microbial Induction of IBD and Mucosal Biomarkers

The experimental models of IBD discussed above fail to develop colitis symptoms in a germ-free environment. This gave us enough reason to believe that there is a pathologic interaction between immune cells and commensal enteric bacteria. These interactions provide a strong basis in the development of intestinal inflammatory processes [13, 27, 28]. The pathogenic germ may lead to a state of chronic stimulation of immune responses, a decrease in protective bacteria that induces mucosal permeability that might lead to enhance exposure of bacterial Toll-like receptor (TLR) ligands, and antigens that directly activate pathogenic innate and T-cell immune responses. The dysfunction in regulatory T cells or antigen-presenting cells also leads to decreased tolerance to microbial antigens or to induction of cross reactivity autoimmune responses between host and microbial antigens [29]. The most typical example is *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP), which is the infectious etiologic agent of Johne disease (JD) [30]. Koch's postulate was fulfilled in 1910, confirming that MAP was the causative agent for JD [31]. Additional interest was developed after a series of reports that showed MAP isolation taken from intestinal biopsies from patients with CD was a causal association between MAP and IBD [32–41]. Similar to this line, IBD patients show increased mucosally associated bacteria [42]. The disease-related genetic polymorphisms in the intracellular bacterial receptor NOD, TLR2, and-4, ATG 16L1, and NCF-4 further support a role for defective immune response against microbial antigens [6, 43–45]. A genetic study also suggests evidence supporting the association of protease (75 coding) and protease inhibitors (7 coding) for the CD and 14 proteases and 4 protease inhibitors for UC, all located on chromosome 3 [46].

The role of mucosal biomarkers associated with tissues in the progression of disease severity has gained momentum in recent years. These mucosal biomarkers include cytokines, chemokines, adhesion molecules, effector immune T cells, nonimmune cells, and markers of activation. The alteration in some cytokines has been shown in patients with active IBD; however, the significance of these studies remains inconclusive as to whether these effects are primary or secondary in the regulation of inflammation [47]. CD is generally associated with a Th1 (IL-12, TNF-, IFN-, IL-23) and T17 cytokine profile, while UC is associated with a Th2 cytokine profile (IL-5 and IL-10) [48–50]. These findings have been complemented with the IL-23/IL-17 axis that is part of effector T-cell response and seems to be involved in IBD [51]. The other chemokine that has received a lot of attention in recent years is CXCL10. While CXCR3 ligands have been shown to be upregulated in IBD, the role that these chemokines play in disease severity, susceptibility, and progression is not certain. We have recently shown that CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11 are upregulated at sites of colitis [52]. CXCL10 has been shown to be upregulated during UC [53], while CD tissues have been shown to express CXCL10 and CXCL9 as well as CXCR3 [54–57]. The inflammatory lymphocytes in the intestinal tract of IBD patients are mediated by the integrins and its specific ligands expressed by endothelial cells.

Probiotics and IBD

The host immune system is tolerant toward the antigens of commensal gut microbiota believed to be essential for normal healthy gut function. Any deregulation in immune

response toward gut microbiota is thought to be an underlying factor in the pathogenesis of IBD. The hypothesis that intestinal bacterial flora contributes to IBD pathogenesis is supported by several experimental as well as clinical studies. The most affected site of IBD, the terminal ileum and colon, shows the highest bacterial count and antibiotic treatment decreases severity both in UC and CD [58, 59]. In recent years, many attempts have been made to modify the flora with probiotics and it has been reported that some probiotic gut bacteria prevent and or abrogate IBD [60, 61]. Probiotics can be defined as living food supplements that have been shown to have a beneficial effect on human health [62]. There are some criteria for probiotic bacteria to be beneficial, including human origin, acid and bile stability, adherence to intestinal cells, persistence for some time in the gut, and, most important, the ability to modulate immune response [63]. The probiotic activity has been associated mainly with lactobacilli, bifidobacteria, *E. coli*, and *Saccharomyces boulardii* [64]. In experimental colitis, orally or rectally administered lactobacilli reduced established colitis in IL-10^{-/-} mice and in rats [65, 66]. The mechanism involved in anti-inflammatory bacteria may signal through the intestinal epithelium, mucosal regulatory T cells, or dendritic cells, perhaps inducing dendritic cell secretion of IL-10, while at the same time attenuating T-cell production of IFN γ , via regulation of cytokine transcription factors [64, 67, 68]. The strongest clinical evidence also suggests that probiotics can improve the health condition in UC, pouchitis, and CD patients [69–72]. All of these studies clearly indicate that probiotics show great promise in abatement of IBD, but the current consensus warrants a large number of controlled clinical trials before the use of probiotics as a routine medical treatment for IBD.

Current Available Treatments and Their Limitations

Treatment must begin with accurate diagnosis. The diagnosis of IBD patients depends on clinical history, physical findings, endoscopic, radiologic, and histologic features, as well as the results of routine laboratory tests, disease location, and severity. An expanding number and variety of drugs that target the inflammatory process, either broadly or selectively, are effective in controlling disease in patients and in sustaining symptomatic remission for prolonged periods. However, many patients suffer from the recurrence of IBD, which supports the need for improved treatments without any side effects. Here we describe only few available treatments and their limitations.

Aminosalicylates and Corticosteroids

Topical corticosteroids have been found to be less effective than topical aminosalicylates for remission of distal UC [73]. It has been shown that 5-aminosalicylate was a functionally active moiety of prototypical sulfasalazine congener and may block the production of prostaglandins and leukotrienes, inhibiting bacterial peptide-induced neutrophil chemotaxis, scavenging reactive oxygen metabolites, and inhibiting the activation of nuclear factor- κ B. Topical corticosteroids, in combination with topical salicylates, is often more efficacious than either alone in short-term treatment of distal UC [74]. It has been also shown that methotrexate and cyclosporine are also effective treatments for both UC and CD [75]. There is a consensus that both aminosalicylates and corticosteroids suppress the activation and generation of specific and long-lived T helper cells, which might account for

the prolonged time needed to achieve a therapeutic response and the recurring/relapsing nature for IBD. In a literature-based study, it has been shown that patients with IBD refractory to corticosteroids and thiopurines may respond to alternative anti-inflammatory chemical molecules, but the evidence base for many of these alternatives needs clinical trial before a prudent conclusion can be reached [76].

Tumor Necrosis Factor Blockers

Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) is a proinflammatory cytokine with a central role in the pathogenesis of CD [77]. Infliximab is a chimeric monoclonal anti-TNF- α antibody agent, an important advance in the therapy of patients with CD offered in the United States for almost 12 years [78]. Infliximab is a genetically engineered IgG1 murine–human chimeric monoclonal antibody containing approximately 75% human protein and 25% murine protein [79]. TNF- α cytokine is produced by leukocytes that are involved at local sites of inflammation in IBD [80]. TNF- α cytokine also associated with activation of granulocytes and fibroblasts during CD [81]. This cytokine also assists in the development of colitis in certain murine models of colitis [82]. It is also well established that administration of anti-TNF- α antibody or soluble TNF- α receptor, and anti-IFN- α antibody treatment significantly attenuates colitis development in IL-10^{-/-} mice [83]. Apart from infliximab, two additional anti-TNF- α molecules are currently used to treat IBD: adalimumab and certolizumab pegol [84]. To date, evidence for efficacy presented in clinical trials has been more robust for infliximab than for other anti-TNF- α mediators.

Chemokines

Chemokines are a group of chemotactic cytokines classified by the position of two cysteine residues in the N-terminal end that are either adjacent (i.e., CC) or separated by another amino acid (i.e., CXC) [85]. The CXC chemokine receptor 3 (CXCR3) has been of particular interest in recent years because it is expressed on a majority of activated Th1 cells, but not on resting or activated TH2 cells. The chemokine CXCR3 ligand CXCL10 has been associated with Th1-dependent immunity and has been observed in many models of inflammatory diseases [86]. CXCR3 ligand expression has been shown to be increased in Alzheimer [87], Hodgkin [88, 89], Graves disease [90], glomerulonephritis [91], multiple sclerosis [92, 93], bronchiolitis [94], skin/mucosal inflammation [95, 96], and IBD [97].

Studies from our laboratories have demonstrated that CXCR3 ligands (CXCL10) blockade abrogated spontaneous colitis in IL-10^{-/-} mice [52], which is mediated predominantly by Th1-type TCR⁺ CXCR3⁺ cells [98]. To further address the cellular mechanism of CXCL10-mediated colitis our laboratories reported that CXCL10 is largely produced by CD4⁺ T cells from the spleen during colitis progression. We noticed that anti-CXCL10 antibody treatment reduced the number of CD4⁺ CXCR3⁺ cells in the mesenteric lymph nodes and similar cells in the LP. The pathologic changes included large multifocal infiltrates in the lamina propria of the colon of control Ab-treated IL-10^{-/-} mice, with the number of these infiltrates being reduced after CXCL10 blockade. These results imply that intestinal inflammation is driven by the presence of CD4⁺ T cells producing CXCL10 and is potentiated mainly by Th1 cytokines. The increase in Th1 cytokines coincides with the increased number of CXCR3⁺

CD4⁺ T cells, which in turn, resulted in the propagation of colitis. Similarly, anti-CXCL10 antibody treatment can mitigate colitis in IL-10^{-/-} mice through decreased trafficking of Th1 cells has been reported by others [99]. Together, these results indicate that chemokine interactions are physiologically and pathologically important for the regulation of colitis and in the future might be developed as a potential therapeutic option for IBD.

Alternative Medicine as Therapeutic Option for IBD Patients

Conventional treatment of colitis can reduce periods of active disease and help to maintain remission, but these treatments often bring marginal results and patients become refractory. As we discussed above, the administration of anti-TNF- α antibody or soluble TNF- α receptor antagonist [81, 82] and anti-IFN- α antibody [83] have been shown to inhibit the progression of colitis. During our previous studies, we have shown that anti-CXCL10, a CXCR3 chemokine ligand, inhibits chronic colitis in IL-10^{-/-} mice [52]. Unfortunately, the side effects associated with these treatments could result in adverse reactions or poor response by the host, which could limit their clinical use [81]. Lack of a cost-effective treatment and a poor refractory period of remission cause many colitis sufferers to turn to unconventional treatments in the hope of abating symptoms of active IBD. It is estimated that 40% of IBD patients use some form of megavitamin therapy or herbal/dietary supplement [100]. The antioxidant effect of many herbal therapies used by patients with IBD received a lot of attention and is an open avenue for more experimentation as well as clinical studies [101]. Here we describe some potent alternative medicine options studied in recent years that mediate IBD (Table 1).

Resveratrol

The polyphenolic phytoalexin resveratrol (3,5,4'-trihydroxy-*trans*-stilbene) is a naturally occurring stilbene found in peanuts, grapes, and red wine that exert several biological activities [102]. Resveratrol has been shown to extend the life span of yeast and mice and regulates tumor growth and oxidation [103]. It also reduces both acute and chronic chemically induced edema [104] and lipopolysaccharide-induced airway inflammation [105]. The anti-inflammatory mechanism of resveratrol is not completely understood, but reductions in the expression and activity of COX-1 and COX-2 have been reported [106]. Resveratrol also modulates early inflammation in colitis [107]. A series of recent studies shows that a natural polyphenol (ellagic acid) protects murine CD, alleviates the oxidative events, and returns proinflammatory protein expression to basal level, probably by MAPK's and NF-B signaling [108]. Similarly, in another recent study, polyphenols were shown to prevent or delay the progression of IBD, especially because they reach higher concentration in the gut than in other tissues [109]. Because of the strong anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties, we have done extensive studies on resveratrol and colitis and our data indicate that orally administered resveratrol ameliorates both dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) induced and IL-10^{-/-} chronic colitis in mice. Our studies suggest that resveratrol acts as an anti-inflammatory agent by targeting multiple pathways, including the SIRT1 gene, which has not been previously investigated with regard to its association with colitis (Figure 1). Resveratrol treatment suppresses all indicators of inflammation, including cytokines and Th1 cells, as well as expression of COX-2 and activation of NF-B, thereby ameliorating

colitis. We have also shown that colitis induction may downregulate SIRT1 and promote both NF- κ B activation and consequently cytokine production in the colon, and that resveratrol may reverse these effects by upregulating SIRT1 [110]. We have also shown that resveratrol reduces tumor incidence and tumor number in DSS-induced colon cancer [111]. Resveratrol also acts as an anti-inflammatory agent by targeting multiple pathways. While many mechanisms of resveratrol-mediated immunosuppression have been identified, our data demonstrated that resveratrol induced immunosuppressive CD11b⁺ Gr-1⁺ cells that express ARG-1, which correlated with reversal of chronic colitis severity. The unique correlation between induction of immunosuppressive CD11b⁺ Gr-1⁺ cells and reversal of chronic colitis can be interpreted to suggest that these cells may also contribute toward reversal of chronic colitis in IL-10^{-/-} mice. These studies also suggested that CD11b⁺ Gr-1⁺ cells (MDSCs) may constitute a novel target for therapeutic intervention in patients with IBD. The overall concept emerges from these studies that resveratrol might be a useful, nontoxic complementary and alternative strategy to abate colitis and potentially colon cancer associated with colitis.

Curcumin

Curcumin, found in plant *Curcuma longa*, is a natural compound used as a spice in curry powder. The perennial herb has multiple ingredients, including curcuminoids, that have medicinal effects. The curcumin major part is turmeric (diferuloylmethane), which possesses both anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant properties [112–115]. Curcumin effectively inhibits development of skin tumors and proliferation of HT-29 and HCT-15 human colon cancer cell lines [116]. Curcumin has been found to inhibit the activation of various transcription factors that play a role in inflammation, cell survival, and angiogenesis. This includes nuclear factor- κ B (NF- κ B) and catenin [117]. Curcumin also down regulates COX-2 expression and inhibits expression of cytokines, interleukin-1 beta (IL-1), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor- α [118, 119]. Recently, studies of chemopreventive effects of curcumin on colon inflammation have been published in both animal and human models [120–122]. Other pilot studies in humans suggest promising results as patients had lowered CDAI scores and sedimentation rates. In summary, these studies further suggest the need for a large-scale, double-blind clinical trial for any prudent conclusion based on indications that curcumin may pose a less expensive alternative medicine. Due to an advantageous safety profile and low cost, curcumin might be an attractive alternative option for IBD patients.

Pineapple Juice (Bromelain)

Bromelain is a mixture of enzymes typically derived from pineapple plants that has recently been identified by many workers due to its properties that decrease production of cytokines and leukocyte homing to sites of inflammation. Bromelain also shows great promise in reduction of immune-mediated disease, including IBD [123]. Further, it has been shown that the inflammatory condition of two UC patients improved after self-treatment with oral bromelain [124]. In vitro treatment of colon biopsies of human IBD patients with bromelain resulted in decreased production of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, suggesting a direct effect at effector sites [124]. These authors also suggested that purified bromelain from pineapple alters leukocyte expression of cell surface molecules CD44, CD62L (L-

selectin), CD45RA, and CD8 and effectively decreases neutrophil migration to the site of inflammation [123, 125]. In a recent study has shown that long-term dietary supplements with fresh pineapple juice decrease inflammation severity and multiplicity of inflammation-associated colonic neoplasia in the IL-10^{-/-} mice model of colitis [126]. These reports focus our attention on bromelain as a natural compound that can be developed as an effective therapy to IBD. In the interim, more study is needed to better understand the mechanism by which bromelain mediates colonic inflammation, including cell signaling pathways. In any case, these studies provide a strong rationale for clinical trials of fresh pineapple juice in human IBD patients.

Green Tea

Tea is the most popular beverage in the world and is reported to possess remarkable anti-inflammatory and cancer-preventive effects in animal tumors and epidemiological studies [127, 128]. Tea polyphenols, also known as tea catechins, mediate these effects. One of the major polyphenols present in green tea is epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), which exhibits antioxidant properties and is involved in inhibiting signaling pathways involved in inflammation, including the nuclear factor-B (NF-B) [129, 130]. Substantial evidence shows that intestinal inflammation is likely to depend at least in part on activation and nuclear translocation of NF-B. More importantly, activated NF-B has been found in colonic epithelium and macrophages from IBD patients [131, 132]. In light of these pharmacological profiles of green tea, its therapeutic effects on experimental colitis are warranted. Several published reports have evaluated the beneficial effects of green tea on experimental models of colitis [133–137]. All showed protection against colitis by downregulating expression of proinflammatory cytokines, reduction in colonic myeloperoxidase (MPO) production, and, most importantly, downregulation of NF-B activity. These studies clearly support a more controlled in-depth study and possible clinical trial on human IBD patient consumption of tea.

Pomegranate

Punica granatum, commonly known as the pomegranate, has been used as a traditional medicine with numerous beneficial effects in many parts of the world. Traditionally, pomegranate has been used extensively for treatment of diarrhea, dysentery, colic ulcer, urinary tract infection, and syphilis. In vivo and in vitro studies have demonstrated antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anti-cancer properties of pomegranate. There are reports indicating that pomegranate provides protection from liver fibrosis, prostate cancer, hypoxic-ischemic injury, ultraviolet induced pigmentation, allergic reactions, and rheumatoid arthritis [138–141]. Pomegranate is rich in phenolic compounds and is an important source of ellagitannins or ellagic acid [142, 143]. A recent study has shown that microspheres of ellagic acid were beneficial in treating DSS-induced colitis in rats [144]. Two recent studies that used ellagic acid-rich fractions and metabolites of pomegranate urolithin-A suggest a protective effect against colitis [145, 146]. These results suggest a bright future ahead for pomegranate as an effective treatment of colitis. More systematic and mechanistic studies are needed in terms of identifying the most active compounds and its mechanisms against colitis.

Rutin

Rutin (quercetin-3-rutinoside) is a polyphenolic flavonoid found in citrus fruit, tea, and buckwheat seeds. Rutin has been less studied as compared to other compounds [147]. Reports indicate that rutin has antioxidative and anti-inflammatory properties in rodent models. Rutin anti-inflammatory effects have been reported to act through suppression of proinflammatory cytokines and to inhibit TNF- α and NF- κ B, reducing oxidative stress in various experimental models of colitis [148–150]. A few reports on the effect of rutin on IBD and colorectal carcinogenesis suggest that rutin effectively mediates the amelioration of experimental colitis mainly by attenuation of proinflammatory cytokines [148].

Other Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) Modalities

We want to give a brief overview of some of the other complementary and alternative medicine modalities that are available, but require more thorough study. In a literature survey, fish oil and aloe are identified as the most prevalent types of CAM used by IBD patients. Past reviews conclude that there is benefit from fish oil in treating IBD [151, 152]. In most of these studies, fish oil supplementation in IBD improved outcomes related to clinical score, gut mucosal histology score, induced remission, and relapse [153]. In contrast to this, two studies reported a higher rate of relapse with fish oil as compared to placebo [154, 155]. Thus, despite several favorable studies, there is only weak evidence that fish oil has clinical benefits on IBD. A few studies show that fish oil has an apparent ability to maintain remission in CD patients [156], but this needs further detailed investigation.

There is very limited evidence indicating the efficacy of aloe vera gel and *Boswellia serrata* in IBD. Aloe is widely used in food products and ranked first in health food sales in 2005 in Korea for its biological function of anti-inflammatory activity [157] and acceleration in wound healing [158]. In a recent study, it was clearly shown that aloe components ameliorate inflammatory responses in a DSS-induced ulcerative colitis rat model [159]. Similarly, in a clinical trial aloe vera gel produced more clinical response than placebo in IBD patients [160]. *Boswellia serrata* is a traditional Ayurvedic remedy and a component of incense. Many clinical trials of gum resin from *Boswellia* reported a 70% remission as compared to sulfasalazine [161, 162]. In another clinical trial, 23 patients were given oral wheat grass juice for 4 weeks, which reduced the composite clinical disease activity index and the severity of rectal bleeding without any side effects [163]. However, all of these clinical studies did not get momentum due to lack of promising effects on IBD amelioration.

There is a strong relationship between vitamins and minerals and IBD. In IBD, increases in oxidative damage accompanied by production of free oxygen radicals are crucial for progression and severity of the disease. It has been shown that selenium (Se) and vitamin E are two natural antioxidants, which quench reactive oxygen species [164, 165]. In brief, Se, and essential co-factors, may play an important role in binding active sites of glutathione peroxidase, and vitamin E is an effective chain-breaking lipid soluble antioxidant likely to quench oxidants. Recently it has been shown that Se and vitamin E in combination provide protective effects in experimental colitis [166]. Vitamin E and Se may prevent certain types of oxidative damage produced mainly by infiltrating macrophages and neutrophils within

inflamed colon [167]. However, there is a need for more detailed study of Se and vitamin E in experimental colitis.

In pediatric or adolescent CD patients, nutritional therapy is well established as a way to maintain remission of CD [168–170]. It has been shown that exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN) alleviates clinical symptoms, improves quality of life, and rapidly decreases CD activity by influencing the underlying mucosal inflammatory process [171–173]. Furthermore, EEN can be more effective than corticosteroids in inducing remission in children [174]. The meta-analyses of randomized-controlled trial studies have shown that EEN is effective in inducing remission in 50–70% of patients with active CD [175, 176]. Although there is no doubt about the effect of EEN as an induction therapy, the major disadvantage is acceptance of EEN and patient compliance. Recent data indicates that EEN is efficacious as an induction therapy only if given in an exclusive manner and patients require a large volume of formula every day for several weeks by nasogastric tube feeding [177]. Children and adults are sometimes unable or unwilling to accept this form of treatment. In a recent study, it was suggested that fractionated oral nutritional therapy might be efficacious as continuous enteral administration to induce mucosal healing and CD [178]. However, appropriate prospective clinical trials are needed for any valid conclusion.

Chitosan and some of its various synthetic derivatives have attracted great interest in recent years for colon delivery. The systemic delivery of therapeutic protein or peptide may be extremely useful when there is a delay in drug absorption from a therapeutic point of view as in the case of IBD. Chitosan (CH) is a polycationic polysaccharide derived from naturally occurring chitin by alkaline deacetylation. Chitosan rapidly dissolves in the gastric cavity. To prevent this, different strategies have been developed at acidic pH to thus obtain a chitosan-based colon delivery system. Based on this notion, several chitosan coated capsules and microparticles have been reported in the past, as, for example, enteric coated microparticles [179], pH-sensitive based chitosan hydrogel systems [180], chitosan polyelectrolyte complexes [181], and chitosan salt [182]. In a recent study, it was shown that a *N*-succinyl-chitosan system for 5-aminosalicylic acid colon delivery markedly improves efficacy in healing and improvement in the pathology of TNBS-induced colitis in rat [183]. Based on these studies, we can speculate that the future of chitosan coated based targeted delivery would be advantage on overall available strategies to combat IBD.

Conclusion

IBD has a multifactorial etiology that usually requires regular basis of pharmacological cure to maintain remission. The management of IBD patients with conventional therapy is very cost-effective with lots of side effects and remains a clinical challenge. Keeping this in mind, alternative medicine options should be explored when treating patients with refractory IBD. Nearly 50% of the IBD patients have tried some form of alternative medicine. Although a wide range of therapies are available, the reliable mechanistic data about efficacy and safety of most of the remedies are far from clear. As new alternative medicine therapies evolve, there is promise at least in experimental models as well as in few small clinical trials. As patients of IBD increasingly obtain CAM therapies, efforts should be accelerated to assess more dietary compounds toward their therapeutic efficacy and safety.

Data at our disposal on resveratrol clearly suggest that it has great potential to effectively modulate colon inflammation and it is already in clinical trial. With continuation of evolving new CAM therapies and improvement in existing therapies with addition of some form of herbal or dietary supplement, the future for IBD patient management appears promising.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported in part by grants from NIH P01AT003961 and the Intramural Research Program, National Institute on Aging, NIH.

References

- Loftus EV Jr. Clinical epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease: incidence, prevalence, and environmental influences. *Gastroenterology*. 2004; 126:1504–1517. [PubMed: 15168363]
- Scaldaferri F, Fiocchi C. Inflammatory bowel disease: progress and current concepts of etiopathogenesis. *J Dig Dis*. 2007; 8:171–178. [PubMed: 17970872]
- Maynard CL, Weaver CT. Intestinal effector T cells in health and disease. *Immunity*. 2009; 31:389–400. [PubMed: 19766082]
- Podolsky DK. Inflammatory bowel disease (1). *N Engl J Med*. 1991; 325:928–937. [PubMed: 1881418]
- Orholm M, Munkholm P, Langholz E, Nielsen OH, Sorensen TI, Binder V. Familial occurrence of inflammatory bowel disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1991; 324:84–88. [PubMed: 1984188]
- Ogura Y, Bonen DK, Inohara N, et al. A frameshift mutation in NOD2 associated with susceptibility to Crohn's disease. *Nature*. 2001; 411:603–606. [PubMed: 11385577]
- Bonen DK, Ogura Y, Nicolae DL, et al. Crohn's disease-associated NOD2 variants share a signaling defect in response to lipopolysaccharide and peptidoglycan. *Gastroenterology*. 2003; 124:140–146. [PubMed: 12512038]
- Mahida YR, Priddle JD, Jewell DP. Enhanced production of interleukin 1-beta by mononuclear cells isolated from mucosa with active ulcerative colitis of Crohn's disease. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 1989; 78:37–41. [PubMed: 2805422]
- Leong RW, Armuzzi A, Ahmad T, et al. NOD2/CARD15 gene polymorphisms and Crohn's disease in the Chinese population. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2003; 17:1465–1470. [PubMed: 12823148]
- Yamazaki K, Onouchi Y, Takazoe M, Kubo M, Nakamura Y, Hata A. Association analysis of genetic variants in IL23R, ATG16L1 and 5p13.1 loci with Crohn's disease in Japanese patients. *J Hum Genet*. 2007; 52:575–583. [PubMed: 17534574]
- Lopez-Cubero SO, Sullivan KM, McDonald GB. Course of Crohn's disease after allogeneic marrow transplantation. *Gastroenterology*. 1998; 114:433–440. [PubMed: 9496932]
- Mizoguchi A, Mizoguchi E. Animal models of IBD: linkage to human disease. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*. 10:578–587. [PubMed: 20860919]
- Strober W, Fuss I, Mannon P. The fundamental basis of inflammatory bowel disease. *J Clin Invest*. 2007; 117:514–521. [PubMed: 17332878]
- Kaser A, Lee AH, Franke A, et al. XBP1 links ER stress to intestinal inflammation and confers genetic risk for human inflammatory bowel disease. *Cell*. 2008; 134:743–756. [PubMed: 18775308]
- Duerr RH, Taylor KD, Brant SR, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies IL23R as an inflammatory bowel disease gene. *Science*. 2006; 314:1461–1463. [PubMed: 17068223]
- Festen EA, Goyette P, Scott R, et al. Genetic variants in the region harbouring IL2/IL21 associated with ulcerative colitis. *Gut*. 2009; 58:799–804. [PubMed: 19201773]
- Barrett JC, Hansoul S, Nicolae DL, et al. Genome-wide association defines more than 30 distinct susceptibility loci for Crohn's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2008; 40:955–962. [PubMed: 18587394]
- Garrett WS, Lord GM, Punit S, et al. Communicable ulcerative colitis induced by T-bet deficiency in the innate immune system. *Cell*. 2007; 131:33–45. [PubMed: 17923086]

19. Fort M, Lesley R, Davidson N, et al. IL-4 exacerbates disease in a Th1 cell transfer model of colitis. *J Immunol.* 2001; 166:2793–2800. [PubMed: 11160346]
20. Nedjic J, Aichinger M, Emmerich J, Mizushima N, Klein L. Autophagy in thymic epithelium shapes the T-cell repertoire and is essential for tolerance. *Nature.* 2008; 455:396–400. [PubMed: 18701890]
21. Mombaerts P, Mizoguchi E, Grusby MJ, Glimcher LH, Bhan AK, Tonegawa S. Spontaneous development of inflammatory bowel disease in T cell receptor mutant mice. *Cell.* 1993; 75:274–282. [PubMed: 8104709]
22. Sadlack B, Merz H, Schorle H, Schimpl A, Feller AC, Horak I. Ulcerative colitis-like disease in mice with a disrupted interleukin-2 gene. *Cell.* 1993; 75:253–261. [PubMed: 8402910]
23. Kuhn R, Lohler J, Rennick D, Rajewsky K, Muller W. Interleukin-10-deficient mice develop chronic enterocolitis. *Cell.* 1993; 75:263–274. [PubMed: 8402911]
24. Welte T, Zhang SS, Wang T, et al. STAT3 deletion during hematopoiesis causes Crohn's disease-like pathogenesis and lethality: a critical role of STAT3 in innate immunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2003; 100:1879–1884. [PubMed: 12571365]
25. Esworthy RS, Aranda R, Martin MG, Doroshov JH, Binder SW, Chu FF. Mice with combined disruption of Gpx1 and Gpx2 genes have colitis. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* 2001; 281:G848–855. [PubMed: 11518697]
26. Hammer RE, Maika SD, Richardson JA, Tang JP, Taurog JD. Spontaneous inflammatory disease in transgenic rats expressing HLA-B27 and human beta 2m: an animal model of HLA-B27-associated human disorders. *Cell.* 1990; 63:1099–1112. [PubMed: 2257626]
27. Eckburg PB, Relman DA. The role of microbes in Crohn's disease. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007; 44:256–262. [PubMed: 17173227]
28. Sartor RB. Mechanisms of disease: pathogenesis of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2006; 3:390–407. [PubMed: 16819502]
29. Sartor RB. Microbial influences in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Gastroenterology.* 2008; 134:577–594. [PubMed: 18242222]
30. Collins MT. Paratuberculosis: review of present knowledge. *Acta Vet Scand.* 2003; 44:217–221. [PubMed: 15074635]
31. Harris NB, Barletta RG. *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in veterinary medicine. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2001; 14:489–512. [PubMed: 11432810]
32. Chiodini RJ. Crohn's disease and the mycobacterioses: a review and comparison of two disease entities. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 1989; 2:90–117. [PubMed: 2644025]
33. McFadden JJ, Butcher PD, Chiodini RJ, Hermon-Taylor J. Determination of genome size and DNA homology between an unclassified *Mycobacterium* species isolated from patients with Crohn's disease and other mycobacteria. *J Gen Microbiol.* 1987; 133:211–214. [PubMed: 3655723]
34. Sanderson JD, Moss MT, Tizard ML, Hermon-Taylor J. *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* DNA in Crohn's disease tissue. *Gut.* 1992; 33:890–896. [PubMed: 1644328]
35. Autschbach F, Eisold S, Hinz U, et al. High prevalence of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* IS900 DNA in gut tissues from individuals with Crohn's disease. *Gut.* 2005; 54:944–949. see comment. [PubMed: 15951539]
36. Naser SA, Schwartz D, Shafran I. Isolation of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp *paratuberculosis* from breast milk of Crohn's disease patients. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2000; 95:1094–1095. [PubMed: 10763975]
37. Naser SA, Ghobrial G, Romero C, Valentine JF. Culture of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* from the blood of patients with Crohn's disease. *Lancet.* 2004; 364:1039–1044. see comment. [PubMed: 15380962]
38. Naser SA, Hulten K, Shafran I, Graham DY, El-Zaatari FA. Specific seroreactivity of Crohn's disease patients against p35 and p36 antigens of *M. avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis*. *Vet Microbiol.* 2000; 77:497–504. [PubMed: 11118734]
39. Shafran I, Piromalli C, Decker JW, Sandoval J, Naser SA, El-Zaatari FA. Seroreactivities against *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* p35 and p36 antigens in Crohn's disease patients. *Digest Dis Sci.* 2002; 47:2079–2081. [PubMed: 12353858]

40. Chamberlin W, Graham DY, Hulten K, et al. Review article: *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* as one cause of Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2001; 15:337–346.
41. Hulten K, El-Zimaity HM, Karttunen TJ, et al. Detection of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* in Crohn's diseased tissues by in situ hybridization. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2001; 96:1529–1535. see comment. [PubMed: 11374694]
42. Swidsinski A, Ladhoff A, Pernthaler A, et al. Mucosal flora in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology.* 2002; 122:44–54. [PubMed: 11781279]
43. Pierik M, Joossens S, Van Steen K, et al. Toll-like receptor-1, -2, and -6 polymorphisms influence disease extension in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2006; 12:1–8. [PubMed: 16374251]
44. Hampe J, Franke A, Rosenstiel P, et al. A genome-wide association scan of nonsynonymous SNPs identifies a susceptibility variant for Crohn disease in ATG16L1. *Nat Genet.* 2007; 39:207–211. [PubMed: 17200669]
45. Rioux JD, Xavier RJ, Taylor KD, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies new susceptibility loci for Crohn disease and implicates autophagy in disease pathogenesis. *Nat Genet.* 2007; 39:596–604. [PubMed: 17435756]
46. Cleynen I, Juni P, Bekkering GE, et al. Genetic evidence supporting the association of protease and protease inhibitor genes with inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2011; 6:e24106. [PubMed: 21931648]
47. Targan SR, Landers CJ, Yang H, et al. Antibodies to CBir1 flagellin define a unique response that is associated independently with complicated Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology.* 2005; 128:2020–2028. [PubMed: 15940634]
48. Nielsen OH, Kirman I, Rudiger N, Hendel J, Vainer B. Upregulation of interleukin-12 and -17 in active inflammatory bowel disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2003; 38:180–185. [PubMed: 12678335]
49. Roberts-Thomson IC, Fon J, Uylaki W, Cummins AG, Barry S. Cells, cytokines and inflammatory bowel disease: a clinical perspective. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2011; 5:703–716. [PubMed: 22017698]
50. Monteleone G, Pallone F, Macdonald TT. Emerging immunological targets in inflammatory bowel disease. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2011; 11(6):640–645. [PubMed: 22000933]
51. Danese S, Fiocchi C. Etiopathogenesis of inflammatory bowel diseases. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2006; 12:4807–4812. [PubMed: 16937461]
52. Singh UP, Singh S, Taub DD, Lillard JW Jr. Inhibition of IFN-gamma-inducible protein-10 abrogates colitis in IL-10(-/-) mice. *J Immunol.* 2003; 171:1401–1406. [PubMed: 12874231]
53. Ugucioni M, Gionchetti P, Robbiani DF, et al. Increased expression of IP-10, IL-8, MCP-1, and MCP-3 in ulcerative colitis. *Am J Pathol.* 1999; 155:331–336. [PubMed: 10433925]
54. Walz A, Schmutz P, Mueller C, Schnyder-Candrian S. Regulation and function of the CXC chemokine ENA-78 in monocytes and its role in disease. *J Leukocyte Biol.* 1997; 62:604–611. [PubMed: 9365115]
55. Soto H, Wang W, Strieter RM, et al. The CC chemokine 6Ckine binds the CXC chemokine receptor CXCR3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1998; 95:8205–8210. [PubMed: 9653165]
56. Shibahara T, Wilcox JN, Couse T, Madara JL. Characterization of epithelial chemoattractants for human intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes. *Gastroenterology.* 2001; 120:60–70. [PubMed: 11208714]
57. Scheerens H, Hessel E, de Waal-Malefyt R, Leach MW, Rennick D. Characterization of chemokines and chemokine receptors in two murine models of inflammatory bowel disease: IL-10(-/-) mice and Rag-2(-/-) mice reconstituted with CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells. *Eur J Immunol.* 2001; 31:1465–1474. [PubMed: 11465103]
58. Russel MG, Stockbrugger RW. Epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease: an update. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 1996; 31:417–427. [PubMed: 8734336]
59. Linskens RK, Huijsdens XW, Savelkoul PH, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Meuwissen SG. The bacterial flora in inflammatory bowel disease: current insights in pathogenesis and the influence of antibiotics and probiotics. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl.* 2001;29–40. [PubMed: 11768558]

60. Jonkers D, Stockbrugger R. Probiotics and inflammatory bowel disease. *J R Soc Med.* 2003; 96:167–171. [PubMed: 12668702]
61. Reiff C, Kelly D. Inflammatory bowel disease, gut bacteria and probiotic therapy. *Int J Med Microbiol.* 2010; 300:25–33. [PubMed: 19800289]
62. Salminen S, Bouley C, Boutron-Ruault MC, et al. Functional food science and gastrointestinal physiology and function. *Br J Nutr.* 1998; 80(Suppl 1):S147–S171. [PubMed: 9849357]
63. Dunne C, O'Mahony L, Murphy L, et al. In vitro selection criteria for probiotic bacteria of human origin: correlation with in vivo findings. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2001; 73:386S–392S. [PubMed: 11157346]
64. Shanahan F. Probiotics and inflammatory bowel disease: is there a scientific rationale? *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2000; 6:107–115. [PubMed: 10833070]
65. Schultz M, Veltkamp C, Dieleman LA, et al. *Lactobacillus plantarum* 299V in the treatment and prevention of spontaneous colitis in interleukin-10-deficient mice. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2002; 8:71–80. [PubMed: 11854603]
66. Mao Y, Nobaek S, Kasravi B, et al. The effects of *Lactobacillus* strains and oat fiber on methotrexate-induced enterocolitis in rats. *Gastroenterology.* 1996; 111:334–344. [PubMed: 8690198]
67. Hart AL, Lammers K, Brigidi P, et al. Modulation of human dendritic cell phenotype and function by probiotic bacteria. *Gut.* 2004; 53:1602–1609. [PubMed: 15479680]
68. Neish AS, Gewirtz AT, Zeng H, et al. Prokaryotic regulation of epithelial responses by inhibition of IkappaB-alpha ubiquitination. *Science.* 2000; 289:1560–1563. [PubMed: 10968793]
69. Mimura T, Rizzello F, Helwig U, et al. Once daily high dose probiotic therapy (VSL#3) for maintaining remission in recurrent or refractory pouchitis. *Gut.* 2004; 53:108–114. [PubMed: 14684584]
70. Guslandi M, Mezzi G, Sorghi M, Testoni PA. *Saccharomyces boulardii* in maintenance treatment of Crohn's disease. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2000; 45:1462–1464. [PubMed: 10961730]
71. Soo I, Madsen KL, Tejpar Q, et al. VSL#3 probiotic upregulates intestinal mucosal alkaline sphingomyelinase and reduces inflammation. *Can J Gastroenterol.* 2008; 22:237–242. [PubMed: 18354751]
72. Pronio A, Montesani C, Butteroni C, et al. Probiotic administration in patients with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis is associated with expansion of mucosal regulatory cells. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2008; 14:662–668. [PubMed: 18240282]
73. Marshall JK, Irvine EJ. Rectal corticosteroids versus alternative treatments in ulcerative colitis: a meta-analysis. *Gut.* 1997; 40:775–781. [PubMed: 9245932]
74. Mulder CJ, Fockens P, Meijer JW, van der Heide H, Wiltink EH, Tytgat GN. Beclomethasone dipropionate (3 mg) versus 5-aminosalicylic acid (2 g) versus the combination of both (3 mg/2 g) as retention enemas in active ulcerative proctitis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 1996; 8:549–553. [PubMed: 8823568]
75. Feagan BG, Rochon J, Fedorak RN, et al. Methotrexate for the treatment of Crohn's disease. The North American Crohn's Study Group Investigators. *N Engl J Med.* 1995; 332:292–297. [PubMed: 7816064]
76. Ng SC, Chan FK, Sung JJ. Review article: the role of non-biological drugs in refractory inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2011; 33:417–427. [PubMed: 21138457]
77. Van Deventer SJ. Tumour necrosis factor and Crohn's disease. *Gut.* 1997; 40:443–448. [PubMed: 9176068]
78. van Dullemen HM, van Deventer SJ, Hommes DW, et al. Treatment of Crohn's disease with anti-tumor necrosis factor chimeric monoclonal antibody (cA2). *Gastroenterology.* 1995; 109:129–135. [PubMed: 7797011]
79. Rutgeerts P, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, et al. Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. *N Engl J Med.* 2005; 353:2462–2476. [PubMed: 16339095]
80. van Deventer SJ. Review article: chemokine production by intestinal epithelial cells: a therapeutic target in inflammatory bowel disease? *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 1997; 11(Suppl 3):116–120. discussion 120–111. [PubMed: 9467986]

81. Mouser JF, Hyams JS. Infliximab: a novel chimeric monoclonal antibody for the treatment of Crohn's disease. *Clin Therapeut.* 1999; 21:932–942. discussion 931.
82. Powrie F, Leach MW, Mauze S, Menon S, Caddle LB, Coffman RL. Inhibition of Th1 responses prevents inflammatory bowel disease in scid mice reconstituted with CD45RBhi CD4⁺ T cells. *Immunity.* 1994; 1:553–562. [PubMed: 7600284]
83. Berg DJ, Davidson N, Kuhn R, et al. Enterocolitis and colon cancer in interleukin-10-deficient mice are associated with aberrant cytokine production and CD4(+) TH1-like responses. *J Clin Invest.* 1996; 98:1010–1020. [PubMed: 8770874]
84. Rutgeerts P, Vermeire S, Van Assche G. Biological therapies for inflammatory bowel diseases. *Gastroenterology.* 2009; 136:1182–1197. [PubMed: 19249397]
85. Zlotnik A, Yoshie O. Chemokines: a new classification system and their role in immunity. *Immunity.* 2000; 12:121–127. [PubMed: 10714678]
86. Qin S, Rottman JB, Myers P, et al. The chemokine receptors CXCR3 and CCR5 mark subsets of T cells associated with certain inflammatory reactions. *J Clin Invest.* 1998; 101:746–754. [PubMed: 9466968]
87. Xia MQ, Bacskaï BJ, Knowles RB, Qin SX, Hyman BT. Expression of the chemokine receptor CXCR3 on neurons and the elevated expression of its ligand IP-10 in reactive astrocytes: in vitro ERK1/2 activation and role in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neuroimmunol.* 2000; 108:227–235. [PubMed: 10900358]
88. Teruya-Feldstein J, Tosato G, Jaffe ES. The role of chemokines in Hodgkin's disease. *Leukemia Lymphoma.* 2000; 38:363–371. [PubMed: 10830743]
89. Ohshima K, Tutiya T, Yamaguchi T, et al. Infiltration of Th1 and Th2 lymphocytes around Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg (H&RS) cells in Hodgkin disease: relation with expression of CXCL10 and CC chemokines on H&RS cells. *Int J Cancer.* 2002; 98:567–572. [PubMed: 11920617]
90. Romagnani P, Rotondi M, Lazzeri E, et al. Expression of IP-10/CXCL10 and MIG/CXCL9 in the thyroid and increased levels of IP-10/CXCL10 in the serum of patients with recent-onset Graves' disease. *Am J Pathol.* 2002; 161:195–206. [PubMed: 12107104]
91. Romagnani P, Beltrame C, Annunziato F, et al. Role for interactions between IP-10/Mig and CXCR3 in proliferative glomerulonephritis. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 1999; 10:2518–2526. [PubMed: 10589690]
92. Liu MT, Keirstead HS, Lane TE. Neutralization of the chemokine CXCL10 reduces inflammatory cell invasion and demyelination and improves neurological function in a viral model of multiple sclerosis. *J Immunol.* 2001; 167:4091–4097. [PubMed: 11564831]
93. Salmaggi A, Gelati M, Dufour A, et al. Expression and modulation of IFN-gamma-inducible chemokines (IP-10, Mig, and I-TAC) in human brain endothelium and astrocytes: possible relevance for the immune invasion of the central nervous system and the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* 2002; 22:631–640. [PubMed: 12162873]
94. Belperio JA, Keane MP, Burdick MD, et al. Critical role for CXCR3 chemokine biology in the pathogenesis of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome. *J Immunol.* 2002; 169:1037–1049. [PubMed: 12097412]
95. Agostini C, Cassatella M, Zambello R, et al. Involvement of the IP-10 chemokine in sarcoid granulomatous reactions. *J Immunol.* 1998; 161:6413–6420. [PubMed: 9834133]
96. Flier J, Boorsma DM, van Beek PJ, et al. Differential expression of CXCR3 targeting chemokines CXCL10, CXCL9, and CXCL11 in different types of skin inflammation. *J Pathol.* 2001; 194:398–405. comment. [PubMed: 11523046]
97. Singh UP, Singh S, Singh R, Cong Y, Taub DD, Lillard JW Jr. CXCL10-producing mucosal CD4⁺ T cells, NK cells, and NKT cells are associated with chronic colitis in IL-10(-/-) mice, which can be abrogated by anti-CXCL10 antibody inhibition. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* 2008; 28:31–43. [PubMed: 18370870]
98. Singh UP, Singh S, Weaver CT, Iqbal N, McGhee JR, Lillard JW Jr. IFN-g- inducible chemokines enhance adaptive immunity and colitis. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* 2003; 23:2000.
99. Hyun JG, Lee G, Brown JB, et al. Anti-interferon-inducible chemokine, CXCL10, reduces colitis by impairing T helper-1 induction and recruitment in mice. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2005; 11:799–805. [PubMed: 16116313]

100. Head K, Jurenka JS. Inflammatory bowel disease, II: Crohn's disease—pathophysiology and conventional and alternative treatment options. *Altern Med Rev*. 2004; 9:360–401. [PubMed: 15656711]
101. Langmead L, Dawson C, Hawkins C, Banna N, Loo S, Rampton DS. Antioxidant effects of herbal therapies used by patients with inflammatory bowel disease: an in vitro study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2002; 16:197–205. [PubMed: 11860402]
102. Gholam PM, Flancbaum L, Machan JT, Charney DA, Kotler DP. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in severely obese subjects. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2007; 102:399–408. [PubMed: 17311652]
103. de la Lastra CA, Villegas I. Resveratrol as an anti-inflammatory and anti-aging agent: mechanisms and clinical implications. *Mol Nutr Food Res*. 2005; 49:405–430. [PubMed: 15832402]
104. Jang M, Cai L, Udeani GO, et al. Cancer chemopreventive activity of resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes. *Science*. 1997; 275:218–220. [PubMed: 8985016]
105. Birrell MA, McCluskie K, Wong S, Donnelly LE, Barnes PJ, Belvisi MG. Resveratrol, an extract of red wine, inhibits lipopolysaccharide induced airway neutrophilia and inflammatory mediators through an NF-kappaB-independent mechanism. *FASEB J*. 2005; 19:840–841. [PubMed: 15734790]
106. Martin AR, Villegas I, Sanchez-Hidalgo M, de la Lastra CA. The effects of resveratrol, a phytoalexin derived from red wines, on chronic inflammation induced in an experimentally induced colitis model. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2006; 147:873–885. [PubMed: 16474422]
107. Martin AR, Villegas I, La Casa C, de la Lastra CA. Resveratrol, a polyphenol found in grapes, suppresses oxidative damage and stimulates apoptosis during early colonic inflammation in rats. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2004; 67:1399–1410. [PubMed: 15013856]
108. Rosillo MA, Sanchez-Hidalgo M, Cardeno A, de la Lastra CA. Protective effect of ellagic acid, a natural polyphenolic compound, in a murine model of Crohn's disease. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2011; 82:737–745. [PubMed: 21763290]
109. Biasi F, Astegiano M, Maina M, Leonarduzzi G, Poli G. Polyphenol supplementation as a complementary medicinal approach to treating inflammatory bowel disease. *CurrMed Chem*. 2011
110. Singh UP, Singh NP, Singh B, et al. Resveratrol (*trans*-3,5,4'-trihydroxystilbene) induces silent mating type information regulation-1 and down-regulates nuclear transcription factor-kappaB activation to abrogate dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2010; 332:829–839. [PubMed: 19940103]
111. Cui X, Jin Y, Hofseth AB, et al. Resveratrol suppresses colitis and colon cancer associated with colitis. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila Pa)*. 2010; 3:549–559.
112. Ammon HP, Wahl MA. Pharmacology of *Curcuma longa*. *Planta Med*. 1991; 57:1–7. [PubMed: 2062949]
113. Satoskar RR, Shah SJ, Shenoy SG. Evaluation of anti-inflammatory property of curcumin (diferuloyl methane) in patients with postoperative inflammation. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther Toxicol*. 1986; 24:651–654. [PubMed: 3546166]
114. Surh YJ. Anti-tumor promoting potential of selected spice ingredients with antioxidative and antiinflammatory activities: a short review. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2002; 40:1091–1097. [PubMed: 12067569]
115. Toda S, Miyase T, Arichi H, Tanizawa H, Takino Y. Natural antioxidants, III: antioxidative components isolated from rhizome of *Curcuma longa* L. *Chem PharmBull (Tokyo)*. 1985; 33:1725–1728.
116. Hanif R, Qiao L, Shiff SJ, Rigas B. Curcumin, a natural plant phenolic food additive, inhibits cell proliferation and induces cell cycle changes in colon adenocarcinoma cell lines by a prostaglandin-independent pathway. *J Lab Clin Med*. 1997; 130:576–584. [PubMed: 9422331]
117. Shishodia S, Singh T, Chaturvedi MM. Modulation of transcription factors by curcumin. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2007; 595:127–148. [PubMed: 17569208]
118. Cho JW, Lee KS, Kim CW. Curcumin attenuates the expression of IL-1beta, IL-6, and TNF-alpha as well as cyclin E in TNF-alpha-treated HaCaT cells; NF-kappaB and MAPKs as potential upstream targets. *Int J Mol Med*. 2007; 19:469–474. [PubMed: 17273796]

119. Hanai H, Sugimoto K. Curcumin has bright prospects for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2009; 15:2087–2094. [PubMed: 19519446]
120. Plummer SM, Holloway KA, Manson MM, et al. Inhibition of cyclo-oxygenase 2 expression in colon cells by the chemopreventive agent curcumin involves inhibition of NF-kappaB activation via the NIK/IKK signalling complex. *Oncogene.* 1999; 18:6013–6020. [PubMed: 10557090]
121. Holt PR, Katz S, Kirshoff R. Curcumin therapy in inflammatory bowel disease: a pilot study. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2005; 50:2191–2193. [PubMed: 16240238]
122. Taylor RA, Leonard MC. Curcumin for inflammatory bowel disease: a review of human studies. *Altern Med Rev.* 2011; 16:152–156. [PubMed: 21649456]
123. Hale LP, Greer PK, Trinh CT, Gottfried MR. Treatment with oral bromelain decreases colonic inflammation in the IL-10-deficient murine model of inflammatory bowel disease. *Clin Immunol.* 2005; 116:135–142. [PubMed: 15936249]
124. Kane S, Goldberg MJ. Use of bromelain for mild ulcerative colitis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000; 132:680. [PubMed: 10766699]
125. Fitzhugh DJ, Shan S, Dewhirst MW, Hale LP. Bromelain treatment decreases neutrophil migration to sites of inflammation. *Clin Immunol.* 2008; 128:66–74. [PubMed: 18482869]
126. Hale LP, Chichlowski M, Trinh CT, Greer PK. Dietary supplementation with fresh pineapple juice decreases inflammation and colonic neoplasia in IL-10-deficient mice with colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2010; 16:2012–2021. [PubMed: 20848493]
127. Yang CS, Maliakal P, Meng X. Inhibition of carcinogenesis by tea. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2002; 42:25–54. [PubMed: 11807163]
128. Wheeler DS, Catravas JD, Odoms K, Denenberg A, Malhotra V, Wong HR. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate, a green tea-derived polyphenol, inhibits IL-1 beta-dependent proinflammatory signal transduction in cultured respiratory epithelial cells. *J Nutr.* 2004; 134:1039–1044. [PubMed: 15113942]
129. Yang F, Oz HS, Barve S, de Villiers WJ, McClain CJ, Varilek GW. The green tea polyphenol (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate blocks nuclear factor-kappa B activation by inhibiting I kappa B kinase activity in the intestinal epithelial cell line IEC-6. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2001; 60:528–533. [PubMed: 11502884]
130. Na HK, Surh YJ. Intracellular signaling network as a prime chemopreventive target of (-)-epigallocatechin gallate. *Mol Nutr Food Res.* 2006; 50:152–159. [PubMed: 16470647]
131. Neurath MF, Fuss I, Schurmann G, et al. Cytokine gene transcription by NF-kappa B family members in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1998; 859:149–159. [PubMed: 9928378]
132. Schreiber S, Nikolaus S, Hampe J. Activation of nuclear factor kappa B inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut.* 1998; 42:477–484. [PubMed: 9616307]
133. Mazzon E, Muia C, Paola RD, et al. Green tea polyphenol extract attenuates colon injury induced by experimental colitis. *Free Radic Res.* 2005; 39:1017–1025. [PubMed: 16087483]
134. Varilek GW, Yang F, Lee EY, et al. Green tea polyphenol extract attenuates inflammation in interleukin-2-deficient mice, a model of autoimmunity. *J Nutr.* 2001; 131:2034–2039. [PubMed: 11435526]
135. Kim M, Murakami A, Miyamoto S, Tanaka T, Ohigashi H. The modifying effects of green tea polyphenols on acute colitis and inflammation-associated colon carcinogenesis in male ICR mice. *Biofactors.* 2010; 36:43–51. [PubMed: 20108331]
136. Oz HS, Chen TS, McClain CJ, de Villiers WJ. Antioxidants as novel therapy in a murine model of colitis. *J Nutr Biochem.* 2005; 16:297–304. [PubMed: 15866230]
137. Abboud PA, Hake PW, Burroughs TJ, et al. Therapeutic effect of epigallocatechin-3-gallate in a mouse model of colitis. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2008; 579:411–417. [PubMed: 18022615]
138. Shukla M, Gupta K, Rasheed Z, Khan KA, Haqqi TM. Consumption of hydrolyzable tannins-rich pomegranate extract suppresses inflammation and joint damage in rheumatoid arthritis. *Nutrition.* 2008; 24:733–743. [PubMed: 18490140]
139. Toklu HZ, Dumlu MU, Sehirli O, et al. Pomegranate peel extract prevents liver fibrosis in biliaryobstructed rats. *J Pharm Pharmacol.* 2007; 59:1287–1295. [PubMed: 17939210]

140. Adhami VM, Mukhtar H. Anti-oxidants from green tea and pomegranate for chemoprevention of prostate cancer. *Mol Biotechnol.* 2007; 37:52–57. [PubMed: 17914164]
141. Kasai K, Yoshimura M, Koga T, Arai M, Kawasaki S. Effects of oral administration of ellagic acid-rich pomegranate extract on ultraviolet-induced pigmentation in the human skin. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo).* 2006; 52:383–388. [PubMed: 17190110]
142. Aviram M, Volkova N, Coleman R, et al. Pomegranate phenolics from the peels, arils, and flowers are antiatherogenic: studies in vivo in atherosclerotic apolipoprotein e-deficient (E 0) mice and in vitro in cultured macrophages and lipoproteins. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2008; 56:1148–1157. [PubMed: 18173244]
143. Yoshimura M, Watanabe Y, Kasai K, Yamakoshi J, Koga T. Inhibitory effect of an ellagic acid-rich pomegranate extract on tyrosinase activity and ultraviolet-induced pigmentation. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem.* 2005; 69:2368–2373. [PubMed: 16377895]
144. Ogawa Y, Kanatsu K, Iino T, et al. Protection against dextran sulfate sodium-induced colitis by microspheres of ellagic acid in rats. *Life Sci.* 2002; 71:827–839. [PubMed: 12074942]
145. Singh K, Jaggi AS, Singh N. Exploring the ameliorative potential of *Punica granatum* in dextran sulfate sodium induced ulcerative colitis in mice. *Phytother Res.* 2009; 23:1565–1574. [PubMed: 19367659]
146. Larrosa M, Gonzalez-Sarrias A, Yanez-Gascon MJ, et al. Anti-inflammatory properties of a pomegranate extract and its metabolite urolithin-A in a colitis rat model and the effect of colon inflammation on phenolic metabolism. *J Nutr Biochem.* 2010; 21:717–725. [PubMed: 19616930]
147. Kampkotter A, Nkwonkam CG, Zurawski RF, et al. Investigations of protective effects of the flavonoids quercetin and rutin on stress resistance in the model organism *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Toxicology.* 2007; 234:113–123. [PubMed: 17376580]
148. Kwon KH, Murakami A, Tanaka T, Ohigashi H. Dietary rutin, but not its aglycone quercetin, ameliorates dextran sulfate sodium-induced experimental colitis in mice: attenuation of pro-inflammatory gene expression. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2005; 69:395–406. [PubMed: 15652231]
149. Kim H, Kong H, Choi B, et al. Metabolic and pharmacological properties of rutin, a dietary quercetin glycoside, for treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. *Pharm Res.* 2005; 22:1499–1509. [PubMed: 16132362]
150. Cruz T, Galvez J, Ocete MA, Crespo ME, Sanchez de Medina LHF, Zarzuelo A. Oral administration of rutoside can ameliorate inflammatory bowel disease in rats. *Life Sci.* 1998; 62:687–695. [PubMed: 9472728]
151. Belluzzi A. N-3 fatty acids for the treatment of inflammatory bowel diseases. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2002; 61:391–395. [PubMed: 12296296]
152. Belluzzi A, Boschi S, Brignola C, Munarini A, Cariani G, Miglio F. Polyunsaturated fatty acids and inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2000; 71:339S–342S. [PubMed: 10617993]
153. MacLean CH, Mojica WA, Morton SC, et al. Effects of omega-3 fatty acids on lipids and glycemic control in type II diabetes and the metabolic syndrome and on inflammatory bowel disease, rheumatoid arthritis, renal disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, and osteoporosis. *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ).* 2004; 1–4. [PubMed: 15133890]
154. Hawthorne AB, Daneshmend TK, Hawkey CJ, et al. Treatment of ulcerative colitis with fish oil supplementation: a prospective 12 month randomised controlled trial. *Gut.* 1992; 33:922–928. [PubMed: 1353742]
155. Loeschke K, Ueberschaer B, Pietsch A, et al. n-3 fatty acids only delay early relapse of ulcerative colitis in remission. *Dig Dis Sci.* 1996; 41:2087–2094. [PubMed: 8888725]
156. Belluzzi A, Brignola C, Campieri M, Pera A, Boschi S, Miglioli M. Effect of an enteric-coated fish-oil preparation on relapses in Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med.* 1996; 334:1557–1560. [PubMed: 8628335]
157. Speranza G, Morelli CF, Tubaro A, Altinier G, Duri L, Manitto P. Aloeresin I, an anti-inflammatory 5-methylchromone from cape aloe. *Planta Med.* 2005; 71:79–81. [PubMed: 15678379]
158. Takzare N, Hosseini MJ, Hasanzadeh G, Mortazavi H, Takzare A, Habibi P. Influence of aloe vera gel on dermal wound healing process in rat. *Toxicol Mech Methods.* 2009; 19:73–77. [PubMed: 19778236]

159. Park MY, Kwon HJ, Sung MK. Dietary aloin, aloesin, or aloe-gel exerts anti-inflammatory activity in a rat colitis model. *Life Sci.* 2011; 88:486–492. [PubMed: 21277867]
160. Langmead L, Feakins RM, Goldthorpe S, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of oral aloe vera gel for active ulcerative colitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2004; 19:739–747. [PubMed: 15043514]
161. Gupta I, Parihar A, Malhotra P, et al. Effects of *Boswellia serrata* gum resin in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Eur J Med Res.* 1997; 2:37–43. [PubMed: 9049593]
162. Gupta I, Parihar A, Malhotra P, et al. Effects of gum resin of *Boswellia serrata* in patients with chronic colitis. *Planta Med.* 2001; 67:391–395. [PubMed: 11488449]
163. Ben-Arye E, Goldin E, Wengrower D, Stamper A, Kohn R, Berry E. Wheat grass juice in the treatment of active distal ulcerative colitis: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 2002; 37:444–449. [PubMed: 11989836]
164. Millar AD, Rampton DS, Chander CL, et al. Evaluating the antioxidant potential of new treatments for inflammatory bowel disease using a rat model of colitis. *Gut.* 1996; 39:407–415. [PubMed: 8949646]
165. Ademoglu E, Erbil Y, Tam B, et al. Do vitamin E and selenium have beneficial effects on trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid-induced experimental colitis. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2004; 49:102–108. [PubMed: 14992443]
166. Bitiren M, Karakilcik AZ, Zerim M, et al. Protective effects of selenium and vitamin E combination on experimental colitis in blood plasma and colon of rats. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 2010; 136:87–95. [PubMed: 19774348]
167. Reifen R, Nissenkorn A, Matas Z, Bujanover Y. 5-ASA and lycopene decrease the oxidative stress and inflammation induced by iron in rats with colitis. *J Gastroenterol.* 2004; 39:514–519. [PubMed: 15235867]
168. Beattie RM, Bentsen BS, MacDonald TT. Childhood Crohn's disease and the efficacy of enteral diets. *Nutrition.* 1998; 14:345–350. [PubMed: 9591305]
169. Escher JC, Taminiau JA. Treatment of inflammatory bowel disease in childhood. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl.* 2001:48–50. [PubMed: 11768561]
170. Heuschkel RB, Menache CC, Megerian JT, Baird AE. Enteral nutrition and corticosteroids in the treatment of acute Crohn's disease in children. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 2000; 31:8–15. [PubMed: 10896064]
171. Afzal NA, Van Der Zaag-Loonen HJ, Arnaud-Battandier F, et al. Improvement in quality of life of children with acute Crohn's disease does not parallel mucosal healing after treatment with exclusive enteral nutrition. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2004; 20:167–172. [PubMed: 15233696]
172. Teahon K, Smethurst P, Pearson M, Levi AJ, Bjarnason I. The effect of elemental diet on intestinal permeability and inflammation in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology.* 1991; 101:84–89. [PubMed: 1904381]
173. Dieleman LA, Heizer WD. Nutritional issues in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* 1998; 27:435–451. [PubMed: 9650026]
174. Borrelli O, Cordischi L, Cirulli M, et al. Polymeric diet alone versus corticosteroids in the treatment of active pediatric Crohn's disease: a randomized controlled open-label trial. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2006; 4:744–753. [PubMed: 16682258]
175. Griffiths AM, Ohlsson A, Sherman PM, Sutherland LR. Meta-analysis of enteral nutrition as a primary treatment of active Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology.* 1995; 108:1056–1067. [PubMed: 7698572]
176. Messori A, Trallori G, D'Albasio G, Milla M, Vannozzi G, Pacini F. Defined-formula diets versus steroids in the treatment of active Crohn's disease: a meta-analysis. *Scand J Gastroenterol.* 1996; 31:267–272. [PubMed: 8833357]
177. Johnson T, Macdonald S, Hill SM, Tomas A, Murphy MS. Treatment of active Crohn's disease in children using partial enteral nutrition with liquid formula: a randomised controlled trial. *Gut.* 2006; 55:356–361. [PubMed: 16162683]
178. Rubio A, Pigneur B, Garnier-Lengline H, et al. The efficacy of exclusive nutritional therapy in paediatric Crohn's disease, comparing fractionated oral vs. continuous enteral feeding. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2011; 33:1332–1339. [PubMed: 21507029]

179. Lorenzo-Lamosa ML, Remunan-Lopez C, Vila-Jato JL, Alonso MJ. Design of microencapsulated chitosan microspheres for colonic drug delivery. *J Control Release*. 1998; 52:109–118. [PubMed: 9685941]
180. Jain SK, Jain A, Gupta Y, Ahirwar M. Design and development of hydrogel beads for targeted drug delivery to the colon. *AAPS PharmSciTech*. 2007; 8:E56. [PubMed: 17915806]
181. Bigucci F, Luppi B, Cerchiara T, et al. Chitosan/pectin polyelectrolyte complexes: selection of suitable preparative conditions for colon-specific delivery of vancomycin. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2008; 35:435–441. [PubMed: 18951977]
182. Orienti I, Cerchiara T, Luppi B, Bigucci F, Zuccari G, Zecchi V. Influence of different chitosan salts on the release of sodium diclofenac in colon-specific delivery. *Int J Pharm*. 2002; 238:51–59. [PubMed: 11996810]
183. Mura C, Nacher A, Merino V, et al. *N*-Succinyl-chitosan systems for 5-aminosalicylic acid colon delivery: in vivo study with TNBS-induced colitis model in rats. *Int J Pharm*. 2011; 416:145–154. [PubMed: 21723929]

Figure 1.

Effect of resveratrol on the histopathology in mice after DSS-induced colitis. Histological evaluation of colon section from DSS and resveratrol-treated as well as naïve mice were evaluated 14 days after colitis induction. In the upper panels, the arrow indicates the area of cellular infiltrates enlarged epithelial and distorted crypts. The lower panel reveals lower cellular infiltrates as well as some normal crypts. Representative sections from three separate experiments (20× magnification) are shown, with each group containing 6 mice.

Table 1
Current available alternative medicine treatments and their effect on IBD

Medicine	Disease	Effects	Remission	References
Aloe vera gel	UC	Improved histological score	30%	Langmead L, et al. <i>Aliment Pharmacol Ther.</i> 2004;19:739-47
Wheat grass juice	UC/distal	Improved symptoms	90%	Ben-Arye E, et al. <i>Scand J Gastroenterol.</i> 2002;37:444-49.
Germinated barley	UC	Improved diarrhea	—	Kanauchi O, et al. <i>J Gastroenterol.</i> 2002;37: 67-72
Curcumin	UC/CD	Lowered CDAI scores and sedimentation rates	90%	Holt PR et al. <i>Dig Dis Sci.</i> 2005;50:2191-3
Curcumin	UC/CD	Reduced histological sign of inflammation	—	Katia N, et al. <i>Br J Nutr.</i> 2009;101:169-181.
Rutin	UC	Ameliorates DSS induced colitis	—	Ki Han K, et al. <i>Biochem Pharmacol.</i> 2005;69:395-406.
Fresh pineapple juice	UC/CD	Ameliorates colitis and colonic neoplasia	—	Hale LP, et al. <i>Inflamm Bowel Dis.</i> 2010;16: 2012-2021
Pomegranate	UC	Ameliorates DSS induced colitis	—	Singh K, et al. <i>Phytother Res.</i> 2009;23: 1565-1574.
Pomegranate/metabolite	UC	Reduced DSS inflammation	—	Larorosa M, et al. <i>J Nutritional Biochem.</i> 2010;21: 717-725
Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate	UC/CD	Beneficial in colitis	—	Abboud PA, et al. <i>Eur J Pharmacol.</i> 2008;579:411-417
Green tea polyphenols	UC	Protect against DSS induced colitis	—	Oz HS, et al. <i>J Nutr Biochem.</i> 2005;16(5):297-304
Green tea polyphenols	UC/CD	Attenuates colon injury and inflammation	—	Mazzon E, et al. <i>Free Radic Res.</i> 2005;39:1017-1025.
Green tea polyphenols	UC/CD	Attenuates colitis	—	Varilek GW, et al. <i>J Nutr.</i> 2001;131(7): 2034-9
Resveratrol	UC/CD	Ameliorates CD	—	Singh UP, et al. <i>Brain Behav Immun.</i> 2012; 26(1):72-82.
Resveratrol	UC	Protect from DSS induced UC	—	Singh UP, et al. <i>J Pharmacol Exp Ther.</i> 2010;332:829-839
Resveratrol	UC/CD	Attenuates colonic inflammation	—	Sanchez-Fadalgo S, et al. <i>Eur J Pharmacol.</i> 2010;633: 78-84
Resveratrol	UC in Rat	Attenuates colonic inflammation	—	Martin AR, et al. <i>Biochem Pharmacol.</i> 2004;67(7): 1399-1410.
Cinnamon extract	UC/CD	Suppress experimental colitis	—	Kwon HK, et al. <i>World J Gastroenterol.</i> 2011;17: 976-986
Freeze-dried black raspberry powder	UC	Potent anti-inflammatory effects	—	Montrose DC, et al. <i>Carcinogenesis.</i> 2011;32: 343-50
American ginseng	UC	Suppress colon cancer associated colitis	—	Cui X, et al. <i>Carcinogenesis.</i> 2010;32: 1734-1741
Ginger extract	UC/rat	Improved inflammation	—	El-Abhar HS, et al. <i>J Ethnopharmacol.</i> 2008;118(3): 367-372